$f_0(1370)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$

See the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances" and a note on "Non-$q\overline{q}$ Candidates" in PDG 06, Journal of Physics **G33** 1 (2006).

$f_0(1370)$ T-MATRIX POLE $\sqrt{s}$

Note that $\Gamma \approx 2\ \mathrm{Im}(\sqrt{s_{\mathrm{pole}}})$.

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
(1250–1440) $-i$ (60–300) OUR ESTIMATE				
$(1370 \pm 40)-i(195 \pm 20)$	SARANTSEV	21	RVUE	$J/\psi(1S) \to \gamma\ (\pi\pi,\ K\overline{K},\ \eta\eta,\ \omega\phi)$
$(1280.6 \pm 1.6 \pm 47.4)$ $- i(205.2 \pm 1.7 \pm 20.7)$	[1] ALBRECHT	20	RVUE	$0.9\ \overline{p}p \to \pi^0\pi^0\eta,\ \pi^0\eta\eta,\ \pi^0K^+K^-$
$(1290 \pm 50)-i(170^{+20}_{-40})$	[2] ANISOVICH	09	RVUE	$0.0\ \overline{p}p,\ \pi N$
$(1373 \pm 15)-i(137 \pm 10)$	[3] BARGIOTTI	03	OBLX	$\overline{p}p$
$(1302 \pm 17)-i(166 \pm 18)$	[4] BARBERIS	00C		$450\ pp \to p_f 4\pi p_s$
$(1312 \pm 25 \pm 10)-i(109 \pm 22 \pm 15)$	BARBERIS	99D	OMEG	$450\ pp \to K^+K^-,\ \pi^+\pi^-$
$(1406 \pm 19)-i(80 \pm 6)$	[5] KAMINSKI	99	RVUE	$\pi\pi \to \pi\pi,\ K\overline{K},\ \sigma\sigma$
$(1300 \pm 20)-i(120 \pm 20)$	ANISOVICH	98B	RVUE	Compilation
$(1290 \pm 15)-i(145 \pm 15)$	BARBERIS	97B	OMEG	$450\ pp \to pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
$(1548 \pm 40)-i(560 \pm 40)$	BERTIN	97C	OBLX	$0.0\ \overline{p}p \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$(1380 \pm 40)-i(180 \pm 25)$	ABELE	96B	CBAR	$0.0\ \overline{p}p \to \pi^0 K^0_L K^0_L$
$(1300 \pm 15)-i(115 \pm 8)$	BUGG	96	RVUE	
$(1330 \pm 50)-i(150 \pm 40)$	[6] AMSLER	95B	CBAR	$\overline{p}p \to 3\pi^0$
$(1360 \pm 35)-i(150\text{–}300)$	[6] AMSLER	95C	CBAR	$\overline{p}p \to \pi^0\eta\eta$
$(1390 \pm 30)-i(190 \pm 40)$	[7] AMSLER	95D	CBAR	$\overline{p}p \to 3\pi^0,\ \pi^0\eta\eta,\ \pi^0\pi^0\eta$
$1346 - i249$	[8,9] JANSSEN	95	RVUE	$\pi\pi \to \pi\pi,\ K\overline{K}$
$1214 - i168$	[9,10] TORNQVIST	95	RVUE	$\pi\pi \to \pi\pi,\ K\overline{K},\ K\pi,\ \eta\pi$
$1364 - i139$	AMSLER	94D	CBAR	$\overline{p}p \to \pi^0\pi^0\eta$
$(1365^{+20}_{-55})-i(134 \pm 35)$	ANISOVICH	94	CBAR	$\overline{p}p \to 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta\eta$
$(1340 \pm 40)-i(127^{+30}_{-20})$	[11] BUGG	94	RVUE	$\overline{p}p \to 3\pi^0,\ \eta\eta\pi^0,\ \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
$(1430 \pm 5)-i(73 \pm 13)$	[12] KAMINSKI	94	RVUE	$\pi\pi \to \pi\pi,\ K\overline{K}$
$1420 - i220$	[13] AU	87	RVUE	$\pi\pi \to \pi\pi,\ K\overline{K}$

[1] T-matrix pole, 5 poles, 5 channels, including scattering data from HYAMS 75 ($\pi\pi$), LONGACRE 86 ($K\overline{K}$), BINON 83 ($\eta\eta$), and BINON 84C ($\eta\eta'$).

