

B^\pm

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^-)$$

Quantum numbers not measured. Values shown are quark-model predictions.

See also the B^\pm/B^0 ADMIXTURE and $B^\pm/B_s^0/B_s^0/b$ -baryon ADMIXTURE sections.

B^\pm MASS

The fit uses m_{B^+} , $(m_{B^0} - m_{B^+})$, $m_{B_s^0}$, and $(m_{B_s^0} - (m_{B^+} + m_{B^0})/2)$ to determine m_{B^+} , m_{B^0} , $m_{B_s^0}$, and the mass differences.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5278.9±1.8 OUR FIT				
5278.9±1.5 OUR AVERAGE				
5279.1±1.7 ±1.4	147	1 ABE	96B CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
5278.8±0.54±2.0	362	2 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
5278.3±0.4 ±2.0		2 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
5280.5±1.0 ±2.0		2,3 ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
5278.6±0.8 ±2.0		2 BEBEK	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
5275.8±1.3 ±3.0	32	ALBRECHT	87C ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
5278.2±1.8 ±3.0	12	4 ALBRECHT	87D ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

¹ Excluded from fit because it is not independent of ABE 96B B_s^0 mass and B_s^0 - B mass difference.

² These experiments all report a common systematic error 2.0 MeV. We have artificially increased the systematic error to allow the experiments to be treated as independent measurements in our average. See "Treatment of Errors" section of the Introductory Text. These experiments actually measure the difference between half of E_{cm} and the B mass.

³ ALBRECHT 90J assumes 10580 for $\gamma(4S)$ mass. Supersedes ALBRECHT 87C and ALBRECHT 87D.

⁴ Found using fully reconstructed decays with $J/\psi(1S)$. ALBRECHT 87D assume $m_{\gamma(4S)} = 10577$ MeV.

B^\pm MEAN LIFE

See $B^\pm/B_s^0/b$ -baryon ADMIXTURE section for data on B -hadron mean life averaged over species of bottom particles.

"OUR EVALUATION" is an average of the data listed below performed by the LEP B Lifetimes Working Group as described in our review "Production and Decay of b -flavored Hadrons" in the B^\pm Section of the Listings. The averaging procedure takes into account correlations between the measurements and asymmetric lifetime errors.

VALUE (10^{-12} s)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.65 ±0.03 OUR EVALUATION				
1.643±0.037±0.025	5 ABBIENDI	99J OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$	
1.68 ±0.07 ±0.02	6 ABE	98B CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV	
1.637±0.058 ^{+0.045} _{-0.043}	7 ABE	98Q CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV	

1.66 ± 0.06	± 0.03		⁵ ACCIARRI	98S L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$	
1.66 ± 0.06	± 0.05		⁵ ABE	97J SLD	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$	
1.58 ± 0.09	± 0.04		⁷ BUSKULIC	96J ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$	
1.58 ± 0.21	± 0.04	94	⁶ BUSKULIC	96J ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$	
-0.18	-0.03		^{7,8} ABREU	95Q DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$	
1.61 ± 0.16	± 0.12		⁹ ADAM	95 DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$	
1.72 ± 0.08	± 0.06		⁷ AKERS	95T OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$	
1.52 ± 0.14	± 0.09					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
1.56 ± 0.13	± 0.06		⁷ ABE	96C CDF	Repl. by ABE 98Q	
1.58 ± 0.09	± 0.03		¹⁰ BUSKULIC	96J ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$	
1.70 ± 0.09			¹¹ ADAM	95 DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$	
1.61 ± 0.16	± 0.05	148	⁶ ABE	94D CDF	Repl. by ABE 98B	
1.30 ± 0.33	± 0.16	92	⁷ ABREU	93D DLPH	Sup. by ABREU 95Q	
1.56 ± 0.19	± 0.13	134	⁹ ABREU	93G DLPH	Sup. by ADAM 95	
1.51 ± 0.30	± 0.12	59	⁷ ACTON	93C OPAL	Sup. by AKERS 95T	
1.47 ± 0.22	± 0.15	77	⁷ BUSKULIC	93D ALEP	Sup. by BUSKULIC 96J	

⁵ Data analyzed using charge of secondary vertex.

⁶ Measured mean life using fully reconstructed decays.

⁷ Data analyzed using $D/D^* \ell X$ event vertices.

⁸ ABREU 95Q assumes $B(B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} \ell^+ \nu_\ell) = 3.2 \pm 1.7\%$.

⁹ Data analyzed using vertex-charge technique to tag B charge.

¹⁰ Combined result of $D/D^* \ell X$ analysis and fully reconstructed B analysis.

¹¹ Combined ABREU 95Q and ADAM 95 result.

B^+ DECAY MODES

B^- modes are charge conjugates of the modes below. Modes which do not identify the charge state of the B are listed in the B^\pm/B^0 ADMIXTURE section.

The branching fractions listed below assume 50% $B^0\bar{B}^0$ and 50% B^+B^- production at the $\Upsilon(4S)$. We have attempted to bring older measurements up to date by rescaling their assumed $\Upsilon(4S)$ production ratio to 50:50 and their assumed D , D_s , D^* , and ψ branching ratios to current values whenever this would affect our averages and best limits significantly.

Indentation is used to indicate a subchannel of a previous reaction. All resonant subchannels have been corrected for resonance branching fractions to the final state so the sum of the subchannel branching fractions can exceed that of the final state.

Mode	Fraction ($,_j/,,$)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Semileptonic and leptonic modes		
, 1 $\ell^+\nu_\ell$ anything	[a] $(10.3 \pm 0.9) \%$	
, 2 $\overline{D}^0\ell^+\nu_\ell$	[a] $(1.86 \pm 0.33) \%$	
, 3 $\overline{D}^*(2007)^0\ell^+\nu_\ell$	[a] $(5.3 \pm 0.8) \%$	
, 4 $\overline{D}_1(2420)^0\ell^+\nu_\ell$	$(5.6 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-3}$	
, 5 $\overline{D}_2^*(2460)^0\ell^+\nu_\ell$	$< 8 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 6 $\pi^0e^+\nu_e$	$< 2.2 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 7 $\omega\ell^+\nu_\ell$	[a] $< 2.1 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 8 $\omega\mu^+\nu_\mu$		
, 9 $\rho^0\ell^+\nu_\ell$	[a] $< 2.1 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 10 $e^+\nu_e$	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 11 $\mu^+\nu_\mu$	$< 2.1 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 12 $\tau^+\nu_\tau$	$< 5.7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 13 $e^+\nu_e\gamma$	$< 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 14 $\mu^+\nu_\mu\gamma$	$< 5.2 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
D, D^*, or D_s modes		
, 15 $\overline{D}^0\pi^+$	$(5.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	
, 16 $\overline{D}^0\rho^+$	$(1.34 \pm 0.18) \%$	
, 17 \overline{D}^0K^+	$(2.9 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$	
, 18 $\overline{D}^0\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	$(1.1 \pm 0.4) \%$	
, 19 $\overline{D}^0\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$ nonresonant	$(5 \pm 4) \times 10^{-3}$	
, 20 $\overline{D}^0\pi^+\rho^0$	$(4.2 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-3}$	
, 21 $\overline{D}^0a_1(1260)^+$	$(5 \pm 4) \times 10^{-3}$	
, 22 $D^*(2010)^-\pi^+\pi^+$	$(2.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$	
, 23 $D^-\pi^+\pi^+$	$< 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 24 $\overline{D}^*(2007)^0\pi^+$	$(4.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	
, 25 $D^*(2010)^+\pi^0$	$< 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 26 $\overline{D}^*(2007)^0\rho^+$	$(1.55 \pm 0.31) \%$	

, 27	$\overline{D}^*(2007)^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(9.4 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-3}$	
, 28	$\overline{D}^*(2007)^0 a_1(1260)^+$	$(1.9 \pm 0.5) \%$	
, 29	$D^*(2010)^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^0$	$(1.5 \pm 0.7) \%$	
, 30	$D^*(2010)^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	$< 1 \quad \% \quad CL=90\%$	
, 31	$\overline{D}_1^*(2420)^0 \pi^+$	$(1.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3} \quad S=1.3$	
, 32	$\overline{D}_1^*(2420)^0 \rho^+$	$< 1.4 \times 10^{-3} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 33	$\overline{D}_2^*(2460)^0 \pi^+$	$< 1.3 \times 10^{-3} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 34	$\overline{D}_2^*(2460)^0 \rho^+$	$< 4.7 \times 10^{-3} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 35	$\overline{D}^0 D_s^+$	$(1.3 \pm 0.4) \%$	
, 36	$\overline{D}^0 D_s^{*+}$	$(9 \pm 4) \times 10^{-3}$	
, 37	$\overline{D}^*(2007)^0 D_s^+$	$(1.2 \pm 0.5) \%$	
, 38	$\overline{D}^*(2007)^0 D_s^{*+}$	$(2.7 \pm 1.0) \%$	
, 39	$\overline{D}^*(2007)^0 D^*(2010)^+$	$< 1.1 \quad \% \quad CL=90\%$	
, 40	$\overline{D}^0 D^*(2010)^+ + \overline{D}^*(2007)^0 D^+$	$< 1.3 \quad \% \quad CL=90\%$	
, 41	$\overline{D}^0 D^+$	$< 6.7 \times 10^{-3} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 42	$D_s^+ \pi^0$	$< 2.0 \times 10^{-4} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 43	$D_s^{*+} \pi^0$	$< 3.3 \times 10^{-4} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 44	$D_s^+ \eta$	$< 5 \times 10^{-4} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 45	$D_s^{*+} \eta$	$< 8 \times 10^{-4} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 46	$D_s^+ \rho^0$	$< 4 \times 10^{-4} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 47	$D_s^{*+} \rho^0$	$< 5 \times 10^{-4} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 48	$D_s^+ \omega$	$< 5 \times 10^{-4} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 49	$D_s^{*+} \omega$	$< 7 \times 10^{-4} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 50	$D_s^+ a_1(1260)^0$	$< 2.2 \times 10^{-3} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 51	$D_s^{*+} a_1(1260)^0$	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-3} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 52	$D_s^+ \phi$	$< 3.2 \times 10^{-4} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 53	$D_s^{*+} \phi$	$< 4 \times 10^{-4} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 54	$D_s^+ \overline{K}^0$	$< 1.1 \times 10^{-3} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 55	$D_s^{*+} \overline{K}^0$	$< 1.1 \times 10^{-3} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 56	$D_s^+ \overline{K}^*(892)^0$	$< 5 \times 10^{-4} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 57	$D_s^{*+} \overline{K}^*(892)^0$	$< 4 \times 10^{-4} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 58	$D_s^- \pi^+ K^+$	$< 8 \times 10^{-4} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 59	$D_s^{*-} \pi^+ K^+$	$< 1.2 \times 10^{-3} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 60	$D_s^- \pi^+ K^*(892)^+$	$< 6 \times 10^{-3} \quad CL=90\%$	
, 61	$D_s^{*-} \pi^+ K^*(892)^+$	$< 8 \times 10^{-3} \quad CL=90\%$	

