



$$I(J^P) = 0(0^-)$$

$I, J, P$  need confirmation. Quantum numbers shown are quark-model predictions.

### $B_s^0$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>5369.6 ± 2.4 OUR UNCHECKED FIT</b>				
<b>5369.6 ± 2.4 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
5369.9 ± 2.3 ± 1.3	32	<sup>1</sup> ABE	96B CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
5374 ± 16 ± 2	3	ABREU	94D DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
5359 ± 19 ± 7	1	<sup>1</sup> AKERS	94J OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
5368.6 ± 5.6 ± 1.5	2	BUSKULIC	93G ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
5370 ± 40	6	<sup>2</sup> AKERS	94J OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
5383.3 ± 4.5 ± 5.0	14	ABE	93F CDF	Repl by ABE 96B

<sup>1</sup> From the decay  $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\phi$ .

<sup>2</sup> From the decay  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^- \pi^+$ .

### $m_{B_s^0} - m_B$

$m_B$  is the average of our  $B$  masses  $(m_{B^\pm} + m_{B^0})/2$ .

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>90.4 ± 2.4 OUR UNCHECKED FIT</b>				
<b>89.7 ± 2.7 ± 1.2</b>				
		ABE	96B CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
80 to 130	68	LEE-FRANZINI 90	CSB2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(5S)$

### $m_{B_{sH}^0} - m_{B_{sL}^0}$

See the  $B_s^0 - \bar{B}_s^0$  MIXING section near the end of these  $B_s^0$  Listings.

**$B_s^0$  MEAN LIFE**

“OUR EVALUATION” is an average of the data listed below performed by the LEP  $B$  Lifetimes Working Group as described in our review “Production and Decay of  $b$ -flavored Hadrons” in the  $B^\pm$  Section of the Listings. The averaging procedure takes into account correlations between the measurements and asymmetric lifetime errors.

VALUE ( $10^{-12}$ s)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1.493 ± 0.062 OUR EVALUATION</b>				
Average is meaningless. [(1.54 ± 0.07) × 10 <sup>-12</sup> s OUR 1998 AVERAGE]				
1.36 ± 0.09 <sup>+0.06</sup> / <sub>-0.05</sub>		<sup>3</sup> ABE	99D CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
1.34 <sup>+0.23</sup> / <sub>-0.19</sub> ± 0.05		<sup>4</sup> ABE	98B CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
1.72 <sup>+0.20</sup> / <sub>-0.19</sub> <sup>+0.18</sup> / <sub>-0.17</sub>		<sup>5</sup> ACKERSTAFF	98F OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.50 <sup>+0.16</sup> / <sub>-0.15</sub> ± 0.04		<sup>3</sup> ACKERSTAFF	98G OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.47 ± 0.14 ± 0.08		<sup>6</sup> BARATE	98C ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.56 <sup>+0.29</sup> / <sub>-0.26</sub> <sup>+0.08</sup> / <sub>-0.07</sub>		<sup>3</sup> ABREU	96F DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.65 <sup>+0.34</sup> / <sub>-0.31</sub> ± 0.12		<sup>6</sup> ABREU	96F DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.76 ± 0.20 <sup>+0.15</sup> / <sub>-0.10</sub>		<sup>7</sup> ABREU	96F DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.60 ± 0.26 <sup>+0.13</sup> / <sub>-0.15</sub>		<sup>8</sup> ABREU	96F DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.54 <sup>+0.14</sup> / <sub>-0.13</sub> ± 0.04		<sup>3</sup> BUSKULIC	96M ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1.51 ± 0.11		<sup>9</sup> BARATE	98C ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.34 <sup>+0.23</sup> / <sub>-0.19</sub> ± 0.05		<sup>10</sup> ABE	96N CDF	Repl. by ABE 98B
1.67 ± 0.14		<sup>11</sup> ABREU	96F DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.61 <sup>+0.30</sup> / <sub>-0.29</sub> <sup>+0.18</sup> / <sub>-0.16</sub>	90	<sup>6</sup> BUSKULIC	96E ALEP	Repl. by BARATE 98C
1.42 <sup>+0.27</sup> / <sub>-0.23</sub> ± 0.11	76	<sup>3</sup> ABE	95R CDF	Repl. by ABE 99D
1.74 <sup>+1.08</sup> / <sub>-0.69</sub> ± 0.07	8	<sup>12</sup> ABE	95R CDF	Sup. by ABE 96N
1.54 <sup>+0.25</sup> / <sub>-0.21</sub> ± 0.06	79	<sup>3</sup> AKERS	95G OPAL	Repl. by ACKERSTAFF 98G
1.59 <sup>+0.17</sup> / <sub>-0.15</sub> ± 0.03	134	<sup>3</sup> BUSKULIC	95O ALEP	Sup. by BUSKULIC 96M
0.96 ± 0.37	41	<sup>13</sup> ABREU	94E DLPH	Sup. by ABREU 96F
1.92 <sup>+0.45</sup> / <sub>-0.35</sub> ± 0.04	31	<sup>3</sup> BUSKULIC	94C ALEP	Sup. by BUSKULIC 95O
1.13 <sup>+0.35</sup> / <sub>-0.26</sub> ± 0.09	22	<sup>3</sup> ACTON	93H OPAL	Sup. by AKERS 95G

<sup>3</sup> Measured using  $D_s^- \ell^+$  vertices.

