

B^\pm

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^-)$$

Quantum numbers not measured. Values shown are quark-model predictions.

See also the B^\pm/B^0 ADMIXTURE and $B^\pm/B_s^0/B_s^0/b$ -baryon ADMIXTURE sections.

B^\pm MASS

The fit uses m_{B^+} , ($m_{B^0} - m_{B^+}$), and m_{B^0} to determine m_{B^+} , m_{B^0} , and the mass difference.

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
5279.0±0.5 OUR FIT				
5279.1±0.5 OUR AVERAGE				
5279.1±0.4 ±0.4	526	1 CSORNA	00 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
5279.1±1.7 ±1.4	147	ABE	96B CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
5278.8±0.54±2.0	362	ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
5278.3±0.4 ±2.0		BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
5280.5±1.0 ±2.0		2 ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
5275.8±1.3 ±3.0	32	ALBRECHT	87C ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
5278.2±1.8 ±3.0	12	3 ALBRECHT	87D ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
5278.6±0.8 ±2.0		BEBEK	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

¹ CSORNA 00 uses fully reconstructed $526 B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(') K^+$ events and invariant masses without beam constraint.

² ALBRECHT 90J assumes 10580 for $\gamma(4S)$ mass. Supersedes ALBRECHT 87C and ALBRECHT 87D.

³ Found using fully reconstructed decays with $J/\psi(1S)$. ALBRECHT 87D assume $m\gamma(4S) = 10577$ MeV.

B^\pm MEAN LIFE

See $B^\pm/B_s^0/b$ -baryon ADMIXTURE section for data on B -hadron mean life averaged over species of bottom particles.

"OUR EVALUATION" is an average of the data listed below performed by the LEP B Lifetimes Working Group as described in our review "Production and Decay of b -flavored Hadrons" in the B^\pm Section of the Listings. The averaging procedure takes into account correlations between the measurements and asymmetric lifetime errors.

<u>VALUE (10⁻¹² s)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1.655±0.027 OUR EVALUATION				
1.648±0.049±0.035		4 BARATE	00R ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.643±0.037±0.025		5 ABBIENDI	99J OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.68 ±0.07 ±0.02		6 ABE	98B CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
1.637±0.058 ^{+0.045} _{-0.043}		4 ABE	98Q CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
1.66 ±0.06 ±0.03		5 ACCIARRI	98S L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

1.66	± 0.06	± 0.05	⁵ ABE	97J SLD	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.58	$+0.21$	$+0.04$	94	⁶ BUSKULIC	96J ALEP $e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
-0.18	-0.03				
1.61	± 0.16	± 0.12	^{4,7} ABREU	95Q DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.72	± 0.08	± 0.06	⁸ ADAM	95 DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.52	± 0.14	± 0.09	⁴ AKERS	95T OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.56	± 0.13	± 0.06	⁴ ABE	96C CDF	Repl. by ABE 98Q
1.58	± 0.09	± 0.03	⁹ BUSKULIC	96J ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.58	± 0.09	± 0.04	⁴ BUSKULIC	96J ALEP	Repl. by BARATE 00R
1.70	± 0.09		¹⁰ ADAM	95 DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.61	± 0.16	± 0.05	148	⁶ ABE	94D CDF Repl. by ABE 98B
1.30	$+0.33$	± 0.16	92	⁴ ABREU	93D DLPH Sup. by ABREU 95Q
-0.29					
1.56	± 0.19	± 0.13	134	⁸ ABREU	93G DLPH Sup. by ADAM 95
1.51	$+0.30$	$+0.12$	59	⁴ ACTON	93C OPAL Sup. by AKERS 95T
-0.28	-0.14				
1.47	$+0.22$	$+0.15$	77	⁴ BUSKULIC	93D ALEP Sup. by BUSKULIC 96J
-0.19	-0.14				

⁴ Data analyzed using $D/D^* \ell X$ event vertices.

⁵ Data analyzed using charge of secondary vertex.

⁶ Measured mean life using fully reconstructed decays.

⁷ ABREU 95Q assumes $B(B^0 \rightarrow D^{**-} \ell^+ \nu_\ell) = 3.2 \pm 1.7\%$.

⁸ Data analyzed using vertex-charge technique to tag B charge.

⁹ Combined result of $D/D^* \ell X$ analysis and fully reconstructed B analysis.

¹⁰ Combined ABREU 95Q and ADAM 95 result.

B⁺ DECAY MODES

B^- modes are charge conjugates of the modes below. Modes which do not identify the charge state of the B are listed in the B^\pm/B^0 ADMIXTURE section.

The branching fractions listed below assume 50% $B^0\bar{B}^0$ and 50% B^+B^- production at the $\Upsilon(4S)$. We have attempted to bring older measurements up to date by rescaling their assumed $\Upsilon(4S)$ production ratio to 50:50 and their assumed D , D_s , D^* , and ψ branching ratios to current values whenever this would affect our averages and best limits significantly.

Indentation is used to indicate a subchannel of a previous reaction. All resonant subchannels have been corrected for resonance branching fractions to the final state so the sum of the subchannel branching fractions can exceed that of the final state.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Semileptonic and leptonic modes		
$\Gamma_1 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ anything	[a] $(10.2 \pm 0.9) \%$	
$\Gamma_2 \bar{D}^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$	[a] $(2.15 \pm 0.22) \%$	
$\Gamma_3 \bar{D}^*(2007)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$	[a] $(5.3 \pm 0.8) \%$	
$\Gamma_4 \bar{D}_1(2420)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$	$(5.6 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_5 \bar{D}_2^*(2460)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$	$< 8 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_6 \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e$	$(9.0 \pm 2.8) \times 10^{-5}$	
$\Gamma_7 \omega \ell^+ \nu_\ell$	[a] $< 2.1 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_8 \omega \mu^+ \nu_\mu$		
$\Gamma_9 \rho^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$	[a] $(1.34^{+0.32}_{-0.35}) \times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{10} e^+ \nu_e$	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{11} \mu^+ \nu_\mu$	$< 2.1 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{12} \tau^+ \nu_\tau$	$< 5.7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{13} e^+ \nu_e \gamma$	$< 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{14} \mu^+ \nu_\mu \gamma$	$< 5.2 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
D, D^*, or D_s modes		
$\Gamma_{15} \bar{D}^0 \pi^+$	$(5.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{16} \bar{D}^0 \rho^+$	$(1.34 \pm 0.18) \%$	
$\Gamma_{17} \bar{D}^0 K^+$	$(2.9 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{18} \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(1.1 \pm 0.4) \%$	
$\Gamma_{19} \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ nonresonant	$(5 \pm 4) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{20} \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \rho^0$	$(4.2 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{21} \bar{D}^0 a_1(1260)^+$	$(5 \pm 4) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{22} D^*(2010)^- \pi^+ \pi^+$	$(2.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{23} D^- \pi^+ \pi^+$	$< 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{24} \bar{D}^*(2007)^0 \pi^+$	$(4.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{25} D^*(2010)^+ \pi^0$	$< 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%

Γ_{26}	$\overline{D}^*(2007)^0 \rho^+$	(1.55 ± 0.31) %	
Γ_{27}	$\overline{D}^*(2007)^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	(9.4 ± 2.6) $\times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{28}	$\overline{D}^*(2007)^0 a_1(1260)^+$	(1.9 ± 0.5) %	
Γ_{29}	$D^*(2010)^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^0$	(1.5 ± 0.7) %	
Γ_{30}	$D^*(2010)^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 1 %	CL=90%
Γ_{31}	$\overline{D}_1^*(2420)^0 \pi^+$	(1.5 ± 0.6) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3
Γ_{32}	$\overline{D}_1^*(2420)^0 \rho^+$	< 1.4 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{33}	$\overline{D}_2^*(2460)^0 \pi^+$	< 1.3 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{34}	$\overline{D}_2^*(2460)^0 \rho^+$	< 4.7 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{35}	$\overline{D}^0 D_s^+$	(1.3 ± 0.4) %	
Γ_{36}	$\overline{D}^0 D_s^{*+}$	(9 ± 4) $\times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{37}	$\overline{D}^*(2007)^0 D_s^+$	(1.2 ± 0.5) %	
Γ_{38}	$\overline{D}^*(2007)^0 D_s^{*+}$	(2.7 ± 1.0) %	
Γ_{39}	$D_s^{(*)+} \overline{D}^{**0}$	(2.7 ± 1.2) %	
Γ_{40}	$\overline{D}^*(2007)^0 D^*(2010)^+$	< 1.1 %	CL=90%
Γ_{41}	$\overline{D}^0 D^*(2010)^+ + \overline{D}^*(2007)^0 D^+$	< 1.3 %	CL=90%
Γ_{42}	$\overline{D}^0 D^+$	< 6.7 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{43}	$D_s^+ \pi^0$	< 2.0 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{44}	$D_s^{*+} \pi^0$	< 3.3 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{45}	$D_s^+ \eta$	< 5 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{46}	$D_s^{*+} \eta$	< 8 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{47}	$D_s^+ \rho^0$	< 4 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{48}	$D_s^{*+} \rho^0$	< 5 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{49}	$D_s^+ \omega$	< 5 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{50}	$D_s^{*+} \omega$	< 7 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{51}	$D_s^+ a_1(1260)^0$	< 2.2 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{52}	$D_s^{*+} a_1(1260)^0$	< 1.6 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{53}	$D_s^+ \phi$	< 3.2 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{54}	$D_s^{*+} \phi$	< 4 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{55}	$D_s^+ \overline{K}^0$	< 1.1 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{56}	$D_s^{*+} \overline{K}^0$	< 1.1 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{57}	$D_s^+ \overline{K}^*(892)^0$	< 5 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{58}	$D_s^{*+} \overline{K}^*(892)^0$	< 4 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{59}	$D_s^- \pi^+ K^+$	< 8 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{60}	$D_s^{*-} \pi^+ K^+$	< 1.2 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{61}	$D_s^- \pi^+ K^*(892)^+$	< 6 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{62}	$D_s^{*-} \pi^+ K^*(892)^+$	< 8 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%

