Supersymmetric Particle Searches

A REVIEW GOES HERE - Check our WWW List of Reviews A REVIEW GOES HERE - Check our WWW List of Reviews

SUPERSYMMETRIC MODEL ASSUMPTIONS

The exclusion of particle masses within a mass range $(m_1,\ m_2)$ will be denoted with the notation "none m_1-m_2 " in the VALUE column of the

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

$\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (Lightest Neutralino) MASS LIMIT

 $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ is often assumed to be the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP). See also the $\widetilde{\chi}_2^{\bar{0}}$, $\widetilde{\chi}_3^0$, $\widetilde{\chi}_4^0$ section below.

We have divided the $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ listings below into five sections:

- 1) Accelerator limits for stable $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$,
- 2) Bounds on $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ from dark matter searches, 3) Bounds on $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ elastic cross sections from dark matter searches,
- 4) Other bounds on $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ from astrophysics and cosmology, and
- 5) Bounds on unstable $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$.

- Accelerator limits for stable $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ -

Unless otherwise stated, results in this section assume spectra, production rates, decay modes, and branching ratios as evaluated in the MSSM, with gaugino and sfermion mass unification at the GUT scale. These papers generally study production of $\widetilde{\chi}_i^0 \widetilde{\chi}_i^0$ $(i \geq 1, j \geq 2)$, $\widetilde{\chi}_1^+ \widetilde{\chi}_1^-$, and (in the case of hadronic collisions) $\widetilde{\chi}_1^+\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ pairs. The mass limits on $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ are either direct, or follow indirectly from the constraints set by the non-observation of $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ states on the gaugino and higgsino MSSM parameters M_2 and μ . In some cases, information is used from the nonobservation of slepton decays.

Obsolete limits obtained from e^+e^- collisions up to \sqrt{s} =184 GeV have been removed from this compilation and can be found in the 2000 Edition (The European Physical Journal C15 1 (2000)) of this Review. $\Delta m_0 = m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$

>42.4	95	² HEISTER	04 ALEP	all $ aneta$, all Δm_0 , all m_0
>39.2	95	³ ABDALLAH	03м DLPH	all tan eta , $m_{\widetilde{ u}}>$ 500 GeV
>46	95	⁴ ABDALLAH	03м DLPH	all $ aneta$, all Δm_0 , all m_0
>32.5	95	⁵ ACCIARRI	00D L3	$\tan \beta > 0.7$, $\Delta m_0 > 3$ GeV, all m_0

• • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc.

		⁶ ABBOTT	98c D0	$ \begin{array}{ccc} p\overline{p} \to & \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \\ p\overline{p} \to & \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \end{array} $
>41	95	⁷ ABE	98J CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^{\overline{0}}$

- 1 ABBIENDI 04H search for charginos and neutralinos in events with acoplanar leptons+jets and multi-jet final states in the 192–209 GeV data, combined with the results on leptonic final states from ABBIENDI 04. The results hold for a scan over the parameter space covering the region 0 < M_2 <5000 GeV, -1000 < μ <1000 GeV and tan β from 1 to 40. This limit supersedes ABBIENDI 00H.
- ²HEISTER 04 data collected up to 209 GeV. Updates earlier analysis of selectrons from HEISTER 02E, includes a new analysis of charginos and neutralinos decaying into stau and uses results on charginos with initial state radiation from HEISTER 02J. The limit is based on the direct search for charginos and neutralinos, the constraints from the slepton search and the Higgs mass limits from HEISTER 02 using a top mass of 175 GeV, interpreted in a framework with universal gaugino and sfermion masses. Assuming the mixing in the stau sector to be negligible, the limit improves to 43.1 GeV. Under the assumption of MSUGRA with unification of the Higgs and sfermion masses, the limit improves to 50 GeV, and reaches 53 GeV for $A_0=0$. These limits include and update the results of BARATE 01.
- ³ ABDALLAH 03M uses data from $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV. A limit on the mass of $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ is derived from direct searches for neutralinos combined with the chargino search. Neutralinos are searched in the production of $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$, $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0\widetilde{\chi}_3^0$, as well as $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0\widetilde{\chi}_3^0$ and $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0\widetilde{\chi}_4^0$ giving rise to cascade decays, and $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ and $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$, followed by the decay $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \to \widetilde{\tau}\tau$. The results hold for the parameter space defined by values of $M_2 < 1$ TeV, $|\mu| \le 2$ TeV with the $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ as LSP. The limit is obtained for $\tan\beta = 1$ and large m_0 , where $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0\widetilde{\chi}_4^0$ and chargino pair production are important. If the constraint from Higgs searches is also imposed, the limit improves to 49.0 GeV in the M_h^{max} scenario with $m_t=174.3$ GeV. These limits update the results of ABREU 00J.
- ⁴ABDALLAH 03M uses data from $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV. An indirect limit on the mass of $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ is derived by constraining the MSSM parameter space by the results from direct searches for neutralinos (including cascade decays and $\widetilde{\tau}\tau$ final states), for charginos (for all Δm_+) and for sleptons, stop and sbottom. The results hold for the full parameter space defined by values of $M_2 < 1$ TeV, $|\mu| \le 2$ TeV with the $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ as LSP. Constraints from the Higgs search in the M_h^{max} scenario assuming m_t =174.3 GeV are included. The limit is obtained for $\tan\beta \ge 5$ when stau mixing leads to mass degeneracy between $\widetilde{\tau}_1$ and $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ and the limit is based on $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ production followed by its decay to $\widetilde{\tau}_1\tau$. In the pathological scenario where m_0 and $|\mu|$ are large, so that the $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ production cross section is negligible, and where there is mixing in the stau sector but not in stop nor sbottom, the limit is based on charginos with soft decay products and an ISR photon. The limit then degrades to 39 GeV. See Figs 40–42 for the dependence of the limit on $\tan\beta$ and $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}$. These limits update the results of ABREU 00W.
- ⁵ ACCIARRI 00D data collected at $\sqrt{s}{=}189$ GeV. The results hold over the full parameter space defined by 0.7 \leq tan β \leq 60, 0 \leq M_2 \leq 2 TeV, m_0 \leq 500 GeV, $|\mu|$ \leq 2 TeV The minimum mass limit is reached for tan β =1 and large m_0 . The results of slepton searches from ACCIARRI 99W are used to help set constraints in the region of small m_0 . The limit improves to 48 GeV for m_0 \gtrsim 200 GeV and tan β \gtrsim 10. See their Figs. 6–8 for the tan β and m_0 dependence of the limits. Updates ACCIARRI 98F.

- 6 ABBOTT 98C searches for trilepton final states ($\ell{=}e$, μ). See footnote to ABBOTT 98C in the Chargino Section for details on the assumptions. Assuming a negligible decay rate of $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ to quarks, they obtain $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} \gtrsim$ 51 GeV.
- 7 ABE 98J searches for trilepton final states ($\ell{=}e,\mu$). See footnote to ABE 98J in the Chargino Section for details on the assumptions. The quoted result corresponds to the best limit within the selected range of parameters, obtained for $m_{\widetilde{q}} > m_{\widetilde{g}}$, $\tan \beta = 2$, and $\mu = -600 \text{ GeV}.$

Bounds on $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ from dark matter searches

These papers generally exclude regions in the M_2 - μ parameter plane assuming that $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ is the dominant form of dark matter in the galactic halo. These limits are based on the lack of detection in laboratory experiments or by the absence of a signal in underground neutrino detectors. The latter signal is expected if $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ accumulates in the Sun or the Earth and annihilates into high-energy ν 's.

<u>VA</u>LUE DOCUMENT ID We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ⁸ DESAI 04 SKAM ⁸ AMBROSIO 99 MCRO ⁹ LOSECCO 95 RVUE ¹⁰ MORI 93 KAMI ¹¹ BOTTINO 92 COSM ¹² BOTTINO 91 RVUE ¹³ GELMINI ¹⁴ KAMIONKOW.91 RVUE ¹⁵ MORI 91B KAMI ¹⁶ OLIVE

 8 AMBROSIO 99 and DESAI 04 set new neutrino flux limits which can be used to limit the parameter space in supersymmetric models based on neutralino annihilation in the

 $^9\mathrm{LOSECCO}$ 95 reanalyzed the IMB data and places lower limit on $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1}$ of 18 GeV if the LSP is a photino and 10 GeV if the LSP is a higgsino based on LSP annihilation in the sun producing high-energy neutrinos and the limits on neutrino fluxes from the IMB detector.

 10 MORI 93 excludes some region in M_2 - μ parameter space depending on aneta and lightest scalar Higgs mass for neutralino dark matter $m_{\widetilde{\chi}0}>m_W$, using limits on upgoing muons produced by energetic neutrinos from neutralino annihilation in the Sun and the Earth.

- 11 BOTTINO 92 excludes some region M_{2} - μ parameter space assuming that the lightest neutralino is the dark matter, using upgoing muons at Kamiokande, direct searches by Ge detectors, and by LEP experiments. The analysis includes top radiative corrections on Higgs parameters and employs two different hypotheses for nucleon-Higgs coupling. Effects of rescaling in the local neutralino density according to the neutralino relic abundance are taken into account.
- 12 BOTTINO 91 excluded a region in $M_2-\mu$ plane using upgoing muon data from Kamioka experiment, assuming that the dark matter surrounding us is composed of neutralinos and that the Higgs boson is not too heavy.
- $^{13}\,\mathrm{GELMINI}$ 91 exclude a region in $M_2-\mu$ plane using dark matter searches.
- 14 KAMIONKOWSKI 91 excludes a region in the M_2 - μ plane using IMB limit on upgoing muons originated by energetic neutrinos from neutralino annihilation in the sun, assuming

none 4-15 GeV

that the dark matter is composed of neutralinos and that $m_{H_1^0}\lesssim 50$ GeV. See Fig. 8 in the paper.

 15 MORI 91B exclude a part of the region in the $M_2-\mu$ plane with $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}\lesssim 80$ GeV using a limit on upgoing muons originated by energetic neutrinos from neutralino annihilation in the earth, assuming that the dark matter surrounding us is composed of neutralinos and that $m_{H_1^0}\lesssim 80$ GeV.

16 OLIVE 88 result assumes that photinos make up the dark matter in the galactic halo. Limit is based on annihilations in the sun and is due to an absence of high energy neutrinos detected in underground experiments. The limit is model dependent.

$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ -p elastic cross section -

Experimental results on the $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ -p elastic cross section are evaluated at $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ =100 GeV. The experimental results on the cross section are often mass dependent. Therefore, the mass and cross section results are also given where the limit is strongest, when appropriate. Results are quoted separately for spin-dependent interactions (based on an effective 4-Fermi Lagrangian of the form $\overline{\chi}\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\chi\overline{q}\gamma_\mu\gamma^5q$) and spin-independent interactions ($\overline{\chi}\chi\overline{q}\,q$). For calculational details see GRIEST 88B, ELLIS 88D, BAR-BIERI 89C, DREES 93B, ARNOWITT 96, BERGSTROM 96, and BAER 97 in addition to the theory papers listed in the Tables. For a description of the theoretical assumptions and experimental techniques underlying most of the listed papers, see the review on "Dark matter" in this "Review of Particle Properties," and references therein. Most of the following papers use galactic halo and nuclear interaction assumptions from (LEWIN 96).

Spin-dependent interactions

VALUE (pb)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following	ng data for averages	s, fits	, limits,	etc. • • •
• • • We do not use the followin $2 \times 10^{-11} \text{ to } 1 \times 10^{-4}$ < 20 < 0.8 < 40 < 10 $8 \times 10^{-7} \text{ to } 2 \times 10^{-5}$ < 3.8 < 15 < 0.8	17 ELLIS 18 GIULIANI 19 AHMED 20 TAKEDA 21 ANGLOHER 22 ELLIS 23 BERNABEI 24 COLLAR SPOONER	04 04 03 03 02 01C 00D	THEO SIMP NAIA BOLO CRES	$\mu > 0$ F Nal Spin Dep. NaF Spin Dep. Saphire $\tan \beta \leq 10$ Xe F
< 4.8 <100	²⁵ BELLI ²⁶ OOTANI		DAMA BOLO	
< 0.6 < 5	BERNABEI ²⁵ BERNABEI	98C 97	DAMA DAMA	

 $^{^{17}}$ ELLIS 04 calculates the $\chi-p$ elastic scattering cross section in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry, but without universal scalar masses. In the case of universal squark and slepton masses, but non-universal Higgs masses, the limit becomes 2×10^{-4} , see ELLIS 03E.

 $^{^{18}\,\}mathrm{The}$ strongest upper limit is 10 pb and occurs at $m_\chi \simeq 30$ GeV.

 $^{^{19}\,\}mathrm{The}$ strongest upper limit is 0.75 pb and occurs at $\overset{\curvearrowright}{m_\chi}\approx$ 70 GeV.

 $^{^{20}\,\}mathrm{The}$ strongest upper limit is 30 pb and occurs at $m_\chi^{}\approx~20$ GeV.

Spin-independent interactions

VALUE (pb)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following	ng data for averages	fits,	limits,	etc. • • •
$< 4 \times 10^{-7}$	²⁷ AKERIB	04	CDMS	Ge
$2 \times 10^{-11} \text{ to } 8 \times 10^{-6}$		04	THEO	$\mu > 0$
$< 5 \times 10^{-8}$	³⁰ PIERCE	04A	THEO	
$< 2 \times 10^{-5}$	³¹ AHMED		NAIA	Nal Spin Indep.
$< 3 \times 10^{-6}$	³² AKERIB	03	CDMS	Ge
2×10^{-13} to 2×10^{-7}	³³ BAER		THEO	
$< 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$	³⁴ KLAPDOR-K	03	HDMS	Ge
$< 6 \times 10^{-6}$	³⁵ ABRAMS		CDMS	
$< 1.4 \times 10^{-6}$	³⁶ BENOIT	02	EDEL	Ge
10^{-12} to $7 imes 10^{-6}$	²⁸ KIM		THEO	
$< 3 \times 10^{-5}$	³⁷ MORALES	02 B	CSME	Ge
<10 -5	³⁸ MORALES	02 C	IGEX	Ge
<10 -6	BALTZ	01	THEO	
$< 3 \times 10^{-5}$	³⁹ BAUDIS	01	HDMS	Ge
$< 4.5 \times 10^{-6}$	BENOIT	01	EDEL	Ge
$< 7 \times 10^{-6}$	⁴⁰ BOTTINO		THEO	
<10 -8	⁴¹ CORSETTI			$ an\!eta \leq 25$
5 $\times 10^{-10}$ to 1.5×10^{-8}	⁴² ELLIS	01 C	THEO	$ an\!eta \leq 10$
$< 4 \times 10^{-6}$	⁴¹ GOMEZ	01	THEO	
$2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ to } 10^{-7}$	⁴¹ LAHANAS	01	THEO	
$< 3 \times 10^{-6}$	ABUSAIDI		CDMS	Ge, Si
$< 6 \times 10^{-7}$	43 ACCOMANDO		THEO	
0	44 BERNABEI		DAMA	
2.5×10^{-9} to 3.5×10^{-8}	⁴⁵ FENG			$tan \beta = 10$
$< 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	MORALES		IGEX	
$< 4 \times 10^{-5}$	SPOONER		UKDM	
$< 7 \times 10^{-6}$	BAUDIS	99		
	46 BERNABEI	99		
6	⁴⁷ BERNABEI		DAMA	
$< 7 \times 10^{-6}$	BERNABEI	9 8C	DAMA	Xe

 $^{^{27}}$ AKERIB 04 is incompatible with BERNABEI 00 most likely value, under the assumption of standard WIMP-halo interactions. The strongest upper limit is 4×10^{-7} pb and occurs at $m_{_Y} \simeq 60$ GeV.

 $^{^{21}\,\}mathrm{The}$ strongest upper limit is 8 pb and occurs at $m_\chi\simeq30$ GeV.

 $^{^{22}}$ ELLIS 01C calculates the χ -p elastic scattering cross section in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry. In models with nonuniversal Higgs masses, the upper limit to the cross section is 6×10^{-4} .

²³ The strongest upper limit is 3 pb and occurs at $m_\chi \simeq$ 60 GeV. The limits are for inelastic scattering $X^0 + {}^{129}Xe \rightarrow X^0 + {}^{129}Xe^*$ (39.58 keV).

 $^{^{24}\,\}mathrm{The}$ strongest upper limit is 9 pb and occurs at $m_\chi \simeq 30$ GeV.

 $^{^{25}\,\}mathrm{The}$ strongest upper limit is 4.4 pb and occurs at $m_\chi \simeq$ 60 GeV.

 $^{^{26}\,\}mathrm{The}$ strongest upper limit is about 35 pb and occurs at $m_\chi\simeq 15$ GeV.

 $^{^{28}}$ KIM 02 and ELLIS 04 calculate the $\chi-p$ elastic scattering cross section in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry, but without universal scalar masses.

- 29 In the case of universal squark and slepton masses, but non-universal Higgs masses, the limit becomes 2×10^{-6} (2 × 10⁻¹¹ when constraint from the BNL g-2 experiment are included), see ELLIS 03E.
- $^{30}\,\mathrm{PIERCE}$ 04A calculates the $\chi-p$ elastic scattering cross section in the framework of models with very heavy scalar masses. See Fig. 2 of the paper.
- 31 The strongest upper limit is 1.8×10^{-5} pb and occurs at $m_{_Y} \approx 80$ GeV.
- $^{
 m 32}$ Under the assumption of standard WIMP-halo interactions, Akerib 03 is incompatible with BERNABEI 00 most likely value at the 99.98% CL. See Fig. 4.
- 33 BAER 03A calculates the $\chi-p$ elastic scattering cross section in several models including the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry.
- ³⁴ The strongest upper limit is 7×10^{-6} pb and occurs at $m_\chi \simeq$ 30 GeV.
- $^{35}\,\mathrm{ABRAMS}$ 02 is incompatible with the DAMA most likely value at the 99.9% CL. The strongest upper limit is 3×10^{-6} pb and occurs at $m_{\gamma} \simeq 30$ GeV.
- 36 BENOIT 02 excludes the central result of DAMA at the 99.8%CL. 37 The strongest upper limit is 2 \times 10 $^{-5}$ pb and occurs at $m_\chi \simeq$ 40 GeV.
- 38 The strongest upper limit is 7×10^{-6} pb and occurs at $m_\chi^\sim \simeq$ 46 GeV.
- 39 The strongest upper limit is 1.8×10^{-5} pb and occurs at $m_\chi \simeq$ 32 GeV
- 40 BOTTINO 01 calculates the χ -p elastic scattering cross section in the framework of the following supersymmetric models: N=1 supergravity with the radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry, N=1 supergravity with nonuniversal scalar masses and an effective MSSM model at the electroweak scale.
- Calculates the χ -p elastic scattering cross section in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry.
- 42 ELLIS 01C calculates the χ -p elastic scattering cross section in the framework of N=1supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry. EL-LIS 02B find a range 2×10^{-8} –1.5 \times 10⁻⁷ at tan β =50. In models with nonuniversal Higgs masses, the upper limit to the cross section is 4×10^{-7} .
- 43 ACCOMANDO 00 calculate the χ -p elastic scattering cross section in the framework of minimal N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry. The limit is relaxed by at least an order of magnitude when models with nonuniversal scalar masses are considered. A subset of the authors in ARNOWITT 02 updated the limit to $< 9 \times 10^{-8}$ (tan $\beta < 55$).
- $^{
 m 44}$ BERNABEI 00 search for annual modulation of the WIMP signal. The data favor the hypothesis of annual modulation at 4σ and are consistent, for a particular model framework quoted there, with $m_{\chi^0}=44^{+12}_{-9}$ GeV and a spin-independent χ^0 -proton cross section of $(5.4 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-6}$ pb. See also BERNABEI 01 and BERNABEI 00C.
- 45 FENG 00 calculate the χ -p elastic scattering cross section in the framework of $N\!\!=\!\!1$ supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry with a particular emphasis on focus point models. At $\tan\beta$ =50, the range is 8×10^{-8} – 4×10^{-7} .
- $^{
 m 46\,BERNABEI}$ 99 search for annual modulation of the WIMP signal. The data favor the hypothesis of annual modulation at 99.6%CL and are consistent, for the particular model framework considered there, with m_{χ^0} =59 $^{+17}_{-14}$ GeV and spin-independent χ^0 -proton cross section of $(7.0^{+0.4}_{-1.2}) \times 10^{-6}$ pb $(1 \sigma \text{ errors})$.
- $^{
 m 47}$ BERNABEI 98 search for annual modulation of the WIMP signal. The data are consistent, for the particular model framework considered there, with $m_{\chi 0} = 59^{+36}_{-19}$ GeV and spin-independent X^0 -proton cross section of $(1.0^{+0.1}_{-0.4}) \times 10^{-5}$ pb $(1 \sigma \text{ errors})$.

- Other bounds on $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ from astrophysics and cosmology

Most of these papers generally exclude regions in the $M_2-\mu$ parameter plane by requiring that the $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ contribution to the overall cosmological density is less than some maximal value to avoid overclosure of the Universe. Those not based on the cosmological density are indicated. Many of these papers also include LEP and/or other bounds.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>46 GeV	⁴⁸ ELLIS	00	RVUE	
• • • We do not use the	ne following data for a	verag	ges, fits,	limits, etc. • • •
> 6 GeV	49,50 BELANGER	04	THEO	
	⁵¹ ELLIS	04 B	COSM	
	⁵² PIERCE	04A	COSM	
	⁵³ BAER	03	COSM	
> 6 GeV	⁴⁹ BOTTINO	03	COSM	
	⁵³ CHATTOPAD.	03	COSM	
	⁵⁴ ELLIS	03	COSM	
	⁵⁵ ELLIS	03 B	COSM	
	⁵³ ELLIS	03 C	COSM	
> 18 GeV	⁴⁹ HOOPER	03	COSM	$arOmega_\chi = 0.05 – 0.3$
	⁵³ LAHANAS	03	COSM	X
	⁵⁶ BAER	02	COSM	
	⁵⁷ ELLIS	02	COSM	
	⁵⁸ LAHANAS	02	COSM	
	⁵⁹ BARGER	010	COSM	
	⁵⁶ DJOUADI	01	COSM	
	⁶⁰ ELLIS		COSM	
	⁵⁶ ROSZKOWSKI	01	COSM	
	⁵⁴ ВОЕНМ		COSM	
	⁶¹ FENG	00	COSM	
	⁶² LAHANAS	00	COSM	
< 600 GeV	63 ELLIS	98 B	COSM	
	64 EDSJO	97	COSM	Co-annihilation
	65 BAER		COSM	
	66 BEREZINSKY	95	COSM	
	67 FALK	95		CP-violating phases
	68 DREES	93	COSM	Minimal supergravity
	⁶⁹ FALK	93		Sfermion mixing
	68 KELLEY	93		Minimal supergravity
	⁷⁰ MIZUTA	93		Co-annihilation
	⁷¹ LOPEZ	92		Minimal supergravity, $m_0 = A = 0$
	72 MCDONALD	92	COSM	
	73 GRIEST	91	COSM	
	⁷⁴ NOJIRI	91		Minimal supergravity
	⁷⁵ OLIVE	91	COSM	
	⁷⁶ ROSZKOWSKI		COSM	
	77 GRIEST	90	COSM	
	⁷⁵ OLIVE	89	COSM	
none 100 eV – 15 GeV	SREDNICKI	88	COSM	$\widetilde{\gamma}$; $m_{\widetilde{f}} = 100 \text{ GeV}$
				•

none 100 eV–5 GeV ELLIS 84 COSM $\widetilde{\gamma}$; for $m_{\widetilde{f}} = 100$ GeV GOLDBERG 83 COSM $\widetilde{\gamma}$ 78 KRAUSS 83 COSM $\widetilde{\gamma}$ VYSOTSKII 83 COSM $\widetilde{\gamma}$

- ⁴⁸ ELLIS 00 updates ELLIS 98. Uses LEP e^+e^- data at \sqrt{s} =202 and 204 GeV to improve bound on neutralino mass to 51 GeV when scalar mass universality is assumed and 46 GeV when Higgs mass universality is relaxed. Limits on tan β improve to > 2.7 (μ > 0), > 2.2 (μ < 0) when scalar mass universality is assumed and > 1.9 (both signs of μ) when Higgs mass universality is relaxed.
- ⁴⁹ HOOPER 03, BOTTINO 03 (see also BOTTINO 03A and BOTTINO 04) , and BE-LANGER 04 do not assume gaugino or scalar mass unification.
- ⁵⁰ Limit assumes a pseudo scalar mass < 200 GeV. For larger pseudo scalar masses, $m_{\chi} > 18(29)$ GeV for $\tan\beta = 50(10)$. Bounds from WMAP, $(g-2)_{\mu}, b \rightarrow s\gamma$, LEP.
- 51 ELLIS 04B places constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry including supersymmetry breaking relations between A and B parameters. See also ELLIS 03D.
- ⁵² PIERCE 04A places constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of models with very heavy scalar masses.
- ⁵³BAER 03, CHATTOPADHYAY 03, ELLIS 03C and LAHANAS 03 place constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of *N*=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry based on WMAP results for the cold dark matter density.
- ⁵⁴BOEHM 00B and ELLIS 03 place constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of minimal N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry. Includes the effect of χ - \tilde{t} co-annihilations.
- 55 BEREZINSKY 95 and ELLIS 03B places constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry but non-Universal Higgs masses.
- 56 DJOUADI 01, ROSZKOWSKI 01, and BAER 02 place constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of minimal N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry.
- ⁵⁷ ELLIS 02 places constraints on the soft supersymmetry breaking masses in the framework of minimal *N*=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry.
- 58 LAHANAS 02 places constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of minimal N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry. Focuses on the role of pseudo-scalar Higgs exchange.
- 59 BARGER 01C use the cosmic relic density inferred from recent CMB measurements to constrain the parameter space in the framework of minimal N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry.
- 60 ELLIS 01B places constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of minimal N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry. Focuses on models with large $\tan \beta$.
- 61 FENG 00 explores cosmologically allowed regions of MSSM parameter space with multi-TeV masses.
- 62 LAHANAS 00 use the new cosmological data which favor a cosmological constant and its implications on the relic density to constrain the parameter space in the framework of minimal *N*=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry.
- 63 ELLIS 98B assumes a universal scalar mass and radiative supersymmetry breaking with universal gaugino masses. The upper limit to the LSP mass is increased due to the inclusion of $\chi-\widetilde{\tau}_R$ coannihilations.
- ⁶⁴ EDSJO 97 included all coannihilation processes between neutralinos and charginos for any neutralino mass and composition.

- 65 Notes the location of the neutralino Z resonance and h resonance annihilation corridors in minimal supergravity models with radiative electroweak breaking.
- 66 BEREZINSKY 95 and ELLIS 02C places constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry but non-Universal Higgs masses.
- 67 Mass of the bino (=LSP) is limited to $m_{\widetilde{R}} \lesssim 350$ GeV for $m_t = 174$ GeV.
- ⁶⁸ DREES 93, KELLEY 93 compute the cosmic relic density of the LSP in the framework of minimal *N*=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry.
- ⁶⁹ FALK 93 relax the upper limit to the LSP mass by considering sfermion mixing in the MSSM.
- $70\,\mathrm{MIZUTA}$ 93 include coannihilations to compute the relic density of Higgsino dark matter.
- $^{71}\mathsf{LOPEZ}$ 92 calculate the relic LSP density in a minimal SUSY GUT model.
- 72 MCDONALD 92 calculate the relic LSP density in the MSSM including exact tree-level annihilation cross sections for all two-body final states.
- 73 GRIEST 91 improve relic density calculations to account for coannihilations, pole effects, and threshold effects.
- 74 NOJIRI 91 uses minimal supergravity mass relations between squarks and sleptons to narrow cosmologically allowed parameter space.
- 75 Mass of the bino (=LSP) is limited to $m_{\widetilde{H}} \lesssim 350$ GeV for $m_t \leq 200$ GeV. Mass of the higgsino (=LSP) is limited to $m_{\widetilde{H}} \lesssim 1$ TeV for $m_t \leq 200$ GeV.
- $^{76}\,\mathrm{ROSZKOWSKI}$ 91 calculates LSP relic density in mixed gaugino/higgsino region.
- ⁷⁷ Mass of the bino (=LSP) is limited to $m_{\widetilde{B}} \lesssim 550$ GeV. Mass of the higgsino (=LSP) is limited to $m_{\widetilde{H}} \lesssim 3.2$ TeV.
- ⁷⁸ KRAUSS 83 finds $m_{\widetilde{\gamma}}$ not 30 eV to 2.5 GeV. KRAUSS 83 takes into account the gravitino decay. Find that limits depend strongly on reheated temperature. For example a new allowed region $m_{\widetilde{\gamma}} = 4$ –20 MeV exists if $m_{\rm gravitino} <$ 40 TeV. See figure 2.

- Unstable $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ (Lightest Neutralino) MASS LIMIT ----

Unless otherwise stated, results in this section assume spectra and production rates as evaluated in the MSSM. Unless otherwise stated, the goldstino or gravitino mass $m_{\widetilde{G}}$ is assumed to be negligible relative to all other masses. In the following, \widetilde{G} is assumed to be undetected and to give rise to a missing energy (\cancel{E}) signature.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID) TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do	not us	e the following data	for averages, f	fits, limits, etc. • • •
		⁷⁹ ABDALLAH	05в DLPH	$e^+e^- ightarrow\widetilde{G}\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$, $(\widetilde{\chi}^0_1 ightarrow\widetilde{G}\gamma)$
>96	95	⁸⁰ ABDALLAH		$e^+e^- ightarrow \ \widetilde{B} \widetilde{B}, (\widetilde{B} \stackrel{1}{ ightarrow} \ \widetilde{G} \gamma)$
		⁸¹ ABBIENDI	04N OPAL	$e^+e^- o \gamma \gamma \not\!\! E$
>66	95	82,83 ABDALLAH	04H DLPH	AMSB, $\mu > 0$
>38.0	95	84,85 ABDALLAH	04м DLPH	$R(\overline{U}\overline{D}\overline{D})$
		⁸⁶ ACHARD	04E L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow \ \widetilde{G}\widetilde{\chi}^0_1,\widetilde{\chi}^0_1 ightarrow \ \widetilde{G}\gamma$
>99.5	95	⁸⁷ ACHARD	04E L3	$e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{G}\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow \widetilde{G}\gamma$ $e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{B}\widetilde{B}, (\widetilde{B} \rightarrow \widetilde{G}\gamma)$
>89	95	⁸⁸ ABDALLAH		$e^+e^- ightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^0\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$, GMSB, $m(\widetilde{G})<$
		⁸⁹ HEISTER ⁹⁰ HEISTER	03C ALEP 03C ALEP	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \text{ eV} \\ e^{+}e^{-} \to \widetilde{B}\widetilde{B}, \ (\widetilde{B} \to \gamma \widetilde{G}) \\ e^{+}e^{-} \to \widetilde{G}\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \ (\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \to \widetilde{G}\gamma) \end{array} $
>39.9	95	⁹¹ ACHARD	02 L3	<i>Ŗ</i> , MSUGRA
>92	95	⁹² HEISTER	02R ALEP	short lifetime
HTTP://PD)G.LE	BL.GOV	Page 9	Created: 6/24/2005 17:17

>54	95	⁹² HEISTER	02R ALEP	any lifetime
>85	95	⁹³ ABBIENDI	01 OPAL	$e^+e^- ightarrow~\widetilde{\chi}^0_1\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$, GMSB, tan $eta=2$
>76	95	⁹³ ABBIENDI	01 OPAL	$e^+e^- ightarrow~\widetilde{\chi}^0_1\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$, GMSB,
>32.5	95	⁹⁴ ACCIARRI ⁹⁵ ADAMS	01 L3	$\tan \beta = 20$ R , all m_0 , $0.7 \le \tan \beta \le 40$
>29	95	96 ABBIENDI		$ \widetilde{\chi}^0 \to \mu \mu \nu, R, LL\overline{E} $ $ e^+ e^- \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \widetilde{\chi}_1^0, R, m_0 = 500 $
				GeV, $tan\beta > 1.2$
>65	95	⁹⁷ ABE	991 CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}\widetilde{\chi}, \widetilde{\chi} = \widetilde{\chi}_{1,2}^0, \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}, \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow$
		⁹⁸ ACCIARRI	005 12	$\gamma \tilde{G}$
		00 . a a a	99R L3	Superseded by ACHARD 04E
>88.2	95	⁹⁹ ACCIARRI	99R L3	Superseded by ACHARD 04E
>29	95	¹⁰⁰ BARATE	99E ALEP	R , $LQ\overline{D}$, $tan\beta=1.41$, $m_0=500$
>77	95	¹⁰¹ ABBOTT	98 D0	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}\widetilde{\chi}, \ \widetilde{\chi} = \widetilde{\chi}_{1,2}^0, \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}, \ \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow$
		¹⁰² ABREU	98 DLPH	$e^{+}e^{-} ightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}(\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} ightarrow \gamma \widetilde{G})$
>23	95	¹⁰³ BARATE	98s ALEP	$R, LL\overline{E}$
, 20	30	¹⁰⁴ ELLIS		$e^+e^- ightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \widetilde{\chi}_1^0, \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 ightarrow \gamma \widetilde{G}$
		¹⁰⁵ CABIBBO	81 COSM	± ± ±

- 79 ABDALLAH 05B use data from $\sqrt{s}=180$ –209 GeV. They look for events with single photons $+ \not\!\! E$ final states. Limits are computed in the plane (m(\widetilde{G}), m($\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$)), shown in their Fig. 9b for a pure Bino state in the GMSB framework and in Fig. 9c for a no-scale supergravity model. Supersedes the results of ABREU 00Z.
- ABDALLAH 05B use data from $\sqrt{s}=130$ –209 GeV. They look for events with diphotons + $\not\!\! E$ final states and single photons not pointing to the vertex, expected in GMSB when the $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ is the NLSP. Limits are computed in the plane $(\mathsf{m}(\widetilde{G}), \mathsf{m}(\widetilde{\chi}^0_1))$, see their Fig. 10. The lower limit is derived on the $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ mass for a pure Bino state assuming a prompt decay and $m_{\widetilde{e}_R}=m_{\widetilde{e}_L}=2$ $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1}$. It improves to 100 GeV for $m_{\widetilde{e}_R}=m_{\widetilde{e}_L}=1.1$ $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1}$. and the limit in the plane $(\mathsf{m}(\widetilde{\chi}^0_1), \mathsf{m}(\widetilde{e}_R))$ is shown in Fig. 10b. For long-lived neutralinos,

cross-section limits are displayed in their Fig 11. Supersedes the results of ABREU 00Z.
81 ABBIENDI 04N use data from $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV, setting limits on $\sigma(e^+e^-\to XX)\times B^2(X\to Y\gamma)$, with Y invisible (see their Fig. 4). Limits on $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ masses for a specific model are given. Supersedes the results of ABBIENDI,G 00D.

