

$f_2(1565)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Seen mostly in antinucleon-nucleon annihilation. Needs confirmation in other channels. See the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances."

$f_2(1565)$ T-MATRIX POLE \sqrt{s}

Note that $\Gamma \approx 2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(1495–1560) – i (40–110) OUR ESTIMATE			
(1560 ± 15) – i (140 ± 20)	¹ ANISOVICH 09	RVUE	0.0 $\bar{p}p, \pi N$
(1552 ± 13) – i (57 ± 12)	AMSLER 02	CBAR	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
(1507 ± 15) – i (65 ± 10)	BERTIN 97C	OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
(1534 ± 20) – i (90 ± 30)	² ABELE 96C	RVUE	Compilation
(~1552) – i (~71)	³ AMSLER 95D	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$

¹ On sheet II in a two-pole solution.

² T-matrix pole, large coupling to $\rho\rho$ and $\omega\omega$, could be $f_2(1640)$.

³ Coupled-channel analysis of AMSLER 95B, AMSLER 95C, and AMSLER 94D.

$f_2(1565)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1575±18	⁴ BERTIN 98	OBLX	0.05–0.405 $\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1590±10	^{5,6} AMELIN 06	VES	$36 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\omega n$
1550±10±20	⁶ AMELIN 00	VES	$37 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+ \pi^- n$
1598±11± 9	BAKER 99B	SPEC	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\omega\pi^0$
1598±72	BALOSHIN 95	SPEC	$40 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
1566^{+80}_{-50}	⁷ ANISOVICH 94	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \eta\eta\pi^0$
1502± 9	ADAMO 93	OBLX	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1488±10	⁸ ARMSTRONG 93C	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
1508±10	⁸ ARMSTRONG 93D	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
1525±10	⁸ ARMSTRONG 93D	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
~1504	⁹ WEIDENAUER 93	ASTE	$0.0 \bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$
1540±15	⁸ ADAMO 92	OBLX	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1515±10	¹⁰ AKER 91	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
1565±20	MAY 90	ASTE	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1477± 5	BRIDGES 86C	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$

⁴ Breit-Wigner mass.

⁵ Supersedes the $\omega\omega$ state of BELADIDZE 92B earlier assigned to the $f_2(1640)$.

⁶ Breit-Wigner width.

⁷ From a simultaneous analysis of the annihilations $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta\eta$ including AKER 91 data.

⁸ J^P not determined, could be partly $f_0(1500)$.

⁹ J^P not determined.

¹⁰ Superseded by AMSLER 95B.

$f_2(1565)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
119 ± 24	11 BERTIN	98 OBLX	0.05–0.405 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
140 ± 11	12,13 AMELIN	06 VES	36 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\omega n$
130 ± 20 ± 40	13 AMELIN	00 VES	37 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$
263 ± 101	BALOSHIN	95 SPEC	40 $\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
166^{+80}_{-20}	14 ANISOVICH	94 CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \eta\eta\pi^0$
130 ± 10	15 ADAMO	93 OBLX	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
148 ± 27	16 ARMSTRONG	93C E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
103 ± 15	16 ARMSTRONG	93D E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
111 ± 10	16 ARMSTRONG	93D E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
~206	17 WEIDENAUER	93 ASTE	0.0 $\bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$
132 ± 37	16 ADAMO	92 OBLX	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
120 ± 10	18 AKER	91 CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
170 ± 40	MAY	90 ASTE	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
116 ± 9	BRIDGES	86C DBC	0.0 $\bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$

¹¹ Breit-Wigner width.

¹² Supersedes the $\omega\omega$ state of BELADIDZE 92B earlier assigned to the $f_2(1640)$.

¹³ Breit-Wigner width.

¹⁴ From a simultaneous analysis of the annihilations $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta\eta$ including AKER 91 data.

¹⁵ Supersedes ADAMO 92.

¹⁶ J^P not determined, could be partly $f_0(1500)$.

¹⁷ J^P not determined.

¹⁸ Superseded by AMSLER 95B.

$f_2(1565)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_3 \pi^0 \pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_4 \rho^0 \rho^0$	seen
$\Gamma_5 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_6 \eta\eta$	seen
$\Gamma_7 \omega\omega$	seen
$\Gamma_8 K\bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_9 \gamma\gamma$	seen

$f_2(1565)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)$

<u>VALUE</u> (MeV)	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_6
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
1.2 \pm 0.3	870	¹⁹ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})$

<u>VALUE</u> (MeV)	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_8
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
2.0 \pm 1.0	870	¹⁹ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$

<u>VALUE</u> (keV)	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_9
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
0.70 \pm 0.14	870	¹⁹ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	
19 From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using $f_2(1565)$ mass of 1570 MeV, width of 160 MeV, $\Gamma(\pi\pi) = 25$ MeV, and SU(3) relations.					

$f_2(1565)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_1/Γ
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
seen	BAKER	99B	SPEC	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\omega\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_2/Γ
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
seen	BERTIN	98	OBLX	$0.05\text{--}0.405 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$
not seen	ANISOVICH 94B	94B	RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
seen	MAY	89	ASTE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

20 ANISOVICH 94B is from a reanalysis of MAY 90.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_3/Γ
seen	AMSLER	95B	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\rho^0\rho^0)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_2/Γ_4
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
0.042 \pm 0.013	BRIDGES	86B	DBC	$\bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)$ Γ_6/Γ_3

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$0.024 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.012$	21 ARMSTRONG 93C E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$	
21 J^P not determined, could be partly $f_0(1500)$.			

 $\Gamma(\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
seen	BAKER 99B	SPEC	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\omega\pi^0$

 $f_2(1565)$ REFERENCES

ANISOVICH 09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	(PNPI)
AMELIN 06	PAN 69 690	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
Translated from YAF 69 715.			
SCHEGELSKY 06A	EPJ A27 207	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>	
AMSLER 02	EPJ C23 29	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMELIN 00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
BAKER 99B	PL B467 147	C.A. Baker <i>et al.</i>	
BERTIN 98	PR D57 55	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BERTIN 97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE 96C	NP A609 562	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER 95B	PL B342 433	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER 95C	PL B353 571	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER 95D	PL B355 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BALOSHIN 95	PAN 58 46	O.N. Baloshin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
Translated from YAF 58 50.			
AMSLER 94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH 94	PL B323 233	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH 94B	PR D50 1972	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM)
ADAMO 93	NP A558 13C	A. Adamo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ARMSTRONG 93C	PL B307 394	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
ARMSTRONG 93D	PL B307 399	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
WEIDENAUER 93	ZPHY C59 387	P. Weidenauer <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.)
ADAMO 92	PL B287 368	A. Adamo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BELADIDZE 92B	ZPHY C54 367	G.M. Beladidze <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
AKER 91	PL B260 249	E. Aker <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
MAY 90	ZPHY C46 203	B. May <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.)
MAY 89	PL B225 450	B. May <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.) IJP
BRIDGES 86B	PRL 56 215	D.L. Bridges <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA, CASE)
BRIDGES 86C	PRL 57 1534	D.L. Bridges <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA)