$\eta_b(2S)$ 

$$I^{G}(J^{PC}) = 0^{+}(0^{-+})$$

#### OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Quantum numbers shown are quark-model predictions.

#### $\eta_b(2S)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)EVTSDOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT9999.0 $\pm$ 3.5 $^{+2.8}_{-1.9}$ 26k1 MIZUK12BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- +$ 

• • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

 $9974.6 \pm 2.3 \pm 2.1$ 

$$11\,\pm\,4~^{2,3,4}\,\text{DOBBS}$$

12

$$\Upsilon(2S) 
ightarrow \gamma$$
 hadrons

#### $m \gamma_{(2S)} - m_{\eta_b(2S)}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
24.3±3.5 <sup>+2.8</sup> <sub>-1.9</sub>	26k	<sup>5</sup> MIZUK	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+\pi^- +$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

 $48.7 \pm 2.3 \pm 2.1$ 

$$11\pm4~6,7,8~\text{DOBBS}$$

$$\Upsilon(2S) 
ightarrow \gamma$$
 hadrons

Created: 5/31/2023 09:11

# $\eta_b(2S)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<24	90	MIZUK	12	BELL	$e^+e^-  ightarrow \gamma \pi^+\pi^-$ hadrons

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Assuming  $\Gamma_{\eta_b(2S)}=$  4.9 MeV. Not independent of the corresponding mass difference measurement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>SANDILYA 13 (Belle Collab.) search for such a state reconstructed in the same 26 exclusive hadronic final states as DOBBS 12 using a sample of (157.8  $\pm$  3.6)  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup>  $\Upsilon$ (2S) decays or about 17 times larger and find no evidence for a signal. Their 90% C.L. upper limit on the branching fraction B( $\Upsilon$ (2S)  $\to$   $\eta_b$ (2S) $\gamma$ )  $\times$   $\sum_i$ B( $\eta_b$ (2S)  $\to$   $X_i$ ) < 4.9  $\times$  10<sup>-6</sup>, summed over the exclusive hadronic final states  $X_i$ , is an order of magnitude smaller than that reported by DOBBS 12.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  Obtained by analyzing CLEO III data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Assuming  $\Gamma_{\eta_b(2S)}=5$  MeV. Not independent of the corresponding mass difference measurement.

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  Assuming  $\Gamma_{\eta_b(2S)}=$  4.9 MeV. Not independent of the corresponding mass measurement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> SANDILYA 13 (Belle Collab.) search for such a state reconstructed in the same 26 exclusive hadronic final states as DOBBS 12 using a sample of  $(157.8\pm3.6)\times10^6~\Upsilon(2S)$  decays or about 17 times larger and find no evidence for a signal. Their 90% C.L. upper limit on the branching fraction B( $\Upsilon(2S)\to\eta_b(2S)\gamma$ )  $\times\sum_i$ B( $\eta_b(2S)\to X_i$ ) < 4.9  $\times$  10<sup>-6</sup>, summed over the exclusive hadronic final states  $X_i$ , is an order of magnitude smaller than that reported by DOBBS 12.

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$  Obtained by analyzing CLEO III data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Assuming  $\Gamma_{\eta_h(2S)} = 5$  MeV. Not independent of the corresponding mass measurement.

### $\eta_b(2S)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction $(\Gamma_i/\Gamma)$
$\overline{\Gamma_1}$	hadrons	seen

#### $\eta_b(2S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

# $\Gamma(hadrons)/\Gamma_{total}$

 $\Gamma_1/\Gamma$ 

, , -						-,
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	DOCUMENT IL	)	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	26k	MIZUK	12	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+\pi^- h$	nadrons
• • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •						
seen	9,	<sup>10</sup> DOBBS	12		$\Upsilon(2S)  ightarrow \gamma$ hadrons	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> SANDILYA 13 (Belle Collab.) search for such a state reconstructed in the same 26 exclusive hadronic final states as DOBBS 12 using a sample of  $(157.8\pm3.6)\times10^6~\Upsilon(2S)$  decays or about 17 times larger and find no evidence for a signal. Their 90% C.L. upper limit on the branching fraction B( $\Upsilon(2S) \to \eta_b(2S)\gamma$ )  $\times \sum_i \mathrm{B}(\eta_b(2S) \to X_i)$  < 4.9  $\times$  10<sup>-6</sup>, summed over the exclusive hadronic final states  $X_i$ , is an order of magnitude smaller than that reported by DOBBS 12.

## $\eta_b(2S)$ REFERENCES

SANDILYA	13	PRL 111 112001	S. Sandilya <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DOBBS	12	PRL 109 082001	S. Dobbs et al.	,
MIZUK	12	PRL 109 232002	R. Mizuk et al.	(BELLE Collab.)

Created: 5/31/2023 09:11

 $<sup>^{10}\,\</sup>mathrm{Obtained}$  by analyzing CLEO III data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.