- 82 ABDALLAH 04H use data from LEP 1 and $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV. They re-use results or re-analyze the data from ABDALLAH 03M to put limits on the parameter space of anomaly-mediated supersymmetry breaking (AMSB), which is scanned in the region $1 < m_{3/2} <$ 50 TeV, $0 < m_0 <$ 1000 GeV, 1.5 <tan $\beta <$ 35, both signs of μ . The constraints are obtained from the searches for mass degenerate chargino and neutralino, for SM-like and invisible Higgs, for leptonically decaying charginos and from the limit on non-SM Z width of 3.2 MeV. The limit is for $m_t=174.3$ GeV (see Table 2 for other m_t values).
- 83 The limit improves to 73 GeV for $\mu <$ 0.
- 84 ABDALLAH 04M use data from $\sqrt{s}=192\text{--}208$ GeV to derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of \cancel{R} with $LL\overline{E}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings. The results are valid in the ranges 90< m_0 <500 GeV, 0.7<tan β <30, $-200<\mu$ <200 GeV, 0< M_2 <400 GeV. Supersedes the result of ABREU 01D and ABREU 00U.
- $^{85}\,\mathrm{The}$ limit improves to 39.5 GeV for $L\,L\,\overline{E}$ couplings.
- ⁸⁶ ACHARD 04E use data from $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. They look for events with single photons + \cancel{E} final states. Limits are computed in the plane (m(\widetilde{G}), m($\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$)), shown in

- their Fig. 8c for a no-scale supergravity model, excluding, e.g., Gravitino masses below 10^{-5} eV for neutralino masses below 172 GeV. Supersedes the results of ACCIARRI 99R.
- 87 ACHARD 04E use data from $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. They look for events with diphotons $+\not\!\!E$ final states. Limits are computed in the plane (m($\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$), m(\widetilde{e}_R)), see their Fig. 8d. The limit on the $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass is for a pure Bino state assuming a prompt decay, with $m_{\widetilde{e}_L}=1.1~m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ and $m_{\widetilde{e}_R}=2.5~m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$. Supersedes the results of ACCIARRI 99R.
- 88 ABDALLAH 03D use data from $\sqrt{s}=$ 161-208 GeV. They look for 4-tau $+\not\!\!E$ final states, expected in GMSB when the $\widetilde{\tau}_1$ is the NLSP, and 4-lepton $+\not\!\!E$ final states, expected in the co-NLSP scenario, and assuming a short-lived $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ $(m(\widetilde{G})<1$ eV). Limits are computed in the plane $(m(\widetilde{\tau}_1),m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0))$ from a scan of the GMSB parameters space, after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production from the same paper to cover prompt decays and for the case of $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ NLSP from ABREU 00Z. The limit above is reached for a single generation of messengers and when the $\widetilde{\tau}_1$ is the NLSP. Stronger limits are obtained when more messenger generations are assumed or when the other sleptons are co-NLSP, see their Fig. 10. Supersedes the results of ABREU 01G.
- 89 HEISTER 03C use the data from $\sqrt{s}{=}$ 189-209 GeV to search for $\gamma \not\!\! E_T$ final states with non-pointing photons and $\gamma\gamma\not\!\! E_T$ events. Interpreted in the framework of Minimal GMSB, a lower bound on the $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ mass is obtained as function of its lifetime. For a laboratory lifetime of less than 3 ns, the limit at 95% CL is 98.8 GeV. For other lifetimes, see their Fig. 5. These results are interpreted in a more general GMSB framework in HEISTER 02R.
- 90 HEISTER 03C use the data from $\sqrt{s}=$ 189-209 GeV to search for γE_T final states. They obtained an upper bound on the cross section for the process $e^+e^- \to \tilde{G}\tilde{\chi}^0_1$, followed by the prompt decay $\tilde{\chi}^0_1 \to \gamma \tilde{G}$, shown in their Fig. 4. These results supersede BARATE 98H.
- 91 ACHARD 02 searches for the production of sparticles in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The MSUGRA limit results from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with the assumption of gaugino and scalar mass unification at the GUT scale, imposing simultaneously the exclusions from neutralino, chargino, sleptons, and squarks analyses. The limit holds for \overline{UDD} couplings and increases to 40.2 GeV for $LL\overline{E}$ couplings. For L3 limits from $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings, see ACCIARRI 01.
- 92 HEISTER 02R search for signals of GMSB in the 189–209 GeV data. For the $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ NLSP scenario, they looked for topologies consisting of $\gamma\gamma E$ or a single γ not pointing to the interaction vertex. For the $\widetilde{\ell}$ NLSP case, the topologies consist of $\ell\ell E$ or $4\ell E$ (from $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$) production), including leptons with large impact parameters, kinks, or stable particles. Limits are derived from a scan over the GMSB parameters (see their Table 5 for the ranges). The limits are valid whichever is the NLSP. The absolute mass bound on the $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ for any lifetime includes indirect limits from the chargino search, and from the slepton search HEISTER 02E preformed within the MSUGRA framework. A bound for any NLSP and any lifetime of 77 GeV has also been derived by using the constraints from the neutral Higgs search in HEISTER 02. Limits on the universal SUSY mass scale Λ are also derived in the paper. Supersedes the results from BARATE 00G.
- 93 ABBIENDI 01 looked for final states with $\gamma\gamma \not\!\! E$, $\ell\ell \not\!\! E$, with possibly additional activity and four leptons $+\not\!\! E$ to search for prompt decays of $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ or $\widetilde{\ell}_1$ in GMSB. They derive limits in the plane $(m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1}, m_{\widetilde{\tau}_1})$, see Fig. 6, allowing either the $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ or a $\widetilde{\ell}_1$ to be the NLSP. Two scenarios are considered: $\tan\!\beta\!=\!2$ with the 3 sleptons degenerate in mass and $\tan\!\beta\!=\!20$ where the $\widetilde{\tau}_1$ is lighter than the other sleptons. Data taken at $\sqrt{s}\!=\!189$ GeV.
- ⁹⁴ ACCIARRI 01 searches for multi-lepton and/or multi-jet final states from R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$, or \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189 GeV. The search is performed for

direct and indirect decays of neutralinos, charginos, and scalar leptons, with the $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ or a $\widetilde{\ell}$ as LSP and assuming one coupling to be nonzero at a time. Mass limits are derived using simultaneously the constraints from the neutralino, chargino, and slepton analyses; and the Z^0 width measurements from ACCIARRI 00C in a scan of the parameter space assuming MSUGRA with gaugino and scalar mass universality. Updates and supersedes the results from ACCIARRI 99I.

- ADAMS 01 looked for neutral particles with mass > 2.2 GeV, produced by 900 GeV protons incident on a Beryllium oxide target and decaying through weak interactions into $\mu\mu$, μe , or $\mu\pi$ final states in the decay channel of the NuTeV detector (E815) at Fermilab. The number of observed events is $3\,\mu\mu$, $0\,\mu e$, and $0\,\mu\pi$ with an expected background of 0.069 ± 0.010 , 0.13 ± 0.02 , and 0.14 ± 0.02 , respectively. The $\mu\mu$ events are consistent with the R decay of a neutralino with mass around 5 GeV. However, they share several aspects with ν -interaction backgroundes. An upper limit on the differential production cross section of neutralinos in $p\,p$ interactions as function of the decay length is given in Fig. 3.
- 96 ABBIENDI 97 searches for the production of neutralinos in the case of R-parity violation with $LL\overline{E},\ LQ\overline{D},$ or \overline{UDD} couplings using data from $\sqrt{s}{=}183$ GeV. They investigate topologies with multiple leptons, jets plus leptons, or multiple jets, assuming one coupling at the time to be non-zero and giving rise to direct or indirect decays. Mixed decays (where one particle has a direct, the other an indirect decay) are also considered for the \overline{UDD} couplings. Upper limits on the cross section are derived which, combined with the constraint from the Z^0 width, allow to exclude regions in the M_2 versus μ plane for any coupling. Limits on the neutralino mass are obtained for non-zero $LL\overline{E}$ couplings $>10^{-5}$. The limit disappears for $\tan\beta<1.2$ and it improves to 50 GeV for $\tan\beta>20$.
- 97 ABE 99I looked for chargino and neutralino production, where the lightest neutralino in their decay products further decays into $\gamma \, \widetilde{G}$. The limit assumes the gaugino mass unification, and holds for $1 < \tan \beta < 25$, $M_2 < 200$ GeV, and all μ . ABE 99I is an expanded version of ABE 98L.
- 98 ACCIARRI 99R searches for γE final states using data from \sqrt{s} =189 GeV. From limits on cross section times branching ratio, mass limits are derived in a no-scale SUGRA model, see their Fig. 5. Supersedes the results of ACCIARRI 98V.
- 100 BARATE 99E looked for the decay of gauginos via R-violating couplings $LQ\overline{D}$. The bound is significantly reduced for smaller values of m_0 . Data collected at \sqrt{s} =130–172 GeV.
- $101\,\mathrm{ABBOTT}$ 98 studied the chargino and neutralino production, where the lightest neutralino in their decay products further decays into $\gamma\,\widetilde{G}$. The limit assumes the gaugino mass unification.
- ABREU 98 uses data at \sqrt{s} =161 and 172 GeV. Upper bounds on $\gamma\gamma E$ cross section are obtained. Similar limits on γE are also given, relevant for $e^+e^- \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \widetilde{G}$ production.
- ¹⁰³ BARATE 98S looked for the decay of gauginos via *R*-violating coupling \overline{LLE} . The bound improves to 25 GeV if the chargino decays into neutralino which further decays into lepton pairs. Data collected at \sqrt{s} =130–172 GeV.
- 104 ELLIS 97 reanalyzed the LEP2 (\sqrt{s} =161 GeV) limits of $\sigma(\gamma\gamma + E_{\rm miss}) < 0.2$ pb to exclude $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} <$ 63 GeV if $m_{\widetilde{e}_L} = m_{\widetilde{e}_R} <$ 150 GeV and $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ decays to γ \widetilde{G} inside detector.
- ¹⁰⁵ CABIBBO 81 consider $\tilde{\gamma} \to \gamma +$ goldstino. Photino must be either light enough (<30 eV) to satisfy cosmology bound, or heavy enough (>0.3 MeV) to have disappeared at early universe.

$\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$, $\widetilde{\chi}^0_3$, $\widetilde{\chi}^0_4$ (Neutralinos) MASS LIMITS

Neutralinos are unknown mixtures of photinos, z-inos, and neutral higgsinos (the supersymmetric partners of photons and of Z and Higgs bosons). The limits here apply

only to $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$, $\widetilde{\chi}^0_3$, and $\widetilde{\chi}^0_4$. $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ is the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP); see $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ Mass Limits. It is not possible to quote rigorous mass limits because they are extremely model dependent; i.e. they depend on branching ratios of various $\widetilde{\chi}^0$ decay modes, on the masses of decay products $(\widetilde{e}, \widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{q}, \widetilde{g})$, and on the \widetilde{e} mass exchanged in $e^+e^- \to \widetilde{\chi}^0_i \widetilde{\chi}^0_j$. Limits arise either from direct searches, or from the MSSM constraints set on the gaugino and higgsino mass parameters M_2 and μ through searches for lighter charginos and neutralinos. Often limits are given as contour plots in the $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0} - m_{\widetilde{e}}$ plane vs other parameters. When specific assumptions are made, e.g, the neutralino is a pure photino $(\widetilde{\gamma})$, pure z-ino (\widetilde{Z}) , or pure neutral higgsino (\widetilde{H}^0) , the neutralinos will be labelled as such.

Limits obtained from e^+e^- collisions at energies up to 136 GeV, as well as other limits from different techniques, are now superseded and have not been included in this compilation. They can be found in the 1998 Edition (The European Physical Journal **C3** 1 (1998)) of this Review.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
> 78	95	¹⁰⁶ ABBIENDI	04н OPAL	$\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$, all tan eta , $\Delta m_{0}~>$ 5 GeV,
> 62.4	95	¹⁰⁷ ABREU	00w DLPH	$m_0 >$ 500 GeV, $A_0 = 0$ $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$, $1 \le \tan\beta \le 40$, all Δm_0 ,
> 99.9	95	¹⁰⁷ ABREU	00w DLPH	all m_0 $\widetilde{\chi}^0_3$, $1 \leq aneta \leq an$, all Δm_0 ,
>116.0	95	¹⁰⁷ ABREU	00w DLPH	all m_0 $\widetilde{\chi}^0_4$, $1 \leq aneta \leq an$, all Δm_0 ,
				all m_0

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

		¹⁰⁸ ABDALLAH	05B DLPH	$\mathrm{e^{+}e^{-}} ightarrow$ $\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$, $(\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} ightarrow$ $\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}\gamma)$
		¹⁰⁹ ACHARD	04E L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow \widetilde{\chi}^0_2\widetilde{\chi}^0_2, (\widetilde{\chi}^0_2 ightarrow \widetilde{\chi}^0_1\gamma)$ $e^+e^- ightarrow \widetilde{\chi}^0_2\widetilde{\chi}^0_2, (\widetilde{\chi}^0_2 ightarrow \widetilde{\chi}^0_1\gamma)$
> 80.0	95	¹¹⁰ ACHARD	02 L3	$\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$, $\not R$, MSUGRA
>107.2	95	¹¹⁰ ACHARD	02 L3	$\widetilde{\chi}_{3}^{\overline{0}}$, \cancel{R} , MSUGRA
		¹¹¹ ABREU	01B DLPH	$e^{\stackrel{\leftarrow}{+}}e^{-} ightarrow~\widetilde{\chi}^{0}_{i}\widetilde{\chi}^{0}_{i}$
> 68.0	95	¹¹² ACCIARRI	01 L3	$\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$, R , all m_0 , $0.7 \leq aneta \leq 40$
> 99.0	95	¹¹² ACCIARRI	01 L3	$\widetilde{\chi}_3^{0}$, $\not R$, all m_0 , $0.7 \leq aneta \leq 40$
> 50	95	¹¹³ ABREU	00u DLPH	×
> 82.2 > 92	95 95	114 ABBIENDI 115 ABBIENDI 116 ABBOTT 117 ABE 118 ACCIARRI 119 ACCIARRI	98F L3	2.1 2
> 53 > 74	95 95	120 BARATE 121 BARATE 122 ABACHI 123 ABE	98J ALEP 96 D0	$e^+e^- ightarrow \widetilde{\gamma}\widetilde{\gamma}(\widetilde{\gamma} ightarrow \gamma \widetilde{H}^0)$

- ABBIENDI 04H search for charginos and neutralinos in events with acoplanar leptons+jets and multi-jet final states in the 192–209 GeV data, combined with the results on leptonic final states from ABBIENDI 04. The results hold for a scan over the parameter space covering the region 0 < M_2 <5000 GeV, $-1000 < \mu < 1000$ GeV and $\tan \beta$ from 1 to 40. This limit supersedes ABBIENDI 00H.
- 107 ABREU 00W combines data collected at $\sqrt{s}{=}189$ GeV with results from lower energies. The mass limit is obtained by constraining the MSSM parameter space with gaugino and sfermion mass universality at the GUT scale, using the results of negative direct searches for neutralinos (including cascade decays and $\tilde{\tau}\tau$ final states) from ABREU 01, for charginos from ABREU 00J and ABREU 00T (for all Δm_{+}), and for charged sleptons from ABREU 01B. The results hold for the full parameter space defined by all values of M_2 and $|\mu| \leq 2$ TeV with the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ as LSP.
- 108 ABDALLAH 05B use data from $\sqrt{s}=130$ –209 GeV, looking for events with diphotons + \cancel{E} . Limits on the cross-section are computed in the plane (m($\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$), m($\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$)), see Fig. 12. Supersedes the results of ABREU 00Z.
- 109 ACHARD 04E use data from $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV, looking for events with diphotons + \cancel{E} . Limits are computed in the plane (m($\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$), m(\widetilde{e}_R)), for $\Delta m_0>10$ GeV, see Fig. 7. Supersedes the results of ACCIARRI 99R.
- 110 ACHARD 02 searches for the production of sparticles in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at $\sqrt{s}{=}189{-}208$ GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The MSUGRA limit results from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with the assumption of gaugino and scalar mass unification at the GUT scale, imposing simultaneously the exclusions from neutralino, chargino, sleptons, and squarks analyses. The limit of $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$ holds for \overline{UDD} couplings and increases to 84.0 GeV for $LL\overline{E}$ couplings. The same $\widetilde{\chi}^0_3$ limit holds for both $LL\overline{E}$ and \overline{UDD} couplings. For L3 limits from $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings, see ACCIARRI 01.
- ABREU 01B used data from $\sqrt{s}=189$ GeV to search for the production of $\widetilde{\chi}_i^0\,\widetilde{\chi}_j^0$. They looked for di-jet and di-lepton pairs with E for events from $\widetilde{\chi}_i^0\,\widetilde{\chi}_j^0$ with the decay $\widetilde{\chi}_j^0\to f\overline{f}\,\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$; multi-jet and multi-lepton pairs with or without additional photons to cover the cascade decays $\widetilde{\chi}_j^0\to f\overline{f}\,\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$, followed by $\widetilde{\chi}_j^0\to f\overline{f}\,\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ or $\widetilde{\chi}_j^0\to \gamma\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$; multi-tau final states from $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0\to \widetilde{\tau}\tau$ with $\widetilde{\tau}\to \tau\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$. See Figs. 9 and 10 for limits on the (μ,M_2) plane for $\tan\beta=1.0$ and different values of m_0 .
- 112 ACCIARRI 01 searches for multi-lepton and/or multi-jet final states from $R\!\!\!\!/$ prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$, or \overline{UDD} couplings at $\sqrt{s}{=}189$ GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays of neutralinos, charginos, and scalar leptons, with the $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ or a $\widetilde{\ell}$ as LSP and assuming one coupling to be nonzero at a time. Mass limits are derived using simultaneously the constraints from the neutralino, chargino, and slepton analyses; and the Z^0 width measurements from ACCIARRI 00C in a scan of the parameter space assuming MSUGRA with gaugino and scalar mass universality. Updates and supersedes the results from ACCIARRI 99I.
- ABREU 000 searches for the production of charginos and neutralinos in the case of R-parity violation with $LL\overline{E}$ couplings, using data from \sqrt{s} =189 GeV. They investigate topologies with multiple leptons or jets plus leptons, assuming one coupling to be nonzero at the time and giving rise to direct or indirect decays. Limits are obtained in the M_2 versus μ plane and a limit on the neutralino mass is derived from a scan over the parameters m_0 and $\tan\beta$.
- ABBIENDI 99F looked for γE final states at \sqrt{s} =183 GeV. They obtained an upper bound on the cross section for the production $e^+e^- \to \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ followed by the prompt decay $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \to \gamma \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ of 0.075–0.80 pb in the region $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} + m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} > m_Z$, $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} = 91$ –183 GeV, and $\Delta m_0 > 5$ GeV. See Fig. 7 for explicit limits in the $(m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0})$ plane.

- ¹¹⁵ ABBIENDI 99F looked for $\gamma\gamma E$ final states at \sqrt{s} =183 GeV. They obtained an upper bound on the cross section for the production $e^+e^- \to \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ followed by the prompt decay $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \to \gamma \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ of 0.08–0.37 pb for $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0}$ =45–81.5 GeV, and $\Delta m_0 >$ 5 GeV. See Fig. 11 for explicit limits in the $(m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_2}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1})$ plane.
- 116 ABBOTT 98C searches for trilepton final states ($\ell = e, \mu$). See footnote to ABBOTT 98C in the Chargino Section for details on the assumptions. Assuming a negligible decay rate of $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ to quarks, they obtain $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} \gtrsim$ 103 GeV.
- 117 ABE 98J searches for trilepton final states ($\ell = e, \mu$). See footnote to ABE 98J in the Chargino Section for details on the assumptions. The quoted result for $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_2}$ corresponds to the best limit within the selected range of parameters, obtained for $m_{\widetilde{q}}$ $> m_{\widetilde{g}}$, $\tan \beta = 2$, and μ =-600 GeV.
- 118 ACCIARRI 98F is obtained from direct searches in the $e^+e^-
 ightarrow ~ \widetilde{\chi}^0_{1.2}\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$ production channels, and indirectly from $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ searches within the MSSM. See footnote to ACCIARRI 98F in the chargino Section for further details on the assumptions. Data taken at $\sqrt{s}=130$ –172 GeV.
- ACCIARRI 98V looked for $\gamma(\gamma) \not \! E$ final states at $\sqrt{s} = 183$ GeV. They obtained an upper bound on the cross section for the production $e^+ \, e^- \to \, \widetilde{\chi}^0_2 \, \widetilde{\chi}^0_{1,2}$ followed by the prompt decay $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \to \gamma \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$. See Figs. 4a and 6a for explicit limits in the $(m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0})$ plane.
- ¹²⁰BARATE 98H looked for $\gamma\gamma\not\!\!E$ final states at $\sqrt{s}=161,\!172$ GeV. They obtained an upper bound on the cross section for the production $e^+e^-\to\widetilde{\chi}_2^0\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ followed by the prompt decay $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \to \gamma \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ of 0.4–0.8 pb for $m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} = 10$ –80 GeV. The bound above is for the specific case of $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0=\widetilde{H}^0$ and $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0=\widetilde{\gamma}$ and $m_{\widetilde{e}_R}=100$ GeV. See Fig. 6 and 7 for explicit limits in the $(\widetilde{\chi}_2^0,\widetilde{\chi}_1^0)$ plane and in the $(\widetilde{\chi}_2^0,\widetilde{e}_R)$ plane.
- 121 BARATE 98J looked for $\gamma\gamma\not$ \not final states at $\sqrt{s}=161$ –183 GeV. They obtained an upper bound on the cross section for the production e^+ e^- \to $\tilde{\chi}^0_2 \, \tilde{\chi}^0_2$ followed by the prompt decay $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \to \gamma \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ of 0.08–0.24 pb for $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} <$ 91 GeV. The bound above is for the specific case of $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 = \widetilde{H}^0$ and $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 = \widetilde{\gamma}$ and $m_{\widetilde{e}_D} = 100$ GeV.
- 122 ABACHI 96 searches for 3-lepton final states. Efficiencies are calculated using mass relations and branching ratios in the Minimal Supergravity scenario. Results are presented as lower bounds on $\sigma(\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^0) \times \mathsf{B}(\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \to \ell \nu_\ell \widetilde{\chi}_1^0) \times \mathsf{B}(\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \to \ell^+ \ell^- \widetilde{\chi}_1^0)$ as a function of $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$. Limits range from 3.1 pb ($m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} = 45$ GeV) to 0.6 pb ($m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} = 100$ GeV).
- ¹²³ ABE 96K looked for trilepton events from chargino-neutralino production. They obtained lower bounds on $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1}$ as a function of μ . The lower bounds are in the 45–50 GeV range for gaugino-dominant $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ with negative μ , if $\tan\beta < 10$. See paper for more details of the assumptions.

 $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$, $\widetilde{\chi}_2^{\pm}$ (Charginos) MASS LIMITS Charginos are unknown mixtures of w-inos and charged higgsinos (the supersymmetric partners of W and Higgs bosons). A lower mass limit for the lightest chargino $(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm})$ of approximately 45 GeV, independent of the field composition and of the decay mode, has been obtained by the LEP experiments from the analysis of the Z width and decays. These results, as well as other now superseded limits from e^+e^- collisions

at energies below 136 GeV, and from hadronic collisions, can be found in the 1998 Edition (The European Physical Journal **C3** 1 (1998)) of this Review.

Unless otherwise stated, results in this section assume spectra, production rates, decay modes and branching ratios as evaluated in the MSSM, with gaugino and sfermion mass unification at the GUT scale. These papers generally study production of $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_2^0$, $\widetilde{\chi}_1^+\widetilde{\chi}_1^-$ and (in the case of hadronic collisions) $\widetilde{\chi}_1^+\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ pairs, including the effects of cascade decays. The mass limits on $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ are either direct, or follow indirectly from the constraints set by the non-observation of $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ states on the gaugino and higgsino MSSM parameters M_2 and μ . For generic values of the MSSM parameters, limits from high-energy e^+e^- collisions coincide with the highest value of the mass allowed by phase-space, namely $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm} \lesssim \sqrt{s}/2$. At the time of this writing, preliminary and unpublished results from the 2000 run of LEP2 at \sqrt{s} up to \simeq 209 GeV give therefore a lower mass limit of approximately 104 GeV valid for general MSSM models. The limits become however weaker in special regions of the MSSM parameter space where the detection efficiencies or production cross sections are suppressed. For example, this may happen when: (i) the mass differences $\Delta m_+=m_{\widetilde\chi_1^\pm}-m_{\widetilde\chi_1^0}$ or $\Delta m_
u=$ $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}} - m_{\widetilde{\nu}}$ are very small, and the detection efficiency is reduced; (ii) the electron sneutrino mass is small, and the $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ production rate is suppressed due to a destructive

sneutrino mass is small, and the $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ production rate is suppressed due to a destructive interference between s and t channel exchange diagrams. The regions of MSSM parameter space where the following limits are valid are indicated in the comment lines or in the footnotes.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>101	95	¹²⁴ ABBIENDI	04H OPAL	all tan eta , Δm_0 >5 GeV,
> 89	95	125 ABBIENDI	03н OPAL	$m_0 >$ 500 GeV, $A_0 = 0$ 0.5 $\leq \Delta m_+ \leq$ 5 GeV,higgsino-like, $\tan \beta = 1.5$
> 97.1	95	¹²⁶ ABDALLAH	03м DLPH	$\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}$, $\Delta m_{+} \geq$ 3 GeV, $m_{\widetilde{ u}} > m_{\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm}}$
> 75	95	¹²⁶ ABDALLAH		$\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}$, higgsino, all Δm_{+} , $m_{\widetilde{f}} > m_{\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm}}$
> 70	95	¹²⁶ ABDALLAH	03м DLPH	$\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$, all Δm_+ , $m_{\widetilde{ u}}$ >500 GeV,
> 94	95	¹²⁷ ABDALLAH	03м DLPH	$\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}$, $\tan \beta \leq 40$, $\Delta m_{+} > 3$ GeV,all m_{0}
> 88	95	¹²⁸ HEISTER	02J ALEP	$\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$, all Δm_+ , large m_0
> 67.7	95	¹²⁹ ACCIARRI	00D L3	$ aneta > 0.7$, all Δm_+ , all m_0
> 69.4	95	¹³⁰ ACCIARRI	00K L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow~\widetilde{\chi}^\pm\widetilde{\chi}^\mp$, all Δm_+ ,
				heavy scalars

• • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

95 131	,132 ABDALLAH		$AMSB, \mu > 0$
95 130		04м DLPH	R(UDD)
95	¹³⁵ ABDALLAH	03D DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\mp} (\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \rightarrow$
			$\widetilde{ au}_1 u_{ au}$, $\widetilde{ au}_1 o au\widetilde{G})$
95		03G ALEP	R decays, $m_0>500~{ m GeV}$
95		02 L3	<i>Ŗ</i> , MSUGRA
		02 THEO	
95		01c DLPH	$\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm} \rightarrow \tau J$
95	¹⁴⁰ ACCIARRI	01 L3	R , all m_0 , $0.7 \leq aneta \leq 40$
	95 133 95 95 95 95 95	95 136 HEISTER 95 137 ACHARD 138 GHODBANE 95 139 ABREU	95 133,134 ABDALLAH 04M DLPH 95 135 ABDALLAH 03D DLPH 95 136 HEISTER 03G ALEP 95 137 ACHARD 02 L3 138 GHODBANE 02 THEO 95 139 ABREU 01C DLPH

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 16

>100	95	¹⁴¹ BARATE	01B ALEP	R decays, $m_0 > 500 \text{ GeV}$
> 91.8	95	¹⁴² ABREU	00V DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} (\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \rightarrow \widetilde{\tau}_1\nu_{\tau})$
		1.10		$\widetilde{ au}_1 ightarrow \ au \widetilde{ ilde{G}})$
		¹⁴³ CHO	00B THEO	EW analysis
> 76	95	¹⁴⁴ ABBIENDI	99T OPAL	<i>Ŗ</i> , <i>m</i> ₀ =500 GeV
>120	95	¹⁴⁵ ABE	991 CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}\widetilde{\chi}, \ \widetilde{\chi} = \widetilde{\chi}_{1,2}^0, \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}, \ \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow$
				$\gamma\widetilde{ ilde{G}}$
> 51	95	¹⁴⁶ MALTONI	99B THEO	EW analysis, $\Delta m_+ \sim 1$ GeV
>150	95	¹⁴⁷ ABBOTT	98 D0	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}\widetilde{\chi}, \widetilde{\chi} = \widetilde{\chi}_{1,2}^{0}, \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}, \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow$
				$\gamma\widetilde{m{G}}$
		¹⁴⁸ АВВОТТ	98C D0	$ \begin{array}{ccc} \rho \overline{\rho} & \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \\ \rho \overline{\rho} & \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \end{array} $
> 81.5	95	¹⁴⁹ ABE	98J CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^0$
		¹⁵⁰ ACKERSTAFF	98K OPAL	$\tilde{\chi}^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ E$
> 65.7	95	¹⁵¹ ACKERSTAFF	98L OPAL	$\Delta m_+ >$ 3 GeV, $\Delta m_ u >$ 2 GeV
		¹⁵² ACKERSTAFF	98V OPAL	light gluino
		¹⁵³ CARENA	97 THEO	$g_{\mu}-2$
		¹⁵⁴ KALINOWSKI	97 THEO	$\overset{\prime}{W} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$
		¹⁵⁵ ABE		1 1

- ABBIENDI 04H search for charginos and neutralinos in events with acoplanar leptons+jets and multi-jet final states in the 192–209 GeV data, combined with the results on leptonic final states from ABBIENDI 04. The results hold for a scan over the parameter space covering the region 0 < M_2 <5000 GeV, -1000 < μ <1000 GeV and tan β from 1 to 40. This limit supersedes ABBIENDI 00H.
- ABBIENDI 03H used e^+e^- data at $\sqrt{s}=188$ -209 GeV to search for chargino pair production in the case of small Δm_+ They select events with an energetic photon, large E and little hadronic or leptonic activity. The bound applies to higgsino-like charginos with zero lifetime and a 100% branching ratio $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \to \tilde{\chi}_1^0 W^*$. The mass limit for gaugino-like charginos, in case of non-universal gaugino masses, is of 92 GeV for $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}=1000$ GeV and is lowered to 74 GeV for $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}\geq 100$ GeV. Limits in the plane $(m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm},\Delta m_+)$ are shown in Fig. 7. Exclusion regions are also derived for the AMSB scenario in the $(m_{3/2},\tan\beta)$ plane, see their Fig. 9.
- ABDALLAH 03M searches for the production of charginos using data from $\sqrt{s}=192$ to 208 GeV to investigate topologies with multiple leptons, jets plus leptons, multi-jets, or isolated photons. The first limit holds for $\tan\beta\geq 1$ and is obtained at $\Delta m_+=3$ GeV in the higgsino region. For $\Delta m_+\geq 10$ (5) GeV and large m_0 , the limit improves to 102.7 (101.7) GeV. For the region of small Δm_+ , all data from $\sqrt{s}=130$ to 208 GeV are used to investigate final states with heavy stable charged particles, decay vertices inside the detector and soft topologies with a photon from initial state radiation. The second limit is obtained in the higgsino region, assuming gaugino mass universality at the GUT scale and $1<\tan\beta<50$. For the case of non-universality of gaugino masses, the parameter space is scanned in the domain $1<\tan\beta<50$ and, for $\Delta m_+<3$ GeV, for values of M_1 , M_2 and μ such that $M_2\leq 2M_1\leq 10M_2$ and $|\mu|\geq M_2$. The third limit is obtained in the gaugino region. See Fig. 36 for the dependence of the low Δm_+ limits on Δm_+ . These limits include and update the results of ABREU 00J and ABREU 00T.
- ABDALLAH 03M uses data from $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV to obtain limits in the framework of the MSSM with gaugino and sfermion mass universality at the GUT scale. An indirect limit on the mass of charginos is derived by constraining the MSSM parameter space by the results from direct searches for neutralinos (including cascade decays), for charginos and for sleptons. These limits are valid for values of $M_2 < 1~{\rm TeV}, \ |\mu| \le 2~{\rm TeV}$ with the $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ as LSP. Constraints from the Higgs search in the M_h^{max} scenario assuming

- m_t =174.3 GeV are included. The quoted limit applies if there is no mixing in the third family or when $m_{\widetilde{\tau}_1}-m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}>$ 6 GeV. If mixing is included the limit degrades to 90 GeV.
- See Fig. 43 for the mass limits as a function of $tan\beta$. These limits update the results of ABREU 00W.
- HEISTER 02J search for chargino production with small Δm_+ in final states with a hard isolated initial state radiation photon and few low-momentum particles, using 189–208 GeV data. This search is sensitive in the intermediate Δm_+ region. Combined with searches for $\not\!E$ topologies and for stable charged particles, the above bound is obtained for m_0 larger than few hundred GeV, $1 < \tan \beta < 300$ and holds for any chargino field contents. For light scalars, the general limit reduces to the one from the Z^0 , but under the assumption of gaugino and sfermion mass unification the above bound is recovered. See Figs. 4–6 for the more general dependence of the limits on Δm_+ . Updates BARATE 98X.
- 129 ACCIARRI 00D data collected at \sqrt{s} =189 GeV. The results hold over the full parameter space defined by 0.7 \leq tan β \leq 60, 0 \leq M_2 \leq 2 TeV, $|\mu|$ \leq 2 TeV m_0 \leq 500 GeV. The results of slepton searches from ACCIARRI 99W are used to help set constraints in the region of small m_0 . See their Figs. 5 for the tan β and M_2 dependence on the limits. See the text for the impact of a large B($\tilde{\chi}^{\pm} \rightarrow \tau \tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$) on the result. The region of small Δm_+ is excluded by the analysis of ACCIARRI 00K. Updates ACCIARRI 98F.
- 130 ACCIARRI 00K searches for the production of charginos with small Δm_+ using data from \sqrt{s} =189 GeV. They investigate soft final states with a photon from initial state radiation. The results are combined with the limits on prompt decays from ACCIARRI 00D and from heavy stable charged particles from ACCIARRI 99L (see Heavy Charged Lepton Searches). The production and decay branching ratios are evaluated within the MSSM, assuming heavy sfermions. The parameter space is scanned in the domain $1<\tan\beta<50$, $0.3 < M_1/M_2 < 50$, and $0<|\mu|<2$ TeV. The limit is obtained in the higgsino region and improves to 78.6 GeV for gaugino-like charginos. The limit is unchanged for light scalar quarks. For light $\tilde{\tau}$ or $\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$, the limit is unchanged in the gaugino-like region and is lowered by 0.8 GeV in the higgsino-like case. For light $\tilde{\mu}$ or $\tilde{\nu}_{\mu}$, the limit is unchanged in the higgsino-like region and is lowered by 0.9 GeV in the gaugino-like region. No direct mass limits are obtained for light \tilde{e} or $\tilde{\nu}_{\rho}$.
- ABDALLAH 04H use data from LEP 1 and $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV. They re-use results or re-analyze the data from ABDALLAH 03M to put limits on the parameter space of anomaly-mediated supersymmetry breaking (AMSB), which is scanned in the region $1 < m_{3/2} < 50$ TeV, $0 < m_0 < 1000$ GeV, $1.5 < \tan\beta < 35$, both signs of μ . The constraints are obtained from the searches for mass degenerate chargino and neutralino, for SM-like and invisible Higgs, for leptonically decaying charginos and from the limit on non-SM Z width of 3.2 MeV. The limit is for $m_t=174.3$ GeV (see Table 2 for other m_t values).
- $^{132}\,\mathrm{The}$ limit improves to 73 GeV for $\mu~<$ 0.
- 133 ABDALLAH 04M use data from $\sqrt{s}=192-208$ GeV to derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of $R\!\!\!/$ with $LL\overline{E}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings. The results are valid in the ranges $90 < m_0 < 500$ GeV, $0.7 < \tan\beta < 30, \, -200 < \mu < 200$ GeV, $0 < M_2 < 400$ GeV. Supersedes the result of ABREU 01D and ABREU 00U.
- 134 The limit improves to 103 GeV for $LL\overline{E}$ couplings.
- ABDALLAH 03D use data from $\sqrt{s}=183$ -208 GeV. They look for final states with two acoplanar leptons, expected in GMSB when the $\widetilde{\tau}_1$ is the NLSP and assuming a short-lived $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$. Limits are obtained in the plane $(\mathsf{m}(\widetilde{\tau}),\mathsf{m}(\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}))$ for different domains of $\mathsf{m}(\widetilde{G})$, after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production from the same paper. The limit above is valid if the $\widetilde{\tau}_1$ is the NLSP for all values of $\mathsf{m}(\widetilde{G})$ provided $m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}) m(\widetilde{\tau}_1) \geq 0.3$ GeV. For larger $\mathsf{m}(\widetilde{G}) > 100$ eV the limit improves to 102 GeV, see their Fig. 11. In the co-NLSP scenario, the limits are 96 and 102 GeV for all $\mathsf{m}(\widetilde{G})$ and $\mathsf{m}(\widetilde{G}) > 100$ eV, respectively. Supersedes the results of ABREU 01G.
- 136 HEISTER 03G searches for the production of charginos prompt decays. in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–209 GeV. The search

