

N(1535) S₁₁ $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$ Status: ***

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

N(1535) BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1520 to 1555 (\approx 1535) OUR ESTIMATE			
1534 \pm 7	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
1550 \pm 40	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1526 \pm 7	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1530 \pm 10	BAI 01B	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta$
1522 \pm 11	THOMPSON 01	CLAS	$\gamma^* p \rightarrow p\eta$
1542 \pm 3	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
1532 \pm 5	ARMSTRONG 99B	DPWA	$\gamma^* p \rightarrow p\eta$
1549.0 \pm 2.1	ABAEV 96	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n$
1525 \pm 10	ARNDT 96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1535	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
1542 \pm 6	BATINIC 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
1537	BATINIC 95B	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
1544 \pm 13	KRUSCHE 95	DPWA	$\gamma p \rightarrow p\eta$
1518	LI 93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1513	CRAWFORD 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1511	BARBOUR 78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1500	BERENDS 77	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1547 \pm 6	BHANDARI 77	DPWA	Uses $N\eta$ cusp
1520	¹ LONGACRE 77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
1510	² LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

N(1535) BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
100 to 200 (\approx 150) OUR ESTIMATE			
148.2 \pm 8.1	GREEN 97	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
151 \pm 27	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
240 \pm 80	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
120 \pm 20	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
95 \pm 25	BAI 01B	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta$
143 \pm 18	THOMPSON 01	CLAS	$\gamma^* p \rightarrow p\eta$
112 \pm 19	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
154 \pm 20	ARMSTRONG 99B	DPWA	$\gamma^* p \rightarrow p\eta$
212 \pm 20	³ KRUSCHE 97	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \eta N$
168.8 \pm 11.6	ABAEV 96	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n$
103 \pm 5	ARNDT 96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
66	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$

150	± 15	BATINIC	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
145		BATINIC	95B	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
200	± 40	KRUSCHE	95	DPWA	$\gamma p \rightarrow p\eta$
84		LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
136		CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
180		BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$
132		BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
57		BERENDS	77	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
139	± 33	BHANDARI	77	DPWA	Uses $N\eta$ cusp
135		¹ LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
100		² LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

N(1535) POLE POSITION**REAL PART**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

1495 to 1515 (≈ 1505) OUR ESTIMATE

1510 ± 10	⁴ ARNDT	98	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$	
1501	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$	
1487	⁵ HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
1510 ± 50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1525	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel	
1499	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90	
1496 or 1499	⁶ LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$	
1519 ± 4	BHANDARI	77	DPWA	Uses $N\eta$ cusp	
1525 or 1527	¹ LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$	

-2xIMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

90 to 250 (≈ 170) OUR ESTIMATE

170 ± 30	⁴ ARNDT	98	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$	
124	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$	
260 ± 80	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
102	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel	
110	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90	
103 or 105	⁶ LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$	
140 ± 32	BHANDARI	77	DPWA	Uses $N\eta$ cusp	
135 or 123	¹ LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$	

N(1535) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE**MODULUS $|r|$**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

31	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
120 ± 40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

23	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
----	-------	----	------	-------------------------------------

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-12	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
+15±45	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
-13	ARNDT 91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

N(1535) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 N\pi$	35–55 %
$\Gamma_2 N\eta$	30–55 %
$\Gamma_3 N\pi\pi$	1–10 %
$\Gamma_4 \Delta\pi$	<1 %
$\Gamma_5 \Delta(1232)\pi$, D-wave	
$\Gamma_6 N\rho$	<4 %
$\Gamma_7 N\rho$, S=1/2, S-wave	
$\Gamma_8 N\rho$, S=3/2, D-wave	
$\Gamma_9 N(\pi\pi)^{I=0}_{S\text{-wave}}$	<3 %
$\Gamma_{10} N(1440)\pi$	<7 %
$\Gamma_{11} p\gamma$	0.15–0.35 %
$\Gamma_{12} p\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.15–0.35 %
$\Gamma_{13} n\gamma$	0.004–0.29 %
$\Gamma_{14} n\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.004–0.29 %

N(1535) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
0.35 to 0.55 OUR ESTIMATE	
0.394±0.009	GREEN 97 DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
0.51 ± 0.05	MANLEY 92 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.50 ± 0.10	CUTKOSKY 80 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.38 ± 0.04	HOEHLER 79 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
0.35 ± 0.08	VRANA 00 DPWA Multichannel
0.330±0.011	ABAEV 96 DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n$
0.31	ARNDT 95 DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
0.34 ± 0.09	BATINIC 95 DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
0.297±0.026	BHANDARI 77 DPWA Uses $N\eta$ cusp

