$\rho(1700)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{--})$

$\rho(1700)$ MASS

$\eta\rho^0$ AND $\pi^+\pi^-$ MODES

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
1720±20 OUR ESTIMATE	

$\eta\rho^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1834±12	13.4k	[1] GRIBANOV	20	CMD3	1.1–2.0 $e^+e^- \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1840±10	7.4k	[2] ACHASOV	18	SND	1.22–2.00 $e^+e^- \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1740±20		ANTONELLI	88	DM2	$e^+e^- \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1701±15		[3] FUKUI	88	SPEC	8.95 $\pi^- p \to \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

[1] Mass and width of the $\rho(770)$ fixed at 775 and 149 MeV, respectively; solution 2 of model 2, $\eta \to \gamma\gamma$ decays used.

[2] From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ and $\rho(2150)$ with the parameters of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ floating and the mass and width of the $\rho(2150)$ fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are π, 0 and π, respectively.

[3] Assuming $\rho^+ f_0(1370)$ decay mode interferes with $a_1(1260)^+\pi$ background. From a two Breit-Wigner fit.

$\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)		EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.						
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
1770.54± 5.49			[1] BARTOS	17	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
1718.50±65.44			[2] BARTOS	17A	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
1766.80±52.36			[3] BARTOS	17A	RVUE	$\tau^- \to \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
1644 ±36		20k	[4] LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1780 ±20	$^{+15}_{-20}$	63.5k	[5] ABRAMOWICZ	12	ZEUS	$e p \to e\pi^+\pi^- p$
1861 ±17			[6] LEES	12G	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1728 ±17	±89	5.4M	[7,8] FUJIKAWA	08	BELL	$\tau^- \to \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
1780 $^{+37}_{-29}$			[9] ABELE	97	CBAR	$\overline{p}n \to \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$
1719 ±15			[9] BERTIN	97C	OBLX	0.0 $\overline{p}p \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1730 ±30			CLEGG	94	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
1768 ±21			BISELLO	89	DM2	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
1745.7 ±91.9			DUBNICKA	89	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
1546 ±26			GESHKEN...	89	RVUE	
1650			[10] ERKAL	85	RVUE	20–70 $\gamma p \to \gamma\pi$
1550 ±70			ABE	84B	HYBR	20 $\gamma p \to \pi^+\pi^- p$

1590	±20	[11] ASTON	80	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \to p 2\pi$
1600	±10	[12] ATIYA	79B	SPEC	50 $\gamma C \to C 2\pi$
1598	$^{+24}_{-22}$	BECKER	79	ASPK	17 $\pi^- p$ polarized
1659	±25	[10] LANG	79	RVUE	
1575		[10] MARTIN	78C	RVUE	17 $\pi^- p \to \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1610	±30	[10] FROGGATT	77	RVUE	17 $\pi^- p \to \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1590	±20	[13] HYAMS	73	ASPK	17 $\pi^- p \to \pi^+ \pi^- n$

[1] Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of LEES 12G and ABLIKIM 16C.
[2] Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, and AMBROSINO 11A.
[3] Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of FUJIKAWA 08.
[4] From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ masses and widths floating.
[5] Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting ρ—ω interference.
[6] Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$, and $\rho(2150)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit.
[7] $|F_\pi(0)|^2$ fixed to 1.
[8] From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.
[9] T-matrix pole.
[10] From phase shift analysis of HYAMS 73 data.
[11] Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.
[12] An additional 40 MeV uncertainty in both the mass and width is present due to the choice of the background shape.
[13] Included in BECKER 79 analysis.