- is performed for indirect decays, assuming one coupling at a time to be non-zero. The limit holds for $\tan\beta=1.41$. Excluded regions in the (μ,M_2) plane are shown in their Fig. 3.
- 137 ACHARD 02 searches for the production of sparticles in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The MSUGRA limit results from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with the assumption of gaugino and scalar mass unification at the GUT scale, imposing simultaneously the exclusions from neutralino, chargino, sleptons, and squarks analyses. The limit of $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ holds for \overline{UDD} couplings and increases to 103.0 GeV for $LL\overline{E}$ couplings. For L3 limits from $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings, see ACCIARRI 01.
- ¹³⁸ GHODBANE 02 reanalyzes DELPHI data at \sqrt{s} =189 GeV in the presence of complex phases for the MSSM parameters.
- 139 ABREU 01C looked for τ pairs with \cancel{E} at \sqrt{s} =183–189 GeV to search for the associated production of charginos, followed by the decay $\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm} \to \tau J$, J being an invisible massless particle. See Fig. 6 for the regions excluded in the (μ, M_2) plane.
- 140 ACCIARRI 01 searches for multi-lepton and/or multi-jet final states from $\not\!R$ prompt decays with $LL\overline{E},\ LQ\overline{D},$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at $\sqrt{s}{=}189$ GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays of neutralinos, charginos, and scalar leptons, with the $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ or a $\widetilde{\ell}$ as LSP and assuming one coupling to be nonzero at a time. Mass limits are derived using simultaneously the constraints from the neutralino, chargino, and slepton analyses; and the Z^0 width measurements from ACCIARRI 00C in a scan of the parameter space assuming MSUGRA with gaugino and scalar mass universality. Updates and supersedes the results from ACCIARRI 99I.
- ¹⁴¹ BARATE 01B searches for the production of charginos in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$, or \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–202 GeV. The search is performed for indirect decays, assuming one coupling at a time to be nonzero. Updates BARATE 00H.
- ABREU 00V use data from $\sqrt{s}=183-189$ GeV. They look for final states with two acoplanar leptons, expected in GMSB when the $\widetilde{\tau}_1$ is the NLSP and assuming a short-lived $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$. Limits are obtained in the plane $(m_{\widetilde{\tau}}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}})$ for different domains of $m_{\widetilde{G}}$, after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABREU 01 to cover prompt decays and on stable particle searches from ABREU 00Q. The limit above is valid for all values of $m_{\widetilde{G}}$.
- 143 CHO 00B studied constraints on the MSSM spectrum from precision EW observables. Global fits favour charginos with masses at the lower bounds allowed by direct searches. Allowing for variations of the squark and slepton masses does not improve the fits.
- ABBIENDI 99T searches for the production of neutralinos in the case of R-parity violation with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$, or \overline{UDD} couplings using data from $\sqrt{s}{=}183$ GeV. They investigate topologies with multiple leptons, jets plus leptons, or multiple jets, assuming one coupling at the time to be non-zero and giving rise to direct or indirect decays. Mixed decays (where one particle has a direct, the other an indirect decay) are also considered for the \overline{UDD} couplings. Upper limits on the cross section are derived which, combined with the constraint from the Z^0 width, allow to exclude regions in the M_2 versus μ plane for any coupling. Limits on the chargino mass are obtained for non-zero $LL\overline{E}$ couplings $> 10^{-5}$ and assuming decays via a W^* .
- $^{145}\,\mathrm{ABE}$ 991 looked for chargino and neutralino production, where the lightest neutralino in their decay products further decays into $\gamma\,\widetilde{G}$. The limit assumes the gaugino mass unification, and holds for $1<\!\tan\!\beta<25,~M_2<200$ GeV, and all μ . ABE 991 is an expanded version of ABE 98L.
- 146 MALTONI 99B studied the effect of light chargino-neutralino to the electroweak precision data with a particular focus on the case where they are nearly degenerate ($\Delta m_+ \sim 1$ GeV) which is difficult to exclude from direct collider searches. The quoted limit is for higgsino-like case while the bound improves to 56 GeV for wino-like case. The values of

- the limits presented here are obtained in an update to MALTONI 99B, as described in MALTONI 00.
- 147 ABBOTT 98 studied the chargino and neutralino production, where the lightest neutralino in their decay products further decays into $\gamma \tilde{G}$. The limit assumes the gaugino mass unification.
- ABBOTT 98C searches for trilepton final states ($\ell = e, \mu$). Efficiencies are calculated using mass relations in the Minimal Supergravity scenario, exploring the domain of parameter space defined by $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}} = m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0}$ and $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}} = 2m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$. Results are presented in Fig. 1 as upper
 - bounds on $\sigma(p\overline{p}\to\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm\widetilde{\chi}_2^0)\times \mathrm{B}(3\ell)$. Assuming equal branching ratio for all possible leptonic decays, limits range from 2.6 pb ($m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$ =45 GeV) to 0.4 pb ($m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$ =124 GeV) at
 - 95%CL. Assuming a negligible decay rate of $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ to quarks, this corresponds to $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm} > 103$ GeV.
- ABE 98J searches for trilepton final states $(\ell=e,\mu)$. Efficiencies are calculated using mass relations in the Minimal Supergravity scenario, exploring the domain of parameter space defined by $1.1 < \tan\beta < 8$, $-1000 < \mu(\text{GeV}) < -200$, and $m_{\widetilde{q}}/m_{\widetilde{g}} = 1-2$. In this region $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm} \sim m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0}$ and $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm} \sim 2m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$. Results are presented in Fig. 1 as upper bounds on $\sigma(p\overline{p} \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm \widetilde{\chi}_2^0) \times \text{B}(3\ell)$. Limits range from 0.8 pb $(m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm} = 50 \text{ GeV})$ to 0.23 pb $(m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm} = 100 \text{ GeV})$ at 95%CL. The gaugino mass unification hypothesis and the assumed mass relation between squarks and gluinos define the value of the leptonic
 - 0.23 pb ($m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}}$ =100 GeV) at 95%CL. The gaugino mass unification hypothesis and the assumed mass relation between squarks and gluinos define the value of the leptonic branching ratios. The quoted result corresponds to the best limit within the selected range of parameters, obtained for $m_{\widetilde{q}} > m_{\widetilde{g}}$, $\tan \beta = 2$, and $\mu = -600$ GeV. Mass limits for different values of $\tan \beta$ and μ are given in Fig. 2.
- 150 ACKERSTAFF 98K looked for dilepton+ $\not\!\!E_T$ final states at \sqrt{s} =130–172 GeV. Limits on $\sigma(e^+e^- \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^+ \widetilde{\chi}_1^-) \times B^2(\ell)$, with B(ℓ)=B($\chi^+ \to \ell^+ \nu_\ell \chi_1^0$) (B(ℓ)=B($\chi^+ \to \ell^+ \widetilde{\nu}_\ell$)), are given in Fig. 16 (Fig. 17).
- ^{151} ACKERSTAFF 98L limit is obtained for $0 < M_2 < 1500, \ |\mu| < 500$ and $\tan\beta > 1$, but remains valid outside this domain. The dependence on the trilinear-coupling parameter A is studied, and found negligible. The limit holds for the smallest value of m_0 consistent with scalar lepton constraints (ACKERSTAFF 97H) and for all values of m_0 where the condition $\Delta m_{\widetilde{\nu}} > 2.0$ GeV is satisfied. $\Delta m_{\nu} > 10$ GeV if $\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm} \to \ell \widetilde{\nu}_{\ell}$. The limit improves to 84.5 GeV for $m_0 = 1$ TeV. Data taken at $\sqrt{s} = 130 172$ GeV.
- 152 ACKERSTAFF 98V excludes the light gluino with universal gaugino mass where charginos, neutralinos decay as $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}, \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow q \overline{q} \widetilde{g}$ from total hadronic cross sections at $\sqrt{s}{=}130{-}172$ GeV. See paper for the case of nonuniversal gaugino mass.
- 153 CARENA 97 studied the constraints on chargino and sneutrino masses from muon g-2. The bound can be important for large $\tan \beta$.
- ¹⁵⁴KALINOWSKI 97 studies the constraints on the chargino-neutralino parameter space from limits on $\Gamma(W \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_1^0)$ achievable at LEP2. This is relevant when $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ is "invisible," i.e., if $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ dominantly decays into $\widetilde{\nu}_{\ell} \ell^{\pm}$ with little energy for the lepton. Small otherwise allowed regions could be excluded.
- ¹⁵⁵ ABE 96K looked for trilepton events from chargino-neutralino production. The bound on $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$ can reach up to 47 GeV for specific choices of parameters. The limits on the combined production cross section times 3-lepton branching ratios range between 1.4 and 0.4 pb, for 45< $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$ (GeV)<100. See the paper for more details on the parameter dependence of the results.

Long-lived $\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}$ (Chargino) MASS LIMITS

Limits on charginos which leave the detector before decaying.

<i>VALUE</i> (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>102	95	156 ABBIENDI	03L OPAL	$m_{\widetilde{ u}} >$ 500 GeV \widetilde{H}^{\pm} or $m_{\widetilde{ u}} > m_{\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm}}$
none 2–93.0	95	157 ABREU	00T DLPH	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 83	95	¹⁵⁸ BARATE	97K ALEP
> 28.2	95	ADACHI	90c TOPZ

 $^{^{156}}$ ABBIENDI 03L used e^+e^- data at $\sqrt{s}=130\text{-}209$ GeV to select events with two high momentum tracks with anomalous dE/dx. The excluded cross section is compared to the theoretical expectation as a function of the heavy particle mass in their Fig. 3. The bounds are valid for colorless fermions with lifetime longer than 10^{-6} s. Supersedes the results from ACKERSTAFF 98P.

$\widetilde{\nu}$ (Sneutrino) MASS LIMIT

The limits may depend on the number, $N(\widetilde{\nu})$, of sneutrinos assumed to be degenerate in mass. Only $\widetilde{\nu}_L$ (not $\widetilde{\nu}_R$) is assumed to exist. It is possible that $\widetilde{\nu}$ could be the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP).

We report here, but do not include in the Listings, the limits obtained from the final, but unpublished, fit of the final results obtained by the LEP Collaborations on the invisible width of the Z boson ($\Delta\Gamma_{\rm inv.} <$ 2.0 MeV, LEP 03): $m_{\widetilde{\nu}} >$ 43.7 GeV ($N(\widetilde{\nu})$ =1) and $m_{\widetilde{\nu}} >$ 44.7 GeV ($N(\widetilde{\nu})$ =3) .

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
> 94	95	¹⁵⁹ ABDALLAH	03м DLPH	$1 \leq aneta \leq 40, \ m_{\widetilde{e}_R} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} > 10 \; {\sf GeV}$
> 84	95	¹⁶⁰ HEISTER	02N ALEP	$\widetilde{\nu}_{e}$, any Δm
> 37.1	95	¹⁶¹ ADRIANI	93M L3	C
> 41	95	¹⁶² DECAMP	92 ALEP	$\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \text{invisible}); N(\widetilde{\nu})=3$
> 36	95	ABREU		$\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \text{invisible}); N(\widetilde{\nu})=1$
> 31.2	95	¹⁶³ ALEXANDER	91F OPAL	$\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \text{invisible}); N(\widetilde{\nu})=1$

• • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

		¹⁶⁴ ABBIENDI	04F OPAL	$R, \widetilde{\nu}_{e,\mu,\tau}$
> 95	95 165	5,166 ABDALLAH		AMSB, $\mu > 0$
> 98	95	¹⁶⁷ ABDALLAH	04м DLPH	$\mathbb{R}(LL\overline{E}), \widetilde{\nu}_e, \text{indirect}, \Delta m_0 > 5 \text{ GeV}$
> 85	95	¹⁶⁷ ABDALLAH	04м DLPH	$R(LL\overline{E}), \tilde{\nu}_{\mu}, \text{indirect}, \Delta m_0 > 5 \text{ GeV}$
> 85	95	¹⁶⁷ ABDALLAH	04м DLPH	$R(LL\overline{E}), \tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$, indirect, $\Delta m_0 > 5$ GeV
		¹⁶⁸ ABDALLAH		$\widetilde{ u}_{\mu, au}$, $ ot\!\!R$ $LL\overline{E}$ decays
		¹⁶⁹ ACOSTA	03E CDF	· ·
> 88	95	¹⁷⁰ HEISTER	03G ALEP	$\widetilde{\nu}_{\rm e}$, R decays, $\mu{=}{-}200$ GeV, $\tan\beta{=}2$
> 65	95	¹⁷⁰ HEISTER	03G ALEP	$\widetilde{ u}_{\mu, au,}$ R decays
		¹⁷¹ ABAZOV	02H D0	R, λ'_{211}

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 21

 $^{^{157}}$ ABREU 00T searches for the production of heavy stable charged particles, identified by their ionization or Cherenkov radiation, using data from \sqrt{s} = 130 to 189 GeV. These limits include and update the results of ABREU 98P.

 $^{^{158}\, \}rm BARATE$ 97K uses $\rm e^+\,e^-$ data collected at $\sqrt{s}=130$ –172 GeV. Limit valid for $\tan\beta=\sqrt{2}$ and $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}>100$ GeV. The limit improves to 86 GeV for $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}>250$ GeV.

> 95	95	¹⁷² ACHARD	02	L3	$\widetilde{\nu}_{e}$, R decays, μ =-200 GeV,
		170			$ aneta=\sqrt{2}$
> 65	95	¹⁷² ACHARD	02	L3	$\widetilde{ u}_{ u, au}$, $ ot\!\!R$ decays
>149	95	¹⁷² ACHARD	02	L3	$\widetilde{\nu}$, R decays, MSUGRA
		¹⁷³ HEISTER	02F	ALEP	$e\gamma ightarrow \; \widetilde{ u}_{\mu, au}\ell_{\pmb{k}}, ot\!\!\!/ LL\overline{\pmb{E}}$
none 100-264	95	¹⁷⁴ ABBIENDI	00 R	OPAL	$\widetilde{\nu}_{\mu,\tau}$, R , $(s+t)$ -channel
none 100-200	95	¹⁷⁵ ABBIENDI	00 R	OPAL	$\widetilde{\nu}_{ au}$, R , s-channel
		¹⁷⁶ ABREU	00 S	DLPH	$\widetilde{\nu}_{\ell}$, R , $(s+t)$ -channel
none 50-210	95	¹⁷⁷ ACCIARRI	00P	L3	$\widetilde{\nu}_{\mu, au}^{\tau}$, R , s-channel
none 50-210	95	¹⁷⁸ BARATE	001	ALEP	$\widetilde{ u}_{\mu, au}$, R , $(s+t)$ -channel
none 90-210	95	¹⁷⁹ BARATE	001	ALEP	$\widetilde{\nu}_{ au}$, R , s-channel
none 100-160	95	¹⁸⁰ ABBIENDI	99	OPAL	,
\neq m _{Z}	95	¹⁸¹ ACCIARRI	97 U	L3	$\widetilde{\nu}_{ au}$, R , s-channel
none 125–180	95	¹⁸¹ ACCIARRI	97 U	L3	$\widetilde{\nu}_{ au}$, R , s-channel
		¹⁸² CARENA	97	THEO	$g_{\mu}^{\prime}-2$
> 46.0	95	¹⁸³ BUSKULIC	95E		$N(\widetilde{\nu})=1, \ \widetilde{\nu} \rightarrow \ \nu \nu \ell \overline{\ell}'$
none 20-25000)	¹⁸⁴ BECK	94	COSM	Stable $\widetilde{\nu}$, dark matter
< 600		¹⁸⁵ FALK	94	COSM	$\widetilde{ u}$ LSP, cosmic abundance
none 3-90	90	¹⁸⁶ SATO	91	KAMI	Stable $\widetilde{ u}_{e}$ or $\widetilde{ u}_{\mu}$,
none 4–90	90	¹⁸⁶ SATO	91	KAMI	dark matter Stable $\widetilde{\nu}_{\mathcal{T}}$, dark matter

ABDALLAH 03M uses data from $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV to obtain limits in the framework of the MSSM with gaugino and sfermion mass universality at the GUT scale. An indirect limit on the mass is derived by constraining the MSSM parameter space by the results from direct searches for neutralinos (including cascade decays) and for sleptons. These limits are valid for values of $M_2 < 1$ TeV, $|\mu| \le 1$ TeV with the $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ as LSP. The quoted limit is obtained when there is no mixing in the third family. See Fig. 43 for the mass limits as a function of $\tan\beta$. These limits update the results of ABREU 00W.

 160 HEISTER 02N derives a bound on $m_{\widetilde{\nu}_e}$ by exploiting the mass relation between the $\widetilde{\nu}_e$ and \widetilde{e} , based on the assumption of universal GUT scale gaugino and scalar masses $m_{1/2}$ and m_0 and the search described in the \widetilde{e} section. In the MSUGRA framework with radiative electroweak symmetry breaking, the limit improves to $m_{\widetilde{\nu}_e} > \!\! 130$ GeV, assuming a trilinear coupling $A_0 \! = \! 0$ at the GUT scale. See Figs. 5 and 7 for the dependence of the limits on $\tan\beta$.

 161 ADRIANI 93M limit from $\Delta\Gamma(Z)$ (invisible) < 16.2 MeV.

 $162\,\mathrm{DECAMP}$ 92 limit is from $\Gamma(\mathrm{invisible})/\Gamma(\ell\ell)=5.91\pm0.15$ (N $_{\!\nu}=2.97\pm0.07$).

¹⁶³ ALEXANDER 91F limit is for one species of $\widetilde{\nu}$ and is derived from $\Gamma(\text{invisible, new})/\Gamma(\ell\ell)$ < 0.38.

ABBIENDI 04F use data from $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. They derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with $LL\overline{E}$ or $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. The results are valid for $\tan\beta=1.5$, $\mu=-200$ GeV, and a BR for the decay given by CMSSM, assuming no sensitivity to other decays. Limits are quoted for $m_{\widetilde{\chi}0}=60$ GeV and degrade for low-mass $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$. For $\widetilde{\nu}_e$ the direct (indirect) limits with $LL\overline{E}$ couplings are 89 (95) GeV and with $LQ\overline{D}$ they are 89 (88) GeV. For $\widetilde{\nu}_{\mu,\tau}$ the direct (indirect) limits with $LL\overline{E}$ couplings are 79 (81) GeV and with $LQ\overline{D}$ they are 74 (no limit) GeV. Supersedes the results of ABBIENDI 00.

 165 ABDALLAH 04H use data from LEP 1 and $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV. They re-use results or re-analyze the data from ABDALLAH 03M to put limits on the parameter space of anomaly-mediated supersymmetry breaking (AMSB), which is scanned in the region $1 < m_{3/2} <$ 50 TeV, $0 < m_0 <$ 1000 GeV, 1.5 <tan $\beta <$ 35, both signs of μ . The constraints are obtained from the searches for mass degenerate chargino and neutralino, for SM-like

- and invisible Higgs, for leptonically decaying charginos and from the limit on non-SM Z width of 3.2 MeV. The limit is for $m_t=174.3$ GeV (see Table 2 for other m_t values).
- 166 The limit improves to 114 GeV for $\mu~<$ 0.
- 167 ABDALLAH 04M use data from $\sqrt{s}=189$ –208 GeV. The results are valid for $\mu=-200$ GeV, $\tan\beta=1.5,\,\Delta m_0>5$ GeV and assuming a BR of 1 for the given decay. The limit quoted is for indirect decays using the neutralino constraint of 39.5 GeV, also derived in ABDALLAH 04M. For indirect decays the limit on $\widetilde{\nu}_e$ decreases to 96 GeV if the constraint from the neutralino is not used and for direct decays it remains 96 GeV. For indirect decays the limit on $\widetilde{\nu}_\mu$ decreases to 82 GeV if the constraint from the neutralino is not used and to 83 GeV for direct decays. For indirect decays the limit on $\widetilde{\nu}_\tau$ decreases to 82 GeV if the constraint from the neutralino is not used and improves to 91 GeV for direct decays. Supersedes the results of ABREU 00U.
- ABDALLAH 03F looked for events of the type $e^+e^- \to \widetilde{\nu} \to \widetilde{\chi}^0 \nu$, $\widetilde{\chi}^\pm \ell^\mp$ followed by R decays of the $\widetilde{\chi}^0$ via λ_{1j1} (j = 2,3) couplings in the data at \sqrt{s} = 183-208 GeV. From a scan over the SUGRA parameters, they derive upper limits on the λ_{1j1} couplings as a function of the sneutrino mass, see their Figs. 5-8.
- ¹⁶⁹ ACOSTA 03E search for $e\mu$, $e\tau$ and $\mu\tau$ final states, and sets limits on the product of production cross-section and decay branching ratio for a $\tilde{\nu}$ in RPV models (see Fig. 3).
- HEISTER 03G searches for the production of sneutrinos in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at $\sqrt{s}{=}189{-}209$ GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at a time to be non-zero. The limit holds for indirect $\overline{\nu}$ decays via \overline{UDD} couplings and $\Delta m > 10$ GeV. Stronger limits are reached for $(\overline{\nu}_e, \overline{\nu}_{\mu, \tau})$ for $LL\overline{E}$ direct (100,90) GeV or indirect (98,89) GeV and for $LQ\overline{D}$ direct (–,79) GeV or indirect (91,78) GeV couplings. For $LL\overline{E}$ indirect decays, use is made of the bound $m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0) > 23$ GeV from BARATE 98S. Supersedes the results from BARATE 01B.
- ¹⁷¹ ABAZOV 02H looked in 94 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at \sqrt{s} =1.8 TeV for events with at least 2 muons and 2 jets for s-channel production of $\widetilde{\mu}$ or $\widetilde{\nu}$ and subsequent decay via R couplings $LQ\overline{D}$. A scan over the MSUGRA parameters is performed to exclude regions of the $(m_0, m_{1/2})$ plane, examples being shown in Fig. 2.
- ACHARD 02 searches for the associated production of sneutrinos in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The limit holds for direct decays via $LL\overline{E}$ couplings. Stronger limits are reached for $(\widetilde{\nu}_e,\widetilde{\nu}_{\mu,\tau})$ for $LL\overline{E}$ indirect (99,78) GeV and for \overline{UDD} direct or indirect (99,70) GeV decays. The MSUGRA limit results from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with the assumption of gaugino and scalar mass unification at the GUT scale, imposing simultaneously the exclusions from neutralino, chargino, sleptons, and squarks analyses. The limit holds for \overline{UDD} couplings and increases to 152.7 GeV for $LL\overline{E}$ couplings.
- HEISTER 02F searched for single sneutrino production via $e\gamma \to \tilde{\nu}_j \ell_k$ mediated by $RLL\overline{E}$ couplings, decaying directly or indirectly via a $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and assuming a single coupling to be nonzero at a time. Final states with three leptons and possible E_T due to neutrinos were selected in the 189–209 GeV data. Limits on the couplings λ_{1jk} as function of the sneutrino mass are shown in Figs. 10–14. The couplings λ_{232} and λ_{233} are not accessible and λ_{121} and λ_{131} are measured with better accuracy in sneutrino resonant production. For all tested couplings, except λ_{133} , the limits are significantly improved compared to the low-energy limits.
- ABBIENDI 00R studied the effect of s- and t-channel τ or μ sneutrino exchange in $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-$ at \sqrt{s} =130–189 GeV, via the R-parity violating coupling $\lambda_{1i1}L_1L_ie_1$ (i=2 or 3). The limits quoted here hold for $\lambda_{1i1}>0.13$, and supersede the results of ABBIENDI 99. See Fig. 11 for limits on $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}$ versus coupling.
- ¹⁷⁵ ABBIENDI 00R studied the effect of s-channel τ sneutrino exchange in $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$ at \sqrt{s} =130–189 GeV, in presence of the R-parity violating couplings $\lambda_{i3i}L_iL_3e_i$ (i=1

- and 2), with $\lambda_{131}=\lambda_{232}$. The limits quoted here hold for $\lambda_{131}>$ 0.09, and supersede the results of ABBIENDI 99. See Fig. 12 for limits on $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}$ versus coupling.
- ABREU 00s searches for anomalies in the production cross sections and forward-backward asymmetries of the $\ell^+\ell^-(\gamma)$ final states $(\ell=e,\mu,\tau)$ from e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} =130–189 GeV. Limits are set on the s- and t-channel exchange of sneutrinos in the presence of R with $\lambda LL\overline{E}$ couplings. For points between the energies at which data were taken, information is obtained from events in which a photon was radiated. Exclusion limits in the $(\lambda, m_{\widetilde{\nu}})$ plane are given in Fig. 5. These limits include and update the results of ABREU 99A.
- ¹⁷⁷ ACCIARRI 00P use the dilepton total cross sections and asymmetries at $\sqrt{s}=m_Z$ and $\sqrt{s}=130-189$ GeV data to set limits on the effect of R $LL\overline{E}$ couplings giving rise to μ or τ sneutrino exchange. See their Fig. 5 for limits on the sneutrino mass versus couplings.
- ¹⁷⁸ BARATE 00I studied the effect of s-channel and t-channel τ or μ sneutrino exchange in $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ at $\sqrt{s}=$ 130–183 GeV, via the R-parity violating coupling $\lambda_{1i1}L_1L_ie_1^C$ (i=2 or 3). The limits quoted here hold for $\lambda_{1i1}>$ 0.1. See their Fig. 15 for limits as a function of the coupling.
- 179 BARATE 00I studied the effect of s-channel τ sneutrino exchange in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ at \sqrt{s} = 130–183 GeV, in presence of the R-parity violating coupling $\lambda_{i3i}L_iL_3e_i^c$ (i=1 and 2). The limits quoted here hold for $\sqrt{\left|\lambda_{131}\lambda_{232}\right|}>0.2$. See their Fig. 16 for limits as a function of the coupling.
- ¹⁸⁰ ABBIENDI 99 studied the effect of *t*-channel electron sneutrino exchange in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ at \sqrt{s} =130–183 GeV, in presence of the *R*-parity violating couplings $\lambda_{131}L_1L_3e_1^c$. The limits quoted here hold for $\lambda_{131}>0.6$.
- ¹⁸¹ ACCIARRI 97U studied the effect of the s-channel tau-sneutrino exchange in $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ at $\sqrt{s}=m_Z$ and $\sqrt{s}=130-172$ GeV, via the R-parity violating coupling $\lambda_{131}L_1L_ie_1^c$. The limits quoted here hold for $\lambda_{131}>0.05$. Similar limits were studied in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ together with $\lambda_{232}L_2L_3e_2^c$ coupling.
- ¹⁸² CARENA 97 studied the constraints on chargino and sneutrino masses from muon g-2. The bound can be important for large $\tan \beta$.
- ¹⁸³BUSKULIC 95E looked for $Z \to \widetilde{\nu} \overline{\widetilde{\nu}}$, where $\widetilde{\nu} \to \nu \chi_1^0$ and χ_1^0 decays via R-parity violating interactions into two leptons and a neutrino.
- ¹⁸⁴ BECK 94 limit can be inferred from limit on Dirac neutrino using $\sigma(\tilde{\nu}) = 4\sigma(\nu)$. Also private communication with H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus.
- $^{185}\,\text{FALK}$ 94 puts an upper bound on $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}$ when $\widetilde{\nu}$ is LSP by requiring its relic density does not overclose the Universe.
- 186 SATO 91 search for high-energy neutrinos from the sun produced by annihilation of sneutrinos in the sun. Sneutrinos are assumed to be stable and to constitute dark matter in our galaxy. SATO 91 follow the analysis of NG 87, OLIVE 88, and GAISSER 86.

CHARGED SLEPTONS

This section contains limits on charged scalar leptons $(\widetilde{\ell}, \text{ with } \ell = e, \mu, \tau)$. Studies of width and decays of the Z boson (use is made here of $\Delta\Gamma_{\mbox{inv}} < 2.0 \, \mbox{MeV}, \, \mbox{LEP 00})$ conclusively rule out $m_{\widetilde{\ell}_R} < 40 \, \mbox{GeV}$ (41

GeV for $\widetilde{\ell}_L$) , independently of decay modes, for each individual slepton. The limits improve to 43 GeV (43.5 GeV for $\widetilde{\ell}_L$) assuming all 3 flavors to be degenerate. Limits on higher mass sleptons depend on model assumptions and on the mass splitting $\Delta m = m_{\widetilde{\ell}} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$. The mass and composition

of $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ may affect the selectron production rate in e^+e^- collisions through t-channel exchange diagrams. Production rates are also affected by the potentially large mixing angle of the lightest mass eigenstate $\widetilde{\ell}_1 = \widetilde{\ell}_R \sin\theta_\ell$

 $+\;\widetilde{\ell}_{L}\cos\theta_{\ell}.$ It is generally assumed that only $\widetilde{\tau}$ may have significant mixing. The coupling to the Z vanishes for $\theta_{\ell}{=}0.82.$ In the high-energy limit of $e^{+}\,e$ collisions the interference between γ and Z exchange leads to a minimal cross section for $\theta_{\ell}{=}0.91,$ a value which is sometimes used in the following entries relative to data taken at LEP2. When limits on $m_{\widetilde{\ell}_{R}}$ are quoted, it is understood that limits on $m_{\widetilde{\ell}_{L}}$ are usually at least as strong.

Possibly open decays involving gauginos other than $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ will affect the detection efficiencies. Unless otherwise stated, the limits presented here result from the study of $\widetilde{\ell}^+\widetilde{\ell}^-$ production, with production rates and decay properties derived from the MSSM. Limits made obsolete by the recent analyses of e^+e^- collisions at high energies can be found in previous Editions of this Review.

For decays with final state gravitinos (\widetilde{G}) , $m_{\widetilde{G}}$ is assumed to be negligible relative to all other masses.

ẽ (Selectron) MASS LIMIT

e (Selection	I) IVIAS	DO LIMITI			
VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
> 97.5	95	¹⁸⁷ ABBIENDI	04 (OPAL	$\widetilde{\mathrm{e}}_{R},\!\Delta m>11$ GeV, $ \mu >\!100$ GeV, $\tan\beta\!=\!1.5$
> 94.4	95	¹⁸⁸ ACHARD	04 I	L3	\widetilde{e}_R , $\Delta m > 10$ GeV, $ \mu > 200$ GeV, $ aneta \geq 2$
> 71.3	95	¹⁸⁸ ACHARD	04 I	L3	\widetilde{e}_{R} , all Δm
none 30-94	95	¹⁸⁹ ABDALLAH	03м І	DLPH	$\Delta m > 15$ GeV, $\widetilde{e}_R^+ \widetilde{e}_R^-$
> 94	95	¹⁹⁰ ABDALLAH	03м І	DLPH	\widetilde{e}_R , $1 \leq \tan \beta \leq 40$, $\Delta m > 10$ GeV
> 95	95	¹⁹¹ HEISTER	02E /	ALEP	$\Delta m > 15$ GeV, $\widetilde{e}_R^+ \widetilde{e}_R^-$
> 73	95	¹⁹² HEISTER	02N /	ALEP	\widetilde{e}_R , any Δm
>107	95	¹⁹² HEISTER	02N /	ALEP	\widetilde{e}_L , any Δm
• • • We do	not use	the following data for	or aver	rages, f	its, limits, etc. • • •
> 89	95	¹⁹³ ABBIENDI	04F (OPAL	Ŗ, ẽį
> 92	95	¹⁹⁴ ABDALLAH	04M I	DLPH	R , \widetilde{e}_R , indirect, $\Delta m > 5$ GeV
> 93	95	¹⁹⁵ HEISTER	03G /	ALEP	\widetilde{e}_{R} , \mathbb{R} decays, μ = -200 GeV, $\tan \beta$ = 2
> 69	95	¹⁹⁶ ACHARD	02 I	L3	\widetilde{e}_R , R decays, μ = -200 GeV, $\tan \beta = \sqrt{2}$
> 92	95	¹⁹⁷ BARATE	01	ALEP	$\Delta m > 10$ GeV, $\tilde{e}_R^+ \tilde{e}_R^-$
> 77	95	¹⁹⁸ ABBIENDI	001 (OPAL	$\Delta m > 5$ GeV, $\tilde{e}_R^+ \tilde{\tilde{e}}_R^-$
> 83	95	¹⁹⁹ ABREU	00U I	DLPH	Sureseded by ABDALLAH 04M
> 67	95	²⁰⁰ ABREU	00v I	DLPH	$\widetilde{e}_R \widetilde{e}_R (\widetilde{e}_R \rightarrow e \widetilde{G}), m_{\widetilde{G}} > 10 \text{ eV}$
> 85	95	²⁰¹ BARATE	00g /	ALEP	$\widetilde{\ell}_{R} ightarrow \ell \widetilde{G}$, any $ au(\widetilde{\ell}_{R})$
> 29.5	95	²⁰² ACCIARRI	99ı I	L3	\widetilde{e}_{R} , R , $ aneta \geq 2$
> 56	95	²⁰³ ACCIARRI	98F I	L3	$\Delta m > 5$ GeV, $\widetilde{e}_R^+ \widetilde{e}_R^-$, $\tan \beta \geq 1.41$
> 77	95	²⁰⁴ BARATE	98K /	ALEP	Any Δm , $\widetilde{e}_{R}^{+}\widetilde{e}_{R}^{-}$, $\widetilde{e}_{R}^{-} \rightarrow e\gamma \widetilde{G}$
> 77	95	²⁰⁵ BREITWEG	98	ZEUS	$m_{\widetilde{q}} = m_{\widetilde{e}}, \ m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0) = 40 \text{ GeV}$
> 63	95	²⁰⁶ AID	96C I	H1	$m_{\widetilde{q}} = m_{\widetilde{e}}, \ m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} = 35 \text{ GeV}$

- ¹⁸⁷ ABBIENDI 04 search for $\widetilde{e}_R \widetilde{e}_R$ production in acoplanar di-electron final states in the 183–208 GeV data. See Fig. 13 for the dependence of the limits on $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ and for the limit at $\tan\beta$ =35 This limit supersedes ABBIENDI 00G.
- 188 ACHARD 04 search for $\tilde{e}_R \tilde{e}_L$ and $\tilde{e}_R \tilde{e}_R$ production in single- and acoplanar di-electron final states in the 192–209 GeV data. Absolute limits on $m_{\widetilde{e}_R}$ are derived from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with universal GUT scale gaugino and scalar masses $m_{1/2}$ and $m_0,~1 \leq \tan\beta \leq 60$ and $-2 \leq \mu \leq 2$ TeV. See Fig. 4 for the dependence of the limits on $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$. This limit supersedes ACCIARRI 99W.
- ^189 ABDALLAH 03M looked for acoplanar dielectron $+\cancel{E}$ final states at $\sqrt{s}=189$ –208 GeV. The limit assumes $\mu=-200$ GeV and $\tan\beta=1.5$ in the calculation of the production cross section and B($\widetilde{e} \rightarrow e \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$). See Fig. 15 for limits in the $(m_{\widetilde{e}_R}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0})$ plane. These limits include and update the results of ABREU 01
- 190 ABDALLAH 03M uses data from $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV to obtain limits in the framework of the MSSM with gaugino and sfermion mass universality at the GUT scale. An indirect limit on the mass is derived by constraining the MSSM parameter space by the results from direct searches for neutralinos (including cascade decays) and for sleptons. These limits are valid for values of $M_2<1$ TeV, $|\mu|\leq 1$ TeV with the $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ as LSP. The quoted limit is obtained when there is no mixing in the third family. See Fig. 43 for the mass limits as a function of $\tan\beta$. These limits update the results of ABREU 00W.
- 191 HEISTER 02E looked for acoplanar dielectron $+ \not\!\! E_T$ final states from e^+e^- interactions between 183 and 209 GeV. The mass limit assumes $\mu < -200$ GeV and $\tan\beta = 2$ for the production cross section and $B(\tilde{e} \to e \tilde{\chi}_1^0) = 1$. See their Fig. 4 for the dependence of the limit on Δm . These limits include and update the results of BARATE 01.
- HEISTER 02N search for $\widetilde{e}_R\widetilde{e}_L$ and $\widetilde{e}_R\widetilde{e}_R$ production in single- and acoplanar di-electron final states in the 183–208 GeV data. Absolute limits on $m_{\widetilde{e}_R}$ are derived from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with universal GUT scale gaugino and scalar masses $m_{1/2}$ and m_0 , $1 \leq \tan\beta \leq 50$ and $-10 \leq \mu \leq 10$ TeV. The region of small $|\mu|$, where cascade decays are important, is covered by a search for $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0\widetilde{\chi}_3^0$ in final states with leptons and possibly photons. Limits on $m_{\widetilde{e}_L}$ are derived by exploiting the mass relation between the \widetilde{e}_L and \widetilde{e}_R , based on universal m_0 and $m_{1/2}$. When the constraint from the mass limit of the lightest Higgs from HEISTER 02 is included, the bounds improve to $m_{\widetilde{e}_R} > 77(75)$ GeV and $m_{\widetilde{e}_L} > 115(115)$ GeV for a top mass of 175(180) GeV. In the MSUGRA framework with radiative electroweak symmetry breaking, the limits improve further to $m_{\widetilde{e}_R} > 95$ GeV and $m_{\widetilde{e}_L} > 152$ GeV, assuming a trilinear coupling $A_0 = 0$ at the GUT scale. See Figs. 4, 5, 7 for the dependence of the limits on $\tan\beta$.
- ABBIENDI 04F use data from $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. They derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with $LL\overline{E}$ or $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. The results are valid for $\tan\beta=1.5,~\mu=-200$ GeV, with, in addition, $\Delta m>5$ GeV for indirect decays via $LQ\overline{D}$. The limit quoted applies to direct decays via $LL\overline{E}$ or $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. For indirect decays, the limits on the \widetilde{e}_R mass are respectively 99 and 92 GeV for $LL\overline{E}$ and $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings and $m_{\widetilde{\chi}0}=10$ GeV and degrade slightly for larger $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass. Supersedes the results of ABBIENDI 00.
- ABDALLAH 04M use data from $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV to derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with $LL\overline{E}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings. The results are valid for $\mu=-200$ GeV, $\tan\beta=1.5$, $\Delta m>5$ GeV and assuming a BR of 1 for the given decay. The limit quoted is for indirect \overline{UDD} decays using the neutralino constraint of 39.5 GeV for $LL\overline{E}$ and of 38.0 GeV for \overline{UDD} couplings, also derived in ABDALLAH 04M. For indirect decays via $LL\overline{E}$ the limit improves to 95 GeV if the constraint from the neutralino is used and to 94 GeV if it is not used. For indirect decays via \overline{UDD} couplings it remains unchanged when the neutralino constraint is not used. Supersedes the result of ABREU 000.