Charmonium modes

Γ_{63}	$\eta_c K^+$	$(6.9 \begin{array}{l} +3.4 \\ -3.0 \end{array}) \times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{64}	$J/\psi(1S)K^+$	$(10.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{65}	$J/\psi(1S)K^+\pi^+\pi^-$	$(1.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{66}	$J/\psi(1S)K^*(892)^+$	$(1.48 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{67}	$J/\psi(1S)\phi K^+$	$(8.8 \begin{array}{l} +3.7 \\ -3.3 \end{array}) \times 10^{-5}$
Γ_{68}	$J/\psi(1S)\pi^+$	$(5.1 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-5}$
Γ_{69}	$J/\psi(1S)\rho^+$	$< 7.7 \times 10^{-4}$ CL=90%
Γ_{70}	$J/\psi(1S)a_1(1260)^+$	$< 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$ CL=90%
Γ_{71}	$\psi(2S)K^+$	$(5.8 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{72}	$\psi(2S)K^*(892)^+$	$< 3.0 \times 10^{-3}$ CL=90%
Γ_{73}	$\psi(2S)K^+\pi^+\pi^-$	$(1.9 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{74}	$\chi_{c0}(1P)K^+$	$< 4.8 \times 10^{-4}$ CL=90%
Γ_{75}	$\chi_{c1}(1P)K^+$	$(1.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{76}	$\chi_{c1}(1P)K^*(892)^+$	$< 2.1 \times 10^{-3}$ CL=90%

K or K^* modes

Γ_{77}	$K^0\pi^+$	$(1.8 \begin{array}{l} +0.5 \\ -0.4 \end{array}) \times 10^{-5}$
Γ_{78}	$K^+\pi^0$	$(1.16 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-5}$
Γ_{79}	$\eta' K^+$	$(8.0 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-5}$
Γ_{80}	$\eta' K^*(892)^+$	$< 3.5 \times 10^{-5}$ CL=90%
Γ_{81}	ηK^+	$< 6.9 \times 10^{-6}$ CL=90%
Γ_{82}	$\eta K^*(892)^+$	$(2.6 \begin{array}{l} +1.0 \\ -0.9 \end{array}) \times 10^{-5}$
Γ_{83}	ωK^+	$< 7.9 \times 10^{-6}$ CL=90%
Γ_{84}	$\omega K^*(892)^+$	$< 8.7 \times 10^{-5}$ CL=90%
Γ_{85}	$K^*(892)^0\pi^+$	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$ CL=90%
Γ_{86}	$K^*(892)^+\pi^0$	$< 3.1 \times 10^{-5}$ CL=90%
Γ_{87}	$K^+\pi^-\pi^+$ nonresonant	$< 2.8 \times 10^{-5}$ CL=90%
Γ_{88}	$K^-\pi^+\pi^+$ nonresonant	$< 5.6 \times 10^{-5}$ CL=90%
Γ_{89}	$K_1(1400)^0\pi^+$	$< 2.6 \times 10^{-3}$ CL=90%
Γ_{90}	$K_2^*(1430)^0\pi^+$	$< 6.8 \times 10^{-4}$ CL=90%
Γ_{91}	$K^+\rho^0$	$< 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$ CL=90%
Γ_{92}	$K^0\rho^+$	$< 4.8 \times 10^{-5}$ CL=90%
Γ_{93}	$K^*(892)^+\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ CL=90%
Γ_{94}	$K^*(892)^+\rho^0$	$< 9.0 \times 10^{-4}$ CL=90%
Γ_{95}	$K_1(1400)^+\rho^0$	$< 7.8 \times 10^{-4}$ CL=90%
Γ_{96}	$K_2^*(1430)^+\rho^0$	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ CL=90%
Γ_{97}	$K^+\overline{K}^0$	$< 5.1 \times 10^{-6}$ CL=90%
Γ_{98}	$K^+K^-\pi^+$ nonresonant	$< 7.5 \times 10^{-5}$ CL=90%

Γ_{99}	$K^+ K^+ \pi^-$ nonresonant	< 8.79	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{100}	$K^+ K^*(892)^0$	< 5.3	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{101}	$K^+ K^- K^+$	< 2.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{102}	$K^+ \phi$	< 5	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{103}	$K^+ K^- K^+$ nonresonant	< 3.8	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{104}	$K^*(892)^+ K^+ K^-$	< 1.6	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{105}	$K^*(892)^+ \phi$	< 4.1	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{106}	$K_1(1400)^+ \phi$	< 1.1	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{107}	$K_2^*(1430)^+ \phi$	< 3.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{108}	$K^+ f_0(980)$	< 8	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{109}	$K^*(892)^+ \gamma$	(3.8 \pm 0.9)	$\times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{110}	$K_1(1270)^+ \gamma$	< 7.3	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{111}	$K_1(1400)^+ \gamma$	< 2.2	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{112}	$K_2^*(1430)^+ \gamma$	< 1.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{113}	$K^*(1680)^+ \gamma$	< 1.9	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{114}	$K_3^*(1780)^+ \gamma$	< 5.5	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{115}	$K_4^*(2045)^+ \gamma$	< 9.9	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%

Light unflavored meson modes

Γ_{116}	$\rho^+ \gamma$	< 1.3	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{117}	$\pi^+ \pi^0$	< 1.27	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{118}	$\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 1.3	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{119}	$\rho^0 \pi^+$	(1.0 \pm 0.4)	$\times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{120}	$\pi^+ f_0(980)$	< 1.4	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{121}	$\pi^+ f_2(1270)$	< 2.4	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{122}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$ nonresonant	< 4.1	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{123}	$\pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0$	< 8.9	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{124}	$\rho^+ \pi^0$	< 4.3	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{125}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^0$	< 4.0	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{126}	$\rho^+ \rho^0$	< 1.0	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{127}	$a_1(1260)^+ \pi^0$	< 1.7	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{128}	$a_1(1260)^0 \pi^+$	< 9.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{129}	$\omega \pi^+$	(1.13 \pm 0.36)	$\times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{130}	$\omega \rho^+$	< 6.1	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{131}	$\eta \pi^+$	< 5.7	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{132}	$\eta' \pi^+$	< 1.2	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{133}	$\eta' \rho^+$	< 3.3	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{134}	$\eta \rho^+$	< 1.5	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{135}	$\phi \pi^+$	< 5	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{136}	$\phi \rho^+$	< 1.6	$\times 10^{-5}$	

Γ_{137}	$\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	< 8.6	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{138}	$\rho^0 a_1(1260)^+$	< 6.2	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{139}	$\rho^0 a_2(1320)^+$	< 7.2	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{140}	$\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^0$	< 6.3	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{141}	$a_1(1260)^+ a_1(1260)^0$	< 1.3	%	CL=90%

Charged particle (h^\pm) modes

$$h^\pm = K^\pm \text{ or } \pi^\pm$$

Γ_{142}	$h^+ \pi^0$	(1.6 ± 0.7) $\times 10^{-5}$
Γ_{143}	ωh^+	(1.4 ± 0.4) $\times 10^{-5}$

Baryon modes

Γ_{144}	$p \bar{p} \pi^+$	< 1.6	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{145}	$p \bar{p} \pi^+ \text{ nonresonant}$	< 5.3	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{146}	$p \bar{p} \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 5.2	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{147}	$p \bar{p} K^+ \text{ nonresonant}$	< 8.9	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{148}	$p \bar{\Lambda}$	< 2.6	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{149}	$p \bar{\Lambda} \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 2.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{150}	$\bar{\Delta}^0 p$	< 3.8	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{151}	$\Delta^{++} \bar{p}$	< 1.5	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{152}	$\bar{\Lambda}_c^- p \pi^+$	(6.2 ± 2.7) $\times 10^{-4}$		
Γ_{153}	$\bar{\Lambda}_c^- p \pi^+ \pi^0$	< 3.12	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{154}	$\bar{\Lambda}_c^- p \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 1.46	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{155}	$\bar{\Lambda}_c^- p \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	< 1.34	%	CL=90%

Lepton Family number (LF) or Lepton number (L) violating modes, or $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current ($B1$) modes

Γ_{156}	$\pi^+ e^+ e^-$	$B1$	< 3.9	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{157}	$\pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$	$B1$	< 9.1	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{158}	$K^+ e^+ e^-$	$B1$	< 6	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{159}	$K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$	$B1$	< 5.2	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{160}	$K^*(892)^+ e^+ e^-$	$B1$	< 6.9	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{161}	$K^*(892)^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$	$B1$	< 1.2	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{162}	$\pi^+ e^+ \mu^-$	LF	< 6.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{163}	$\pi^+ e^- \mu^+$	LF	< 6.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{164}	$K^+ e^+ \mu^-$	LF	< 6.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{165}	$K^+ e^- \mu^+$	LF	< 6.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{166}	$\pi^- e^+ e^+$	L	< 3.9	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{167}	$\pi^- \mu^+ \mu^+$	L	< 9.1	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{168}	$\pi^- e^+ \mu^+$	LF	< 6.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{169}	$K^- e^+ e^+$	L	< 3.9	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{170}	$K^- \mu^+ \mu^+$	L	< 9.1	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{171}	$K^- e^+ \mu^+$	LF	< 6.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%

[a] An ℓ indicates an e or a μ mode, not a sum over these modes.

B^+ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\ell^+ \nu_\ell \text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.1025±0.0057±0.0065	11 ARTUSO	97 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.101 ± 0.018 ± 0.015	ATHANAS	94 CLE2	Sup. by ARTUSO 97
-----------------------	---------	---------	-------------------

11 ARTUSO 97 uses partial reconstruction of $B \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu_\ell$ and inclusive semileptonic branching ratio from BARISH 96B ($0.1049 \pm 0.0017 \pm 0.0043$).

