

$\Delta(1920)$ P_{33} $I(J^P) = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$ Status: ***

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

 $\Delta(1920)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1900 to 1970 (≈ 1920) OUR ESTIMATE			
2014 ± 16	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
1920 ± 80	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1868 ± 10	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1840 ± 40	CANDLIN 84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^+$
1955.0 ± 13.0	¹ CHEW 80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$
2065.0 $^{+13.6}_{-12.9}$	¹ CHEW 80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$

 $\Delta(1920)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
150 to 300 (≈ 200) OUR ESTIMATE			
152 ± 55	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
300 ± 100	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
220 ± 80	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
200 ± 40	CANDLIN 84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^+$
88.3 ± 35.0	¹ CHEW 80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$
62.0 ± 44.0	¹ CHEW 80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$

 $\Delta(1920)$ POLE POSITION**REAL PART**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1850 to 1950 (≈ 1900) OUR ESTIMATE			
1900	² HOEHLER 93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1900 ± 80	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
not seen	ARNDT 91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

-2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
200 to 400 (≈ 300) OUR ESTIMATE			
300 ± 100	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
not seen	ARNDT 91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

$\Delta(1920)$ ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
24 ± 4	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

PHASE θ

<u>VALUE ($^{\circ}$)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-150 ± 30	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

$\Delta(1920)$ DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $N\pi$	5–20 %
Γ_2 ΣK	
Γ_3 $N\pi\pi$	
Γ_4 $\Delta(1232)\pi$, P-wave	
Γ_5 $N(1440)\pi$, P-wave	
Γ_6 $N\gamma$, helicity=1/2	
Γ_7 $N\gamma$, helicity=3/2	

$\Delta(1920)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_1/Γ
0.05 to 0.2 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.02 ± 0.02	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$	
0.20 ± 0.05	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
0.14 ± 0.04	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.24	¹ CHEW 80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$	
0.18	¹ CHEW 80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$	

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1920) \rightarrow \Sigma K$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
-0.052 ± 0.015	CANDLIN 84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^+$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.049	LIVANOS 80	DPWA	$\pi p \rightarrow \Sigma K$	
0.048 to 0.120	³ DEANS 75	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \Sigma K$	

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1920) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi$, P-wave

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
-0.13 ± 0.04	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$	
0.3	⁴ NOVOSELLER 78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$	
0.27	⁵ NOVOSELLER 78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$	

$(\Gamma_f/\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1920) \rightarrow N(1440)\pi$, P-wave	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{1/2}/\Gamma$		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
+0.06 ± 0.07	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

$\Delta(1920)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

$\Delta(1920) \rightarrow N\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE ($\text{GeV}^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.040 ± 0.014	AWAJI	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$\Delta(1920) \rightarrow N\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

VALUE ($\text{GeV}^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.023 ± 0.017	AWAJI	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$\Delta(1920)$ FOOTNOTES

¹ CHEW 80 reports two P_{33} resonances in this mass region. Problems with this analysis are discussed in section 2.1.11 of HOEHLER 83.

² See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

³ The range given for DEANS 75 is from the four best solutions.

⁴ A Breit-Wigner fit to the HERNDON 75 IPWA; the phase is near -90° .

⁵ A Breit-Wigner fit to the NOVOSELLER 78B IPWA; the phase is near -90° .

$\Delta(1920)$ REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1	(KARL)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	(VPI, TELE) IJP
CANDLIN	84	NP B238 477	(EDIN, RAL, LOWC)
HOEHLER	83	Landolt-Bornstein 1/9B2	(KARLT)
PDG	82	PL 111B	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	(NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	(NAGO)
CHEW	80	Toronto Conf. 123	(LBL) IJP
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	(CMU, LBL) IJP
LIVANOS	80	Toronto Conf. 35	(SACL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	(KARLT) IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	(KARLT) IJP
NOVOSELLER	78	NP B137 509	(CIT)
NOVOSELLER	78B	NP B137 445	(CIT)
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	(SFLA, ALAH) IJP
HERNDON	75	PR D11 3183	(LBL, SLAC)