[2] Another pole is found at $(1510 \pm 130) - i\,(800^{+100}_{-150})$ MeV.

[3] Coupled channel analysis of $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $K^+K^-\pi^0$, and $K^\pm K^0_S\pi^\mp$.

[4] Average between $\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$ and $2(\pi^+\pi^-)$.

[5] T-matrix pole on sheet $---$.

[6] Supersedes ANISOVICH 94.

[7] Coupled-channel analysis of $\overline{p}p \to 3\pi^0$, $\pi^0\eta\eta$, and $\pi^0\pi^0\eta$ on sheet IV. Demonstrates explicitly that $f_0(500)$ and $f_0(1370)$ are two different poles.
[8] Analysis of data from FALVARD 88.
[9] The pole is on Sheet III. Demonstrates explicitly that $f_0(500)$ and $f_0(1370)$ are two different poles.
[10] Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CASON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.
[11] Reanalysis of ANISOVICH 94 data.
[12] T-matrix pole on sheet III.
[13] Analysis of data from OCHS 73,GRAYER 74, BECKER 79, and CASON 83.

$f_0(1370)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*
1200 to 1500 OUR ESTIMATE	

$\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1400 ± 40		[1] AUBERT	09L	BABR	$B^\pm \to \pi^\pm\pi^\pm\pi^\mp$
$1470^{+\ 6+\ 72}_{-\ 7-255}$		[2] UEHARA	08A	BELL	$10.6\ e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$
1259 ± 55	2.6k	BONVICINI	07	CLEO	$D^+ \to \pi^-\pi^+\pi^+$
$1309\pm\ 1\pm\ 15$		[3] BUGG	07A	RVUE	$0.0\ p\overline{p} \to 3\pi^0$
1449 ± 13	4.3k	[4] GARMASH	06	BELL	$B^+ \to K^+\pi^+\pi^-$
1350 ± 50		ABLIKIM	05	BES2	$J/\psi \to \phi\pi^+\pi^-$
$1265\pm30^{+\ 20}_{-\ 35}$		ABLIKIM	05Q	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$
$1434\pm18\pm\ \ 9$	848	AITALA	01A	E791	$D_s^+ \to \pi^-\pi^+\pi^+$
1308 ± 10		BARBERIS	99B	OMEG	$450\ pp \to p_s p_f \pi^+\pi^-$
1315 ± 50		BELLAZZINI	99	GAM4	$450\ pp \to pp\pi^0\pi^0$
1315 ± 30		ALDE	98	GAM4	$100\ \pi^-p \to \pi^0\pi^0 n$
1280 ± 55		BERTIN	98	OBLX	$0.05–0.405\ \overline{n}p \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$
1186		[5,6] TORNQVIST	95	RVUE	$\pi\pi \to \pi\pi$, $K\overline{K}$, $K\pi$, $\eta\pi$
1472 ± 12		ARMSTRONG	91	OMEG	$300\ pp \to pp\pi\pi$, $ppK\overline{K}$
1275 ± 20		BREAKSTONE	90	SFM	$62\ pp \to pp\pi^+\pi^-$
1420 ± 20		AKESSON	86	SPEC	$63\ pp \to pp\pi^+\pi^-$
1256		FROGGATT	77	RVUE	$\pi^+\pi^-$ channel

[1] Breit-Wigner mass.
[2] Breit-Wigner mass. May also be the $f_0(1500)$.
[3] Reanalysis of ABELE 96C data.
[4] Also observed by GARMASH 07 in $B^0 \to K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$ decays. Supersedes GARMASH 05.
[5] Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CASON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.
[6] Also observed by ASNER 00 in $\tau^- \to \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\nu_\tau$ decays

$K\overline{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$1422\pm15\pm28$		[1] AAIJ	19H	LHCB	$pp \to D^\pm X$
$1360\pm31\pm28$	430	[2,3] DOBBS	15		$J/\psi \to \gamma K^+ K^-$
$1350\pm48\pm15$	168	[2,3] DOBBS	15		$\psi(2S) \to \gamma K^+ K^-$
$1440\pm\ 6$		VLADIMIRSK...	06	SPEC	$40\ \pi^- p \to K^0_S K^0_S n$
1391 ± 10		TIKHOMIROV	03	SPEC	$40.0\ \pi^- \mathrm{C} \to K^0_S K^0_S K^0_L \mathrm{X}$
1440 ± 50		BOLONKIN	88	SPEC	$40\ \pi^- p \to K^0_S K^0_S n$
$1463\pm\ 9$		ETKIN	82B	MPS	$23\ \pi^- p \to n 2K^0_S$
1425 ± 15		WICKLUND	80	SPEC	$6\ \pi N \to K^+ K^- N$
~ 1300		POLYCHRO...	79	STRC	$7\ \pi^- p \to n 2K^0_S$

