

B^\pm

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^-)$$

Quantum numbers not measured. Values shown are quark-model predictions.

See also the B^\pm/B^0 ADMIXTURE and $B^\pm/B_s^0/B_s^0/b$ -baryon ADMIXTURE sections.

B^\pm MASS

The fit uses m_{B^+} , $(m_{B^0} - m_{B^+})$, and m_{B^0} to determine m_{B^+} , m_{B^0} , and the mass difference.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5279.0±0.5 OUR FIT				
5279.1±0.5 OUR AVERAGE				
5279.1±0.4 ±0.4	526	1 CSORNA	00 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
5279.1±1.7 ±1.4	147	ABE	96B CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
5278.8±0.54±2.0	362	ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
5278.3±0.4 ±2.0		BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
5280.5±1.0 ±2.0		2 ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
5275.8±1.3 ±3.0	32	ALBRECHT	87C ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
5278.2±1.8 ±3.0	12	3 ALBRECHT	87D ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
5278.6±0.8 ±2.0		BEBEK	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

¹ CSORNA 00 uses fully reconstructed $526 B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(') K^+$ events and invariant masses without beam constraint.

² ALBRECHT 90J assumes 10580 for $\gamma(4S)$ mass. Supersedes ALBRECHT 87C and ALBRECHT 87D.

³ Found using fully reconstructed decays with $J/\psi(1S)$. ALBRECHT 87D assume $m\gamma(4S) = 10577$ MeV.

B^\pm MEAN LIFE

See $B^\pm/B_s^0/b$ -baryon ADMIXTURE section for data on B -hadron mean life averaged over species of bottom particles.

"OUR EVALUATION" is an average of the data listed below performed by the LEP B Lifetimes Working Group as described in our review "Production and Decay of b -flavored Hadrons" in the B^\pm Section of the Listings. The averaging procedure takes into account correlations between the measurements and asymmetric lifetime errors.

VALUE (10^{-12} s)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.674±0.018 OUR EVALUATION				
1.695±0.026±0.015	⁴ ABE	02H BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
1.673±0.032±0.023	⁴ AUBERT	01F BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
1.648±0.049±0.035	⁵ BARATE	00R ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$	
1.643±0.037±0.025	⁶ ABBIENDI	99J OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$	
1.68 ±0.07 ±0.02	⁷ ABE	98B CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV	
1.637±0.058 ^{+0.045} _{-0.043}	⁵ ABE	98Q CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV	

1.66 ± 0.06 ± 0.03	⁶ ACCIARRI	98S L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.66 ± 0.06 ± 0.05	⁶ ABE	97J SLD	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.58 ± 0.21 ± 0.04	94 ⁷ BUSKULIC	96J ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
-0.18 -0.03			
1.61 ± 0.16 ± 0.12	^{5,8} ABREU	95Q DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.72 ± 0.08 ± 0.06	⁹ ADAM	95 DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.52 ± 0.14 ± 0.09	⁵ AKERS	95T OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1.56 ± 0.13 ± 0.06	⁵ ABE	96C CDF	Repl. by ABE 98Q
1.58 ± 0.09 ± 0.03	¹⁰ BUSKULIC	96J ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.58 ± 0.09 ± 0.04	⁵ BUSKULIC	96J ALEP	Repl. by BARATE 00R
1.70 ± 0.09	¹¹ ADAM	95 DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
1.61 ± 0.16 ± 0.05	148 ⁷ ABE	94D CDF	Repl. by ABE 98B
1.30 ± 0.33 ± 0.16	92 ⁵ ABREU	93D DLPH	Sup. by ABREU 95Q
1.56 ± 0.19 ± 0.13	134 ⁹ ABREU	93G DLPH	Sup. by ADAM 95
1.51 ± 0.30 ± 0.12	59 ⁵ ACTON	93C OPAL	Sup. by AKERS 95T
1.47 ± 0.22 ± 0.15	77 ⁵ BUSKULIC	93D ALEP	Sup. by BUSKULIC 96J

⁴ Events are selected in which one B meson is fully reconstructed while the second B meson is reconstructed inclusively.

⁵ Data analyzed using $D/D^*\ell X$ event vertices.

⁶ Data analyzed using charge of secondary vertex.

⁷ Measured mean life using fully reconstructed decays.

⁸ ABREU 95Q assumes $B(B^0 \rightarrow D^{**-} \ell^+ \nu_\ell) = 3.2 \pm 1.7\%$.

⁹ Data analyzed using vertex-charge technique to tag B charge.

¹⁰ Combined result of $D/D^*\ell X$ analysis and fully reconstructed B analysis.

¹¹ Combined ABREU 95Q and ADAM 95 result.

B⁺ DECAY MODES

B⁻ modes are charge conjugates of the modes below. Modes which do not identify the charge state of the B are listed in the B[±]/B⁰ ADMIXTURE section.

The branching fractions listed below assume 50% B⁰ \bar{B}^0 and 50% B⁺B⁻ production at the $\Upsilon(4S)$. We have attempted to bring older measurements up to date by rescaling their assumed $\Upsilon(4S)$ production ratio to 50:50 and their assumed D , D_s , D^* , and ψ branching ratios to current values whenever this would affect our averages and best limits significantly.

Indentation is used to indicate a subchannel of a previous reaction. All resonant subchannels have been corrected for resonance branching fractions to the final state so the sum of the subchannel branching fractions can exceed that of the final state.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Semileptonic and leptonic modes		
$\Gamma_1 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ anything	[a] (10.2 ± 0.9) %	
$\Gamma_2 \bar{D}^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$	[a] (2.15 ± 0.22) %	
$\Gamma_3 \bar{D}^*(2007)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$	[a] (5.3 ± 0.8) %	
$\Gamma_4 \bar{D}_1(2420)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$	(5.6 ± 1.6) × 10 ⁻³	
$\Gamma_5 \bar{D}_2^*(2460)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$	< 8 × 10 ⁻³	CL=90%
$\Gamma_6 \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e$	(9.0 ± 2.8) × 10 ⁻⁵	
$\Gamma_7 \omega \ell^+ \nu_\ell$	[a] < 2.1 × 10 ⁻⁴	CL=90%
$\Gamma_8 \omega \mu^+ \nu_\mu$		
$\Gamma_9 \rho^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$	[a] (1.34 ^{+0.32} _{-0.35}) × 10 ⁻⁴	
$\Gamma_{10} e^+ \nu_e$	< 1.5 × 10 ⁻⁵	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{11} \mu^+ \nu_\mu$	< 2.1 × 10 ⁻⁵	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{12} \tau^+ \nu_\tau$	< 5.7 × 10 ⁻⁴	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{13} e^+ \nu_e \gamma$	< 2.0 × 10 ⁻⁴	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{14} \mu^+ \nu_\mu \gamma$	< 5.2 × 10 ⁻⁵	CL=90%
D, D*, or D_s modes		
$\Gamma_{15} \bar{D}^0 \pi^+$	(5.3 ± 0.5) × 10 ⁻³	
$\Gamma_{16} \bar{D}^0 \rho^+$	(1.34 ± 0.18) %	
$\Gamma_{17} \bar{D}^0 K^+$	(3.7 ± 0.6) × 10 ⁻⁴	S=1.1
$\Gamma_{18} \bar{D}^0 K^*(892)^+$	(6.1 ± 2.3) × 10 ⁻⁴	
$\Gamma_{19} \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	(1.1 ± 0.4) %	
$\Gamma_{20} \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ nonresonant	(5 ± 4) × 10 ⁻³	
$\Gamma_{21} \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \rho^0$	(4.2 ± 3.0) × 10 ⁻³	
$\Gamma_{22} \bar{D}^0 a_1(1260)^+$	(5 ± 4) × 10 ⁻³	
$\Gamma_{23} \bar{D}^0 \omega \pi^+$	(4.1 ± 0.9) × 10 ⁻³	
$\Gamma_{24} D^*(2010)^- \pi^+ \pi^+$	(2.1 ± 0.6) × 10 ⁻³	
$\Gamma_{25} D^- \pi^+ \pi^+$	< 1.4 × 10 ⁻³	CL=90%

Γ_{26}	$\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 \pi^+$	$(4.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{27}	$\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 \omega \pi^+$	$(4.5 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{28}	$\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 \rho^+$	$(1.55 \pm 0.31)\%$	
Γ_{29}	$\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 K^+$	$(3.6 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{30}	$\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 K^*(892)^+$	$(7.2 \pm 3.4) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{31}	$\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	$(9.4 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{32}	$\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 a_1(1260)^+$	$(1.9 \pm 0.5)\%$	
Γ_{33}	$\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^0$	$(1.8 \pm 0.4)\%$	
Γ_{34}	$D^*(2010)^+ \pi^0$	$< 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{35}	$\bar{D}^*(2010)^+ K^0$	$< 9.5 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{36}	$D^*(2010)^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^0$	$(1.5 \pm 0.7)\%$	
Γ_{37}	$D^*(2010)^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	$< 1\%$	CL=90%
Γ_{38}	$\bar{D}_1^*(2420)^0 \pi^+$	$(1.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3
Γ_{39}	$\bar{D}_1^*(2420)^0 \rho^+$	$< 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{40}	$\bar{D}_2^*(2460)^0 \pi^+$	$< 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{41}	$\bar{D}_2^*(2460)^0 \rho^+$	$< 4.7 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{42}	$\bar{D}^0 D_s^+$	$(1.3 \pm 0.4)\%$	
Γ_{43}	$\bar{D}^0 D_s^{*+}$	$(9 \pm 4) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{44}	$\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 D_s^+$	$(1.2 \pm 0.5)\%$	
Γ_{45}	$\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 D_s^{*+}$	$(2.7 \pm 1.0)\%$	
Γ_{46}	$D_s^{(*)+} \bar{D}^{**0}$	$(2.7 \pm 1.2)\%$	
Γ_{47}	$\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 D^*(2010)^+$	$< 1.1\%$	CL=90%
Γ_{48}	$\bar{D}^0 D^*(2010)^+ + \bar{D}^*(2007)^0 D^+$	$< 1.3\%$	CL=90%
Γ_{49}	$\bar{D}^0 D^+$	$< 6.7 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{50}	$D_s^+ \pi^0$	$< 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{51}	$D_s^{*+} \pi^0$	$< 3.3 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{52}	$D_s^+ \eta$	$< 5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{53}	$D_s^{*+} \eta$	$< 8 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{54}	$D_s^+ \rho^0$	$< 4 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{55}	$D_s^{*+} \rho^0$	$< 5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{56}	$D_s^+ \omega$	$< 5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{57}	$D_s^{*+} \omega$	$< 7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{58}	$D_s^+ a_1(1260)^0$	$< 2.2 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{59}	$D_s^{*+} a_1(1260)^0$	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{60}	$D_s^+ \phi$	$< 3.2 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{61}	$D_s^{*+} \phi$	$< 4 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{62}	$D_s^+ \bar{K}^0$	$< 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{63}	$D_s^{*+} \bar{K}^0$	$< 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{64}	$D_s^+ \bar{K}^*(892)^0$	$< 5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{65}	$D_s^{*+} \bar{K}^*(892)^0$	$< 4 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%

Γ_{66}	$D_s^- \pi^+ K^+$	< 8	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{67}	$D_s^{*-} \pi^+ K^+$	< 1.2	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{68}	$D_s^- \pi^+ K^*(892)^+$	< 6	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{69}	$D_s^{*-} \pi^+ K^*(892)^+$	< 8	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%