$\Gamma(\overline{D}^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_2/Γ

$\ell = e$ or μ , not sum over e and μ modes.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0215±0.0022 OUR AVERAGE			

0.0221±0.0013±0.0019	12 BARTELT	99 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
0.016 ± 0.006 ± 0.003	13 FULTON	91 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.0194±0.0015±0.0034	14 ATHANAS	97 CLE2	Repl. by BARTELT 99
----------------------	------------	---------	---------------------

12 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

13 FULTON 91 assumes equal production of $B^0 \overline{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

14 ATHANAS 97 uses missing energy and missing momentum to reconstruct neutrino.

$\Gamma(\overline{D}^*(2007)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_3/Γ

$\ell = e$ or μ , not sum over e and μ modes.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.053 ±0.008 OUR AVERAGE				

0.0513±0.0054±0.0064	302	15 BARISH	95 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
0.066 ± 0.016 ± 0.015		16 ALBRECHT	92C ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	398	17 SANGHERA	93 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
------	-----	-------------	---------	------------------------------------

0.041 ± 0.008 +0.008 -0.009		18 FULTON	91 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
--------------------------------	--	-----------	---------	------------------------------------

0.070 ± 0.018 ± 0.014		19 ANTREASYAN	90B CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
-----------------------	--	---------------	----------	------------------------------------

15 BARISH 95 use $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+) = (3.91 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.17)\%$ and $B(D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0) = (63.6 \pm 2.3 \pm 3.3)\%$.

16 ALBRECHT 92C reports $0.058 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.013$. We rescale using the method described in STONE 94 but with the updated PDG 94 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$. Assumes equal production of $B^0 \overline{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

17 Combining $\overline{D}^{*0} \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ and $\overline{D}^{*-} \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ SANGHERA 93 test $V-A$ structure and fit the decay angular distributions to obtain $A_{FB} = 3/4 * (\Gamma^- - \Gamma^+)/\Gamma = 0.14 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.03$. Assuming a value of V_{cb} , they measure V , A_1 , and A_2 , the three form factors for the $D^* \ell \nu_\ell$ decay, where results are slightly dependent on model assumptions.

18 Assumes equal production of $B^0 \overline{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at the $\Upsilon(4S)$. Uncorrected for D and D^* branching ratio assumptions.

19 ANTREASYAN 90B is average over B and $\overline{D}^*(2010)$ charge states.

$\Gamma(\overline{D}_1(2420)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0056 ± 0.0013 ± 0.0009	20 ANASTASSOV 98	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
20 ANASTASSOV 98 result is derived from the measurement of $B(B^+ \rightarrow \overline{D}_1^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell) \times B(\overline{D}_1^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^-) = (0.373 \pm 0.085 \pm 0.052 \pm 0.024)\%$ by assuming $B(\overline{D}_1^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^-) = 67\%$, where the third error includes theoretical uncertainties.			

$\Gamma(\overline{D}_2^*(2460)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<8 × 10⁻³	90	21 ANASTASSOV 98	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
21 ANASTASSOV 98 result is derived from the measurement of $B(B^+ \rightarrow \overline{D}_2^{*0} \ell^+ \nu_\ell) \times B(\overline{D}_2^{*0} \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^-) < 0.16\%$ at 90% CL by assuming $B(\overline{D}_2^{*0} \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^-) = 20\%$.				

$\Gamma(\pi^0 e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.9 ± 0.2 ± 0.2	22 ALEXANDER 96T	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<22 90 ANTREASYAN 90B CBAL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$				
22 Derived based in the reported B^0 result by assuming isospin symmetry: $\Gamma(B^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \ell^+ \nu) = 2\Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \nu)$.				

$\Gamma(\omega \ell^+ \nu_\ell)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.1 × 10⁻⁴	90	23 BEAN 93B	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
23 BEAN 93B limit set using ISGW Model. Using isospin and the quark model to combine $\Gamma(\rho^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell)$ and $\Gamma(\rho^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell)$ with this result, they obtain a limit $<(1.6\text{--}2.7) \times 10^{-4}$ at 90% CL for $B^+ \rightarrow \omega \ell^+ \nu_\ell$. The range corresponds to the ISGW, WSB, and KS models. An upper limit on $ V_{ub}/V_{cb} < 0.8\text{--}0.13$ at 90% CL is derived as well.				

$\Gamma(\omega \mu^+ \nu_\mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
seen 24 ALBRECHT 91C ARG		
24 In ALBRECHT 91C, one event is fully reconstructed providing evidence for the $b \rightarrow u$ transition.		

$\Gamma(\rho^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	CL %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.34 ± 0.15 ± 0.28	25 BEHRENS 00	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.40 ± 0.21	$+0.32$	-0.33	25 BEHRENS	00 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	■
1.2 ± 0.2	$+0.3$	-0.4	25 ALEXANDER	96T CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	■
<2.1	90		26 BEAN	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
25	Derived based in the reported B^0 result by assuming isospin symmetry: $\Gamma(B^0 \rightarrow \rho^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell) = 2\Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell) \approx 2\Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \omega \ell^+ \nu_\ell)$.					■
26	BEAN 93B limit set using ISGW Model. Using isospin and the quark model to combine $\Gamma(\omega^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell)$ and $\Gamma(\rho^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell)$ with this result, they obtain a limit $<(1.6\text{--}2.7) \times 10^{-4}$ at 90% CL for $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$. The range corresponds to the ISGW, WSB, and KS models. An upper limit on $ V_{ub}/V_{cb} < 0.8\text{--}0.13$ at 90% CL is derived as well.					■

$\Gamma(e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{10}/Γ
$<1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ARTUSO	95	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$\Gamma(\mu^+ \nu_\mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{11}/Γ
$<2.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ARTUSO	95	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$\Gamma(\tau^+ \nu_\tau)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{12}/Γ
$<5.7 \times 10^{-4}$	90	27 ACCIARRI	97F L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<1.04 \times 10^{-2}$	90	28 ALBRECHT	95D ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$<2.2 \times 10^{-3}$	90	ARTUSO	95	CLE2
$<1.8 \times 10^{-3}$	90	29 BUSKULIC	95	ALEP

27 ACCIARRI 97F uses missing-energy technique and $f(b \rightarrow B^-) = (38.2 \pm 2.5)\%$.

28 ALBRECHT 95D use full reconstruction of one B decay as tag.

29 BUSKULIC 95 uses same missing-energy technique as in $\bar{B} \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_\tau X$, but analysis is restricted to endpoint region of missing-energy distribution.

$\Gamma(e^+ \nu_e \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{13}/Γ
$<2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	30 BROWDER	97	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

30 BROWDER 97 uses the hermiticity of the CLEO II detector to reconstruct the neutrino energy and momentum.

$\Gamma(\mu^+ \nu_\mu \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{14}/Γ
$<5.2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	31 BROWDER	97	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

31 BROWDER 97 uses the hermiticity of the CLEO II detector to reconstruct the neutrino energy and momentum.

$\Gamma(\bar{D}^0\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0053±0.0005 OUR AVERAGE				
0.0055±0.0004±0.0005	304	32 ALAM 94	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.0050±0.0007±0.0006	54	33 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$0.0054^{+0.0018}_{-0.0015}{}^{+0.0012}_{-0.0009}$	14	34 BEBEK 87	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.0020±0.0008±0.0006	12	33 ALBRECHT 90J	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.0019±0.0010±0.0006	7	35 ALBRECHT 88K	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
32 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$.				
33 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses the Mark III branching fractions for the D .				
34 BEBEK 87 value has been updated in BERKELMAN 91 to use same assumptions as noted for BORTOLETTO 92.				
35 ALBRECHT 88K assumes $B^0\bar{B}^0:B^+B^-$ ratio is 45:55. Superseded by ALBRECHT 90J.				

$\Gamma(\bar{D}^0\rho^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0134±0.0018 OUR AVERAGE				
0.0135±0.0012±0.0015	212	36 ALAM 94	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$0.013 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.004$	19	37 ALBRECHT 90J	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.021 ± 0.008 ± 0.009	10	38 ALBRECHT 88K	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
36 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$.				
37 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses the Mark III branching fractions for the D .				
38 ALBRECHT 88K assumes $B^0\bar{B}^0:B^+B^-$ ratio is 45:55.				

$\Gamma(\bar{D}^0K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.92±0.80±0.28	39 ATHANAS 98	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
39 ATHANAS 98 reports $[B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0K^+)]/[B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+)] = 0.055 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.005$. We multiply by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+) = (5.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.			

$\Gamma(\bar{D}^0\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0115±0.0029±0.0021	40 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

40 BORTOLETTO 92 assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D .

$\Gamma(\overline{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \text{ nonresonant})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0051±0.0034±0.0023	41 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

41 BORTOLETTO 92 assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D .

$\Gamma(\overline{D}^0 \pi^+ \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0042±0.0023±0.0020	42 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

42 BORTOLETTO 92 assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D .

$\Gamma(\overline{D}^0 a_1(1260)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{21}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0045±0.0019±0.0031	43 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

43 BORTOLETTO 92 assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D .

$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^- \pi^+ \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0021±0.0006 OUR AVERAGE					
0.0019±0.0007±0.0003	14	44 ALAM	94 CLEO2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
0.0026±0.0014±0.0007	11	45 ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
0.0024 ^{+0.0017} _{-0.0016} ^{+0.0010} _{-0.0006}	3	46 BEBEK	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.004	90	47 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.005 ± 0.002 ± 0.003	7	48 ALBRECHT	87C ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

44 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+)$ and absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$.

45 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses the Mark III branching fractions for the D .