$\pi\omega$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1708±41	7815	[1] ACHASOV	13	SND	1.05–2.00 $e^+ e^- \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
1550 to 1620		[2] ACHASOV	00I	SND	$e^+ e^- \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
1580 to 1710		[3] ACHASOV	00I	SND	$e^+ e^- \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
1710±90		ACHASOV	97	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \to \omega \pi^0$

[1] From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with the interfering $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ and their widths fixed at 400 and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated.
[2] Taking into account both $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ contributions. Using the data of ACHASOV 00I on $e^+ e^- \to \omega \pi^0$ and of EDWARDS 00A on $\tau^- \to \omega \pi^- \nu_\tau$. $\rho(1450)$ mass and width fixed at 1400 MeV and 500 MeV respectively.
[3] Taking into account the $\rho(1700)$ contribution only. Using the data of ACHASOV 00I on $e^+ e^- \to \omega \pi^0$ and of EDWARDS 00A on $\tau^- \to \omega \pi^- \nu_\tau$.

$K\overline{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
1688.7± 3.1$^{+141.1}_{-\ \ 1.3}$		[1] ALBRECHT	20	RVUE		0.9 $\overline{p} p \to K^+ K^- \pi^0$
1541 ±12 ± 33	190k	[2] AAIJ	16N	LHCB		$D^0 \to K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$

1740.8±22.2	27k	[3] ABELE	99D	CBAR	±	0.0 $\overline{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
1582 ±36	1600	CLELAND	82B	SPEC	±	50 $\pi p \rightarrow K^0_S K^\pm p$

[1] T-matrix pole, 2 poles, 3 channels, including $\pi\pi$ scattering data from HYAMS 75.
[2] Using the GOUNARIS 68 parameterization with a fixed width. Value is average using different $K\pi$ S-wave parametrizations in fit.
[3] K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be $\omega(1650)$ or $\phi(1680)$.

2 $(\pi^+\pi^-)$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1851^{+27}_{-24}		ACHASOV	97	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1570± 20		[1] CORDIER	82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1520± 30		[2] ASTON	81E	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
1654± 25		[3] DIBIANCA	81	DBC	$\pi^+ d \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1666± 39		[1] BACCI	80	FRAG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1780	34	KILLIAN	80	SPEC	11 $e^- p \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1500		[4] ATIYA	79B	SPEC	50 $\gamma C \rightarrow C4\pi^\pm$
1570± 60	65	[5] ALEXANDER	75	HBC	7.5 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
1550± 60		[2] CONVERSI	74	OSPK	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1550± 50	160	SCHACHT	74	STRC	5.5–9 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
1450±100	340	SCHACHT	74	STRC	9–18 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
1430± 50	400	BINGHAM	72B	HBC	9.3 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$

[1] Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with model dependent width.
[2] Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.
[3] One peak fit result.
[4] Parameters roughly estimated, not from a fit.
[5] Skew mass distribution compensated by Ross-Stodolsky factor.

$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1660±30	ATKINSON	85B	OMEG	20–70 γp

3$(\pi^+\pi^-)$ AND 2$(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ MODES

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1730±34	[1] FRABETTI	04	E687	$\gamma p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- p$
1783±15	CLEGG	90	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

[1] From a fit with two resonances with the JACOB 72 continuum.

$m_{\rho(1700)^0} - m_{\rho(1700)^\pm}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−48.30±83.81	[1] BARTOS	17A	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$

[1] Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, AMBROSINO 11A, and FUJIKAWA 08.

$\rho(1700)$ WIDTH

$\eta\rho^0$ AND $\pi^+\pi^-$ MODES

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
250±100 OUR ESTIMATE	

$\eta\rho^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
47±19	13.4k	[1] GRIBANOV	20	CMD3	1.1–2.0 $e^+e^- \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
132±40	7.4k	[2] ACHASOV	18	SND	1.22–2.00 $e^+e^- \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
150±30		ANTONELLI	88	DM2	$e^+e^- \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
282±44		[3] FUKUI	88	SPEC	8.95 $\pi^- p \to \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

[1] Mass and width of the $\rho(770)$ fixed at 775 and 149 MeV, respectively; solution 2 of model 2, $\eta \to \gamma\gamma$ decays used.

