

$f_0(1370)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$$

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

 $f_0(1370)$ T-MATRIX POLE POSITIONNote that $\Gamma \approx 2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s_{\text{pole}}})$.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(1200–1500)–i(150–250) OUR ESTIMATE			
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$(1302 \pm 17) - i(166 \pm 18)$	¹ BARBERIS	00C	450 $p p \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_S$
$(1312 \pm 25 \pm 10) - i(109 \pm 22 \pm 15)$	BARBERIS	99D OMEG	450 $p p \rightarrow K^+ K^-$, $\pi^+ \pi^-$
$(1406 \pm 27) - i(80 \pm 6)$	² KAMINSKI	99 RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \bar{K}, \sigma \sigma$
$(1300 \pm 20) - i(120 \pm 20)$	ANISOVICH	98B RVUE	Compilation
$(1290 \pm 15) - i(145 \pm 15)$	BARBERIS	97B OMEG	450 $p p \rightarrow$ $p p 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
$(1548 \pm 40) - i(560 \pm 40)$	BERTIN	97C OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$(1380 \pm 40) - i(180 \pm 25)$	ABELE	96B CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 K_L^0 K_L^0$
$(1300 \pm 15) - i(115 \pm 8)$	BUGG	96 RVUE	
$(1330 \pm 50) - i(150 \pm 40)$	³ AMSLER	95B CBAR	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
$(1360 \pm 35) - i(150-300)$	³ AMSLER	95C CBAR	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta$
$(1390 \pm 30) - i(190 \pm 40)$	⁴ AMSLER	95D CBAR	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta \eta,$ $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
1346 – i 249	^{5,6} JANSSEN	95 RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \bar{K}$
1214 – i 168	^{6,7} TORNQVIST	95 RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \bar{K}, K \pi,$ $\eta \pi$
1364 – i 139	AMSLER	94D CBAR	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
$(1365^{+20}_{-55}) - i(134 \pm 35)$	ANISOVICH	94 CBAR	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta \eta$
$(1340 \pm 40) - i(127^{+30}_{-20})$	⁸ BUGG	94 RVUE	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \eta \eta \pi^0,$ $\eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$(1430 \pm 5) - i(73 \pm 13)$	⁹ KAMINSKI	94 RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \bar{K}$
1515 – i 214	^{6,10} ZOU	93 RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \bar{K}$
1420 – i 220	¹¹ AU	87 RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \bar{K}$

¹ Average between $\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$ and $2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$.² T-matrix pole on sheet –+.³ Supersedes ANISOVICH 94.⁴ Coupled-channel analysis of $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta \eta$, and $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$ on sheet IV. Demonstrates explicitly that $f_0(400-1200)$ and $f_0(1370)$ are two different poles.⁵ Analysis of data from FALVARD 88.⁶ The pole is on Sheet III. Demonstrates explicitly that $f_0(400-1200)$ and $f_0(1370)$ are two different poles.⁷ Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CASON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.⁸ Reanalysis of ANISOVICH 94 data.⁹ T-matrix pole on sheet III.¹⁰ Analysis of data from OCHS 73, GRAYER 74, and ROSSELET 77.¹¹ Analysis of data from OCHS 73, GRAYER 74, BECKER 79, and CASON 83.

$f_0(1370)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS OR K-MATRIX POLE PARAMETER

VALUE (MeV)
1200 to 1500 OUR ESTIMATE

DOCUMENT ID

$\pi\pi$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1434 ± 18 ± 9	848	AITALA	01A E791	$D_S^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
1308 ± 10		BARBERIS	99B OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow p_S p_f \pi^+ \pi^-$
1315 ± 50		BELLAZZINI	99 GAM4	450 $pp \rightarrow p p \pi^0 \pi^0$
1315 ± 30		ALDE	98 GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
1280 ± 55		BERTIN	98 OBLX	0.05–0.405 $\bar{n} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1186		¹² TORNQVIST	95 RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$
1472 ± 12		ARMSTRONG	91 OMEG	300 $pp \rightarrow p p \pi\pi, p p K\bar{K}$
1275 ± 20		BREAKSTONE	90 SFM	62 $pp \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^-$
1420 ± 20		AKESSON	86 SPEC	63 $pp \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^-$
1256		FROGGATT	77 RVUE	$\pi^+ \pi^-$ channel

¹² Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CA-SON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.