46 BEBEK 87 value has been updated in BERKELMAN 91 to use same assumptions as noted for BORTOLETTO 92.

47 BORTOLETTO 92 assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D and $D^*(2010)$. The authors also find the product branching fraction into $D^{**} \pi$ followed by $D^{**} \rightarrow D^*(2010) \pi$ to be $0.0014^{+0.0008}_{-0.0006} \pm 0.0003$ where D^{**} represents all orbitally excited D mesons.

48 ALBRECHT 87C use PDG 86 branching ratios for D and $D^*(2010)$ and assume $B(\gamma(4S) \rightarrow B^+ B^-) = 55\%$ and $B(\gamma(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \overline{B}^0) = 45\%$. Superseded by ALBRECHT 90J.

$\Gamma(D^-\pi^+\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{23}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0014	90	49	ALAM	94	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.007	90	50	BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$0.0025^{+0.0041}_{-0.0023}{}^{+0.0024}_{-0.0008}$	1	51	BEBEK	87	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

49 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the Mark III $B(D^+ \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+)$.

50 BORTOLETTO 92 assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D . The product branching fraction into $D_0^*(2340)\pi$ followed by $D_0^*(2340) \rightarrow D\pi$ is < 0.005 at 90%CL and into $D_2^*(2460)$ followed by $D_2^*(2460) \rightarrow D\pi$ is < 0.004 at 90%CL.

51 BEBEK 87 assume the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. $B(D^- \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\pi^-) = (9.1 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.4)\%$ is assumed.

$\Gamma(\bar{D}^*(2007)^0\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{24}/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.0046 ± 0.0004 OUR AVERAGE					
0.00434 ± 0.00047 ± 0.00018		52 BRANDENB...	98 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
0.0052 ± 0.0007 ± 0.0007	71	53 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
0.0072 ± 0.0018 ± 0.0016		54 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
0.0040 ± 0.0014 ± 0.0012	9	54 ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.0027 ± 0.0044		55 BEBEK	87 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
52 BRANDENBURG 98 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at $\gamma(4S)$ and use the D^* reconstruction technique. The first error is their experiment's error and the second error is the systematic error from the PDG 96 value of $B(D^* \rightarrow D\pi)$.					
53 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow D^0\pi^0)$ and absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$.					
54 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D and $D^*(2010)$.					
55 This is a derived branching ratio, using the inclusive pion spectrum and other two-body B decays. BEBEK 87 assume the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$.					

$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{25}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.00017	90	56 BRANDENB...	98 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	

56 BRANDENBURG 98 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at $\gamma(4S)$ and use the D^* partial reconstruction technique. The first error is their experiment's error and the second error is the systematic error from the PDG 96 value of $B(D^* \rightarrow D\pi)$.

$\Gamma(\overline{D}^*(2007)^0 \rho^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{26}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0155±0.0031 OUR AVERAGE				
0.0168±0.0021±0.0028	86	57 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.010 ± 0.006 ± 0.004	7	58 ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

57 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0)$ and absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$. The nonresonant $\pi^+ \pi^0$ contribution under the ρ^+ is negligible.

58 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D and $D^*(2010)$.

 $\Gamma(\overline{D}^*(2007)^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{27}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0094±0.0020±0.0017				

59 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0)$ and absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$.

60 The three pion mass is required to be between 1.0 and 1.6 GeV consistent with an a_1 meson. (If this channel is dominated by a_1^+ , the branching ratio for $\overline{D}^{*0} a_1^+$ is twice that for $\overline{D}^{*0} \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$.)

 $\Gamma(\overline{D}^*(2007)^0 a_1(1260)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{28}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0188±0.0040±0.0034				

61 ALAM 94 value is twice their $\Gamma(\overline{D}^*(2007)^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ value based on their observation that the three pions are dominantly in the $a_1(1260)$ mass range 1.0 to 1.6 GeV.

62 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0)$ and absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$.

 $\Gamma(D^*(2010)^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{29}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0152±0.0071±0.0001				

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.043 ± 0.013 ± 0.026 24 64 ALBRECHT 87C ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

63 ALBRECHT 90J reports $0.018 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.005$ for $B(D^*(2010)^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+) = 0.57 \pm 0.06$. We rescale to our best value $B(D^*(2010)^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+) = (67.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D .

64 ALBRECHT 87C use PDG 86 branching ratios for D and $D^*(2010)$ and assume $B(\gamma(4S) \rightarrow B^+ B^-) = 55\%$ and $B(\gamma(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \overline{B}^0) = 45\%$. Superseded by ALBRECHT 90J.

$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{30}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.01	90	65 ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

65 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D and $D^*(2010)$.

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}_1^*(2420)^0\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{31}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0015±0.0006 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.3.		
0.0011±0.0005±0.0002	8	66 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.0025±0.0007±0.0006		67 ALBRECHT	94D ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

66 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0\pi^+)$ and absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and assuming $B(D_1(2420)^0 \rightarrow D^*(2010)^+\pi^-) = 67\%$.
 67 ALBRECHT 94D assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0\pi^+)$ assuming $B(D_1(2420)^0 \rightarrow D^*(2010)^+\pi^-) = 67\%$.

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}_1^*(2420)^0\rho^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{32}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0014	90	68 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

68 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0\pi^+)$ assuming $B(D_1(2420)^0 \rightarrow D^*(2010)^+\pi^-) = 67\%$.

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}_2^*(2460)^0\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{33}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0013	90	69 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.0028	90	70 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
<0.0023	90	71 ALBRECHT	94D ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

69 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the Mark III $B(D^+ \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+)$ and $B(D_2^*(2460)^0 \rightarrow D^+\pi^-) = 30\%$.
 70 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the Mark III $B(D^+ \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+)$, the CLEO II $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0\pi^+)$ and $B(D_2^*(2460)^0 \rightarrow D^*(2010)^+\pi^-) = 20\%$.

71 ALBRECHT 94D assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0\pi^+)$ and $B(D_2^*(2460)^0 \rightarrow D^*(2010)^+\pi^-) = 30\%$.

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}_2^*(2460)^0\rho^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{34}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0047	90	72 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
<0.005	90	73 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

72 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the Mark III $B(D^+ \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+)$ and $B(D_2^*(2460)^0 \rightarrow D^+\pi^-) = 30\%$.
 73 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the Mark III $B(D^+ \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+)$, the CLEO II $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0\pi^+)$ and $B(D_2^*(2460)^0 \rightarrow D^*(2010)^+\pi^-) = 20\%$.

$\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 D_s^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{35}/Γ		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.013 ±0.004 OUR AVERAGE				
0.0122±0.0032 ^{+0.0029} _{-0.0030}	74	GIBAUT	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.018 ± 0.009 ± 0.004	75	ALBRECHT	92G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.016 ± 0.007 ± 0.004	5	76 BORTOLETTO90	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
<p>⁷⁴ GIBAUT 96 reports $0.0126 \pm 0.0022 \pm 0.0025$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.035$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.</p> <p>⁷⁵ ALBRECHT 92G reports $0.024 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.004$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes PDG 1990 D^0 branching ratios, e.g., $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+) = 3.71 \pm 0.25\%$.</p> <p>⁷⁶ BORTOLETTO 90 reports 0.029 ± 0.013 for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.02$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.</p>				

$\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 D_s^{*+})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{36}/Γ		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
0.009 ±0.004 OUR AVERAGE				
0.0084±0.0031 ^{+0.0020} _{-0.0021}	77 GIBAUT	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
0.012 ± 0.009 ± 0.003	78 ALBRECHT	92G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
<p>⁷⁷ GIBAUT 96 reports $0.0087 \pm 0.0027 \pm 0.0017$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.035$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.</p> <p>⁷⁸ ALBRECHT 92G reports $0.016 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.003$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes PDG 1990 D^0 branching ratios, e.g., $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+) = 3.71 \pm 0.25\%$.</p>				

$\Gamma(\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 D_s^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{37}/Γ		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
0.012±0.005 OUR AVERAGE				
0.014±0.005±0.003	79 GIBAUT	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
0.010±0.007±0.002	80 ALBRECHT	92G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
<p>⁷⁹ GIBAUT 96 reports $0.0140 \pm 0.0043 \pm 0.0035$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.035$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.</p> <p>⁸⁰ ALBRECHT 92G reports $0.013 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.002$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes PDG 1990 D^0 and $D^*(2007)^0$ branching ratios, e.g., $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+) = 3.71 \pm 0.25\%$ and $B(D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow D^0\pi^0) = 55 \pm 6\%$.</p>				

$\Gamma(\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 D_s^{*+})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.027 ± 0.010 OUR AVERAGE			

0.030 ± 0.011 ± 0.007

81 GIBAUT 96 CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

0.023 ± 0.013 ± 0.006

82 ALBRECHT 92G ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

81 GIBAUT 96 reports $0.0310 \pm 0.0088 \pm 0.0065$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.035$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
 82 ALBRECHT 92G reports $0.031 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.005$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes PDG 1990 D^0 and $D^*(2007)^0$ branching ratios, e.g., $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+) = 3.71 \pm 0.25\%$ and $B(D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow D^0\pi^0) = 55 \pm 6\%$.

 $\Gamma(D_s^{(*)+}\bar{D}^{**0})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(2.73 ± 0.93 ± 0.68) × 10⁻²				

83 AHMED 00B CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

83 AHMED 00B reports their experiment's uncertainties $(\pm 0.78 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.68)\%$, where the first error is statistical, the second is systematic, and the third is the uncertainty in the $D_s \rightarrow \phi\pi$ branching fraction. We combine the first two in quadrature.