Charmonium modes

Γ_{70}	$\eta_c K^+$	(6.9 $^{+3.4}_{-3.0}$)	$\times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{71}	$J/\psi(1S) K^+$	(1.01 ± 0.05)	$\times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{72}	$J/\psi(1S) K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	(1.4 ± 0.6)	$\times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{73}	$J/\psi(1S) K^*(892)^+$	(1.39 ± 0.13)	$\times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{74}	$J/\psi(1S) K(1270)^+$	(1.8 ± 0.5)	$\times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{75}	$J/\psi(1S) K(1400)^+$	< 5	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{76}	$J/\psi(1S) \phi K^+$	(8.8 $^{+3.7}_{-3.3}$)	$\times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{77}	$J/\psi(1S) \pi^+$	(4.2 ± 0.7)	$\times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{78}	$J/\psi(1S) \rho^+$	< 7.7	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{79}	$J/\psi(1S) a_1(1260)^+$	< 1.2	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{80}	$\psi(2S) K^+$	(6.6 ± 0.6)	$\times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{81}	$\psi(2S) K^*(892)^+$	(9.2 ± 2.2)	$\times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{82}	$\psi(2S) K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	(1.9 ± 1.2)	$\times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{83}	$\chi_{c0}(1P) K^+$	(6.0 $^{+2.4}_{-2.1}$)	$\times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{84}	$\chi_{c1}(1P) K^+$	(6.5 ± 1.1)	$\times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{85}	$\chi_{c1}(1P) K^*(892)^+$	< 2.1	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%

K or K^* modes

Γ_{86}	$K^0 \pi^+$	(1.73 $^{+0.27}_{-0.24}$)	$\times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{87}	$K^+ \pi^0$	(1.21 ± 0.16)	$\times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{88}	$\eta' K^+$	(7.5 ± 0.7)	$\times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{89}	$\eta' K^*(892)^+$	< 3.5	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{90}	ηK^+	< 6.9	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{91}	$\eta K^*(892)^+$	(2.6 $^{+1.0}_{-0.9}$)	$\times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{92}	ωK^+	< 4	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{93}	$\omega K^*(892)^+$	< 8.7	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{94}	$K^*(892)^0 \pi^+$	(1.9 $^{+0.6}_{-0.8}$)	$\times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{95}	$K^*(892)^+ \pi^0$	< 3.1	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{96}	$K^+ \pi^- \pi^+$	(5.6 ± 1.0)	$\times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{97}	$K^+ \pi^- \pi^+$ nonresonant	< 2.8	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{98}	$K^+ f_0(980)$			
Γ_{99}	$K^+ \rho^0$	< 1.2	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{100}	$K_2^*(1430)^0 \pi^+$	< 6.8	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{101}	$K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$	< 7.0	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{102}	$K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ nonresonant	< 5.6	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%

Γ_{103}	$K_1(1400)^0 \pi^+$	< 2.6	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{104}	$K^0 \rho^+$	< 4.8	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{105}	$K^*(892)^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 1.1	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{106}	$K^*(892)^+ \rho^0$	< 7.4	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{107}	$K^*(892)^+ K^*(892)^0$	< 7.1	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{108}	$K_1(1400)^+ \rho^0$	< 7.8	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{109}	$K_2^*(1430)^+ \rho^0$	< 1.5	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{110}	$K^+ \bar{K}^0$	< 2.4	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{111}	$K^+ K^- \pi^+$	< 1.2	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{112}	$K^+ K^- \pi^+$ nonresonant	< 7.5	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{113}	$K^+ K^+ \pi^-$	< 3.2	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{114}	$K^+ K^+ \pi^-$ nonresonant	< 8.79	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{115}	$K^+ K^*(892)^0$	< 5.3	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{116}	$K^+ K^- K^+$	(3.5 \pm 0.6) $\times 10^{-5}$		
Γ_{117}	$K^+ \phi$	(7.9 \pm 2.0) $\times 10^{-6}$	S=1.6	
Γ_{118}	$K^+ K^- K^+$ nonresonant	< 3.8	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{119}	$K^*(892)^+ K^+ K^-$	< 1.6	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{120}	$K^*(892)^+ \phi$	(10 \pm 5) $\times 10^{-6}$		
Γ_{121}	$K_1(1400)^+ \phi$	< 1.1	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{122}	$K_2^*(1430)^+ \phi$	< 3.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{123}	$K^*(892)^+ \gamma$	(3.8 \pm 0.5) $\times 10^{-5}$		
Γ_{124}	$K_1(1270)^+ \gamma$	< 7.3	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{125}	$K_1(1400)^+ \gamma$	< 2.2	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{126}	$K_2^*(1430)^+ \gamma$	< 1.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{127}	$K^*(1680)^+ \gamma$	< 1.9	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{128}	$K_3^*(1780)^+ \gamma$	< 5.5	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{129}	$K_4^*(2045)^+ \gamma$	< 9.9	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%

Light unflavored meson modes

Γ_{130}	$\rho^+ \gamma$	< 1.3	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{131}	$\pi^+ \pi^0$	< 9.6	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{132}	$\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 1.3	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{133}	$\rho^0 \pi^+$	(1.0 \pm 0.4) $\times 10^{-5}$		
Γ_{134}	$\pi^+ f_0(980)$	< 1.4	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{135}	$\pi^+ f_2(1270)$	< 2.4	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{136}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$ nonresonant	< 4.1	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{137}	$\pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0$	< 8.9	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{138}	$\rho^+ \pi^0$	< 4.3	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{139}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^0$	< 4.0	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{140}	$\rho^+ \rho^0$	< 1.0	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{141}	$a_1(1260)^+ \pi^0$	< 1.7	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{142}	$a_1(1260)^0 \pi^+$	< 9.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%

Γ_{143}	$\omega\pi^+$	$(8.1 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-6}$	S=1.2
Γ_{144}	$\omega\rho^+$	$< 6.1 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{145}	$\eta\pi^+$	$< 5.7 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{146}	$\eta'\pi^+$	$< 7.0 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{147}	$\eta'\rho^+$	$< 3.3 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{148}	$\eta\rho^+$	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{149}	$\phi\pi^+$	$< 1.4 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{150}	$\phi\rho^+$	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{151}	$\pi^+\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$	$< 8.6 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{152}	$\rho^0 a_1(1260)^+$	$< 6.2 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{153}	$\rho^0 a_2(1320)^+$	$< 7.2 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{154}	$\pi^+\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-\pi^0$	$< 6.3 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{155}	$a_1(1260)^+ a_1(1260)^0$	$< 1.3 \%$	CL=90%

Charged particle (h^\pm) modes

$$h^\pm = K^\pm \text{ or } \pi^\pm$$

Γ_{156}	$h^+\pi^0$	$(1.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{157}	ωh^+	$(1.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{158}	$h^+ X^0$ (Familon)	$< 4.9 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%

Baryon modes

Γ_{159}	$p\bar{p}\pi^+$	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{160}	$p\bar{p}\pi^+$ nonresonant	$< 5.3 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{161}	$p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 5.2 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{162}	$p\bar{p}K^+$ nonresonant	$< 8.9 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{163}	$p\bar{\Lambda}$	$< 2.6 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{164}	$p\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{165}	$\Delta^0 p$	$< 3.8 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{166}	$\Delta^{++}\bar{p}$	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{167}	$\Lambda_c^- p\pi^+$	$(6.2 \pm 2.7) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{168}	$\Lambda_c^- p\pi^+\pi^0$	$< 3.12 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{169}	$\Lambda_c^- p\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 1.46 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{170}	$\Lambda_c^- p\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$< 1.34 \%$	CL=90%

Lepton Family number (LF) or Lepton number (L) violating modes, or $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current ($B1$) modes

Γ_{171}	$\pi^+e^+e^-$	$B1 < 3.9 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{172}	$\pi^+\mu^+\mu^-$	$B1 < 9.1 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{173}	$K^+e^+e^-$	$B1 < 1.4 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{174}	$K^+\mu^+\mu^-$	$B1 (10 \pm 5) \times 10^{-7}$	
Γ_{175}	$K^+\bar{\nu}\nu$	$B1 < 2.4 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{176}	$K^*(892)^+e^+e^-$	$B1 < 8.9 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{177}	$K^*(892)^+\mu^+\mu^-$	$B1 < 3.9 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%

Γ_{178}	$\pi^+ e^+ \mu^-$	<i>LF</i>	< 6.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{179}	$\pi^+ e^- \mu^+$	<i>LF</i>	< 6.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{180}	$K^+ e^+ \mu^-$	<i>LF</i>	< 6.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{181}	$K^+ e^- \mu^+$	<i>LF</i>	< 6.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{182}	$\pi^- e^+ e^+$	<i>L</i>	< 3.9	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{183}	$\pi^- \mu^+ \mu^+$	<i>L</i>	< 9.1	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{184}	$\pi^- e^+ \mu^+$	<i>L</i>	< 6.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{185}	$K^- e^+ e^+$	<i>L</i>	< 3.9	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{186}	$K^- \mu^+ \mu^+$	<i>L</i>	< 9.1	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_{187}	$K^- e^+ \mu^+$	<i>L</i>	< 6.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%

[a] An ℓ indicates an e or a μ mode, not a sum over these modes.

B^+ BRANCHING RATIOS

$$\Gamma(\ell^+ \nu_\ell \text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.1025 \pm 0.0057 \pm 0.0065$	12 ARTUSO	97 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
0.101 $\pm 0.018 \pm 0.015$	ATHANAS	94 CLE2	Sup. by ARTUSO 97
12 ARTUSO 97 uses partial reconstruction of $B \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu_\ell$ and inclusive semileptonic branching ratio from BARISH 96B ($0.1049 \pm 0.0017 \pm 0.0043$).			

$$\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_2/\Gamma$$

$\ell = e$ or μ , not sum over e and μ modes.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0215 ± 0.0022 OUR AVERAGE			
0.0221 $\pm 0.0013 \pm 0.0019$	13 BARTELT	99 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.016 $\pm 0.006 \pm 0.003$	14 FULTON	91 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
0.0194 $\pm 0.0015 \pm 0.0034$	15 ATHANAS	97 CLE2	Repl. by BARTELT 99
13 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.			
14 FULTON 91 assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at the $\gamma(4S)$.			
15 ATHANAS 97 uses missing energy and missing momentum to reconstruct neutrino.			

$$\Gamma(\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_3/\Gamma$$

$\ell = e$ or μ , not sum over e and μ modes.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.053 ± 0.008 OUR AVERAGE				
0.0513 $\pm 0.0054 \pm 0.0064$	302	16 BARISH	95 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.066 $\pm 0.016 \pm 0.015$		17 ALBRECHT	92C ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
seen	398	18 SANGHERA	93 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.041 ± 0.008 $^{+0.008}_{-0.009}$		19 FULTON	91 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.070 $\pm 0.018 \pm 0.014$		20 ANTREASYAN	90B CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

¹⁶ BARISH 95 use $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+) = (3.91 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.17)\%$ and $B(D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0) = (63.6 \pm 2.3 \pm 3.3)\%$.

¹⁷ ALBRECHT 92C reports $0.058 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.013$. We rescale using the method described in STONE 94 but with the updated PDG 94 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$. Assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

¹⁸ Combining $\bar{D}^{*0} \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ and $\bar{D}^{*-} \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ SANGHERA 93 test $V-A$ structure and fit the decay angular distributions to obtain $A_{FB} = 3/4 * (\Gamma^- - \Gamma^+)/\Gamma = 0.14 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.03$. Assuming a value of V_{cb} , they measure V , A_1 , and A_2 , the three form factors for the $D^* \ell \nu_\ell$ decay, where results are slightly dependent on model assumptions.

¹⁹ Assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at the $\Upsilon(4S)$. Uncorrected for D and D^* branching ratio assumptions.

²⁰ ANTREASYAN 90B is average over B and \bar{D}^* (2010) charge states.

$\Gamma(\bar{D}_1(2420)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_4/Γ
0.0056 ± 0.0013 ± 0.0009	21 ANASTASSOV 98	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$	
²¹ ANASTASSOV 98 result is derived from the measurement of $B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}_1^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell) \times B(\bar{D}_1^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^-) = (0.373 \pm 0.085 \pm 0.052 \pm 0.024)\%$ by assuming $B(\bar{D}_1^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^-) = 67\%$, where the third error includes theoretical uncertainties.				