Charmonium modes

, 62	$J/\psi(1S)K^+$	$(10.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$	
, 63	$J/\psi(1S)K^+\pi^+\pi^-$	$(1.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$	
, 64	$J/\psi(1S)K^*(892)^+$	$(1.48 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-3}$	
, 65	$J/\psi(1S)\pi^+$	$(5.1 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-5}$	
, 66	$J/\psi(1S)\rho^+$	$< 7.7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 67	$J/\psi(1S)a_1(1260)^+$	$< 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 68	$\psi(2S)K^+$	$(5.8 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$	
, 69	$\psi(2S)K^*(892)^+$	$< 3.0 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 70	$\psi(2S)K^+\pi^+\pi^-$	$(1.9 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-3}$	
, 71	$\chi_{c1}(1P)K^+$	$(1.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	
, 72	$\chi_{c1}(1P)K^*(892)^+$	$< 2.1 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%

K or K* modes

, 73	$K^0\pi^+$	$(2.3 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-5}$	
, 74	$K^+\pi^0$	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 75	$\eta'K^+$	$(6.5 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-5}$	
, 76	$\eta'K^*(892)^+$	$< 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 77	ηK^+	$< 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 78	$\eta K^*(892)^+$	$< 3.0 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 79	ωK^+	$(1.5^{+0.7}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{-5}$	
, 80	$\omega K^*(892)^+$	$< 8.7 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 81	$K^*(892)^0\pi^+$	$< 4.1 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 82	$K^*(892)^+\pi^0$	$< 9.9 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 83	$K^+\pi^-\pi^+$ nonresonant	$< 2.8 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 84	$K^-\pi^+\pi^+$ nonresonant	$< 5.6 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 85	$K_1(1400)^0\pi^+$	$< 2.6 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 86	$K_2^*(1430)^0\pi^+$	$< 6.8 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 87	$K^+\rho^0$	$< 1.9 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 88	$K^0\rho^+$	$< 4.8 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 89	$K^*(892)^+\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 90	$K^*(892)^+\rho^0$	$< 9.0 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 91	$K_1(1400)^+\rho^0$	$< 7.8 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 92	$K_2^*(1430)^+\rho^0$	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 93	$K^+\bar{K}^0$	$< 2.1 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 94	$K^+K^-\pi^+$ nonresonant	$< 7.5 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 95	$K^+K^-K^+$	$< 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 96	$K^+\phi$	$< 5 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
, 97	$K^+K^-K^+$ nonresonant	$< 3.8 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 98	$K^*(892)^+K^+K^-$	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 99	$K^*(892)^+\phi$	$< 4.1 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%

, 100	$K_1(1400)^+ \phi$	< 1.1	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 101	$K_2^*(1430)^+ \phi$	< 3.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 102	$K^+ f_0(980)$	< 8	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 103	$K^*(892)^+ \gamma$	(5.7 \pm 3.3)	$\times 10^{-5}$	
, 104	$K_1(1270)^+ \gamma$	< 7.3	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 105	$K_1(1400)^+ \gamma$	< 2.2	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 106	$K_2^*(1430)^+ \gamma$	< 1.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 107	$K^*(1680)^+ \gamma$	< 1.9	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 108	$K_3^*(1780)^+ \gamma$	< 5.5	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 109	$K_4^*(2045)^+ \gamma$	< 9.9	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%

Light unflavored meson modes

, 110	$\pi^+ \pi^0$	< 2.0	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 111	$\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 1.3	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 112	$\rho^0 \pi^+$	< 4.3	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 113	$\pi^+ f_0(980)$	< 1.4	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 114	$\pi^+ f_2(1270)$	< 2.4	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 115	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \text{nonresonant}$	< 4.1	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 116	$\pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0$	< 8.9	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 117	$\rho^+ \pi^0$	< 7.7	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 118	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^0$	< 4.0	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 119	$\rho^+ \rho^0$	< 1.0	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 120	$a_1(1260)^+ \pi^0$	< 1.7	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 121	$a_1(1260)^0 \pi^+$	< 9.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 122	$\omega \pi^+$	< 2.3	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 123	$\omega \rho^+$	< 6.1	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 124	$\eta \pi^+$	< 1.5	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 125	$\eta' \pi^+$	< 3.1	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 126	$\eta' \rho^+$	< 4.7	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 127	$\eta \rho^+$	< 3.2	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 128	$\phi \pi^+$	< 5	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
, 129	$\phi \rho^+$	< 1.6	$\times 10^{-5}$	
, 130	$\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	< 8.6	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 131	$\rho^0 a_1(1260)^+$	< 6.2	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 132	$\rho^0 a_2(1320)^+$	< 7.2	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 133	$\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^0$	< 6.3	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 134	$a_1(1260)^+ a_1(1260)^0$	< 1.3	%	CL=90%

Charged particle (h^\pm) modes

$$h^\pm = K^\pm \text{ or } \pi^\pm$$

, 135	$h^+ \pi^0$	(1.6 \pm 0.7)	$\times 10^{-5}$	
, 136	ωh^+	2.50	$\times 10^{-5}$	

Baryon modes

, 137	$p\bar{p}\pi^+$	<	1.6	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 138	$p\bar{p}\pi^+$ nonresonant	<	5.3	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 139	$p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	<	5.2	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 140	$p\bar{p}K^+$ nonresonant	<	8.9	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 141	$p\bar{\Lambda}$	<	6	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 142	$p\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$	<	2.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 143	$\bar{\Delta}^0 p$	<	3.8	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 144	$\Delta^{++}\bar{p}$	<	1.5	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 145	$\Lambda_c^-\bar{p}\pi^+$	(6.2 \pm 2.7) $\times 10^{-4}$	
, 146	$\Lambda_c^-\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^0$	<	3.12	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 147	$\Lambda_c^-\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	<	1.46	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 148	$\Lambda_c^-\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	<	1.34	%	CL=90%

Lepton Family number (LF) or Lepton number (L) violating modes, or $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current ($B1$) modes

, 149	$\pi^+ e^+ e^-$	$B1$	<	3.9	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 150	$\pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$	$B1$	<	9.1	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 151	$K^+ e^+ e^-$	$B1$	<	6	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 152	$K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$	$B1$	<	1.0	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
, 153	$K^*(892)^+ e^+ e^-$	$B1$	<	6.9	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
, 154	$K^*(892)^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$	$B1$	<	1.2	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 155	$\pi^+ e^+ \mu^-$	LF	<	6.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 156	$\pi^+ e^- \mu^+$	LF	<	6.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 157	$K^+ e^+ \mu^-$	LF	<	6.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 158	$K^+ e^- \mu^+$	LF	<	6.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 159	$\pi^- e^+ e^+$	L	<	3.9	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 160	$\pi^- \mu^+ \mu^+$	L	<	9.1	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 161	$\pi^- e^+ \mu^+$	LF	<	6.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 162	$K^- e^+ e^+$	L	<	3.9	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 163	$K^- \mu^+ \mu^+$	L	<	9.1	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
, 164	$K^- e^+ \mu^+$	LF	<	6.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%

[a] An ℓ indicates an e or a μ mode, not a sum over these modes.

B^+ BRANCHING RATIOS

$, (\ell^+ \nu_\ell \text{ anything}) / , \text{ total}$	$DOCUMENT ID$	$TECN$	$COMMENT$
$0.1025 \pm 0.0057 \pm 0.0065$	12 ARTUSO	97 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.101 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.015$ ATHANAS 94 CLE2 Sup. by ARTUSO 97

12 ARTUSO 97 uses partial reconstruction of $B \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu_\ell$ and inclusive semileptonic branching ratio from BARISH 96B ($0.1049 \pm 0.0017 \pm 0.0043$).