<sup>4</sup> Measured using fully reconstructed  $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\phi$  decay.

<sup>5</sup> ACKERSTAFF 98F use fully reconstructed  $D_s^- \rightarrow \phi\pi^-$  and  $D_s^- \rightarrow K^{*0}K^-$  in the inclusive  $B_s^0$  decay.

<sup>6</sup> Measured using  $D_s$  hadron vertices.

<sup>7</sup> Measured using  $\phi\ell$  vertices.

<sup>8</sup> Measured using inclusive  $D_s$  vertices.

<sup>9</sup> Combined results from  $D_s^- \ell^+$  and  $D_s$  hadron.

<sup>10</sup> ABE 96N uses  $58 \pm 12$  exclusive  $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\phi$  events.

<sup>11</sup> Combined result for the four ABREU 96F methods.

<sup>12</sup> Exclusive reconstruction of  $B_s \rightarrow \psi\phi$ .

<sup>13</sup> ABREU 94E uses the flight-distance distribution of  $D_s$  vertices,  $\phi$ -lepton vertices, and  $D_s\mu$  vertices.

$$|\Delta\Gamma_{B_s^0}|/\Gamma_{B_s^0}$$

$\Gamma_{B_s^0}$  and  $|\Delta\Gamma_{B_s^0}|$  are the decay rate average and difference between two  $B_s^0$  CP eigenstates.

The first "OUR EVALUATION,"  $< 0.33$  (CL=95%), also provided by the LEP B Oscillation Working Group, including the assumption of  $\Gamma_s = \frac{1}{\tau_{B_d}}$ .

The second "OUR EVALUATION,"  $< 0.65$  (CL=95%), is an average of all available  $B_s$  semi-leptonic lifetime measurements with the  $\Delta\Gamma_{B_s^0}/\Gamma_s$  analyses performed by the LEP B Oscillation Working Group as described in our "Review on  $B$ - $\bar{B}$  Mixing" in the  $B^0$  Section of these Listings.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt;0.65 (CL = 95%) OUR EVALUATION</b>				
<b>&lt;0.33 (CL = 95%) OUR EVALUATION</b>				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.83	95	<sup>14</sup> ABE	99D CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
<0.67	95	<sup>15</sup> ACCIARRI	98S L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
<sup>14</sup> ABE 99D assumes $\tau_{B_s^0} = 1.55 \pm 0.05$ ps.				
<sup>15</sup> ACCIARRI 98S assumes $\tau_{B_s^0} = 1.49 \pm 0.06$ ps and PDG 98 values of $b$ production fraction.				

### $B_s^0$ DECAY MODES

These branching fractions all scale with  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0)$ , the LEP  $B_s^0$  production fraction. The first four were evaluated using  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0) = (10.7 \pm 1.4)\%$  and the rest assume  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0) = 12\%$ .

The branching fraction  $B(B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell \text{ anything})$  is not a pure measurement since the measured product branching fraction  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0) \times B(B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell \text{ anything})$  was used to determine  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0)$ , as described in the note on "Production and Decay of  $b$ -Flavored Hadrons."

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1$ $D_s^-$ anything	(92 $\pm$ 31 ) %	
$\Gamma_2$ $D_s^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ anything	[a] ( 8.1 $\pm$ 2.4 ) %	
$\Gamma_3$ $D_s^- \pi^+$	< 13 %	
$\Gamma_4$ $D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-}$	< 21.8 %	90%
$\Gamma_5$ $J/\psi(1S)\phi$	( 9.3 $\pm$ 3.3 ) $\times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_6$ $J/\psi(1S)\pi^0$	< 1.2 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_7$ $J/\psi(1S)\eta$	< 3.8 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_8$ $\psi(2S)\phi$	seen	
$\Gamma_9$ $\pi^+ \pi^-$	< 1.7 $\times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{10}$ $\pi^0 \pi^0$	< 2.1 $\times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{11}$ $\eta \pi^0$	< 1.0 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{12}$ $\eta \eta$	< 1.5 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{13}$ $\pi^+ K^-$	< 2.1 $\times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{14}$ $K^+ K^-$	< 5.9 $\times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_{15}$ $\rho \bar{\rho}$	< 5.9 $\times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_{16}$ $\gamma \gamma$	< 1.48 $\times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{17}$ $\phi \gamma$	< 7 $\times 10^{-4}$	90%

**Lepton Family number (LF) violating modes or  $\Delta B = 1$  weak neutral current (B1) modes**

$\Gamma_{18}$ $\mu^+ \mu^-$	B1	< 2.0 $\times 10^{-6}$	90%
$\Gamma_{19}$ $e^+ e^-$	B1	< 5.4 $\times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_{20}$ $e^\pm \mu^\mp$	LF [b]	< 6.1 $\times 10^{-6}$	90%
$\Gamma_{21}$ $\phi \nu \bar{\nu}$	B1	< 5.4 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%

[a] Not a pure measurement. See note at head of  $B_s^0$  Decay Modes.