$\Gamma(\bar{D}_2^*(2460)^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_5/Γ
<8 × 10⁻³	90	22 ANASTASSOV 98	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$	
²² ANASTASSOV 98 result is derived from the measurement of $B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}_2^{*0} \ell^+ \nu_\ell) \times B(\bar{D}_2^{*0} \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^-) < 0.16\%$ at 90% CL by assuming $B(\bar{D}_2^{*0} \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^-) = 20\%$.					

$\Gamma(\pi^0 e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁴)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_6/Γ
0.9 ± 0.2 ± 0.2	90	23 ALEXANDER 96T	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<22 90 ANTREASYAN 90B CBAL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

²³ Derived based in the reported B^0 result by assuming isospin symmetry: $\Gamma(B^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \ell^+ \nu) = 2\Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \nu)$.

$\Gamma(\omega \ell^+ \nu_\ell)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\ell = e$ or μ , not sum over e and μ modes.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_7/Γ
<2.1 × 10⁻⁴	90	24 BEAN	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$	

²⁴ BEAN 93B limit set using ISGW Model. Using isospin and the quark model to combine $\Gamma(\rho^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell)$ and $\Gamma(\rho^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell)$ with this result, they obtain a limit $<(1.6-2.7) \times 10^{-4}$ at 90% CL for $B^+ \rightarrow \omega \ell^+ \nu_\ell$. The range corresponds to the ISGW, WSB, and KS models. An upper limit on $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}| < 0.8-0.13$ at 90% CL is derived as well.

$\Gamma(\omega\mu^+\nu_\mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ VALUEDOCUMENT IDTECN

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen

25 ALBRECHT 91C ARG

25 In ALBRECHT 91C, one event is fully reconstructed providing evidence for the $b \rightarrow u$ transition.

 $\Gamma(\rho^0\ell^+\nu_\ell)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

$\ell = e$ or μ , not sum over e and μ modes.

VALUE (units 10^{-4})CL%DOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT **$1.34 \pm 0.15^{+0.28}_{-0.32}$**

26 BEHRENS

00

CLE2

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $1.40 \pm 0.21^{+0.32}_{-0.33}$

26 BEHRENS

00

CLE2

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$ $1.2 \pm 0.2^{+0.3}_{-0.4}$

26 ALEXANDER

96T

CLE2

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

<2.1

90

27 BEAN

93B

CLE2

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

26 Derived based in the reported B^0 result by assuming isospin symmetry: $\Gamma(B^0 \rightarrow \rho^- \ell^+ \nu) = 2\Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 \ell^+ \nu) \approx 2\Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \omega \ell^+ \nu)$.

27 BEAN 93B limit set using ISGW Model. Using isospin and the quark model to combine $\Gamma(\omega^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell)$ and $\Gamma(\rho^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell)$ with this result, they obtain a limit $<(1.6\text{--}2.7) \times 10^{-4}$ at 90% CL for $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$. The range corresponds to the ISGW, WSB, and KS models. An upper limit on $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}| < 0.8\text{--}0.13$ at 90% CL is derived as well.

 $\Gamma(e^+\nu_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ VALUECL%DOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT **$<1.5 \times 10^{-5}$**

90

ARTUSO

95

CLE2

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$ $\Gamma(\mu^+\nu_\mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ VALUECL%DOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT **$<2.1 \times 10^{-5}$**

90

ARTUSO

95

CLE2

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$ $\Gamma(\tau^+\nu_\tau)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ VALUECL%DOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT **$<5.7 \times 10^{-4}$**

90

28 ACCIARRI

97F

L3

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $<8.3 \times 10^{-4}$

90

29 BARATE

01E

ALEP

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ $<8.4 \times 10^{-4}$

90

30 BROWDER

01

CLE2

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$ $<1.04 \times 10^{-2}$

90

31 ALBRECHT

95D

ARG

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$ $<2.2 \times 10^{-3}$

90

ARTUSO

95

CLE2

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$ $<1.8 \times 10^{-3}$

90

32 BUSKULIC

95

ALEP

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

28 ACCIARRI 97F uses missing-energy technique and $f(b \rightarrow B^-) = (38.2 \pm 2.5)\%$.

29 The energy-flow and b -tagging algorithms were used.

30 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

31 ALBRECHT 95D use full reconstruction of one B decay as tag.

32 BUSKULIC 95 uses same missing-energy technique as in $\bar{B} \rightarrow \tau^+\nu_\tau X$, but analysis is restricted to endpoint region of missing-energy distribution.

$\Gamma(e^+ \nu_e \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{13}/Γ
$<2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	33 BROWDER	97 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	

33 BROWDER 97 uses the hermiticity of the CLEO II detector to reconstruct the neutrino energy and momentum.

 $\Gamma(\mu^+ \nu_\mu \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{14}/Γ
$<5.2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	34 BROWDER	97 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	

34 BROWDER 97 uses the hermiticity of the CLEO II detector to reconstruct the neutrino energy and momentum.

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{15}/Γ
0.0053±0.0005 OUR AVERAGE					
0.0055±0.0004±0.0005	304	35 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
0.0050±0.0007±0.0006	54	36 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$0.0054^{+0.0018}_{-0.0015} {}^{+0.0012}_{-0.0009}$	14	37 BEBEK	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.0020±0.0008±0.0006	12	36 ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.0019±0.0010±0.0006	7	38 ALBRECHT	88K ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

35 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$.

36 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses the Mark III branching fractions for the D .

37 BEBEK 87 value has been updated in BERKELMAN 91 to use same assumptions as noted for BORTOLETTO 92.

38 ALBRECHT 88K assumes $B^0 \bar{B}^0 : B^+ B^-$ ratio is 45:55. Superseded by ALBRECHT 90J.

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 \rho^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{16}/Γ
0.0134±0.0018 OUR AVERAGE					
0.0135±0.0012±0.0015	212	39 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$0.013 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.004$	19	40 ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.021 ± 0.008 ± 0.009	10	41 ALBRECHT	88K ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
-----------------------	----	-------------	---------	----------------------------------

39 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$.

40 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses the Mark III branching fractions for the D .

41 ALBRECHT 88K assumes $B^0 \bar{B}^0 : B^+ B^-$ ratio is 45:55.

$\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.7 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
4.19 ± 0.57 ± 0.40	42 ABE 01I	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
2.92 ± 0.80 ± 0.28	43 ATHANAS 98	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$^{42}\text{ABE } 01\text{I reports } B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^+)/B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+) = 0.079 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.006.$ We multiply by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+) = (5.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}.$ Our first error is their experiment's error and the second error is systematic error from using our best value.			
$^{43}\text{ATHANAS } 98 \text{ reports } [B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^+)]/[B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+)] = 0.055 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.005.$ We multiply by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+) = (5.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}.$ Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.			

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 K^*(892)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(6.1 ± 1.6 ± 1.7) × 10⁻⁴	44 MAHAPATRA 02	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
44 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S).$			

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0115 ± 0.0029 ± 0.0021	45 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
45 BORTOLETTO 92 assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the $D.$			

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \text{ nonresonant})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0051 ± 0.0034 ± 0.0023	46 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
46 BORTOLETTO 92 assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the $D.$			

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{21}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0042 ± 0.0023 ± 0.0020	47 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
47 BORTOLETTO 92 assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the $D.$			

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 a_1(1260)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0045 ± 0.0019 ± 0.0031	48 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
48 BORTOLETTO 92 assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the $D.$			

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 \omega \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0041 ± 0.0007 ± 0.0006	49 ALEXANDER 01B	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
49 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S).$ The signal is consistent with all observed $\omega \pi^+$ having proceeded through the ρ'^+ resonance at mass $1349 \pm 25^{+10}_{-5}$ MeV and width $547 \pm 86^{+46}_{-45}$ MeV.			

$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^-\pi^+\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{24}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0021±0.0006 OUR AVERAGE					
0.0019±0.0007±0.0003	14	50	ALAM	94	CLE2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.0026±0.0014±0.0007	11	51	ALBRECHT	90J	ARG $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.0024 ^{+0.0017} _{-0.0016} ^{+0.0010} _{-0.0006}	3	52	BEBEK	87	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.004	90	53	BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.005 ± 0.002 ± 0.003	7	54	ALBRECHT	87C	ARG $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

50 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0\pi^+)$ and absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$.

51 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses the Mark III branching fractions for the D .

52 BEBEK 87 value has been updated in BERKELMAN 91 to use same assumptions as noted for BORTOLETTO 92.

53 BORTOLETTO 92 assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D and $D^*(2010)$. The authors also find the product branching fraction into $D^{**}\pi$ followed by $D^{**} \rightarrow D^*(2010)\pi$ to be $0.0014^{+0.0008}_{-0.0006} \pm 0.0003$ where D^{**} represents all orbitally excited D mesons.

54 ALBRECHT 87C use PDG 86 branching ratios for D and $D^*(2010)$ and assume $B(\gamma(4S) \rightarrow B^+B^-) = 55\%$ and $B(\gamma(4S) \rightarrow B^0\bar{B}^0) = 45\%$. Superseded by ALBRECHT 90J.

 $\Gamma(D^-\pi^+\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{25}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0014	90	55	ALAM	94	CLE2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.007	90	56	BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.0025 ^{+0.0041} _{-0.0023} ^{+0.0024} _{-0.0008}	1	57	BEBEK	87	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

55 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the Mark III $B(D^+ \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+)$.

56 BORTOLETTO 92 assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D . The product branching fraction into $D_0^*(2340)\pi$ followed by $D_0^*(2340) \rightarrow D\pi$ is < 0.005 at 90%CL and into $D_2^*(2460)$ followed by $D_2^*(2460) \rightarrow D\pi$ is < 0.004 at 90%CL.

57 BEBEK 87 assume the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. $B(D^- \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\pi^-) = (9.1 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.4)\%$ is assumed.

$\Gamma(\overline{D}^*(2007)^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{26}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0046 ± 0.0004 OUR AVERAGE				
0.00434 ± 0.00047 ± 0.00018	58	BRANDENB...	98	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.0052 ± 0.0007 ± 0.0007	71	59 ALAM	94	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.0072 ± 0.0018 ± 0.0016	60	BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.0040 ± 0.0014 ± 0.0012	9	60 ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.0027 ± 0.0044	61	BEBEK	87	CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
58 BRANDENBURG 98 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at $\gamma(4S)$ and use the D^* reconstruction technique. The first error is their experiment's error and the second error is the systematic error from the PDG 96 value of $B(D^* \rightarrow D\pi)$.				
59 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0)$ and absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)$ and $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$.				
60 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D and $D^*(2010)$.				
61 This is a derived branching ratio, using the inclusive pion spectrum and other two-body B decays. BEBEK 87 assume the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$.				

 $\Gamma(\overline{D}^*(2007)^0 \omega \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{27}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0045 ± 0.0010 ± 0.0007	62 ALEXANDER	01B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
62 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$. The signal is consistent with all observed $\omega \pi^+$ having proceeded through the ρ^+ resonance at mass $1349 \pm 25^{+10}_{-5}$ MeV and width $547 \pm 86^{+46}_{-45}$ MeV.			

 $\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{34}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.00017	90	63 BRANDENB...	98	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
63 BRANDENBURG 98 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at $\gamma(4S)$ and use the D^* partial reconstruction technique. The first error is their experiment's error and the second error is the systematic error from the PDG 96 value of $B(D^* \rightarrow D\pi)$.				

 $\Gamma(\overline{D}^*(2007)^0 \rho^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{28}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0155 ± 0.0031 OUR AVERAGE				
0.0168 ± 0.0021 ± 0.0028	86	64 ALAM	94	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.010 ± 0.006 ± 0.004	7	65 ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
64 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0)$ and absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)$ and $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$. The nonresonant $\pi^+ \pi^0$ contribution under the ρ^+ is negligible.				
65 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D and $D^*(2010)$.				

$\Gamma(\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{29}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$(3.59 \pm 0.97 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-4}$	66 ABE 01I	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

66 ABE 01I reports $B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^*(2007)^0 K^+)/B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^*(2007)^0 \pi^+) = 0.078 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.009$. We multiply by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^*(2007)^0 \pi^+) = (4.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and the second error is systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}^*(2010)^+ K^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{35}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<9.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	67 GRITSAN 01	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

67 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 K^*(892)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{30}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$(7.2 \pm 2.2 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-4}$	68 MAHAPATRA 02	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

68 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and an unpolarized final state.

