

Double- β Decay

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

Half-life Measurements and Limits for Double- β Decay

In all cases of double-beta decay, $(Z,A) \rightarrow (Z+2,A) + 2e^- + (0 \text{ or } 2)\bar{\nu}_e$. In the following Listings, only best or comparable limits or lifetimes for each isotope are reported. For 2ν decay, which is well established, only measured half-lives are reported.

$t_{1/2}(10^{21} \text{ yr})$	$CL\%$	ISOTOPE	TRANSITION	METHOD	DOCUMENT ID	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
> 1.3	90	^{160}Gd	0ν	$^{160}\text{Gd}_2\text{SiO}_5:\text{Ce}$	1 DANEVICH 01	
> 1.3	90	^{160}Gd	0ν	$^{160}\text{Gd}_2\text{SiO}_5:\text{Ce}$	2 DANEVICH 01	
>144	90	^{130}Te	0ν	Cryog. det.	3 ALESSAND... 00	
> 86	90	^{128}Te	0ν	Cryog. det.	3 ALESSAND... 00	
> 1.5	90	^{48}Ca	0ν	Ge spectrometer	4 BRUDANIN 00	
$0.042^{+0.033}_{-0.013}$		^{48}Ca	2ν	Ge spectrometer	5 BRUDANIN 00	
$0.026 \pm 0.001^{+0.007}_{-0.004}$		^{116}Cd	2ν	$^{116}\text{CdWO}_4$ scint.	6 DANEVICH 00	
> 70	90	^{116}Cd	0ν	$^{116}\text{CdWO}_4$ scint.	7 DANEVICH 00	
> 7	90	^{116}Cd	0ν	$^{116}\text{CdWO}_4$ scint.	8 DANEVICH 00	
$0.021^{+0.008}_{-0.004} \pm 0.002$		^{96}Zr	2ν	NEMO-2	9 ARNOLD 99	
> 1.0	90	^{96}Zr	0ν	NEMO-2	9 ARNOLD 99	
>16000(57000)	90	^{76}Ge	0ν	Enriched HPGe	10 BAUDIS 99B	
>440	90	^{136}Xe	0ν	Xe TPC	11 LUESCHER 98	
$(7.6^{+2.2}_{-1.4})\text{E-3}$		^{100}Mo	2ν	Si(Li)	12 ALSTON-... 97	
$(6.82^{+0.38}_{-0.53} \pm 0.68)\text{E-3}$		^{100}Mo	2ν	TPC	13 DESILVA 97	
$(6.75^{+0.37}_{-0.42} \pm 0.68)\text{E-3}$		^{150}Nd	2ν	TPC	14 DESILVA 97	
> 1.2	90	^{150}Nd	0ν	TPC	15 DESILVA 97	
$1.77 \pm 0.01^{+0.13}_{-0.11}$		^{76}Ge	2ν	Enriched HPGe	16 GUENTHER 97	
$(3.75 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.21)\text{E-2}$		^{116}Cd	2ν	NEMO 2	17 ARNOLD 96	
$0.043^{+0.024}_{-0.011} \pm 0.014$		^{48}Ca	2ν	TPC	18 BALYSH 96	
> 52	68	^{100}Mo	$0\nu, \langle m_\nu \rangle$	$0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$	ELEGANT V 96	
> 39	68	^{100}Mo	$0\nu, \langle \lambda \rangle$	$0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$	ELEGANT V 96	
> 51	68	^{100}Mo	$0\nu, \langle \eta \rangle$	$0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$	ELEGANT V 96	
0.79 ± 0.10		^{130}Te	$0\nu+2\nu$	Geochem	20 TAKAOKA 96	
$0.61^{+0.18}_{-0.11}$		^{100}Mo	$0\nu+2\nu$	$0^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$	γ in HPGe 21 BARABASH 95	
$(9.5 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.9)\text{E18}$		^{100}Mo	2ν	NEMO 2	DASSIE 95	
> 0.6	90	^{100}Mo	0ν	$0^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$	NEMO 2	DASSIE 95