- HEISTER 03G searches for the production of selectrons in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at a time to be non-zero. The limit holds for indirect decays mediated by $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings with $\Delta m>10$ GeV. Limits are also given for $LL\overline{E}$ direct $(m_{\widetilde{e},R}>96$ GeV) and indirect decays $(m_{\widetilde{e},R}>96$ GeV for $m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0)>23$ GeV from BARATE 98S) and for \overline{UDD} indirect decays $(m_{\widetilde{e},R}>94$ GeV with $\Delta m>10$ GeV). Supersedes the results from BARATE 01B.
- ¹⁹⁶ ACHARD 02 searches for the production of selectrons in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The limit holds for direct decays via $LL\overline{E}$ couplings. Stronger limits are reached for $LL\overline{E}$ indirect (79 GeV) and for \overline{UDD} direct or indirect (96 GeV) decays.
- 197 BARATE 01 looked for acoplanar dielectron + E_T final states at 189 to 202 GeV. The limit assumes $\mu{=}{-}\,200$ GeV and $\tan\beta{=}2$ for the production cross section and 100% branching ratio for $\tilde{e}\to e\,\tilde{\chi}^0_1$. See their Fig. 1 for the dependence of the limit on Δm . These limits include and update the results of BARATE 99Q.
- 198 ABBIENDI 00J looked for acoplanar dielectron $+ \not\!\!E_T$ final states at $\sqrt{s} = 161$ –183 GeV. The limit assumes $\mu < -100$ GeV and $\tan\beta = 1.5$ for the production cross section and decay branching ratios, evaluated within the MSSM, and zero efficiency for decays other than $\widetilde{e} \to e \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$. See their Fig. 12 for the dependence of the limit on Δm and $\tan\beta$.
- ABREU 000 studies decays induced by *R*-parity violating $LL\overline{E}$ couplings, using data from \sqrt{s} =189 GeV. They investigate topologies with multiple leptons, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero and giving rise to indirect decays. The limits assume a neutralino mass limit of 30 GeV, also derived in ABREU 000. Updates ABREU 001.
- 200 ABREU 00V use data from $\sqrt{s}{=}$ 130–189 GeV to search for tracks with large impact parameter or visible decay vertices. Limits are obtained as a function of $m_{\widetilde{G}}$, from a scan of the GMSB parameters space, after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABREU 01 to cover prompt decays and on stable particle searches from ABREU 00Q. For limits at different $m_{\widetilde{G}}$, see their Fig. 12.
- ²⁰¹ BARATE 00G combines the search for acoplanar dileptons, leptons with large impact parameters, kinks, and stable heavy-charged tracks, assuming 3 flavors of degenerate sleptons, produced in the schannel. Data collected at \sqrt{s} =189 GeV.
- 202 ACCIARRI 99I establish indirect limits on $m_{\widetilde{e}_R}$ from the regions excluded in the M_2 versus m_0 plane by their chargino and neutralino searches at $\sqrt{s}{=}130{-}183$ GeV. The situations where the $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ is the LSP (indirect decays) and where a $\widetilde{\ell}$ is the LSP (direct decays) were both considered. The weakest limit, quoted above, comes from direct decays with \overline{UDD} couplings; $LL\overline{E}$ couplings or indirect decays lead to a stronger limit.
- ²⁰³ ACCIARRI 98F looked for acoplanar dielectron+ $\not\!\!E_T$ final states at \sqrt{s} =130–172 GeV. The limit assumes μ =-200 GeV, and zero efficiency for decays other than $\tilde{e}_R \to e \tilde{\chi}_1^0$. See their Fig. 6 for the dependence of the limit on Δm .
- 204 BARATE 98K looked for $e^+\,e^-\,\gamma\gamma\,+\,E\!\!\!\!/$ final states at $\sqrt{s}\!\!=161$ –184 GeV. The limit assumes $\mu\!\!=\!\!-200$ GeV and $\tan\!\beta\!\!=\!\!2$ for the evaluation of the production cross section. See Fig. 4 for limits on the $(m_{\widetilde{e}_R},m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0})$ plane and for the effect of cascade decays.
- ²⁰⁵ BREITWEG 98 used positron+jet events with missing energy and momentum to look for $e^+ q \to \widetilde{e} \widetilde{q}$ via gaugino-like neutralino exchange with decays into $(e \widetilde{\chi}_1^0)(q \widetilde{\chi}_1^0)$. See paper for dependences in $m(\widetilde{q})$, $m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0)$.
- ²⁰⁶ AID 96C used positron+jet events with missing energy and momentum to look for $e^+ q \rightarrow \widetilde{e} \, \widetilde{q} \,$ via neutralino exchange with decays into $(e \, \widetilde{\chi}_1^0)(q \, \widetilde{\chi}_1^0)$. See the paper for dependences on $m_{\widetilde{q}}$, $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$.

 $\widetilde{\mu}$ (Smuon) MASS LIMIT

<i>VALUE</i> (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>91.0	95	²⁰⁷ ABBIENDI	04 OPAL	$\Delta m >$ 3 GeV, $\widetilde{\mu}_{m{R}}^{+}\widetilde{\mu}_{m{R}}^{-}$,
		000		$ \mu > 100$ GeV, $ an\!eta{=}1.5$
>86.7	95	²⁰⁸ ACHARD	04 L3	. K. K
		200		$ \mu >$ 200 GeV, $ aneta\geq 2$
none 30–88	95	²⁰⁹ ABDALLAH	03м DLPH	I $\Delta m >$ 5 GeV, $\widetilde{\mu}_R^+ \widetilde{\mu}_R^-$
>94	95	²¹⁰ ABDALLAH	03м DLPH	$\widetilde{\mu}_{R,1} \leq aneta \leq a0, \ \Delta m > 10 \; {\sf GeV}$
>88	95	²¹¹ HEISTER	02E ALEP	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
>74	95	²¹² ABBIENDI	04F OPAL	$R, \widetilde{\mu}_I$
>87	95	²¹³ ABDALLAH	04м DLPH	I $R, \widetilde{\mu}_R$, indirect, $\Delta m > 5$ GeV
>81	95	²¹⁴ HEISTER	03G ALEP	$\widetilde{\mu}_{m{L}}$, \mathcal{R} decays
		²¹⁵ ABAZOV	02H D0	,
>61	95	²¹⁶ ACHARD	02 L3	$\widetilde{\mu}_R$, R decays
>85	95	²¹⁷ BARATE	01 ALEP	$\Delta m > 10$ GeV, $\widetilde{\mu}_R^+ \widetilde{\mu}_R^-$
>65	95	²¹⁸ ABBIENDI	00J OPAL	, 12 12
>80	95	²¹⁹ ABREU	00v DLPH	/\ _J\
>77	95	²²⁰ BARATE	98K ALEP	eV Any Δm , $\widetilde{\mu}_R^+ \widetilde{\mu}_R^-$, $\widetilde{\mu}_R \to \mu \gamma \widetilde{G}$

 207 ABBIENDI 04 search for $\widetilde{\mu}_R\widetilde{\mu}_R$ production in acoplanar di-muon final states in the 183–208 GeV data. See Fig. 14 for the dependence of the limits on $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ and for the

limit at $\tan\!\beta\!=\!35$. Under the assumption of 100% branching ratio for $\widetilde{\mu}_R\to \mu\ \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$, the limit improves to 94.0 GeV for $\Delta m>$ 4 GeV. See Fig. 11 for the dependence of the limits on $\mathbf{m}_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ at several values of the branching ratio. This limit supersedes ABBIENDI 00G.

- 208 ACHARD 04 search for $\widetilde{\mu}_R\widetilde{\mu}_R$ production in acoplanar di-muon final states in the 192–209 GeV data. Limits on $m_{\widetilde{\mu}_R}$ are derived from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with universal GUT scale gaugino and scalar masses $m_{1/2}$ and m_0 , $1 \leq \tan\beta \leq 60$ and $-2 \leq \mu \leq 2$ TeV. See Fig. 4 for the dependence of the limits on $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$. This limit supersedes ACCIARRI 99W.
- ²⁰⁹ ABDALLAH 03M looked for acoplanar dimuon $+\cancel{E}$ final states at $\sqrt{s}=189$ –208 GeV. The limit assumes B($\widetilde{\mu} \to \mu \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$) = 100%. See Fig. 16 for limits on the $(m_{\widetilde{\mu}_R}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0})$ plane. These limits include and update the results of ABREU 01.
- 210 ABDALLAH 03M uses data from $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV to obtain limits in the framework of the MSSM with gaugino and sfermion mass universality at the GUT scale. An indirect limit on the mass is derived by constraining the MSSM parameter space by the results from direct searches for neutralinos (including cascade decays) and for sleptons. These limits are valid for values of $M_2 < 1$ TeV, $|\mu| \le 1$ TeV with the $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ as LSP. The quoted limit is obtained when there is no mixing in the third family. See Fig. 43 for the mass limits as a function of $\tan\beta$. These limits update the results of ABREU 00W.
- ²¹¹ HEISTER 02E looked for acoplanar dimuon $+ \not\!\! E_T$ final states from e^+e^- interactions between 183 and 209 GeV. The mass limit assumes B($\widetilde{\mu} \to \mu \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$)=1. See their Fig. 4 for the dependence of the limit on Δm . These limits include and update the results of BARATE 01.
- ²¹² ABBIENDI 04F use data from $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. They derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with $LL\overline{E}$ or $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. The results are valid for $\tan\beta=1.5,\ \mu=-200$ GeV, with, in addition, $\Delta m>5$ GeV for indirect decays via $LQ\overline{D}$.

The limit quoted applies to direct decays with $LL\overline{E}$ couplings and improves to 75 GeV for $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. The limits on the $\widetilde{\mu}_R$ mass for indirect decays are respectively 94 and 87 GeV for $LL\overline{E}$ and $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings and $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0}=10$ GeV. Supersedes the results of ABBIENDI 00.

- ABDALLAH 04M use data from $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV to derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with $LL\overline{E}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings. The results are valid for $\mu=-200$ GeV, $\tan\beta=1.5$, $\Delta m>5$ GeV and assuming a BR of 1 for the given decay. The limit quoted is for indirect \overline{UDD} decays using the neutralino constraint of 39.5 GeV for $LL\overline{E}$ and of 38.0 GeV for \overline{UDD} couplings, also derived in ABDALLAH 04M. For indirect decays via $LL\overline{E}$ the limit improves to 90 GeV if the constraint from the neutralino is used and remains at 87 GeV if it is not used. For indirect decays via \overline{UDD} couplings it degrades to 85 GeV when the neutralino constraint is not used. Supersedes the result of ABREU 00U.
- HEISTER 03G searches for the production of smuons in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at a time to be non-zero. The limit holds for direct decays mediated by R $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings and improves to 90 GeV for indirect decays (for $\Delta m>10$ GeV). Limits are also given for $LL\overline{E}$ direct ($m_{\widetilde{\mu}R}>87$ GeV) and indirect decays ($m_{\widetilde{\mu}R}>96$ GeV for $m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0)>23$ GeV from BARATE 98S) and for \overline{UDD} indirect decays ($m_{\widetilde{\mu}R}>85$ GeV for $\Delta m>10$ GeV). Supersedes the results from BARATE 01B.
- ²¹⁵ ABAZOV 02H looked in 94 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at \sqrt{s} =1.8 TeV for events with at least 2 muons and 2 jets for s-channel production of $\widetilde{\mu}$ or $\widetilde{\nu}$ and subsequent decay via R couplings $LQ\overline{D}$. A scan over the MSUGRA parameters is performed to exclude regions of the $(m_0, m_{1/2})$ plane, examples being shown in Fig. 2.
- ²¹⁶ ACHARD 02 searches for the production of smuons in the case of $\not R$ prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The limit holds for direct decays via $LL\overline{E}$ couplings. Stronger limits are reached for $LL\overline{E}$ indirect (87 GeV) and for \overline{UDD} direct or indirect (86 GeV) decays.
- ²¹⁷BARATE 01 looked for acoplanar dimuon $+ \not\!\!E_T$ final states at 189 to 202 GeV. The limit assumes 100% branching ratio for $\widetilde{\mu} \to \mu \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$. See their Fig. 1 for the dependence of the limit on Δm . These limits include and update the results of BARATE 99Q.
- ²¹⁸ ABBIENDI 00J looked for acoplanar dimuon $+ \not\!\! E_T$ final states at $\sqrt{s} = 161$ –183 GeV. The limit assumes B($\widetilde{\mu} \to \mu \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$)=1. Using decay branching ratios derived from the MSSM, a lower limit of 65 GeV is obtained for $\mu < -100$ GeV and $\tan \beta = 1.5$. See their Figs. 10 and 13 for the dependence of the limit on the branching ratio and on Δm .
- ABREU 00V use data from $\sqrt{s}=130-189$ GeV to search for tracks with large impact parameter or visible decay vertices. Limits are obtained as function of $m_{\widetilde{G}}$, after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABREU 01 to cover prompt decays and on stable particle searches from ABREU 00Q. For limits at different $m_{\widetilde{G}}$, see their Fig. 12.
- ²²⁰ BARATE 98K looked for $\mu^+\mu^-\gamma\gamma+\cancel{E}$ final states at $\sqrt{s}=$ 161–184 GeV. See Fig. 4 for limits on the $(m_{\widetilde{\mu}_R},m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0})$ plane and for the effect of cascade decays.

$\widetilde{ au}$ (Stau) MASS LIMIT

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>85.2	95	²²¹ ABBIENDI	04	OPAL	$\Delta m~>$ 6 GeV, $ heta_{ au}{=}\pi/2$,
>78.3	95	²²² ACHARD	04	L3	$ \mu >100$ GeV, $ an\!eta{=}1.5$ $\Delta m>15$ GeV, $ heta_{ au}{=}\pi/2$, $ \mu >200$ GeV, $ an\!eta\geq 2$
>81.9	95	²²³ ABDALLAH	03N	и DLPH	$ \mu $ >200 GeV, $tan \beta \geq 2$ $\Delta m > 15$ GeV, all $\theta_{\mathcal{T}}$

```
none m_{\tau}- 26.3 95 223 ABDALLAH 03M DLPH \Delta m>m_{\tau}, all \theta_{\tau} >79 95 224 HEISTER 02E ALEP \Delta m> 15 GeV, \theta_{\tau}=\pi/2 >76 95 224 HEISTER 02E ALEP \Delta m> 15 GeV, \theta_{\tau}=0.91
```

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

```
<sup>225</sup> ABBIENDI
                                                                        04F OPAL R, \tilde{\tau}_L
>74
                             95 <sup>226,227</sup> ABDALLAH
                                                                        04H DLPH \, AMSB, \mu \, > 0
>68
                                        <sup>228</sup> ABDALLAH
                             95
                                                                        04M DLPH \not R, \widetilde{	au}_R, indirect, \Delta m >5 GeV
>90
                                        <sup>229</sup> ABDALLAH
                                                                        03D DLPH \widetilde{\tau}_R \to \tau G, all \tau (\widetilde{\tau}_R)
                             95
>82.5
                                        <sup>230</sup> HEISTER
                                                                        03G ALEP \widetilde{	au}_{R},R decay
>70
                             95
                                        <sup>231</sup> ACHARD
                             95
                                                                        02 L3
>61
                                                                                             \widetilde{	au}_{R}, R decays
                                        <sup>232</sup> HEISTER
>77
                             95
                                                                        02R ALEP 	au_1, any lifetime
                                        <sup>233</sup> BARATE
                             95
                                                                        01 ALEP \Delta m > 10 GeV, \theta_{\tau} = \pi/2
>70
                                        <sup>233</sup> BARATE
                                                                        01 ALEP \Delta m > 10 GeV, \theta_{	au} {=} 0.91
                             95
>68
                                        <sup>234</sup> ABBIENDI
                                                                        00J OPAL \Delta m > 10 GeV, \tilde{\tau}_R^+ \tilde{\tau}_R^-
                             95
>64
                                                                        00V DLPH \widetilde{\ell}_R \widetilde{\ell}_R (\widetilde{\ell}_R \to \ell \widetilde{G}), m_{\widetilde{G}} > 9 \text{ eV}
                                        <sup>235</sup> ABREU
                             95
>84
                                        <sup>236</sup> ABREU
                             95
>73
                                                                        00V DLPH \widetilde{\tau}_1\widetilde{\tau}_1 (\widetilde{\tau}_1 \rightarrow \tau G), all \tau(\widetilde{\tau}_1)
                                        <sup>237</sup> BARATE
                             95
                                                                        98K ALEP Any \Delta m, 	heta_{	au} = \pi/2, \widetilde{	au}_{R} 
ightarrow
>52
```

- ABBIENDI 04 search for $\widetilde{\tau}\widetilde{\tau}$ production in acoplanar di-tau final states in the 183–208 GeV data. See Fig. 15 for the dependence of the limits on $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ and for the limit at $\tan\beta$ =35. Under the assumption of 100% branching ratio for $\widetilde{\tau}_R \to \tau \ \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$, the limit improves to 89.8 GeV for $\Delta m >$ 8 GeV. See Fig. 12 for the dependence of the limits on $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ at several values of the branching ratio and for their dependence on θ_{τ} .
- This limit supersedes ABBIENDI 00G. $^{222} \text{ ACHARD 04 search for } \widetilde{\tau}\widetilde{\tau} \text{ production in acoplanar di-tau final states in the 192–209}$ GeV data. Limits on $m_{\widetilde{\tau}_R}$ are derived from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with universal GUT scale gaugino and scalar masses $m_{1/2}$ and m_0 , $1 \leq \tan\beta \leq 60$ and $-2 \leq \mu \leq 2$ TeV. See Fig. 4 for the dependence of the limits on $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$.
- ²²³ ABDALLAH 03M looked for acoplanar ditaus $+\cancel{E}$ final states at $\sqrt{s}=130$ –208 GeV. A dedicated search was made for low mass $\widetilde{\tau}$ s decoupling from the Z^0 . The limit assumes B($\widetilde{\tau} \to \tau \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$) = 100%. See Fig. 20 for limits on the $(m_{\widetilde{\tau}}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0})$ plane and as function

of the $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\rm U}$ mass and of the branching ratio. The limit in the low-mass region improves to 29.6 and 31.1 GeV for $\widetilde{\tau}_R$ and $\widetilde{\tau}_L$, respectively, at $\Delta m > m_{\tau}$. The limit in the high-mass region improves to 84.7 GeV for $\widetilde{\tau}_R$ and $\Delta m > 15$ GeV. These limits include and update the results of ABREU 01.

- HEISTER 02E looked for acoplanar ditau $+ \not\!\!E_T$ final states from e^+e^- interactions between 183 and 209 GeV. The mass limit assumes B($\tilde{\tau} \to \tau \tilde{\chi}_1^0$)=1. See their Fig. 4 for the dependence of the limit on Δm . These limits include and update the results of BARATE 01.
- ABBIENDI 04F use data from $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. They derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with $LL\overline{E}$ or $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. The results are valid for $\tan\beta=1.5,\ \mu=-200$ GeV, with, in addition, $\Delta m>5$ GeV for indirect decays via $LQ\overline{D}$. The limit quoted applies to direct decays with $LL\overline{E}$ couplings and improves to 75 GeV for $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. The limit on the $\widetilde{\tau}_R$ mass for indirect decays is 92 GeV for $LL\overline{E}$ couplings at $m_{\widetilde{\chi}0}=10$ GeV and no exclusion is obtained for $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. Supersedes the results of ABBIENDI 00.
- ²²⁶ ABDALLAH 04H use data from LEP 1 and $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV. They re-use results or re-analyze the data from ABDALLAH 03M to put limits on the parameter space of anomaly-mediated supersymmetry breaking (AMSB), which is scanned in the region

- $1 < m_{3/2} <$ 50 TeV, $0 < m_0 <$ 1000 GeV, 1.5 <tan $\beta <$ 35, both signs of μ . The constraints are obtained from the searches for mass degenerate chargino and neutralino, for SM-like and invisible Higgs, for leptonically decaying charginos and from the limit on non-SM Z width of 3.2 MeV. The limit is for $m_t = 174.3$ GeV (see Table 2 for other m_t values).
- $^{227}\,\text{The limit improves to 75 GeV for}~\mu~<0.$
- ABDALLAH 04M use data from $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV to derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with $LL\overline{E}$ couplings. The results are valid for $\mu=-200$ GeV, $\tan\beta=1.5,~\Delta m~>5$ GeV and assuming a BR of 1 for the given decay. The limit quoted is for indirect decays using the neutralino constraint of 39.5 GeV, also derived in ABDALLAH 04M. For indirect decays via $LL\overline{E}$ the limit decreases to 86 GeV if the constraint from the neutralino is not used. Supersedes the result of ABREU 00U.
- ABDALLAH 03D use data from $\sqrt{s} = 130\text{-}208$ GeV to search for tracks with large impact parameter or visible decay vertices and for heavy charged stable particles. Limits are obtained as function of $\mathsf{m}(\widetilde{G})$, after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABDALLAH 03M to cover prompt decays. The above limit is reached for the stau decaying promptly, $\mathsf{m}(\widetilde{G}) < 6$ eV, and is computed for stau mixing yielding the minimal cross section. Stronger limits are obtained for longer lifetimes, See their Fig. 9. Supersedes the results of ABREU 01G.
- HEISTER 03G searches for the production of stau in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at a time to be non-zero. The limit holds for indirect decays mediated by R \overline{UDD} couplings with $\Delta m>10$ GeV. Limits are also given for $LL\overline{E}$ direct ($m_{\widetilde{T}_R}>87$ GeV) and indirect decays ($m_{\widetilde{T}_R}>95$ GeV for $m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0)>23$ GeV from BARATE 98S) and for $LQ\overline{D}$ indirect decays ($m_{\widetilde{T}_R}>76$ GeV). Supersedes the results from BARATE 01B.
- 231 ACHARD 02 searches for the production of staus in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The limit holds for direct decays via $LL\overline{E}$ couplings. Stronger limits are reached for $LL\overline{E}$ indirect (86 GeV) and for \overline{UDD} direct or indirect (75 GeV) decays.
- HEISTER 02R search for signals of GMSB in the 189–209 GeV data. For the $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ NLSP scenario, they looked for topologies consisting of $\gamma\gamma E$ or a single γ not pointing to the interaction vertex. For the $\widetilde{\ell}$ NLSP case, the topologies consist of $\ell\ell E$, including leptons with large impact parameters, kinks, or stable particles. Limits are derived from a scan over the GMSB parameters (see their Table 5 for the ranges). The limit remains valid whichever is the NLSP. The absolute mass bound on the $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ for any lifetime includes indirect limits from the slepton search HEISTER 02E preformed within the MSUGRA framework. A bound for any NLSP and any lifetime of 77 GeV has also been derived by using the constraints from the neutral Higgs search in HEISTER 02. In the co-NLSP scenario, limits $m_{\widetilde{e}_R} > 83$ GeV (neglecting t-channel exchange) and $m_{\widetilde{\mu}_R} > 88$ GeV are obtained independent of the lifetime. Supersedes the results from BARATE 00G.
- ²³³ BARATE 01 looked for acoplanar ditau $+ \not\!\! E_T$ final states at 189 to 202 GeV. A slight excess (with 1.2% probability) of events is observed relative to the expected SM background. The limit assumes 100% branching ratio for $\tau \to \tau \tilde{\chi}_1^0$. See their Fig. 1 for the dependence of the limit on Δm . These limits include and update the results of BARATE 99Q.
- ABBIENDI 00J looked for acoplanar ditau $+ \not\!\! E_T$ final states at $\sqrt{s} = 161 183$ GeV. The limit assumes B($\widetilde{\tau} \to \tau \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$)=1. Using decay branching ratios derived from the MSSM, a lower limit of 60 GeV at $\Delta m > 9$ GeV is obtained for $\mu < -100$ GeV and $\tan \beta = 1.5$. See their Figs. 11 and 14 for the dependence of the limit on the branching ratio and on Δm .
- ABREU 00V use data from $\sqrt{s}=130-189$ GeV to search for tracks with large impact parameter or visible decay vertices. Limits are obtained as function of $m_{\widetilde{G}}$, after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from

- ABREU 01 to cover prompt decays and on stable particle searches from ABREU 00Q. The above limit assumes the degeneracy of stau and smuon. For limits at different $m_{\widetilde{G}}$, see their Fig. 12.
- ABREU 00V use data from $\sqrt{s}=130-189$ GeV to search for tracks with large impact parameter or visible decay vertices. Limits are obtained as function of $m_{\widetilde{G}}$, after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABREU 01 to cover prompt decays and on stable particle searches from ABREU 00Q. The above limit is reached for the stau mixing yielding the minimal cross section and decaying promptly. Stronger limits are obtained for longer lifetimes or for $\widetilde{\tau}_R$; see their Fig. 11. For $10 \leq m_{\widetilde{G}} \leq 310$ eV, the whole range $2 \leq m_{\widetilde{\tau}_1} \leq 80$ GeV is excluded. Supersedes the results of ABREU 99C and ABREU 99F.
- ²³⁷ BARATE 98K looked for $\tau^+\tau^-\gamma\gamma+\cancel{E}$ final states at $\sqrt{s}=$ 161–184 GeV. See Fig. 4 for limits on the $(m_{\widetilde{\tau}_R},m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0})$ plane and for the effect of cascade decays.

Degenerate Charged Sleptons

Unless stated otherwise in the comment lines or in the footnotes, the following limits assume 3 families of degenerate charged sleptons.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>93	95	²³⁸ BARATE	01	ALEP	$\Delta m > 10$ GeV, $\widetilde{\ell}_R^+ \widetilde{\ell}_R^-$
>70	95	²³⁸ BARATE	01	ALEP	all Δm , $\widetilde{\ell}_R^+\widetilde{\ell}_R^-$
• • • We do not use the	followi	ng data for averages	, fits	, limits,	etc. • • •
>88	95	²³⁹ ABDALLAH	03 D	DLPH	$\widetilde{\ell}_{R} ightarrow \ell \widetilde{G}$, all $ au(\widetilde{\ell}_{R})$
>82.7	95	²⁴⁰ ACHARD	02	L3	$\widetilde{\ell}_R \to \ell \widetilde{G}$, all $\tau(\widetilde{\ell}_R)$ $\widetilde{\ell}_R$, R decays, MSUGRA
>83	95	²⁴¹ ABBIENDI	01	OPAL	$e^{\stackrel{.}{+}}e^{-} ightarrow \ \widetilde{\ell}_{1}\widetilde{\ell}_{1}$, GMSB,
		²⁴² ABREU			$ an eta=2$ $\widetilde{\ell} o \ell \widetilde{\chi}_2^0, \ \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 o \ \gamma \widetilde{\chi}_1^0,$
>68.8	95	²⁴³ ACCIARRI	01	L3	$\begin{array}{c} \ell = e, \mu \\ \widetilde{\ell}_{R}, R, 0.7 \leq \tan\beta \leq 40 \end{array}$
>84	₉₅ 244	-, ²⁴⁵ ABREU	00V	DLPH	$\ell_R \ell_R \; (\ell_R \to \ell G),$
					$m_{\widetilde{G}}>$ 9 eV

- BARATE 01 looked for acoplanar dilepton $+ \not\!\! E_T$ and single electron (for $e_R e_L$) final states at 189 to 202 GeV. The limit assumes $\mu = -200$ GeV and $\tan \beta = 2$ for the production cross section and decay branching ratios, evaluated within the MSSM, and zero efficiency for decays other than $\ell \to \ell \chi_1^0$. The slepton masses are determined from the GUT relations without stau mixing. See their Fig. 1 for the dependence of the limit on Δm .
- ABDALLAH 03D use data from $\sqrt{s} = 130\text{-}208$ GeV to search for tracks with large impact parameter or visible decay vertices and for heavy charged stable particles. Limits are obtained as function of $m(\widetilde{G})$, after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABDALLAH 03M to cover prompt decays The above limit is reached for prompt decays and assumes the degeneracy of the sleptons. For limits at different $m(\widetilde{G})$, see their Fig. 9. Supersedes the results of ABREU 01G.
- 240 ACHARD 02 searches for the production of sparticles in the case of $\not R$ prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at $\sqrt{s}{=}189{-}208$ GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The MSUGRA limit results from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with the assumption of gaugino and scalar mass unification at the GUT scale and no mixing in the slepton sector, imposing simultaneously the exclusions from neutralino, chargino, sleptons, and squarks analyses. The limit holds for $LL\overline{E}$ couplings and increases to 88.7 GeV for \overline{UDD} couplings. For L3 limits from $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings, see ACCIARRI 01.
- ²⁴¹ ABBIENDI 01 looked for final states with $\gamma\gamma E$, $\ell\ell E$, with possibly additional activity and four leptons + E to search for prompt decays of $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ or $\widetilde{\ell}_1$ in GMSB. They derive limits in the plane $(m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}, m_{\widetilde{\tau}_1})$, see Fig. 6, allowing either the $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ or a $\widetilde{\ell}_1$ to be the NLSP.

- Two scenarios are considered: $\tan\!\beta = 2$ with the 3 sleptons degenerate in mass and $\tan\!\beta = 20$ where the $\widetilde{\tau}_1$ is lighter than the other sleptons. Data taken at $\sqrt{s} = 189$ GeV. For $\tan\!\beta = 20$, the obtained limits are $m_{\widetilde{\tau}_1} > 69$ GeV and $m_{\widetilde{e}_1,\widetilde{\mu}_1} > 88$ GeV.
- ²⁴² ABREU 01 looked for acoplanar dilepton + diphoton + $\not\!\!E$ final states from $\widetilde{\ell}$ cascade decays at \sqrt{s} =130–189 GeV. See Fig. 9 for limits on the (μ,M_2) plane for $m_{\widetilde{\ell}}$ =80 GeV, $\tan\beta$ =1.0, and assuming degeneracy of $\widetilde{\mu}$ and \widetilde{e} .
- 243 ACCIARRI 01 searches for multi-lepton and/or multi-jet final states from R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$, or \overline{UDD} couplings at $\sqrt{s}{=}189$ GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays of neutralinos, charginos, and scalar leptons, with the $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ or a $\widetilde{\ell}$ as LSP and assuming one coupling to be nonzero at a time. Mass limits are derived using simultaneously the constraints from the neutralino, chargino, and slepton analyses; and the Z^0 width measurements from ACCIARRI 00C in a scan of the parameter space assuming MSUGRA with gaugino and scalar mass universality. Updates and supersedes the results from ACCIARRI 99I.
- ABREU 00V use data from \sqrt{s} = 130–189 GeV to search for tracks with large impact parameter or visible decay vertices. Limits are obtained as function of $m_{\widetilde{G}}$, after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABREU 01 to cover prompt decays and on stable particle searches from ABREU 00Q. For limits at different $m_{\widetilde{G}}$, see their Fig. 12.
- $^{245}\,\mathrm{The}$ above limit assumes the degeneracy of stau and smuon.

Long-lived $\widetilde{\ell}$ (Slepton) MASS LIMIT

Limits on scalar leptons which leave detector before decaying. Limits from Z decays are independent of lepton flavor. Limits from continuum e^+e^- annihilation are also independent of flavor for smuons and staus. Selectron limits from e^+e^- collisions in the continuum depend on MSSM parameters because of the additional neutralino exchange contribution.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>98	95	²⁴⁶ ABBIENDI	03L OPAL	$\widetilde{\mu}_{R}$, $\widetilde{ au}_{R}$
none 2-87.5	95	²⁴⁷ ABREU	00Q DLPH	$\widetilde{\mu}_R$, $\widetilde{\tau}_R$
>81.2	95	²⁴⁸ ACCIARRI	99н L 3	$\widetilde{\mu}_{R}$, $\widetilde{\tau}_{R}$
>81	95	²⁴⁹ BARATE	98k ALEP	$\widetilde{\mu}_{R}, \widetilde{\tau}_{R}$

- ²⁴⁶ ABBIENDI 03L used e^+e^- data at $\sqrt{s}=130$ –209 GeV to select events with two high momentum tracks with anomalous dE/dx. The excluded cross section is compared to the theoretical expectation as a function of the heavy particle mass in their Fig. 3. The limit improves to 98.5 GeV for $\widetilde{\mu}_L$ and $\widetilde{\tau}_L$. The bounds are valid for colorless spin 0 particles with lifetimes longer than 10^{-6} s. Supersedes the results from ACKERSTAFF 98P.
- ABREU 00Q searches for the production of pairs of heavy, charged stable particles in $e^+\,e^-$ annihilation at $\sqrt{s}{=}$ 130–189 GeV. The upper bound improves to 88 GeV for $\widetilde{\mu}_L$, $\widetilde{\tau}_L$. These limits include and update the results of ABREU 98P.
- ²⁴⁸ ACCIARRI 99H searched for production of pairs of back-to-back heavy charged particles at \sqrt{s} =130–183 GeV. The upper bound improves to 82.2 GeV for $\widetilde{\mu}_L$, $\widetilde{\tau}_L$.
- ²⁴⁹ The BARATE 98K mass limit improves to 82 GeV for $\widetilde{\mu}_L,\widetilde{\tau}_L$. Data collected at \sqrt{s} =161–184 GeV.

\tilde{q} (Squark) MASS LIMIT

For $m_{\widetilde{q}} >$ 60–70 GeV, it is expected that squarks would undergo a cascade decay via a number of neutralinos and/or charginos rather than undergo a direct decay to photinos as assumed by some papers. Limits obtained when direct decay is assumed are usually higher than limits when cascade decays are included.

Limits from e^+e^- collisions depend on the mixing angle of the lightest mass eigenstate $\widetilde{q}_1 = \widetilde{q}_R \sin\theta_q + \widetilde{q}_L \cos\theta_q$. It is usually assumed that only the sbottom and stop squarks have non-trivial mixing angles (see the stop and sbottom sections). Here, unless otherwise noted, squarks are always taken to be either left/right degenerate, or purely of left or right type. Data from Z decays have set squark mass limits above 40 GeV, in the case of $\widetilde{q} \to q \widetilde{\chi}_1$ decays if $\Delta m = m_{\widetilde{q}} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} \gtrsim 5$ GeV. For smaller values of Δm , current constraints on the invisible width of the Z ($\Delta \Gamma_{\rm inv} < 2.0$ MeV, LEP 00) exclude $m_{\widetilde{u}_L,R} <$ 44 GeV, $m_{\widetilde{d}_R} <$ 33 GeV, $m_{\widetilde{d}_L} <$ 44 GeV and, assuming all squarks degenerate, $m_{\widetilde{q}} <$ 45 GeV.