[b] The value is for the sum of the charge states or particle/antiparticle states indicated.

**$B_s^0$  BRANCHING RATIOS**

$\Gamma(D_s^- \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.92 <math>\pm</math> 0.31 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.81 $\pm$ 0.24 $\pm$ 0.22	90	<sup>16</sup> BUSKULIC	96E ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.56 $\pm$ 0.58 $\pm$ 0.44	147	<sup>17</sup> ACTON	92N OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

<sup>16</sup> BUSKULIC 96E separate  $c\bar{c}$  and  $b\bar{b}$  sources of  $D_s^+$  mesons using a lifetime tag, subtract generic  $\bar{b} \rightarrow W^+ \rightarrow D_s^+$  events, and obtain  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0) \times B(B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \text{ anything}) = 0.088 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.020$  assuming  $B(D_s \rightarrow \phi\pi) = (3.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$  and PDG 1994 values for the relative partial widths to other  $D_s$  channels. We evaluate using our current values  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0) = 0.107 \pm 0.014$  and  $B(D_s \rightarrow \phi\pi) = 0.036 \pm 0.009$ . Our first

error is their experiment's and our second error is that due to  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0)$  and  $B(D_S \rightarrow \phi\pi)$ .

- <sup>17</sup> ACTON 92N assume that excess of  $147 \pm 48 D_S^0$  events over that expected from  $B^0$ ,  $B^+$ , and  $c\bar{c}$  is all from  $B_S^0$  decay. The product branching fraction is measured to be  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0)B(B_S^0 \rightarrow D_S^- \text{ anything}) \times B(D_S^- \rightarrow \phi\pi^-) = (5.9 \pm 1.9 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}$ . We evaluate using our current values  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0) = 0.107 \pm 0.014$  and  $B(D_S \rightarrow \phi\pi) = 0.036 \pm 0.009$ . Our first error is their experiment's and our second error is that due to  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0)$  and  $B(D_S \rightarrow \phi\pi)$ .

### $\Gamma(D_S^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_2/\Gamma$

The values and averages in this section serve only to show what values result if one assumes our  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0)$ . They cannot be thought of as measurements since the underlying product branching fractions were also used to determine  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0)$  as described in the note on "Production and Decay of *b*-Flavored Hadrons."

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.081 ± 0.024 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.076 ± 0.012 ± 0.021	134	<sup>18</sup> BUSKULIC	95O ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
0.107 ± 0.043 ± 0.029		<sup>19</sup> ABREU	92M DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
0.103 ± 0.036 ± 0.028	18	<sup>20</sup> ACTON	92N OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.13 ± 0.04 ± 0.04	27	<sup>21</sup> BUSKULIC	92E ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

- <sup>18</sup> BUSKULIC 95O use  $D_S \ell$  correlations. The measured product branching ratio is  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S) \times B(B_S \rightarrow D_S^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell \text{ anything}) = (0.82 \pm 0.09_{-0.14}^{+0.13})\%$  assuming  $B(D_S \rightarrow \phi\pi) = (3.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$  and PDG 1994 values for the relative partial widths to the six other  $D_S$  channels used in this analysis. Combined with results from  $\mathcal{T}(4S)$  experiments this can be used to extract  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S) = (11.0 \pm 1.2_{-2.6}^{+2.5})\%$ . We evaluate using our current values  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0) = 0.107 \pm 0.014$  and  $B(D_S \rightarrow \phi\pi) = 0.036 \pm 0.009$ . Our first error is their experiment's and our second error is that due to  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0)$  and  $B(D_S \rightarrow \phi\pi)$ .

- <sup>19</sup> ABREU 92M measured muons only and obtained product branching ratio  $B(Z \rightarrow b \text{ or } \bar{b}) \times B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S) \times B(B_S \rightarrow D_S \mu^+ \nu_\mu \text{ anything}) \times B(D_S \rightarrow \phi\pi) = (18 \pm 8) \times 10^{-5}$ . We evaluate using our current values  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0) = 0.107 \pm 0.014$  and  $B(D_S \rightarrow \phi\pi) = 0.036 \pm 0.009$ . Our first error is their experiment's and our second error is that due to  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0)$  and  $B(D_S \rightarrow \phi\pi)$ . We use  $B(Z \rightarrow b \text{ or } \bar{b}) = 2B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 2 \times (0.2212 \pm 0.0019)$ .