[2] From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ and $\rho(2150)$ with the parameters of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ floating and the mass and width of the $\rho(2150)$ fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are π, 0 and π, respectively.

[3] Assuming $\rho^+ f_0(1370)$ decay mode interferes with $a_1(1260)^+\pi$ background. From a two Breit-Wigner fit.

$\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
268.98± 11.40		[1] BARTOS	17	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
489.58± 16.95		[2] BARTOS	17A	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
414.71±119.48		[3] BARTOS	17A	RVUE	$\tau^- \to \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
109 ± 19	20k	[4] LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
310 ± 30 $^{+25}_{-35}$	63.5k	[5] ABRAMOWICZ	12	ZEUS	$ep \to e\pi^+\pi^- p$
316 ± 26		[6] LEES	12G	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
164 ± 21 $^{+89}_{-26}$	5.4M	[7,8] FUJIKAWA	08	BELL	$\tau^- \to \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
275 ± 45		[9] ABELE	97	CBAR	$\overline{p}n \to \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$
310 ± 40		[9] BERTIN	97C	OBLX	0.0 $\overline{p}p \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
400 ±100		CLEGG	94	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
224 ± 22		BISELLO	89	DM2	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
242.5 ±163.0		DUBNICKA	89	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
620 ± 60		GESHKEN...	89	RVUE	
<315		[10] ERKAL	85	RVUE	20–70 $\gamma p \to \gamma\pi$
280 $^{+30}_{-80}$		ABE	84B	HYBR	20 $\gamma p \to \pi^+\pi^- p$
230 ± 80		[11] ASTON	80	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \to p 2\pi$
283 ± 14		[12] ATIYA	79B	SPEC	50 $\gamma C \to C 2\pi$
175 $^{+98}_{-53}$		BECKER	79	ASPK	17 $\pi^- p$ polarized

232 ± 34	[10] LANG	79	RVUE	
340	[10] MARTIN	78C	RVUE	17 $\pi^- p \to \pi^+ \pi^- n$
300 ±100	[10] FROGGATT	77	RVUE	17 $\pi^- p \to \pi^+ \pi^- n$
180 ± 50	[13] HYAMS	73	ASPK	17 $\pi^- p \to \pi^+ \pi^- n$

[1] Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of LEES 12G and ABLIKIM 16C.
[2] Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, and AMBROSINO 11A.
[3] Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of FUJIKAWA 08.
[4] From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ masses and widths floating.
[5] Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting $\rho-\omega$ interference.
[6] Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$, and $\rho(2150)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit.
[7] $|F_\pi(0)|^2$ fixed to 1.
[8] From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.
[9] T-matrix pole.
[10] From phase shift analysis of HYAMS 73 data.
[11] Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.
[12] An additional 40 MeV uncertainty in both the mass and width is present due to the choice of the background shape.
[13] Included in BECKER 79 analysis.

$K\overline{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
$150.9 \pm 2.5 ^{+60}_{-10.6}$		[1] ALBRECHT	20	RVUE		0.9 $\overline{p} p \to K^+ K^- \pi^0$
187.2± 26.7	27k	[2] ABELE	99D	CBAR	±	0.0 $\overline{p} p \to K^+ K^- \pi^0$
265 ±120	1600	CLELAND	82B	SPEC	±	50 $\pi p \to K^0_S K^\pm p$

[1] T-matrix pole, 2 poles, 3 channels, including $\pi\pi$ scattering data from HYAMS 75.
[2] K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be $\omega(1650)$ or $\phi(1680)$.