$K\bar{K}$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1440 ± 50	BOLONKIN	88 SPEC	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
1463 ± 9	ETKIN	82B MPS	23 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2K_S^0$
1425 ± 15	WICKLUND	80 SPEC	6 $\pi N \rightarrow K^+ K^- N$
~ 1300	POLYCHRO...	79 STRC	7 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2K_S^0$

4π MODE $2(\pi\pi)_S + \rho\rho$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1374 ± 38	AMSLER	94 CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0$
1345 ± 12	ADAMO	93 OBLX	$\bar{n} p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
1386 ± 30	GASPERO	93 DBC	0.0 $\bar{p} n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$

$\eta\eta$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1430	AMSLER	92 CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta$
1220 ± 40	ALDE	86D GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\eta$

$f_0(1370)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)
200 to 500 OUR ESTIMATE

DOCUMENT ID

$\pi\pi$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$173 \pm 32 \pm 6$	848	AITALA	01A E791	$D_S^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
222 ± 20		BARBERIS	99B OMEG	$450 p p \rightarrow p_S p_f \pi^+ \pi^-$
255 ± 60		BELLAZZINI	99 GAM4	$450 p p \rightarrow p p \pi^0 \pi^0$
190 ± 50		ALDE	98 GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
323 ± 13		BERTIN	98 OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{n} p \rightarrow$ $\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
350		¹³ TORNQVIST	95 RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi,$ $\eta\pi$
195 ± 33		ARMSTRONG	91 OMEG	$300 p p \rightarrow p p \pi\pi,$ $p p K\bar{K}$
285 ± 60		BREAKSTONE	90 SFM	$62 p p \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^-$
460 ± 50		AKESSON	86 SPEC	$63 p p \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^-$
~ 400		¹⁴ FROGGATT	77 RVUE	$\pi^+ \pi^-$ channel

¹³ Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CA-SON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.

¹⁴ Width defined as distance between 45 and 135° phase shift.

$K\bar{K}$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
250 ± 80	BOLONKIN	88 SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
118_{-16}^{+138}	ETKIN	82B MPS	$23 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$
160 ± 30	WICKLUND	80 SPEC	$6 \pi N \rightarrow K^+ K^- N$
~ 150	POLYCHRO...	79 STRC	$7 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K_S^0$

4π MODE $2(\pi\pi)_S + \rho\rho$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
375 ± 61	AMSLER	94 CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 3\pi^0$
398 ± 26	ADAMO	93 OBLX	$\bar{n} p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
310 ± 50	GASPERO	93 DBC	$0.0 \bar{p} n \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 3\pi^-$

$\eta\eta$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
250	AMSLER	92 CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta$
320 ± 40	ALDE	86D GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\eta$

$f_0(1370)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_2 4π	seen
Γ_3 $4\pi^0$	seen
Γ_4 $2\pi^+2\pi^-$	seen
Γ_5 $\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0$	seen
Γ_6 $\rho\rho$	dominant
Γ_7 $2(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}$	seen
Γ_8 $\eta\eta$	seen
Γ_9 $K\bar{K}$	seen
Γ_{10} $\gamma\gamma$	seen
Γ_{11} e^+e^-	not seen

$f_0(1370)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$					Γ_{10}
VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		

••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••

3.8 ± 1.5	¹⁵ BOGLIONE	99	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^0\pi^0$
5.4 ± 2.3	MORGAN	90	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^0\pi^0$

¹⁵ Supersedes MORGAN 90.