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 D^*(2010)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.011				

BARATE 98Q ALEP $e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$ $[\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 D^*(2010)^+) + \Gamma(\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 D^+)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.013				

BARATE 98Q ALEP $e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$ $\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 D^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0067				

BARATE 98Q ALEP $e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$ $\Gamma(D_s^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.00020				

84 ALEXANDER 93B CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

84 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

 $[\Gamma(D_s^+\pi^0) + \Gamma(D_s^{*+}\pi^0)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0007				

85 ALBRECHT 93E ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

85 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 0.9 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

 Γ_{38}/Γ Γ_{39}/Γ Γ_{40}/Γ Γ_{41}/Γ Γ_{42}/Γ Γ_{43}/Γ $(\Gamma_{43} + \Gamma_{44})/\Gamma$

$\Gamma(D_s^{*+}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{44}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.00033	90	86 ALEXANDER 93B CLE2	e ⁺ e ⁻	$\rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

86 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 3.2 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^{*+} \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^{*+} \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

 $\Gamma(D_s^+\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{45}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0005	90	87 ALEXANDER 93B CLE2	e ⁺ e ⁻	$\rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

87 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 4.6 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

 $\Gamma(D_s^{*+}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{46}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0008	90	88 ALEXANDER 93B CLE2	e ⁺ e ⁻	$\rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

88 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 7.5 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

 $\Gamma(D_s^+\rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{47}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0004	90	89 ALEXANDER 93B CLE2	e ⁺ e ⁻	$\rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

89 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 3.7 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

 $[\Gamma(D_s^+\rho^0) + \Gamma(D_s^+\bar{K}^*(892)^0)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $(\Gamma_{47} + \Gamma_{57})/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0025	90	90 ALBRECHT 93E ARG	e ⁺ e ⁻	$\rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

90 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 3.4 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

 $\Gamma(D_s^{*+}\rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{48}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0005	90	91 ALEXANDER 93B CLE2	e ⁺ e ⁻	$\rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

91 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 4.8 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

 $[\Gamma(D_s^{*+}\rho^0) + \Gamma(D_s^{*+}\bar{K}^*(892)^0)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $(\Gamma_{48} + \Gamma_{58})/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0015	90	92 ALBRECHT 93E ARG	e ⁺ e ⁻	$\rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

92 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 2.0 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

$\Gamma(D_s^+ \omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{49}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0005	90	93 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
<0.0025	90	94 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
93 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 4.8 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				
94 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 3.4 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				

 $\Gamma(D_s^{*+} \omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{50}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0007	90	95 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
<0.0014	90	96 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
95 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 6.8 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				
96 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 1.9 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				

 $\Gamma(D_s^+ a_1(1260)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{51}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0022	90	97 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
97 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 3.0 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				

 $\Gamma(D_s^{*+} a_1(1260)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{52}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0016	90	98 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
98 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 2.2 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				

 $\Gamma(D_s^+ \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{53}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.00032	90	99 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
<0.0013	90	100 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
99 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 3.1 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				
100 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 1.7 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				

$\Gamma(D_s^{*+} \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{54}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0004	90	101 ALEXANDER 93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
<0.0016	90	102 ALBRECHT 93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
101 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 4.2 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				
102 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 2.1 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				

$\Gamma(D_s^+ \bar{K}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{55}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0011	90	103 ALEXANDER 93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
<0.0019	90	104 ALBRECHT 93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
103 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 10.3 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				
104 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				

$\Gamma(D_s^{*+} \bar{K}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{56}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0011	90	105 ALEXANDER 93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
<0.0023	90	106 ALBRECHT 93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
105 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 10.9 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				
106 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 3.1 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				

$\Gamma(D_s^+ \bar{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{57}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0005	90	107 ALEXANDER 93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
107 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 4.4 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				

$\Gamma(D_s^{*+} \bar{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{58}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0004	90	108 ALEXANDER 93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
108 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 4.3 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				

$\Gamma(D_s^- \pi^+ K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{59}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0008	90	109 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
109 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.				

$\Gamma(D_s^{*-} \pi^+ K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{60}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0012	90	110 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
110 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.				

$\Gamma(D_s^- \pi^+ K^*(892)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{61}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.006	90	111 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
111 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 8.6 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.				

$\Gamma(D_s^{*-} \pi^+ K^*(892)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{62}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.008	90	112 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
112 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 1.1 \times 10^{-2}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.036$.				

$\Gamma(\eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{63}/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
(6.9 ^{+2.6} _{-2.1} ± 2.2) × 10 ⁻⁴	113 EDWARDS 01	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	■
113 EDWARDS 01 assumes equal production of B^0 and B^+ at the $\gamma(4S)$. The correlated uncertainties (28.3)% from $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c)$ in those modes have been accounted for.				

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{64}/Γ
VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.0 ± 1.0 OUR AVERAGE				
10.2 ± 0.8 ± 0.7		114 JESSOP 97	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
9.3 ± 3.1 ± 0.2		115 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
8.1 ± 3.5 ± 0.1	6	116 ALBRECHT 90J	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
11.0 ± 1.5 ± 0.9	59	117 ALAM 94	CLE2	Repl. by JESSOP 97
22 ± 10 ± 2		BUSKULIC 92G	ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
7 ± 4	3	118 ALBRECHT 87D	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
10 ± 7 ± 2	3	119 BEBEK 87	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
9 ± 5	3	120 ALAM 86	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

114 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

- 115 BORTOLETTO 92 reports $8 \pm 2 \pm 2$ for $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.069 \pm 0.009$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.
- 116 ALBRECHT 90J reports $7 \pm 3 \pm 1$ for $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.069 \pm 0.009$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.
- 117 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.
- 118 ALBRECHT 87D assume $B^+ B^- / B^0 \bar{B}^0$ ratio is 55/45. Superseded by ALBRECHT 90J.
- 119 BEBEK 87 value has been updated in BERKELMAN 91 to use same assumptions as noted for BORTOLETTO 92.
- 120 ALAM 86 assumes B^\pm / B^0 ratio is 60/40.

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{65}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0014 ± 0.0006 OUR AVERAGE					
0.00140 ± 0.00082 ± 0.00002			121 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
0.00140 ± 0.00091 ± 0.00002	6	122 ALBRECHT 87D ARG			$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
< 0.0019	90	123 ALBRECHT 90J ARG			$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

- 121 BORTOLETTO 92 reports $0.0012 \pm 0.0006 \pm 0.0004$ for $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.069 \pm 0.009$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.
- 122 ALBRECHT 87D reports 0.0012 ± 0.0008 for $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.069 \pm 0.009$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. They actually report 0.0011 ± 0.0007 assuming $B^+ B^- / B^0 \bar{B}^0$ ratio is 55/45. We rescale to 50/50. Analysis explicitly removes $B^+ \rightarrow \psi(2S) K^+$.
- 123 ALBRECHT 90J reports < 0.0016 for $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.069$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.0593$. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) K^*(892)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{66}/Γ

For polarization information see the Listings at the end of the " B^0 Branching Ratios" section.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.00148 ± 0.00027 OUR AVERAGE				
0.00141 ± 0.00023 ± 0.00024		124 JESSOP 97	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
0.00158 ± 0.00047 ± 0.00027		125 ABE 96H	CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
0.00151 ± 0.00109 ± 0.00002		126 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
0.00186 ± 0.00130 ± 0.00003	2	127 ALBRECHT 90J ARG		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.00178 ± 0.00051 ± 0.00023 13 128 ALAM 94 CLE2 Sup. by JESSOP 97

124 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

125 ABE 96H assumes that $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+) = (1.02 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3}$.

- 126 BORTOLETTO 92 reports $0.0013 \pm 0.0009 \pm 0.0003$ for $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.069 \pm 0.009$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.
- 127 ALBRECHT 90J reports $0.0016 \pm 0.0011 \pm 0.0003$ for $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.069 \pm 0.009$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.
- 128 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)K^*(892)^+)/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)K^+)$ Γ_{66}/Γ_{64}

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.52±0.24 OUR AVERAGE			
$1.45 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.17$	129 JESSOP	97 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$1.92 \pm 0.60 \pm 0.17$	ABE	96Q CDF	$p\bar{p}$
129 JESSOP 97 assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$. The measurement is actually measured as an average over kaon charged and neutral states.			

 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\phi K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{67}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$(8.8^{+3.5}_{-3.0} \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-5}$	130 ANASTASSOV 00	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

- 130 ANASTASSOV 00 finds 10 events on a background of 0.5 ± 0.2 . Assumes equal production of B^0 and B^+ at the $\Upsilon(4S)$, a uniform Dalitz plot distribution, isotropic $J/\psi(1S)$ and ϕ decays, and $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\phi K^+) = B(B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\phi K^0)$.

 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^+)/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)K^+)$ Γ_{68}/Γ_{64}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.051±0.014 OUR AVERAGE				
$0.05^{+0.019}_{-0.017} \pm 0.001$	ABE	96R CDF	$p\bar{p}$ 1.8 TeV	
0.052 ± 0.024	BISHAI	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.043 ± 0.023	5 131 ALEXANDER	95 CLE2	Sup. by BISHAI 96	
131 Assumes equal production of $B^+ B^-$ and $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ on $\Upsilon(4S)$.				

 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\rho^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{69}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<7.7 \times 10^{-4}$	90	BISHAI	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)a_1(1260)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{70}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.2 \times 10^{-3}$	90	BISHAI	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\psi(2S)K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{71}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.8 ± 1.0 OUR AVERAGE					
5.5 ± 1.0 ± 0.6		132	ABE	980	CDF $p\bar{p}$ 1.8 TeV
6.1 ± 2.3 ± 0.9	7	133	ALAM	94	CLE2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
18 ± 8 ± 4		5	133 ALBRECHT	90J	ARG $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 5	90	133	BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
22 ± 17		3	134 ALBRECHT	87D	ARG $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

132 ABE 980 reports $[B(B^+ \rightarrow \psi(2S)K^+)]/[B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+)] = 0.558 \pm 0.082 \pm 0.056$. We multiply by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+) = (9.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

133 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

134 ALBRECHT 87D assume $B^+ B^- / B^0 \bar{B}^0$ ratio is 55/45. Superseded by ALBRECHT 90J.

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)K^*(892)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{72}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0030	90	135 ALAM	94	CLE2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.0035	90	135 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
<0.0049	90	135 ALBRECHT	90J	ARG $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

135 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)K^+\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{73}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0019 ± 0.0011 ± 0.0004	3	136 ALBRECHT	90J	ARG $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

136 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P)K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{74}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4.8 × 10⁻⁴	90	137 EDWARDS	01	CLE2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

137 EDWARDS 01 assumes equal production of B^0 and B^+ at the $\gamma(4S)$. The correlated uncertainties (28.3)% from $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c)$ in those modes have been accounted for.