$, (\overline{D}^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell) / , \text{total}$ $\ell = e \text{ or } \mu$, not sum over e and μ modes.

, 2/,

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0186 ± 0.0033 OUR AVERAGE			

0.0194 ± 0.0015 ± 0.0034

13 ATHANAS

97

CLE2

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

0.016 ± 0.006 ± 0.003

14 FULTON

91

CLEO

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

13 ATHANAS 97 uses missing energy and missing momentum to reconstruct neutrino.

14 FULTON 91 assumes equal production of $B^0 \overline{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at the $\gamma(4S)$. $, (\overline{D}^*(2007)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell) / , \text{total}$ $\ell = e \text{ or } \mu$, not sum over e and μ modes.

, 3/,

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.053 ± 0.008 OUR AVERAGE				

0.0513 ± 0.0054 ± 0.0064

15 BARISH

95

CLE2

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

0.066 ± 0.016 ± 0.015

16 ALBRECHT

92C

ARG

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen

398

17 SANGHERA

93

CLE2

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$ 0.041 ± 0.008 +0.008
-0.009

18 FULTON

91

CLEO

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

0.070 ± 0.018 ± 0.014

19 ANTREASYAN

90B

CBAL

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$ 15 BARISH 95 use $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+) = (3.91 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.17)\%$ and $B(D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0) = (63.6 \pm 2.3 \pm 3.3)\%$.16 ALBRECHT 92C reports $0.058 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.013$. We rescale using the method described in STONE 94 but with the updated PDG 94 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$. Assumes equal production of $B^0 \overline{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at the $\gamma(4S)$.17 Combining $\overline{D}^{*0} \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ and $\overline{D}^{*-} \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ SANGHERA 93 test $V-A$ structure and fit the decay angular distributions to obtain $A_{FB} = 3/4 * (-, -, +) / = 0.14 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.03$. Assuming a value of V_{cb} , they measure V , A_1 , and A_2 , the three form factors for the $D^* \ell \nu_\ell$ decay, where results are slightly dependent on model assumptions.18 Assumes equal production of $B^0 \overline{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at the $\gamma(4S)$. Uncorrected for D and D^* branching ratio assumptions.19 ANTREASYAN 90B is average over B and $\overline{D}^*(2010)$ charge states. $, (\overline{D}_1(2420)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell) / , \text{total}$

, 4/,

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0056 ± 0.0013 ± 0.0009			

20 ANASTASSOV

98

CLE2

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$ 20 ANASTASSOV 98 result is derived from the measurement of $B(B^+ \rightarrow \overline{D}_1^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell) \times B(\overline{D}_1^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^-) = (0.373 \pm 0.085 \pm 0.052 \pm 0.024)\%$ by assuming $B(\overline{D}_1^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^-) = 67\%$, where the third error includes theoretical uncertainties. $, (\overline{D}_2^*(2460)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell) / , \text{total}$

, 5/,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 8 \times 10^{-3}$	90	21 ANASTASSOV	98	CLE2

21 ANASTASSOV

98

CLE2

 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$ 21 ANASTASSOV 98 result is derived from the measurement of $B(B^+ \rightarrow \overline{D}_2^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell) \times B(\overline{D}_2^0 \rightarrow D^{*0} \pi^-) < 0.16\%$ at 90% CL by assuming $B(\overline{D}_2^0 \rightarrow D^{*0} \pi^-) = 20\%$.

, $(\pi^0 e^+ \nu_e)/_{\text{total}}$

, 6/,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0022	90	ANTREASYAN 90B	CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

, $(\omega \ell^+ \nu_\ell)/_{\text{total}}$

, 7/,

$\ell = e$ or μ , not sum over e and μ modes.

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 2.1×10^{-4}	90	22 BEAN	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

22 BEAN 93B limit set using ISGW Model. Using isospin and the quark model to combine , $(\rho^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell)$ and , $(\rho^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell)$ with this result, they obtain a limit $<(1.6-2.7) \times 10^{-4}$ at 90% CL for $B^+ \rightarrow \omega \ell^+ \nu_\ell$. The range corresponds to the ISGW, WSB, and KS models. An upper limit on $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}| < 0.8-0.13$ at 90% CL is derived as well.

, $(\omega \mu^+ \nu_\mu)/_{\text{total}}$

, 8/,

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
-------	-------------	------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen 23 ALBRECHT 91C ARG

23 In ALBRECHT 91C, one event is fully reconstructed providing evidence for the $b \rightarrow u$ transition.

, $(\rho^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell)/_{\text{total}}$

, 9/,

$\ell = e$ or μ , not sum over e and μ modes.

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 2.1×10^{-4}	90	24 BEAN	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

24 BEAN 93B limit set using ISGW Model. Using isospin and the quark model to combine , $(\omega^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell)$ and , $(\rho^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell)$ with this result, they obtain a limit $<(1.6-2.7) \times 10^{-4}$ at 90% CL for $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$. The range corresponds to the ISGW, WSB, and KS models. An upper limit on $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}| < 0.8-0.13$ at 90% CL is derived as well.

, $(e^+ \nu_e)/_{\text{total}}$

, 10/,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 1.5×10^{-5}	90	ARTUSO	95 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

, $(\mu^+ \nu_\mu)/_{\text{total}}$

, 11/,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 2.1×10^{-5}	90	ARTUSO	95 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

, $(\tau^+ \nu_\tau)/_{\text{total}}$

, 12/,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 5.7×10^{-4}	90	25 ACCIARRI	97F L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 1.04×10^{-2} 90 26 ALBRECHT 95D ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

< 2.2×10^{-3} 90 ARTUSO 95 CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

< 1.8×10^{-3} 90 27 BUSKULIC 95 ALEP $e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

25 ACCIARRI 97F uses missing-energy technique and $f(b \rightarrow B^-) = (38.2 \pm 2.5)\%$.

26 ALBRECHT 95D use full reconstruction of one B decay as tag.

27 BUSKULIC 95 uses same missing-energy technique as in $\bar{B} \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_\tau X$, but analysis is restricted to endpoint region of missing-energy distribution.

$, (\bar{e}^+ \nu_e \gamma)/_{\text{total}}$

, 13/,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	28 BROWDER	97 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

28 BROWDER 97 uses the hermiticity of the CLEO II detector to reconstruct the neutrino energy and momentum.

 $, (\bar{\mu}^+ \nu_\mu \gamma)/_{\text{total}}$

, 14/,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<5.2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	29 BROWDER	97 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

29 BROWDER 97 uses the hermiticity of the CLEO II detector to reconstruct the neutrino energy and momentum.

 $, (\bar{D}^0 \pi^+)/_{\text{total}}$

, 15/,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.0053±0.0005 OUR AVERAGE				
0.0055±0.0004±0.0005	304	30 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.0050±0.0007±0.0006	54	31 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$0.0054^{+0.0018}_{-0.0015} {}^{+0.0012}_{-0.0009}$	14	32 BEBEK	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.0020±0.0008±0.0006	12	31 ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.0019±0.0010±0.0006	7	33 ALBRECHT	88K ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

30 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$.

31 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses the Mark III branching fractions for the D .

32 BEBEK 87 value has been updated in BERKELMAN 91 to use same assumptions as noted for BORTOLETTO 92.

33 ALBRECHT 88K assumes $B^0 \bar{B}^0 : B^+ B^-$ ratio is 45:55. Superseded by ALBRECHT 90J.

 $, (\bar{D}^0 \rho^+)/_{\text{total}}$

, 16/,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.0134±0.0018 OUR AVERAGE				
0.0135±0.0012±0.0015	212	34 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$0.013 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.004$	19	35 ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.021 ± 0.008 ± 0.009	10	36 ALBRECHT	88K ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
-----------------------	----	-------------	---------	----------------------------------

34 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$.

35 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses the Mark III branching fractions for the D .

36 ALBRECHT 88K assumes $B^0 \bar{B}^0 : B^+ B^-$ ratio is 45:55.

$, (\bar{D}^0 K^+)/, \text{total}$ $, 17/,$

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-4})	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$2.92 \pm 0.80 \pm 0.28$	37 ATHANAS	98 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

37 ATHANAS 98 reports $[B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^+)/[B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+)] = 0.055 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.005$. We multiply by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+) = (5.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $, (\bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/, \text{total}$ $, 18/,$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$0.0115 \pm 0.0029 \pm 0.0021$	38 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

38 BORTOLETTO 92 assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D .

 $, (\bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \text{ nonresonant})/, \text{total}$ $, 19/,$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$0.0051 \pm 0.0034 \pm 0.0023$	39 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

39 BORTOLETTO 92 assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D .

 $, (\bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \rho^0)/, \text{total}$ $, 20/,$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$0.0042 \pm 0.0023 \pm 0.0020$	40 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

40 BORTOLETTO 92 assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D .

 $, (\bar{D}^0 a_1(1260)^+)/, \text{total}$ $, 21/,$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$0.0045 \pm 0.0019 \pm 0.0031$	41 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

41 BORTOLETTO 92 assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D .

 $, (D^*(2010)^- \pi^+ \pi^+)/, \text{total}$ $, 22/,$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.0021 ± 0.0006 OUR AVERAGE					
$0.0019 \pm 0.0007 \pm 0.0003$	14	42 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$0.0026 \pm 0.0014 \pm 0.0007$	11	43 ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$0.0024^{+0.0017}_{-0.0016} {}^{+0.0010}_{-0.0006}$	3	44 BEBEK	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.004	90	45 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$0.005 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.003$	7	46 ALBRECHT	87C ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

42 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+)$ and absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$.