[1] From the $D^\pm \to K^\pm K^+ K^-$ Dalitz plot fit with the isobar model A.
[2] Using CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration.
[3] From a fit to a Breit-Wigner line shape with fixed $\Gamma = 346$ MeV.

4π MODE $2(\pi\pi)_S+\rho\rho$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1395 ± 40		ABELE	01	CBAR	$0.0\ \overline{p}d \to \pi^- 4\pi^0 p$
1374 ± 38		AMSLER	94	CBAR	$0.0\ \overline{p}p \to \pi^+\pi^- 3\pi^0$
1345 ± 12		ADAMO	93	OBLX	$\overline{n}p \to 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
1386 ± 30		GASPERO	93	DBC	$0.0\ \overline{p}n \to 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$
~ 1410	5751	[1] BETTINI	66	DBC	$0.0\ \overline{p}n \to 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$

[1] $\rho\rho$ dominant.

$\eta\eta$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$1262^{+51}_{-78}{}^{+\ 82}_{-103}$	[1] UEHARA	10A	BELL	$10.6\ e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta\eta$
1430	AMSLER	92	CBAR	$0.0\ \overline{p}p \to \pi^0\eta\eta$
1220 ± 40	ALDE	86D	GAM4	$100\ \pi^- p \to n 2\eta$

[1] Breit-Wigner mass. May also be the $f_0(1500)$.

COUPLED CHANNEL MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$1330.2^{+\ 5.9}_{-\ 6.5}\pm5.1$	[1] AAIJ	19H	LHCB	$pp \to D^\pm X$
$1306\ \ \pm20$	[2] ANISOVICH	03	RVUE	

[1] From the $D^\pm \to K^\pm K^+ K^-$ Dalitz plot fit with the Triple-M amplitude in the multi-meson model of AOUDE 18.
[2] K-matrix pole from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \to \pi^0\pi^0 n$, $\pi^- p \to K\overline{K}n$, $\pi^+\pi^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$, $\overline{p}p \to \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$, $\pi^0\eta\eta$, $\pi^0\pi^0\eta$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $K^+K^-\pi^0$, $K^0_S K^0_S \pi^0$, $K^+ K^0_S \pi^-$ at rest, $\overline{p}n \to \pi^-\pi^-\pi^+$, $K^0_S K^-\pi^0$, $K^0_S K^0_S \pi^-$ at rest.

$f_0(1370)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
200 to 500 OUR ESTIMATE	

$\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
300 ± 80		[1] AUBERT	09L	BABR	$B^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$
$90^{+\ 2}_{-\ 1}{}^{+\ 50}_{-\ 22}$		[2] UEHARA	08A	BELL	$10.6\ e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$
298 ± 21	2.6k	BONVICINI	07	CLEO	$D^+ \to \pi^-\pi^+\pi^+$
126 ± 25	4286	[3] GARMASH	06	BELL	$B^+ \to K^+\pi^+\pi^-$
265 ± 40		ABLIKIM	05	BES2	$J/\psi \to \phi\pi^+\pi^-$
$350\pm 100^{+105}_{-\ 60}$		ABLIKIM	05Q	BES2	$\psi(2S) \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$
$173\pm 32\pm 6$	848	AITALA	01A	E791	$D_s^+ \to \pi^-\pi^+\pi^+$
222 ± 20		BARBERIS	99B	OMEG	$450\ pp \to p_s p_f \pi^+\pi^-$
255 ± 60		BELLAZZINI	99	GAM4	$450\ pp \to pp\pi^0\pi^0$
190 ± 50		ALDE	98	GAM4	$100\ \pi^- p \to \pi^0\pi^0 n$
323 ± 13		BERTIN	98	OBLX	0.05–0.405 $\overline{n}p \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$
350		[4,5] TORNQVIST	95	RVUE	$\pi\pi \to \pi\pi$, $K\overline{K}$, $K\pi$, $\eta\pi$
195 ± 33		ARMSTRONG	91	OMEG	$300\ pp \to pp\pi\pi$, $ppK\overline{K}$
285 ± 60		BREAKSTONE	90	SFM	$62\ pp \to pp\pi^+\pi^-$
460 ± 50		AKESSON	86	SPEC	$63\ pp \to pp\pi^+\pi^-$
~ 400		[6] FROGGATT	77	RVUE	$\pi^+\pi^-$ channel

[1] The systematic errors are not reported.