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{75}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0010 ± 0.0004 OUR AVERAGE				
0.00097 ± 0.00040 ± 0.00009	6	138 ALAM	94	CLE2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.0019 ± 0.0013 ± 0.0006		139 ALBRECHT	92E	ARG $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

138 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

139 ALBRECHT 92E assumes no $\chi_{c2}(1P)$ production and $B(\gamma(4S) \rightarrow B^+ B^-) = 50\%$.

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P) K^*(892)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{76}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0021	90	140 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

140 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

$\Gamma(K^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{77}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.82$^{+0.46}_{-0.40} \pm 0.16$	141	CRONIN-HEN..00	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.3 $^{+1.1}_{-1.0} \pm 0.36$	GODANG	98	CLE2	Repl. by CRONIN-HENNESSY 00
< 4.8	90	ASNER	96	CLE2 Repl. by GODANG 98
<19	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
<10	90	142 AVERY	89B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
<68	90	AVERY	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

141 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

142 AVERY 89B reports $< 9 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(K^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{78}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.16$^{+0.30}_{-0.27} \pm 0.14$	143	CRONIN-HEN..00	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.6	90	GODANG	98	CLE2 Repl. by CRONIN-HENNESSY 00
<1.4	90	ASNER	96	CLE2 Repl. by GODANG 98

143 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\eta' K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{79}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.0$^{+1.0}_{-0.9} \pm 0.7$	144 RICHICHI	00	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

6.5 $^{+1.5}_{-1.4} \pm 0.9$	BEHRENS	98	CLE2 Repl. by RICHICHI 00
------------------------------	---------	----	---------------------------

144 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\eta' K^*(892)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{80}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	145 RICHICHI	00	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.3 $\times 10^{-4}$	BEHRENS	98	CLE2 Repl. by RICHICHI 00
-----------------------	---------	----	---------------------------

145 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\eta K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{81}/Γ
$<6.9 \times 10^{-6}$	90	146 RICHICHI	00 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
$<1.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BEHRENS	98 CLE2	Repl. by RICHICHI 00	
146 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.					

$\Gamma(\eta K^*(892)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{82}/Γ
$2.64^{+0.96}_{-0.82} \pm 0.33$		147 RICHICHI	00 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
<3.0	90	BEHRENS	98 CLE2	Repl. by RICHICHI 00	
147 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.					

$\Gamma(\omega K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{83}/Γ
<0.79	90	148 JESSOP	00 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
$1.5^{+0.7}_{-0.6} \pm 0.2$		148 BERGFELD	98 CLE2	Repl. by JESSOP 00	
148 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.					

$\Gamma(\omega K^*(892)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	Γ_{84}/Γ
$<8.7 \times 10^{-5}$	90	149 BERGFELD	98 CLE2	
149 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.				

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{85}/Γ
$<1.6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	150 JESSOP	00 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
$<1.19 \times 10^{-4}$	90	151 ABE	00C SLD	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$	
$<3.9 \times 10^{-4}$	90	152 ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$	
$<4.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ASNER	96 CLE2	Repl. by JESSOP 00	
$<4.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	153 ABREU	95N DLPH	Sup. by ADAM 96D	
$<1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$<1.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	154 AVERY	89B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$<2.6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	AVERY	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	

150 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

151 ABE 00C assumes $B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = (21.7 \pm 0.1)\%$ and the B fractions $f_{B^0} = f_{B^+} = (39.7^{+1.8}_{-2.2})\%$ and $f_{B_s} = (10.5^{+1.8}_{-2.2})\%$.

152 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.

153 Assumes a B^0 , B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.

154 Avery 89B reports $< 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{86}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	155 JESSOP	00 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$<9.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ASNER	96 CLE2	Repl. by JESSOP 00
155 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.				

$\Gamma(K^+\pi^-\pi^+\text{nonresonant})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{87}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BERGFELD	96B CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$<3.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	156 ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$<4.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	157 ABREU	95N DLPH	Sup. by ADAM 96D
$<3.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ALBRECHT	91E ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$<1.9 \times 10^{-4}$	90	158 AVERY	89B CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

156 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.

157 Assumes a B^0 , B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.

158 AVERY 89B reports $< 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\pi^+\text{nonresonant})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{88}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BERGFELD	96B CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(K_1(1400)^0\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{89}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.6 \times 10^{-3}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^0\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{90}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<6.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(K^+\rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{91}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.7 \times 10^{-5}$	90	159 JESSOP	00 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$<8.6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	160 ABE	00C SLD	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$<1.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	161 ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$<1.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ASNER	96 CLE2	Repl. by JESSOP 00
$<1.9 \times 10^{-4}$	90	162 ABREU	95N DLPH	Sup. by ADAM 96D
$<1.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$<8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	163 AVERY	89B CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$<2.6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	AVERY	87 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

159 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

160 ABE 00C assumes $B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = (21.7 \pm 0.1)\%$ and the B fractions $f_{B^0} = f_{B^+} = (39.7^{+1.8}_{-2.2})\%$ and $f_{B_s} = (10.5^{+1.8}_{-2.2})\%$.

161 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.

¹⁶² Assumes a B^0 , B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.

¹⁶³ AVERY 89B reports $< 7 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(K^0\rho^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%
$< 4.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90

Γ_{92}/Γ

DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
ASNER	96 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%
$< 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$	90

Γ_{93}/Γ

DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
ALBRECHT	91E ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+\rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%
$< 9.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90

Γ_{94}/Γ

DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(K_1(1400)^+\rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%
$< 7.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90

Γ_{95}/Γ

DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^+\rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%
$< 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	90

Γ_{96}/Γ

DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(K^+\bar{K}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%
$< 5.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90

Γ_{97}/Γ

DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
164 CRONIN-HEN..00	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 2.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	GODANG	98 CLE2	Repl. by CRONIN-HENNESSY 00

164 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 7.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BERGFELD	96B CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

Γ_{98}/Γ

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\text{nonresonant})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%
$< 8.79 \times 10^{-5}$	90

DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
ABBIENDI	00B OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

Γ_{99}/Γ

$\Gamma(K^+K^+\pi^-\text{nonresonant})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%
$< 8.79 \times 10^{-5}$	90

DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
ABBIENDI	00B OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

165 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

166 ABE 00C assumes $B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = (21.7 \pm 0.1)\%$ and the B fractions $f_{B^0} = f_{B^+} =$

$(39.7^{+1.8}_{-2.2})\%$ and $f_{B_s} = (10.5^{+1.8}_{-2.2})\%$.

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{101}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	167 ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$<3.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	168 ABREU	95N DLPH	Sup. by ADAM 96D
$<3.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ALBRECHT	91E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

167 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.

168 Assumes a B^0 , B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.

$\Gamma(K^+ \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{102}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<0.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	169 BERGFELD	98 CLE2	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$<1.44 \times 10^{-4}$	90	170 ABE	00C SLD	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
$<2.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	171 ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
$<1.2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ASNER	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$<4.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	172 ABREU	95N DLPH	Sup. by ADAM 96D
$<1.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$<9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	173 Avery	89B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$<2.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	AVERY	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

169 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

170 ABE 00C assumes $B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = (21.7 \pm 0.1)\%$ and the B fractions $f_{B^0} = f_{B^+} = (39.7^{+1.8}_{-2.2})\%$ and $f_{B_s} = (10.5^{+1.8}_{-2.2})\%$.

171 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.

172 Assumes a B^0 , B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.

173 Avery 89B reports $< 8 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- K^+ \text{nonresonant})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{103}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BERGFELD	96B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{104}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.6 \times 10^{-3}$	90	ALBRECHT	91E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{105}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<4.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	174 BERGFELD	98 CLE2	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$<7.0 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ASNER	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$<1.3 \times 10^{-3}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

174 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

$\Gamma(K_1(1400)^+ \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{106}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.1 \times 10^{-3}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^+\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{107}/Γ		
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.4 \times 10^{-3}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(K^+ f_0(980))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{108}/Γ		
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	175 AVERY	89B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

175 AVERY 89B reports $< 7 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{109}/Γ			
VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.76^{+0.89}_{-0.83} \pm 0.28$			176 COAN	00 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$5.7 \pm 3.1 \pm 1.1$	5	177 AMMAR	93 CLE2	Repl. by COAN 00
< 55	90	178 ALBRECHT	89G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
< 55	90	179 AVERY	89B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
< 180	90	AVERY	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

176 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

177 AMMAR 93 observed 4.1 ± 2.3 events above background.

178 Assumes the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$.

179 Assumes the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$.

$\Gamma(K_1(1270)^+\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{110}/Γ		
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0073	90	180 ALBRECHT	89G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

180 ALBRECHT 89G reports < 0.0066 assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(K_1(1400)^+\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{111}/Γ		
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0022	90	181 ALBRECHT	89G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

181 ALBRECHT 89G reports < 0.0020 assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^+\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{112}/Γ		
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0014	90	182 ALBRECHT	89G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

182 ALBRECHT 89G reports < 0.0013 assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(K^*(1680)^+\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{113}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0019	90	183 ALBRECHT	89G ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

183 ALBRECHT 89G reports < 0.0017 assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

 $\Gamma(K_3^*(1780)^+\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{114}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0055	90	184 ALBRECHT	89G ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

184 ALBRECHT 89G reports < 0.005 assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

 $\Gamma(K_4^*(2045)^+\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{115}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0099	90	185 ALBRECHT	89G ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

185 ALBRECHT 89G reports < 0.0090 assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

 $\Gamma(\rho^+\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{116}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	186 COAN	00 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

186 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$. No evidence for a nonresonant $K\pi\gamma$ contamination was seen; the central value assumes no contamination.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{117}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<12.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	187 CRONIN-HEN..00	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$< 2.0 \times 10^{-5}$	90	GODANG	98 CLE2	Repl. by CRONIN-HENNESSY 00
$< 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ASNER	96 CLE2	Repl. by GODANG 98
$< 2.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	188 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$< 2.3 \times 10^{-3}$	90	189 BEBEK	87 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

187 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

188 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0\bar{B}^0$ and B^+B^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$.