$0.026^{+0.009}_{-0.005}$	^{116}Cd	2ν	$0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$	ELEGANT IV	EJIRI	95	
$0.017^{+0.010}_{-0.005} \pm 0.0035$	^{150}Nd	2ν	$0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$	TPC	ARTEMEV	93	
0.039 ± 0.009	^{96}Zr	$0\nu+2\nu$		Geochem	KAWASHIMA	93	
2.7 ± 0.1	^{130}Te	$0\nu+2\nu$		Geochem	BERNATOW...	92	
7200 ± 400	^{128}Te	$0\nu+2\nu$		Geochem	²² BERNATOW...	92	
> 27	68	^{82}Se	0ν	$0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$	TPC	ELLIOTT	92
$0.108^{+0.026}_{-0.006}$		^{82}Se	2ν	$0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$	TPC	ELLIOTT	92
2.0 ± 0.6		^{238}U	$0\nu+2\nu$	Radiochem	²³ TURKEVICH	91	
> 9.5	76	^{48}Ca	0ν	CaF_2 scint.	YOU	91	
2.60 ± 0.28		^{130}Te	$0\nu+2\nu$	Geochem	²⁴ KIRSTEN	83	

¹DANEVICH 01 place limit on 0ν decay of ^{160}Gd using $\text{Gd}_2\text{SiO}_5:\text{Ce}$ crystal scintillators.
The limit is more stringent than KOBAYASHI 95.

²DANEVICH 01 place limits on 0ν decay of ^{160}Gd into excited 2^+ state of daughter nucleus using $\text{Gd}_2\text{SiO}_5:\text{Ce}$ crystal scintillators.

³ALESSANDRELLO 00 limit is based on calorimetric measurement with an array of 20 TeO_2 cryogenic detectors. Uses enriched and natural Te crystals. Replaces ALESSANDRELLO 98.

⁴BRUDANIN 00 determine a limit for 0ν halflife of ^{48}Ca . Their value is less accurate than YOU 91.

⁵BRUDANIN 00 determine the 2ν halflife of ^{48}Ca . Their value is less accurate than BALYSH 96.

⁶DANEVICH 00 provides calorimetric measurement of 2ν decay of ^{116}Cd using enriched CdWO_4 scintillators. Agrees with EJIRI 95 and ARNOLD 96.

⁷DANEVICH 00 places limits on 0ν decay of ^{116}Cd using enriched CdWO_4 scintillators. Replaces GEORGADZE 95.

⁸DANEVICH 00 places limit on 0ν decay of ^{116}Cd into first excited 0^+ state of daughter nucleus using enriched CdWO_4 scintillators.

⁹ARNOLD 99 measure directly the 2ν decay of Zr for the first time, using the NEMO-2 tracking detector and an isotopically enriched source. The lifetime is more accurate than the geochemical result of KAWASHIMA 93.

¹⁰BAUDIS 99B is a continuation of the work of BAUDIS 97. The limit is based on a subset of data using a pulse shape event selection. The exposure time is 24.2 kg-yr. The more stringent limit, in parentheses, results from unphysical data (measured rate significantly below expected background), while the smaller value is the experimental sensitivity as defined by FELDMAN 98. This work supersedes BAUDIS 97 as the most stringent result. AVIGNONE 00 has expressed some concerns about the way the most stringent lifetime limit (given in parentheses) was determined.

¹¹LUESCHER 98 report a limit for the 0ν decay of ^{136}Xe TPC. Supersedes VUILLEUMIER 93.

¹²ALSTON-GARNJOST 97 report evidence for 2ν decay of ^{100}Mo . This decay has been also observed by EJIRI 91, DASSIE 95, and DESILVA 97.

¹³DESILVA 97 result for 2ν decay of ^{100}Mo is in agreement with ALSTON-GARNJOST 97 and DASSIE 95. This measurement has the smallest errors.

¹⁴DESILVA 97 result for 2ν decay of ^{150}Nd is in marginal agreement with ARTEMEV 93. It has smaller errors.

¹⁵DESILVA 97 do not explain whether their efficiency for 0ν decay of ^{150}Nd was calculated under the assumption of a $\langle m_\nu \rangle$, $\langle \lambda \rangle$, or $\langle \eta \rangle$ driven decay.

¹⁶GUENTHER 97 half-life for the 2ν decay of ^{76}Ge is not in good agreement with the previous measurements of BALYSH 94, AVIGNONE 91, and MILEY 90.

¹⁷ARNOLD 96 measure the 2ν decay of ^{116}Cd . This result is in agreement with EJIRI 95, but has smaller errors. Supersedes ARNOLD 95.

¹⁸BALYSH 96 measure the 2ν decay of ^{48}Ca , using a passive source of enriched ^{48}Ca in a TPC.