[2] Breit-Wigner width. May also be the $f_0(1500)$.

[3] Also observed by GARMASH 07 in $B^0 \to K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$ decays. Supersedes GARMASH 05.

[4] Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CASON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.

[5] Also observed by ASNER 00 in $\tau^- \to \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\nu_\tau$ decays

[6] Width defined as distance between 45 and 135° phase shift.

$K\overline{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$324\pm 38\pm 42$	[1] AAIJ	19H	LHCB	$pp \to D^{\pm}X$
121 ± 15	VLADIMIRSK...	06	SPEC	$40\ \pi^- p \to K_S^0K_S^0 n$
55 ± 26	TIKHOMIROV	03	SPEC	$40.0\ \pi^- C \to K_S^0K_S^0K_L^0 X$
250 ± 80	BOLONKIN	88	SPEC	$40\ \pi^- p \to K_S^0K_S^0 n$
$118^{+138}_{-\ 16}$	ETKIN	82B	MPS	$23\ \pi^- p \to n2K_S^0$
160 ± 30	WICKLUND	80	SPEC	$6\ \pi N \to K^+K^-N$
~ 150	POLYCHRO...	79	STRC	$7\ \pi^- p \to n2K_S^0$

[1] From the $D^{\pm} \to K^{\pm}K^+K^-$ Dalitz plot fit with the isobar model A.

4π MODE $2(\pi\pi)_S+\rho\rho$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
275 ± 55		ABELE	01	CBAR	$0.0\ \overline{p}d \to \pi^- 4\pi^0 p$
375 ± 61		AMSLER	94	CBAR	$0.0\ \overline{p}p \to \pi^+\pi^- 3\pi^0$
398 ± 26		ADAMO	93	OBLX	$\overline{n}p \to 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
310 ± 50		GASPERO	93	DBC	$0.0\ \overline{p}n \to 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$
~ 90	5751	[1] BETTINI	66	DBC	$0.0\ \overline{p}n \to 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$

[1] $\rho\rho$ dominant.

$\eta\eta$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$484^{+246}_{-170}{}^{+246}_{-263}$	[1] UEHARA	10A	BELL	$10.6\ e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta\eta$
250	AMSLER	92	CBAR	$0.0\ \overline{p}p \to \pi^0\eta\eta$
$320\pm\ 40$	ALDE	86D	GAM4	$100\ \pi^- p \to n2\eta$

[1] Breit-Wigner width. May also be the $f_0(1500)$.

COUPLED CHANNEL MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
147^{+30}_{-50}	[1] ANISOVICH	03	RVUE

[1] K-matrix pole from combined analysis of $\pi^- p \to \pi^0\pi^0 n$, $\pi^- p \to K\overline{K}n$, $\pi^+\pi^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$, $\overline{p}p \to \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$, $\pi^0\eta\eta$, $\pi^0\pi^0\eta$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $K^+K^-\pi^0$, $K^0_S K^0_S\pi^0$, $K^+K^0_S\pi^-$ at rest, $\overline{p}n \to \pi^-\pi^-\pi^+$, $K^0_S K^-\pi^0$, $K^0_S K^0_S\pi^-$ at rest.

$f_0(1370)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_2	4π	seen
Γ_3	$4\pi^0$	seen
Γ_4	$2\pi^+2\pi^-$	seen
Γ_5	$\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$	seen
Γ_6	$\rho\rho$	seen
Γ_7	$2(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}$	seen
Γ_8	$\pi(1300)\pi$	seen
Γ_9	$a_1(1260)\pi$	seen
Γ_{10}	$\eta\eta$	seen
Γ_{11}	$K\overline{K}$	seen
Γ_{12}	$K\overline{K}n\pi$	not seen
Γ_{13}	6π	not seen
Γ_{14}	$\omega\omega$	not seen

Γ_{15}	$\gamma\gamma$	seen
Γ_{16}	e^+e^-	not seen

$f_0(1370)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ — Γ_{15}

See $\gamma\gamma$ widths under $f_0(500)$ and MORGAN 90.