189 BEBEK 87 assume the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{118}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	190 ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	191 ABREU	95N DLPH	Sup. by ADAM 96D
$<4.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	192 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$<1.9 \times 10^{-4}$	90	193 BORTOLETTO89	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

190 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.

191 Assumes a B^0 , B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.

192 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0\bar{B}^0$ and B^+B^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$.

193 BORTOLETTO 89 reports $< 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{119}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.04^{+0.33}_{-0.34} \pm 0.21$		194	JESSOP	00	CLE2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 8.3	90	195	ABE	00C SLD	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
<16	90	196	ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
< 4.3	90		ASNER	96	CLE2 Repl. by JESSOP 00
<26	90	197	ABREU	95N DLPH	Sup. by ADAM 96D
<15	90	198	ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
<17	90	199	BORTOLETTO89	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
<23	90	199	BEBEK	87	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
<60	90	0	GILES	84	CLEO Repl. by BEBEK 87

194 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

195 ABE 00C assumes $B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = (21.7 \pm 0.1)\%$ and the B fractions $f_{B^0} = f_{B^+} = (39.7^{+1.8}_{-2.2})\%$ and $f_{B_s} = (10.5^{+1.8}_{-2.2})\%$.

196 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.

197 Assumes a B^0 , B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.

198 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0\bar{B}^0$ and B^+B^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$.

199 Papers assume the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$[\Gamma(K^*(892)^0\pi^+) + \Gamma(\rho^0\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$(\Gamma_{85} + \Gamma_{119})/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$(17^{+12}_{-8} \pm 2) \times 10^{-5}$		200	ADAM	96D DLPH $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

200 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.

$\Gamma(\pi^+ f_0(980))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{120}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	201	BORTOLETTO89	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

201 BORTOLETTO 89 reports $< 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(\pi^+ f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{121}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	202	BORTOLETTO89	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

202 BORTOLETTO 89 reports $< 2.1 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\text{nonresonant})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{122}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<4.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BERGFELD	96B CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{123}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<8.9 \times 10^{-4}$	90	203	ALBRECHT	90B ARG $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

203 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0\bar{B}^0$ and B^+B^- at $\Upsilon(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\rho^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{124}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<4.3 × 10⁻⁵ 90 204 JESSOP 00 CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<7.7 × 10⁻⁵ 90 ASNER 96 CLE2 Repl. by JESSOP 00

<5.5 × 10⁻⁴ 90 205 ALBRECHT 90B ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

204 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$. Assumes no nonresonant contributions of $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0$.

205 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{125}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<4.0 × 10⁻³ 90 206 ALBRECHT 90B ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

206 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

 $\Gamma(\rho^+ \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{126}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<1.0 × 10⁻³ 90 207 ALBRECHT 90B ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

207 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

 $\Gamma(a_1(1260)^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{127}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<1.7 × 10⁻³ 90 208 ALBRECHT 90B ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

208 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

 $\Gamma(a_1(1260)^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{128}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<9.0 × 10⁻⁴ 90 209 ALBRECHT 90B ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

209 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

 $\Gamma(\omega \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{129}/Γ

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁵)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
---------------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

1.13^{+0.33}_{-0.29} ± 0.14 210 JESSOP 00 CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 2.3 90 210 BERGFELD 98 CLE2 Repl. by JESSOP 00

<40 90 211 ALBRECHT 90B ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

210 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

211 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

 $\Gamma(\omega \rho^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{130}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<6.1 × 10⁻⁵ 90 212 BERGFELD 98 CLE2

212 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{131}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$<5.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	213 RICHICHI	00 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
$<1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BEHRENS	98 CLE2	Repl. by RICHICHI 00	
$<7.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	214 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	

213 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.214 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0\bar{B}^0$ and B^+B^- at $\gamma(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\eta'\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{132}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$<1.2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	215 RICHICHI	00 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
$<3.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BEHRENS	98 CLE2	Repl. by RICHICHI 00	

215 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\eta'\rho^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{133}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$<3.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	216 RICHICHI	00 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
$<4.7 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BEHRENS	98 CLE2	Repl. by RICHICHI 00	

216 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\eta\rho^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{134}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$<1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	217 RICHICHI	00 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
$<3.2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BEHRENS	98 CLE2	Repl. by RICHICHI 00	

217 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\phi\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{135}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$<0.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	218 BERGFELD	98 CLE2		
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
$<1.53 \times 10^{-4}$	90	219 ABE	00C SLD	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$	

218 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.219 ABE 00C assumes $B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = (21.7 \pm 0.1)\%$ and the B fractions $f_{B^0} = f_{B^+} = (39.7^{+1.8}_{-2.2})\%$ and $f_{B_s} = (10.5^{+1.8}_{-2.2})\%$.

$\Gamma(\phi\rho^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{136}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN		
$<1.6 \times 10^{-5}$		220 BERGFELD	98 CLE2		

220 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{137}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<8.6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	221 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
221 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0\bar{B}^0$ and B^+B^- at $\gamma(4S)$.				

$\Gamma(\rho^0 a_1(1260)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{138}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<6.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	222 BORTOLETTO89	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<6.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	223 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$<3.2 \times 10^{-3}$	90	222 BEBEK	87 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

222 BORTOLETTO 89 reports $< 5.4 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$.
We rescale to 50%.

223 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0\bar{B}^0$ and B^+B^- at $\gamma(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\rho^0 a_2(1320)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{139}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<7.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	224 BORTOLETTO89	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<2.6 \times 10^{-3}$	90	225 BEBEK	87 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
224 BORTOLETTO 89 reports $< 6.3 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.				
225 BEBEK 87 reports $< 2.3 \times 10^{-3}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.				

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{140}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<6.3 \times 10^{-3}$	90	226 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
226 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0\bar{B}^0$ and B^+B^- at $\gamma(4S)$.				

$\Gamma(a_1(1260)^+ a_1(1260)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{141}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-2}$	90	227 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
227 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0\bar{B}^0$ and B^+B^- at $\gamma(4S)$.				

$\Gamma(h^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{142}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$(1.6^{+0.6}_{-0.5} \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-5}$	GODANG	98 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$\Gamma(\omega h^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{143}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$(1.43^{+0.36}_{-0.32} \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-5}$	228 JESSOP	00 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$(2.5^{+0.8}_{-0.7} \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5}$ 228 BERGFELD 98 CLE2 Repl. by JESSOP 00

228 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{144}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	229 BEBEK	89 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$< 5.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	230 ABREU	95N DLPH	Sup. by ADAM 96D $(5.7 \pm 1.5 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-4}$
$(229 \text{ BEBEK } 89 \text{ reports } < 1.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ assuming the } \gamma(4S) \text{ decays 43\% to } B^0 \bar{B}^0. \text{ We rescale to 50\%.})$		231 ALBRECHT	88F ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

229 BEBEK 89 reports $< 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

230 Assumes a B^0 , B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.

231 ALBRECHT 88F reports $(5.2 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^+\text{nonresonant})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{145}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 5.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BERGFELD	96B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{146}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 5.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	232 ALBRECHT	88F ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

232 ALBRECHT 88F reports $< 4.7 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}K^+\text{nonresonant})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{147}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 8.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BERGFELD	96B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$\Gamma(p\bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{148}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 2.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90	233 COAN	99 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$< 6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	234 AVERY	89B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$< 9.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	235 ALBRECHT	88F ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

233 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

234 AVERY 89B reports $< 5 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

235 ALBRECHT 88F reports $< 8.5 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(p\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{149}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	236 ALBRECHT	88F ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

236 ALBRECHT 88F reports $< 1.8 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(\bar{\Delta}^0 p)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{150}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 3.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	237 BORTOLETTO89	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

237 BORTOLETTO 89 reports $< 3.3 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(\Delta^{++}\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{151}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	238 BORTOLETTO89	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

238 BORTOLETTO 89 reports $< 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$.
We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^-\rho\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{152}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$6.2^{+2.3}_{-2.0} \pm 1.6$	239 FU	97 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

239 FU 97 uses PDG 96 values of Λ_c branching fraction.

$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^-\rho\pi^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{153}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.12 \times 10^{-3}$	90	240 FU	97 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

240 FU 97 uses PDG 96 values of Λ_c branching ratio.

$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^-\rho\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{154}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.46 \times 10^{-3}$	90	241 FU	97 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

241 FU 97 uses PDG 96 values of Λ_c branching ratio.

$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^-\rho\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{155}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.34 \times 10^{-2}$	90	242 FU	97 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

242 FU 97 uses PDG 96 values of Λ_c branching ratio.

$\Gamma(\pi^+e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{156}/Γ

Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0039	90	243 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

243 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{157}/Γ

Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0091	90	244 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

244 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

$\Gamma(K^+e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{158}/Γ

Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	245 AVERY	89B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<9.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	246 ALBRECHT	91E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$<6.8 \times 10^{-3}$	90	247 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^- 29 \text{ GeV}$
$<2.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	248 AVERY	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

245 AVERY 89B reports $< 5 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

246 ALBRECHT 91E reports $< 9.0 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

247 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

248 AVERY 87 reports $< 2.1 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 40% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{159}/Γ

Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	249 AFFOLDER	99B CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<1.0 \times 10^{-5}$	90	250 ABE	96L CDF	Repl. by AFFOLDER 99B
$<2.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	251 ALBRECHT	91E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$<6.4 \times 10^{-3}$	90	252 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^- 29 \text{ GeV}$
$<1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	90	253 AVERY	89B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$<3.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	254 AVERY	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

249 AFFOLDER 99B measured relative to $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) K^+$.

250 ABE 96L measured relative to $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) K^+$ using PDG 94 branching ratios.