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{31}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.0094 \pm 0.0020 \pm 0.0017$	48	69,70 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

69 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0)$ and absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$.

70 The three pion mass is required to be between 1.0 and 1.6 GeV consistent with an a_1 meson. (If this channel is dominated by a_1^+ , the branching ratio for $\bar{D}^{*0} a_1^+$ is twice that for $\bar{D}^{*0} \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$.)

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 a_1(1260)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{32}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.0188 \pm 0.0040 \pm 0.0034$	71,72 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

71 ALAM 94 value is twice their $\Gamma(\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ value based on their observation that the three pions are dominantly in the $a_1(1260)$ mass range 1.0 to 1.6 GeV.

72 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0)$ and absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$ and $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)$.

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{33}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.0180 \pm 0.0024 \pm 0.0027$	73 ALEXANDER 01B	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

73 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$. The signal is consistent with all observed $\omega \pi^+$ having proceeded through the ρ^+ resonance at mass $1349 \pm 25^{+10}_{-5}$ MeV and width $547 \pm 86^{+46}_{-45}$ MeV.

$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{36}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0152±0.0071±0.0001	26	74 ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.043 ± 0.013 ± 0.026	24	75 ALBRECHT	87C ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
-----------------------	----	-------------	---------	---------------------------------

74 ALBRECHT 90J reports $0.018 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.005$ for $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0\pi^+) = 0.57 \pm 0.06$. We rescale to our best value $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0\pi^+) = (67.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D .

75 ALBRECHT 87C use PDG 86 branching ratios for D and $D^*(2010)$ and assume $B(\gamma(4S) \rightarrow B^+B^-) = 55\%$ and $B(\gamma(4S) \rightarrow B^0\bar{B}^0) = 45\%$. Superseded by ALBRECHT 90J.

 $\Gamma(D^*(2010)^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{37}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.01	90	76 ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

76 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and uses Mark III branching fractions for the D and $D^*(2010)$.

 $\Gamma(\overline{D}_1^*(2420)^0\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{38}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0015±0.0006 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.3.
0.0011±0.0005±0.0002	8	77 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.0025±0.0007±0.0006		78 ALBRECHT	94D ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

77 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0\pi^+)$ and absolute $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and the PDG 1992 $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^0)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ and assuming $B(D_1(2420)^0 \rightarrow D^*(2010)^+\pi^-) = 67\%$.

78 ALBRECHT 94D assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0\pi^+)$ assuming $B(D_1(2420)^0 \rightarrow D^*(2010)^+\pi^-) = 67\%$.

 $\Gamma(\overline{D}_1^*(2420)^0\rho^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{39}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0014	90	79 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

79 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0\pi^+)$ assuming $B(D_1(2420)^0 \rightarrow D^*(2010)^+\pi^-) = 67\%$.

 $\Gamma(\overline{D}_2^*(2460)^0\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{40}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0013	90	80 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.0028	90	81 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
<0.0023	90	82 ALBRECHT	94D ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

- 80 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ and use the Mark III $B(D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$ and $B(D_2^*(2460)^0 \rightarrow D^+ \pi^-) = 30\%$.
- 81 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ and use the Mark III $B(D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$, the CLEO II $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+)$ and $B(D_2^*(2460)^0 \rightarrow D^*(2010)^+ \pi^-) = 20\%$.
- 82 ALBRECHT 94D assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ and use the CLEO II $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+)$ and $B(D_2^*(2460)^0 \rightarrow D^*(2010)^+ \pi^-) = 30\%$.

$\Gamma(\overline{D}_2^*(2460)^0 \rho^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{41}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0047	90	83 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
<0.005	90	84 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

83 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ and use the Mark III $B(D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$ and $B(D_2^*(2460)^0 \rightarrow D^+ \pi^-) = 30\%$.

84 ALAM 94 assume equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ and use the Mark III $B(D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$, the CLEO II $B(D^*(2010)^+ \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+)$ and $B(D_2^*(2460)^0 \rightarrow D^*(2010)^+ \pi^-) = 20\%$.

$\Gamma(\overline{D}^0 D_s^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{42}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.013 ± 0.004 OUR AVERAGE				
0.0122 ± 0.0032 $^{+0.0029}_{-0.0030}$		85 GIBAUT	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
0.018 ± 0.009 ± 0.004		86 ALBRECHT	92G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
0.016 ± 0.007 ± 0.004	5	87 BORTOLETTO90	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

85 GIBAUT 96 reports $0.0126 \pm 0.0022 \pm 0.0025$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.035$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

86 ALBRECHT 92G reports $0.024 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.004$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes PDG 1990 D^0 branching ratios, e.g., $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+) = 3.71 \pm 0.25\%$.

87 BORTOLETTO 90 reports 0.029 ± 0.013 for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 0.02$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\overline{D}^0 D_s^{*+})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{43}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.009 ± 0.004 OUR AVERAGE			
0.0084 ± 0.0031 $^{+0.0020}_{-0.0021}$	88 GIBAUT	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
0.012 ± 0.009 ± 0.003	89 ALBRECHT	92G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

⁸⁸ GIBAUT 96 reports $0.0087 \pm 0.0027 \pm 0.0017$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.035$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
⁸⁹ ALBRECHT 92G reports $0.016 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.003$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes PDG 1990 D^0 branching ratios, e.g., $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+) = 3.71 \pm 0.25\%$.

$\Gamma(\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 D_s^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_{44}/Γ		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.012±0.005 OUR AVERAGE			
$0.014 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.003$	90 GIBAUT	96 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$0.010 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.002$	91 ALBRECHT	92G ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
⁹⁰ GIBAUT 96 reports $0.0140 \pm 0.0043 \pm 0.0035$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.035$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.			
⁹¹ ALBRECHT 92G reports $0.013 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.002$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes PDG 1990 D^0 and $D^*(2007)^0$ branching ratios, e.g., $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+) = 3.71 \pm 0.25\%$ and $B(D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow D^0\pi^0) = 55 \pm 6\%$.			

$\Gamma(\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 D_s^{*+})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_{45}/Γ		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.027±0.010 OUR AVERAGE			
$0.030 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.007$	92 GIBAUT	96 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$0.023 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.006$	93 ALBRECHT	92G ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
⁹² GIBAUT 96 reports $0.0310 \pm 0.0088 \pm 0.0065$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.035$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.			
⁹³ ALBRECHT 92G reports $0.031 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.005$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes PDG 1990 D^0 and $D^*(2007)^0$ branching ratios, e.g., $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+) = 3.71 \pm 0.25\%$ and $B(D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow D^0\pi^0) = 55 \pm 6\%$.			

$\Gamma(D_s^{(*)+}\bar{D}^{**0})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_{46}/Γ		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$(2.73 \pm 0.93 \pm 0.68) \times 10^{-2}$	94 AHMED	00B CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
⁹⁴ AHMED 00B reports their experiment's uncertainties $(\pm 0.78 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.68)\%$, where the first error is statistical, the second is systematic, and the third is the uncertainty in the $D_s \rightarrow \phi\pi$ branching fraction. We combine the first two in quadrature.			

$\Gamma(\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 D^*(2010)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_{47}/Γ			
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.011	90	BARATE	98Q ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

$$\frac{[\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 D^*(2010)^+) + \Gamma(\bar{D}^*(2007)^0 D^+)]}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \quad \Gamma_{48}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.013	90	BARATE	98Q ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\bar{D}^0 D^+)}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \quad \Gamma_{49}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0067	90	BARATE	98Q ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

$$\frac{\Gamma(D_s^+ \pi^0)}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \quad \Gamma_{50}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.00020	90	95 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

95 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

$$\frac{[\Gamma(D_s^+ \pi^0) + \Gamma(D_s^{*+} \pi^0)]}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \quad (\Gamma_{50} + \Gamma_{51})/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0007	90	96 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

96 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 0.9 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

$$\frac{\Gamma(D_s^{*+} \pi^0)}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \quad \Gamma_{51}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.00033	90	97 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

97 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 3.2 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

$$\frac{\Gamma(D_s^+ \eta)}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \quad \Gamma_{52}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0005	90	98 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

98 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 4.6 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

$$\frac{\Gamma(D_s^{*+} \eta)}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \quad \Gamma_{53}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0008	90	99 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

99 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 7.5 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

$$\frac{\Gamma(D_s^+ \rho^0)}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \quad \Gamma_{54}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0004	90	100 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

100 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 3.7 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

$[\Gamma(D_s^+ \rho^0) + \Gamma(D_s^+ \bar{K}^*(892)^0)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$(\Gamma_{54} + \Gamma_{64})/\Gamma$
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0025	90	101 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
101 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 3.4 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				

$\Gamma(D_s^{*+} \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{55}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0005	90	102 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
102 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 4.8 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				

$[\Gamma(D_s^{*+} \rho^0) + \Gamma(D_s^{*+} \bar{K}^*(892)^0)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$(\Gamma_{55} + \Gamma_{65})/\Gamma$
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0015	90	103 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
103 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 2.0 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				

$\Gamma(D_s^+ \omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{56}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0005	90	104 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.0025	90	105 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
104 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 4.8 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				
105 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 3.4 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				

$\Gamma(D_s^{*+} \omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{57}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0007	90	106 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.0014	90	107 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
106 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 6.8 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				
107 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 1.9 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				

$\Gamma(D_s^+ a_1(1260)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{58}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0022	90	108 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
108 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 3.0 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.				

$\Gamma(D_s^{*+} a_1(1260)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{59}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.0016	90	109 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
109 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 2.2 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.					

$\Gamma(D_s^+ \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{60}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.00032	90	110 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.0013	90	111 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
110 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 3.1 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.					
111 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 1.7 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.					

$\Gamma(D_s^{*+} \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{61}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.0004	90	112 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.0016	90	113 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
112 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 4.2 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.					
113 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 2.1 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.					

$\Gamma(D_s^+ \bar{K}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{62}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.0011	90	114 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.0019	90	115 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
114 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 10.3 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.					
115 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.					

$\Gamma(D_s^{*+} \bar{K}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{63}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.0011	90	116 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.0023	90	117 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	

116 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 10.9 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

117 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 3.1 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

$\Gamma(D_s^+\bar{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{64}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0005	90	118 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

118 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 4.4 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

$\Gamma(D_s^{*+}\bar{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{65}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0004	90	119 ALEXANDER	93B CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

119 ALEXANDER 93B reports $< 4.3 \times 10^{-4}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.037$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

$\Gamma(D_s^-\pi^+K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{66}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0008	90	120 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

120 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

$\Gamma(D_s^{*-}\pi^+K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{67}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0012	90	121 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

121 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

$\Gamma(D_s^-\pi^+K^*(892)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{68}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.006	90	122 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

122 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 8.6 \times 10^{-3}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

$\Gamma(D_s^{*-}\pi^+K^*(892)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{69}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.008	90	123 ALBRECHT	93E ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

123 ALBRECHT 93E reports $< 1.1 \times 10^{-2}$ for $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.027$. We rescale to our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 0.036$.

$\Gamma(\eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{70}/Γ
$(6.9^{+2.6}_{-2.1} \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-4}$	124 EDWARDS 01	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	

124 EDWARDS 01 assumes equal production of B^0 and B^+ at the $\gamma(4S)$. The correlated uncertainties (28.3)% from $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c)$ in those modes have been accounted for.