- 43 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ and uses the Mark III branching fractions for the D .

44 BEBEK 87 value has been updated in BERKELMAN 91 to use same assumptions as noted for BORTOLETTO 92.

45 BORTOLETTO 92 assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D and $D^*(2010)$. The authors also find the product branching fraction into $D^{**}\pi$ followed by $D^{**} \rightarrow D^*(2010)\pi$ to be $0.0014^{+0.0008}_{-0.0006} \pm 0.0003$ where D^{**} represents all orbitally excited D mesons.

46 ALBRECHT 87C use PDG 86 branching ratios for D and $D^*(2010)$ and assume $B(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^+ B^-) = 55\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0) = 45\%$. Superseded by ALBRECHT 90J.

, $(D^- \pi^+ \pi^+)/_{\text{total}}$, 23/.

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0014	90	47	ALAM	94	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.007	90	⁴⁸	BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$0.0025^{+0.0041}_{-0.0023} {}^{+0.0024}_{-0.0008}$	1	⁴⁹	BEBEK	87	CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

- 47 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ and use the Mark III
 $B(D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$.

48 BORTOLETTO 92 assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ and uses
Mark III branching fractions for the D . The product branching fraction into $D_0^*(2340)\pi$
followed by $D_0^*(2340) \rightarrow D\pi$ is < 0.005 at 90%CL and into $D_2^*(2460)$ followed by
 $D_2^*(2460) \rightarrow D\pi$ is < 0.004 at 90%CL.

49 BEBEK 87 assume the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. $B(D^- \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^-) = (9.1 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.4)\%$ is assumed.

, $(\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 \pi^+)/_{\text{total}}$, 24/.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.0046 ± 0.0004 OUR AVERAGE				
0.00434 ± 0.00047 ± 0.00018		50 BRANDENB...	98 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.0052 ± 0.0007 ± 0.0007	71	51 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.0072 ± 0.0018 ± 0.0016		52 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.0040 ± 0.0014 ± 0.0012	9	52 ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$$0.0027 \pm 0.0044 \quad ^{53}\text{FeBeK} \quad 87 \quad \text{Cl EO} \quad e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$$

- 50 BRANDENBURG 98 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at $\Upsilon(4S)$ and use the D^* reconstruction technique. The first error is their experiment's error and the second error is the systematic error from the PDG 96 value of $B(D^* \rightarrow D\pi)$.

51 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow D^0\pi^0)$ and absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$.

52 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D and $D^*(2010)$.

53 This is a derived branching ratio, using the inclusive pion spectrum and other two-body B decays. BEBEK 87 assume the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$.

, ($D^*(2010)^+ \pi^0$)/, total , 25/,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.00017	90	54 BRANDENB...	98 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

54 BRANDENBURG 98 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at $\gamma(4S)$ and use the D^* partial reconstruction technique. The first error is their experiment's error and the second error is the systematic error from the PDG 96 value of $B(D^* \rightarrow D\pi)$.

, ($\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 \rho^+$)/, total , 26/,

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0155±0.0031 OUR AVERAGE				

0.0168±0.0021±0.0028	86	55 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.010 ± 0.006 ± 0.004	7	56 ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

55 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0)$ and absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$. The nonresonant $\pi^+ \pi^0$ contribution under the ρ^+ is negligible.

56 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D and $D^*(2010)$.

, ($\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$)/, total , 27/,

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0094±0.0020±0.0017	48	57,58 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

57 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0)$ and absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$.

58 The three pion mass is required to be between 1.0 and 1.6 GeV consistent with an a_1 meson. (If this channel is dominated by a_1^+ , the branching ratio for $\bar{D}^{*0} a_1^+$ is twice that for $\bar{D}^{*0} \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$.)

, ($\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 a_1(1260)^+$)/, total , 28/,

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0188±0.0040±0.0034	59,60	ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

59 ALAM 94 value is twice their $(\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/_{total}$ value based on their observation that the three pions are dominantly in the $a_1(1260)$ mass range 1.0 to 1.6 GeV.

60 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0)$ and absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$.

, ($D^*(2010)^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^0$)/, total , 29/,

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0152±0.0071±0.0001	26	61 ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.043 ± 0.013 ± 0.026	24	62 ALBRECHT	87C ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
-----------------------	----	-------------	---------	----------------------------------

61 ALBRECHT 90J reports $0.018 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.005$ for $B(D^*(2010)^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+) = 0.57 \pm 0.06$. We rescale to our best value $B(D^*(2010)^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+) = (67.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error

from using our best value. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D .

⁶² ALBRECHT 87C use PDG 86 branching ratios for D and $D^*(2010)$ and assume $B(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^+ B^-) = 55\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0) = 45\%$. Superseded by ALBRECHT 90J.

, $(D^*(2010)^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/_{\text{total}}$, 30 / ,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.01	90	63 ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

⁶³ Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D and $D^*(2010)$.

, $(\bar{D}_1^*(2420)^0 \pi^+)/_{\text{total}}$, 31 / ,

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0015±0.0006 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.3.		
0.0011±0.0005±0.0002	8	64 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
0.0025±0.0007±0.0006		65 ALBRECHT	94D ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

⁶⁴ ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+)$ and absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and assuming $B(D_1(2420)^0 \rightarrow D^*(2010)^+ \pi^-) = 67\%$.

⁶⁵ ALBRECHT 94D assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+)$ assuming $B(D_1(2420)^0 \rightarrow D^*(2010)^+ \pi^-) = 67\%$.

, $(\bar{D}_1^*(2420)^0 \rho^+)/_{\text{total}}$, 32 / ,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0014	90	66 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

⁶⁶ ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+)$ assuming $B(D_1(2420)^0 \rightarrow D^*(2010)^+ \pi^-) = 67\%$.

, $(\bar{D}_2^*(2460)^0 \pi^+)/_{\text{total}}$, 33 / ,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0013	90	67 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.0028	90	68 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
<0.0023	90	69 ALBRECHT	94D ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

⁶⁷ ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ and use the Mark III $B(D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$ and $B(D_2^*(2460)^0 \rightarrow D^+ \pi^-) = 30\%$.

⁶⁸ ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ and use the Mark III $B(D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$, the CLEO II $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+)$ and $B(D_2^*(2460)^0 \rightarrow D^*(2010)^+ \pi^-) = 20\%$.

⁶⁹ ALBRECHT 94D assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+)$ and $B(D_2^*(2460)^0 \rightarrow D^*(2010)^+ \pi^-) = 30\%$.

, ($\bar{D}_2^*(2460)^0 \rho^+$)/, total , 34/,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.0047	90	70 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
<0.005	90	71 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

70 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the Mark III $B(D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$ and $B(\bar{D}_2^*(2460)^0 \rightarrow D^+ \pi^-) = 30\%$.

71 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the Mark III $B(D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$, the CLEO II $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+)$ and $B(\bar{D}_2^*(2460)^0 \rightarrow D^*(2010)^+ \pi^-) = 20\%$.

, ($\bar{D}^0 D_s^+$)/, total , 35/,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.013 ± 0.004 OUR AVERAGE				
0.0122 ± 0.0032		72 GIBAUT	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
+ 0.0029 - 0.0030				
0.018 ± 0.009 ± 0.004		73 ALBRECHT	92G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.016 ± 0.007 ± 0.004	5	74 BORTOLETTO90	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

72 GIBAUT 96 reports $0.0126 \pm 0.0022 \pm 0.0025$ for $B(\bar{D}_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.035$. We rescale to our best value $B(\bar{D}_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

73 ALBRECHT 92G reports $0.024 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.004$ for $B(\bar{D}_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(\bar{D}_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes PDG 1990 D^0 branching ratios, e.g., $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+) = 3.71 \pm 0.25\%$.

74 BORTOLETTO 90 reports 0.029 ± 0.013 for $B(\bar{D}_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.02$. We rescale to our best value $B(\bar{D}_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

, ($\bar{D}^0 D_s^{*+}$)/, total , 36/,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.009 ± 0.004 OUR AVERAGE			
0.0084 ± 0.0031	75 GIBAUT	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
+ 0.0020 - 0.0021			
0.012 ± 0.009 ± 0.003	76 ALBRECHT	92G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

75 GIBAUT 96 reports $0.0087 \pm 0.0027 \pm 0.0017$ for $B(\bar{D}_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.035$. We rescale to our best value $B(\bar{D}_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

76 ALBRECHT 92G reports $0.016 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.003$ for $B(\bar{D}_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(\bar{D}_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes PDG 1990 D^0 branching ratios, e.g., $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+) = 3.71 \pm 0.25\%$.

$, (\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 D_s^+)/, \text{total}$

, 37 /,

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.012 ± 0.005 OUR AVERAGE			

0.014 ± 0.005 ± 0.003

77 GIBAUT	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
78 ALBRECHT	92G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

77 GIBAUT 96 reports $0.0140 \pm 0.0043 \pm 0.0035$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.035$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
 78 ALBRECHT 92G reports $0.013 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.002$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes PDG 1990 D^0 and $D^*(2007)^0$ branching ratios, e.g., $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+) = 3.71 \pm 0.25\%$ and $B(D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow D^0\pi^0) = 55 \pm 6\%$.