Limits made obsolete by the most recent analyses of e^+e^- , $p\overline{p}$, and ep collisions can be found in previous Editions of this *Review*.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
> 99.5	95	²⁵⁰ ACHARD	04 L3	$\Delta m_{_{ m C}} > 10$ GeV, $e^+ e^- ightarrow$
> 97	95	²⁵⁰ ACHARD	04 L3	$\widetilde{q}_{L,R}$ $\overline{q}_{L,R}$ $\Delta m >$ 10 GeV, $e^+e^ ightarrow$
<i>y</i> 31	33		01 25	$\widetilde{q}_R\widetilde{\widetilde{q}}_R$
>138	95	²⁵¹ ABBOTT	01D D0	$\ell\ell+{ m jets}+E_T$, $\tan eta < 10$, $m_0 < 300$ GeV, $\mu < 0$, $A_0=0$
>255	95	²⁵¹ ABBOTT	01D D0	$\tan \beta = 2$, $m_{\widetilde{g}} = m_{\widetilde{q}}$, $\mu < 0$,
				$A_0=$ 0, $\ell\ell$ +jets+ $ ot\!\!\!E_T$
> 97	95	²⁵² BARATE	01 ALEP	$e^{+}e^{-} ightarrow$
>250	95	²⁵³ ABBOTT	99L D0	tan $eta=$ 2, $\mu<$ 0, $A=$ 0, jets $+ ot\!\!E_T$
>224	95	²⁵⁴ ABE	96D CDF	$m_{\widetilde{g}} \leq m_{\widetilde{q}}$; with cascade
				decays, $\ell\ell+$ jets $+ ot\!\!\!E_T$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

		-	-	
>275		²⁵⁵ AKTAS	04D H1	$e^{\pm} p ightarrow \widetilde{U}_{I}$, $ ot\!\!R$, $LQ\overline{D}$
>280		²⁵⁵ AKTAS	04D H1	$e^{\pm} ho ightarrow \widetilde{D}_{R}^{-}$, R , $LQ \overline{D}$
		²⁵⁶ ADLOFF	03 H1	$e^{\pm} p ightarrow \widetilde{q}, ot\!\!\!/ R, LQ \overline{D}$
>276	95	²⁵⁷ CHEKANOV	03B ZEUS	$\widetilde{d} \rightarrow e^- u, \nu d, R, LQ\overline{D}, \lambda > 0.1$
>260	95	257 CHEKANOV	03B ZEUS	$\widetilde{u} ightarrow e^+ d, R, LQ\overline{D}, \lambda > 0.1$
> 82.5	95	²⁵⁸ HEISTER	03G ALEP	$\widetilde{u}_{R},\mathcal{R}$ decay
> 77	95	²⁵⁸ HEISTER	03G ALEP	d_{R} , \mathcal{R} decay
>240	95	²⁵⁹ ABAZOV	02F D0	\widetilde{q} , \mathcal{R} λ'_{2jk} indirect decays,
				$\tan eta=2$, any $m_{\widetilde{m{g}}}$
>265	95	²⁵⁹ ABAZOV	02F D0	\widetilde{q} , $\Re \lambda_{2ik}'$ indirect decays,
				$\tan \beta = 2$, $m_{\widetilde{q}} = m_{\widetilde{g}}$
		²⁶⁰ ABAZOV	02G D0	$p\overline{p} ightarrow \ \widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}, \ \widetilde{g}\widetilde{q}$
none 80-121	95	²⁶¹ ABBIENDI		$e\gamma \rightarrow \widetilde{u}_{I}$, $\mathcal{R} LQ\overline{D}$, $\lambda=0.3$
none 80-158	95	²⁶¹ ABBIENDI	02 OPAL	
none 80-185	95	²⁶² ABBIENDI	02B OPAL	
none 80–196	95	262 ABBIENDI	02B OPAL	$e\gamma \rightarrow \widetilde{d}_{R}$, R $LQ\overline{D}$, λ =0.3
> 79	95	²⁶³ ACHARD	02 L3	\widetilde{u}_{R} , R decays
> 55	95	²⁶³ ACHARD	02 L3	d_R , R decays
>263	95	²⁶⁴ CHEKANOV		$\widetilde{u}_{L} \rightarrow \mu q, R, LQ\overline{D}, \lambda=0.3$
>258	95	²⁶⁴ CHEKANOV	02 ZEUS	L
> 82	95	²⁶⁵ BARATE	01B ALEP	~~~
> 68	95	²⁶⁵ BARATE	01B ALEP	d_{R} , R decays

none 150–204	95 05	²⁶⁶ BREITWEG ²⁶⁷ ABBOTT	01 ZEUS	$e^+ p \rightarrow \tilde{d}_R$, $R LQ\overline{D}$, $\lambda=0.3$
>200	95		00C D0	\widetilde{u}_L , \mathcal{R} , λ'_{2jk} decays
>180	95	²⁶⁷ ABBOTT	00c D0	\widetilde{d}_R , \mathcal{R} , λ'_{2jk} decays
>390	95	²⁶⁸ ACCIARRI	00P L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow q\overline{q}, \not R, \lambda=0.3$
>148	95	²⁶⁹ AFFOLDER	00к CDF	\tilde{d}_L , $\mathbb{R} \lambda'_{ij3}$ decays
>200	95	270 BARATE	00ı ALEP	$e^+e^- ightarrow \; q\overline{q}$, R , $\lambda{=}0.3$
none 150-269	95	²⁷¹ BREITWEG	00E ZEUS	$e^+ p \rightarrow \widetilde{u}_L$, R , $LQ\overline{D}$, $\lambda=0.3$
>240	95	²⁷² АВВОТТ	99 D0	$\widetilde{q} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} X \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \gamma X, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}} -$
				$m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} >$ 20 GeV
>320	95	²⁷² АВВОТТ	99 D0	$\widetilde{q} \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 X \to \widetilde{G} \gamma X$
>243	95	²⁷³ АВВОТТ	99K D0	any $m_{\widetilde{g}}$, R , $ aneta=2$, $\mu<0$
>200	95	²⁷⁴ ABE	99м CDF	$p\overline{p} ightarrow \widetilde{\widetilde{q}} \widetilde{\widetilde{q}}, R$
none 80-134	95	²⁷⁵ ABREU	99G DLPH	$e\gamma \rightarrow \widetilde{u}_{I}$, $E LQ\overline{D}$, $\lambda=0.3$
none 80–161	95	²⁷⁵ ABREU	99G DLPH	e $\gamma \rightarrow \widetilde{d}_{R}$, R LQ \overline{D} , λ =0.3
>225	95	²⁷⁶ АВВОТТ	98E D0	\widetilde{u}_L , R , λ'_{1jk} decays
>204	95	²⁷⁶ АВВОТТ	98E D0	\widetilde{d}_R , R , λ'_{1jk} decays
> 79	95	²⁷⁶ АВВОТТ	98E D0	\widetilde{d}_L , R , λ'_{ijk} decays
>202	95	²⁷⁷ ABE	98s CDF	\widetilde{u}_L , $\Re \lambda'_{2jk}$ decays
>160	95	²⁷⁷ ABE	98s CDF	\widetilde{d}_R , $\Re \lambda_{2ik}'$ decays
>140	95	²⁷⁸ ACKERSTAFF	98V OPAL	$e^+e^- ightarrow q\overline{q}$, R, $\lambda{=}0.3$
> 77	95	²⁷⁹ BREITWEG	98 ZEUS	$m_{\widetilde{q}} = m_{\widetilde{e}}, \ m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0) = 40 \ \text{GeV}$
		²⁸⁰ DATTA	97 THEO	$\widetilde{ u}$'s lighter than $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm$, $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$
>216	95	²⁸¹ DERRICK	97 ZEUS	$e p ightarrow \widetilde{q}, \widetilde{q} ightarrow \mu j { m or} au j, R $
none 130-573	95	²⁸² HEWETT	97 THEO	
none 190–650	95	²⁸³ TEREKHOV	97 THEO	light gluino $q g o \widetilde{q} \widetilde{\widetilde{g}} , \widetilde{\widetilde{q}} o q \widetilde{\widetilde{g}} , ext{with a}$
. 62	0.5	²⁸⁴ AID	000 111	light gluino
> 63	95		96C H1	$m_{\widetilde{q}} = m_{\widetilde{e}}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} = 35 \text{ GeV}$
none 330-400	95	²⁸⁵ TEREKHOV	96 THEO	$egin{array}{ll} ug & ightarrow $
>176	95	²⁸⁶ АВАСНІ	95c D0	Any $m_{\widetilde{g}}$ <300 GeV; with cas-
		²⁸⁷ ABE	05- CDE	cade decays
. 00	00		95T CDF	$\widetilde{q} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \gamma$
> 90	90	²⁸⁸ ABE	92L CDF	Any $m_{\widetilde{g}}$ <410 GeV; with cas-
>100		²⁸⁹ ROY	92 RVUE	cade decay $p\overline{p} o \widetilde{q}\widetilde{q}; R$
,		²⁹⁰ NOJIRI	91 COSM	

ACHARD 04 search for the production of $\widetilde{q}\,\widetilde{q}$ of the first two generations in acoplanar di-jet final states in the 192–209 GeV data. Degeneracy of the squark masses is assumed either for both left and right squarks or for right squarks only, as well as B($\widetilde{q} \rightarrow q\,\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$) = 1 See Fig. 7 for the dependence of the limits on $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$. This limit supersedes ACCIARRI 99V.

 $^{^{251}}$ ABBOTT 01D looked in $\sim 108~{\rm pb}^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}{=}1.8$ TeV for events with $e\,e,$ $\mu\,\mu$, or $e\,\mu$ accompanied by at least 2 jets and E_T . Excluded regions are obtained in the MSUGRA framework from a scan over the parameters $0{<}m_0$ ${<}300~{\rm GeV},\,10{<}m_{1/2}$ ${<}110~{\rm GeV},\,$ and 1.2 ${<}{\rm tan}\beta$ ${<}10.$

- ²⁵²BARATE 01 looked for acoplanar dijets $+ \not\!\!E_T$ final states at 189 to 202 GeV. The limit assumes B($\widetilde{q} \to q \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$)=1, with $\Delta m = m_{\widetilde{q}} m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$. It applies to tan β =4, μ =-400 GeV. See their Fig. 2 for the exclusion in the $(m_{\widetilde{q}}, m_{\widetilde{g}})$ plane. These limits include and update the results of BARATE 99Q.
- ²⁵³ ABBOTT 99L consider events with three or more jets and large $\not\!\!E_T$. Spectra and decay rates are evaluated in the framework of minimal Supergravity, assuming five flavors of degenerate squarks, and scanning the space of the universal gaugino $(m_{1/2})$ and scalar (m_0) masses. See their Figs. 2–3 for the dependence of the limit on the relative value of $m_{\widetilde{q}}$ and $m_{\widetilde{g}}$.
- ABE 96D searched for production of gluinos and five degenerate squarks in final states containing a pair of leptons, two jets, and missing E_T . The two leptons arise from the semileptonic decays of charginos produced in the cascade decays. The limit is derived for fixed $\tan\beta=4.0$, $\mu=-400$ GeV, and $m_{H^+}=500$ GeV, and with the cascade decays of the squarks and gluinos calculated within the framework of the Minimal Supergravity scenario.
- AKTAS 04D looked in 77.8 pb^{-1} of $e^{\pm}p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=319$ GeV for resonant production of \widetilde{q} by R-parity violating $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings assuming that one of the λ' couplings dominates over all others. They consider final states with or without leptons and/or jets and/or p_T' resulting from direct and indirect decays. They combine the channels to derive limits on λ'_{1j1} and λ'_{11k} as a function of the squark mass, see their Figs. 8 and 9, from a scan over the parameters $70 < M_2 < 350$ GeV, $-300 < \mu < 300$ GeV, $\tan\beta = 6$, for a fixed mass of 90 GeV for degenerate sleptons and an LSP mass > 30 GeV. The quoted limits refer to $\lambda' = 0.3$, with U=u,c,t and D=d,s,b. Supersedes the results of ADLOFF 01B.
- 256 ADLOFF 03 looked for the s-channel production of squarks via R $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings in 117.2 pb^{-1} of e^+p data at $\sqrt{s}=301$ and 319 GeV and of e^-p data at $\sqrt{s}=319$ GeV. The comparison of the data with the SM differential cross section allows limits to be set on couplings for processes mediated through contact interactions. They obtain lower bounds on the value of $m_{\widetilde{q}}/\lambda'$ of 710 GeV for the process $e^+\bar{u} \to \tilde{d}^k$ (and charge conjugate), mediated by λ'_{11k} , and of 430 GeV for the process $e^+d \to \tilde{u}^j$ (and charge conjugate), mediated by λ'_{1j1} .
- 257 CHEKANOV 03B used 131.5 pb^{-1} of e^+p and e^-p data taken at 300 and 318 GeV to look for narrow resonances in the eq or νq final states. Such final states may originate from $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings with non-zero λ'_{1j1} (leading to \widetilde{u}_j) or λ'_{11k} (leading to \widetilde{d}_k). See their Fig. 8 and explanations in the text for limits. The quoted mass bound assumes that only direct squark decays contribute.
- $^{258}\, \rm HEIS \overline{TER}$ 03G searches for the production of squarks in the case of R prompt decays with \overline{UDD} direct couplings at at $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV.
- ABAZOV 02F looked in 77.5 pb $^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at 1.8 TeV for events with $\geq 2\mu + \geq 4$ jets, originating from associated production of squarks followed by an indirect R decay (of the $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$) via $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings of the type $\lambda'_{2j\,k}$ where j=1,2 and k=1,2,3. Bounds are obtained in the MSUGRA scenario by a scan in the range $0 \leq M_0 \leq 400$ GeV, $60 \leq m_{1/2} \leq 120$ GeV for fixed values $A_0=0$, $\mu < 0$, and $\tan\beta = 2$ or 6. The bounds are weaker for $\tan\beta = 6$. See Figs. 2,3 for the exclusion contours in $m_{1/2}$ versus m_0 for $\tan\beta = 2$ and 6, respectively.
- 260 ABAZOV 02G search for associated production of gluinos and squarks in 92.7 pb $^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}{=}1.8$ TeV, using events with one electron, \geq 4 jets, and large E_T . The results are compared to a MSUGRA scenario with μ <0, $A_0{=}0$, and $\tan\beta{=}3$ and allow to exclude a region of the $(m_0,m_{1/2})$ shown in Fig. 11.
- ²⁶¹ ABBIENDI 02 looked for events with an electron or neutrino and a jet in e^+e^- at 189 GeV. Squarks (or leptoquarks) could originate from a $LQ\overline{D}$ coupling of an electron with

- a quark from the fluctuation of a virtual photon. Limits on the couplings $\lambda'_{1j\,k}$ as a function of the squark mass are shown in Figs. 8–9, assuming that only direct squark decays contribute.
- ²⁶² ABBIENDI 02B looked for events with an electron or neutrino and a jet in e^+e^- at 189–209 GeV. Squarks (or leptoquarks) could originate from a $LQ\overline{D}$ coupling of an electron with a quark from the fluctuation of a virtual photon. Limits on the couplings $\lambda'_{1j\,k}$ as a function of the squark mass are shown in Fig. 4, assuming that only direct squark decays contribute. The quoted limits are read off from Fig. 4. Supersedes the results of ABBIENDI 02.
- ACHARD 02 searches for the production of squarks in the case of R prompt decays with \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The limit holds for indirect decays. Stronger limits are reached for $(\widetilde{u}_R,\widetilde{d}_R)$ direct (80,56) GeV and $(\widetilde{u}_L,\widetilde{d}_L)$ direct or indirect (87,86) GeV decays.
- ²⁶⁴ CHEKANOV 02 search for lepton flavor violating processes $e^+p \to \ell X$, where $\ell=\mu$ or τ with high p_T , in 47.7 pb $^{-1}$ of e^+p collisions at 300 GeV. Such final states may originate from $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings with simultaneously nonzero $\lambda'_{1j\,k}$ and $\lambda'_{ij\,k}$ (i=2 or 3). The quoted mass bound assumes that only direct squark decays contribute.
- 265 BARATE 01B searches for the production of squarks in the case of $R\!\!\!/$ prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$ indirect or \overline{UDD} direct couplings at $\sqrt{s}{=}189{-}202$ GeV. The limit holds for direct decays mediated by $R\!\!\!\!/$ \overline{UDD} couplings. Limits are also given for $LL\overline{E}$ indirect decays ($m_{\widetilde{U}_R} > 90$ GeV and $m_{\widetilde{d}_R} > 89$ GeV). Supersedes the results from BARATE 00H.
- 266 BREITWEG 01 searches for squark production in 47.7 pb $^{-1}$ of e^+p collisions, mediated by R couplings $LQ\overline{D}$ and leading to final states with $\widetilde{\nu}$ and ≥ 1 jet, complementing the e^+X final states of BREITWEG 00E. Limits are derived on $\lambda'\sqrt{\beta}$, where β is the branching fraction of the squarks into $e^+q+\overline{\nu}q$, as function of the squark mass, see their Fig. 15. The quoted mass limit assumes that only direct squark decays contribute.
- 267 ABBOTT 00C searched in $\sim 94~{\rm pb}^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions for events with $\mu\mu+{\rm jets}$, originating from associated production of leptoquarks. The results can be interpreted as limits on production of squarks followed by direct R decay via $\lambda'_{2j\,k}L_2Q_jd_k^c$ couplings. Bounds are obtained on the cross section for branching ratios of 1 and of 1/2, see their Fig. 4. The former yields the limit on the \widetilde{u}_L . The latter is combined with the bound of ABBOTT 99J from the $\mu\nu+{\rm jets}$ channel and of ABBOTT 98E and ABBOTT 98J from the $\nu\nu+{\rm jets}$ channel to yield the limit on \widetilde{d}_R .
- ²⁶⁸ ACCIARRI 00P studied the effect on hadronic cross sections of *t*-channel down-type squark exchange via *R*-parity violating coupling $\lambda'_{1jk}L_1Q_jd_k^c$. The limit here refers to the case $j{=}1,2$, and holds for $\lambda'_{1jk}{=}0.3$. Data collected at $\sqrt{s}{=}130{-}189$ GeV, superseding the results of ACCIARRI 98J.
- ²⁶⁹ AFFOLDER 00κ searched in \sim 88 pb $^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions for events with 2–3 jets, at least one being *b*-tagged, large $\not\!\!E_T$ and no high p_T leptons. Such $\nu\nu+b$ -jets events would originate from associated production of squarks followed by direct $\not\!\!R$ decay via $\lambda'_{ij3}L_iQ_jd_3^c$ couplings. Bounds are obtained on the production cross section assuming zero branching ratio to charged leptons.
- 270 BARATE 00I studied the effect on hadronic cross sections and charge asymmetries of t-channel down-type squark exchange via R-parity violating coupling $\lambda'_{1jk}L_1Q_jd_k^c$. The limit here refers to the case j=1,2, and holds for λ'_{1jk} =0.3. A 50 GeV limit is found for up-type squarks with k=3. Data collected at \sqrt{s} = 130–183 GeV.
- ²⁷¹ BREITWEG 00E searches for squark exchange in e^+p collisions, mediated by R couplings $LQ\overline{D}$ and leading to final states with an identified e^+ and ≥ 1 jet. The limit applies to up-type squarks of all generations, and assumes $B(\widetilde{q} \rightarrow q e) = 1$.

- ²⁷² ABBOTT 99 searched for $\gamma \not\!\!\!E_T + \geq 2$ jet final states, and set limits on $\sigma(p\overline{p} \to \widetilde{q} + X) \cdot B(\widetilde{q} \to \gamma \not\!\!\!E_T X)$. The quoted limits correspond to $m_{\widetilde{g}} \geq m_{\widetilde{q}}$, with $B(\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \gamma) = 1$ and $B(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \to \widetilde{G} \gamma) = 1$, respectively. They improve to 310 GeV (360 GeV in the case of $\gamma \, \widetilde{G}$ decay) for $m_{\widetilde{g}} = m_{\widetilde{q}}$.
- 273 ABBOTT 99K uses events with an electron pair and four jets to search for the decay of the $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ LSP via R $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. The particle spectrum and decay branching ratios are taken in the framework of minimal supergravity. An excluded region at 95% CL is obtained in the $(m_0,m_{1/2})$ plane under the assumption that A_0 =0, μ <0, $\tan\beta$ =2 and any one of the couplings $\lambda_{1jk}'>10^{-3}$ (j=1,2 and k=1,2,3) and from which the above limit is computed. For equal mass squarks and gluinos, the corresponding limit is 277 GeV. The results are essentially independent of A_0 , but the limit deteriorates rapidly with increasing $\tan\beta$ or μ >0.
- 274 ABE 99M looked in 107 pb $^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at \sqrt{s} =1.8 TeV for events with like sign dielectrons and two or more jets from the sequential decays $\widetilde{q} \to q \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ and $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \to e q \overline{q}'$, assuming $\not R$ coupling $L_1 Q_j D_k^c$, with j=2,3 and k=1,2,3. They assume five degenerate squark flavors, B($\widetilde{q} \to q \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$)=1, B($\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \to e q \overline{q}'$)=0.25 for both e^+ and e^- , and $m_{\widetilde{g}} \ge 200$ GeV. The limit is obtained for $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} \ge m_{\widetilde{q}}/2$ and improves for heavier gluinos or heavier χ_1^0 .
- ²⁷⁵ ABREU 99G looked for events with an electron or neutrino and a jet in e^+e^- at 183 GeV. Squarks (or leptoquarks) could originate from a $LQ\overline{D}$ coupling of an electron with a quark from the fluctuation of a virtual photon. Limits on the couplings $\lambda'_{1j\,k}$ as a function of the squark mass are shown in Fig. 4, assuming that only direct squark decays contribute.
- 276 ABBOTT 98E searched in $\sim 115~{\rm pb}^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions for events with $e\nu+{\rm jets}$, originating from associated production of squarks followed by direct R decay via $\lambda'_{1j\,k}L_1Q_jd_k^c$ couplings. Bounds are obtained by combining these results with the previous bound of ABBOTT 97B from the $e\,e+{\rm jets}$ channel and with a reinterpretation of ABACHI 96B $\nu\nu+{\rm jets}$ channel.
- ABE 98S looked in $\sim 110\,\mathrm{pb}^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}{=}1.8$ TeV for events with $\mu\mu{+}\mathrm{jets}$ originating from associated production of squarks followed by direct R decay via $\lambda'_{2j\,k}L_2Q_jd_k^c$ couplings. Bounds are obtained on the production cross section times the square of the branching ratio, see Fig. 2. Mass limits result from the comparison with theoretical cross sections and branching ratio equal to 1 for \widetilde{u}_L and 1/2 for \widetilde{d}_R .
- 278 ACKERSTAFF 98V and ACCIARRI 98J studied the interference of t-channel squark (\widetilde{d}_R) exchange via R-parity violating $\lambda'_{1jk}L_1Q_jd_k^c$ coupling in $e^+e^-\to q\overline{q}$. The limit is for $\lambda'_{1jk}=0.3$. See paper for related limits on \widetilde{u}_L exchange. Data collected at $\sqrt{s}=130-172$ GeV.
- ²⁷⁹ BREITWEG 98 used positron+jet events with missing energy and momentum to look for $e^+ q \to \widetilde{e} \widetilde{q}$ via gaugino-like neutralino exchange with decays into $(e \widetilde{\chi}_1^0)(q \widetilde{\chi}_1^0)$. See paper for dependences in $m_{\widetilde{e}}$, $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$.
- ²⁸⁰ DATTA 97 argues that the squark mass bound by ABACHI 95C can be weakened by 10–20 GeV if one relaxes the assumption of the universal scalar mass at the GUT-scale so that the $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$, $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ in the squark cascade decays have dominant and invisible decays to $\widetilde{\nu}$.
- ²⁸¹ DERRICK 97 looked for lepton-number violating final states via *R*-parity violating couplings $\lambda_{ijk}' L_i Q_j d_k$. When $\lambda_{11k}' \lambda_{ijk}' \neq 0$, the process $eu \to \tilde{d}_k^* \to \ell_i u_j$ is possible.

- When $\lambda'_{1j1}\lambda'_{ijk}\neq 0$, the process $e\overline{d}\to \widetilde{u}_j^*\to \ell_j\overline{d}_k$ is possible. 100% branching fraction $\widetilde{q}\to\ell j$ is assumed. The limit quoted here corresponds to $\widetilde{t}\to\tau q$ decay, with $\lambda'=0.3$. For different channels, limits are slightly better. See Table 6 in their paper.
- ²⁸² HEWETT 97 reanalyzed the limits on possible resonances in di-jet mode $(\tilde{q} \rightarrow q\tilde{g})$ from ALITTI 93 quoted in "Limits for Excited q (q^*) from Single Production," ABE 96 in "SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(qqqq)$," and unpublished CDF, DØ bounds. The bound applies to the gluino mass of 5 GeV, and improves for lighter gluino. The analysis has gluinos in parton distribution function.
- ²⁸³ TEREKHOV 97 improved the analysis of TEREKHOV 96 by including di-jet angular distributions in the analysis.
- ²⁸⁴ AID 96C used positron+jet events with missing energy and momentum to look for $e^+ q \rightarrow \tilde{e} \tilde{q}$ via neutralino exchange with decays into $(e \tilde{\chi}_1^0)(q \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$. See the paper for dependences on $m_{\widetilde{e}}$, $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$.
- ²⁸⁵ TEREKHOV 96 reanalyzed the limits on possible resonances in di-jet mode $(\widetilde{u} \rightarrow u\widetilde{g})$ from ABE 95N quoted in "MASS LIMITS for g_A (axigluon)." The bound applies only to the case with a light gluino.
- 286 ABACHI 95C assume five degenerate squark flavors with $m_{\widetilde{q}_L}=m_{\widetilde{q}_R}$. Sleptons are assumed to be heavier than squarks. The limits are derived for fixed $\tan\beta=2.0~\mu=-250~{\rm GeV}$, and $m_{H^+}{=}500~{\rm GeV}$, and with the cascade decays of the squarks and gluinos calculated within the framework of the Minimal Supergravity scenario. The bounds are weakly sensitive to the three fixed parameters for a large fraction of parameter space. No limit is given for $m_{\rm gluino}>$ 547 GeV.
- 287 ABE 95T looked for a cascade decay of five degenerate squarks into $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$ which further decays into $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ and a photon. No signal is observed. Limits vary widely depending on the choice of parameters. For $\mu=-40$ GeV, $\tan\beta=1.5$, and heavy gluinos, the range $50{<}m_{\widetilde{\alpha}}$ (GeV)<110 is excluded at 90% CL. See the paper for details.
- 288 ABE 92L assume five degenerate squark flavors and $m_{\widetilde{q}_L} = m_{\widetilde{q}_R}$. ABE 92L includes the effect of cascade decay, for a particular choice of parameters, $\mu = -250$ GeV, $\tan\beta = 2$. Results are weakly sensitive to these parameters over much of parameter space. No limit for $m_{\widetilde{q}} \leq 50$ GeV (but other experiments rule out that region). Limits are 10–20 GeV higher if $\mathrm{B}(\widetilde{q} \to q \widetilde{\gamma}) = 1$. Limit assumes GUT relations between gaugino masses and the gauge coupling; in particular that for $|\mu|$ not small, $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} \approx m_{\widetilde{g}}/6$. This last relation implies that as $m_{\widetilde{g}}$ increases, the mass of $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ will eventually exceed $m_{\widetilde{q}}$ so that no decay is possible. Even before that occurs, the signal will disappear; in particular no
- bounds can be obtained for $m_{\widetilde{g}}>410$ GeV. $m_{H^+}=500$ GeV. 289 ROY 92 reanalyzed CDF limits on di-lepton events to obtain limits on squark production in R-parity violating models. The 100% decay $\widetilde{q} \rightarrow q \widetilde{\chi}$ where $\widetilde{\chi}$ is the LSP, and the
- ²⁹⁰ NOJIRI 91 argues that a heavy squark should be nearly degenerate with the gluino in minimal supergravity not to overclose the universe.

Long-lived \tilde{q} (Squark) MASS LIMIT

LSP decays either into $\ell q \overline{d}$ or $\ell \ell \overline{e}$ is assumed.

The following are bounds on long-lived scalar quarks, assumed to hadronise into hadrons with lifetime long enough to escape the detector prior to a possible decay. Limits may depend on the mixing angle of mass eigenstates: $\tilde{q}_1 = \tilde{q}_L \cos\theta_q + \tilde{q}_R \sin\theta_q$. The coupling to the Z^0 boson vanishes for up-type squarks when $\theta_u = 0.98$, and for down type squarks when $\theta_d = 1.17$.

Created: 6/24/2005 17:17

VALUE (GeV) CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>95	95	²⁹¹ HEISTER	03H ALEP	\widetilde{u}
>92	95	²⁹¹ HEISTER	03H ALEP	\widetilde{d}
none 2-85	95	²⁹² ABREU	98P DLPH	\widetilde{u}_I
none 2-81	95	²⁹² ABREU	98P DLPH	\tilde{u}_R
none 2-80	95	²⁹² ABREU	98P DLPH	\widetilde{u} , θ_{II} =0.98
none 2-83	95	²⁹² ABREU	98P DLPH	\widetilde{d}_{I}
none 5-40	95	²⁹² ABREU	98P DLPH	\tilde{d}_R
none 5-38	95	²⁹² ABREU	98P DLPH	\widetilde{d} , $\theta_d = 1.17$

 $^{^{291}\,\}mathrm{HEISTER}$ 03H use e^+e^- data at and around the Z^0 peak to look for hadronizing stable squarks. Combining their results on searches for charged and neutral R-hadrons with JANOT 03, a lower limit of 15.7 GeV on the mass is obtained. Combining this further with the results of searches for tracks with anomalous ionization in data from 183 to 208 GeV yields the quoted bounds.

\tilde{b} (Sbottom) MASS LIMIT

Limits in e^+e^- depend on the mixing angle of the mass eigenstate $\widetilde{b}_1=\widetilde{b}_L\cos\theta_b+\widetilde{b}_R\sin\theta_b$. Coupling to the Z vanishes for $\theta_b\sim 1.17$. As a consequence, no absolute constraint in the mass region $\lesssim 40$ GeV is available in the literature at this time from e^+e^- collisions. In the Listings below, we use $\Delta m=m_{\widetilde{b}_1}-m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>95	95	²⁹³ ACHARD	04	L3	$\widetilde{b} ightarrow b\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, heta_{b} = 0, \Delta m > 15$ –25 GeV
>81	95	²⁹³ ACHARD	04	L3	$\widetilde{b} ightarrow \ b \widetilde{\chi}_{f 1}^{f 0}$, all $ heta_b$, $\Delta m >$ 15–25 GeV
> 7.5	95	²⁹⁴ JANOT	04	THEO	unstable \tilde{b}_1 , $e^+e^- o hadrons$
>93	95	²⁹⁵ ABDALLAH	03M	DLPH	$\widetilde{b} \rightarrow b\widetilde{\chi}^{0}$, $\theta_{b}=0$, $\Delta m > 7$ GeV
>76	95	²⁹⁵ ABDALLAH	0 3M	DLPH	$\widetilde{b} \rightarrow b\widetilde{\chi}^{0}$, all θ_{b} , $\Delta m > 7$ GeV
>85.1	95	²⁹⁶ ABBIENDI	02H	OPAL	$\widetilde{b} ightarrow \ b \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$, all $ heta_b$, $\Delta m >$ 10 GeV,
>89	95	²⁹⁷ HEISTER	02K	ALEP	CDF $\widetilde{b} \rightarrow b\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$, all θ_{b} , $\Delta m > 8$ GeV,
none 3.5-4.5	95	²⁹⁸ SAVINOV	01	CLEO	\widetilde{B} meson
none 80-145	;	²⁹⁹ AFFOLDER	00 D	CDF	$\widetilde{b} ightarrow b\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$, $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ <50 GeV
• • • We do	not u	se the following data			, fits, limits, etc. • • •
>78	95	³⁰⁰ ABDALLAH	04M	DLPH	$R, \ \widetilde{b}_L$, indirect, $\Delta m > 5$ GeV
none 50-82	95	³⁰¹ ABDALLAH			$ ilde{b} o^- b ilde{g}$, stable $ ilde{g}$, all $ heta_b$, $\Delta M > $
		³⁰² BERGER	03	THEO	10 GeV
>71.5	95	³⁰³ HEISTER	03 G	ALEP	\widetilde{b}_{I} , \mathcal{R} decay
>27.4	95	³⁰⁴ HEISTER			$\widetilde{b} \rightarrow b\widetilde{g}$, stable \widetilde{g} or \widetilde{b}
>48	95	305 ACHARD	02	L3	\widetilde{b}_1 , $ ot\!\!R$ decays
		306 BAEK	_	THEO	
		307 BECHER	02	THEO	
		308 CHEUNG		THEO	
		309 CHO		THEO	- V. I.
FO 115	. 05	310 BERGER	01		$p\overline{p} \rightarrow X+b$ -quark
none 52–115	95	311 ABBOTT	99F	D0	$\widetilde{b} ightarrow \ b \widetilde{\chi}_1^0, \ m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} < 20 \ { m GeV}$

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 40

ABREU 98P assumes that 40% of the squarks will hadronise into a charged hadron, and 60% into a neutral hadron which deposits most of its energy in hadron calorimeter. Data collected at \sqrt{s} =130–183 GeV.

- ²⁹³ ACHARD 04 search for the production of $\widetilde{b}\widetilde{b}$ in acoplanar b-tagged di-jet final states in the 192–209 GeV data. See Fig. 6 for the dependence of the limits on $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$. This limit supersedes ACCIARRI 99V.
- ²⁹⁴ JANOT 04 reanalyzes $e^+e^- \to \text{hadrons total cross section data with } \sqrt{s} \in [20,209] \text{ GeV}$ from PEP, PETRA, TRISTAN, SLC, and LEP and constrains the mass of \widetilde{b}_1 assuming it decays quickly to hadrons.
- ²⁹⁵ ABDALLAH 03M looked for \widetilde{b} pair production in events with acoplanar jets and $\not \! E$ at $\sqrt{s}=189$ –208 GeV. The limit improves to 87 (98) GeV for all θ_b ($\theta_b=0$) for $\Delta m>10$ GeV. See Fig. 24 and Table 11 for other choices of Δm . These limits include and update the results of ABREU,P 00D.
- ²⁹⁶ ABBIENDI 02H search for events with two acoplanar jets and p_T' in the 161–209 GeV data. The limit assumes 100% branching ratio and uses the exclusion at large Δm from CDF (AFFOLDER 00D). For θ_b =0, the bound improves to > 96.9 GeV. See Fig. 4 and Table 6 for the more general dependence on the limits on Δm . These results supersede ABBIENDI 99M.
- HEISTER 02K search for bottom squarks in final states with acoplanar jets with b tagging, using 183–209 GeV data. The mass bound uses the CDF results from AFFOLDER 00D. See Fig. 5 for the more general dependence of the limits on Δm . Updates BARATE 01.
- 298 SAVINOV 01 use data taken at \sqrt{s} =10.52 GeV, below the $B\overline{B}$ threshold. They look for events with a pair of leptons with opposite charge and a fully reconstructed hadronic D or D^* decay. These could originate from production of a light-sbottom hadron followed by $\widetilde{B} \to D^{(*)} \ell^- \widetilde{\nu}$, in case the $\widetilde{\nu}$ is the LSP, or $\widetilde{B} \to D^{(*)} \pi \ell^-$, in case of R. The mass range $3.5 \le M(\widetilde{B}) \le 4.5$ GeV was explored, assuming 100% branching ratio for either of the decays. In the $\widetilde{\nu}$ LSP scenario, the limit holds only for $M(\widetilde{\nu})$ less than about 1 GeV and for the D^* decays it is reduced to the range 3.9–4.5 GeV. For the R decay, the whole range is excluded.
- AFFOLDER 00D search for final states with 2 or 3 jets and $\not\!\!E_T$, one jet with a b tag. See their Fig. 3 for the mass exclusion in the $m_{\widetilde t}$, $m_{\widetilde \chi_1^0}$ plane.
- 300 ABDALLAH 04M use data from $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV to derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with \overline{UDD} couplings. The results are valid for $\mu=-200$ GeV, $\tan\beta=1.5,\,\Delta m>5$ GeV and assuming a BR of 1 for the given decay. The limit quoted is for indirect \overline{UDD} decays using the neutralino constraint of 38.0 GeV, also derived in ABDALLAH 04M, and assumes no mixing. For indirect decays it remains at 78 GeV when the neutralino constraint is not used. Supersedes the result of ABREU 01D.
- 301 ABDALLAH 03C looked for events of the type $q\bar{q}R^\pm R^\pm$, $q\bar{q}R^\pm R^0$ or $q\bar{q}R^0R^0$ in e^+e^- interactions at $\sqrt{s}=189-208$ GeV. The R^\pm bound states are identified by anomalous dE/dx in the tracking chambers and the R^0 by missing energy due to their reduced energy loss in the calorimeters. Excluded mass regions in the $(m(\tilde{b}),m(\tilde{g}))$ plane for $m(\tilde{g})>2$ GeV are obtained for several values of the probability for the gluino to fragment into R^\pm or R^0 , as shown in their Fig. 19. The limit improves to 94 GeV for $\theta_b=0$.
- 302 BERGER 03 studies the constraints on a \widetilde{b}_1 with mass in the 2.2–5.5 GeV region coming from radiative decays of $\varUpsilon(\text{nS})$ into sbottomonium. The constraints apply only if \widetilde{b}_1 lives long enough to permit formation of the sbottomonium bound state. A small region of mass in the $m_{\widetilde{b}_1}-m_{\widetilde{g}}$ plane survives current experimental constraints from CLEO.
- 303 HEISTER 03G searches for the production of \widetilde{b} pairs in the case of $R\!\!\!/$ prompt decays with $LL\overline{E},\,LQ\overline{D}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. The limit holds for indirect decays mediated by $R\!\!\!/$ \overline{UDD} couplings. It improves to 90 GeV for indirect decays mediated by $L\!\!\!\!/$ $LL\overline{E}$ couplings and to 80 GeV for indirect decays mediated by $L\!\!\!\!/$ $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. Supersedes the results from BARATE 01B.
- ³⁰⁴ HEISTER 03H use their results on bounds on stable squarks, on stable gluinos and on squarks decaying to a stable gluino from the same paper to derive a mass limit on \tilde{b} , see their Fig. 13. The limit for a long-lived \tilde{b}_1 is 92 GeV.