 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{71}/Γ
10.1 ± 0.5 OUR AVERAGE					

$10.1 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5$	125 AUBERT 02	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$10.2 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.7$	125 JESSOP 97	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$9.3 \pm 3.1 \pm 0.2$	126 BORTOLETTO92 CLEO		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$8.1 \pm 3.5 \pm 0.1$	6 127 ALBRECHT 90J ARG		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$11.0 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.9$	59 125 ALAM	94 CLE2	Repl. by JESSOP 97	
$22 \pm 10 \pm 2$		BUSKULIC 92G ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$	
7 ± 4	3 128 ALBRECHT	87D ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$10 \pm 7 \pm 2$	3 129 BEBEK	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
9 ± 5	3 130 ALAM	86 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	

125 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

126 BORTOLETTO 92 reports $8 \pm 2 \pm 2$ for $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.069 \pm 0.009$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

127 ALBRECHT 90J reports $7 \pm 3 \pm 1$ for $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.069 \pm 0.009$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

128 ALBRECHT 87D assume $B^+ B^- / B^0 \bar{B}^0$ ratio is 55/45. Superseded by ALBRECHT 90J.

129 BEBEK 87 value has been updated in BERKELMAN 91 to use same assumptions as noted for BORTOLETTO 92.

130 ALAM 86 assumes B^\pm / B^0 ratio is 60/40.

 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)K^+\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{72}/Γ
1.4 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE						

$1.40 \pm 0.82 \pm 0.02$		131 BORTOLETTO92 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$1.40 \pm 0.91 \pm 0.02$	6	132 ALBRECHT 87D ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.9	90	133 ALBRECHT 90J ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
------	----	----------------------	----------------------------------	--

- 131 BORTOLETTO 92 reports $1.2 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4$ for $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.069 \pm 0.009$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.
- 132 ALBRECHT 87D reports 1.2 ± 0.8 for $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.069 \pm 0.009$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. They actually report 0.0011 ± 0.0007 assuming $B^+ B^- / B^0 \bar{B}^0$ ratio is 55/45. We rescale to 50/50. Analysis explicitly removes $B^+ \rightarrow \psi(2S) K^+$.
- 133 ALBRECHT 90J reports < 1.6 for $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.069$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.0593$. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) K^*(892)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{73}/Γ

For polarization information see the Listings at the end of the " B^0 Branching Ratios" section.

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.39 ± 0.13 OUR AVERAGE				
1.37 ± 0.09 ± 0.11		134 AUBERT	02 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
1.41 ± 0.23 ± 0.24		134 JESSOP	97 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
1.58 ± 0.47 ± 0.27		135 ABE	96H CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
1.51 ± 1.09 ± 0.02		136 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
1.86 ± 1.30 ± 0.03	2	137 ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.78 ± 0.51 ± 0.23	13	134 ALAM	94 CLE2	Sup. by JESSOP 97

134 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

135 ABE 96H assumes that $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+) = (1.02 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3}$.

136 BORTOLETTO 92 reports $1.3 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.3$ for $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.069 \pm 0.009$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

137 ALBRECHT 90J reports $1.6 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.3$ for $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 0.069 \pm 0.009$. We rescale to our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (5.93 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) K^*(892)^+)/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) K^+)$

Γ_{73}/Γ_{71}

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.40 ± 0.11 OUR AVERAGE			
1.37 ± 0.10 ± 0.08	138 AUBERT	02 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
1.45 ± 0.20 ± 0.17	139 JESSOP	97 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
1.92 ± 0.60 ± 0.17	ABE	96Q CDF	$p\bar{p}$

138 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

139 JESSOP 97 assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$. The measurement is actually measured as an average over kaon charged and neutral states.

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) K(1270)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{74}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.80 ± 0.34 ± 0.39	140 ABE	01L BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
140 Uses the PDG value of $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) K^+) = (1.00 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$.			

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)K(1400)^+)/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)K(1270)^+)$ Γ_{75}/Γ_{74}

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.30	90	ABE	01L BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\phi K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{76}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$(8.8^{+3.5}_{-3.0} \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-5}$	141 ANASTASSOV 00	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

141 ANASTASSOV 00 finds 10 events on a background of 0.5 ± 0.2 . Assumes equal production of B^0 and B^+ at the $\gamma(4S)$, a uniform Dalitz plot distribution, isotropic $J/\psi(1S)$ and ϕ decays, and $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\phi K^+) = B(B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\phi K^0)$.

 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^+)/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)K^+)$ Γ_{77}/Γ_{71}

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.042 ± 0.007 OUR AVERAGE				
0.0391 $\pm 0.0078 \pm 0.0019$		AUBERT	02F BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.05 $+0.019_{-0.017} \pm 0.001$		ABE	96R CDF	$p\bar{p}$ 1.8 TeV
0.052 ± 0.024		BISHAI	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.043 ± 0.023	5	142 ALEXANDER	95 CLE2	Sup. by BISHAI 96

142 Assumes equal production of $B^+ B^-$ and $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ on $\gamma(4S)$.

 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\rho^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{78}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<7.7 \times 10^{-4}$	90	BISHAI	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

 $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)a_1(1260)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{79}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<1.2 \times 10^{-3}$	90	BISHAI	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

 $\Gamma(\psi(2S)K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{80}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
6.6 \pm 0.6 OUR AVERAGE					
6.4 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.8			143 AUBERT	02 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
7.8 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.9			143 RICHICHI	01 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
5.5 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.6			144 ABE	980 CDF	$p\bar{p}$ 1.8 TeV
18 \pm 8 \pm 4	5	143 ALBRECHT	90J ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

6.1 \pm 2.3 \pm 0.9	7	143 ALAM	94 CLE2	Repl. by RICHICHI 01
< 5	90	143 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
22 \pm 17	3	145 ALBRECHT	87D ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

143 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

144 ABE 980 reports $[B(B^+ \rightarrow \psi(2S)K^+)/[B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+)] = 0.558 \pm 0.082 \pm 0.056$. We multiply by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+) = (9.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

145 ALBRECHT 87D assume $B^+ B^- / B^0 \bar{B}^0$ ratio is 55/45. Superseded by ALBRECHT 90J.

$\Gamma(\psi(2S)K^+)/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)K^+)$

Γ_{80}/Γ_{71}

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.64±0.06±0.07	146 AUBERT 02	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

146 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\psi(2S)K^*(892)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{81}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.2±1.9±1.2		147 RICHICHI 01	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<30	90	147 ALAM 94	CLE2	Repl. by RICHICHI 01
<35	90	147 BORTOLETTO92	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
<49	90	147 ALBRECHT 90J	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

147 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\psi(2S)K^+\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{82}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0019±0.0011±0.0004	3	148 ALBRECHT 90J	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

148 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\chi_{c0}(1P)K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{83}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.0±2.1±1.1		149 ABE 02B	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<4.8	90	150 EDWARDS 01	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
------	----	----------------	------	------------------------------------

149 ABE 02B measures the ratio of $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_c^0 K^+)/B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+) = 0.60 + 0.21 - 0.18 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.08$, where the third error is due to the uncertainty in the $B(\chi_c^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)$, and uses $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)K^+) = (10.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$ to obtain the result.

150 EDWARDS 01 assumes equal production of B^0 and B^+ at the $\Upsilon(4S)$. The correlated uncertainties (28.3)% from $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c)$ in those modes have been accounted for.

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{84}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.00065±0.00009±0.00007		151 AUBERT 02	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.00097±0.00040±0.00009	6	152 ALAM 94	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
0.0019 ± 0.0013 ± 0.0006		153 ALBRECHT 92E	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

- 151 AUBERT 02 reports $0.00075 \pm 0.00008 \pm 0.00008$ for $B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)) = 0.273 \pm 0.016$. We rescale to our best value $B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)) = (31.6 \pm 3.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.
- 152 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.
- 153 ALBRECHT 92E assumes no $\chi_{c2}(1P)$ production and $B(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^+ B^-) = 50\%$.

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)K^+)/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)K^+)$ Γ_{84}/Γ_{71}

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.65±0.07±0.07	154 AUBERT	02 BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

154 AUBERT 02 reports $0.75 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.05$ for $B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)) = 0.273 \pm 0.016$. We rescale to our best value $B(\chi_{c1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi(1S)) = (31.6 \pm 3.2) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(1P)K^*(892)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{85}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0021	90	155 ALAM	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

155 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

$\Gamma(K^0\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{86}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

1.73^{+0.27}_{-0.24} OUR AVERAGE

$1.37^{+0.57}_{-0.48}{}^{+0.19}_{-0.18}$	156	ABE	01H BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$1.82^{+0.33}_{-0.30}{}^{+0.20}_{-0.20}$	156	AUBERT	01E BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$1.82^{+0.46}_{-0.40}{}^{+0.16}_{-0.16}$	156	CRONIN-HEN..00	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$2.3^{+1.1}_{-1.0} \pm 0.36$		GODANG	98 CLE2	Repl. by CRONIN-HENNESSY 00
< 4.8	90	ASNER	96 CLE2	Repl. by GODANG 98
<19	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
<10	90	157 Avery	89B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
<68	90	AVERY	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

156 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

157 Avery 89B reports $< 9 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(K^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{87}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

1.21^{+0.16}_{-0.16} OUR AVERAGE

$1.63^{+0.35}_{-0.33}{}^{+0.16}_{-0.18}$	158	ABE	01H BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$1.08^{+0.21}_{-0.19}{}^{+0.10}_{-0.10}$	158	AUBERT	01E BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
$1.16^{+0.30}_{-0.27}{}^{+0.14}_{-0.13}$	158	CRONIN-HEN..00	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.6	90	GODANG	98	CLE2	Repl. by CRONIN-HENNESSY 00
<1.4	90	ASNER	96	CLE2	Repl. by GODANG 98

158 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

$\Gamma(K^+\pi^0)/\Gamma(K^0\pi^+)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{87}/Γ_{86}
$2.38^{+0.98+0.39}_{-1.10-0.26}$	159 ABE	01H BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$	

159 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\eta' K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{88}/Γ
7.5 ± 0.7 OUR AVERAGE				
$7.9^{+1.2}_{-1.1} \pm 0.9$	160 ABE	01M BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$	
$7.0 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.5$	160 AUBERT	01G BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$	
$8.0^{+1.0}_{-0.9} \pm 0.7$	160 RICHICHI	00 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$6.5^{+1.5}_{-1.4} \pm 0.9$	BEHRENS	98 CLE2	Repl. by RICHICHI 00
-----------------------------	---------	---------	----------------------

160 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\eta' K^*(892)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{89}/Γ
$<3.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	161 RICHICHI	00 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	BEHRENS	98 CLE2	Repl. by RICHICHI 00
-----------------------	----	---------	---------	----------------------

161 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\eta K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{90}/Γ
$<6.9 \times 10^{-6}$	90	162 RICHICHI	00 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<1.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BEHRENS	98 CLE2	Repl. by RICHICHI 00
-----------------------	----	---------	---------	----------------------

162 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\eta K^*(892)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{91}/Γ
$2.64^{+0.96+0.33}_{-0.82}$	163 RICHICHI	00 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$		

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<3.0	90	BEHRENS	98 CLE2	Repl. by RICHICHI 00
--------	----	---------	---------	----------------------

163 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\omega K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{92}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-5})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.4	90	164 AUBERT	01G BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.79	90	164 JESSOP	00 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
1.5 $^{+0.7}_{-0.6} \pm 0.2$		164 BERGFELD	98 CLE2	Repl. by JESSOP 00

164 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$. $\Gamma(\omega K^*(892)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{93}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
<8.7 $\times 10^{-5}$	90	165 BERGFELD	98 CLE2

165 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$. $\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{94}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-5})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1.94 $^{+0.42}_{-0.39}$ $^{+0.41}_{-0.71}$		166 GARMASH	02 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<11.9	90	167 ABE	00C SLD	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
< 1.6	90	168 JESSOP	00 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
<39	90	169 ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$
< 4.1	90	ASNER	96 CLE2	Repl. by JESSOP 00
<48	90	170 ABREU	95N DLPH	Sup. by ADAM 96D
<17	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
<15	90	171 AVERY	89B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
<26	90	AVERY	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

166 Uses a reference decay mode $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+$ and $\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ with $B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+) \cdot B(\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-) = (20.3 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-5}$.167 ABE 00C assumes $B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = (21.7 \pm 0.1)\%$ and the B fractions $f_{B^0} = f_{B^+} = (39.7 \pm 1.8)\%$ and $f_{B_s} = (10.5 \pm 1.8)\%$.168 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.169 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.170 Assumes a B^0 , B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.171 AVERY 89B reports $< 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%. $\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{95}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<3.1 $\times 10^{-5}$	90	172 JESSOP	00 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<9.9 $\times 10^{-5}$ 90 ASNER 96 CLE2 Repl. by JESSOP 00172 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

$\Gamma(K^+\pi^-\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{96}/Γ
(5.56±0.58±0.77) × 10⁻⁵	173 GARMASH	02 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
173 Uses a reference decay mode $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+$ and $\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ with $B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+) \cdot B(\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-) = (20.3 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-5}$.				