$2(\pi^+\pi^-)$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
510± 40		[1] CORDIER	82	DM1	$e^+ e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
400± 50		[2] ASTON	81E	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \to p 4\pi$
400±146		[3] DIBIANCA	81	DBC	$\pi^+ d \to p p 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
700±160		[1] BACCI	80	FRAG	$e^+ e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
100	34	KILLIAN	80	SPEC	11 $e^- p \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
600		[4] ATIYA	79B	SPEC	50 $\gamma C \to C 4\pi^\pm$
340±160	65	[5] ALEXANDER	75	HBC	7.5 $\gamma p \to p 4\pi$
360±100		[2] CONVERSI	74	OSPK	$e^+ e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
400±120	160	[6] SCHACHT	74	STRC	5.5–9 $\gamma p \to p 4\pi$
850±200	340	[6] SCHACHT	74	STRC	9–18 $\gamma p \to p 4\pi$
650±100	400	BINGHAM	72B	HBC	9.3 $\gamma p \to p 4\pi$

[1] Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with model-dependent width.
[2] Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.

[3] One peak fit result.
[4] Parameters roughly estimated, not from a fit.
[5] Skew mass distribution compensated by Ross-Stodolsky factor.
[6] Width errors enlarged by us to $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.

$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
300±50	ATKINSON	85B	OMEG	20–70 γp

$\omega\pi^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
350 to 580	[1] ACHASOV	00I	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
490 to 1040	[2] ACHASOV	00I	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

[1] Taking into account both $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ contributions. Using the data of ACHASOV 00I on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$ and of EDWARDS 00A on $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$. $\rho(1450)$ mass and width fixed at 1400 MeV and 500 MeV respectively.
[2] Taking into account the $\rho(1700)$ contribution only. Using the data of ACHASOV 00I on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$ and of EDWARDS 00A on $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$.

$3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ AND $2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ MODES

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
315±100	[1] FRABETTI	04	E687	$\gamma p \rightarrow 3\pi^+3\pi^- p$
285± 20	CLEGG	90	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

[1] From a fit with two resonances with the JACOB 72 continuum.

$\Gamma_{\rho(1700)^0} - \Gamma_{\rho(1700)^\pm}$

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
74.87±120.67	[1] BARTOS	17A	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$

[1] Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, AMBROSINO 11A, and FUJIKAWA 08.

$\rho(1700)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	4π	
Γ_2	$2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	seen
Γ_3	$\rho\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_4	$\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_5	$\rho^0\pi^0\pi^0$	
Γ_6	$\rho^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0$	seen
Γ_7	$a_1(1260)\pi$	seen
Γ_8	$h_1(1170)\pi$	seen

Γ_9	$\pi(1300)\pi$	seen
Γ_{10}	$\rho\rho$	seen
Γ_{11}	$\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_{12}	$\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_{13}	$K\overline{K}^*(892)+$ c.c.	seen
Γ_{14}	$\eta\rho$	seen
Γ_{15}	$a_2(1320)\pi$	not seen
Γ_{16}	$K\overline{K}$	seen
Γ_{17}	e^+e^-	seen
Γ_{18}	$\pi^0\omega$	seen
Γ_{19}	$\pi^0\gamma$	not seen
Γ_{20}	$f_0(1500)\gamma$	not seen

$\rho(1700)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into e^+e^- and with the total width is obtained from the cross-section into channel$_I$ in e^+e^- annihilation.

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — $\Gamma_2\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2.6 ±0.2	DELCOURT	81B	DM1	$e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
2.83±0.42	BACCI	80	FRAG	$e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — $\Gamma_{11}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.13	[1] DIEKMAN	88	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$
$0.029^{+0.016}_{-0.012}$	KURDADZE	83	OLYA	0.64–1.4 $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$

[1] Using total width = 220 MeV.

$\Gamma(K\overline{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — $\Gamma_{13}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.305±0.071	[1] BIZOT	80	DM1	e^+e^-

[1] Model dependent.

$\Gamma(\eta\rho) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — $\Gamma_{14}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.35± 0.53±0.08	13.4k	[1] GRIBANOV	20	CMD3	1.1–2.0 $e^+e^- \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
84 ±26 ±4		[2] LEES	18	BABR	$e^+e^- \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
7 ± 3		ANTONELLI	88	DM2	$e^+e^- \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] Mass and width of the $\rho(770)$ fixed at 775 and 149 MeV, respectively; solution 2 of model 2, $\eta \to \gamma\gamma$ decays used.

