

$\phi(1680)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

$\phi(1680)$ MASS

$e^+ e^-$ PRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1680 \pm 20 OUR ESTIMATE				
1681 \pm 8 OUR AVERAGE				
1700 \pm 20		¹ CLEGG	94 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$, $K_S^0 K\pi$
1657 \pm 27	367	BISELLO	91c DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1680 \pm 10		² BUON	82 DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1623 \pm 20	948	³ AKHMETSHIN 03	CMD2	$1.05-1.38 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
\sim 1500		⁴ ACHASOV	98H RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $\omega \pi^+ \pi^-$, $K^+ K^-$
\sim 1900		⁵ ACHASOV	98H RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1655 \pm 17		⁶ BISELLO	88B DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
1677 \pm 12		⁷ MANE	82 DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K\pi$

PHOTOPRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1726 \pm 22	BUSENITZ	89 TPS	$\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$
1760 \pm 20	ATKINSON	85C OMEG	20-70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K\bar{K}X$
1690 \pm 10	ASTON	81F OMEG	25-70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$

¹ Using BISELLO 88B and MANE 82 data.

² From global fit of ρ , ω , ϕ and their radial excitations to channels $\omega \pi^+ \pi^-$, $K^+ K^-$, $K_S^0 K_L^0$, $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$. Assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitations, mass 1570 and width 500 MeV for ω radial excitation.

³ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known.

⁴ Using data from IVANOV 81, BARKOV 87, BISELLO 88B, DOLINSKY 91, and ANTONELLI 92.

⁵ Using the data from BISELLO 91c.

⁶ From global fit including ρ , ω , ϕ and $\rho(1700)$ assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitation.

⁷ Fit to one channel only, neglecting interference with ω , $\rho(1700)$.

$\phi(1680)$ WIDTH

$e^+ e^-$ PRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
150±50 OUR ESTIMATE	This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
139±60	948	⁸ AKHMETSHIN 03	CMD2	1.05–1.38 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
300±60		⁹ CLEGG	94 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$, $K_S^0 K\pi$
146±55	367	BISELLO	91C DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
207±45		¹⁰ BISELLO	88B DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
185±22		¹¹ BUON	82 DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
102±36		¹² MANE	82 DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K\pi$

PHOTOPRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
121±47	BUSENITZ	89 TPS	$\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$
80±40	ATKINSON	85C OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K\bar{K}X$
100±40	ASTON	81F OMEG	25–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$
⁸ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known.			
⁹ Using BISELLO 88B and MANE 82 data.			
¹⁰ From global fit including ρ , ω , ϕ and $\rho(1700)$.			
¹¹ From global fit of ρ , ω , ϕ and their radial excitations to channels $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$, $K^+ K^-$, $K_S^0 K_L^0$, $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$. Assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitations, mass 1570 and width 500 MeV for ω radial excitation.			
¹² Fit to one channel only, neglecting interference with ω , $\rho(1700)$.			

$\phi(1680)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 K\bar{K}^*(892) +$ c.c.	dominant
$\Gamma_2 K_S^0 K\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_3 K\bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_4 K_L^0 K_S^0$	
$\Gamma_5 e^+ e^-$	seen
$\Gamma_6 \omega\pi\pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_7 K^+ K^- \pi^0$	

$\phi(1680) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$

This combination of a branching ratio into channel (*i*) and branching ratio into e^+e^- is directly measured and obtained from the cross section at the peak. We list only data that have not been used to determine the branching ratio into (*i*) or e^+e^- .

$\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$

$\Gamma_4\Gamma_5/\Gamma^2$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.131 ± 0.059	948	¹³ AKHMETSHIN 03	CMD2	$1.05-1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$

$\Gamma_1\Gamma_5/\Gamma^2$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3.29 ± 0.96	367	¹⁴ AKHMETSHIN 03	CMD2	$1.05-1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$

¹³ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ .

Neither isospin nor flavor structure known. Recalculated by us.

¹⁴ Recalculated by us with the published value of $B(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)$.

$\phi(1680)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma(K_S^0 K\pi)$

Γ_1/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
dominant	MANE	82	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})$

Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.07 ± 0.01	BUON	82	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})$

Γ_6/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.10	BUON	82	e^+e^-

$\phi(1680)$ REFERENCES

AKHMETSHIN 03	PL B551 27	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i> (Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
Also 02	PAN 65 1222	E.V. Anashkin, V.M. Aulchenko, R.R. Akhmetshin Translated from YAF 65 1255.
ACHASOV 98H	PR D57 4334	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov
CLEGG 94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie (LANC, MCHS)
ANTONELLI 92	ZPHY C56 15	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i> (DM2 Collab.)
BISELLO 91C	ZPHY C52 227	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i> (DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY 91	PR D20 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i> (NOVO)
BUSENITZ 89	PR D40 1	J.K. Busenitz <i>et al.</i> (ILL, FNAL)
BISELLO 88B	ZPHY C39 13	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i> (PADO, CLER, FRAS+)
BARKOV 87	JETPL 46 164	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i> (NOVO)
ATKINSON 85C	ZPHY C27 233	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i> (BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
BUON 82	PL 118B 221	J. Buon <i>et al.</i> (LALO, MONP)
MANE 82	PL 112B 178	F. Mane <i>et al.</i> (LALO)
ASTON 81F	PL 104B 231	D. Aston (BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
IVANOV 81	PL 107B 297	P.M. Ivanov <i>et al.</i> (NOVO)
MANE 81	PL 99B 261	F. Mane <i>et al.</i> (ORsay)

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

CLOSE	02	PR D65 092003	F.E. Close, A. Donnachie, Yu.S. Kalashnikova
LINK	02K	PL B545 50	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i> (FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
ABELE	99D	PL B468 178	A. Abele <i>et al.</i> (Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACHASOV	97F	PAN 60 2029	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov (NOVM)
		Translated from YAF 60 2212,	
ATKINSON	86C	ZPHY C30 541	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i> (BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ATKINSON	84	NP B231 15	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i> (BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ATKINSON	84B	NP B231 1	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i> (BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ATKINSON	83C	NP B229 269	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i> (BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
CORDIER	81	PL 106B 155	A. Cordier <i>et al.</i> (ORSAY)
MANE	81	PL 99B 261	F. Mane <i>et al.</i> (ORSAY)
ASTON	80F	NP B174 269	D. Aston (BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)