- <sup>20</sup> ACTON 92N is measured using  $D_S \rightarrow \phi\pi^+$  and  $K^*(892)^0 K^+$  events. The product branching fraction measured is measured to be  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0)B(B_S^0 \rightarrow D_S^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell \text{ anything}) \times B(D_S^- \rightarrow \phi\pi^-) = (3.9 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$ . We evaluate using our current values  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0) = 0.107 \pm 0.014$  and  $B(D_S \rightarrow \phi\pi) = 0.036 \pm 0.009$ . Our first error is their experiment's and our second error is that due to  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0)$  and  $B(D_S \rightarrow \phi\pi)$ .

- <sup>21</sup> BUSKULIC 92E is measured using  $D_S \rightarrow \phi\pi^+$  and  $K^*(892)^0 K^+$  events. They use  $2.7 \pm 0.7\%$  for the  $\phi\pi^+$  branching fraction. The average product branching fraction is measured to be  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0)B(B_S^0 \rightarrow D_S^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell \text{ anything}) = 0.020 \pm 0.0055_{-0.006}^{+0.005}$ . We evaluate using our current values  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0) = 0.107 \pm 0.014$  and  $B(D_S \rightarrow \phi\pi) = 0.036 \pm 0.009$ . Our first error is their experiment's and our second error is that due to  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_S^0)$  and  $B(D_S \rightarrow \phi\pi)$ . Superseded by BUSKULIC 95O.

$\Gamma(D_s^- \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_3/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

**<0.13**                      6      22 AKERS              94J OPAL       $e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen                              1              BUSKULIC      93G ALEP       $e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

<sup>22</sup> AKERS 94J sees  $\leq 6$  events and measures the limit on the product branching fraction

$f(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0) \cdot B(B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \pi^+) < 1.3\%$  at CL = 90%. We divide by our current value

$B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0) = 0.105$ .

$\Gamma(D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_4/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

**<0.218**                      90              BARATE              98Q ALEP       $e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

**$0.93 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.17$**                       23 ABE                      96Q CDF       $p\bar{p}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<6                                      1      24 AKERS              94J OPAL       $e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

seen                                      14      25 ABE                      93F CDF       $p\bar{p}$  at 1.8 TeV

seen                                      1      26 ACTON                      92N OPAL      Sup. by AKERS 94J

<sup>23</sup> ABE 96Q assumes  $f_U = f_D$  and  $f_S/f_U = 0.40 \pm 0.06$ . Uses  $B \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K$  and  $B \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^*$  branching fractions from PDG 94. They quote two systematic errors,  $\pm 0.10$  and  $\pm 0.14$  where the latter is the uncertainty in  $f_S$ . We combine in quadrature.

<sup>24</sup> AKERS 94J sees one event and measures the limit on the product branching fraction  $f(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0) \cdot B(B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\phi) < 7 \times 10^{-4}$  at CL = 90%. We divide by  $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0) = 0.112$ .

<sup>25</sup> ABE 93F measured using  $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  and  $\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ .

<sup>26</sup> In ACTON 92N a limit on the product branching fraction is measured to be  $f(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s^0) \cdot B(B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\phi) \leq 0.22 \times 10^{-2}$ .

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_6/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
-------	-----	-------------	------

**$<1.2 \times 10^{-3}$**                       90      27 ACCIARRI              97C L3

<sup>27</sup> ACCIARRI 97C assumes  $B^0$  production fraction ( $39.5 \pm 4.0\%$ ) and  $B_S$  ( $12.0 \pm 3.0\%$ ).

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_7/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
-------	-----	-------------	------

**$<3.8 \times 10^{-3}$**                       90      28 ACCIARRI              97C L3

<sup>28</sup> ACCIARRI 97C assumes  $B^0$  production fraction ( $39.5 \pm 4.0\%$ ) and  $B_S$  ( $12.0 \pm 3.0\%$ ).

$\Gamma(\psi(2S)\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_8/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

seen                                      1              BUSKULIC      93G ALEP       $e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_9/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	90	<sup>29</sup> BUSKULIC	96V ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

<sup>29</sup> BUSKULIC 96V assumes PDG 96 production fractions for  $B^0$ ,  $B^+$ ,  $B_s$ ,  $b$  baryons.

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	<sup>30</sup> ACCIARRI	95H L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

<sup>30</sup> ACCIARRI 95H assumes  $f_{B^0} = 39.5 \pm 4.0$  and  $f_{B_s} = 12.0 \pm 3.0\%$ .

$\Gamma(\eta \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	<sup>31</sup> ACCIARRI	95H L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

<sup>31</sup> ACCIARRI 95H assumes  $f_{B^0} = 39.5 \pm 4.0$  and  $f_{B_s} = 12.0 \pm 3.0\%$ .