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ — Γ_{16}

VALUE (eV)	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
<20	90	VOROBYEV	88	ND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0$

$f_0(1370)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\eta\eta) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — $\Gamma_{10}\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$121^{+133}_{-\ 53}{}^{+169}_{-106}$	[1] UEHARA	10A	BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta\eta$

[1] Including interference with the $f_2'(1525)$ (parameters fixed to the values from the 2008 edition of this review, PDG 08) and $f_2(1270)$. May also be the $f_0(1500)$.

$f_0(1370)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.10	95	OCHS	13	RVUE	
0.26 ± 0.09		BUGG	96	RVUE	
<0.15		[1] AMSLER	94	CBAR	$\overline{p}p \to \pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0$
<0.06		GASPERO	93	DBC	0.0 $\overline{p}n \to$ hadrons

[1] Using AMSLER 95B ($3\pi^0$).

$\Gamma(4\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — $\Gamma_2/\Gamma = (\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4+\Gamma_5)/\Gamma$

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
>0.72	GASPERO	93	DBC	0.0 $\overline{p}n \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ — Γ_3/Γ_2

VALUE	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	ABELE	96	CBAR	0.0 $\overline{p}p \to 5\pi^0$
0.068 ± 0.005	[1] GASPERO	93	DBC	0.0 $\overline{p}n \to$ hadrons

[1] Model-dependent evaluation.

$\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ — $\Gamma_4/\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_4/(\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4+\Gamma_5)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.420 ± 0.014	[1] GASPERO	93	DBC	$0.0\ \overline{p}n \to 2\pi^+3\pi^-$

[1] Model-dependent evaluation.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ — $\Gamma_5/\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_5/(\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4+\Gamma_5)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.512 ± 0.019	[1] GASPERO	93	DBC	$0.0\ \overline{p}n \to$ hadrons

[1] Model-dependent evaluation.

$\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ — Γ_6/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.26 ± 0.07	ABELE	01B	CBAR	$0.0\ \overline{p}d \to 5\pi p$

$\Gamma(2(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$ — Γ_7/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
5.6 ± 2.6	[1] ABELE	01	CBAR	$0.0\ \overline{p}d \to \pi^-4\pi^0 p$

[1] From the combined data of ABELE 96 and ABELE 96C.

$\Gamma(2(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})/\Gamma(4\pi)$ — Γ_7/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.51 ± 0.09	ABELE	01B	CBAR	$0.0\ \overline{p}d \to 5\pi p$

$\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(2(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})$ — Γ_6/Γ_7

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
large	BARBERIS	00C		$450\ pp \to p_f 4\pi p_s$
$1.6\ \pm0.2$	AMSLER	94	CBAR	$\overline{p}p \to \pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0$
~ 0.65	GASPERO	93	DBC	$0.0\ \overline{p}n \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\pi(1300)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ — Γ_8/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.17 ± 0.06	ABELE	01B	CBAR	$0.0\ \overline{p}d \to 5\pi p$

$\Gamma(a_1(1260)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ — Γ_9/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.06 ± 0.02	ABELE	01B	CBAR	$0.0\ \overline{p}d \to 5\pi p$

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ — $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_{10}/(\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4+\Gamma_5)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$(28 \pm 11) \times 10^{-3}$	[1] ANISOVICH	02D	SPEC	Combined fit
$(4.7 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-3}$	BARBERIS	00E		450 $pp \to p_f \eta\eta p_s$

[1] From a combined K-matrix analysis of Crystal Barrel (0. $p\overline{p} \to \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$, $\pi^0\eta\eta$, $\pi^0\pi^0\eta$), GAMS ($\pi p \to \pi^0\pi^0 n$, $\eta\eta n$, $\eta\eta' n$), and BNL ($\pi p \to K\overline{K} n$) data.

$\Gamma(K\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.35 ± 0.13	BUGG	96	RVUE

$\Gamma(K\overline{K})/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$ — Γ_{11}/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.08 ± 0.08	ABLIKIM	05	BES2	$J/\psi \to \phi\pi^+\pi^-$, ϕK^+K^-
0.91 ± 0.20	[1] BARGIOTTI	03	OBLX	$\overline{p}p$
0.12 ± 0.06	[2] ANISOVICH	02D	SPEC	Combined fit
$0.46 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.11$	BARBERIS	99D	OMEG	450 $pp \to K^+K^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] Coupled channel analysis of $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $K^+K^-\pi^0$, and $K^\pm K^0_S\pi^\mp$.