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{11}
------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------	---------------

<20	90	VOROBYEV	88	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$
-----	----	----------	----	----	---------------------------------

$f_0(1370)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		

••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••

0.26 ± 0.09	BUGG	96	RVUE	
<0.15	¹⁶ AMSLER	94	CBAR	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0$
<0.20	GASPERO	93	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

¹⁶ Using AMSLER 95B ($3\pi^0$).

$\Gamma(4\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_2/\Gamma = (\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4+\Gamma_5)/\Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		

••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••

0.80 ± 0.04	GASPERO	93	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
-----------------	---------	----	-----	-------------------------------------------

$\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_3/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		

••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••

seen	ABELE	96	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 5\pi^0$
------	-------	----	------	-----------------------------------

$\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

$\Gamma_4/\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_4/(\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4+\Gamma_5)$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.420±0.014 ¹⁷ GASPERO 93 DBC 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+3\pi^-$

¹⁷ Model-dependent evaluation.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

$\Gamma_5/\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_5/(\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4+\Gamma_5)$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.512±0.019 ¹⁸ GASPERO 93 DBC 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow$ hadrons

¹⁸ Model-dependent evaluation.

$\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(2(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})$

Γ_6/Γ_7

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

large BARBERIS 00C 450 $pp \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_s$

1.6 ±0.2 AMSLER 94 CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0$

0.58±0.16 GASPERO 93 DBC 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^+3\pi^-$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_9/Γ

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.35±0.13 BUGG 96 RVUE

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$

Γ_9/Γ_1

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.46±0.15±0.11 BARBERIS 99D OMEG 450 $pp \rightarrow K^+K^-, \pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

$\Gamma_8/\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_8/(\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4+\Gamma_5)$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

(4.7±2.0) × 10⁻³ BARBERIS 00E 450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \eta\eta p_s$

$f_0(1370)$ REFERENCES

AITALA	01A	PRL 86 765	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
BARBERIS	00C	PL B471 440	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS	00E	PL B479 59	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS	99B	PL B453 316	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega expt.)
BARBERIS	99D	PL B462 462	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega expt.)
BELLAZZINI	99	PL B467 296	R. Bellazzini <i>et al.</i>	
BOGLIONE	99	EPJ C9 11	M. Boglione, M.R. Pennington	
KAMINSKI	99	EPJ C9 141	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, B. Loiseau	
ALDE	98	EPJ A3 361	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAM4 Collab.)
Also	99	PAN 62 405	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)
ANISOVICH	98B	UFN 41 419	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
BERTIN	98	PR D57 55	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BARBERIS	97B	PL B413 217	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BERTIN	97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE	96	PL B380 453	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	96B	PL B385 425	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BUGG	96	NP B471 59	D.V. Bugg, A.V. Sarantsev, B.S. Zou	(LOQM, PNPI)
AMSLER	95B	PL B342 433	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER	95C	PL B353 571	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER	95D	PL B355 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
JANSEN	95	PR D52 2690	G. Janssen <i>et al.</i>	(STON, ADLD, JULI)
TORNQVIST	95	ZPHY C68 647	N.A. Tornqvist	(HELS)
AMSLER	94	PL B322 431	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.) JPC
AMSLER	94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH	94	PL B323 233	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	JPC
BUGG	94	PR D50 4412	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM)
KAMINSKI	94	PR D50 3145	R. Kaminski <i>et al.</i>	(CRAC, IPN)
ADAMO	93	NP A558 13C	A. Adamo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.) JPC
GASPERO	93	NP A562 407	M. Gaspero	(ROMA) JPC
ZOU	93	PR D48 R3948	B.S. Zou, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
AMSLER	92	PL B291 347	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	91	ZPHY C51 351	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
ARMSTRONG	91B	ZPHY C52 389	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BREAKSTONE	90	ZPHY C48 569	A.M. Breakstone <i>et al.</i>	(ISU, BGNA, CERN+)
MORGAN	90	ZPHY C48 623	D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington	(RAL, DURH)
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
BOLONKIN	88	NP B309 426	B.V. Bolonkin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, SERP)
FALVARD	88	PR D38 2706	A. Falvard <i>et al.</i>	(CLER, FRAS, LALO+)
VOROBYEV	88	SJNP 48 273	P.V. Vorobiev <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
AU	87	PR D35 1633	Translated from YAF 48 436. K.L. Au, D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington	(DURH, RAL)
AKESSON	86	NP B264 154	T. Akesson <i>et al.</i>	(Axial Field Spec. Collab.)
ALDE	86D	NP B269 485	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP, CERN+)
CASON	83	PR D28 1586	N.M. Cason <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
ETKIN	82B	PR D25 1786	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)
WICKLUND	80	PRL 45 1469	A.B. Wicklund <i>et al.</i>	(ANL)
BECKER	79	NP B151 46	H. Becker <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, CERN, ZEEM, CRAC)
POLYCHRO...	79	PR D19 1317	V.A. Polychronakos <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
FROGGATT	77	NP B129 89	C.D. Froggatt, J.L. Petersen	(GLAS, NORD)
ROSSELET	77	PR D15 574	L. Rosselet <i>et al.</i>	(GEVA, SACL)
GRAYER	74	NP B75 189	G. Grayer <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
HYAMS	73	NP B64 134	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
OCHS	73	Thesis	W. Ochs	(MPIM, MUNI)
BEIER	72B	PRL 29 511	E.W. Beier <i>et al.</i>	(PENN)