[2] Includes non-resonant contribution. The selected fit model includes three ρ excited states. Model uncertainty is 80%.

$\Gamma(K\overline{K}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{16}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.035±0.029	[1] BIZOT	80	DM1	e^+e^-

[1] Model dependent.

$\Gamma(\rho\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_3\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3.510±0.090	[1] BIZOT	80	DM1	e^+e^-

[1] Model dependent.

$\rho(1700)$ $\Gamma(i)/\Gamma(total) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(total)$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\omega)/\Gamma_{total} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.09±0.05	10.2k	[1] ACHASOV	16D	SND	1.05–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1.7 ±0.4	7815	[2] ACHASOV	13	SND	1.05–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

[1] From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with interfering $\rho(700)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$. The $\rho(1700)$ mass and width are fixed at 1720 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated. Supersedes ACHASOV 13.

[2] From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with the interfering $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ and their widths fixed at 400 and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated.

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{total} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-8})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$8.3^{+3.8}_{-3.1}$	7.4k	[1] ACHASOV	18	SND	1.22–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ and $\rho(2150)$ with the parameters of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ floating and the mass and width of the $\rho(2150)$ fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are π, 0 and π, respectively.

$\rho(1700)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\rho\pi\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ — Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.28±0.06	[1] ABELE	01B	CBAR	0.0 $\overline{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$

[1] $\omega\pi$ not included.

$\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$ — Γ_4/Γ_2

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$\sim$ 1.0		DELCOURT	81B	DM1	$e^+e^- \to 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
0.7 $\pm$0.1	500	SCHACHT	74	STRC	5.5–18 $\gamma p \to p4\pi$
0.80		[1] BINGHAM	72B	HBC	9.3 $\gamma p \to p4\pi$

[1] The $\pi\pi$ system is in S-wave.

$\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\rho^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0)$ — Γ_5/Γ_6

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.10	ATKINSON	85B	OMEG		20–70 γp
<0.15	ATKINSON	82	OMEG	0	20–70 $\gamma p \to p4\pi$

$\Gamma(a_1(1260)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ — Γ_7/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.16$\pm$0.05	[1] ABELE	01B	CBAR	0.0 $\overline{p}n \to 5\pi$

[1] $\omega\pi$ not included.

$\Gamma(h_1(1170)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ — Γ_8/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.17$\pm$0.06	[1] ABELE	01B	CBAR	0.0 $\overline{p}n \to 5\pi$

[1] $\omega\pi$ not included.

$\Gamma(\pi(1300)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ — Γ_9/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.30$\pm$0.10	[1] ABELE	01B	CBAR	0.0 $\overline{p}n \to 5\pi$

[1] $\omega\pi$ not included.

$\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ — Γ_{10}/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.09$\pm$0.03	[1] ABELE	01B	CBAR	0.0 $\overline{p}n \to 5\pi$

[1] $\omega\pi$ not included.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.108\pm0.017^{+0.162}_{-0.004}$	[1] ALBRECHT	20	RVUE	0.9 $\overline{p}p \to K^+K^-\pi^0$
$0.287^{+0.043}_{-0.042}$	BECKER	79	ASPK	17 π^-p polarized
0.15 to 0.30	[2] MARTIN	78C	RVUE	17 $\pi^-p \to \pi^+\pi^-n$
<0.20	[3] COSTA...	77B	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to 2\pi, 4\pi$
0.30 $\pm$0.05	[2] FROGGATT	77	RVUE	17 $\pi^-p \to \pi^+\pi^-n$

<0.15	[4] EISENBERG	73	HBC	$5\ \pi^+ p \to \Delta^{++} 2\pi$
0.25 ±0.05	[5] HYAMS	73	ASPK	$17\ \pi^- p \to \pi^+ \pi^- n$

[1] Residue from T-matrix pole, 2 poles, 3 channels, Chew-Mandelstam functions and simplified analytic continuation for the 4π channel. Includes scattering data from HYAMS 75 and model-independent calculation of GARCIA-MARTIN 11A.
[2] From phase shift analysis of HYAMS 73 data.
[3] Estimate using unitarity, time reversal invariance, Breit-Wigner.
[4] Estimated using one-pion-exchange model.
[5] Included in BECKER 79 analysis.