 $\Gamma(K^+\pi^-\pi^+ \text{nonresonant})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁵)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{97}/Γ
< 2.8	90	BERGFELD	96B CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<33	90	174 ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$	
<40	90	175 ABREU	95N DLPH	Sup. by ADAM 96D	
<33	90	ALBRECHT	91E ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
<19	90	176 Avery	89B CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	

174 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.

175 Assumes a B^0 , B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.

176 Avery 89B reports $< 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

 $\Gamma(K^+f_0(980))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times B(f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi\pi)$ $\Gamma_{98}/\Gamma \times B$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁶)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_{98}/\Gamma \times B$
9.6 ^{+2.5+3.7} _{-2.3-1.7}	90	177 GARMASH	02 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<80 90 178 Avery 89B CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

177 Uses a reference decay mode $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+$ and $\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ with $B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+) \cdot B(\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-) = (20.3 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-5}$. Only charged pions from the $f_0(980)$ are used.

178 Avery 89B reports $< 7 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

 $\Gamma(K^+\rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{99}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{99}/Γ
<1.2 × 10⁻⁵	90	179 GARMASH	02 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<8.6 × 10 ⁻⁵	90	180 ABE	00C SLD	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$	
<1.7 × 10 ⁻⁵	90	181 JESSOP	00 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
<1.2 × 10 ⁻⁴	90	182 ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$	
<1.9 × 10 ⁻⁵	90	ASNER	96 CLE2	Repl. by JESSOP 00	
<1.9 × 10 ⁻⁴	90	183 ABREU	95N DLPH	Sup. by ADAM 96D	
<1.8 × 10 ⁻⁴	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
<8 × 10 ⁻⁵	90	184 Avery	89B CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
<2.6 × 10 ⁻⁴	90	AVERY	87 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	

- 179 Uses a reference decay mode $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+$ and $\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ with $B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+) \cdot B(\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-) = (20.3 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-5}$. |
- 180 ABE 00C assumes $B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = (21.7 \pm 0.1)\%$ and the B fractions $f_{B^0} = f_{B^+} = (39.7^{+1.8}_{-2.2})\%$ and $f_{B_s} = (10.5^{+1.8}_{-2.2})\%$. |
- 181 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$. |
- 182 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^+} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$. |
- 183 Assumes a B^0 , B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12. |
- 184 Avery 89B reports $< 7 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%. |

$$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^0\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{100}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 6.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$$\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{101}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 7.0 \times 10^{-6}$	90	185 GARMASH	02 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

- 185 Uses a reference decay mode $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+$ and $\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ with $B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+) \cdot B(\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-) = (20.3 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-5}$. |

$$\Gamma(K^-\pi^+\pi^+ \text{ nonresonant})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{102}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 5.6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BERGFELD	96B CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$$\Gamma(K_1(1400)^0\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{103}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 2.6 \times 10^{-3}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$$\Gamma(K^0\rho^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{104}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 4.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ASNER	96 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{105}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$	90	ALBRECHT	91E ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+\rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{106}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 7.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	186 GODANG	02 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$< 9.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
------------------------	----	----------	---------	---------------------------------

- 186 Assumes a helicity 00 configuration. For a helicity 11 configuration, the limit decreases to 4.9×10^{-5} . |

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ K^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{107}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<7.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	187 GODANG	02 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

187 Assumes a helicity 00 configuration. For a helicity 11 configuration, the limit decreases to 4.8×10^{-5} .

 $\Gamma(K_1(1400)^+ \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{108}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<7.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

 $\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^+ \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{109}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

 $\Gamma(K^+ \bar{K}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{110}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<2.4 \times 10^{-6}$	90	188 AUBERT	01E BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<5.0 \times 10^{-6}$	90	188 ABE	01H BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
-----------------------	----	---------	----------	----------------------------------

$<5.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	188 CRONIN-HEN..00	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
-----------------------	----	--------------------	------	----------------------------------

$<2.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	GODANG	98 CLE2	Repl. by CRONIN-HENNESSY 00
-----------------------	----	--------	---------	-----------------------------

188 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{111}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<1.2 \times 10^{-6}$	90	189 GARMASH	02 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

189 Uses a reference decay mode $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+$ and $\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ with $B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+) \cdot B(\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-) = (20.3 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-5}$.

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \text{nonresonant})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{112}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<7.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BERGFELD	96B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{113}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<3.2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	190 GARMASH	02 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

190 Uses a reference decay mode $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+$ and $\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ with $B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+) \cdot B(\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-) = (20.3 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-5}$.

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^+ \pi^- \text{nonresonant})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{114}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<8.79 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ABBIENDI	00B OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$

$\Gamma(K^+ K^*(892)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{115}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$<5.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	191 JESSOP	00 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<1.29 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ABBIENDI	00B OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$	
$<1.38 \times 10^{-4}$	90	192 ABE	00C SLD	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$	
191 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.					
192 ABE 00C assumes $B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = (21.7 \pm 0.1)\%$ and the B fractions $f_{B^0} = f_{B^+} = (39.7^{+1.8}_{-2.2})\%$ and $f_{B_s} = (10.5^{+1.8}_{-2.2})\%$.					


$\Gamma(K^+ K^- K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{116}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
3.53±0.37±0.45		193 GARMASH	02 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<20	90	194 ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$	
<32	90	195 ABREU	95N DLPH	Sup. by ADAM 96D	
<35	90	ALBRECHT	91E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
193 Uses a reference decay mode $B^+ \rightarrow \overline{D}^0 \pi^+$ and $\overline{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ with $B(B^+ \rightarrow \overline{D}^0 \pi^+) \cdot B(\overline{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-) = (20.3 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-5}$.					
194 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.					
195 Assumes a B^0 , B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.					

$\Gamma(K^+ \phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{117}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
7.9^{+2.0}_{-1.8} OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$14.6^{+3.0}_{-2.8} \pm 2.0$	90	196 GARMASH	02 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$7.7^{+1.6}_{-1.4} \pm 0.8$	90	197 AUBERT	01D BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
$5.5^{+2.1}_{-1.8} \pm 0.6$	90	197 BRIERE	01 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<144	90	198 ABE	00C SLD	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$	
< 5	90	197 BERGFELD	98 CLE2		
<280	90	199 ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z$	
< 12	90	ASNER	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
<440	90	200 ABREU	95N DLPH	Sup. by ADAM 96D	
<180	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
< 90	90	201 AVERY	89B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
<210	90	AVERY	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	

- 196 Uses a reference decay mode $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+$ and $\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ with $B(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+) \cdot B(\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-) = (20.3 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-5}$.
 197 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.
 198 ABE 00C assumes $B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = (21.7 \pm 0.1)\%$ and the B fractions $f_{B^0} = f_{B^+} = (39.7^{+1.8}_{-2.2})\%$ and $f_{B_s} = (10.5^{+1.8}_{-2.2})\%$.
 199 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.
 200 Assumes a B^0 , B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.
 201 Avery 89B reports $< 8 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.



$$\Gamma(K^+\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ (units } 10^{-6})$$

$$\Gamma(K^+K^-K^+\text{nonresonant})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{118}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3.8	90	BERGFELD	96B	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{119}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$	90	ALBRECHT	91E	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{120}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$9.7^{+4.2}_{-3.4} \pm 1.7$	202	AUBERT	01D	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 22.5	90	202 BRIERE	01 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
< 41	90	202 BERGFELD	98 CLE2	
< 70	90	ASNER	96 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
<1300	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

202 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

$\Gamma(K_1(1400)^+\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{121}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^+\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{122}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 3.4 \times 10^{-3}$	90	ALBRECHT	91B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{123}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.8 ±0.5 OUR AVERAGE					
3.83±0.62±0.22		203 AUBERT	02C BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$	
$3.76^{+0.89}_{-0.83} \pm 0.28$		203 COAN	00 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

5.7 ±3.1 ±1.1	5	204 AMMAR	93 CLE2	Repl. by COAN 00
< 55	90	205 ALBRECHT	89G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
< 55	90	206 AVERY	89B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$
<180	90	AVERY	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

203 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

204 AMMAR 93 observed 4.1 ± 2.3 events above background.

205 Assumes the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$.

206 Assumes the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$.

$\Gamma(K_1(1270)^+\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{124}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.0073	90	207 ALBRECHT	89G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

207 ALBRECHT 89G reports < 0.0066 assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(K_1(1400)^+\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{125}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.0022	90	208 ALBRECHT	89G ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$

208 ALBRECHT 89G reports < 0.0020 assuming the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)^+\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{126}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0014	90	209 ALBRECHT	89G ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
209 ALBRECHT 89G reports < 0.0013 assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.				

$\Gamma(K^*(1680)^+\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{127}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0019	90	210 ALBRECHT	89G ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
210 ALBRECHT 89G reports < 0.0017 assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.				

$\Gamma(K_3^*(1780)^+\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{128}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0055	90	211 ALBRECHT	89G ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
211 ALBRECHT 89G reports < 0.005 assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.				

$\Gamma(K_4^*(2045)^+\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{129}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0099	90	212 ALBRECHT	89G ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
212 ALBRECHT 89G reports < 0.0090 assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.				

$\Gamma(\rho^+\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{130}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	213 COAN	00 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
213 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$. No evidence for a nonresonant $K\pi\gamma$ contamination was seen; the central value assumes no contamination.				

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{131}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 9.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90	214 AUBERT	01E BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$< 1.34 \times 10^{-5}$	90	214 ABE	01H BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$< 12.7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	214 CRONIN-HEN..00	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$< 2.0 \times 10^{-5}$	90	GODANG	98 CLE2	Repl. by CRONIN-HENNESSY 00
$< 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ASNER	96 CLE2	Repl. by GODANG 98
$< 2.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	215 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$< 2.3 \times 10^{-3}$	90	216 BEBEK	87 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
214 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.				
215 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0\bar{B}^0$ and B^+B^- at $\gamma(4S)$.				
216 BEBEK 87 assume the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$.				

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{132}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	217 ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$<2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	218 ABREU	95N DLPH	Sup. by ADAM 96D
$<4.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	219 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$<1.9 \times 10^{-4}$	90	220 BORTOLETTO89	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

217 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.

218 Assumes a B^0, B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.

219 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0\bar{B}^0$ and B^+B^- at $\gamma(4S)$.

220 BORTOLETTO 89 reports $< 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$.

We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{133}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.04^{+0.33}_{-0.34} \pm 0.21$			221 JESSOP	00 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$

< 8.3 90 222 ABE 00C SLD $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

<16 90 223 ADAM 96D DLPH $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

< 4.3 90 ASNER 96 CLE2 Repl. by JESSOP 00

<26 90 224 ABREU 95N DLPH Sup. by ADAM 96D

<15 90 225 ALBRECHT 90B ARG $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

<17 90 226 BORTOLETTO89 CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

<23 90 226 BEBEK 87 CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

<60 90 0 GILES 84 CLEO Repl. by BEBEK 87

221 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

222 ABE 00C assumes $B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = (21.7 \pm 0.1)\%$ and the B fractions $f_{B^0} = f_{B^+} = (39.7^{+1.8}_{-2.2})\%$ and $f_{B_s} = (10.5^{+1.8}_{-2.2})\%$.

223 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.

224 Assumes a B^0, B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.

225 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0\bar{B}^0$ and B^+B^- at $\gamma(4S)$.