$\Gamma(\eta \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	90	<sup>32</sup> ACCIARRI	95H L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

<sup>32</sup> ACCIARRI 95H assumes  $f_{B^0} = 39.5 \pm 4.0$  and  $f_{B_s} = 12.0 \pm 3.0\%$ .

$\Gamma(\pi^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	<sup>33</sup> BUSKULIC	96V ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<2.6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	<sup>34</sup> AKERS	94L OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
-----------------------	----	---------------------	----------	-------------------------

<sup>33</sup> BUSKULIC 96V assumes PDG 96 production fractions for  $B^0$ ,  $B^+$ ,  $B_s$ ,  $b$  baryons.  
<sup>34</sup> Assumes  $B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.217$  and  $B_d^0(B_s^0)$  fraction 39.5% (12%).

$\Gamma(K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	<sup>35</sup> BUSKULIC	96V ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<1.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	<sup>36</sup> AKERS	94L OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
-----------------------	----	---------------------	----------	-------------------------

<sup>35</sup> BUSKULIC 96V assumes PDG 96 production fractions for  $B^0$ ,  $B^+$ ,  $B_s$ ,  $b$  baryons.  
<sup>36</sup> Assumes  $B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.217$  and  $B_d^0(B_s^0)$  fraction 39.5% (12%).

$\Gamma(\rho^+ \rho^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	<sup>37</sup> BUSKULIC	96V ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

<sup>37</sup> BUSKULIC 96V assumes PDG 96 production fractions for  $B^0$ ,  $B^+$ ,  $B_s$ ,  $b$  baryons.

$\Gamma(\gamma \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<14.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	<sup>38</sup> ACCIARRI	95I L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

<sup>38</sup> ACCIARRI 95I assumes  $f_{B^0} = 39.5 \pm 4.0$  and  $f_{B_s} = 12.0 \pm 3.0\%$ .

$\Gamma(\phi\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<7 \times 10^{-4}$	90	<sup>39</sup> ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

<sup>39</sup> ADAM 96D assumes  $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$  and  $f_{B_s} = 0.12$ .

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma$

Test for  $\Delta B = 1$  weak neutral current.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.0 \times 10^{-6}$	90	<sup>40</sup> ABE	98 CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<3.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	<sup>41</sup> ACCIARRI	97B L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$<8.4 \times 10^{-6}$	90	<sup>42</sup> ABE	96L CDF	Repl. by ABE 98

<sup>40</sup> ABE 98 assumes production of  $\sigma(B^0) = \sigma(B^+)$  and  $\sigma(B_s)/\sigma(B^0) = 1/3$ . They normalize to their measured  $\sigma(B^0, p_T(B) > 6, |y| < 1.0) = 2.39 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.44 \mu\text{b}$ .

<sup>41</sup> ACCIARRI 97B assume PDG 96 production fractions for  $B^+$ ,  $B^0$ ,  $B_s$ , and  $\Lambda_b$ .

<sup>42</sup> ABE 96L assumes  $B^+/B_s$  production ratio 3/1. They normalize to their measured  $\sigma(B^+, p_T(B) > 6 \text{ GeV}/c, |y| < 1) = 2.39 \pm 0.54 \mu\text{b}$ .

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{19}/\Gamma$

Test for  $\Delta B = 1$  weak neutral current.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	<sup>43</sup> ACCIARRI	97B L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

<sup>43</sup> ACCIARRI 97B assume PDG 96 production fractions for  $B^+$ ,  $B^0$ ,  $B_s$ , and  $\Lambda_b$ .

$\Gamma(e^\pm\mu^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{20}/\Gamma$

test of lepton family number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<6.1 \times 10^{-6}$ (CL = 90%)				$[<4.1 \times 10^{-5}$ (CL = 90%) OUR 1998 BEST LIMIT]
$<6.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABE	98V CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<4.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	<sup>44</sup> ACCIARRI	97B L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

<sup>44</sup> ACCIARRI 97B assume PDG 96 production fractions for  $B^+$ ,  $B^0$ ,  $B_s$ , and  $\Lambda_b$ .

$\Gamma(\phi\nu\bar{\nu})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{21}/\Gamma$

Test for  $\Delta B = 1$  weak neutral current.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.4 \times 10^{-3}$	90	<sup>45</sup> ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

<sup>45</sup> ADAM 96D assumes  $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$  and  $f_{B_s} = 0.12$ .

### POLARIZATION IN $B_s^0$ DECAY

$\Gamma_L/\Gamma$  in  $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\phi$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.56 \pm 0.21^{+0.02}_{-0.04}$	19	ABE	95Z CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV



## $B_s^0-\bar{B}_s^0$ MIXING

For a discussion of  $B_s^0-\bar{B}_s^0$  mixing see the note on " $B^0-\bar{B}^0$  Mixing" in the  $B^0$  Particle Listings above.