$\Gamma(K\overline{K})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.007 \pm 0.006 ^{+0.041}_{-0.002}$	[1] ALBRECHT	20	RVUE	$0.9\ \overline{p} p \to K^+ K^- \pi^0$

[1] Residue from T-matrix pole, 2 poles, 3 channels, Chew-Mandelstam functions and simplified analytic continuation for the 4π channel. Includes scattering data from HYAMS 75 and model-independent calculation of GARCIA-MARTIN 11A.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$ Γ_{11}/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.13±0.05	ASTON	80	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \to p 2\pi$
<0.14	[1] DAVIER	73	STRC	6–18 $\gamma p \to p 4\pi$
<0.2	[2] BINGHAM	72B	HBC	9.3 $\gamma p \to p 2\pi$

[1] Upper limit is estimate.
[2] 2σ upper limit.

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_{12}/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.16±0.04	[1,2] ABELE	01B	CBAR	$0.0\ \overline{p} n \to 5\pi$

[1] Using ABELE 97.
[2] $\omega\pi$ not included.

$\Gamma(K\overline{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
possibly seen	COAN	04	CLEO	$\tau^- \to K^- \pi^- K^+ \nu_\tau$

$\Gamma(K\overline{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$ Γ_{13}/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.15±0.03	[1] DELCOURT	81B	DM1	$e^+ e^- \to \overline{K} K \pi$

[1] Assuming $\rho(1700)$ and ω radial excitations to be degenerate in mass.

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
possibly seen		AKHMETSHIN	00D	CMD2	$e^+e^- \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
<0.04		DONNACHIE	87B	RVUE	
<0.02	58	ATKINSON	86B	OMEG	20–70 γp

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$ — Γ_{14}/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.123±0.027	DELCOURT	82	DM1	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$ MM
∼ 0.1	ASTON	80	OMEG	20–70 γp

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\text{neutrals})/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$ — $(\Gamma_5+\Gamma_6+0.714\Gamma_{14})/\Gamma_2$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2.6±0.4	[1] BALLAM	74	HBC	9.3 γp

[1] Upper limit. Background not subtracted.

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
not seen	AMELIN	00	VES	37 $\pi^- p \to \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

$\Gamma(K\overline{K})/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$ — Γ_{16}/Γ_2

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
0.015±0.010		[1] DELCOURT	81B	DM1		$e^+e^- \to \overline{K}K$
<0.04	95	BINGHAM	72B	HBC	0	9.3 γp

[1] Assuming $\rho(1700)$ and ω radial excitations to be degenerate in mass.

$\Gamma(K\overline{K})/\Gamma(K\overline{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})$ — Γ_{16}/Γ_{13}

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.052±0.026	BUON	82	DM1	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\pi^0\omega)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
not seen		MATVIENKO	15	BELL	$\overline{B}^0 \to D^{*+}\omega\pi^-$
seen	1.6k	ACHASOV	12	SND	$e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
not seen	2382	AKHMETSHIN	03B	CMD2	$e^+e \to \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
seen		ACHASOV	97	RVUE	$e^+e^- \to \omega\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
not seen	[1] ACHASOV	10D	SND	1.075–2.0 $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\gamma$

[1] From a fit of a VMD model with two effective resonances with masses of 1450 MeV and 1700 MeV to describe the excited vector states $\omega(1420)$, $\rho(1450)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\rho(1700)$. The width of the highest mass effective resonance is fixed at 315 MeV.