- 305 ACHARD 02 searches for the production of squarks in the case of R prompt decays with \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The limit is computed for the minimal cross section and holds for indirect decays and reaches 55 GeV for direct decays.
- 306 BAEK 02 studies the constraints on a \widetilde{b}_1 with mass in the 2.2–5.5 GeV region coming from precision measurements of Z^0 decays. It is noted that CP-violating couplings in the MSSM parameters relax the strong constraints otherwised derived from CP conservation.
- 307 BECHER 02 studies the constraints on a \widetilde{b}_1 with mass in the 2.2–5.5 GeV region coming from radiative B meson decays, and sets limits on the off-diagonal flavor-changing couplings $q\,\widetilde{b}\,\widetilde{g}\,(q\!=\!d,s)$.
- 308 CHEUNG 02B studies the constraints on a \tilde{b}_1 with mass in the 2.2–5.5 GeV region and a gluino in the mass range 12–16 GeV, using precision measurements of Z^0 decays and e^+e^- annihilations at LEP2. Few detectable events are predicted in the LEP2 data for the model proposed by BERGER 01.
- 309 CHO 02 studies the constraints on a \widetilde{b}_1 with mass in the 2.2–5.5 GeV region coming from precision measurements of Z^0 decays. Strong constraints are obtained for *CP*-conserving MSSM couplings.
- 310 BERGER 01 reanalyzed interpretation of Tevatron data on bottom-quark production. Argues that pair production of light gluinos ($m\sim 12$ –16 GeV) with subsequent 2-body decay into a light sbottom ($m\sim 2$ –5.5 GeV) and bottom can reconcile Tevatron data with predictions of perturbative QCD for the bottom production rate. The sbottom must either decay hadronically via a R-parity- and B-violating interaction, or be long-lived. Constraints on the mass spectrum are derived from the measurements of time-averaged B^0 – \overline{B}^0 mixing.
- 311 ABBOTT 99F looked for events with two jets, with or without an associated muon from b decay, and $\not\!\!E_{T}$. See Fig. 2 for the dependence of the limit on $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}}$. No limit for $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}} >$ 47 GeV.

\widetilde{t} (Stop) MASS LIMIT

Limits depend on the decay mode. In e^+e^- collisions they also depend on the mixing angle of the mass eigenstate $\tilde{t}_1=\tilde{t}_L\cos\theta_t+\tilde{t}_R\sin\theta_t$. The coupling to the Z vanishes when $\theta_t=0.98$. In the Listings below, we use $\Delta m\equiv m_{\tilde{t}_1}-m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ or $\Delta m\equiv m_{\tilde{t}_1}-m_{\tilde{\nu}}$, depending on relevant decay mode. See also bounds in " \tilde{q} (Squark) MASS LIMIT." Limits made obsolete by the most recent analyses of e^+e^- and $p\overline{p}$ collisions can be found in previous Editions of this Review.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
none 80-120	95	³¹² ABAZOV	04 D0	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow b\ell\nu\widetilde{\chi}^0$, $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0} = 50 \text{ GeV}$
> 90	95	³¹³ ACHARD	04 L3	$\widetilde{t} ightarrow \ c \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$, all $ heta_t$, $\Delta m >$
. 02	0.5	313 ACHARD	04 12	15-25 GeV
> 93	95		04 L3	$\stackrel{.}{b} ightarrow \ b \ell \widetilde{ u}$, all $ heta_t$, $\Delta m {>} 15~{\sf GeV}$
> 88	95	³¹³ ACHARD	04 L3	$\widetilde{b} ightarrow \ b au \widetilde{ u}$, all $ heta_t$, $\Delta m{>}15~{\sf GeV}$
> 75	95	³¹⁴ ABDALLAH		$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c\widetilde{\chi}^0$, $\theta_t = 0$, $\Delta m > 2$ GeV
> 71	95	³¹⁴ ABDALLAH	03м DLPH	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c\widetilde{\chi}^0$, all θ_t , $\Delta m > 2$ GeV
> 96	95	³¹⁴ ABDALLAH		$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c\widetilde{\chi}^0, \theta_t = 0, \Delta m > 10 \text{ GeV}$
> 92	95	³¹⁴ ABDALLAH	03м DLPH	$\widetilde{t} ightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}^{m{0}}$,all $ heta_t$, $\Delta m > 10$ GeV
none 80-131	95	³¹⁵ ACOSTA	03c CDF	$\widetilde{t} ightarrow b \ell \widetilde{ u}, \ m_{\widetilde{ u}} \le 63 \ {\sf GeV}$

>144	95	³¹⁶ ABAZOV	02C D0	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow b\ell\widetilde{\nu}, m_{\widetilde{\nu}}=45 \text{ GeV}$
> 95.7	95	³¹⁷ ABBIENDI	02н OPAL	$c\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$, all θ_t , $\Delta m > 10$ GeV
> 92.6	95	317 ABBIENDI	02н OPAL	$b\ell\widetilde{\nu}$, all θ_t , $\Delta m > 10$ GeV
> 91.5	95	317 ABBIENDI	02н OPAL	$b\tau\widetilde{\nu}$, all θ_t , $\Delta m > 10$ GeV
> 63	95	³¹⁸ HEISTER	02ĸ ALEP	any decay, any lifetime, all θ_t
> 92	95	³¹⁸ HEISTER	02K ALEP	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$, all θ_t , $\Delta m > 8$ GeV,
> 97	95	³¹⁸ HEISTER	02K ALEP	CDF $\widetilde{t} \rightarrow b\ell\widetilde{\nu}$, all θ_t , $\Delta m > 8$ GeV,
> 78	95	³¹⁸ HEISTER	02K ALEP	$\widetilde{t} ightarrow b\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 W^*$, all $ heta_t$, $\Delta m > 8$
• • • We do not	use the	following data for a	verages, fits,	
none 80-122	95	³¹⁹ ABAZOV	04B D0	$\widetilde{t} ightarrow \ c \widetilde{\chi}^0$, $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0} <$ 45 GeV
> 77	95	320 ABBIENDI		R , direct, all θ_t
> 77	95	321 ABDALLAH	04м DLPH	R , indirect, all θ_t , $\Delta m > 5$ GeV
>122	95	322 ACOSTA	04B CDF	R , direct, all θ_t
		³²³ AKTAS	04 B H1	R, \widetilde{t}_1
> 74.5		³²⁴ DAS	04 THEO	$\widetilde{t}\widetilde{t} \rightarrow b\ell\nu_{\ell}\chi^{0}\overline{b}q\overline{q}'\chi^{0}, m_{\chi_{1}^{0}}$
none 50-87	95	³²⁵ ABDALLAH	03c DLPH	$= 15$ GeV, no $\overline{t} ightarrow c \chi^0$ $\widetilde{t} ightarrow c \widetilde{g}$, stable \widetilde{g} , all $ heta_t$, $\Delta M > 0$
110110 00 01	30		000 22	10 GeV
		³²⁶ CHAKRAB		$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{t}\widetilde{t}^*$, RPV
> 71.5	95	327 HEISTER	03G ALEP	\widetilde{t}_L , \mathcal{R} decay
> 80	95	³²⁸ HEISTER	03н ALEP	$\widetilde{t} \to c\widetilde{g}$, stable \widetilde{g} or \widetilde{t} , all θ_t ,
> 77	95	³²⁹ ACHARD	02 L3	all ΔM \widetilde{t}_1 , R decays
,		330 AFFOLDER	01B CDF	$t \to \tilde{t} \chi_1^0$
> 61	95	331 ABREU	00i DLPH	$R (LL\overline{E}), \theta_t = 0.98, \Delta m > 4$
none 68–119	95	³³² AFFOLDER	OOD CDE	(ieV
none 06–119	95		00D CDF	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c\widetilde{\chi}_1^0, \ m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} < 40 \text{ GeV}$
none 84–120	95	333 AFFOLDER	00G CDF	$\widetilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b\ell \widetilde{\nu}, \ m_{\widetilde{\nu}} < 45$
> 59	95	334 BARATE	00P ALEP	Repl. by HEISTER 02K
>120	95	335 ABE	99м CDF	$ ho\overline{ ho} ightarrow \widetilde{t}_{1}^{}\widetilde{t}_{1}^{}, ot\!\!\!/ $
none 61–91	95	³³⁶ ABACHI	96B D0	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_1^0, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} < 30 \text{ GeV}$
none 9-24.4	95	337 AID	96 H1	$e p \rightarrow \widetilde{t}\widetilde{t}, R \stackrel{\sim}{decays}$
>138	95	³³⁸ AID	96 H1	$e p \rightarrow \widetilde{t}$, R , $\lambda \cos \theta_t > 0.03$
> 45		³³⁹ CHO	96 RVUE	B^0 - \overline{B}^0 and ϵ , θ_t = 0.98,
11 41	05	340 puskuus		taneta < 2
none 11–41	95	340 BUSKULIC		$\mathbb{R}(LL\overline{E}), \theta_t=0.98$
none 6.0–41.2	95	AKERS		$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \ \theta_{t}=0, \ \Delta m > 2 \text{ GeV}$
none 5.0–46.0	95	AKERS		$\widetilde{t} ightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$, $\theta_t = 0$, $\Delta m > 5$ GeV
none 11.2-25.5	95	AKERS	94K OPAL	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \ \theta_{t} = 0.98, \ \Delta m > 2$
none 7.9–41.2	95	AKERS	94K OPAL	GeV $\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \ \theta_{t} = 0.98, \ \Delta m > 5$
none 7.6–28.0	95	³⁴¹ SHIRAI	94 VNS	$\widetilde{t} ightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$, any θ_{t} , $\Delta m > 10$
none 10–20	95	³⁴¹ SHIRAI	94 VNS	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GeV} \\ \widetilde{t} \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \text{, any } \theta_t \text{, } \Delta m > 2.5 \\ \text{GeV} \end{array}$

- 312 ABAZOV 04 looked at $108.3pb^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=1.8$ TeV for events with $e+\mu+E_T$ as signature for the 3- and 4-body decays of stop into $b\ell\nu\widetilde{\chi}^0$ final states. For the $b\ell\widetilde{\nu}$ channel they use the results from ABAZOV 02C. No significant excess is observed compared to the Standard Model expectation and limits are derived on the mass of \widetilde{t}_1 for the 3- and 4-body decays in the $(m_{\widetilde{t}}$, $m_{\widetilde{\nu}^0})$ plane, see their Figure 4.
- 313 ACHARD 04 search in the 192–209 GeV data for the production of $\widetilde{t}\widetilde{t}$ in acoplanar di-jet final states and, in case of $b\ell\widetilde{\nu}$ $(b\tau\widetilde{\nu})$ final states, two leptons (taus). The limits for $\theta_t{=}0$ improve to 95, 96 and 93 GeV, respectively. All limits assume 100% branching ratio for the respective decay modes. See Fig. 6 for the dependence of the limits on $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$. These limits supersede ACCIARRI 99V.
- 314 ABDALLAH 03M looked for \widetilde{t} pair production in events with acoplanar jets and \cancel{E} at \sqrt{s} = 189–208 GeV. See Fig. 23 and Table 11 for other choices of Δm . These limits include and update the results of ABREU,P 00D.
- 315 ACOSTA 03C searched in 107 pb^{-1} of $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}{=}1.8$ TeV for pair production of \tilde{t} followed by the decay $\tilde{t} \to b\ell\tilde{\nu}$. They looked for events with two isolated leptons (e or μ), at least one jet and E_T . The excluded mass range is reduced for larger $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}$, and no limit is set for $m_{\widetilde{\nu}} > 88.4$ GeV (see Fig. 2).
- 316 ABAZOV 02C looked in $108.3 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}{=}1.8$ TeV for events with $e\mu \cancel{E}_T$, originating from associated production $\widetilde{t}\widetilde{t}$. Branching ratios are assumed to be 100%. The bound for the $b\ell\widetilde{\nu}$ decay weakens for large $\widetilde{\nu}$ mass (see Fig. 3), and no limit is set when $m_{\widetilde{\nu}} > 85$ GeV. See Fig. 4 for the limits in case of decays to a real $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm$, followed by $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm \to \ell\widetilde{\nu}$, as a function of $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$.
- 317 ABBIENDI 02H looked for events with two acoplanar jets, p_T , and, in the case of $b\ell\widetilde{\nu}$ final states, two leptons, in the 161–209 GeV data. The bound for $c\,\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ applies to the region where $\Delta m < m_W + m_b$, else the decay $\widetilde{t}_1 \to b\,\widetilde{\chi}_1^0\,W^+$ becomes dominant. The limit for $b\ell\widetilde{\nu}$ assumes equal branching ratios for the three lepton flavors and for $b\tau\widetilde{\nu}$ 100% for this channel. For θ_t =0, the bounds improve to > 97.6 GeV $(c\,\widetilde{\chi}_1^0)$, > 96.0 GeV $(b\ell\widetilde{\nu})$, and > 95.5 $(b\tau\widetilde{\nu})$. See Figs. 5–6 and Table 5 for the more general dependence of the limits on Δm . These results supersede ABBIENDI 99M.
- 318 HEISTER 02K search for top squarks in final states with jets (with/without b tagging or leptons) or long-lived hadrons, using 183–209 GeV data. The absolute mass bound is obtained by varying the branching ratio of $\widetilde{t} \to c \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ and the lepton fraction in $\widetilde{t} \to b \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 f \overline{f'}$ decays. The mass bound for $\widetilde{t} \to c \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ uses the CDF results from AFFOLDER 00D and for $\widetilde{t} \to b \ell \widetilde{\nu}$ the DØ results from ABAZOV 02C. See Figs. 2–5 for the more general dependence of the limits on Δm . Updates BARATE 01 and BARATE 00P.
- ABAZOV 04B looked in 85.2 pb^{-1} of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=1.8$ TeV for events with at least two acoplanar jets and E_T . No significant excess is observed compared to the Standard Model expectation and a limit is derived on the production of \widetilde{t}_1 , see their Figure 2 for the limit in the $(m_{\widetilde{t}}$, $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0})$ plane. No limit can be obtained for $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0} > 52$ GeV.
- ABBIENDI 04F use data from $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. They derive limits on the stop mass under the assumption of R with $LQ\overline{D}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings. The limit quoted applies to direct decays with \overline{UDD} couplings when the stop decouples from the Z^0 and improves to 88 GeV for $\theta_t=0$. For $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings, the limit improves to 98 (100) GeV for λ'_{13k} or λ'_{23k} couplings and all θ_t ($\theta_t=0$). For λ'_{33k} couplings it is 96 (98) GeV for all θ_t ($\theta_t=0$). Supersedes the results of ABBIENDI 00.
- 321 ABDALLAH 04M use data from $\sqrt{s}=192-208$ GeV to derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with $LL\overline{E}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings. The results are valid for $\mu=-200$ GeV, $\tan\beta=1.5,\,\Delta m\,>5$ GeV and assuming a BR of 1 for the given decay. The

limit quoted is for decoupling of the stop from the Z^0 and indirect \overline{UDD} decays using the neutralino constraint of 39.5 GeV for $LL\overline{E}$ and of 38.0 GeV for \overline{UDD} couplings, also derived in ABDALLAH 04M. For no mixing (decoupling) and indirect decays via $LL\overline{E}$ the limit improves to 92 (87) GeV if the constraint from the neutralino is used and to 88 (81) GeV if it is not used. For indirect decays via \overline{UDD} couplings it improves to 87 GeV for no mixing and using the constraint from the neutralino, whereas it becomes 81 GeV (67) GeV for no mixing (decoupling) if the neutralino constraint is not used. Supersedes the result of ABREU 01D.

- 322 ACOSTA 04B looked in 106 pb^{-1} of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=1.8$ TeV for R-parity violating decays of \widetilde{t}_1 with $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. They search for events of the type \widetilde{t}_1 $\overline{\widetilde{t}}_1 \rightarrow \ell \tau_h jj$ where $\ell=e,\mu$ originates from a leptonic τ decay and τ_h represents a hadronic decay of τ . They derive limits on the stop mass for direct decays after combining the results from e and μ and under the assumption that BR =1 for the decay to τ .
- 323 AKTAS 04B looked in 106 pb^{-1} of $e^{\pm}p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=319$ GeV and 301 GeV for resonant production of \widetilde{t}_1 by R-parity violating $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings couplings with λ'_{131} , others being zero. They consider the decays $\widetilde{t}_1 \rightarrow e^+d$ and $\widetilde{t}_1 \rightarrow W\widetilde{b}$ followed by $\widetilde{b} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_e d$ and assume gauginos too heavy to participate in the decays. They combine the channels jep_T' , $j\mu p_T'$, $jjjp_T'$ to derive limits in the plane $(m_{\widetilde{t}}, \lambda'_{131})$, see their Fig. 5.
- 324 DAS 04 reanalyzes AFFOLDER 00G data and obtains constraints on $m_{\widetilde{t}_1}$ as a function of $B(\widetilde{t} \to b\ell\nu\chi^0) \times B(\widetilde{t} \to b\overline{q}\,q'\chi^0)$, $B(\widetilde{t} \to c\chi^0)$ and m_{χ^0} . Bound weakens for larger $B(\widetilde{t} \to c\chi^0)$ and m_{χ^0} .
- 325 ABDALLAH 03C looked for events of the type $q\bar{q}R^\pm R^\pm$, $q\bar{q}R^\pm R^0$ or $q\bar{q}R^0R^0$ in e^+e^- interactions at $\sqrt{s}=189-208$ GeV. The R^\pm bound states are identified by anomalous dE/dx in the tracking chambers and the R^0 by missing energy, due to their reduced energy loss in the calorimeters. Excluded mass regions in the $(m(\tilde{t}),m(\tilde{g}))$ plane for $m(\tilde{g})>2$ GeV are obtained for several values of the probability for the gluino to fragment into R^\pm or R^0 , as shown in their Fig. 18. The limit improves to 90 GeV for $\theta_t=0$.
- ³²⁶ Theoretical analysis of e^+e^-+2 jet final states from the RPV decay of $\widetilde{t}\widetilde{t}^*$ pairs produced in $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{S}=1.8$ TeV. 95%CL limits of 220 (165) GeV are derived for B($\widetilde{t}\to e\,q$)=1 (0.5).
- 327 HEISTER 03G searches for the production of \widetilde{t} pairs in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. The limit holds for indirect decays mediated by R \overline{UDD} couplings. It improves to 91 GeV for indirect decays mediated by R $LL\overline{E}$ couplings, to 97 GeV for direct (assuming $B(\widetilde{t}_L \to q\tau)=100\%$) and to 85 GeV for indirect decays mediated by R $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. Supersedes the results from BARATE 01B.
- ³²⁸ HEISTER 03H use e^+e^- data from 183–208 GeV to look for the production of stop decaying into a c quark and a stable gluino hadronizing into charged or neutral R-hadrons. Combining these results with bounds on stable squarks and on a stable gluino LSP from the same paper yields the quoted limit. See their Fig. 13 for the dependence of the mass limit on the gluino mass and on θ_t .
- $\overline{^{329}}$ ACHARD 02 searches for the production of squarks in the case of \cancel{R} prompt decays with \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The limit is computed for the minimal cross section and holds for both direct and indirect decays.
- 330 AFFOLDER 01B searches for decays of the top quark into stop and LSP, in $t\bar{t}$ events. Limits on the stop mass as a function of the LSP mass and of the decay branching ratio are shown in Fig. 3. They exclude branching ratios in excess of 45% for SLP masses up to 40 GeV.
- 331 ABREU 00I searches for the production of stop in the case of R-parity violation with $LL\overline{E}$ couplings, for which only indirect decays are allowed. They investigate topologies with

- jets plus leptons in data from \sqrt{s} =183 GeV. The lower bound on the stop mass assumes a neutralino mass limit of 27 GeV, also derived in ABREU 001.
- 332 AFFOLDER 00D search for final states with 2 or 3 jets and $\not\!\!E_T$, one jet with a c tag. See their Fig. 2 for the mass exclusion in the $(m_{\widetilde t}, m_{\widetilde \chi_1^0})$ plane. The maximum excluded $m_{\widetilde t}$ value is 119 GeV, for $m_{\widetilde \chi_1^0} =$ 40 GeV.
- 334 BARATE 00P use data from $\sqrt{s}=189-202$ GeV to explore the region of small mass difference between the stop and the neutralino by searching heavy stable charged particles or tracks with large impact parameters. For prompt decays, they make use of acoplanar jets from BARATE 99Q, updated up to 202 GeV. The limit is reached for $\Delta m=1.6$ GeV and a decay length of 1 cm. If the MSSM relation between the decay width and Δm is used, the limit improves to 63 GeV. It is set for $\Delta m=1.9$ GeV. $\tan\beta=2.6$, and $\theta_{\widetilde{t}}=0.98$, and large negative μ .
- 335 ABE 99M looked in 107 pb $^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at \sqrt{s} =1.8 TeV for events with like sign dielectrons and two or more jets from the sequential decays $\widetilde{q} \to q \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ and $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \to eq\overline{q}'$, assuming R coupling $L_1Q_jD_k^c$, with j=2,3 and k=1,2,3. They assume B($\widetilde{t}_1 \to c\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$)=1, B($\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \to eq\overline{q}'$)=0.25 for both e^+ and e^- , and $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} \geq m_{\widetilde{t}_1}/2$. The limit improves for heavier $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$.
- ³³⁶ ABACHI 96B searches for final states with 2 jets and missing E_T . Limits on $m_{\widetilde{t}}$ are given as a function of $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$. See Fig. 4 for details.
- 337 AID 96 considers photoproduction of $\tilde{t}\tilde{t}$ pairs, with 100% *R*-parity violating decays of \tilde{t} to eq, with q=d, s, or b quarks.
- ³³⁸ AID 96 considers production and decay of \tilde{t} via the *R*-parity violating coupling $\lambda' L_1 Q_3 d_1^c$.
- 339 CHO 96 studied the consistency among the $B^0 \overline{B}{}^0$ mixing, ϵ in $K^0 \overline{K}{}^0$ mixing, and the measurements of V_{cb} , V_{ub}/V_{cb} . For the range 25.5 GeV $< m_{\widetilde t_1} < m_Z/2$ left by AKERS 94K for $\theta_t = 0.98$, and within the allowed range in M_2 - μ parameter space from chargino, neutralino searches by ACCIARRI 95E, they found the scalar top contribution to $B^0 \overline{B}{}^0$ mixing and ϵ to be too large if $\tan \beta < 2$. For more on their assumptions, see the paper and their reference 10.
- ³⁴⁰ BUSKULIC 95E looked for $Z \to \widetilde{t}\widetilde{t}$, where $\widetilde{t} \to c\chi_1^0$ and χ_1^0 decays via R-parity violating interactions into two leptons and a neutrino.
- 341 SHIRAI 94 bound assumes the cross section without the s-channel Z-exchange and the QCD correction, underestimating the cross section up to 20% and 30%, respectively. They assume $m_{\rm C}\!=\!1.5$ GeV.

Heavy \tilde{g} (Gluino) MASS LIMIT

For $m_{\widetilde{g}} > 60\text{--}70$ GeV, it is expected that gluinos would undergo a cascade decay via a number of neutralinos and/or charginos rather than undergo a direct decay to photinos as assumed by some papers. Limits obtained when direct decay is assumed are usually higher than limits when cascade decays are included. Limits made obsolete by the most recent analyses of $p\overline{p}$ collisions can be found in previous Editions of this *Review*.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>195	95	342 AFFOLDER	02	CDF	Jets+ $ ot\!$
>300	95	³⁴² AFFOLDER	02	CDF	$Jets+\cancel{E}_T,\ m_{\widetilde{q}}=m_{\widetilde{g}}$
>129	95	³⁴³ ABBOTT	01 D		$\begin{array}{c} \ell\ell+\mathrm{jets}+\cancel{E}_T,\ \tan\beta<10,\ m_0<\\ 300\ \mathrm{GeV},\ \mu<0,\ A_0=0 \end{array}$
>175	95	³⁴³ ABBOTT	01 D	D0	$\begin{array}{c} \text{300 GeV}, \ \mu < \text{0}, \ \text{N}_0 = \text{0} \\ \ell\ell + \text{jets} + \cancel{E}_T, \ \tan\beta = 2, \ \text{large} \\ m_0, \ \mu < 0, \ A_0 = 0 \end{array}$
>255	95	³⁴³ ABBOTT	01 D	D0	$\begin{array}{c} m_{\widetilde{q}}, \ \mu < 0, \ m_{\widetilde{g}} = m_{\widetilde{q}}, \ \mu < 0, \ A_0 = 0 \end{array}$
>168	95	344 AFFOLDER	01 J	CDF	g $q^{\prime\prime}$, 0 $\ell\ell+$ Jets+ E_T , $ aneta=2$, $\mu=-800$ GeV, $m_{\widetilde{q}}\gg m_{\widetilde{g}}$
>221	95	344 AFFOLDER	01 J	CDF	$\begin{array}{c} \mu = -800 \text{ GeV}, \ m_{\widetilde{q}} = m_{\widetilde{g}} \end{array}$
>190	95	³⁴⁵ ABBOTT	99L	D0	$Jets+\cancel{E}_T, \ tan\beta=2, \ \mu<0, \ A=0$
>260	95	³⁴⁵ ABBOTT	99L		$Jets + \not\!$
• • • We do not i	use the	following data for a	verag	es, fits,	
>224	95	³⁴⁶ ABAZOV	02F		$R \lambda'_{2jk}$ indirect decays,
, .					$\tan \beta = 2$, any $m_{\widetilde{q}}$
>265	95	³⁴⁶ ABAZOV	02F	D0	$\mathbb{R} \lambda'_{2jk}$ indirect decays, $\tan \beta = 2, \ m_{\widetilde{q}} = m_{\widetilde{g}}$
		³⁴⁷ ABAZOV	02G	DΩ	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}, \widetilde{g}\widetilde{q}$
		348 CHEUNG		THEO	PP
		³⁴⁹ BERGER	01	THEO	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow X+b$ -quark
>240	95	350 ABBOTT	99	D0	$\widetilde{g} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 X \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \gamma X, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} -$
					$m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1} > 20 \text{ GeV}$
>320	95	³⁵⁰ ABBOTT	99	D0	$\widetilde{g} \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 X \to \widetilde{G} \gamma X$
>227	95	351 ABBOTT	99K	D0	any $m_{\widetilde{q}}$, R , $ an eta = 2$, $\mu < 0$
>212	95	³⁵² ABACHI	95 C	D0	$m_{\widetilde{g}} \geq m_{\widetilde{q}}$; with cascade decays
>144	95	³⁵² ABACHI	95 C	D0	Any $m_{\widetilde{q}}$; with cascade decays
		³⁵³ ABE	95T	CDF	$\widetilde{g} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \gamma$
		³⁵⁴ HEBBEKER	93	RVUE	e^+e^- jet analyses
>218	90	355 ABE		CDF	$m_{\widetilde{q}} \leq m_{\widetilde{g}}$; with cascade decay
>100		356 ROY		RVUE	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}; R$
4 52	00	357 NOJIRI		COSM	Λ .
none 4–53	90	³⁵⁸ ALBAJAR ³⁵⁸ ALBAJAR		UA1	Any $m_{\widetilde{q}} > m_{\widetilde{g}}$
none 4–75	90			UA1	$m_{\widetilde{q}} = m_{\widetilde{g}}$
none 16–58	90	³⁵⁹ ANSARI	87D	UA2	$m_{\widetilde{q}} \lesssim 100 \; { m GeV}$

³⁴² AFFOLDER 02 searched in $\sim 84~{\rm pb}^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions for events with ≥ 3 jets and E_T , arising from the production of gluinos and/or squarks. Limits are derived by scanning the parameter space, for $m_{\widetilde{q}} \geq m_{\widetilde{g}}$ in the framework of minimal Supergravity, assuming five flavors of degenerate squarks, and for $m_{\widetilde{q}} < m_{\widetilde{g}}$ in the framework of constrained MSSM, assuming conservatively four flavors of degenerate squarks. See Fig. 3 for the variation of the limit as function of the squark mass. Supersedes the results of ABE 97K.

 343 ABBOTT 01D looked in \sim 108 pb $^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}{=}1.8$ TeV for events with $e\,e$, $\mu\,\mu$, or $e\,\mu$ accompanied by at least 2 jets and E_T . Excluded regions are obtained in the

- MSUGRA framework from a scan over the parameters $0 < m_0 < 300$ GeV, $10 < m_{1/2} < 110$ GeV, and $1.2 < \tan \beta < 10$.
- 344 AFFOLDER 01J searched in $\sim 106~{\rm pb}^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions for events with 2 like-sign leptons (e or μ), ≥ 2 jets and E_T , expected to arise from the production of gluinos and/or squarks with cascade decays into $\widetilde{\chi}^\pm$ or $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$. Spectra and decay rates are evaluated in the framework of minimal Supergravity, assuming five flavors of degenerate squarks and a pseudoscalar Higgs mass m_A =500 GeV. The limits are derived for $\tan\beta$ =2, μ =-800 GeV, and scanning over $m_{\widetilde{g}}$ and $m_{\widetilde{q}}$. See Fig. 2 for the variation of the limit as function of the squark mass. These limits supersede the results of ABE 96D.
- 345 ABBOTT 99L consider events with three or more jets and large $\not\!\!\!E_T$. Spectra and decay rates are evaluated in the framework of minimal Supergravity, assuming five flavors of degenerate squarks, and scanning the space of the universal gaugino $(m_{1/2})$ and scalar (m_0) masses See their Figs. 2–3 for the dependence of the limit on the relative value of $m_{\widetilde{a}}$ and $m_{\widetilde{g}}$.
- 346 ABAZOV 02F looked in 77.5 pb $^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at 1.8 TeV for events with $\geq 2\mu + \geq$ 4jets, originating from associated production of squarks followed by an indirect R decay (of the $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$) via $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings of the type λ'_{2jk} where j=1,2 and k=1,2,3. Bounds are obtained in the MSUGRA scenario by a scan in the range $0 \leq M_0 \leq 400$ GeV, $60 \leq m_{1/2} \leq 120$ GeV for fixed values $A_0=0$, $\mu<0$, and $\tan\beta=2$ or 6. The bounds are weaker for $\tan\beta=6$. See Figs. 2,3 for the exclusion contours in $m_{1/2}$ versus m_0 for $\tan\beta=2$ and 6, respectively.
- 347 ABAZOV 02G search for associated production of gluinos and squarks in 92.7 pb $^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}{=}1.8$ TeV, using events with one electron, \geq 4 jets, and large E_T . The results are compared to a MSUGRA scenario with μ <0, $A_0{=}0$, and $\tan\beta{=}3$ and allow to exclude a region of the $(m_0,m_{1/2})$ shown in Fig. 11.
- 348 CHEUNG 02B studies the constraints on a \widetilde{b}_1 with mass in the 2.2–5.5 GeV region and a gluino in the mass range 12–16 GeV, using precision measurements of Z^0 decays and e^+e^- annihilations at LEP2. Few detectable events are predicted in the LEP2 data for the model proposed by BERGER 01.
- ³⁴⁹BERGER 01 reanalyzed interpretation of Tevatron data on bottom-quark production. Argues that pair production of light gluinos ($m\sim 12$ –16 GeV) with subsequent 2-body decay into a light sbottom ($m\sim 2$ –5.5 GeV) and bottom can reconcile Tevatron data with predictions of perturbative QCD for the bottom production rate. The sbottom must either decay hadronically via a R-parity- and B-violating interaction, or be long-lived.
- 350 ABBOTT 99 searched for $\gamma \not\!\!\!E_T + \geq 2$ jet final states, and set limits on $\sigma(p\overline{p} \to \widetilde{g} + X) \cdot B(\widetilde{g} \to \gamma \not\!\!\!E_T X)$. The quoted limits correspond to $m_{\widetilde{q}} \geq m_{\widetilde{g}}$, with $B(\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \gamma) = 1$ and $B(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \to \widetilde{G} \gamma) = 1$, respectively. They improve to 310 GeV (360 GeV in the case of $\gamma \widetilde{G}$ decay) for $m_{\widetilde{g}} = m_{\widetilde{g}}$.
- 351 ABBOTT 99K uses events with an electron pair and four jets to search for the decay of the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ LSP via R $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. The particle spectrum and decay branching ratios are taken in the framework of minimal supergravity. An excluded region at 95% CL is obtained in the $(m_0,m_{1/2})$ plane under the assumption that A_0 =0, μ <0, $\tan\beta$ =2 and any one of the couplings $\lambda'_{1jk} > 10^{-3}$ (j=1,2 and k=1,2,3) and from which the above limit is computed. For equal mass squarks and gluinos, the corresponding limit is 277 GeV. The results are essentially independent of A_0 , but the limit deteriorates rapidly with increasing $\tan\beta$ or μ >0.
- 352 ABACHI 95C assume five degenerate squark flavors with with $m_{\widetilde{q}_L}=m_{\widetilde{q}_R}$. Sleptons are assumed to be heavier than squarks. The limits are derived for fixed $\tan\beta=2.0~\mu=-250$ GeV, and $m_{H^+}{=}500$ GeV, and with the cascade decays of the squarks and gluinos

- calculated within the framework of the Minimal Supergravity scenario. The bounds are weakly sensitive to the three fixed parameters for a large fraction of parameter space.
- 353 ABE 95T looked for a cascade decay of gluino into $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$ which further decays into $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ and a photon. No signal is observed. Limits vary widely depending on the choice of parameters. For $\mu=-40$ GeV, $\tan\beta=1.5$, and heavy squarks, the range $50 < m_{\widetilde{g}}$ (GeV)<140 is excluded at 90% CL. See the paper for details.
- 354 HEBBEKER 93 combined jet analyses at various e^+e^- colliders. The 4-jet analyses at TRISTAN/LEP and the measured α_s at PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN/LEP are used. A constraint on effective number of quarks $N=6.3\pm1.1$ is obtained, which is compared to that with a light gluino, N=8.
- 355 ABE 92L bounds are based on similar assumptions as ABACHI 95C. Not sensitive to $m_{\rm gluino}$ <40 GeV (but other experiments rule out that region).
- 356 ROY 92 reanalyzed CDF limits on di-lepton events to obtain limits on gluino production in *R*-parity violating models. The 100% decay $\widetilde{g} \to q \overline{q} \widetilde{\chi}$ where $\widetilde{\chi}$ is the LSP, and the LSP decays either into $\ell q \overline{d}$ or $\ell \ell \overline{e}$ is assumed.
- 357 NOJIRI 91 argues that a heavy gluino should be nearly degenerate with squarks in minimal supergravity not to overclose the universe.
- The limits of ALBAJAR 87D are from $p\overline{p} \to \widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}X$ ($\widetilde{g} \to q\overline{q}\widetilde{\gamma}$) and assume $m_{\widetilde{q}} > m_{\widetilde{g}}$. These limits apply for $m_{\widetilde{\gamma}} \lesssim 20$ GeV and $\tau(\widetilde{g}) < 10^{-10}$ s.
- 359 The limit of ANSARI 87D assumes $m_{\widetilde{q}} > m_{\widetilde{g}}$ and $m_{\widetilde{\gamma}} pprox 0$.

