

**$N(1675) D_{15}$**

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{5}{2}^-) \text{ Status: } ****$$

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

### **$N(1675)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1670 to 1685 (<math>\approx 1675</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
1676 $\pm$ 2	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1675 $\pm$ 10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1679 $\pm$ 8	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1673 $\pm$ 5	ARNDT	96	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1673	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
1683 $\pm$ 19	BATINIC	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
1666	LI	93	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1685	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1670	SAXON	80	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1680	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1650	<sup>1</sup> LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
1660	<sup>2</sup> LONGACRE	75	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

### **$N(1675)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>140 to 180 (<math>\approx 150</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
159 $\pm$ 7	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
160 $\pm$ 20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
120 $\pm$ 15	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
154 $\pm$ 7	ARNDT	96	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
154	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
142 $\pm$ 23	BATINIC	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
136	LI	93	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
191	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
40	SAXON	80	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
88	BAKER	79	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$
192	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
130	<sup>1</sup> LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
150	<sup>2</sup> LONGACRE	75	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

## N(1675) POLE POSITION

### REAL PART

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1655 to 1665 (<math>\approx</math> 1660) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
1663	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
1656	<sup>3</sup> HOEHLER	93	ARGD $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1660 $\pm$ 10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1655	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
1663 or 1668	<sup>4</sup> LONGACRE	78	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
1649 or 1650	<sup>1</sup> LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

### – 2×IMAGINARY PART

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>125 to 155 (<math>\approx</math> 140) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
152	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
126	<sup>3</sup> HOEHLER	93	ARGD $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
140 $\pm$ 10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
124	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
146 or 171	<sup>4</sup> LONGACRE	78	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
127 or 127	<sup>1</sup> LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

## N(1675) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

### MODULUS $|r|$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
29	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
23	HOEHLER	93	ARGD $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
31 $\pm$ 5	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
28	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

### PHASE $\theta$

<u>VALUE (<math>^\circ</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
– 6	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
– 22	HOEHLER	93	ARGD $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
– 30 $\pm$ 10	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
– 17	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

## N(1675) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $N\pi$	40–50 %
$\Gamma_2$ $N\eta$	
$\Gamma_3$ $\Lambda K$	<1 %
$\Gamma_4$ $\Sigma K$	
$\Gamma_5$ $N\pi\pi$	50–60 %
$\Gamma_6$ $\Delta\pi$	50–60 %
$\Gamma_7$ $\Delta(1232)\pi$ , <i>D</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_8$ $\Delta(1232)\pi$ , <i>G</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_9$ $N\rho$	< 1–3 %
$\Gamma_{10}$ $N\rho$ , $S=1/2$ , <i>D</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_{11}$ $N\rho$ , $S=3/2$ , <i>D</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_{12}$ $N\rho$ , $S=3/2$ , <i>G</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_{13}$ $N(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}^{I=0}$	
$\Gamma_{14}$ $p\gamma$	0.004–0.023 %
$\Gamma_{15}$ $p\gamma$ , helicity=1/2	0.0–0.015 %
$\Gamma_{16}$ $p\gamma$ , helicity=3/2	0.0–0.011 %
$\Gamma_{17}$ $n\gamma$	0.02–0.12 %
$\Gamma_{18}$ $n\gamma$ , helicity=1/2	0.006–0.046 %
$\Gamma_{19}$ $n\gamma$ , helicity=3/2	0.01–0.08 %

## N(1675) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.4 to 0.5 OUR ESTIMATE</b>	
0.47±0.02	MANLEY    92    IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \ \& \ N\pi\pi$
0.38±0.05	CUTKOSKY    80    IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.38±0.03	HOEHLER    79    IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●	
0.38	ARNDT    95    DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
0.31±0.06	BATINIC    95    DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_2/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●	
0.001±0.001	BATINIC    95    DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1675) \rightarrow N\eta$	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●	
–0.07	BAKER    79    DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$
+0.009	FELTESSE    75    DPWA    Soln A; see BAKER 79

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1675) \rightarrow \Lambda K$   $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_3)^{1/2} / \Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>\pm 0.04</math> to <math>\pm 0.08</math> OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
-0.01	BELL	83	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
+0.036	<sup>5</sup> SAXON	80	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
-0.034 ± 0.006	DEVENISH	74B	Fixed- <i>t</i> dispersion rel.