- 19 EJIRI 96 use energy and angular correlations of the 2ν -rays in efficiency estimate to give limits for the 0ν decay modes associated with $\langle m_\nu \rangle$, $\langle \lambda \rangle$, and $\langle \eta \rangle$, respectively. Enriched ^{100}Mo source is used in tracking calorimeter. These are the best limits for ^{100}Mo . Limit is more stringent than ALSTON-GARNJOST 97.
- 20 TAKAOKA 96 measure the geochemical half-life of ^{130}Te . Their value is in disagreement with the quoted values of BERNATOWICZ 92 and KIRSTEN 83; but agrees with several other unquoted determinations, e.g., MANUEL 91.
- 21 BARABASH 95 cannot distinguish 0ν and 2ν , but it is inferred indirectly that the 0ν mode accounts for less than 0.026% of their event sample. They also note that their result disagrees with the previous experiment by the NEMO group (BLUM 92).
- 22 BERNATOWICZ 92 finds $^{128}\text{Te}/^{130}\text{Te}$ activity ratio from slope of $^{128}\text{Xe}/^{132}\text{Xe}$ vs $^{130}\text{Xe}/^{132}\text{Xe}$ ratios during extraction, and normalizes to lead-dated ages for the ^{130}Te lifetime. The authors state that their results imply that "(a) the double beta decay of ^{128}Te has been firmly established and its half-life has been determined ... without any ambiguity due to trapped Xe interferences... (b) Theoretical calculations ... underestimate the [long half-lives of ^{128}Te ^{130}Te] by 1 or 2 orders of magnitude, pointing to a real suppression in the 2ν decay rate of these isotopes. (c) Despite [this], most $\beta\beta$ -models predict a ratio of 2ν decay widths ... in fair agreement with observation." Further details of the experiment are given in BERNATOWICZ 93. Our listed half-life has been revised downward from the published value by the authors, on the basis of reevaluated cosmic-ray ^{128}Xe production corrections.
- 23 TURKEVICH 91 observes activity in old U sample. The authors compare their results with theoretical calculations. They state "Using the phase-space factors of Boehm and Vogel (BOEHM 87) leads to matrix element values for the ^{238}U transition in the same range as deduced for ^{130}Te and ^{76}Ge . On the other hand, the latest theoretical estimates (STAUDT 90) give an upper limit that is 10 times lower. This large discrepancy implies either a defect in the calculations or the presence of a faster path than the standard two-neutrino mode in this case." See BOEHM 87 and STAUDT 90.
- 24 KIRSTEN 83 reports " 2σ " error. References are given to earlier determinations of the ^{130}Te lifetime.

$\langle m_\nu \rangle$, The Effective Weighted Sum of Majorana Neutrino Masses Contributing to Neutrinoless Double- β Decay

$\langle m_\nu \rangle = |\sum U_{1j}^2 m_{\nu_j}|$, where the sum goes from 1 to n and where n = number of neutrino generations, and ν_j is a Majorana neutrino. Note that U_{ej}^2 , not $|U_{ej}|^2$, occurs in the sum. The possibility of cancellations has been stressed. In the following Listings, only best or comparable limits or lifetimes for each isotope are reported.

VALUE (eV)	CL%	ISOTOPE	TRANSITION	METHOD	DOCUMENT ID
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 1.1–2.6	90	^{130}Te	0ν	Cryog. det.	25 ALESSAND...
< 2.4–2.6	90	^{116}Cd	0ν	$^{116}\text{CdWO}_4$ scint	26 DANEVICH 00
<23	90	^{96}Zr		NEMO-2	27 ARNOLD 99
< 0.4(0.2)–1.0(0.6)	90	^{76}Ge		Enriched HPGe	28 BAUDIS 99B
< 2.4–2.7	90	^{136}Xe	0ν	Xe TPC	29 LUESCHER 98
<9.3	68	^{100}Mo	0ν	Si(Li)	30 ALSTON-...
<0.46	90	^{76}Ge	$0\nu \quad 0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$	Enriched HPGe	31 BAUDIS 97
<2.2	68	^{100}Mo	$0\nu \quad 0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$	ELEGANT V	32 EJIRI 96
<4.1	90	^{116}Cd	0ν	$^{116}\text{CdWO}_4$ scint	33 DANEVICH 95
< 1.1–1.5		^{128}Te		Geochem	34 BERNATOW... 92
<5	68	^{82}Se		TPC	35 ELLIOTT 92
<8.3	76	^{48}Ca	0ν	CaF_2 scint.	YOU 91