Long-lived/light \widetilde{g} (Gluino) MASS LIMIT

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Limits on light gluinos ($m_{\widetilde{g}}$ < 5 GeV), or gluinos which leave the detector before decaying.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
\bullet \bullet We do not	use the	following data for av	verag	ges, fits,	limits, etc. • • •
none 2–18	95	³⁶⁰ ABDALLAH	03 C	DLPH	$e^+e^- o q\overline{q}\widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}$, stable \widetilde{g}
> 5		³⁶¹ ABDALLAH	03 G	DLPH	QCD beta function
		³⁶² HEISTER	03	ALEP	Color factors
>26.9	95	³⁶³ HEISTER	03H	ALEP	$e^+e^- o q \overline{q} \widetilde{g} \widetilde{g}$
> 6.3		364 JANOT	03	RVUE	$\Delta\Gamma_{had}$ <3.9 MeV
		³⁶⁵ MAFI	00	THEO	$p p o {\sf jets} + p_T'$
		³⁶⁶ ALAVI-HARAT	199E	KTEV	$pN \rightarrow R^0$, with $R^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \widetilde{\gamma}$
		0.5=			and $\mathit{R}^{0} ightarrow \ \pi^{0} \widetilde{\gamma}$
		367 BAER			Stable \widetilde{g} hadrons
					$pBe o \; { extit{R}}^0 o \; \eta \widetilde{\gamma}$
		³⁶⁹ ACKERSTAFF	98V	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^+\widetilde{\chi}_1^-$
		³⁷⁰ ADAMS	97 B	KTEV	$pN \rightarrow R^0 \stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} \rho^0 \widetilde{\gamma}$
		³⁷¹ ALBUQUERQ	97	E761	$R^+(uud\widetilde{g}) \rightarrow S^0(uds\widetilde{g})\pi^+,$
		070			$X^-(ssd\widetilde{g}) ightarrow \ S^0\pi^-$
> 6.3	95	372 BARATE	97L	ALEP	Color factors
> 5	99	373 CSIKOR			eta function, $Z o$ jets
> 1.5	90	374 DEGOUVEA			$Z \rightarrow jjjj$
		³⁷⁵ FARRAR			$R^0 ightarrow \pi^0 \widetilde{\gamma}$
none 1.9–13.6	95	³⁷⁶ AKERS	95 R	OPAL	Z decay into a long-lived $(\tilde{g} q \bar{q})^{\pm}$
< 0.7		377 CLAVELLI			quarkonia
none 1.5-3.5		³⁷⁸ CAKIR	94	RVUE	$\varUpsilon(1S) ightarrow \ \gamma +$ gluinonium
not 3-5		³⁷⁹ LOPEZ	93 C	RVUE	LEP
≈ 4		³⁸⁰ CLAVELLI	92	RVUE	$\alpha_{\it s}$ running

Page 49

```
^{381} ANTONIADIS 91 RVUE lpha_{s} running
                                 ^{382} ANTONIADIS 91 RVUE p \, N \to \text{missing energy}
> 1
                                 ^{383} NAKAMURA 89 SPEC R-\Delta^{++}
                                 <sup>384</sup> ARNOLD
                                                           87 EMUL \pi^- (350 GeV). \sigma \simeq A^1
> 3.8
                        90
                                 <sup>384</sup> ARNOLD
                                                          87 EMUL \pi^{-} (350 GeV). \sigma \simeq A^{0.72}
                        90
> 3.2
                                 <sup>385</sup> TUTS
                                                           87 CUSB \Upsilon(1S) 
ightarrow \gamma + {
m gluinonium}
                        90
none 0.6-2.2
                                                          86c ARG 1\times10^{-11}\lesssim\tau\lesssim1\times10^{-9}\mathrm{s} 86 BDMP 1\times10^{-10}<\tau<1\times10^{-7}\mathrm{s}
                                 <sup>386</sup> ALBRECHT
                        90
none 1 -4.5
                                 <sup>387</sup> BADIER
                        90
none 1-4
                                 <sup>388</sup> BARNETT
                                                           86 RVUE p\overline{p} \rightarrow \text{gluino gluino gluon}
none 3-5
                                 389 VOLOSHIN
                                                           86 RVUE If (quasi) stable; \tilde{g} u u d
                                 <sup>390</sup> COOPER-...
                                                           85B BDMP For m_{\widetilde{q}} = 300 \text{ GeV}
none 0.5-2
                                 <sup>390</sup> COOPER-...
                                                           85B BDMP For m_{\widetilde{a}} <65 GeV
none 0.5-4
                                 <sup>390</sup> COOPER-...
                                                           85B BDMP For m_{\widetilde{q}} = 150 \text{ GeV}
none 0.5-3
                                 <sup>391</sup> DAWSON
                                                           85 RVUE \tau > 10^{-7} \text{ s}
none 2-4
                                 <sup>391</sup> DAWSON
none 1-2.5
                                                           85 RVUE For m_{\widetilde{g}} = 100 \text{ GeV}
                                 <sup>392</sup> FARRAR
none 0.5-4.1
                        90
                                                           85 RVUE FNAL beam dump
                                 <sup>393</sup> GOLDMAN
                                                           85 RVUE Gluononium
> 1
                                 <sup>394</sup> HABER
                                                           85 RVUE
>1-2
                                 <sup>395</sup> BALL
                                                           84 CALO
                                 <sup>396</sup> BRICK
                                                           84 RVUE
                                 <sup>397</sup> FARRAR
                                                           84 RVUE
                                 <sup>398</sup> BERGSMA
> 2
                                                          83C RVUE For m_{\widetilde{a}} < 100 \text{ GeV}
                                 ^{399} CHANOWITZ 83 RVUE \tilde{g}u\overline{d}, \tilde{g}uud
                                 <sup>400</sup> KANE
>2-3
                                                           82 RVUE Beam dump
                                       FARRAR
                                                           78 RVUE R-hadron
```

360 ABDALLAH 03C looked for events of the type $q\bar{q}R^\pm R^\pm$, $q\bar{q}R^\pm R^0$ or $q\bar{q}R^0R^0$ in e^+e^- interactions at 91.2 GeV collected in 1994. The R^\pm bound states are identified by anomalous dE/dx in the tracking chambers and the R^0 by missing energy, due to their reduced energy loss in the calorimeters. The upper value of the excluded range depends on the probability for the gluino to fragment into R^\pm or R^0 , see their Fig. 17. It improves to 23 GeV for 100% fragmentation to R^\pm .

 361 ABDALLAH 03G used e^+e^- data at and around the Z^0 peak, above the Z^0 up to $\sqrt{s}=202$ GeV and events from radiative return to cover the low energy region. They perform a direct measurement of the QCD beta-function from the means of fully inclusive event observables. Compared to the energy range, gluinos below 5 GeV can be considered massless and are firmly excluded by the measurement.

HEISTER 03 use e^+e^- data from 1994 and 1995 at and around the Z^0 peak to measure the 4-jet rate and angular correlations. The comparison with QCD NLO calculations allow $\alpha_S(M_Z)$ and the color factor ratios to be extracted and the results are in agreement with the expectations from QCD. The inclusion of a massless gluino in the beta functions yields $T_R/C_F=0.15\pm0.06\pm0.06$ (expectation is $T_R/C_F=3/8$), excluding a massless gluino at more than 95% CL. As no NLO calculations are available for massive gluinos, the earlier LO results from BARATE 97L for massive gluinos remain valid.

 363 HEISTER 03H use e^+e^- data at and around the $Z^{\bar 0}$ peak to look for stable gluinos hadronizing into charged or neutral R-hadrons with arbitrary branching ratios. Combining these results with bounds on the Z^0 hadronic width from electroweak measurements (JANOT 03) to cover the low mass region the quoted lower limit on the mass of a long-lived gluino is obtained.

364 JANOT 03 excludes a light gluino from the upper limit on an additional contribution to the Z hadronic width. At higher confidence levels, $m_{\widetilde{g}} > 5.3(4.2)$ GeV at $3\sigma(5\sigma)$ level.

365 MAFI 00 reanalyzed CDF data assuming a stable heavy gluino as the LSP, with model for *R*-hadron-nucleon scattering. Gluino masses between 35 GeV and 115 GeV are excluded

- based on the CDF Run I data. Combined with the analysis of BAER 99, this allows a LSP gluino mass between 25 and 35 GeV if the probability of fragmentation into charged R-hadron P > 1/2. The cosmological exclusion of such a gluino LSP are assumed to be avoided as in BAER 99. Gluino could be NLSP with $\tau_{\widetilde{g}} \sim 100$ yrs, and decay to gluon gravitino.
- 366 ALAVI-HARATI 99E looked for R^0 bound states, yielding $\pi^+\pi^-$ or π^0 in the final state. The experiment is sensitive to values of $\Delta m = m_{R^0} m_{\widetilde{\gamma}}$ larger than 280 MeV and 140 MeV for the two decay modes, respectively, and to R^0 mass and lifetime in the ranges 0.8–5 GeV and 10^{-10} – 10^{-3} s. The limits obtained depend on B($R^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ photino) and B($R^0 \to \pi^0$ photino) on the value of $m_{R^0}/m_{\widetilde{\gamma}}$, and on the ratio of production rates $\sigma(R^0)/\sigma(K_L^0)$. See Figures in the paper for the excluded R^0 production rates as a function of Δm , R^0 mass and lifetime. Using the production rates expected from perturbative QCD, and assuming dominance of the above decay channels over the suitable phase space, R^0 masses in the range 0.8–5 GeV are excluded at 90%CL for a large fraction of the sensitive lifetime region. ALAVI-HARATI 99E updates and supersedes the results of ADAMS 97B.
- BAER 99 set constraints on the existence of stable \widetilde{g} hadrons, in the mass range $m_{\widetilde{g}} > 3$ GeV. They argue that strong-interaction effects in the low-energy annihilation rates could leave small enough relic densities to evade cosmological constraints up to $m_{\widetilde{g}} < 10$ TeV. They consider jet+ \cancel{E}_T as well as heavy-ionizing charged-particle signatures from production of stable \widetilde{g} hadrons at LEP and Tevatron, developing modes for the energy loss of \widetilde{g} hadrons inside the detectors. Results are obtained as a function of the fragmentation probability P of the \widetilde{g} into a charged hadron. For P < 1/2, and for various energy-loss models, OPAL and CDF data exclude gluinos in the $3 < m_{\widetilde{g}}(\text{GeV}) < 130$ mass range. For P > 1/2, gluinos are excluded in the mass ranges $3 < m_{\widetilde{g}}(\text{GeV}) < 23$ and $50 < m_{\widetilde{g}}(\text{GeV}) < 200$.
- ³⁶⁸ FANTI 99 looked for R^0 bound states yielding high P_T $\eta \to 3\pi^0$ decays. The experiment is sensitive to a region of R^0 mass and lifetime in the ranges of 1–5 GeV and 10^{-10} – 10^{-3} s. The limits obtained depend on $\mathrm{B}(R^0 \to \eta \widetilde{\gamma})$, on the value of $m_{R^0}/m_{\widetilde{\gamma}}$, and on the ratio of production rates $\sigma(R^0)/\sigma(K_L^0)$. See Fig. 6–7 for the excluded production rates as a function of R^0 mass and lifetime.
- 369 ACKERSTAFF 98V excludes the light gluino with universal gaugino mass where charginos, neutralinos decay as $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$, $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \to q \overline{q} \widetilde{g}$ from total hadronic cross sections at \sqrt{s} =130–172 GeV. See paper for the case of nonuniversal gaugino mass. 370 ADAMS 97B looked for $\rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ as a signature of R^0 =($\widetilde{g}g$) bound states. The
- 370 ADAMS 97B looked for $\rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ as a signature of $R^0 = (\widetilde{g}\,g)$ bound states. The experiment is sensitive to an R^0 mass range of 1.2–4.5 GeV and to a lifetime range of 10^{-10} – 10^{-3} sec. Precise limits depend on the assumed value of $m_{R^0}/m_{\widetilde{\gamma}}$. See Fig. 7 for the excluded mass and lifetime region.
- ³⁷¹ ALBUQUERQUE 97 looked for weakly decaying baryon-like states which contain a light gluino, following the suggestions in FARRAR 96. See their Table 1 for limits on the production fraction. These limits exclude gluino masses in the range 100–600 MeV for the predicted lifetimes (FARRAR 96) and production rates, which are assumed to be comparable to those of strange or charmed baryons.
- ³⁷²BARATE 97L studied the QCD color factors from four-jet angular correlations and the differential two-jet rate in Z decay. Limit obtained from the determination of $n_f=4.24\pm0.29\pm1.15$, assuming $T_F/C_F=3/8$ and $C_A/C_F=9/4$.
- 373 CSIKOR 97 combined the α_s from $\sigma({\rm e^+\,e^-} \to {\rm hadron}),~\tau$ decay, and jet analysis in Z decay. They exclude a light gluino below 5 GeV at more than 99.7%CL.
- 374 DEGOUVEA 97 reanalyzed AKERS 95A data on Z decay into four jets to place constraints on a light stable gluino. The mass limit corresponds to the pole mass of 2.8 GeV. The analysis, however, is limited to the leading-order QCD calculation.

- ³⁷⁵ FARRAR 96 studied the possible $R^0 = (\widetilde{g} g)$ component in Fermilab E799 experiment and used its bound B($K_L^0 \to \pi^0 \nu \overline{\nu}$) $\leq 5.8 \times 10^{-5}$ to place constraints on the combination of R^0 production cross section and its lifetime.
- ³⁷⁶ AKERS 95R looked for Z decay into $q\overline{q}\widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}$, by searching for charged particles with dE/dx consistent with \widetilde{g} fragmentation into a state $(\widetilde{g}q\overline{q})^{\pm}$ with lifetime $\tau>10^{-7}$ sec. The fragmentation probability into a charged state is assumed to be 25%.
- 377 CLAVELLI 95 updates the analysis of CLAVELLI 93, based on a comparison of the hadronic widths of charmonium and bottomonium S-wave states. The analysis includes a parametrization of relativisitic corrections. Claims that the presence of a light gluino improves agreement with the data by slowing down the running of α_s .
- 378 CAKIR 94 reanalyzed TUTS 87 and later unpublished data from CUSB to exclude pseudo-scalar gluinonium $\eta_{\widetilde{g}}(\widetilde{g}\,\widetilde{g})$ of mass below 7 GeV. it was argued, however, that the perturbative QCD calculation of the branching fraction $\Upsilon\to\eta_{\widetilde{g}}\,\gamma$ is unreliable for $m_{\eta_{\widetilde{g}}}<3$ GeV. The gluino mass is defined by $m_{\widetilde{g}}=(m_{\eta_{\widetilde{q}}})/2$. The limit holds for any gluino lifetime.
- ³⁷⁹ LOPEZ 93C uses combined restraint from the radiative symmetry breaking scenario within the minimal supergravity model, and the LEP bounds on the (M_2,μ) plane. Claims that the light gluino window is strongly disfavored.
- 380 CLAVELLI 92 claims that a light gluino mass around 4 GeV should exist to explain the discrepancy between α_s at LEP and at quarkonia (Υ), since a light gluino slows the running of the QCD coupling.
- ³⁸¹ ANTONIADIS 91 argue that possible light gluinos (< 5 GeV) contradict the observed running of α_S between 5 GeV and m_Z . The significance is less than 2 s.d.
- ³⁸² ANTONIADIS 91 interpret the search for missing energy events in 450 GeV/c p N collisions, AKESSON 91, in terms of light gluinos.
- 383 NAKAMURA 89 searched for a long-lived ($\tau \gtrsim 10^{-7}$ s) charge-(±2) particle with mass $\lesssim 1.6$ GeV in proton-Pt interactions at 12 GeV and found that the yield is less than 10^{-8} times that of the pion. This excludes $R\text{-}\Delta^{++}$ (a $\tilde{g}\,u\,u\,u$ state) lighter than 1.6 GeV.
- 384 The limits assume $m_{\widetilde{q}}=100$ GeV. See their figure 3 for limits vs. $m_{\widetilde{q}}$.
- 385 The gluino mass is defined by half the bound $\widetilde{g}\,\widetilde{g}$ mass. If zero gluino mass gives a $\widetilde{g}\,\widetilde{g}$ of mass about 1 GeV as suggested by various glueball mass estimates, then the low-mass bound can be replaced by zero. The high-mass bound is obtained by comparing the data with nonrelativistic potential-model estimates.
- ALBRECHT 86C search for secondary decay vertices from $\chi_{b1}(1P) \to \widetilde{g}\,\widetilde{g}\,g$ where \widetilde{g} 's make long-lived hadrons. See their figure 4 for excluded region in the $m_{\widetilde{g}}-m_{\widetilde{g}}$ and $m_{\widetilde{g}}-m_{\widetilde{q}}$ plane. The lower $m_{\widetilde{g}}$ region below ~ 2 GeV may be sensitive to fragmentation effects. Remark that the \widetilde{g} -hadron mass is expected to be ~ 1 GeV (glueball mass) in the zero \widetilde{g} mass limit.
- 387 BADIER 86 looked for secondary decay vertices from long-lived \widetilde{g} -hadrons produced at 300 GeV π^- beam dump. The quoted bound assumes \widetilde{g} -hadron nucleon total cross section of 10μ b. See their figure 7 for excluded region in the $m_{\widetilde{g}}-m_{\widetilde{q}}$ plane for several assumed total cross-section values.
- ³⁸⁸ BARNETT 86 rule out light gluinos (m=3-5 GeV) by calculating the monojet rate from gluino gluino gluon events (and from gluino gluino events) and by using UA1 data from $p\bar{p}$ collisions at CERN.
- ³⁸⁹ VOLOSHIN 86 rules out stable gluino based on the cosmological argument that predicts too much hydrogen consisting of the charged stable hadron \tilde{g} uud. Quasi-stable ($\tau > 1. \times 10^{-7}$ s) light gluino of $m_{\tilde{g}} < 3$ GeV is also ruled out by nonobservation of the stable charged particles, \tilde{g} uud, in high energy hadron collisions.
- 390 COOPER-SARKAR 85B is BEBC beam-dump. Gluinos decaying in dump would yield $\widetilde{\gamma}$'s in the detector giving neutral-current-like interactions. For $m_{\widetilde{q}} > \!\! 330$ GeV, no limit is set.

- 391 DAWSON 85 first limit from neutral particle search. Second limit based on FNAL beam dump experiment.
- 392 FARRAR 85 points out that BALL 84 analysis applies only if the \widetilde{g} 's decay before interacting, i.e. $m_{\widetilde{q}}~<\!\!80m_{\widetilde{g}}^{-1.5}$. FARRAR 85 finds $m_{\widetilde{g}}~<\!\!0.5$ not excluded for $m_{\widetilde{q}}=30\text{--}1000$ GeV and $m_{\widetilde{g}}~<\!\!1.0$ not excluded for $m_{\widetilde{q}}=100\text{--}500$ GeV by BALL 84 experiment.
- 393 GOLDMAN 85 use nonobservation of a pseudoscalar \widetilde{g} - \widetilde{g} bound state in radiative ψ decay.
- ³⁹⁴ HABER 85 is based on survey of all previous searches sensitive to low mass \tilde{g} 's. Limit makes assumptions regarding the lifetime and electric charge of the lightest supersymmetric particle.
- 395 BALL 84 is FNAL beam dump experiment. Observed no interactions of $\widetilde{\gamma}$ in the calorimeter, where $\widetilde{\gamma}$'s are expected to come from pair-produced \widetilde{g} 's. Search for long-lived $\widetilde{\gamma}$ interacting in calorimeter 56m from target. Limit is for $m_{\widetilde{q}}=40$ GeV and production cross section proportional to $A^{0.72}$. BALL 84 find no \widetilde{g} allowed below 4.1 GeV at CL = 90%. Their figure 1 shows dependence on $m_{\widetilde{q}}$ and A. See also KANE 82.
- 396 BRICK 84 reanalyzed FNAL 147 GeV HBC data for R- $\Delta(1232)^{++}$ with $\tau > 10^{-9}$ s and $p_{\text{lab}} > 2$ GeV. Set CL = 90% upper limits 6.1, 4.4, and 29 microbarns in pp, π^+p , K^+p collisions respectively. R- Δ^{++} is defined as being \widetilde{g} and 3 up quarks. If mass = 1.2–1.5 GeV, then limits may be lower than theory predictions.
- 397 FARRAR 84 argues that $m_{\widetilde{g}}~<\!100$ MeV is not ruled out if the lightest R-hadrons are long-lived. A long lifetime would occur if R-hadrons are lighter than $\widetilde{\gamma}$'s or if $m_{\widetilde{q}}~>\!100$ GeV.
- 398 BERGSMA 83C is reanalysis of CERN-SPS beam-dump data. See their figure 1.
- 399 CHANOWITZ 83 find in bag-model that charged s-hadron exists which is stable against strong decay if $m_{\widetilde{g}} < 1$ GeV. This is important since tracks from decay of neutral s-hadron cannot be reconstructed to primary vertex because of missed $\widetilde{\gamma}$. Charged s-hadron leaves track from vertex.
- 400 KANE 82 inferred above \tilde{g} mass limit from retroactive analysis of hadronic collision and beam dump experiments. Limits valid if \tilde{g} decays inside detector.

LIGHT \widetilde{G} (Gravitino) MASS LIMITS FROM COLLIDER EXPERIMENTS

The following are bounds on light ($\ll 1\,\mathrm{eV}$) gravitino indirectly inferred from its coupling to matter suppressed by the gravitino decay constant.

Unless otherwise stated, all limits assume that other supersymmetric particles besides the gravitino are too heavy to be produced. The gravitino is assumed to be undetected and to give rise to a missing energy (E) signature.

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
ullet $ullet$ We do not	use the	following data for a	verages, fits,	limits, etc. • • •
$> 1.09 \times 10^{-5}$	95	⁴⁰¹ ABDALLAH	05в DLPH	$e^+e^- ightarrow \ \widetilde{G} \widetilde{G} \gamma$
$> 1.35 \times 10^{-5}$	95	⁴⁰² ACHARD	04E L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow \ \widetilde{G} \widetilde{G} \gamma$
$> 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	95	⁴⁰³ HEISTER	03c ALEP	$e^+e^- o \widetilde{G}\widetilde{G}\gamma$
$>11.7 \times 10^{-6}$	95	⁴⁰⁴ ACOSTA	02H CDF	
$> 8.7 \times 10^{-6}$	95	⁴⁰⁵ ABBIENDI,G	00D OPAL	$e^+e^- ightarrow \ \widetilde{G}\ \widetilde{G}\ \gamma$
$>10.0 \times 10^{-6}$	95	⁴⁰⁶ ABREU	00z DLPH	Superseded by ABDALLAH 05B
$>11 \times 10^{-6}$	95	⁴⁰⁷ AFFOLDER	00J CDF	$p\overline{p} ightarrow \widetilde{G}\widetilde{G}+{\sf jet}$
$> 8.9 \times 10^{-6}$	95	⁴⁰⁶ ACCIARRI	99R L3	Superseded by ACHARD 04E
$> 7.9 \times 10^{-6}$	95	⁴⁰⁸ ACCIARRI	98V L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow \ \widetilde{G}\ \widetilde{G}\ \gamma$
$> 8.3 \times 10^{-6}$	95	⁴⁰⁸ BARATE	98J ALEP	$e^+e^- ightarrow \ \widetilde{G} \widetilde{G} \gamma$

- ⁴⁰¹ ABDALLAH 05B use data from $\sqrt{s}=180$ –208 GeV. They look for events with a single photon $+\cancel{E}$ final states from which a cross section limit of $\sigma<0.18~pb$ at 208 GeV is obtained, allowing a limit on the mass to be set. Supersedes the results of ABREU 00Z.
- 403 HEISTER 03C use the data from $\sqrt{s} =$ 189-209 GeV to search for γE_T final states.
- ⁴⁰⁴ ACOSTA 02H looked in 87 pb^{-1} of $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}{=}1.8$ TeV for events with a high- E_t photon and E_T . They compared the data with a GMSB model where the final state could arise from $q\bar{q} \to \widetilde{G}\widetilde{G}\gamma$. Since the cross section for this process scales as $1/|F|^4$, a limit at 95% CL is derived on $|F|^{1/2} > 221$ GeV. A model independent limit for the above topology is also given in the paper.
- 405 ABBIENDI,G 00D searches for $\gamma E\!\!\!\!/$ final states from $\sqrt{s} = 189$ GeV.
- ⁴⁰⁶ ABREU 00Z, ACCIARRI 99R search for γE final states using data from \sqrt{s} =189 GeV.
- 407 AFFOLDER 00J searches for final states with an energetic jet (from quark or gluon) and large E_T from undetected gravitinos.
- 408 Searches for γE final states at \sqrt{s} =183 GeV.

Supersymmetry Miscellaneous Results

Results that do not appear under other headings or that make nonminimal assumptions.

<u>VALUE</u> <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>

ullet ullet We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ullet ullet

409 ACOSTA 04E CDF 410 TCHIKILEV 04 ISTR
$$K^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 P$$
 411 AFFOLDER 02D CDF $p\overline{p} \rightarrow \gamma b$ (\not{E}_T) 412 AFFOLDER 01H CDF $p\overline{p} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma X$ 413 ABBOTT 00G D0 $p\overline{p} \rightarrow 3\ell + \not{E}_T$, \not{R} , $LL\overline{E}$ 414 ABREU,P 00C DLPH $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma + S/P$ 415 ABACHI 97 D0 $\gamma \gamma X$ 416 BARBER 84B RVUE 417 HOFFMAN 83 CNTR $\pi p \rightarrow n(e^+e^-)$

- 409 ACOSTA 04E looked in 107 pb^{-1} of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=1.8$ TeV for events with two same sign leptons without selection of other objects nor E_T . No significant excess is observed compared to the Standard Model expectation and constraints are derived on the parameter space of MSUGRA models, see Figure 4.
- ⁴¹⁰ Looked for the scalar partner of a goldstino in decays $K^- \to \pi^- \pi^0 P$ from a 25 GeV K^- beam produced at the IHEP 70 GeV proton synchrotron. The sgoldstino is assumed to be sufficiently long-lived to be invisible. A 90% C.L. upper limit on the decay branching ratio is set at $\sim 9.0 \times 10^{-6}$ for a sgoldstino mass range from 0 to 200 MeV, excluding the interval near $m(\pi^0)$, where the limit is $\sim 3.5 \times 10^{-5}$.
- 411 AFFOLDER 02D looked in 85 pb $^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at \sqrt{s} =1.8 TeV for events with a high- E_T photon, and a b-tagged jet with or without E_T . They compared the data with models where the final state could arise from cascade decays of gluinos and/or squarks into $\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm}$ and $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$ or direct associated production of $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm}_2$, followed by $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2\to\gamma\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ or a GMSB model where $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1\to\gamma\widetilde{G}$. It is concluded that the experimental sensitivity is insufficient to detect the associated production or the GMSB model, but some sensitivity may exist to the cascade decays. A model independent limit for the above topology is also given in the paper.
- ⁴¹² AFFOLDER 01H searches for $p\overline{p} \to \gamma \gamma X$ events, where the di-photon system originates from sgoldstino production, in 100 pb⁻¹ of data. Upper limits on the cross section times

- branching ratio are shown as function of the di-photon mass >70 GeV in Fig. 5. Excluded regions are derived in the plane of the sgoldstino mass versus the supersymmetry breaking scale for two representative sets of parameter values, as shown in Figs. 6 and 7.
- 413 ABBOTT 00G searches for trilepton final states $(\ell{=}e,\mu)$ with $\not\!E_T$ from the indirect decay of gauginos via $LL\overline{E}$ couplings. Efficiencies are computed for all possible production and decay modes of SUSY particles in the framework of the Minimal Supergravity scenario. See Figs. 1–4 for excluded regions in the $m_{1/2}$ versus m_0 plane.
- 414 ABREU,P 00C look for the *CP*-even (*S*) and *CP*-odd (*P*) scalar partners of the goldstino, expected to be produced in association with a photon. The S/P decay into two photons or into two gluons and both the tri-photon and the photon + two jets topologies are investigated. Upper limits on the production cross section are shown in Fig. 5 and the excluded regions in Fig. 6. Data collected at \sqrt{s} = 189–202 GeV.
- ⁴¹⁵ ABACHI 97 searched for $p\overline{p} \to \gamma \gamma \not \!\!\! E_T + X$ as supersymmetry signature. It can be caused by selectron, sneutrino, or neutralino production with a radiative decay of their decay products. They placed limits on cross sections.
- ⁴¹⁶ BARBER 84B consider that $\widetilde{\mu}$ and \widetilde{e} may mix leading to $\mu \to e \widetilde{\gamma} \widetilde{\gamma}$. They discuss mass-mixing limits from decay dist. asym. in LBL-TRIUMF data and e^+ polarization in SIN data
- ⁴¹⁷ HOFFMAN 83 set CL = 90% limit $d\sigma/dt$ B(e^+e^-) < 3.5 × 10⁻³² cm²/GeV² for spin-1 partner of Goldstone fermions with 140 < m <160 MeV decaying $\rightarrow e^+e^-$ pair.

REFERENCES FOR Supersymmetric Particle Searches

ABDALLAH	05B	EPJ C38 395	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABAZOV	04	PL B581 147	V.M. Abazov et al.	` (D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	04B	PRL 93 011801	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABBIENDI	04	EPJ C32 453	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	04F	EPJ C33 149	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	04H	EPJ C35 1	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	04N	PL B602 167	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABDALLAH	04H	EPJ C34 145	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABDALLAH	04M	EPJ C36 1	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
Also	04P	EPJ C37 129 (erratum)	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACHARD	04	PL B580 37	P. Achard <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACHARD	04E	PL B587 16	P. Achard <i>et al.</i>	(L3)
ACOSTA	04B	PRL 92 051803	D. Acosta <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ACOSTA	04E	PRL 93 061802	D. Acosta et al.	(CDF Collab.)
AKERIB	04	PRL 93 211301	D. Akerib <i>et al.</i>	(CDMSII Collab.)
AKTAS	04B	PL B599 159	A. Aktas <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
AKTAS	04B	EPJ C36 425	A. Aktas <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
BELANGER	04D	JHEP 0403 012	G. Belanger <i>et al.</i>	(TT Collab.)
BOTTINO	04	PR D69 037302	A. Bottino <i>et al.</i>	
DAS	04	PL B596 293		
-	-		S.P. Das, A. Datta, M. Mait	
DESAI	04	PR D70 083523	S. Desai <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis <i>et al.</i>	(Super-Kamiokande Collab.)
ELLIS	04	PR D69 015005		
ELLIS	04B	PR D70 055005	J. Ellis <i>et al.</i>	
GIULIANI	04	PL B588 151	F. Giuliani, T.A. Girard	(ALEDIL C.II.)
HEISTER	04	PL B583 247	A. Heister <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
JANOT	04	PL B594 23	P. Janot	
PIERCE	04A	PR D70 075006	A. Pierce	(ISTDA - S - L L)
TCHIKILEV	04	PL B602 149	O.G. Tchikilev et al.	(ISTRA+ Coolab.)
ABBIENDI	03H	EPJ C29 479	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	03L	PL B572 8	G. Abbiendi et al.	(OPAL Collab.)
ABDALLAH	03C	EPJ C26 505	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABDALLAH	03D	EPJ C27 153	J. Abdallah et al.	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABDALLAH	03F	EPJ C28 15	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABDALLAH	03G	EPJ C29 285	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABDALLAH	03M	EPJ C31 421	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACOSTA	03C	PRL 90 251801	D. Acosta et al.	(CDF Collab.)
ACOSTA	03E	PRL 91 171602	D. Acosta <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ADLOFF	03	PL B568 35	C. Adloff <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
AHMED	03	ASP 19 691	B. Ahmed et al.	(UK Dark Matter Collab.)
AKERIB	03	PR D68 082002	D. Akerib <i>et al.</i>	(CDMS Collab.)
BAER	03	JCAP 0305 006	H. Baer, C. Balazs	