$\chi_s$  is a measure of the time-integrated  $B_s^0-\bar{B}_s^0$  mixing probability that produced  $B_s^0(\bar{B}_s^0)$  decays as a  $\bar{B}_s^0(B_s^0)$ . Mixing violates  $\Delta B \neq 2$  rule.

$$\chi_s = \frac{x_s^2}{2(1+x_s^2)}$$

$$x_s = \frac{\Delta m_{B_s^0}}{\Gamma_{B_s^0}} = (m_{B_{sH}^0} - m_{B_{sL}^0}) \tau_{B_s^0},$$

where  $H, L$  stand for heavy and light states of two  $B_s^0$   $CP$  eigenstates and

$$\tau_{B_s^0} = \frac{1}{0.5(\Gamma_{B_{sH}^0} + \Gamma_{B_{sL}^0})}.$$

### $\chi_B$ at high energy

This is a  $B-\bar{B}$  mixing measurement for an admixture of  $B^0$  and  $B_s^0$  at high energy.

$$\chi_B = f'_d \chi_d + f'_s \chi_s$$

where  $f'_d$  and  $f'_s$  are the branching ratio times production fractions of  $B_d^0$  and  $B_s^0$  mesons relative to all  $b$ -flavored hadrons which decay weakly. Mixing violates  $\Delta B \neq 2$  rule.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.118 ± 0.005 OUR AVERAGE</b>					
0.1192 ± 0.0068 ± 0.0051			46 ACCIARRI	99D L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
0.131 ± 0.020 ± 0.016			47 ABE	97I CDF	$p\bar{p}$ 1.8 TeV
0.1107 ± 0.0062 ± 0.0055			48 ALEXANDER	96 OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
0.121 ± 0.016 ± 0.006			49 ABREU	94J DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
0.114 ± 0.014 ± 0.008			50 BUSKULIC	94G ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
0.129 ± 0.022			51 BUSKULIC	92B ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
0.176 ± 0.031 ± 0.032		1112	52 ABE	91G CDF	$p\bar{p}$ 1.8 TeV
0.148 ± 0.029 ± 0.017			53 ALBAJAR	91D UA1	$p\bar{p}$ 630 GeV
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
0.136 ± 0.037 ± 0.040			54 UENO	96 AMY	$e^+e^-$ at 57.9 GeV
0.144 ± 0.014 +0.017 -0.011			55 ABREU	94F DLPH	Sup. by ABREU 94J
0.131 ± 0.014			56 ABREU	94J DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
0.123 ± 0.012 ± 0.008			ACCIARRI	94D L3	Repl. by AC-CIARRI 99D
0.157 ± 0.020 ± 0.032			57 ALBAJAR	94 UA1	$\sqrt{s} = 630$ GeV
0.121 +0.044 -0.040 ± 0.017		1665	58 ABREU	93C DLPH	Sup. by ABREU 94J
0.143 +0.022 -0.021 ± 0.007			59 AKERS	93B OPAL	Sup. by ALEXANDER 96
0.145 +0.041 -0.035 ± 0.018			60 ACTON	92C OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

0.121 ±0.017 ±0.006		<sup>61</sup> ADEVA	92C L3	Sup. by AC-CIARRI 94D
0.132 ±0.22 +0.015 -0.012	823	<sup>62</sup> DECAMP	91 ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
0.178 +0.049 -0.040 ±0.020		<sup>63</sup> ADEVA	90P L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
0.17 +0.15 -0.08		<sup>64,65</sup> WEIR	90 MRK2	$e^+e^-$ 29 GeV
0.21 +0.29 -0.15		<sup>64</sup> BAND	88 MAC	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 29$ GeV
>0.02	90	<sup>64</sup> BAND	88 MAC	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 29$ GeV
0.121 ±0.047		<sup>64,66</sup> ALBAJAR	87C UA1	Repl. by AL-BAJAR 91D
<0.12	90	<sup>64,67</sup> SCHAAD	85 MRK2	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 29$ GeV

<sup>46</sup> ACCIARRI 99D uses maximum-likelihood fits to extract  $\chi_b$  as well as the  $A_{FB}^b$  in  $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  events containing prompt leptons.

<sup>47</sup> Uses di-muon events.

<sup>48</sup> ALEXANDER 96 uses a maximum likelihood fit to simultaneously extract  $\chi$  as well as the forward-backward asymmetries in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  and  $c\bar{c}$ .

<sup>49</sup> This ABREU 94J result is from 5182  $\ell\ell$  and 279  $\Lambda\ell$  events. The systematic error includes 0.004 for model dependence.

<sup>50</sup> BUSKULIC 94G data analyzed using  $ee$ ,  $e\mu$ , and  $\mu\mu$  events.

