



$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^-)$
 I, J, P need confirmation.

Quantum numbers shown are quark-model predictions.

B^* MASS

From mass difference below and the average of our B masses
 $(m_{B^\pm} + m_{B^0})/2$.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
5324.71±0.21 OUR FIT	

$m_{B^*} - m_B$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
45.21±0.21 OUR FIT				

45.42±0.26 OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the datablock that follows this one.

46.2 ± 0.3 ± 0.8		1 ACKERSTAFF 97M OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
45.3 ± 0.35 ± 0.87	4227	1 BUSKULIC 96D ALEP	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88\text{--}94 \text{ GeV}$
45.5 ± 0.3 ± 0.8		1 ABREU 95R DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88\text{--}94 \text{ GeV}$
46.3 ± 1.9	1378	1 ACCIARRI 95B L3	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88\text{--}94 \text{ GeV}$
46.4 ± 0.3 ± 0.8		2 AKERIB 91 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
45.6 ± 0.8		2 WU 91 CSB2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X, \gamma \ell X$
45.4 ± 1.0		3 LEE-FRANZINI 90 CSB2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(5S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

52 ± 2 ± 4	1400	4 HAN	85 CUSB	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma eX$
------------	------	-------	---------	---------------------------------

¹ u, d, s flavor averaged.

² These papers report E_γ in the B^* center of mass. The $m_{B^*} - m_B$ is 0.2 MeV higher.

$E_{cm} = 10.61\text{--}10.7 \text{ GeV}$. Admixture of B^0 and B^+ mesons, but not B_s .

³ LEE-FRANZINI 90 value is for an admixture of B^0 and B^+ . They measure $46.7 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ MeV}$ for an admixture of B^0 , B^+ , and B_s , and use the shape of the photon line to separate the above value.

⁴ HAN 85 is for $E_{cm} = 10.6\text{--}11.2 \text{ GeV}$, giving an admixture of B^0 , B^+ , and B_s .

$m_{B^{*+}} - m_{B^+}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

45.37±0.21 OUR FIT

45.01±0.30±0.23	5 AAIJ	130 LHCb	$p p \text{ at } 7 \text{ TeV}$
------------------------	--------	----------	---------------------------------

⁵ Obtained the mass difference between $B^{*+} K^-$ and $B^+ K^-$ from $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0$ decay.

$| (m_{B^{*+}} - m_{B^+}) - (m_{B^{*0}} - m_{B^0}) |$

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6	95	ABREU	95R DLPH	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88\text{--}94 \text{ GeV}$

$$m_{B^{*0}} - m_{B^{*+}}$$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.91±0.24±0.09	⁶ SIRUNYAN	18DF CMS	$p p$ at 8 TeV

⁶ Uses exclusively reconstructed final states containing a $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay.

B* DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 B\gamma$	seen

B* REFERENCES

SIRUNYAN	18DF	EPJ C78 939	A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
AAIJ	13O	PRL 110 151803	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97M	ZPHY C74 413	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96D	ZPHY C69 393	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABREU	95R	ZPHY C68 353	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	95B	PL B345 589	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
AKERIB	91	PRL 67 1692	D.S. Akerib <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
WU	91	PL B273 177	Q.W. Wu <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB II Collab.)
LEE-FRANZINI	90	PRL 65 2947	J. Lee-Franzini <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB II Collab.)
HAN	85	PRL 55 36	K. Han <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, LSU, MPIM, STON)