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

AKHMETSHIN	00C	PL B476 33	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-2 Collab.)
KAMINSKI	00	APP B31 895	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, K. Rybicki	
SADOVSKY	00	NP A655 131c	S.A. Sadovsky	
BEVEREN	99	EPJ C10 469	E. Van Beveren, G. Rupp	
GODFREY	99	RMP 71 1411	S. Godfrey, J. Napolitano	
ISHIDA	99	PTP 101 661	M. Ishida	
MINKOWSKI	99	EPJ C9 283	P. Minkowski, W. Ochs	
TORNQVIST	99	EPJ C11 359	N. Tornqvist	
ACHASOV	98D	PAN 61 224	N.N. Achasov, V.V. Gubin	
ACHASOV	98E	PR D58 054011	N.N. Achasov, G.N. Shestakov	
AMSLER	98	RMP 70 1293	C. Amsler	

ANISOVICH	98	PL B437 209	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
BLACK	98	PR D58 054012	D. Black <i>et al.</i>	
LOCHER	98	EPJ C4 317	M.P. Locher <i>et al.</i>	(PSI)
NARISON	98	NP B509 312	S. Narison	
ANISOVICH	97	PL B395 123	A.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	(PNPI)
ANISOVICH	97B	ZPHY A357 123	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI)
ANISOVICH	97C	PL B413 137	A.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	
ANISOVICH	97E	PAN 60 1892	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI)
		Translated from YAF 60 2065.		
KAMINSKI	97	ZPHY C74 79	R. Kaminski <i>et al.</i>	(CRAC)
PROKOSHKIN	97	SPD 42 117	Y.D. Prokoshkin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
		Translated from DANS 353 323.		
TORNQVIST	96	PRL 76 1575	N.A. Tornqvist, M. Roos	(HELS)
GASPERO	95	NP A588 861	M. Gaspero	(ROMA)
KLEMPPT	95	PL B361 160	E. Klempt <i>et al.</i>	
ZOU	94B	PR D50 591	B.S. Zou, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
CLOSE	93A	PL B319 291	F.E. Close <i>et al.</i>	
CLOSE	93B	NP B389 513	F.E. Close, N. Isgur, S. Kumano	
MORGAN	93	PR D48 1185	D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington	(RAL, DURH)
LI	91	PR D43 2161	Z.P. Li <i>et al.</i>	(TENN)
BARNES	85	PL B165 434	T. Barnes	
BIZZARRI	69	NP B14 169	R. Bizzarri <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF)
BETTINI	66	NC 42A 695	A. Bettini <i>et al.</i>	(PADO, PISA)