<sup>51</sup> BUSKULIC 92B uses a jet charge technique combined with electrons and muons.

<sup>52</sup> ABE 91G measurement of  $\chi$  is done with  $e\mu$  and  $ee$  events.

<sup>53</sup> ALBAJAR 91D measurement of  $\chi$  is done with dimuons.

<sup>54</sup> UENO 96 extracted  $\chi$  from the energy dependence of the forward-backward asymmetry.

<sup>55</sup> ABREU 94F uses the average electric charge sum of the jets recoiling against a  $b$ -quark jet tagged by a high  $p_T$  muon. The result is for  $\bar{\chi} = f_d\chi_d + 0.9f_s\chi_s$ .

<sup>56</sup> This ABREU 94J result combines  $\ell\ell$ ,  $\Lambda\ell$ , and jet-charge  $\ell$  (ABREU 94F) analyses. It is for  $\bar{\chi} = f_d\chi_d + 0.96f_s\chi_s$ .

<sup>57</sup> ALBAJAR 94 uses dimuon events. Not independent of ALBAJAR 91D.

<sup>58</sup> ABREU 93C data analyzed using  $ee$ ,  $e\mu$ , and  $\mu\mu$  events.

<sup>59</sup> AKERS 93B analysis performed using dilepton events.

<sup>60</sup> ACTON 92C uses electrons and muons. Superseded by AKERS 93B.

<sup>61</sup> ADEVA 92C uses electrons and muons.

<sup>62</sup> DECAMP 91 done with opposite and like-sign dileptons. Superseded by BUSKULIC 92B.

<sup>63</sup> ADEVA 90P measurement uses  $ee$ ,  $\mu\mu$ , and  $e\mu$  events from 118k events at the Z. Superseded by ADEVA 92C.

<sup>64</sup> These experiments are not in the average because the combination of  $B_s$  and  $B_d$  mesons which they see could differ from those at higher energy.

<sup>65</sup> The WEIR 90 measurement supersedes the limit obtained in SCHAAD 85. The 90% CL are 0.06 and 0.38.

<sup>66</sup> ALBAJAR 87C measured  $\chi = (\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ X)$  divided by the average production weighted semileptonic branching fraction for  $B$  hadrons at 546 and 630 GeV.

<sup>67</sup> Limit is average probability for hadron containing  $B$  quark to produce a positive lepton.

$$\Delta m_{B_s^0} = m_{B_{sH}^0} - m_{B_{sL}^0}$$

$\Delta m_{B_s^0}$  is a measure of  $2\pi$  times the  $B_s^0$ - $\bar{B}_s^0$  oscillation frequency in time-dependent mixing experiments.

"OUR EVALUATION" is an average of the data listed below performed by the LEP  $B$  Oscillation Working Group as described in our "Review of  $B$ - $\bar{B}$  Mixing" in the  $B^0$  Section of these Listings. The averaging procedure takes into account correlations between the measurements.

VALUE ( $10^{12} \hbar s^{-1}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&gt;10.6 (CL = 95%) OUR EVALUATION</b>				
> 5.2	95	68 ABBIENDI	99S OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
> 5.8	95	69 ABE	99J CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
> 9.6	95	70 BARATE	99J ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
> 6.5	95	71 ADAM	97 DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
<96	95	72 ABE	99D CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
> 7.9	95	73 BARATE	98C ALEP	Repl. by BARATE 99J
> 3.1	95	74 ACKERSTAFF	97U OPAL	Repl. by ABBIENDI 99S
> 2.2	95	75 ACKERSTAFF	97V OPAL	Repl. by ABBIENDI 99S
> 6.6	95	76 BUSKULIC	96M ALEP	Repl. by BARATE 98C
> 2.2	95	75 AKERS	95J OPAL	Sup. by ACKER- STAFF 97V
> 5.7	95	77 BUSKULIC	95J ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
> 1.8	95	75 BUSKULIC	94B ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

68 Uses  $l$ - $Q_{\text{hem}}$  and  $l$ - $l$ .

69 ABE 99J uses  $\phi$   $l$ - $l$  correlation.

70 BARATE 99J uses combination of an inclusive lepton and  $D_s^-$ -based analyses.

71 ADAM 97 combines results from  $D_s l$ - $Q_{\text{hem}}$ ,  $l$ - $Q_{\text{hem}}$ , and  $l$ - $l$ .

72 ABE 99D assumes  $\tau_{B_s^0} = 1.55 \pm 0.05$  ps and  $\Delta\Gamma/\Delta m = (5.6 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-3}$ .

73 BARATE 98C combines results from  $D_s h$ - $l/Q_{\text{hem}}$ ,  $D_s h$ - $K$  in the same side,  $D_s l$ - $l/Q_{\text{hem}}$  and  $D_s l$ - $K$  in the same side.