[2] From a combined K-matrix analysis of Crystal Barrel (0. $p\overline{p} \to \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$, $\pi^0\eta\eta$, $\pi^0\pi^0\eta$), GAMS ($\pi p \to \pi^0\pi^0 n$, $\eta\eta n$, $\eta\eta' n$), and BNL ($\pi p \to K\overline{K} n$) data.

$\Gamma(K\overline{K}n\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.03	GASPERO	93	DBC	0.0 $\overline{p}n \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(6\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.22	GASPERO	93	DBC	0.0 $\overline{p}n \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.13	GASPERO	93	DBC	0.0 $\overline{p}n \to$ hadrons

$f_0(1370)$ REFERENCES

SARANTSEV	21	PL B816 136227	A.V. Sarantsev et al.	(BONN, PNPI)
ALBRECHT	20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht et al.	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AAIJ	19H	JHEP 1904 063	R. Aaij et al.	(LHCb Collab.)
AOUDE	18	PR D98 056021	R.T. Aoude et al.	
DOBBS	15	PR D91 052006	S. Dobbs et al.	(NWES)
OCHS	13	JP G40 043001	W. Ochs	
UEHARA	10A	PR D82 114031	S. Uehara et al.	(BELLE Collab.)
ANISOVICH	09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	(PNPI)
AUBERT	09L	PR D79 072006	B. Aubert et al.	(BABAR Collab.)