$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
+0.30 to 0.55 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.51 ± 0.05		VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
> 0.45	95	⁷ ARMSTRONG 99B	DPWA	$p(e,e'p)\eta$
0.568±0.011		GREEN 97	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
0.591±0.017		ABAEV 96	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n$
0.63 ± 0.07		BATINIC 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

 $(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \rightarrow N(1535) \rightarrow N\eta$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
+0.44 to +0.50 OUR ESTIMATE			
+0.47±0.02	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
+0.33	BAKER 79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$
+0.48	FELTESSE 75	DPWA	1488–1745 MeV

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the $\Delta(1620) S_{31}$ coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-0.04 to +0.06 OUR ESTIMATE			
+0.00±0.04	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
0.00	¹ LONGACRE 77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.06	² LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

 $\Gamma(\Delta(1232)\pi, D\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.01±0.01	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-0.14 to -0.06 OUR ESTIMATE			
-0.10±0.03	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
-0.10	¹ LONGACRE 77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
-0.09	² LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

 $\Gamma(N\rho, S=1/2, S\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.02±0.01	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel

 $\Gamma(N\rho, S=3/2, D\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.00±0.01	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1535) \rightarrow N(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}^{I=0}$	$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_9)^{1/2} / \Gamma$
+0.03 to +0.13 OUR ESTIMATE	
$+0.07 \pm 0.04$	MANLEY 92 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N\pi\pi$
$+0.08$	¹ LONGACRE 77 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
$+0.09$	² LONGACRE 75 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
$\Gamma(N(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}^{I=0}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_9 / Γ
0.02 ± 0.01	VRANA 00 DPWA Multichannel
$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1535) \rightarrow N(1440)\pi$	$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{10})^{1/2} / \Gamma$
+0.10 ± 0.05	MANLEY 92 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N\pi\pi$
$\Gamma(N(1440)\pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_{10} / Γ
0.10 ± 0.09	VRANA 00 DPWA Multichannel

$N(1535)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

$N(1535) \rightarrow p\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

$\text{VALUE (GeV}^{-1/2}\text{)}$	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
+0.090 ± 0.030 OUR ESTIMATE			
0.120 ± 0.011 ± 0.015	³ KRUSCHE 97 DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \eta N$		
0.060 ± 0.015	ARNDT 96 IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$		
0.097 ± 0.006	BENMERROU..95 DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow N\eta$		
0.095 ± 0.011	⁸ BENMERROU..91 $\gamma p \rightarrow p\eta$		
0.053 ± 0.015	CRAWFORD 83 IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$		
0.077 ± 0.021	AWAJI 81 DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$		
0.083 ± 0.007	ARAI 80 DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)		
0.080 ± 0.007	ARAI 80 DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)		
0.029 ± 0.007	BRATASHEV...80 DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$		
0.065 ± 0.016	CRAWFORD 80 DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$		
0.0704 ± 0.0091	ISHII 80 DPWA Compton scattering		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.110 to 0.140	KRUSCHE 95 DPWA $\gamma p \rightarrow p\eta$		
0.125 ± 0.025	KRUSCHE 95c IPWA $\gamma d \rightarrow \eta N(N)$		
0.061 ± 0.003	LI 93 IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$		
0.055	WADA 84 DPWA Compton scattering		
+0.082 ± 0.019	BARBOUR 78 DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$		
0.046	⁹ NOELLE 78 $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$		
+0.034	BERENDS 77 IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$		
+0.070 ± 0.004	FELLER 76 DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$		

$N(1535) \rightarrow n\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV $^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.046 ± 0.027 OUR ESTIMATE			
-0.020±0.035	ARNDT	96	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.035±0.014	AWAJI	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.062±0.003	FUJII	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.075±0.019	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
-0.075±0.018	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
-0.098±0.026	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.011±0.017	TAKEDA	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
-0.100±0.030	KRUSCHE	95C	IPWA $\gamma d \rightarrow \eta N(N)$
-0.046±0.005	LI	93	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.112±0.034	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.048	⁹ NOELLE	78	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

 $N(1535) \rightarrow N\gamma$, ratio $A_{1/2}^n/A_{1/2}^p$

VALUE (GeV $^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
-0.84±0.15	MUKHOPAD...	95B IPWA

 $N(1535)$ FOOTNOTES

¹ LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

² From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

³ KRUSCHE 97 fits with the mass fixed at 1544 MeV.