226 Papers assume the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$[\Gamma(K^*(892)^0\pi^+) + \Gamma(\rho^0\pi^+)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$(\Gamma_{94} + \Gamma_{133})/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$(17^{+12}_{-8} \pm 2) \times 10^{-5}$	227 ADAM	96D DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$

227 ADAM 96D assumes $f_{B^0} = f_{B^-} = 0.39$ and $f_{B_s} = 0.12$.

$\Gamma(\pi^+ f_0(980))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{134}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	228 BORTOLETTO89	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

228 BORTOLETTO 89 reports $< 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(\pi^+ f_2(1270))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{135}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<2.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	229 BORTOLETTO89	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

229 BORTOLETTO 89 reports $< 2.1 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$.
We rescale to 50%.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \text{nonresonant})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{136}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<4.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BERGFELD	96B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{137}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<8.9 \times 10^{-4}$	90	230 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

230 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

 $\Gamma(\rho^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{138}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<4.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	231 JESSOP 00	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<7.7 \times 10^{-5}$ 90 ASNER 96 CLE2 Repl. by JESSOP 00

$<5.5 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 232 ALBRECHT 90B ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

231 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$. Assumes no nonresonant contributions of $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0$.

232 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{139}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<4.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	233 ALBRECHT 90B ARG		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

233 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

 $\Gamma(\rho^+ \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{140}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	234 ALBRECHT 90B ARG		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

234 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

 $\Gamma(a_1(1260)^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{141}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<1.7 \times 10^{-3}$	90	235 ALBRECHT 90B ARG		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

235 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

 $\Gamma(a_1(1260)^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{142}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<9.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	236 ALBRECHT 90B ARG		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

236 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ and $B^+ B^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\omega\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{143}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-5})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---------------------------------	------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

0.81 $^{+0.23}_{-0.20}$ OUR AVERAGE

Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

 $0.66^{+0.21}_{-0.18} \pm 0.07$ 237 AUBERT 01G BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$ $1.13^{+0.33}_{-0.29} \pm 0.14$ 237 JESSOP 00 CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 < 2.3

90 237 BERGFELD 98 CLE2 Repl. by JESSOP 00

 < 40 90 238 ALBRECHT 90B ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$ 237 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.238 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0\bar{B}^0$ and B^+B^- at $\gamma(4S)$. $\Gamma(\omega\rho^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{144}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
--------------	------------	--------------------	-------------

 $< 6.1 \times 10^{-5}$

90 239 BERGFELD 98 CLE2

239 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$. $\Gamma(\eta\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{145}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

 $< 5.7 \times 10^{-6}$ 90 240 RICHICHI 00 CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $< 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$

90 BEHRENS 98 CLE2 Repl. by RICHICHI 00

 $< 7.0 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 241 ALBRECHT 90B ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$ 240 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.241 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0\bar{B}^0$ and B^+B^- at $\gamma(4S)$. $\Gamma(\eta'\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{146}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

 $< 7.0 \times 10^{-6}$ 90 242 ABE 01M BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $< 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$ 90 242 AUBERT 01G BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$ $< 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$ 90 242 RICHICHI 00 CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$ $< 3.1 \times 10^{-5}$

90 BEHRENS 98 CLE2 Repl. by RICHICHI 00

242 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$. $\Gamma(\eta'\rho^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{147}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

 $< 3.3 \times 10^{-5}$ 90 243 RICHICHI 00 CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $< 4.7 \times 10^{-5}$

90 BEHRENS 98 CLE2 Repl. by RICHICHI 00

243 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\eta\rho^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{148}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	244 RICHICHI	00 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$<3.2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BEHRENS	98 CLE2	Repl. by RICHICHI 00
244 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.				

$\Gamma(\phi\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{149}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.4 \times 10^{-6}$	90	245 AUBERT	01D BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$<1.53 \times 10^{-4}$	90	246 ABE	00C SLD	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$
$<0.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	245 BERGFELD	98 CLE2	
245 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.				
246 ABE 00C assumes $B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = (21.7 \pm 0.1)\%$ and the B fractions $f_{B^0} = f_{B^+} = (39.7^{+1.8}_{-2.2})\%$ and $f_{B_s} = (10.5^{+1.8}_{-2.2})\%$.				

$\Gamma(\phi\rho^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{150}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
$<1.6 \times 10^{-5}$		247 BERGFELD	98 CLE2
247 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.			

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{151}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<8.6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	248 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
248 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0\bar{B}^0$ and $B^+\bar{B}^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.				

$\Gamma(\rho^0 a_1(1260)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{152}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<6.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	249 BORTOLETTO89	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$<6.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	250 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$<3.2 \times 10^{-3}$	90	249 BEBEK	87 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

249 BORTOLETTO 89 reports $< 5.4 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$.
We rescale to 50%.

250 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0\bar{B}^0$ and $B^+\bar{B}^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$.

$\Gamma(\rho^0 a_2(1320)^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{153}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<7.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	251 BORTOLETTO89	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$<2.6 \times 10^{-3}$	90	252 BEBEK	87 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
251 BORTOLETTO 89 reports $< 6.3 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.				
252 BEBEK 87 reports $< 2.3 \times 10^{-3}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.				

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{154}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<6.3 \times 10^{-3}$	90	253 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

253 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0\bar{B}^0$ and B^+B^- at $\gamma(4S)$.

$\Gamma(a_1(1260)^+ a_1(1260)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{155}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.3 \times 10^{-2}$	90	254 ALBRECHT	90B ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

254 ALBRECHT 90B limit assumes equal production of $B^0\bar{B}^0$ and B^+B^- at $\gamma(4S)$.

$\Gamma(h^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{156}/Γ

$$h^+ = K^+ \text{ or } \pi^+$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$(1.6^{+0.6}_{-0.5} \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-5}$	GODANG	98 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$\Gamma(\omega h^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{157}/Γ

$$h^+ = K^+ \text{ or } \pi^+$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$(1.43^{+0.36}_{-0.32} \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-5}$	255 JESSOP	00 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$(2.5^{+0.8}_{-0.7} \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5}$ 255 BERGFELD 98 CLE2 Repl. by JESSOP 00

255 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

$\Gamma(h^+ X^0 (\text{Familon}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{158}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<4.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	256 AMMAR	01B CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

256 AMMAR 01B searched for the two-body decay of the B meson to a massless neutral feebly-interacting particle X^0 such as the familon, the Nambu-Goldstone boson associated with a spontaneously broken global family symmetry.

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{159}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	257 BEBEK	89 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$< 5.0 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 258 ABREU 95N DLPH Sup. by ADAM 96D
 $(5.7 \pm 1.5 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-4}$ 259 ALBRECHT 88F ARG $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

257 BEBEK 89 reports $< 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

258 Assumes a B^0 , B^- production fraction of 0.39 and a B_s production fraction of 0.12.

259 ALBRECHT 88F reports $(5.2 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^+ \text{ nonresonant})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{160}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BERGFELD	96B CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{161}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	260 ALBRECHT	88F ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
260 ALBRECHT 88F reports $< 4.7 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.				

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}K^+\text{nonresonant})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{162}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<8.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BERGFELD	96B CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$\Gamma(p\bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{163}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90	261 COAN	99 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	262 AVERY	89B CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
$<9.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	263 ALBRECHT	88F ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

261 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.
 262 AVERY 89B reports $< 5 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.
 263 ALBRECHT 88F reports $< 8.5 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(p\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{164}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	264 ALBRECHT	88F ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
264 ALBRECHT 88F reports $< 1.8 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.				

$\Gamma(\Delta^0 p)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{165}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	265 BORTOLETTO89	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
265 BORTOLETTO 89 reports $< 3.3 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.				

$\Gamma(\Delta^{++}\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{166}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	266 BORTOLETTO89	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
266 BORTOLETTO 89 reports $< 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0\bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.				

$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^- p\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{167}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$6.2^{+2.3}_{-2.0} \pm 1.6$	267 FU	97 CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
267 FU 97 uses PDG 96 values of Λ_c branching fraction.				

$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^- p \pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{168}/Γ		
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.12 \times 10^{-3}$	90	268 FU	97 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

268 FU 97 uses PDG 96 values of Λ_c branching ratio.

$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^- p \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{169}/Γ		
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.46 \times 10^{-3}$	90	269 FU	97 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

269 FU 97 uses PDG 96 values of Λ_c branching ratio.

$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^- p \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{170}/Γ		
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<1.34 \times 10^{-2}$	90	270 FU	97 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

270 FU 97 uses PDG 96 values of Λ_c branching ratio.

$\Gamma(\pi^+ e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{171}/Γ		
Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.				
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<0.0039 90 271 WEIR 90B MRK2 $e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

271 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{172}/Γ		
Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.				
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

<0.0091 90 272 WEIR 90B MRK2 $e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

272 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

$\Gamma(K^+ e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_{173}/Γ		
Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.				
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$<1.4 \times 10^{-6}$ 90 273 ABE 02 BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<2.4 \times 10^{-6}$ 90 274 ANDERSON 01B CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$<9.9 \times 10^{-5}$ 90 275 ALBRECHT 91E ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$<6.8 \times 10^{-3}$ 90 276 WEIR 90B MRK2 $e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV

$<6 \times 10^{-5}$ 90 277 AVERY 89B CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$<2.5 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 278 AVERY 87 CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

273 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

274 The result is for di-lepton masses above 0.5 GeV.

275 ALBRECHT 91E reports $< 9.0 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

276 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

277 AVERY 89B reports $< 5 \times 10^{-5}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

278 AVERY 87 reports $< 2.1 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 40% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(K^+\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{174}/Γ Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.98^{+0.46+0.16}_{-0.36-0.16}	279	ABE	02	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 3.68	90	280 ANDERSON	01B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
< 5.2	90	281 AFFOLDER	99B CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.8 TeV
< 10	90	282 ABE	96L CDF	Repl. by AF-FOLDER 99B
< 240	90	283 ALBRECHT	91E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
< 6400	90	284 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$ 29 GeV
< 170	90	285 AVERY	89B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
< 380	90	286 AVERY	87 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

279 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

280 The result is for di-lepton masses above 0.5 GeV.

281 AFFOLDER 99B measured relative to $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) K^+$.282 ABE 96L measured relative to $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) K^+$ using PDG 94 branching ratios.283 ALBRECHT 91E reports $< 2.2 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.284 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.285 AVERY 89B reports $< 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 43% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.286 AVERY 87 reports $< 3.2 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 40% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%. $\Gamma(K^+\bar{\nu}\nu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{175}/Γ Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 2.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	287 BROWDER	01 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

287 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$. $\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{176}/Γ Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 8.9 \times 10^{-6}$	90	288 ABE	02	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<6.9 × 10⁻⁴ 90 289 ALBRECHT 91E ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$ 288 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.289 ALBRECHT 91E reports $< 6.3 \times 10^{-4}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{177}/Γ

Test for $\Delta B = 1$ weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.9 \times 10^{-6}$	90	290 ABE	02 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<1.2 \times 10^{-3}$	90	291 ALBRECHT	91E ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
-----------------------	----	--------------	---------	----------------------------------

290 Assumes equal production of B^+ and B^0 at the $\gamma(4S)$.

291 ALBRECHT 91E reports $< 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ assuming the $\gamma(4S)$ decays 45% to $B^0 \bar{B}^0$. We rescale to 50%.