PDG 08 PL B667 1 C. Amsler *et al.* (PDG Collab.)
UEHARA 08A PR D78 052004 S. Uehara *et al.* (BELLE Collab.)
BONVICINI 07 PR D76 012001 G. Bonvicini *et al.* (CLEO Collab.)
BUGG 07A JP G34 151 D.V. Bugg *et al.*
GARMASH 07 PR D75 012006 A. Garmash *et al.* (BELLE Collab.)
GARMASH 06 PRL 96 251803 A. Garmash *et al.* (BELLE Collab.)
PDG 06 JP G33 1 W.-M. Yao *et al.* (PDG Collab.)
VLADIMIRSK... 06 PAN 69 493 V.V. Vladimirsky *et al.* (ITEP, Moscow)
Translated from YAF 69 515.
ABLIKIM 05 PL B607 243 M. Ablikim *et al.* (BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM 05Q PR D72 092002 M. Ablikim *et al.* (BES Collab.)
GARMASH 05 PR D71 092003 A. Garmash *et al.* (BELLE Collab.)
ANISOVICH 03 EPJ A16 229 V.V. Anisovich *et al.*
BARGIOTTI 03 EPJ C26 371 M. Bargiotti *et al.* (OBELIX Collab.)
TIKHOMIROV 03 PAN 66 828 G.D. Tikhomirov *et al.*
Translated from YAF 66 860.
ANISOVICH 02D PAN 65 1545 V.V. Anisovich *et al.*
Translated from YAF 65 1583.
ABELE 01 EPJ C19 667 A. Abele *et al.* (Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE 01B EPJ C21 261 A. Abele *et al.* (Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AITALA 01A PRL 86 765 E.M. Aitala *et al.* (FNAL E791 Collab.)
ASNER 00 PR D61 012002 D.M. Asner *et al.* (CLEO Collab.)
BARBERIS 00C PL B471 440 D. Barberis *et al.* (WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS 00E PL B479 59 D. Barberis *et al.* (WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS 99B PL B453 316 D. Barberis *et al.* (Omega Expt.)
BARBERIS 99D PL B462 462 D. Barberis *et al.* (Omega Expt.)
BELLAZZINI 99 PL B467 296 R. Bellazzini *et al.*
KAMINSKI 99 EPJ C9 141 R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, B. Loiseau (CRAC, PARIN)
ALDE 98 EPJ A3 361 D. Alde *et al.* (GAM4 Collab.)
Also PAN 62 405 D. Alde *et al.* (GAMS Collab.)
Translated from YAF 62 446.
ANISOVICH 98B SPU 41 419 V.V. Anisovich *et al.*
Translated from UFN 168 481.
BERTIN 98 PR D57 55 A. Bertin *et al.* (OBELIX Collab.)
BARBERIS 97B PL B413 217 D. Barberis *et al.* (WA 102 Collab.)
BERTIN 97C PL B408 476 A. Bertin *et al.* (OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE 96 PL B380 453 A. Abele *et al.* (Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE 96B PL B385 425 A. Abele *et al.* (Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE 96C NP A609 562 A. Abele *et al.* (Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BUGG 96 NP B471 59 D.V. Bugg, A.V. Sarantsev, B.S. Zou (LOQM, PNPI)
AMSLER 95B PL B342 433 C. Amsler *et al.* (Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER 95C PL B353 571 C. Amsler *et al.* (Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER 95D PL B355 425 C. Amsler *et al.* (Crystal Barrel Collab.)
JANSSEN 95 PR D52 2690 G. Janssen *et al.* (STON, ADLD, JULI)
TORNQVIST 95 ZPHY C68 647 N.A. Tornqvist (HELS)
AMSLER 94 PL B322 431 C. Amsler *et al.* (Crystal Barrel Collab.) JPC
AMSLER 94D PL B333 277 C. Amsler *et al.* (Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH 94 PL B323 233 V.V. Anisovich *et al.* (Crystal Barrel Collab.) JPC
BUGG 94 PR D50 4412 D.V. Bugg *et al.* (LOQM)
KAMINSKI 94 PR D50 3145 R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, J.P. Maillet (CRAC+)
ADAMO 93 NP A558 13C A. Adamo *et al.* (OBELIX Collab.) JPC
GASPERO 93 NP A562 407 M. Gaspero (ROMAI) JPC
AMSLER 92 PL B291 347 C. Amsler *et al.* (Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ARMSTRONG 91 ZPHY C51 351 T.A. Armstrong *et al.* (ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
ARMSTRONG 91B ZPHY C52 389 T.A. Armstrong *et al.* (ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BREAKSTONE 90 ZPHY C48 569 A.M. Breakstone *et al.* (ISU, BGNA, CERN+)
MORGAN 90 ZPHY C48 623 D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington (RAL, DURH)
ASTON 88 NP B296 493 D. Aston *et al.* (SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
BOLONKIN 88 NP B309 426 B.V. Bolonkin *et al.* (ITEP, SERP)
FALVARD 88 PR D38 2706 A. Falvard *et al.* (CLER, FRAS, LALO+)
VOROBYEV 88 SJNP 48 273 P.V. Vorobiev *et al.* (NOVO)
Translated from YAF 48 436.
AU 87 PR D35 1633 K.L. Au, D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington (DURH, RAL)
AKESSON 86 NP B264 154 T. Akesson *et al.* (Axial Field Spec. Collab.)
ALDE 86D NP B269 485 D.M. Alde *et al.* (BELG, LAPP, SERP, CERN+)
LONGACRE 86 PL B177 223 R.S. Longacre *et al.* (BNL, BRAN, CUNY+)
BINON 84C NC 80A 363 F.G. Binon *et al.* (BELG, LAPP, SERP+)
BINON 83 NC 78A 313 F.G. Binon *et al.* (BELG, LAPP, SERP+)
CASON 83 PR D28 1586 N.M. Cason *et al.* (NDAM, ANL)
ETKIN 82B PR D25 1786 A. Etkin *et al.* (BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)
WICKLUND 80 PRL 45 1469 A.B. Wicklund *et al.* (ANL)

BECKER	79	NP B151 46	H. Becker *et al.*	(MPIM, CERN, ZEEM, CRAC)
POLYCHRO...	79	PR D19 1317	V.A. Polychronakos *et al.*	(NDAM, ANL)
FROGGATT	77	NP B129 89	C.D. Froggatt, J.L. Petersen	(GLAS, NORD)
ROSSELET	77	PR D15 574	L. Rosselet *et al.*	(GEVA, SACL)
HYAMS	75	NP B100 205	B.D. Hyams *et al.*	(CERN, MPIM)
GRAYER	74	NP B75 189	G. Grayer *et al.*	(CERN, MPIM)
HYAMS	73	NP B64 134	B.D. Hyams *et al.*	(CERN, MPIM)
OCHS	73	Thesis	W. Ochs	(MPIM, MUNI)
BEIER	72B	PRL 29 511	E.W. Beier *et al.*	(PENN)
BETTINI	66	NC 42A 695	A. Bettini *et al.*	(PADO, PISA)