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1675) \rightarrow \Sigma K$   $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_4)^{1/2} / \Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
<0.003	<sup>6</sup> DEANS	75	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \Sigma K$

Note: Signs of couplings from  $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$  analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the  $\Delta(1620) S_{31}$  coupling to  $\Delta(1232)\pi$ .

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1675) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi, D\text{-wave}$   $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_7)^{1/2} / \Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>+0.46 to +0.50 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
+0.496 ± 0.003	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
+0.46	<sup>1,7</sup> LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.50	<sup>2</sup> LONGACRE	75	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
+0.5	<sup>8</sup> NOVOSELLER	78	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1675) \rightarrow N\rho, S=1/2, D\text{-wave}$   $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{10})^{1/2} / \Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
+0.04 ± 0.02	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1675) \rightarrow N\rho, S=3/2, D\text{-wave}$   $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{11})^{1/2} / \Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>-0.12 to -0.06 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
-0.03 ± 0.02	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
-0.15	<sup>1,7</sup> LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1675) \rightarrow N(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}^{I=0}$   $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{13})^{1/2} / \Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
+0.03	<sup>1,7</sup> LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

## N(1675) PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

### N(1675) → pγ, helicity-1/2 amplitude A<sub>1/2</sub>

VALUE (GeV <sup>-1/2</sup> )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>+0.019±0.008 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
0.015±0.010	ARNDT	96	IPWA γN → πN
0.021±0.011	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA γN → πN
0.034±0.005	AWAJI	81	DPWA γN → πN
0.006±0.005	ARAI	80	DPWA γN → πN (fit 1)
0.006±0.004	ARAI	80	DPWA γN → πN (fit 2)
0.023±0.015	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA γN → πN
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.012±0.002	LI	93	IPWA γN → πN
+0.022±0.010	BARBOUR	78	DPWA γN → πN
+0.034±0.004	FELLER	76	DPWA γN → πN

### N(1675) → pγ, helicity-3/2 amplitude A<sub>3/2</sub>

VALUE (GeV <sup>-1/2</sup> )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>+0.015±0.009 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
0.010±0.007	ARNDT	96	IPWA γN → πN
0.015±0.009	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA γN → πN
0.024±0.008	AWAJI	81	DPWA γN → πN
0.030±0.004	ARAI	80	DPWA γN → πN (fit 1)
0.029±0.004	ARAI	80	DPWA γN → πN (fit 2)
0.003±0.012	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA γN → πN
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.021±0.002	LI	93	IPWA γN → πN
+0.015±0.006	BARBOUR	78	DPWA γN → πN
+0.019±0.009	FELLER	76	DPWA γN → πN

### N(1675) → nγ, helicity-1/2 amplitude A<sub>1/2</sub>

VALUE (GeV <sup>-1/2</sup> )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>-0.043±0.012 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
-0.049±0.010	ARNDT	96	IPWA γN → πN
-0.057±0.024	AWAJI	81	DPWA γN → πN
-0.033±0.004	FUJII	81	DPWA γN → πN
-0.039±0.017	ARAI	80	DPWA γN → πN (fit 1)
-0.025±0.027	ARAI	80	DPWA γN → πN (fit 2)
-0.059±0.015	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA γN → πN
-0.021±0.011	TAKEDA	80	DPWA γN → πN
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
-0.060±0.003	LI	93	IPWA γN → πN
-0.066±0.020	BARBOUR	78	DPWA γN → πN