$\Gamma(\pi^+ e^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{178}/Γ

Test of lepton family number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0064	90	292 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^- 29 \text{ GeV}$

292 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

$\Gamma(\pi^+ e^- \mu^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{179}/Γ

Test of lepton family number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0064	90	293 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^- 29 \text{ GeV}$

293 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

$\Gamma(K^+ e^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{180}/Γ

Test of lepton family number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0064	90	294 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^- 29 \text{ GeV}$

294 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

$\Gamma(K^+ e^- \mu^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{181}/Γ

Test of lepton family number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0064	90	295 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^- 29 \text{ GeV}$

295 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

$\Gamma(\pi^- e^+ e^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{182}/Γ

Test of total lepton number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0039	90	296 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^- 29 \text{ GeV}$

296 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

$\Gamma(\pi^- \mu^+ \mu^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{183}/Γ

Test of total lepton number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0091	90	297 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^- 29 \text{ GeV}$

297 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

$\Gamma(\pi^- e^+ \mu^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{184}/Γ

Test of total lepton number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0064	90	298 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^- 29 \text{ GeV}$

298 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

$\Gamma(K^- e^+ e^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{185}/Γ

Test of total lepton number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0039	90	299 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^- 29 \text{ GeV}$

299 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

$\Gamma(K^- \mu^+ \mu^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{186}/Γ

Test of total lepton number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0091	90	300 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^- 29 \text{ GeV}$

300 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

$\Gamma(K^- e^+ \mu^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{187}/Γ

Test of total lepton number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0064	90	301 WEIR	90B MRK2	$e^+ e^- 29 \text{ GeV}$

301 WEIR 90B assumes B^+ production cross section from LUND.

CP VIOLATION

A_{CP} is defined as

$$\frac{B(B^- \rightarrow \bar{f}) - B(B^+ \rightarrow f)}{B(B^- \rightarrow \bar{f}) + B(B^+ \rightarrow f)},$$

the CP -violation charge asymmetry of inclusive B^- and B^+ decay.

$A_{CP}(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) K^+)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.008±0.025 OUR AVERAGE			
0.003±0.030±0.004	AUBERT	02F BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.018±0.043±0.004	302 BONVICINI	00 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

302 A +0.3% correction is applied due to a slightly higher reconstruction efficiency for the positive kaons.

$A_{CP}(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.01±0.22±0.01	AUBERT	02F BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$A_{CP}(B^+ \rightarrow \psi(2S) K^+)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.02±0.091±0.01	303 BONVICINI	00 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

303 A +0.3% correction is applied due to a slightly higher reconstruction efficiency for the positive kaons.

$A_{CP}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.10 ±0.12 OUR AVERAGE			
-0.059 ^{+0.222} _{-0.196} ^{+0.055} _{-0.017}	304 ABE	01K BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.00 ±0.18 ±0.04	305 AUBERT	01E BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
-0.29 ±0.23	306 CHEN	00 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
304 Corresponds to 90% confidence range $-0.40 < A_{CP} < 0.36$.			
305 Corresponds to 90% confidence range $-0.30 < A_{CP} < +0.30$.			
306 Corresponds to 90% confidence range $-0.67 < A_{CP} < 0.09$.			

 $A_{CP}(B^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.05 ±0.14 OUR AVERAGE			
0.098 ^{+0.430} _{-0.343} ^{+0.020} _{-0.063}	307 ABE	01K BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
-0.21 ±0.18 ±0.03	308 AUBERT	01E BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.18 ±0.24	309 CHEN	00 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
307 Corresponds to 90% confidence range $-0.53 < A_{CP} < 0.82$.			
308 Corresponds to 90% confidence range $-0.51 < A_{CP} < 0.09$.			
309 Corresponds to 90% confidence range $-0.22 < A_{CP} < 0.56$.			

 $A_{CP}(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \eta')$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.02±0.07 OUR AVERAGE			
-0.11±0.11±0.02	310 AUBERT	02E BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.06±0.15±0.01	311 ABE	01M BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
0.03±0.12	312 CHEN	00 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
310 Corresponds to 90% confidence range $-0.28 < A_{CP} < 0.07$.			
311 Corresponds to 90% confidence range $-0.20 < A_{CP} < 0.32$.			
312 Corresponds to 90% confidence range $-0.17 < A_{CP} < 0.23$.			

 $A_{CP}(B^+ \rightarrow \omega \pi^+)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.21±0.19 OUR AVERAGE			
-0.01 ^{+0.29} _{-0.31} ^{+0.03} _{-0.03}	313 AUBERT	02E BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
-0.34±0.25	314 CHEN	00 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$
313 Corresponds to 90% confidence range $-0.50 < A_{CP} < 0.46$.			
314 Corresponds to 90% confidence range $-0.75 < A_{CP} < 0.07$.			

 $A_{CP}(B^+ \rightarrow \phi K^+)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.05±0.20±0.03			
315 AUBERT	02E BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$	
315 Corresponds to 90% confidence range $-0.37 < A_{CP} < 0.28$.			

$A_{CP}(B^+ \rightarrow \phi K^*(892)^+)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-0.43^{+0.36}_{-0.30} \pm 0.06$	316 AUBERT	02E BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

316 Corresponds to 90% confidence range $-0.88 < A_{CP} < 0.18$.

 B^\pm REFERENCES

ABE	02	PRL 88 021801	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(Belle Collab.)
ABE	02B	PRL 88 031802	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(Belle Collab.)
ABE	02H	PRL 88 171801	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	02	PR D65 032001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BaBar Collab.)
AUBERT	02C	PRL 88 101805	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BaBar Collab.)
AUBERT	02E	PR D65 051101R	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BaBar Collab.)
AUBERT	02F	PR D65 091101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BaBar Collab.)
GARMASH	02	PR D65 092005	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
GODANG	02	PRL 88 021802	R. Godang <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
MAHAPATRA	02	PRL 88 101803	R. Mahapatra <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABE	01H	PRL 87 101801	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(Belle Collab.)
ABE	01I	PRL 87 111801	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(Belle Collab.)
ABE	01K	PR D64 071101	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(Belle Collab.)
ABE	01L	PRL 87 161601	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(Belle Collab.)
ABE	01M	PL B517 309	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(Belle Collab.)
ALEXANDER	01B	PR D64 092001	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AMMAR	01B	PRL 87 271801	R. Ammar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ANDERSON	01B	PRL 87 181803	S. Anderson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	01D	PRL 87 151801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BaBar Collab.)
AUBERT	01E	PRL 87 151802	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BaBar Collab.)
AUBERT	01F	PRL 87 201803	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BaBar Collab.)
AUBERT	01G	PRL 87 221802	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BaBar Collab.)
BARATE	01E	EPJ C19 213	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BRIERE	01	PRL 86 3718	R.A. Biere <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BROWDER	01	PRL 86 2950	T.E. Browder <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
EDWARDS	01	PRL 86 30	K.W. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
GRITSAN	01	PR D64 077501	A. Gritsan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
RICHICHI	01	PR D63 031103R	S.J. Richichi <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABBIENDI	00B	PL B476 233	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABE	00C	PR D62 071101R	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(SLD Collab.)
AHMED	00B	PR D62 112003	S. Ahmed <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ANASTASSOV	00	PRL 84 1393	A. Anastassov <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BARATE	00R	PL B492 275	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BEHRENS	00	PR D61 052001	B.H. Behrens <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BONVICINI	00	PRL 84 5940	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
CHEN	00	PRL 85 525	S. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
COAN	00	PRL 84 5283	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
CRONIN-HEN... 00		PRL 85 515	D. Cronin-Hennessy <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
CSORNA	00	PR D61 111101	S.E. Csorna <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
JESSOP	00	PRL 85 2881	C.P. Jessop <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
RICHICHI	00	PRL 85 520	S.J. Richichi <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABBIENDI	99J	EPJ C12 609	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
AFFOLDER	99B	PR L3 3378	T. Affolder <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
BARTELT	99	PRL 82 3746	J. Bartelt <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
COAN	99	PR D59 111101	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABE	98B	PR D57 5382	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	98O	PR D58 072001	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	98Q	PR D58 092002	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ACCIARRI	98S	PL B438 417	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ANASTASSOV	98	PRL 80 4127	A. Anastassov <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ATHANAS	98	PRL 80 5493	M. Athanas <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BARATE	98Q	EPJ C4 387	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BEHRENS	98	PRL 80 3710	B.H. Behrens <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BERGFELD	98	PRL 81 272	T. Bergfeld <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BRANDENB... 98		PRL 80 2762	G. Brandenbrug <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
GODANG	98	PRL 80 3456	R. Godang <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABE	97J	PRL 79 590	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(SLD Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97F	PL B396 327	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ARTUSO	97	PL B399 321	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ATHANAS	97	PRL 79 2208	M. Athanas <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)

BROWDER	97	PR D56 11	T. Browder <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
FU	97	PRL 79 3125	X. Fu <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
JESSOP	97	PRL 79 4533	C.P. Jessop <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABE	96B	PR D53 3496	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96C	PRL 76 4462	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96H	PRL 76 2015	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96L	PRL 76 4675	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96Q	PR D54 6596	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96R	PRL 77 5176	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ADAM	96D	ZPHY C72 207	W. Adam <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ALEXANDER	96T	PRL 77 5000	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ASNER	96	PR D53 1039	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BARISH	96B	PRL 76 1570	B.C. Barish <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BERGFELD	96B	PRL 77 4503	T. Bergfeld <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BISHAI	96	PL B369 186	M. Bishai <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96J	ZPHY C71 31	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
GIBAUT	96	PR D53 4734	D. Gibaut <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PDG	96	PR D54 1	R. M. Barnett <i>et al.</i>	
ABREU	95N	PL B357 255	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	95Q	ZPHY C68 13	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ADAM	95	ZPHY C68 363	W. Adam <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
AKERS	95T	ZPHY C67 379	R. Akers <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ALBRECHT	95D	PL B353 554	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALEXANDER	95	PL B341 435	J. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
Also	95C	PL B347 469 (erratum)	J. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ARTUSO	95	PRL 75 785	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BARISH	95	PR D51 1014	B.C. Barish <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BUSKULIC	95	PL B343 444	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABE	94D	PRL 72 3456	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ALAM	94	PR D50 43	M.S. Alam <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	94D	PL B335 526	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ATHANAS	94	PRL 73 3503	M. Athanas <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
Also	95	PRL 74 3090 (erratum)	M. Athanas <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PDG	94	PR D50 1173	L. Montanet <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, LBL, BOST+)
STONE	94	HEPSY 93-11	S. Stone	
Published in <i>B Decays</i> , 2nd Edition, World Scientific, Singapore				
ABREU	93D	ZPHY C57 181	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	93G	PL B312 253	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACTON	93C	PL B307 247	P.D. Acton <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ALBRECHT	93E	ZPHY C60 11	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALEXANDER	93B	PL B319 365	J. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AMMAR	93	PRL 71 674	R. Ammar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BEAN	93B	PRL 70 2681	A. Bean <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BUSKULIC	93D	PL B307 194	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
Also	94H	PL B325 537 (errata)	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
SANGHERA	93	PR D47 791	S. Sanghera <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	92C	PL B275 195	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	92E	PL B277 209	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	92G	ZPHY C54 1	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
BORTOLETTO	92	PR D45 21	D. Bortoletto <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BUSKULIC	92G	PL B295 396	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ALBRECHT	91B	PL B254 288	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	91C	PL B255 297	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	91E	PL B262 148	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
BERKELMAN	91	ARNPS 41 1	K. Berkelman, S. Stone	(CORN, SYRA)
"Decays of B Mesons"				
FULTON	91	PR D43 651	R. Fulton <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	90B	PL B241 278	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	90J	ZPHY C48 543	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ANTREASYAN	90B	ZPHY C48 553	D. Antreasyan <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
BORTOLETTO	90	PRL 64 2117	D. Bortoletto <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
Also	92	PR D45 21	D. Bortoletto <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
WEIR	90B	PR D41 1384	A.J. Weir <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)
ALBRECHT	89G	PL B229 304	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
AVERY	89B	PL B223 470	P. Avery <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BEBEK	89	PRL 62 8	C. Bebek <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BORTOLETTO	89	PRL 62 2436	D. Bortoletto <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	88F	PL B209 119	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	88K	PL B215 424	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	87C	PL B185 218	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	87D	PL B199 451	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)

AVERY	87	PL B183 429	P. Avery <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BEBEK	87	PR D36 1289	C. Bebek <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALAM	86	PR D34 3279	M.S. Alam <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PDG	86	PL 170B	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CIT+)
GILES	84	PR D30 2279	R. Giles <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
