

**$\Xi(1690)$**  $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(??)$  Status: \*\*\*

IONISI 78 sees a threshold enhancement in both the neutral and negatively charged  $\Sigma\bar{K}$  mass spectra in  $K^- p \rightarrow (\Sigma\bar{K})K\pi$  at 4.2 GeV/c. The data from the  $\Sigma\bar{K}$  channels alone cannot distinguish between a resonance and a large scattering length. Weaker evidence at the same mass is seen in the corresponding  $\Lambda\bar{K}$  channels, and a coupled-channel analysis yields results consistent with a new  $\Xi$ .

BIAGI 81 sees an enhancement at 1700 MeV in the diffractively produced  $\Lambda K^-$  system. A peak is also observed in the  $\Lambda\bar{K}^0$  mass spectrum at 1660 MeV that is consistent with a 1720 MeV resonance decaying to  $\Sigma^0\bar{K}^0$ , with the  $\gamma$  from the  $\Sigma^0$  decay not detected.

BIAGI 87 provides further confirmation of this state in diffractive dissociation of  $\Xi^-$  into  $\Lambda K^-$ . The significance claimed is 6.7 standard deviations.

 **$\Xi(1690)$  MASSES****MIXED CHARGES**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>1690 \pm 10</math> OUR ESTIMATE</b>				This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.
1699 $\pm$ 5	175	<sup>1</sup> DIONISI	78	HBC $K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

 **$\Xi(1690)^0$  MASS**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1699 $\pm$ 5	175	<sup>1</sup> DIONISI	78	HBC $K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c
1684 $\pm$ 5	183	<sup>2</sup> DIONISI	78	HBC $K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

 **$\Xi(1690)^-$  MASS**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1691.1 $\pm$ 1.9 $\pm$ 2.0	104	BIAGI	87	SPEC $\Xi^-$ Be 116 GeV
1700 $\pm$ 10	150	<sup>3</sup> BIAGI	81	SPEC $\Xi^-$ H 100, 135 GeV
1694 $\pm$ 6	45	<sup>4</sup> DIONISI	78	HBC $K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

 **$\Xi(1690)$  WIDTHS****MIXED CHARGES**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
<b>&lt;50</b> OUR ESTIMATE		

 **$\Xi(1690)^0$  WIDTH**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
44 $\pm$ 23	175	<sup>1</sup> DIONISI	78	HBC $K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c
20 $\pm$ 4	183	<sup>2</sup> DIONISI	78	HBC $K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

**$\Xi(1690)^-$  WIDTH**

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 8	90	104	BIAGI	87	SPEC $\Xi^-$ Be 116 GeV
$47 \pm 14$		150	<sup>3</sup> BIAGI	81	SPEC $\Xi^-$ H 100, 135 GeV
$26 \pm 6$		45	<sup>4</sup> DIONISI	78	HBC $K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

 **$\Xi(1690)$  DECAY MODES**

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1 \Lambda \bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \Sigma \bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_3 \Xi \pi$	
$\Gamma_4 \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^0$	
$\Gamma_5 \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	possibly seen
$\Gamma_6 \Xi(1530) \pi$	

 **$\Xi(1690)$  BRANCHING RATIOS** **$\Gamma(\Lambda \bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** 

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
seen	104	BIAGI	87	SPEC	—	$\Xi^-$ Be 116 GeV

 **$\Gamma(\Sigma \bar{K})/\Gamma(\Lambda \bar{K})$** 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	$\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$
$2.7 \pm 0.9$	DIONISI	78	HBC	0	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c
$3.1 \pm 1.4$	DIONISI	78	HBC	—	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

 **$\Gamma(\Xi \pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma \bar{K})$** 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	$\Gamma_3/\Gamma_2$
<0.09	DIONISI	78	HBC	0	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

 **$\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma(\Sigma \bar{K})$** 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	$\Gamma_4/\Gamma_2$
<0.04	DIONISI	78	HBC	0	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

 **$\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** 

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	$\Gamma_5/\Gamma$
possibly seen	4	BIAGI	87	SPEC	—	$\Xi^-$ Be 116 GeV

 **$\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(\Sigma \bar{K})$** 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	$\Gamma_5/\Gamma_2$
<0.03	DIONISI	78	HBC	—	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

 **$\Gamma(\Xi(1530)\pi)/\Gamma(\Sigma \bar{K})$** 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	$\Gamma_6/\Gamma_2$
<0.06	DIONISI	78	HBC	—	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c

## **$\Xi(1690)$ FOOTNOTES**

<sup>1</sup> From a fit to the  $\Sigma^+ K^-$  spectrum.

<sup>2</sup> From a coupled-channel analysis of the  $\Sigma^+ K^-$  and  $\Lambda \bar{K}^0$  spectra.

<sup>3</sup> A fit to the inclusive spectrum from  $\Xi^- N \rightarrow \Lambda K^- X$ .

<sup>4</sup> From a coupled-channel analysis of the  $\Sigma^0 K^-$  and  $\Lambda K^-$  spectra.

---

## **$\Xi(1690)$ REFERENCES**

---

BIAGI	87	ZPHY C34 15	+ (BRIS, CERN, GEVA, HEIDP, LAUS, LOQM, RAL) I
BIAGI	81	ZPHY C9 305	+ (BRIS, CAVE, GEVA, HEIDP, LAUS, LOQM, RHEL)
DIONISI	78	PL 80B 145	+ Diaz, Armenteros+ (CERN, AMST, NIJM, OXF) I

---