$\Gamma(f_0(1500)\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
not seen	[1] ACHASOV	22	SND	1.17–2.00 $e^+e^- \to \eta\eta\gamma$

[1] The 90% CL upper limit on the Born cross sections $\sigma(e^+e^- \to \phi(1680) \to f'_2(1525)\gamma \to \eta\eta\gamma)$ and $\sigma(e^+e^- \to \rho(1700) \to f_0(1500)\gamma \to \eta\eta\gamma)$ is 10.6 pb.

$\rho(1700)$ REFERENCES

ACHASOV	22	EPJ C82 168	M.N. Achasov et al.	(SND Collab.)
ALBRECHT	20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht et al.	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
GRIBANOV	20	JHEP 2001 112	S.S. Gribanov et al.	(CMD-3 Collab.)
ACHASOV	18	PR D97 012008	M.N. Achasov et al.	(SND Collab.)
LEES	18	PR D97 052007	J.P. Lees et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
BARTOS	17	PR D96 113004	E. Bartos et al.	
BARTOS	17A	IJMP A32 1750154	E. Bartos et al.	
LEES	17C	PR D95 072007	J.P. Lees et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
AAIJ	16N	PR D93 052018	R. Aaij et al.	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	16C	PL B753 629	M. Ablikim et al.	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHASOV	16D	PR D94 112001	M.N. Achasov et al.	(SND Collab.)
AULCHENKO	15	PR D91 052013	V.M. Aulchenko et al.	(SND Collab.)
MATVIENKO	15	PR D92 012013	D. Matvienko et al.	(BELLE Collab.)
ACHASOV	13	PR D88 054013	M.N. Achasov et al.	(SND Collab.)
ABRAMOWICZ	12	EPJ C72 1869	H. Abramowicz et al.	(ZEUS Collab.)
ACHASOV	12	JETPL 94 734 (Translated from ZETFP 94 796.)	M.N. Achasov et al.	
LEES	12G	PR D86 032013	J.P. Lees et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
AMBROSINO	11A	PL B700 102	F. Ambrosino et al.	(KLOE Collab.)
GARCIA-MAR...	11A	PR D83 074004	R. Garcia-Martin et al.	(MADR, CRAC)
ACHASOV	10D	PR D98 112001	M.N. Achasov et al.	(SND Collab.)
DUBNICKA	10	APS 60 1	S. Dubnicka, A.Z. Dubnickova	
AUBERT	09AS	PRL 103 231801	B. Aubert et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
FUJIKAWA	08	PR D78 072006	M. Fujikawa et al.	(BELLE Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	07	PL B648 28	R.R. Akhmetshin et al.	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ACHASOV	06	JETP 103 380 (Translated from ZETF 130 437.)	M.N. Achasov et al.	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
COAN	04	PRL 92 232001	T.E. Coan et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
FRABETTI	04	PL B578 290	P.L. Frabetti et al.	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	03B	PL B562 173	R.R. Akhmetshin et al.	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ABELE	01B	EPJ C21 261	A. Abele et al.	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACHASOV	00I	PL B486 29	M.N. Achasov et al.	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	00D	PL B489 125	R.R. Akhmetshin et al.	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AMELIN	00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin et al.	(VES Collab.)
EDWARDS	00A	PR D61 072003	K.W. Edwards et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
ABELE	99D	PL B468 178	A. Abele et al.	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	97	PL B391 191	A. Abele et al.	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACHASOV	97	PR D55 2663	N.N. Achasov et al.	(NOVM)
BERTIN	97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin et al.	(OBELIX Collab.)
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
CLEGG	90	ZPHY C45 677	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
KUHN	90	ZPHY C48 445	J.H. Kuhn et al.	(MPIM)
BISELLO	89	PL B220 321	D. Bisello et al.	(DM2 Collab.)
DUBNICKA	89	JP G15 1349	S. Dubnicka et al.	(JINR, SLOV)
GESHKEN...	89	ZPHY C45 351	B.V. Geshkenbein	(ITEP)
ANTONELLI	88	PL B212 133	A. Antonelli et al.	(DM2 Collab.)
DIEKMAN	88	PRPL 159 99	B. Diekmann	(BONN)
FUKUI	88	PL B202 441	S. Fukui et al.	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)