- ²⁵ ALESSANDRELLO 00 spread in limit for $\langle m_\nu \rangle$ reflects the range found for theoretical matrix elements.
- ²⁶ DANEVICH 00 limit for $\langle m_\nu \rangle$ is based on the nuclear matrix elements of STAUDT 90 (2.6 eV) and ARNOLD 96 (2.4 eV).
- ²⁷ ARNOLD 99 limit based on the nuclear matrix elements of STAUDT 90.
- ²⁸ BAUDIS 99B derive a limit for $\langle m_\nu \rangle$ using the matrix elements of STAUDT 90. For this most restrictive limit, the uncertainty we give for $\langle m_\nu \rangle$ reflects estimated theoretical uncertainties in the matrix element calculations. The less restrictive limit is based on the quoted experimental sensitivity while the lower value in parentheses makes use of measured rates significantly below background. This is the most stringent bound on $\langle m_\nu \rangle$. It supersedes the limit of GUENTHER 97.
- ²⁹ LUESCHER 98 limit for $\langle m_\nu \rangle$ is based on the matrix elements of ENGEL 88.
- ³⁰ ALSTON-GARNJOST 97 obtain the limit for $\langle m_\nu \rangle$ using the matrix elements of EN- GEL 88. The limit supersedes ALSTON-GARNJOST 93.
- ³¹ BAUDIS 97 limit for $\langle m_\nu \rangle$ is based on the matrix elements of STAUDT 90.
- ³² EJIRI 96 obtain the limit for $\langle m_\nu \rangle$ using the matrix elements of TOMODA 91.
- ³³ DANEVICH 95 is identical to GEORGADZE 95.
- ³⁴ BERNATOWICZ 92 finds these majorona neutrino mass limits assuming that the measured geochemical decay width is a limit on the 0ν decay width. The range is the range found using matrix elements from HAXTON 84, TOMODA 87, and SUHONEN 91. Further details of the experiment are given in BERNATOWICZ 93.
- ³⁵ ELLIOTT 92 uses the matrix elements of HAXTON 84.

Limits on Lepton-Number Violating ($V+A$) Current Admixture

For reasons given in the discussion at the beginning of this section, we list only results from 1989 and later. $\langle \lambda \rangle = \lambda \sum U_{ej} V_{ej}$ and $\langle \eta \rangle = \eta \sum U_{ej} V_{ej}$, where the sum is over the number of neutrino generations. This sum vanishes for massless or unmixed neutrinos. In the following Listings, only best or comparable limits or lifetimes for each isotope are reported.

$\langle \lambda \rangle (10^{-6})$	CL%	$\langle \eta \rangle (10^{-8})$	CL%	ISOTOPE	METHOD	DOCUMENT ID
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
< 1.9–3.9	90	< 1.2–6.4	90	¹³⁰ Te	Cryog. det.	³⁶ ALESSAND... 00
<3.4	90	<3.9	90	¹¹⁶ Cd	¹¹⁶ CdWO ₄ scint.	³⁷ DANEVICH 00
<1.1	90	<0.64	90	⁷⁶ Ge	Enriched HPGe	³⁸ GUENTHER 97
<3.7	68	<2.5	68	¹⁰⁰ Mo	Elegant V	³⁹ EJIRI 96
<4.4	90	<2.3	90	¹³⁶ Xe	TPC	⁴⁰ VUILLEUMIER 93
		<5.3		¹²⁸ Te	Geochem	⁴¹ BERNATOW... 92

³⁶ ALESSANDRELLO 00 limits for $\langle \lambda \rangle$ and $\langle \eta \rangle$ use several nuclear matrix element calculations. Limits reported for $\langle m_\nu \rangle = \langle \eta \rangle = \langle \lambda \rangle = 0$.

³⁷ DANEVICH 00 limits for $\langle \lambda \rangle$ and $\langle \eta \rangle$ are based on nuclear matrix element of STAUDT 90. Replaces DANEVICH 95.

³⁸ GUENTHER 97 limits use the matrix elements of STAUDT 90. Supersedes BALYSH 95 and BALYSH 92.

³⁹ EJIRI 96 obtain limits for $\langle \lambda \rangle$ and $\langle \eta \rangle$ using the matrix elements of TOMODA 91.

⁴⁰ VUILLEUMIER 93 uses the matrix elements of MUTO 89. Based on a half-life limit 2.6×10^{23} y at 90%CL.

⁴¹ BERNATOWICZ 92 takes the measured geochemical decay width as a limit on the 0ν width, and uses the SUHONEN 91 coefficients to obtain the least restrictive limit on η . Further details of the experiment are given in BERNATOWICZ 93.