⁴ ARNDT 98 also lists pole residues, which display more model dependence than do the associated pole positions.

⁵ See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

⁶ LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.

⁷ The best value ARMSTRONG 99B obtains is $\simeq 0.55$; this assumes S_{11} dominance in the reaction $p(e, e' p) \eta$ at $Q^2 = 4$ (GeV/c) 2 .

⁸ BENMERROUCHE 91 uses an effective Lagrangian approach to analyze η photoproduction data.

⁹ Converted to our conventions using $M = 1548$ MeV, $\Gamma = 73$ MeV from NOELLE 78.

N(1535) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

BAI	01B	PL B510 75	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BEPC BES Collab.)
THOMPSON	01	PRL 86 1702	R. Thompson <i>et al.</i>	(Jefferson CLAS Collab.)
VRANA	00	PRPL 328 181	T.P. Vrana, S.A. Dytman,, T.-S.H. Lee	(PITT+)
ARMSTRONG	99B	PR D60 052004	C.S. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	
ARNDT	98	PR C58 3636	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	
GREEN	97	PR C55 R2167	A.M. Green, S. Wycech	(HELS, WINR)
KRUSCHE	97	PL B397 171	B. Krusche <i>et al.</i>	(GIES, RPI, SASK)
ABAEV	96	PR C53 385	V.V. Abaev, B.M.K. Nefkens	(UCLA)
ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	R.A. Arndt, I.I. Strakovsky, R.L. Workman	(VPI)
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, BRCO)
BATINIC	95	PR C51 2310	M. Batinic <i>et al.</i>	(BOSK, UCLA)
Also	98	PR C57 1004 (erratum)	M. Batinic <i>et al.</i>	
BATINIC	95B	PR C52 2188	M. Batinic, I. Slaus, A. Svarc	(BOSK)
BENMERROU...	95	PR D51 3237	M. Benmerrouche, N.C. Mukhopadhyay, J.F. Zhang	
KRUSCHE	95	PRL 74 3736	B. Krusche <i>et al.</i>	(GIES, MANZ, GLAS+)
KRUSCHE	95C	PL B358 40	B. Krusche <i>et al.</i>	(GIES, MANZ, GLAS+)
MUKHOPAD...	95B	PL B364 1	N.C. Mukhopadhyay, J.F. Zhang, M. Benmerrouche	
HOEHLER	93	π^N Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	Z.J. Li <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	D.M. Manley <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, TELE) IJP
BENMERROU...	91	PRL 67 1070	M. Benmerrouche, N.C. Mukhopadhyay	(RPI)
WADA	84	NP B247 313	Y. Wada <i>et al.</i>	(INUS)
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	R.L. Crawford, W.T. Morton	(GLAS)
PDG	82	PL 111B	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	N. Awaji, R. Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	K. Fujii <i>et al.</i>	(NAGO)
FUJII	81	NP B187 53	K. Fujii <i>et al.</i>	(NAGO, OSAK)
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93	I. Arai	(INUS)
Also	82	NP B194 251	I. Arai, H. Fujii	(INUS)
BRATASHEV...	80	NP B166 525	A.S. Bratashevsky <i>et al.</i>	(KFTI)
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107	R.L. Crawford	(GLAS)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
ISHII	80	NP B165 189	T. Ishii <i>et al.</i>	(KYOT, INUS)
TAKEDA	80	NP B168 17	H. Takeda <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY, INUS)
BAKER	79	NP B156 93	R.D. Baker <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	I.M. Barbour, R.L. Crawford, N.H. Parsons	(GLAS)
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)
NOELLE	78	PTP 60 778	P. Noelle	(NAGO)
BERENDS	77	NP B136 317	F.A. Berends, A. Donnachie	(LEID, MCHS) IJP
BHANDARI	77	PR D15 192	R. Bhandari, Y.A. Chao	(CMU) IJP
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	R.S. Longacre, J. Dolbeau	(SACL) IJP
Also	76	NP B108 365	J. Dolbeau <i>et al.</i>	(SACL) IJP
FELLER	76	NP B104 219	P. Feller <i>et al.</i>	(NAGO, OSAK) IJP
FELTESSE	75	NP B93 242	J. Feltesse <i>et al.</i>	(SACL) IJP
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC) IJP