DONNACHIE	87B	ZPHY C34 257	A. Donnachie, A.B. Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)
ATKINSON	86B	ZPHY C30 531	M. Atkinson *et al.*	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ATKINSON	85B	ZPHY C26 499	M. Atkinson *et al.*	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ERKAL	85	ZPHY C29 485	C. Erkal, M.G. Olsson	(WISC)
ABE	84B	PRL 53 751	K. Abe *et al.*	(SLAC HFP Collab.)
KURDADZE	83	JETPL 37 733	L.M. Kurdadze *et al.*	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 37 613.		
ATKINSON	82	PL 108B 55	M. Atkinson *et al.*	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
BUON	82	PL 118B 221	J. Buon *et al.*	(LALO, MONP)
CLELAND	82B	NP B208 228	W.E. Cleland *et al.*	(DURH, GEVA, LAUS+)
CORDIER	82	PL 109B 129	A. Cordier *et al.*	(LALO)
DELCOURT	82	PL 113B 93	B. Delcourt *et al.*	(LALO)
ASTON	81E	NP B189 15	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
DELCOURT	81B	Bonn Conf. 205	B. Delcourt	(ORSAY)
Also		PL 109B 129	A. Cordier *et al.*	(LALO)
DIBIANCA	81	PR D23 595	F.A. di Bianca *et al.*	(CASE, CMU)
ASTON	80	PL 92B 215	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
BACCI	80	PL 95B 139	C. Bacci *et al.*	(ROMA, FRAS)
BIZOT	80	Madison Conf. 546	J.C. Bizot *et al.*	(LALO, MONP)
KILLIAN	80	PR D21 3005	T.J. Killian *et al.*	(CORN)
ATIYA	79B	PRL 43 1691	M.S. Atiya *et al.*	(COLU, ILL, FNAL)
BECKER	79	NP B151 46	H. Becker *et al.*	(MPIM, CERN, ZEEM, CRAC)
LANG	79	PR D19 956	C.B. Lang, A. Mas-Parareda	(GRAZ)
MARTIN	78C	ANP 114 1	A.D. Martin, M.R. Pennington	(CERN)
COSTA...	77B	PL 71B 345	B. Costa de Beauregard, B. Pire, T.N. Truong	(EPOL)
FROGGATT	77	NP B129 89	C.D. Froggatt, J.L. Petersen	(GLAS, NORD)
ALEXANDER	75	PL 57B 487	G. Alexander *et al.*	(TELA)
HYAMS	75	NP B100 205	B.D. Hyams *et al.*	(CERN, MPIM)
BALLAM	74	NP B76 375	J. Ballam *et al.*	(SLAC, LBL, MPIM)
CONVERSI	74	PL 52B 493	M. Conversi *et al.*	(ROMA, FRAS)
SCHACHT	74	NP B81 205	P. Schacht *et al.*	(MPIM)
DAVIER	73	NP B58 31	M. Davier *et al.*	(SLAC)
EISENBERG	73	PL 43B 149	Y. Eisenberg *et al.*	(REHO)
HYAMS	73	NP B64 134	B.D. Hyams *et al.*	(CERN, MPIM)
BINGHAM	72B	PL 41B 635	H.H. Bingham *et al.*	(LBL, UCB, SLAC) IGJP
JACOB	72	PR D5 1847	M. Jacob, R. Slansky	
GOUNARIS	68	PRL 21 244	G.J. Gounaris, J.J. Sakurai	