 $, (\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 D_s^{*+})/, \text{total}$

, 38 /,

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.027 ± 0.010 OUR AVERAGE			

0.030 ± 0.011 ± 0.007

79 GIBAUT	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
80 ALBRECHT	92G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

79 GIBAUT 96 reports $0.0310 \pm 0.0088 \pm 0.0065$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.035$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
 80 ALBRECHT 92G reports $0.031 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.005$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes PDG 1990 D^0 and $D^*(2007)^0$ branching ratios, e.g., $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+) = 3.71 \pm 0.25\%$ and $B(D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow D^0\pi^0) = 55 \pm 6\%$.

 $, (\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 D^*(2010)^+)/, \text{total}$

, 39 /,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.011	90	BARATE	98Q ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

 $[, (\bar{D}^0 D^*(2010)^+) + , (\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 D^+)]/, \text{total}$

, 40 /,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.013	90	BARATE	98Q ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

 $, (\bar{D}^0 D^+)/, \text{total}$

, 41 /,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0067	90	BARATE	98Q ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

 $, (D_s^+ \pi^0)/, \text{total}$

, 42 /,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.00020	90	81 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

81 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

$[, (D_s^+ \pi^0) + , (D_s^{*+} \pi^0)] / , \text{total}$, 42+, 43)/,

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0007	90	82 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

82 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 0.9 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.

, $(D_s^{*+} \pi^0) / , \text{total}$, 43/,

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.00033	90	83 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

83 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 3.2 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.

, $(D_s^+ \eta) / , \text{total}$, 44/,

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0005	90	84 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

84 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 4.6 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.

, $(D_s^{*+} \eta) / , \text{total}$, 45/,

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0008	90	85 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

85 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 7.5 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.

, $(D_s^+ \rho^0) / , \text{total}$, 46/,

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0004	90	86 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

86 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 3.7 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.

$[, (D_s^+ \rho^0) + , (D_s^+ \bar{K}^*(892)^0)] / , \text{total}$ (, 46+, 56)/,

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0025	90	87 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

87 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 3.4 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.

, $(D_s^{*+} \rho^0) / , \text{total}$, 47/,

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0005	90	88 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

88 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 4.8 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.

[, ($D_s^{*+} \rho^0$) + , ($D_s^{*+} \bar{K}^*(892)^0$)] /, total , 47/,

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0015	90	89 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

89 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 2.0 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

, ($D_s^+ \omega$)/, total , 48/,

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0005	90	90 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.0025	90	91 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
---------	----	-------------	---------	----------------------------------

90 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 4.8 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

91 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 3.4 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

, ($D_s^{*+} \omega$)/, total , 49/,

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0007	90	92 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.0014	90	93 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
---------	----	-------------	---------	----------------------------------

92 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 6.8 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

93 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 1.9 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

, ($D_s^+ a_1(1260)^0$)/, total , 50/,

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0022	90	94 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

94 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 3.0 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

, ($D_s^{*+} a_1(1260)^0$)/, total , 51/,

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0016	90	95 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

95 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 2.2 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

, $(D_s^+ \phi)/_{\text{total}}$ **, 52/,**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.00032	90	96 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.0013	90	97 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
96 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 3.1 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.				
97 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 1.7 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.				

, $(D_s^{*+} \phi)/_{\text{total}}$ **, 53/,**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.0004	90	98 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.0016	90	99 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
98 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 4.2 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.				
99 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 2.1 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.				

, $(D_s^+ \bar{K}^0)/_{\text{total}}$ **, 54/,**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.0011	90	100 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.0019	90	101 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
100 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 10.3 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.				
101 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.				

, $(D_s^{*+} \bar{K}^0)/_{\text{total}}$ **, 55/,**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.0011	90	102 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.0023	90	103 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
102 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 10.9 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.				
103 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 3.1 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.				

, $(D_s^+ \bar{K}^*(892)^0)/_{\text{total}}$, 56 / ,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0005	90	104 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

104 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 4.4 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.

, $(D_s^{*+} \bar{K}^*(892)^0)/_{\text{total}}$, 57 / ,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0004	90	105 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

105 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 4.3 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.

, $(D_s^- \pi^+ K^+)/_{\text{total}}$, 58 / ,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0008	90	106 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

106 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.

, $(D_s^{*-} \pi^+ K^+)/_{\text{total}}$, 59 / ,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0012	90	107 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

107 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.

, $(D_s^- \pi^+ K^*(892)^+)/_{\text{total}}$, 60 / ,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.006	90	108 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

108 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 8.6 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.

, $(D_s^{*-} \pi^+ K^*(892)^+)/_{\text{total}}$, 61 / ,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.008	90	109 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

109 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 1.1 \times 10^{-2}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.

, $(J/\psi(1S)K^+)/_{\text{total}}$, 62 / ,

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.0 ± 1.0 OUR AVERAGE				

$10.2 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.7$ 110 JESSOP 97 CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$9.3 \pm 3.1 \pm 0.2$ 111 BORTOLETTO92 CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$8.1 \pm 3.5 \pm 0.1$ 6 112 ALBRECHT 90J ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$11.0 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.9$	59	¹¹³ ALAM	94	CLE2	Repl. by JESSOP 97
$22 \pm 10 \pm 2$		BUSKULIC	92G	ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
7 ± 4	3	¹¹⁴ ALBRECHT	87D	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$10 \pm 7 \pm 2$	3	¹¹⁵ BEBEK	87	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
9 ± 5	3	¹¹⁶ ALAM	86	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

110 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

111 BORTOLETTO 92 reports $8 \pm 2 \pm 2$ for $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.069 \pm 0.009$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

112 ALBRECHT 90J reports $7 \pm 3 \pm 1$ for $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.069 \pm 0.009$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

113 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

114 ALBRECHT 87D assume $B^+ B^- / B^0 \bar{B}^0$ ratio is 55/45. Superseded by ALBRECHT 90J.

115 BEBEK 87 value has been updated in BERKELMAN 91 to use same assumptions as noted for BORTOLETTO 92.

116 ALAM 86 assumes B^+ / B^0 ratio is 60/40.

$(J/\psi(1S) K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-) / \text{total}$		^{, 63 / ,}			
VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0014 ± 0.0006 OUR AVERAGE					
0.00140 ± 0.00082 ± 0.00002			¹¹⁷ BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.00140 ± 0.00091 ± 0.00002	6	¹¹⁸ ALBRECHT	87D	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.0019	90	¹¹⁹ ALBRECHT	90J	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
---------	----	-------------------------	-----	-----	----------------------------------

117 BORTOLETTO 92 reports $0.0012 \pm 0.0006 \pm 0.0004$ for $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.069 \pm 0.009$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

118 ALBRECHT 87D reports 0.0012 ± 0.0008 for $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.069 \pm 0.009$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. They actually report 0.0011 ± 0.0007 assuming $B^+ B^- / B^0 \bar{B}^0$ ratio is 55/45. We rescale to 50/50. Analysis explicitly removes $B^+ \rightarrow \psi(2S) K^+$.

119 ALBRECHT 90J reports < 0.0016 for $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.069$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.0593$. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

, ($J/\psi(1S)K^*(892)^+)$ /, total**, 64/,**

For polarization information see the Listings at the end of the " B^0 Branching Ratios" section.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.00148±0.00027 OUR AVERAGE				
0.00141±0.00023±0.00024	120	JESSOP	97 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.00158±0.00047±0.00027	121	ABE	96H CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
0.00151±0.00109±0.00002	122	BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.00186±0.00130±0.00003	2	ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.00178±0.00051±0.00023	13	124 ALAM	94 CLE2	Sup. by JESSOP 97
120 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.				
121 ABE 96H assumes that $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+) = (1.02 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3}$.				
122 BORTOLETTO 92 reports $0.0013 \pm 0.0009 \pm 0.0003$ for $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.069 \pm 0.009$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.				
123 ALBRECHT 90J reports $0.0016 \pm 0.0011 \pm 0.0003$ for $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.069 \pm 0.009$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.				
124 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.				

, ($J/\psi(1S)K^*(892)^+)$ /, ($J/\psi(1S)K^+$)**, 64/, 62**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1.52±0.24 OUR AVERAGE			
1.45±0.20±0.17	125 JESSOP	97 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
1.92±0.60±0.17	ABE	96Q CDF	$p\bar{p}$

125 JESSOP 97 assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$. The measurement is actually measured as an average over kaon charged and neutral states.