## $N(1675) \rightarrow n\gamma$ , helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

VALUE ( $\text{GeV}^{-1/2}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>-0.058±0.013 OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
-0.051±0.010	ARNDT	96	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.077±0.018	AWAJI	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.069±0.004	FUJII	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.066±0.026	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
-0.071±0.022	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
-0.059±0.020	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.030±0.012	TAKEDA	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
-0.074±0.003	LI	93	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.073±0.014	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

## $N(1675)$ FOOTNOTES

- <sup>1</sup> LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to  $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$  data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
- <sup>2</sup> From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
- <sup>3</sup> See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of  $N$  and  $\Delta$  resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of  $\pi N$  elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.
- <sup>4</sup> LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to  $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$  data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.
- <sup>5</sup> SAXON 80 finds the coupling phase is near  $90^\circ$ .
- <sup>6</sup> The range given is from the four best solutions. DEANS 75 disagrees with  $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^+$  data of WINNIK 77 around 1920 MeV.
- <sup>7</sup> LONGACRE 77 considers this coupling to be well determined.
- <sup>8</sup> A Breit-Wigner fit to the HERNDON 75 IPWA.

## $N(1675)$ REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	+Strakovsky, Workman	(VPI)
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	+Strakovsky, Workman, Pavan	(VPI, BRCO)
BATINIC	95	PR C51 2310	+Slaus, Svarc, Nefkens	(BOSK, UCLA)
Also	98	PR C57 1004 (erratum)	M. Batinic+	
HOEHLER	93	$\pi N$ Newsletter 9 1		(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	+Arndt, Roper, Workman	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	+Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	Manley, Arndt, Goradia, Teplitz	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	+Li, Roper, Workman, Ford	(VPI, TELE) IJP
BELL	83	NP B222 389	+Blissett, Broome, Daley, Hart, Lintern+	(RL) IJP
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	+Morton	(GLAS)
PDG	82	PL 111B	Roos, Porter, Aguilar-Benitez+	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	+Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	Fujii, Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO)
FUJII	81	NP B187 53	+Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO, OSAK)
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93		(INUS)
Also	82	NP B194 251	Arai, Fujii	(INUS)
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107		(GLAS)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	+Forsyth, Babcock, Kelly, Hendrick	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	Cutkosky, Forsyth, Hendrick, Kelly	(CMU, LBL) IJP

SAXON	80	NP B162 522	+Baker, Bell, Blissett, Bloodworth+	(RHEL, BRIS) IJP
TAKEDA	80	NP B168 17	+Arai, Fujii, Ikeda, Iwasaki+	(TOKY, INUS)
BAKER	79	NP B156 93	+Brown, Clark, Davies, Depagter, Evans+	(RHEL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	+Kaiser, Koch, Pietarinen	(KARLT) IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	Koch	(KARLT) IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	+Crawford, Parsons	(GLAS)
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	+Lasinski, Rosenfeld, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC)
NOVOSELLER	78	NP B137 509		(CIT) IJP
Also	78B	NP B137 445	Novoseller	(CIT) IJP
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	+Dolbeau	(SACL) IJP
Also	76	NP B108 365	Dolbeau, Triantis, Neveu, Cadiet	(SACL) IJP
WINNIK	77	NP B128 66	+Toaff, Revel, Goldberg, Berny	(HAIF) I
FELLER	76	NP B104 219	+Fukushima, Horikawa, Kajikawa+	(NAGO, OSAK) IJP
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	+Mitchell, Montgomery+	(SFLA, ALAH) IJP
FELTESSE	75	NP B93 242	+Ayed, Bareyre, Borgeaud, David+	(SACL) IJP
HERNDON	75	PR D11 3183	+Longacre, Miller, Rosenfeld+	(LBL, SLAC)
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	+Rosenfeld, Lasinski, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC) IJP
DEVENISH	74B	NP B81 330	+Froggatt, Martin	(DESY, NORD, LOUC)

---