74 Uses  $l$ - $Q_{\text{hem}}$ .

75 Uses  $l$ - $l$ .

76 BUSKULIC 96M uses  $D_s$  lepton correlations and lepton, kaon, and jet charge tags.

77 BUSKULIC 95J uses  $l$ - $Q_{\text{hem}}$ . They find  $\Delta m_s > 5.6$  [ $> 6.1$ ] for  $f_s=10\%$  [12%]. We interpolate to our central value  $f_s=10.5\%$ .

$$x_s = \Delta m_{B_s^0} / \Gamma_{B_s^0}$$

This is derived by the LEP  $B$  Oscillation Working Group from the results on  $\Delta m_{B_s^0}$  and "OUR EVALUATION" of the  $B_s^0$  mean lifetime.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID
<b>&gt;15.7 (CL = 95%) OUR EVALUATION</b>		

$\chi_s$

This  $B_s^0$ - $\bar{B}_s^0$  integrated mixing parameter is derived from  $x_s$  above.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID
<b>&gt;0.4980 (CL = 95%) OUR EVALUATION</b>		

**$B_s^0$  REFERENCES**

ABBIENDI	99S	EPJ C11 587	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABE	99D	PR D59 032004	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	99J	PRL 82 3576	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ACCIARRI	99D	PL B448 152	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
BARATE	99J	EPJ C7 553	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
Also	00	EPJ C12 181 (erratum)		(ALEPH Collab.)
ABE	98	PR D57 R3811	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	98B	PR D57 5382	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	98V	PRL 81 5742	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ACCIARRI	98S	PL B438 417	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98F	EPJ C2 407	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98G	PL B426 161	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
BARATE	98C	EPJ C4 367	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARATE	98Q	EPJ C4 387	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
PDG	98	EPJ C3 1	C. Caso <i>et al.</i>	
ABE	97I	PR D55 2546	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97B	PL B391 474	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97C	PL B391 481	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97U	ZPHY C76 401	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97V	ZPHY C76 417	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ADAM	97	PL B414 382	W. Adam <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABE	96B	PR D53 3496	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96L	PRL 76 4675	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96N	PRL 77 1945	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96Q	PR D54 6596	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABREU	96F	ZPHY C71 11	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ADAM	96D	ZPHY C72 207	W. Adam <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ALEXANDER	96	ZPHY C70 357	G. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96E	ZPHY C69 585	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96M	PL B377 205	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96V	PL B384 471	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
PDG	96	PR D54 1		
UENO	96	PL B381 365	K. Ueno <i>et al.</i>	(AMY Collab.)
ABE	95R	PRL 74 4988	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	95Z	PRL 75 3068	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ACCIARRI	95H	PL B363 127	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	95I	PL B363 137	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
AKERS	95G	PL B350 273	R. Akers <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
AKERS	95J	ZPHY C66 555	R. Akers <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
BUSKULIC	95J	PL B356 409	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	95O	PL B361 221	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABREU	94D	PL B324 500	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	94E	ZPHY C61 407	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
Also	92M	PL B289 199	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	94F	PL B322 459	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	94J	PL B332 488	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	94D	PL B335 542	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
AKERS	94J	PL B337 196	R. Akers <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
AKERS	94L	PL B337 393	R. Akers <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ALBAJAR	94	ZPHY C61 41	C. Albajar <i>et al.</i>	(UA1 Collab.)
BUSKULIC	94B	PL B322 441	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	94C	PL B322 275	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	94G	ZPHY C62 179	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
PDG	94	PR D50 1173	L. Montanet <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, LBL, BOST+)
ABE	93F	PRL 71 1685	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABREU	93C	PL B301 145	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACTON	93H	PL B312 501	P.D. Acton <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
AKERS	93B	ZPHY C60 199	R. Akers <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
BUSKULIC	93G	PL B311 425	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABREU	92M	PL B289 199	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACTON	92C	PL B276 379	D.P. Acton <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ACTON	92N	PL B295 357	P.D. Acton <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ADEVA	92C	PL B288 395	B. Adeva <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
BUSKULIC	92B	PL B284 177	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)

BUSKULIC	92E	PL B294 145	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABE	91G	PRL 67 3351	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ALBAJAR	91D	PL B262 171	C. Albajar <i>et al.</i>	(UA1 Collab.)
DECAMP	91	PL B258 236	D. Decamp <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ADEVA	90P	PL B252 703	B. Adeva <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
LEE-FRANZINI	90	PRL 65 2947	J. Lee-Franzini <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB II Collab.)
WEIR	90	PL B240 289	A.J. Weir <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)
BAND	88	PL B200 221	H.R. Band <i>et al.</i>	(MAC Collab.)
ALBAJAR	87C	PL B186 247	C. Albajar <i>et al.</i>	(UA1 Collab.)
SCHAAD	85	PL 160B 188	T. Schaad <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)

---