, ($J/\psi(1S)\pi^+$) /, ($J/\psi(1S)K^+$)**, 65/, 62**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.051±0.014 OUR AVERAGE				
0.05 $^{+0.019}_{-0.017} \pm 0.001$	ABE	96R CDF	$p\bar{p}$ 1.8 TeV	
0.052±0.024	BISHAI	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.043±0.023	5 126 ALEXANDER	95 CLE2	Sup. by BISHAI 96	

126 Assumes equal production of $B^+ B^-$ and $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ on $\gamma(4S)$.

, ($J/\psi(1S)\rho^+$) /, total**, 66/,**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<7.7 \times 10^{-4}$	90	BISHAI	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

, ($J/\psi(1S)a_1(1260)^+$) /, total**, 67/,**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<1.2 \times 10^{-3}$	90	BISHAI	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

, $(\psi(2S)K^+)/_{\text{total}}$ **, 68/,**

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-4})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
5.8 ± 1.0 OUR AVERAGE					
5.5 ± 1.0 ± 0.6		127	ABE	980	CDF $p\bar{p}$ 1.8 TeV
6.1 ± 2.3 ± 0.9	7	128	ALAM	94	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
18 ± 8 ± 4		5	128 ALBRECHT	90J	ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 5	90	128	BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
22 ± 17		3	129 ALBRECHT	87D	ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

127 ABE 980 reports $[B(B^+ \rightarrow \psi(2S)K^+)]/[B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+)] = 0.558 \pm 0.082 \pm 0.056$. We multiply by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+) = (9.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

128 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

129 ALBRECHT 87D assume $B^+ B^- / B^0 \bar{B}^0$ ratio is 55/45. Superseded by ALBRECHT 90J.

, $(\psi(2S)K^*(892)^+)/_{\text{total}}$ **, 69/,**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.0030	90	130 ALAM	94	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.0035	90	130 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
<0.0049	90	130 ALBRECHT	90J	ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

130 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

, $(\psi(2S)K^+\pi^+\pi^-)/_{\text{total}}$ **, 70/,**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.0019 ± 0.0011 ± 0.0004	3	131 ALBRECHT	90J	ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

131 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

, $(\chi_{c1}(1P)K^+)/_{\text{total}}$ **, 71/,**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.0010 ± 0.0004 OUR AVERAGE				
0.00097 ± 0.00040 ± 0.00009	6	132 ALAM	94	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.0019 ± 0.0013 ± 0.0006		133 ALBRECHT	92E	ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

132 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

133 ALBRECHT 92E assumes no $\chi_{c2}(1P)$ production and $B(\gamma(4S) \rightarrow B^+ B^-) = 50\%$.

, $(\chi_{c1}(1P)K^*(892)^+)/_{\text{total}}$ **, 72/,**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.0021	90	134 ALAM	94	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

134 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

$, (K^0 \pi^+)/, \text{total}$

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-5})	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$2.3^{+1.1}_{-1.0} \pm 0.36$		GODANG	98	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 4.8	90	ASNER	96	CLE2 Repl. by GODANG 98
<19	90	ALBRECHT	91B	ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
<10	90	135 Avery	89B	CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
<68	90	AVERY	87	CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

135 Avery 89B reports $< 9 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

 $, (K^+ \pi^0)/, \text{total}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<1.6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	GODANG	98	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<1.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ASNER	96	CLE2 Repl. by GODANG 98
-----------------------	----	-------	----	----------------------------

 $, (\eta' K^+)/, \text{total}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$(6.5^{+1.5}_{-1.4} \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-5}$		BEHRENS	98	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

 $, (\eta' K^*(892)^+)/, \text{total}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	BEHRENS	98	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

 $, (\eta K^+)/, \text{total}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<1.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BEHRENS	98	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

 $, (\eta K^*(892)^+)/, \text{total}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<3.0 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BEHRENS	98	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

 $, (\omega K^+)/, \text{total}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$(1.5^{+0.7}_{-0.6} \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5}$		136 BERGFELD	98	CLE2

136 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

 $, (\omega K^*(892)^+)/, \text{total}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<8.7 \times 10^{-5}$	90	137 BERGFELD	98	CLE2

137 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

, $(K^*(892)^0 \pi^+)/$, total , 81 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<4.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ASNER	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<3.9 \times 10^{-4}$	90	138 ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
$<4.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	139 ABREU	95N DLPH	Sup. by ADAM 96D
$<1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$<1.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	140 AVERY	89B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$<2.6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	AVERY	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

138 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.

139 Assumes a B^0 , B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.

140 AVERY 89B reports $< 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

, $(K^*(892)^+ \pi^0)/$, total , 82 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<9.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ASNER	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

, $(K^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \text{nonresonant})/$, total , 83 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<2.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BERGFELD	96B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<3.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	141 ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
$<4.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	142 ABREU	95N DLPH	Sup. by ADAM 96D
$<3.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ALBRECHT	91E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$<1.9 \times 10^{-4}$	90	143 AVERY	89B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

141 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.

142 Assumes a B^0 , B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.

143 AVERY 89B reports $< 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

, $(K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \text{nonresonant})/$, total , 84 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<5.6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BERGFELD	96B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

, $(K_1(1400)^0 \pi^+)/$, total , 85 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<2.6 \times 10^{-3}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

, $(K_2^*(1430)^0 \pi^+)/$, total , 86 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<6.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

, $(K^+ \rho^0)/_{\text{total}}$, 87 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<1.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ASNER	96	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<1.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	144 ADAM	96D	DLPH $e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
$<1.9 \times 10^{-4}$	90	145 ABREU	95N	DLPH Sup. by ADAM 96D
$<1.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B	ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$<8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	146 AVERY	89B	CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$<2.6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	AVERY	87	CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

144 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.

145 Assumes a B^0 , B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.

146 AVERY 89B reports $< 7 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

, $(K^0 \rho^+)/_{\text{total}}$, 88 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<4.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ASNER	96	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

, $(K^*(892)^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/_{\text{total}}$, 89 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<1.1 \times 10^{-3}$	90	ALBRECHT	91E	ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

, $(K^*(892)^+ \rho^0)/_{\text{total}}$, 90 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<9.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B	ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

, $(K_1(1400)^+ \rho^0)/_{\text{total}}$, 91 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<7.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B	ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

, $(K_2^*(1430)^+ \rho^0)/_{\text{total}}$, 92 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B	ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

, $(K^+ \bar{K}^0)/_{\text{total}}$, 93 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<2.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	GODANG	98	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

, $(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \text{nonresonant})/_{\text{total}}$, 94 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<7.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BERGFELD	96B	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

, $(K^+ K^- K^+)/_{\text{total}}$, 95 /,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	147 ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$<3.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	148 ABREU	95N DLPH	Sup. by ADAM 96D
$<3.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ALBRECHT	91E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

147 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.

148 Assumes a B^0 , B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.

, $(K^+ \phi)/_{\text{total}}$, 96 /,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<0.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	149 BERGFELD	98 CLE2	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$<2.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	150 ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
$<1.2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ASNER	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$<4.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	151 ABREU	95N DLPH	Sup. by ADAM 96D
$<1.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$<9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	152 AVERY	89B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$<2.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	AVERY	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

149 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

150 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.

151 Assumes a B^0 , B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.

152 Avery 89B reports $< 8 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

, $(K^+ K^- K^+ \text{nonresonant})/_{\text{total}}$, 97 /,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<3.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BERGFELD	96B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

, $(K^*(892)^+ K^+ K^-)/_{\text{total}}$, 98 /,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<1.6 \times 10^{-3}$	90	ALBRECHT	91E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

, $(K^*(892)^+ \phi)/_{\text{total}}$, 99 /,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<4.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	153 BERGFELD	98 CLE2	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$<7.0 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ASNER	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$<1.3 \times 10^{-3}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

153 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

, $(K_1(1400)^+ \phi)/_{\text{total}}$, 100 /,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<1.1 \times 10^{-3}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

, $(K_2^*(1430)^+ \phi)/_{\text{total}}$, 101 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$< 3.4 \times 10^{-3}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

, $(K^+ f_0(980))/_{\text{total}}$, 102 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$< 8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	154 Avery	89B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

154 Avery 89B reports $< 7 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

, $(K^*(892)^+ \gamma)/_{\text{total}}$, 103 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$(5.7 \pm 3.1 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-5}$	5	155 AMMAR	93 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$< 5.5 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 156 ALBRECHT 89G ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$< 5.5 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 157 Avery 89B CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$< 1.8 \times 10^{-3}$ 90 Avery 87 CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

155 AMMAR 93 observed 4.1 ± 2.3 events above background.

156 Assumes the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$.

157 Assumes the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$.

, $(K_1(1270)^+ \gamma)/_{\text{total}}$, 104 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 0.0073	90	158 ALBRECHT	89G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

158 ALBRECHT 89G reports < 0.0066 assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

, $(K_1(1400)^+ \gamma)/_{\text{total}}$, 105 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 0.0022	90	159 ALBRECHT	89G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

159 ALBRECHT 89G reports < 0.0020 assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

, $(K_2^*(1430)^+ \gamma)/_{\text{total}}$, 106 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 0.0014	90	160 ALBRECHT	89G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

160 ALBRECHT 89G reports < 0.0013 assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

, $(K^*(1680)^+ \gamma)/_{\text{total}}$, 107 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 0.0019	90	161 ALBRECHT	89G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

161 ALBRECHT 89G reports < 0.0017 assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

, ($K_3^*(1780)^+ \gamma$)/, total , 108 /,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0055	90	162 ALBRECHT	89G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

162 ALBRECHT 89G reports < 0.005 assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

, ($K_4^*(2045)^+ \gamma$)/, total , 109 /,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0099	90	163 ALBRECHT	89G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

163 ALBRECHT 89G reports < 0.0090 assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

, ($\pi^+ \pi^0$)/, total , 110 /,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.0 \times 10^{-5}$	90	GODANG	98 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<1.7 \times 10^{-5}$ 90 ASNER 96 CLE2 Repl. by GODANG 98

$<2.4 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 164 ALBRECHT 90B ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$<2.3 \times 10^{-3}$ 90 165 BEBEK 87 CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

164 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

165 BEBEK 87 assume the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$.

, ($\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$)/, total , 111 /,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	166 ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<2.2 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 167 ABREU 95N DLPH Sup. by ADAM 96D

$<4.5 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 168 ALBRECHT 90B ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$<1.9 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 169 BORTOLETTO89 CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

166 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.