Double- β Decay REFERENCES

DANEVICH	01 nucl-ex/0011020	NP A (to be publ.)	F.A. Danevich <i>et al.</i>
ALESSAND...	00	PL B486 13	A. Alessandrello <i>et al.</i>
AVIGNONE	00	PRL 85 465	F.T. Avignone <i>et al.</i>
BRUDANIN	00	PL B495 63	V.B. Brudanin <i>et al.</i>
DANEVICH	00	PR C62 045501	F.A. Danevich <i>et al.</i>
ARNOLD	99	NP A658 299	R. Arnold <i>et al.</i>
BAUDIS	99B	PRL 83 41	L. Baudis <i>et al.</i>
ALESSAND...	98	PL B433 156	A. Alessandrello <i>et al.</i>
FELDMAN	98	PR D57 3873	G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins
LUESCHER	98	PL B434 407	R. Luescher <i>et al.</i>
ALSTON-...	97	PR C55 474	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>
BAUDIS	97	PL B407 219	L. Baudis <i>et al.</i>
DESILVA	97	PR C56 2451	A. de Silva <i>et al.</i>
GUENTHER	97	PR D55 54	M. Gunther <i>et al.</i>
ARNOLD	96	ZPHY C72 239	R. Arnold <i>et al.</i>
BALYSH	96	PRL 77 5186	A. Balysh <i>et al.</i>
EJIRI	96	NP A611 85	H. Ejiri <i>et al.</i>
TAKAOKA	96	PR C53 1557	N. Takaoka, Y. Motomura, K. Nagao
ARNOLD	95	JETPL 61 170	R.G. Arnold <i>et al.</i>
		Translated from ZETFP	61 168.
BALYSH	95	PL B356 450	A. Balysh <i>et al.</i>
BARABASH	95	PL B345 408	A.S. Barabash <i>et al.</i>
DANEVICH	95	PL B344 72	F.A. Danevich <i>et al.</i>
DASSIE	95	PR D51 2090	D. Dassie <i>et al.</i>
EJIRI	95	JPSJ 64 339	H. Ejiri <i>et al.</i>
GEORGADZE	95	PAN 58 1093	A.Sh. Georgadze <i>et al.</i>
		Translated from YAF	58 1170.
KOBAYASHI	95	NP A586 457	M. Kobayashi, M. Kobayashi
BALYSH	94	PL B322 176	A. Balysh <i>et al.</i>
ALSTON-...	93	PRL 71 831	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>
ARTEMEV	93	JETPL 58 262	V.A. Artemiev <i>et al.</i>
		Translated from ZETFP	58 256.
BERNATOW...	93	PR C47 806	T. Bernatowicz <i>et al.</i>
KAWASHIMA	93	PR C47 R2452	A. Kawashima, K. Takahashi, A. Masuda
VUILLEUMIER	93	PR D48 1009	J.C. Vuilleumier <i>et al.</i>
BALYSH	92	PL B283 32	A. Balysh <i>et al.</i>
BERNATOW...	92	PRL 69 2341	T. Bernatowicz <i>et al.</i>
BLUM	92	PL B275 506	D. Blum <i>et al.</i>
ELLIOTT	92	PR C46 1535	S.R. Elliott <i>et al.</i>
AVIGNONE	91	PL B256 559	F.T. Avignone <i>et al.</i>
EJIRI	91	PL B258 17	H. Ejiri <i>et al.</i>
MANUEL	91	JP G17 S221	O.K. Manuel
SUHONEN	91	NP A535 509	J. Suhonen, S.B. Khadkikar, A. Faessler
TOMODA	91	RPP 54 53	T. Tomoda
TURKEVICH	91	PRL 67 3211	A. Turkevich, T.E. Economou, G.A. Cowan
YOU	91	PL B265 53	K. You <i>et al.</i>
MILEY	90	PRL 65 3092	H.S. Miley <i>et al.</i>
STAUDT	90	EPL 13 31	A. Staudt, K. Muto, H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus
MUTO	89	ZPHY A334 187	K. Muto, E. Bender, H.V. Klapdor
ENGEL	88	PR C37 731	J. Engel, P. Vogel, M.R. Zirnbauer
BOEHM	87	Massive Neutrinos Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge	F. Bohm, P. Vogel
TOMODA	87	PL B199 475	T. Tomoda, A. Faessler
HAXTON	84	PPNP 12 409	W.C. Haxton, G.J. Stevenson
KIRSTEN	83	PRL 50 474	T. Kirsten, H. Richter, E. Jessberger