251 ALBRECHT 91E reports $< 2.2 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

252 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

253 AVERY 89B reports $< 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

254 AVERY 87 reports $< 3.2 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 40% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{160}/Γ

Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<6.9 \times 10^{-4}$	90	255 ALBRECHT	91E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

255 ALBRECHT 91E reports $< 6.3 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{161}/Γ

Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.2 \times 10^{-3}$	90	256 ALBRECHT	91E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

256 ALBRECHT 91E reports $< 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(\pi^+ e^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{162}/Γ

Test of lepton family number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0064	90	257 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

257 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

$\Gamma(\pi^+ e^- \mu^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{163}/Γ

Test of lepton family number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0064	90	258 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

258 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

$\Gamma(K^+ e^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{164}/Γ

Test of lepton family number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0064	90	259 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

259 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

$\Gamma(K^+ e^- \mu^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{165}/Γ

Test of lepton family number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0064	90	260 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

260 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

$\Gamma(\pi^- e^+ e^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{166}/Γ

Test of total lepton number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0039	90	261 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

261 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

$\Gamma(\pi^- \mu^+ \mu^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{167}/Γ

Test of total lepton number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0091	90	262 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

262 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

$\Gamma(\pi^- e^+ \mu^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{168}/Γ

Test of total lepton number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0064	90	263 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

263 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

$\Gamma(K^- e^+ e^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{169}/Γ

Test of total lepton number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0039	90	264 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

264 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

$\Gamma(K^-\mu^+\mu^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Test of total lepton number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0091	90	265 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+e^- 29 \text{ GeV}$

265 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

Γ_{170}/Γ

$\Gamma(K^-\mu^+\mu^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Test of total lepton number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0064	90	266 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+e^- 29 \text{ GeV}$

266 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

Γ_{171}/Γ

CP VIOLATION

A_{CP} is defined as

$$\frac{B(B^-\rightarrow\bar{f}) - B(B^+\rightarrow f)}{B(B^-\rightarrow\bar{f}) + B(B^+\rightarrow f)},$$

the CP-violation charge asymmetry of inclusive B^- and B^+ decay.

$A_{CP}(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.018±0.043±0.004	267 BONVICINI 00	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

267 A +0.3% correction is applied due to a slightly higher reconstruction efficiency for the positive kaons.

$A_{CP}(B^+ \rightarrow \psi(2S)K^+)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.02±0.091±0.01	268 BONVICINI 00	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

268 A +0.3% correction is applied due to a slightly higher reconstruction efficiency for the positive kaons.

$A_{CP}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+\pi^0)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.29±0.23	269 CHEN 00	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

269 A 90%CL range is $-0.67 < A_{CP} < 0.09$.

$A_{CP}(B^+ \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^+)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
+0.18±0.24	270 CHEN 00	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

270 A 90%CL range is $-0.22 < A_{CP} < 0.56$.

$A_{CP}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+\eta')$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
+0.03±0.12	271 CHEN 00	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

271 A 90%CL range is $-0.17 < A_{CP} < 0.23$.

$A_{CP}(B^+ \rightarrow \omega\pi^+)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.34±0.25	272 CHEN	00 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
272 A 90%CL range is $-0.75 < A_{CP} < 0.07$.			

 B^\pm REFERENCES

EDWARDS	01	PRL 86 30	K.W. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABBIENDI	00B	PL B476 233	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABE	00C	PR D62 071101R	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(SLD Collab.)
AHMED	00B	PR D62 112003	S. Ahmed <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ANASTASSOV	00	PRL 84 1393	A. Anastassov <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BARATE	00R	PL B492 275	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BEHRENS	00	PR D61 052001	B.H. Behrens <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BONVICINI	00	PRL 84 5940	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
CHEN	00	PRL 85 525	S. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
COAN	00	PRL 84 5283	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
CRONIN-HENNESSY	00	PRL 85 515	D. Cronin-Hennessy <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
CSORNA	00	PR D61 111101	S.E. Csorna <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
JESSOP	00	PRL 85 2881	C.P. Jessop <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
RICHICHI	00	PRL 85 520	S.J. Richichi <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABBIENDI	99J	EPJ C12 609	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
AFFOLDER	99B	PRL 83 3378	T. Affolder <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
BARTELT	99	PRL 82 3746	J. Bartelt <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
COAN	99	PR D59 111101	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABE	98B	PR D57 5382	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	98O	PR D58 072001	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	98Q	PR D58 092002	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ACCIARRI	98S	PL B438 417	M. Acciari <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ANASTASSOV	98	PRL 80 4127	A. Anastassov <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ATHANAS	98	PRL 80 5493	M. Athanas <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BARATE	98Q	EPJ C4 387	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BEHRENS	98	PRL 80 3710	B.H. Behrens <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BERGFELD	98	PRL 81 272	T. Bergfeld <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BRANDENBURG	98	PRL 80 2762	G. Brandenbrug <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
GODANG	98	PRL 80 3456	R. Godang <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABE	97J	PRL 79 590	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(SLD Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97F	PL B396 327	M. Acciari <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ARTUSO	97	PL B399 321	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ATHANAS	97	PRL 79 2208	M. Athanas <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BROWDER	97	PR D56 11	T. Browder <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
FU	97	PRL 79 3125	X. Fu <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
JESSOP	97	PRL 79 4533	C.P. Jessop <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABE	96B	PR D53 3496	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96C	PRL 76 4462	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96H	PRL 76 2015	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96L	PRL 76 4675	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96Q	PR D54 6596	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96R	PRL 77 5176	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ADAM	96D	ZPHY C72 207	W. Adam <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ALEXANDER	96T	PRL 77 5000	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ASNER	96	PR D53 1039	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BARISH	96B	PRL 76 1570	B.C. Barish <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BERGFELD	96B	PRL 77 4503	T. Bergfeld <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BISHAI	96	PL B369 186	M. Bishai <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96J	ZPHY C71 31	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
GIBAUT	96	PR D53 4734	D. Gibaut <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PDG	96	PR D54 1	R. M. Barnett <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	95N	PL B357 255	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	95Q	ZPHY C68 13	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ADAM	95	ZPHY C68 363	W. Adam <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
AKERS	95T	ZPHY C67 379	R. Akers <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ALBRECHT	95D	PL B353 554	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALEXANDER	95	PL B341 435	J. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
Also	95C	PL B347 469 (erratum)	J. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)

ARTUSO	95	PRL 75 785	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BARISH	95	PR D51 1014	B.C. Barish <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BUSKULIC	95	PL B343 444	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABE	94D	PRL 72 3456	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ALAM	94	PR D50 43	M.S. Alam <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	94D	PL B335 526	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ATHANAS	94	PRL 73 3503	M. Athanas <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
Also	95	PRL 74 3090 (erratum)	M. Athanas <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PDG	94	PR D50 1173	L. Montanet <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, LBL, BOST+)
STONE	94	HEPSY 93-11	S. Stone	
Published in B Decays, 2nd Edition, World Scientific, Singapore				
ABREU	93D	ZPHY C57 181	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	93G	PL B312 253	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACTON	93C	PL B307 247	P.D. Acton <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ALBRECHT	93E	ZPHY C60 11	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALEXANDER	93B	PL B319 365	J. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AMMAR	93	PRL 71 674	R. Ammar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BEAN	93B	PRL 70 2681	A. Bean <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BUSKULIC	93D	PL B307 194	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
Also	94H	PL B325 537 (errata)	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
SANGHERA	93	PR D47 791	S. Sanghera <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	92C	PL B275 195	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	92E	PL B277 209	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	92G	ZPHY C54 1	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
BORTOLETTO	92	PR D45 21	D. Bortoletto <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BUSKULIC	92G	PL B295 396	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ALBRECHT	91B	PL B254 288	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	91C	PL B255 297	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	91E	PL B262 148	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
BERKELMAN	91	ARNPS 41 1	K. Berkelman, S. Stone	(CORN, SYRA)
"Decays of B Mesons"				
FULTON	91	PR D43 651	R. Fulton <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	90B	PL B241 278	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	90J	ZPHY C48 543	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ANTREASYAN	90B	ZPHY C48 553	D. Antreasyan <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
BORTOLETTO	90	PRL 64 2117	D. Bortoletto <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
Also	92	PR D45 21	D. Bortoletto <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
WEIR	90B	PR D41 1384	A.J. Weir <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)
ALBRECHT	89G	PL B229 304	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
AVERY	89B	PL B223 470	P. Avery <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BEBEK	89	PRL 62 8	C. Bebek <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BORTOLETTO	89	PRL 62 2436	D. Bortoletto <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	88F	PL B209 119	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	88K	PL B215 424	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	87C	PL B185 218	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	87D	PL B199 451	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
AVERY	87	PL B183 429	P. Avery <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BEBEK	87	PR D36 1289	C. Bebek <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALAM	86	PR D34 3279	M.S. Alam <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PDG	86	PL 170B	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CIT+)
GILES	84	PR D30 2279	R. Giles <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