167 Assumes a B^0 , B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.

168 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

169 BORTOLETTO 89 reports $< 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

, ($\rho^0 \pi^+$)/, total , 112 /,

VALUE	CL %	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<4.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ASNER	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<1.6 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 170 ADAM 96D DLPH $e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

$<2.6 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 171 ABREU 95N DLPH Sup. by ADAM 96D

$<1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 172 ALBRECHT 90B ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$<1.7 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 173 BORTOLETTO89 CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$<2.3 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 173 BEBEK 87 CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$<6 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 0 GILES 84 CLEO Repl. by BEBEK 87

170 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.

171 Assumes a B^0 , B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.

172 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

173 Papers assume the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$[(K^*(892)^0 \pi^+) + (\rho^0 \pi^+)] / , \text{ total}$, 81+, 112)/,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$(17 \pm 12 \pm 2) \times 10^{-5}$	174	ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

174 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.

$, (\pi^+ f_0(980)) / , \text{ total}$, 113)/,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	175 BORTOLETTO89	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

175 BORTOLETTO 89 reports $< 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$, (\pi^+ f_2(1270)) / , \text{ total}$, 114)/,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	176 BORTOLETTO89	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

176 BORTOLETTO 89 reports $< 2.1 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$, (\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \text{ nonresonant}) / , \text{ total}$, 115)/,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<4.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BERGFELD	96B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$, (\pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0) / , \text{ total}$, 116)/,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<8.9 \times 10^{-4}$	90	177 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

177 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

$, (\rho^+ \pi^0) / , \text{ total}$, 117)/,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<7.7 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ASNER	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<5.5 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 178 ALBRECHT 90B ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

178 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

$, (\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^0) / , \text{ total}$, 118)/,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<4.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	179 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

179 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

$, (\rho^+ \rho^0) / , \text{ total}$, 119)/,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	180 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

180 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

$, (a_1(1260)^+ \pi^0) / , \text{ total}$, 120)/,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.7 \times 10^{-3}$	90	181 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

181 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

, $(a_1(1260)^0 \pi^+)/$, total , 121 /,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<9.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	182 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

182 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

, $(\omega \pi^+)/$, total , 122 /,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	183 BERGFELD	98 CLE2	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<4.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	184 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
-----------------------	----	--------------	---------	----------------------------------

183 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

184 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

, $(\omega \rho^+)/$, total , 123 /,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<6.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	185 BERGFELD	98 CLE2	

185 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

, $(\eta \pi^+)/$, total , 124 /,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BEHRENS	98 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<7.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	186 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
-----------------------	----	--------------	---------	----------------------------------

186 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

, $(\eta' \pi^+)/$, total , 125 /,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BEHRENS	98 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

, $(\eta' \rho^+)/$, total , 126 /,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<4.7 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BEHRENS	98 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

, $(\eta \rho^+)/$, total , 127 /,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BEHRENS	98 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

, $(\phi \pi^+)/$, total , 128 /,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<0.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	187 BERGFELD	98 CLE2	

187 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

, $(\phi \rho^+)/$, total , 129 /,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	188 BERGFELD	98 CLE2	

188 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

, $(\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-)/_{\text{total}}$, 130 / ,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<8.6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	189 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

189 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.**, $(\rho^0 a_1(1260)^+)/_{\text{total}}$** , 131 / ,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<6.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	190 BORTOLETTO89	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $<6.0 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 191 ALBRECHT 90B ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$ $<3.2 \times 10^{-3}$ 90 190 BEBEK 87 CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$ 190 BORTOLETTO 89 reports $< 5.4 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$.

We rescale to 50%.

191 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.**, $(\rho^0 a_2(1320)^+)/_{\text{total}}$** , 132 / ,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<7.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	192 BORTOLETTO89	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $<2.6 \times 10^{-3}$ 90 193 BEBEK 87 CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$ 192 BORTOLETTO 89 reports $< 6.3 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$.

We rescale to 50%.

193 BEBEK 87 reports $< 2.3 \times 10^{-3}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.**, $(\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^0)/_{\text{total}}$** , 133 / ,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<6.3 \times 10^{-3}$	90	194 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

194 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.**, $(a_1(1260)^+ a_1(1260)^0)/_{\text{total}}$** , 134 / ,

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-2}$	90	195 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

195 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.**, $(h^+ \pi^0)/_{\text{total}}$** , 135 / , $h^+ = K^+$ or π^+

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$(1.6^{+0.6}_{-0.5} \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-5}$	GODANG 98	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

, $(\omega h^+)/_{\text{total}}$, 136 / , $h^+ = K^+$ or π^+

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
$(2.5^{+0.8}_{-0.7} \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5}$	196 BERGFELD 98	CLE2

196 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

, ($p\bar{p}\pi^+$)/, total , 137/,

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	197 BEBEK	89 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$< 5.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	198 ABREU	95N DLPH	Sup. by ADAM 96D $(5.7 \pm 1.5 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-4}$
		199 ALBRECHT	88F ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

197 BEBEK 89 reports $< 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

198 Assumes a B^0 , B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.

199 ALBRECHT 88F reports $(5.2 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

, ($p\bar{p}\pi^+$ nonresonant)/, total , 138/,

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 5.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BERGFELD	96B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

, ($p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$)/, total , 139/,

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 5.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	200 ALBRECHT	88F ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

200 ALBRECHT 88F reports $< 4.7 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

, ($p\bar{p}K^+$ nonresonant)/, total , 140/,

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 8.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BERGFELD	96B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

, ($p\bar{\Lambda}$)/, total , 141/,

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	201 AVERY	89B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$< 9.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	202 ALBRECHT	88F ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
------------------------	----	--------------	---------	----------------------------------

201 AVERY 89B reports $< 5 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

202 ALBRECHT 88F reports $< 8.5 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

, ($p\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$)/, total , 142/,

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	203 ALBRECHT	88F ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

203 ALBRECHT 88F reports $< 1.8 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

, ($\Delta^0 p$)/, total , 143/,

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 3.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	204 BORTOLETTO89	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

204 BORTOLETTO 89 reports $< 3.3 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

, $(\Delta^{++} \bar{p}) / , \text{total}$, 144 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<1.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	205 BORTOLETTO89	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

205 BORTOLETTO 89 reports $< 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$.
We rescale to 50%.

, $(\Lambda_c^- p \pi^+) / , \text{total}$, 145 / ,

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$6.2^{+2.3}_{-2.0} \pm 1.6$	206 FU	97	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

206 FU 97 uses PDG 96 values of Λ_c^- branching fraction.

, $(\Lambda_c^- p \pi^+ \pi^0) / , \text{total}$, 146 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<3.12 \times 10^{-3}$	90	207 FU	97	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

207 FU 97 uses PDG 96 values of Λ_c^- branching ratio.

, $(\Lambda_c^- p \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-) / , \text{total}$, 147 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<1.46 \times 10^{-3}$	90	208 FU	97	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

208 FU 97 uses PDG 96 values of Λ_c^- branching ratio.

, $(\Lambda_c^- p \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) / , \text{total}$, 148 / ,

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<1.34 \times 10^{-2}$	90	209 FU	97	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

209 FU 97 uses PDG 96 values of Λ_c^- branching ratio.

, $(\pi^+ e^+ e^-) / , \text{total}$, 149 / ,

Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.0039	90	210 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^- 29 \text{ GeV}$

210 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

, $(\pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-) / , \text{total}$, 150 / ,

Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.0091	90	211 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^- 29 \text{ GeV}$

211 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

, $(K^+ e^+ e^-) / , \text{total}$, 151 / ,

Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL %</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	212 AVERY	89B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<9.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	213 ALBRECHT	91E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$<6.8 \times 10^{-3}$	90	214 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^- 29 \text{ GeV}$
$<2.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	215 Avery	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

212 Avery 89B reports $< 5 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

213 ALBRECHT 91E reports $< 9.0 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

214 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

215 Avery 87 reports $< 2.1 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 40% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

, ($K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$)/, total , 152/,

Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.0 \times 10^{-5}$	90	216 ABE	96L CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<2.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	217 ALBRECHT	91E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$<6.4 \times 10^{-3}$	90	218 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^- 29 \text{ GeV}$
$<1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	90	219 Avery	89B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$<3.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	220 Avery	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

216 ABE 96L measured relative to $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) K^+$ using PDG 94 branching ratios.

217 ALBRECHT 91E reports $< 2.2 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

218 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

219 Avery 89B reports $< 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

220 Avery 87 reports $< 3.2 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 40% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

, ($K^*(892)^+ e^+ e^-$)/, total , 153/,

Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<6.9 \times 10^{-4}$	90	221 ALBRECHT	91E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

221 ALBRECHT 91E reports $< 6.3 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

, ($K^*(892)^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$)/, total , 154/,

Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.2 \times 10^{-3}$	90	222 ALBRECHT	91E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

222 ALBRECHT 91E reports $< 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

, ($\pi^+ e^+ \mu^-$)/, total , 155/,

Test of lepton family number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0064	90	223 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^- 29 \text{ GeV}$

223 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

, ($\pi^+ e^- \mu^+$)/, total , 156/,

Test of lepton family number conservation.

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0064	90	224 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

224 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

, ($K^+ e^- \mu^-$)/, total , 157/,

Test of lepton family number conservation.

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0064	90	225 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

225 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

, ($K^+ e^- \mu^+$)/, total , 158/,

Test of lepton family number conservation.

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0064	90	226 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

226 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

, ($\pi^- e^+ e^+$)/, total , 159/,

Test of total lepton number conservation.

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0039	90	227 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

227 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

, ($\pi^- \mu^+ \mu^+$)/, total , 160/,

Test of total lepton number conservation.

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0091	90	228 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

228 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

, ($\pi^- e^+ \mu^+$)/, total , 161/,

Test of total lepton number conservation.

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0064	90	229 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

229 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

, ($K^- e^+ e^+$)/, total , 162/,

Test of total lepton number conservation.

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0039	90	230 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

230 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

, ($K^- \mu^+ \mu^+$)/, total , 163/,

Test of total lepton number conservation.

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0091	90	231 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

231 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

$, (K^- e^+ \mu^+)/, \text{total}$

, 164/,

Test of total lepton number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0064	90	232 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

232 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND. **B^\pm REFERENCES**

ABBIENDI	99J	hep-ex/9901017	G. Abbiendi+	(OPAL Collab.)
CERN-EP/98-195,	EPJ C (to be publ.)			
ABE	98B	PR D57 5382	F. Abe+	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	98O	PR D58 072001	F. Abe+	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	98Q	PR D58 092002	F. Abe+	(CDF Collab.)
ACCIARRI	98S	PL B438 417	M. Acciarri+	(L3 Collab.)
ANASTASSOV	98	PRL 80 4127	A. Anastassov+	(CLEO Collab.)
ATHANAS	98	PRL 80 5493	M. Athanas+	(CLEO Collab.)
BARATE	98Q	EPJ C4 387	R. Barate+	(ALEPH Collab.)
BEHRENS	98	PRL 80 3710	B.H. Behrens+	(CLEO Collab.)
BERGFELD	98	PRL 81 272	T. Bergfeld+	(CLEO Collab.)
BRANDENB...	98	PRL 80 2762	G. Brandenbrug+	(CLEO Collab.)
GODANG	98	PRL 80 3456	R. Godang+	(CLEO Collab.)
ABE	97J	PRL 79 590	+Abe, Akagi, Allen+	(SLD Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97F	PL B396 327	M. Acciarri+	(L3 Collab.)
ARTUSO	97	PL B399 321	M. Artuso+	(CLEO Collab.)
ATHANAS	97	PRL 79 2208	M. Athanas+	(CLEO Collab.)
BROWDER	97	PR D56 11	T. Browder+	(CLEO Collab.)
FU	97	PRL 79 3125	X. Fu+	(CLEO Collab.)
JESSOP	97	PRL 79 4533	C.P. Jessop+	(CLEO Collab.)
ABE	96B	PR D53 3496	+Albrow, Amendolia, Amidei+	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96C	PRL 76 4462	+Akimoto, Akopian, Albrow+	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96H	PRL 76 2015	+Albrow, Amendolia, Amidei+	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96L	PRL 76 4675	+Akimoto, Akopian, Albrow+	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96Q	PR D54 6596	+Akimoto, Akopian, Albrow+	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96R	PRL 77 5176	+Akimoto, Akopian, Albrow+	(CDF Collab.)
ADAM	96D	ZPHY C72 207	W. Adam+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ASNER	96	PR D53 1039	+Athanas, Bliss, Brower+	(CLEO Collab.)
BARISH	96B	PRL 76 1570	+Chadha, Chan, Eigen+	(CLEO Collab.)
BERGFELD	96B	PRL 77 4503	+Eisenstein, Ernst, Gladding+	(CLEO Collab.)
BISHAI	96	PL B369 186	+Fast, Gerndt, Hinson+	(CLEO Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96J	ZPHY C71 31	+De Bonis, Decamp, Ghez+	(ALEPH Collab.)
GIBAUT	96	PR D53 4734	+Kinoshita, Pomianowski, Barish+	(CLEO Collab.)
PDG	96	PR D54 1		
ABREU	95N	PL B357 255	+Adam, Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	95Q	ZPHY C68 13	+Adam, Adye, Agasi+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ADAM	95	ZPHY C68 363	+Adye, Agasi, Ajinenko+	(DELPHI Collab.)
AKERS	95T	ZPHY C67 379	+Alexander, Allison, Ametewee+	(OPAL Collab.)
ALBRECHT	95D	PL B353 554	+Hamacher, Hofmann, Kirchhoff+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALEXANDER	95	PL B341 435	+Bebek, Berkelman, Bloom+	(CLEO Collab.)
Also	95C	PL B347 469 (erratum)	Alexander, Bebek, Berkelman, Bloom+	(CLEO Collab.)
ARTUSO	95	PRL 75 785	+Gao, Goldberg, He+	(CLEO Collab.)
BARISH	95	PR D51 1014	+Chadha, Chan, Cowen+	(CLEO Collab.)
BUSKULIC	95	PL B343 444	+Casper, De Bonis, Decamp, Ghez, Goy+	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABE	94D	PRL 72 3456	+Albrow, Amidei, Anway-Wiese, Apollinari	(CDF Collab.)
ALAM	94	PR D50 43	+Kim, Nemati, O'Neill, Severini+	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	94D	PL B335 526	+Hamacher, Hofmann, Kirchhoff, Mankel+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ATHANAS	94	PRL 73 3503	+Brower, Masek, Paar, Gronberg+	(CLEO Collab.)
Also	95	PRL 74 3090 (erratum)	Athanas, Brower, Masek, Paar+	(CLEO Collab.)
PDG	94	PR D50 1173	Montanet+	(CERN, LBL, BOST, IFIC+)
STONE	94	HEPSY 93-11		
ABREU	93D	ZPHY C57 181	+Adam, Adye, Agasi, Alekseev+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	93G	PL B312 253	+Adam, Adye, Agasi, Ajinenko+	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACTON	93C	PL B307 247	+Alexander, Allison, Allport, Anderson+	(OPAL Collab.)
ALBRECHT	93E	ZPHY C60 11	+Ehrlichmann, Hamacher, Hofmann+	(ARGUS Collab.)

ALEXANDER	93B	PL B319 365	+Bebek, Berkelman, Bloom, Browder+	(CLEO Collab.)
AMMAR	93	PRL 71 674	+Ball, Baringer, Coppage, Cotty+	(CLEO Collab.)
BEAN	93B	PRL 70 2681	+Gronberg, Kutschke, Menary, Morrison+	(CLEO Collab.)
BUSKULIC	93D	PL B307 194	+Decamp, Goy, Lees, Minard+	(ALEPH Collab.)
Also	94H	PL B325 537 (errata)	+Skwarnicki, Stroynowski, Artuso, Goldberg+	(CLEO Collab.)
SANGHERA	93	PR D47 791	+Ehrlichmann, Hamacher, Krueger, Nau+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	92C	PL B275 195	+Ehrlichmann, Hamacher, Krueger, Nau+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	92E	PL B277 209	+Ehrlichmann, Hamacher, Krueger, Nau+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	92G	ZPHY C54 1	+Brown, Dominick, McIlwain+	(CLEO Collab.)
BORTOLETTO	92	PR D45 21	+Decamp, Goy, Lees, Minard+	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	92G	PL B295 396	+Glaeser, Harder, Krueger, Nippe+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	91B	PL B254 288	+Ehrlichmann, Glaeser, Harder, Krueger+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	91C	PL B255 297	+Glaeser, Harder, Krueger, Nippe+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	91E	PL B262 148	+Stone	(CORN, SYRA)
BERKELMAN	91	ARNPS 41 1	"Decays of B Mesons"	
FULTON	91	PR D43 651	+Jensen, Johnson, Kagan, Kass+	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	90B	PL B241 278	+Glaeser, Harder, Krueger, Nilsson+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	90J	ZPHY C48 543	+Ehrlichmann, Harder, Krueger+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ANTREASYAN	90B	ZPHY C48 553	+Bartels, Bieler, Bienlein, Bizzeti+	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
BORTOLETTO	90	PRL 64 2117	+Goldberg, Horwitz, Jain, Mestayer+	(CLEO Collab.)
Also	92	PR D45 21	Bortoletto, Brown, Dominick, McIlwain+	(CLEO Collab.)
WEIR	90B	PR D41 1384	+Klein, Abrams, Adolphsen, Akerlof+	(Mark II Collab.)
ALBRECHT	89G	PL B229 304	+Glaeser, Harder, Krueger+	(ARGUS Collab.)
AVERY	89B	PL B223 470	+Besson, Garren, Yelton+	(CLEO Collab.)
BEBEK	89	PRL 62 8	+Berkelman, Blucher+	(CLEO Collab.)
BORTOLETTO	89	PRL 62 2436	+Goldberg, Horwitz, Mestayer+	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	88F	PL B209 119	+Boeckmann, Glaeser+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	88K	PL B215 424	+Boeckmann, Glaeser+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	87C	PL B185 218	+Binder, Boeckmann, Glaser+	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	87D	PL B199 451	+Andam, Binder, Boeckmann+	(ARGUS Collab.)
AVERY	87	PL B183 429	+Besson, Bowcock, Giles+	(CLEO Collab.)
BEBEK	87	PR D36 1289	+Berkelman, Blucher, Cassel+	(CLEO Collab.)
ALAM	86	PR D34 3279	+Katayama, Kim, Sun+	(CLEO Collab.)
PDG	86	PL 170B	Aguilar-Benitez, Porter+	(CERN, CIT+)
GILES	84	PR D30 2279	+Hassard, Hempstead, Kinoshita+	(CLEO Collab.)