BAER	03A	JCAP 0309 007	H. Baer et al.	
BERGER	03	PL B552 223	E. Berger et al.	
BOTTINO	03	PR D68 043506	A. Bottino et al.	
BOTTINO	03A	PR D67 063519	A. Bottino, N. Fornengo, S. Scope	
CHAKRAB	03	PR D68 015005	S. Chakrabarti, M. Guchait, N.K.	
CHATTOPAD		PR D68 035005	U. Chattopadhyay, A. Corsetti, P.	
CHEKANOV	03B	PR D68 052004	S. Chekanov <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ELLIS	03	ASP 18 395	J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. Santoso	
ELLIS	03B	NP B652 259	J. Ellis <i>et al.</i>	
ELLIS	03C	PL B565 176	J. Ellis et al.	
ELLIS	03D	PL B573 162	J. Ellis <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis <i>et al.</i>	
ELLIS	03E	PR D67 123502 EPJ C27 1	A. Heister <i>et al.</i>	(ALEDU)
HEISTER HEISTER	03 03C	EPJ C28 1	A. Heister et al.	(ALEPH) (ALEPH Collab.)
HEISTER	03G	EPJ C31 1	A. Heister <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
HEISTER	03H	EPJ C31 327	A. Heister <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
HOOPER	03	PL B562 18	D. Hooper, T. Plehn	(/ 1221 / 1 0011021)
JANOT	03	PL B564 183	P. Janot	
KLAPDOR-K		ASP 18 525	H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus et al.	
LAHANAS	03	PL B568 55	A. Lahanas, D. Nanopoulos	
LEP	03	SLAC-R-701, LEPEWWG		(LEP Collabs.)
ALEPH, D	ELPHI,	L3, OPAL, the LEP EW	WG, and the SLD HFEW	,
TAKEDA	03	PL B572 145	A. Takeda <i>et al.</i>	
ABAZOV	02C	PRL 88 171802	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	02F	PRL 89 171801	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	02G	PR D66 112001	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	02H	PRL 89 261801	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABBIENDI	02	EPJ C23 1	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	02B	PL B526 233	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	02H	PL B545 272	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
Also ABRAMS	02J 02	PL B548 258 (erratum) PR D66 122003	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> D. Abrams <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.) (CDMS Collab.)
ACHARD	02	PL B524 65	P. Achard <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACOSTA	02H	PRL 89 281801	D. Acosta <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AFFOLDER	02	PRL 88 041801	T. Affolder <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AFFOLDER	02D	PR D65 052006	T. Affolder <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ANGLOHER	02	ASP 18 43	G. Angloher et al.	
ANGLOHER ARNOWITT	02 02	ASP 18 43 hep-ph/0211417	G. Angloher <i>et al.</i> R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta	(CRESST Collab.)
	-	ASP 18 43 hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161	G. Angloher <i>et al.</i> R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek	
ARNOWITT	02	hep-ph/0211417	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta	
ARNOWITT BAEK	02 02	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek	
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT	02 02 02 02 02 02	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al.	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV	02 02 02 02 02 02 02	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al.	(CRÈSST Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02B	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02B 02	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801 PRL 89 091801	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02B 02 02 02B	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02B 02 02 02B 02C	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318 PL B539 107	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. Santoso	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS GHODBANE	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02B 02 02 02B	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS GHODBANE HEISTER	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 0	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318 PL B539 107 NP B647 190 PL B526 191	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. Santoso N. Ghodbane et al.	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) ive
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS GHODBANE HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER	02 02 02 02 02 02 02B 02 02 02B 02C 02	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318 PL B539 107 NP B647 190	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. Santoso N. Ghodbane et al. A. Heister et al.	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS GHODBANE HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 0	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318 PL B539 107 NP B647 190 PL B526 191 PL B526 206 EPJ C25 1 PL B533 223	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. Santoso N. Ghodbane et al. A. Heister et al.	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) ive (ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS GHODBANE HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 0	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318 PL B539 107 NP B647 190 PL B526 191 PL B526 206 EPJ C25 1 PL B533 223 PL B537 5	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. Santoso N. Ghodbane et al. A. Heister et al.	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) ive (ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS GHODBANE HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 0	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318 PL B539 107 NP B647 190 PL B526 206 EPJ C25 1 PL B533 223 PL B533 223 PL B537 5 PL B544 73	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. Santoso N. Ghodbane et al. A. Heister et al.	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) ive (ALEPH Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS GHODBANE HEISTER	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 0	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 021801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318 PL B539 107 NP B647 190 PL B526 191 PL B526 206 EPJ C25 1 PL B533 223 PL B537 5 PL B544 73 EPJ C25 339	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. Santoso N. Ghodbane et al. A. Heister et al.	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) ive (ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS GHODBANE HEISTER	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 0	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318 PL B539 107 NP B647 190 PL B526 191 PL B526 206 EPJ C25 1 PL B533 223 PL B533 223 PL B537 5 PL B544 73 EPJ C25 339 PL B527 18	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. Santoso N. Ghodbane et al. A. Heister et al. B. Kim et al.	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) ive (ALEPH Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS GHODBANE HEISTER KIM KIM	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 0	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318 PL B539 107 NP B647 190 PL B526 191 PL B526 206 EPJ C25 1 PL B533 223 PL B537 5 PL B537 5 PL B544 73 EPJ C25 339 PL B527 18 JHEP 0212 034	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. Santoso N. Ghodbane et al. A. Heister et al. Heister et al. B. Heister et al. Heister et al. H.B. Kim et al. Y.G. Kim et al.	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) ive (ALEPH Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS GHODBANE HEISTER	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 0	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318 PL B539 107 NP B647 190 PL B526 191 PL B526 206 EPJ C25 1 PL B533 223 PL B537 5 PL B544 73 EPJ C25 339 PL B527 18 JHEP 0212 034 EPJ C23 185	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. Santoso N. Ghodbane et al. A. Heister et al. H. Heister et al. A. Heister et al. Y.G. Kim et al. A. Lahanas, V.C. Spanos	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) ive (ALEPH Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS GHODBANE HEISTER HOUBANE HEISTER KIM LAHANAS MORALES	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 0	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318 PL B539 107 NP B647 190 PL B526 191 PL B526 206 EPJ C25 1 PL B533 223 PL B537 5 PL B544 73 EPJ C25 18 JHEP 0212 034 EPJ C23 185 ASP 16 325	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. Santoso N. Ghodbane et al. A. Heister et al. A. Lehanas, V.C. Spanos A. Morales et al.	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) ive (ALEPH Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS GHODBANE HEISTER HOUBANE HOUBAN	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 0	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318 PL B539 107 NP B647 190 PL B526 191 PL B526 206 EPJ C25 1 PL B533 223 PL B537 5 PL B544 73 EPJ C25 339 PL B527 18 JHEP 0212 034 EPJ C23 185 ASP 16 325 PL B532 8	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. Santoso N. Ghodbane et al. A. Heister et al. A. Lahanas, V.C. Spanos A. Morales et al. A. Morales et al.	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) ive (ALEPH Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS GHODBANE HEISTER HOUSTER HEISTER	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02B 02C 02 02C 02E 02F 02J 02K 02N 02R 02 02B 02C 02D 02C 02D 02D 02D 02D 02D 02D 02D 02D 02D 02D	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318 PL B539 107 NP B647 190 PL B526 191 PL B526 206 EPJ C25 1 PL B533 223 PL B537 5 PL B544 73 EPJ C25 339 PL B527 18 JHEP 0212 034 EPJ C23 185 ASP 16 325 PL B532 8 PL B501 12	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. Santoso N. Ghodbane et al. A. Heister et al. A. Hanas, V.C. Spanos A. Morales et al. A. Morales et al. G. Abbiendi et al.	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) ive (ALEPH Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS GHODBANE HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER KIM KIM LAHANAS MORALES ABBIENDI ABBOTT	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 0	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318 PL B539 107 NP B647 190 PL B526 206 EPJ C25 1 PL B533 223 PL B533 223 PL B537 5 PL B544 73 EPJ C25 339 PL B527 18 JHEP 0212 034 EPJ C23 185 ASP 16 325 PL B501 12 PR D63 091102	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. Santoso N. Ghodbane et al. A. Heister et al. A. Hoeister et al. A. Heister et al. A. Hoeister et al. A. Lahanas, V.C. Spanos A. Morales et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al.	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) ive (ALEPH Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DO Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS GHODBANE HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER KIM KIM LAHANAS MORALES ABBIENDI ABBOTT ABREU	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 0	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 021801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318 PL B539 107 NP B647 190 PL B526 191 PL B526 206 EPJ C25 1 PL B533 223 PL B537 5 PL B544 73 EPJ C25 339 PL B527 18 JHEP 0212 034 EPJ C23 185 ASP 16 325 PL B501 12 PR D63 091102 EPJ C19 29	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. Santoso N. Ghodbane et al. A. Heister et al. A. Hoeister et al. A. Heister et al. A. Hoeister et al. A. Heister et al. A. Hoeister et al. A. Hoeister et al. A. Hoeister et al. B. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al.	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) ive (ALEPH Collab.) (DELPH Collab.) (DO Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS GHODBANE HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER KIM KIM LAHANAS MORALES MORALES ABBIENDI ABBOTT ABREU ABREU	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 0	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 021801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318 PL B539 107 NP B647 190 PL B526 191 PL B526 191 PL B526 206 EPJ C25 1 PL B533 223 PL B537 5 PL B544 73 EPJ C25 339 PL B527 18 JHEP 0212 034 EPJ C23 185 ASP 16 325 PL B532 8 PL B532 8 PL B501 12 PR D63 091102 EPJ C19 29 EPJ C19 201	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. Santoso N. Ghodbane et al. A. Heister et al. A. Hoeister et al. A. Heister et al. A. Hoeister et al. A. Lahanas, V.C. Spanos A. Morales et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al.	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) ive (ALEPH Collab.) (DELPH Collab.) (DO Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS GHODBANE HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER KIM KIM LAHANAS MORALES ABBIENDI ABBOTT ABREU	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 0	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 021801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318 PL B539 107 NP B647 190 PL B526 191 PL B526 206 EPJ C25 1 PL B533 223 PL B537 5 PL B544 73 EPJ C25 339 PL B527 18 JHEP 0212 034 EPJ C23 185 ASP 16 325 PL B501 12 PR D63 091102 EPJ C19 29	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. Santoso N. Ghodbane et al. A. Heister et al. A. Hoister et al. A. Hoister et al. A. Hoister et al. A. Hoister et al. B. Abienet et al. A. Lahanas, V.C. Spanos A. Morales et al. A. Morales et al. B. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al. P. Abreu et al.	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) ive (ALEPH Collab.) (DELPH Collab.) (DO Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS GHODBANE HEISTER HEISTE	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 0	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318 PL B539 107 NP B647 190 PL B526 191 PL B526 206 EPJ C25 1 PL B533 223 PL B537 5 PL B544 73 EPJ C25 339 PL B544 73 EPJ C25 339 PL B527 18 JHEP 0212 034 EPJ C23 185 ASP 16 325 PL B532 8 PL B501 12 PR D63 091102 EPJ C19 29 EPJ C19 201 PL B502 24	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. Santoso N. Ghodbane et al. A. Heister et al. A. Hoister et al. A. Lahanas, V.C. Spanos A. Morales et al. B. Abbott et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al. P. Abreu et al. P. Abreu et al.	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) ive (ALEPH Collab.) (DELPH Collab.) (DO Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS GHODBANE HEISTER HEISTE	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02F 02J 02K 02N 02R 02 02B 02C 01 01D 01D 01D	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318 PL B539 107 NP B647 190 PL B526 191 PL B526 206 EPJ C25 1 PL B533 223 PL B537 5 PL B547 73 EPJ C25 38 PL B547 73 EPJ C25 18 JHEP 0212 034 EPJ C23 185 ASP 16 325 PL B501 12 PR D63 091102 EPJ C19 20 EPJ C19 20 EPJ C19 201 PL B502 24 PL B500 22	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. Santoso N. Ghodbane et al. A. Heister et al. A. Hoeister et al. A. Heister et al. A. Hoeister et al. A. Hoeister et al. B. Kim et al. Y.G. Kim et al. Y.G. Kim et al. A. Morales et al. A. Morales et al. B. Abbott et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al.	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) ive (ALEPH Collab.) (DELPH Collab.) (DO Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS GHODBANE HEISTER HEISTE	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 0	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318 PL B539 107 NP B647 190 PL B526 191 PL B526 206 EPJ C25 1 PL B533 223 PL B537 5 PL B544 73 EPJ C25 339 PL B527 18 JHEP 0212 034 EPJ C23 185 ASP 16 325 PL B532 8 PL B501 12 PR D63 091102 EPJ C19 29 EPJ C19 20 EPJ C19 20 EPJ C19 20 PL B500 22 PL B500 34 EPJ C19 397 PRL 87 041801	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. Santoso N. Ghodbane et al. A. Heister et al. A. Hoeister et al. B. Kim et al. Y.G. Kim et al. Y.G. Kim et al. A. Morales et al. A. Morales et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al. T. Adams et al.	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) ive (ALEPH Collab.) (DELPH Collab.) (DO Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (NuTeV Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG CHO ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS GHODBANE HEISTER HEISTE	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 0	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801 PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318 PL B539 107 NP B647 190 PL B526 191 PL B526 206 EPJ C25 1 PL B533 223 PL B537 5 PL B544 73 EPJ C25 339 PL B527 18 JHEP 0212 034 EPJ C23 185 ASP 16 325 PL B532 8 PL B501 12 PR D63 091102 EPJ C19 201 PL B502 24 PL B500 22 PL B503 34 EPJ C19 397	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer et al. T. Becher et al. A. Benoit et al. S. Chekanov et al. K. Cheung, WY. Keung GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Ol J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. Santoso N. Ghodbane et al. A. Heister et al. A. Hoeister et al. B. Kim et al. Y.G. Kim et al. A. Lahanas, V.C. Spanos A. Morales et al. B. Abbott et al. B. Abrou et al. P. Abreu et al.	(CRÈSST Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) ive (ALEPH Collab.) (DELPH Collab.) (DPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)

BARATE 01 PL B499 67 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARAGER 01C PL B518 117 V. Barger, C. Kao BARDES 01C PL B518 117 V. Barger, C. Kao BAUDIS 01 PR D63 020201 L. Baudis et al. (Heidelberg-Moscow Collab.) BENOIT 01 PL B513 15 A. Benoit et al. (EDELWEISS Collab.) BERGER 01 PR B64 6231 L. Baudis et al. (EDELWEISS Collab.) BERGER 01 PR B68 4231 A. Benoit et al. (EDELWEISS Collab.) BERTHNO 01 PR D63 125003 A. Bentine et al. (EDELWEISS Collab.) BERTHNO 01 PR D63 125003 A. Bentine et al. (DAMA Collab.) BREITWEG 01 PR D63 052002 J. Breitweg et al. (ZEUS Collab.) CORSETTI 01 PR D64 125010 A. Corsetti, P. Nath DJOUADI 01 JHEP 0108 55 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.L. Kneur BLILS 01C PR D63 065016 J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive COMEZ 01 PL B512 252 M. E. Gomez, J.D. Vergados LAHANAS 01 PL B519 94 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V. Spanos ROSZKOMSKI 01 JHEP 0108 024 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V. Spanos ROSZKOMSKI 01 JHEP 0108 024 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V. Spanos ROSZKOMSKI 01 JHEP 0108 024 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V. Spanos ROSZKOMSKI 01 JHEP 0108 024 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V. Spanos ROSZKOMSKI 01 JHEP 0108 024 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V. Spanos ROSZKOMSKI 01 JHEP 0118 024 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V. Spanos ROSZKOMSKI 01 JHEP 0118 024 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V. Spanos ROSZKOMSKI 01 JHEP 0121 5 5 A. Débiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI 00 EPJ C14 187 C. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI 00 EPJ C15 551 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI 00 EPJ C15 553 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI 00 EPJ C15 551 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI 00 EPJ C15 551 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI 00 EPJ C15 551 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI 00 EPJ C15 551 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI 00 EPJ C15 551 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI 00 EPJ C15 551 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI 00 EPJ C15 551 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI 00 EPJ C15 551 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABREU 00 EPJ C15 551 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPA	AFFOLDER AFFOLDER AFFOLDER BALTZ	01B 01H 01J 01	PR D63 091101 PR D64 092002 PRL 87 251803 PRL 86 5004	T. Affolder <i>et al.</i> T. Affolder <i>et al.</i> T. Affolder <i>et al.</i> E. Baltz, P. Gondolo	(CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
BAUDIS 01	BARATE	01B	EPJ C19 415	R. Barate et al.	
BERNABEI 01	BENOIT	01	PL B513 15	A. Benoit et al.	
BREITWEG	BERNABEI	01	PL B509 197	R. Bernabei <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA Collab.)
DJOUADI					(ZEUS Collab.)
ELLIS 01B PL B510 236 J. Ellis et al. ELLIS 01C PR D63 065016 J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive GOMEZ 01 PL B512 252 M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergados 11 JHEP 0108 024 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V. Spanos ROSZKOWSKI 01 J. HEP 0108 024 L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz de Austri, T. Nihei SAVINOV 01 PR D63 051101 V. Savinov et al. ROSZHOWSKI 01 JHEP 0108 024 L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz de Austri, T. Nihei SAVINOV 01 PR D63 051101 V. Savinov et al. GLEC Collab.) ABBIEND1 006 EPJ C12 1 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1 007 EPJ C14 187 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1 008 EPJ C16 707 (erratum) ABBIEND1 009 EPJ C13 553 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1 000 EPJ C13 553 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1 000 EPJ C13 553 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1 000 EPJ C13 553 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1 000 EPJ C13 553 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1 000 EPJ C13 551 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1 000 EPJ C13 551 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1 000 EPJ C13 551 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1 000 EPJ C13 551 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1 000 EPJ C13 591 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 001 EPJ C13 591 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 002 PL B478 65 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 003 PL B485 95 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 004 PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 005 PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 006 PR D64 599 R. Abusaidi et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 007 PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 008 PR D62 035003 PR. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 009 PR B472 420 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 007 PL B489 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 007 PL B489 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 008 PR D62 035003 PR. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 009 PR B480 234 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 000 PR B472 420 M. Acciarri et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 000 PR D62 035003 PR. Barate et al. (ALEPH					our .
GOMEZ 01 PL B512 252 M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergados LAHANAS 01 PL B518 94 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V. Spanos ROSZKOWSKI 01 JHEP 0108 024 L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz de Austri, T. Nihei SAVINOV 01 PR D63 051101 V. Savinov et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI 00 EPJ C12 1 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI 000 EPJ C14 187 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI 000 EPJ C14 187 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI 001 EPJ C12 551 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI 007 EPJ C18 253 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI 008 EPJ C18 253 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI 009 EPJ C18 253 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI 000 EPJ C18 253 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBOTT 000 PR 052 071701R B. Abbott et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBEU 001 EPJ C13 591 P. Abreu et al. (DO Collab.) ABREU 001 PL B479 129 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 002 PL B478 65 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 005 PL B485 55 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 007 PL B485 95 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 007 PL B485 95 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 007 PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 007 PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 007 PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 007 PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 007 PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 007 PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 008 PL B483 18 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 009 PL B489 51 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 000 PR B489 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 000 PR B490 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 000 PR B490 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 000 PR B490 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 000 PR B490 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 000 PR B490 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 000 PR B490 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 000 PR B490 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIORARI 000 PR B490 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIORARI 000 PR B490 59 P. Abreu et a				J. Ellis <i>et al.</i>	zui
LAHANAS 01 PL B518 94 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V. Spanos ROSZKOWSKI 01 JHEP 0108 024 L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz de Austri, T. Niheir SAVINOV 01 PR D63 051101 V. Savinov et al. (CLEO Collab.) ABBIEND1 00 EPJ C12 1 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1 00H EPJ C14 187 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) Also 07 EPJ C16 707 (erratum) G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1 00D EPJ C16 707 (erratum) G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1 00D EPJ C12 551 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C13 553 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C13 553 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C18 253 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C18 253 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C18 253 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C18 253 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C18 253 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C18 253 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C18 253 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C18 253 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C18 251 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C18 251 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C18 251 G. Abbiendi et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C18 251 G. Abbiendi et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C18 251 G. Abbiendi et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C16 211 PABRE 4 al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C16 211 PABRE 4 al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C16 211 PABRE 4 al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C16 211 PABRE 4 al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C16 211 PABRE 4 al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C16 211 PABRE 4 al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C16 211 PABRE 4 al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C16 211 PABRE 4 al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C16 211 PABRE 4 al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C16 211 PABRE 4 al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C16 211 PABRE 4 al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABBIEND1, 00D EPJ C16 211 PABRE 4 al.					
SAVINOV 01					V. Spanos
ABBIENDI 00					
ABBIENDI 00H EPJ C14 187 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) Also 00Y EPJ C16 707 (erratum) G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) Also 00Y EPJ C16 707 (erratum) G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI 00D EPJ C12 551 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI 00R EPJ C13 553 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI, 00D EPJ C18 253 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI, 00D EPJ C18 253 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI, 00C PL 84 2088 B. Abbott et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBOTT 00C PR 84 2088 B. Abbott et al. (DO Collab.) ABBOTT 00C PR 84 2098 B. Abbott et al. (DO Collab.) ABBOTT 00C PR 85 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		-			
ABBIENDI					
ABBIENDI 00J EPJ C12 551 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI, 00D EPJ C13 553 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI, 00D EPJ C13 553 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBOTT 00C PRL 84 2088 B. Abbott et al. (D0 Collab.) ABBOTT 00G PR. 84 2088 B. Abbott et al. (D0 Collab.) ABREU 00J EPJ C13 591 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00J PL B479 129 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B485 45 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00T PL B485 95 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B487 36 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B487 36 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B499 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B499 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, 00C PL B494 203 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, 00C PL B494 203 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, 00C PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, 00C PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACICIARRI 00C EPJ C16 1 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00C EPJ C16 1 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00C PL B482 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00C PL B482 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00C PRL 84 5704 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00J PRL 85 1378 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00J PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C12 183 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C12 183 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C12 183 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C12 23 J. R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00C PRL 84 3083 J.I. Feng, K.T. Matchev, F. Wilczek ALHANAS 00 PR D62 035012 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00C PR D62 035012 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) DEHMI 00 PR D62 035013 A. Lahanas					`
ABBIENDI, GOR EPJ C13 553 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBIENDI, GOD EPJ C18 253 G. Abbiendi et al. (OPAL Collab.) ABBOTT OCC PRL 84 2088 B. Abbott et al. (DO Collab.) ABBOTT OCC PRL 84 2088 B. Abbott et al. (DO Collab.) ABREU OCC PRL 847 129 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OCC PRL 847 129 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OCC PRL 848 55 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OCC PRL 848 55 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OCC PRL 848 55 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OCC PRL 848 55 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OCC PRL 848 56 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OCC PRL 848 56 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OCC PRL 849 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OCC PRL 849 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OCC PRL 849 203 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OCC PRL 849 203 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OCC PRL 849 203 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OCC PRL 849 203 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, P. OCC PRL 849 203 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, P. OCC PRL 849 203 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, P. OCC PRL 849 203 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI OCC PRL 849 203 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI OCC PRL 849 203 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI OCC PRL 849 204 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI OCC PRL 849 205 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI OCC PRL 849 205 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI OCC PRL 849 205 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI OCC PRL 849 205 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI OCC PRL 849 205 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI OCC PRL 849 205 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI OCC PRL 849 205 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI OCC PRL 849 205 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI OCC PRL 849 205 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI OCC PRL 849 205 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI OCC PRL 849 205 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI OCC PRL 849 205 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI COllab.) ACCIARRI OC			,		
ABBOTT OOC PRL 84 2088 B. Abbott et al. (DO Collab.) ABBOTT OOG PR D62 071701R B. Abbott et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OOJ PL B479 129 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OOS PL B478 65 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OOS PL B485 45 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OOS PL B485 45 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OOV PL B488 36 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OOV PL B488 36 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OOV EPJ C16 211 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OOV EPJ C16 211 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OOV EPJ C17 53 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU OOV PL B494 203 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, P OOD PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, P OOD PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, P OOD PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, P OOD PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI OOC EPJ C16 1 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI OOC EPJ C16 1 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI OOD PL B482 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI OOF PL B489 81 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI OOF PL B489 81 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) AFFOLDER OOF PRL 84 5704 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER OOF PRL 84 5703 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER OOF PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) BARATE OOF PL B489 281 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE OOF PL B489 281 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE OOF PL B489 281 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE OOF PL B489 283 R. Bernabei et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE OOF PL B489 288 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE OOF PL B489 288 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE OOF PL B489 288 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE OO					
ABBOTT 00G PR D62 071701R B. Abbott et al. (DC Collab.) ABREU 00J PL B479 129 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00Q PL B478 65 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00S PL B485 45 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00T PL B485 95 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B487 36 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B487 36 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B494 203 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B494 203 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU,P 00D PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU,P 00D PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU,P 00D PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU,P 00D PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI 00C EPJ C16 1 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00C EPJ C16 1 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00C PL B492 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B493 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B498 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCOMANDO 00 NP B585 124 E. Accomando et al. AFFOLDER 00G PRL 84 5273 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00G PRL 84 5273 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00G PRL 84 5273 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) BARATE 001 EPJ C16 71 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 004 EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 006 EPJ C16 23 AR Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 007 PL B482 38 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 008 PR D62 035012 S. R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 009 PL B482 38 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 009 PL B482 38 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 009 PL B480 23 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 009 PL B480 23 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 009 PL B480 23 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 009 PL B480 23 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 009 PL B480 288 J. A. Morales et al. (CDAMA Collab.) B					``
ABREU 001 EPJ C13 591 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 002 PL B478 65 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 005 PL B486 55 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 007 PL B485 95 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 007 PL B485 95 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 000 PL B487 36 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 000 PL B487 36 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 000 PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 000 PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 000 PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 000 EPJ C17 53 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, 000 EPJ C17 53 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, 000 PL B494 203 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, 000 PRL 84 5699 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, 000 PRL 84 5699 R. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI 000 PRL 842 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P L B482 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B488 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B489 81 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCOMANDO 00 PRL 84 5704 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00D PRL 84 5703 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00D PRL 84 5273 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00D PRL 84 5273 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C12 183 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C12 1823 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C16 253 J. Breitweg et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C16 253 J. Breitweg et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C18 283 J. Breitweg et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C18 283 J. Breitweg et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C18 283 J. Breitweg et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C18 283 J. Breitweg et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PR D62 035012 S. Breitweg et al. (ALEPH, DelLPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD+) AMION 00 PL B482 388 J. B. Brenabei et al. (BALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL,					` ` · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ABREU 00J PL B479 129 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00S PL B485 65 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00T PL B485 95 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00T PL B487 36 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B487 36 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B487 36 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V EPJ C16 211 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V EPJ C16 211 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V EPJ C17 53 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, 00V PL B493 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, 00V PL B494 203 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, 00V PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, 00D PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACIARRI 00C EPJ C16 1 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00D PL B472 420 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B498 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B482 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B498 81 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B498 81 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCOMANDO 00 NP B585 124 E. Accomando et al. AFFOLDER 00D PRL 84 5273 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00D PRL 84 5273 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00D PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00D PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 001 EPJ C12 183 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 004 EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 006 EPJ C16 71 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 007 EPJ C18 283 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 008 PR D62 035012 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 009 PL B480 23 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 009 PL B480 23 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 009 EPJ C16 253 J. Breitweg et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 000 PR D62 035012 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 000 PR D62 035012 S. Bernabei et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) BERNABEI 000 PR D62 035012 C. Cho, K. Hagiwara J.I. Collar et al. (LEPHI Collab.) J. Elies et al. (LEPHI Collab.) J. Elies et al. (LEPHI Collab.) J					
ABREU 005 PL B485 95 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 007 PL B487 36 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 007 PL B487 36 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 008 PL B487 36 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 009 EPJ C16 211 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 000 EPJ C17 53 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU,P 000 PL B494 203 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU,P 000 PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU,P 000 PRL 84 5699 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI 000 EPJ C16 1 M. Acciarri et al. (CDMS Collab.) ACCIARRI 000 PL B492 231 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 000 PL B489 81 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 000 PRL 84 5704 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) AFFOLDER 000 PRL 84 5704 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 000 PRL 84 5704 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 000 PRL 85 1378 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 000 PRL 85 1378 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) BARATE 001 EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 001 EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 000 EPJ C16 21 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 000 EPJ C18 283 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 000 PR B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 000 PR B62 035012 C. Boehm, A. Djouadi, M. Drees BERNABEI 000 PR D62 035012 S. Bernabei et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) BERNABEI 000 PR D62 035013 J. L. Feng, K.T. Matchev, F. Wilczek A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V.C. Spanos LEP Collab. (ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD+) MAFI 00 PR D62 035003 M. M. Mationi et al. MORALES 00 PL B489 268 A. Morales et al. (ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD+) D.E. Groom et al.					
ABREU 00T PL B485 95 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B487 36 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00W PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B498 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, P 00C PL B494 203 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU,P 00D PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU,P 00D PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU,P 00D PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACCIARRI 00C PPJ C16 1 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00D PL B472 420 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00D PL B482 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00D PL B482 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCOMANDO 00 NP B585 124 E. Accomando et al. AFFOLDER 00D PRL 84 5704 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00D PRL 85 1378 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00D PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00D PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00D PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C18 283 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C18 283 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PL B488 234 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PL B482 388 J. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PR D62 035012 C. Boehm, A. Djouadi, M. Drees BREITWEG 00 EPJ C16 253 J. Breitweg et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) BCHAMA COLLAR 00 PR D62 035013 A. Maltoni et al. COLLAR 00 PR D62 035003 A. Maltoni et al. A. Morales et al. (ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD+) MAFIONI 00 PR D62 035003 A. Maltoni et al. A. Morales et al. (BEX Collab.) D.E. Groom et al.					1
ABREU 00U PL B487 36 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00V PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00Z EPJ C17 53 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, P 00C PL B494 203 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, P 00D PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU, P 00D PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABUSAIDI 00 PRL 84 5699 R. Abusaidi et al. (CDMS Collab.) ACCIARRI 00C EPJ C16 1 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00D PL B482 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B489 81 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B489 81 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B489 81 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B489 81 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B489 81 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) AFFOLDER 00P PRL 84 5704 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00P PRL 85 1378 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00F PRL 85 1378 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00F PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) BARATE 00F EPJ C16 71 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00F EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00F EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00F EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00F EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00F PL B488 234 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00F PL B488 234 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00F PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00F PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00F PL B482 388 J.L. Feng. K.T. Matchev, F. Wilczek LAHANAS 00 PR D62 035012 C. Boehm, A. Djouadi, M. Drees BREITWEG 00E EPJ C16 253 GC. Cho, K. Hagiwara COLLAR 00 PR D62 035003 A. Maltoni et al. MAFI 00 PR D62 035003 A. Maltoni et al. MAFI 00 PR D62 035003 A. Maltoni et al. DEE CORDAN DOLLAR 00 PR D62 035003 A. Maltoni et al. DEE CORDAN DOLLAR 00 PR D62 035003 A. Maltoni et al. DEE CORDAN DOLLAR 01 DEE CORDAN DOLLAR 01 DEE CORDAN DOLLAR 01 DEE CORDAN					}
ABREU 00V EPJ C16 211 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00W PL B489 38 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 00C EPJ C17 53 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU,P 00C PL B494 203 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU,P 00D PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU,P 00D PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ACIARRI 00C EPJ C16 1 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00D PL B472 420 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00D PL B482 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00K PL B482 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00D PL B482 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCOMANDO 00 NP B585 124 E. Accomando et al. AFFOLDER 00D PRL 84 5704 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00D PRL 84 5704 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00D PRL 85 1378 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00D PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00D PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C12 183 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C12 183 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00P PL B488 234 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PR B62 035012 C. Boehm, A. Djouadi, M. Drees BEITWEG 00E EPJ C16 253 J. Ellis et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) ELLIS 00 PR D62 035003 A. Bernabei et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) ELLIS 00 PR D62 035003 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V.C. Spanos LEP Collabs. (ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD+) MAFI 00 PR D62 035003 A. Mafis, S. Raby MALTONI 00 PL B476 107 M. Maltoni et al.					1
ABREU, P 00C PL B494 203 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU,P 00D PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABUSAIDI 00 PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABUSAIDI 00 PRL 84 5699 R. Abusaidi et al. (CDMS Collab.) ACCIARRI 00C EPJ C16 1 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00D PL B482 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B482 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B489 81 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCOMANDO 00 PRL 84 5704 E. Accomando et al. AFFOLDER 00P PRL 84 5704 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00J PRL 85 1378 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00J PRL 85 1378 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00K PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00H EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C12 183 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00P PL B488 234 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PR D62 035012 C. Boehm, A. Djouadi, M. Drees BREITWEG 00E EPJ C16 253 J. Breitweg et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) ELLIS 00 PR D62 035003 J. J. Collar et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) ELLIS 00 PR D62 025515 L. Elis et al. (ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD+) MAFI 00 PR D62 035003 A. Mafi, S. Raby MALTONI 00 PR B62 035003 A. Mafi, S. Raby MALTONI 00 PR B62 035003 A. Morales et al. (IGEX Collab.)	ABREU	00V	EPJ C16 211		1
ABREU,P 00C PL B494 203 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU,P 00D PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABUSAIDI 00 PRL 84 5699 R. Abusaidi et al. (CDMS Collab.) ACCIARRI 00C EPJ C16 1 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00D PL B472 420 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B482 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B489 81 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B489 81 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCOMANDO 0 NP B585 124 E. Accomando et al. AFFOLDER 00D PRL 84 5704 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00G PRL 84 5273 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00G PRL 85 1378 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00K PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00K PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) BARATE 00G EPJ C16 71 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C12 183 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00P PL B488 234 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PL C12 283 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PL C13 29 C. Boehm, A. Djouadi, M. Drees BERTIWEG 00E EPJ C16 253 J. Breitweg et al. (DAMA Collab.) BOEHM 00B PR D62 035012 C. Boehm, A. Djouadi, M. Drees BREITWEG 00E EPJ C16 253 J. Breitweg et al. (DAMA Collab.) BOEHM 00B PR D62 035012 C. Boehm, A. Djouadi, M. Drees BREITWEG 00E EPJ C16 253 J. Breitweg et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) ELLIS 00 PR D62 075010 J. Ellis et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) ELLIS 00 PR D62 035013 A. Mafi, S. Raby MALTONI 00 PL B482 388 J.L. Feng, K.T. Matchev, F. Wilczek LAHANAS 00 PR D62 035003 A. Mafi, S. Raby MALTONI 00 PL B476 107 M. Maltoni et al. MORALES 00 PL B489 268 A. Morales et al. (IGEX Collab.)					1
ABREU,P 00D PL B496 59 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABUSAIDI 00 PRL 84 5699 R. Abusaidi et al. (CDMS Collab.) ACCIARRI 00D PL B472 420 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00K PL B482 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B489 81 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B489 81 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCOMANDO 00 NP B585 124 E. Accomando et al. AFFOLDER 00D PRL 84 5704 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00G PRL 85 1378 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00J PRL 85 1378 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00G PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) BARATE 00G EPJ C16 71 R. Barate et al. (CDF Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00F PL B488 234 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00F PL B488 234 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00F PL B488 234 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00F PL B488 234 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00F PL B488 234 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00F PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00F PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00F PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00F PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00F PR D62 035012 C. Boehm, A. Djouadi, M. Drees BREITWEG 00F EPJ C16 253 J. Breitweg et al. (DAMA Collab.) BCHM 00B PR D62 075010 J. Ellis et al. FENG 00F PR B482 338 J.I. Collar et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) EFNG 00F PR D62 035013 A. Mafi, S. Raby MALTONI 00F PR D62 035003 A. Mafi, S. Raby MALTONI 00F PL B489 268 A. Morales et al. (IGEX Collab.) PDG 00FJ C15 1 D.E. Groom et al.					1
ACCIARRI 00C EPJ C16 1 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00D PL B472 420 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00F PL B482 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B489 81 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCOMANDO 00 NP B585 124 E. Accomando et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00D PRL 84 5704 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00G PRL 85 1378 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00K PRL 85 1378 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00K PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00K PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) BARATE 00G EPJ C16 71 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C18 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00C PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al					
ACCIARRI 00D PL B472 420 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00K PL B482 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B489 81 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCOMANDO 00 NP B585 124 E. Accomando et al. AFFOLDER 00D PRL 84 5704 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00G PRL 84 5273 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00J PRL 85 1378 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00K PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) BARATE 00G EPJ C16 71 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C12 183 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00P PL B488 234 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00C EPJ C18 283 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00D NJP 2 15 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00B PR D62 035012 C. Boehm, A. Djouadi, M. Drees BREITWEG 00E EPJ C16 253 J. Breitweg et al. (ZEUS Collab.) CHO 00B NP B574 623 GC. Cho, K. Hagiwara COLLAR 00 PR D62 075010 J. Ellis et al. FENG 00 PR D62 023515 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V.C. Spanos LEP 00 CERN-EP-2000-016 LEP Collabs. (ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD+) MAFI 00 PR D62 035003 A. Mafri, S. Raby MALTONI 00 PL B489 268 A. Morales et al. (IGEX Collab.) D.E. Groom et al.					`
ACCIARRI 00K PL B482 31 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCIARRI 00P PL B489 81 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCOMANDO 00 NP B585 124 E. Accomando et al. AFFOLDER 00D PRL 84 5704 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00G PRL 84 5273 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00J PRL 85 1378 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00K PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00K PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) BARATE 00G EPJ C16 71 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C12 183 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C12 183 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00P PL B488 234 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00D NJP 2 15 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00D NJP 2 15 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BOEHM 00B PR D62 035012 C. Boehm, A. Djouadi, M. Drees BREITWEG 00E EPJ C16 253 J. Breitweg et al. (ZEUS Collab.) CHO 00B NP B574 623 GC. Cho, K. Hagiwara COLLAR 00 PR D62 075010 J. Ellis et al. ELLIS 00 PR D62 023515 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V.C. Spanos LEP 00 CERN-EP-2000-016 LEP Collabs. (ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD+) MAFI 00 PR D62 035003 A. Mafi, S. Raby MALTONI 00 PL B489 268 A. Morales et al. (IGEX Collab.) D.E. Groom et al.					
ACCIARRI 00P PL B489 81 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) ACCOMANDO 00 NP B585 124 E. Accomando et al. AFFOLDER 00D PRL 84 5704 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00G PRL 84 5273 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00J PRL 85 1378 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00K PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00K PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) BARATE 00G EPJ C16 71 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C12 183 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C12 183 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00P PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00D PS D62 035012 C. Boehm, A. Djouadi, M. Drees BREITWEG 00E EPJ C16 253 GC. Cho, K. Hagiwara (ZEUS Collab.)					
AFFOLDER 00D PRL 84 5704 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00G PRL 84 5273 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00J PRL 85 1378 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00K PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) BARATE 00G EPJ C16 71 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C12 183 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00I EPJ C12 183 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00P PL B488 234 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00C EPJ C18 283 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BOEHM 00B NP B574 623 GC. Cho, K. Hagiwara (ZEUS Collab.) CHO 00B NP B574 623 GC. Cho, K. Hagiwara (SIMPLE Collab.) COLLAR 00 PR D62 075010 J. Ellis					
AFFOLDER 00G PRL 84 5273 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00J PRL 85 1378 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00K PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) BARATE 00G EPJ C16 71 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C12 183 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00P PL B488 234 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00P PL B480 23 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00C EPJ C18 283 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00D NJP 2 15 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BOEHM 00B PR D62 035012 C. Boehm, A. Djouadi, M. Drees BREITWEG 00E EPJ C16 253 J. Breitweg et al. (ZEUS Collab.) COLLAR 00 PR B53 3083 J.I. Collar et al. ((65 = 6 !! !)
AFFOLDER 00J PRL 85 1378 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) AFFOLDER 00K PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) BARATE 00G EPJ C13 71 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C12 183 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00P PL B488 234 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00C EPJ C18 283 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00D NJP 2 15 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERITWEG 00E EPJ C16 253 J. Breitweg et al. (ZEUS Collab.) CHO 00B NP B574 623 GC. Cho, K. Hagiwara (ZEUS Collab.) COLLAR 00 PR D62 075010 J. Ellis et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) FENG 00 PL B482 388 J.L. Feng, K.T. Matc					`
AFFOLDER 00K PRL 85 2056 T. Affolder et al. (CDF Collab.) BARATE 00G EPJ C16 71 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00H EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00I EPJ C12 183 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00P PL B488 234 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00C EPJ C18 283 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00D NJP 2 15 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BOEHM 00B PR D62 035012 C. Boehm, A. Djouadi, M. Drees BREITWEG 00E EPJ C16 253 J. Breitweg et al. (ZEUS Collab.) COLLAR 00 PR B5 3083 J.I. Collar et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) ELLIS 00 PR D62 075010 J. Ellis et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) ELP 00 PR D62 023515 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V.C. Spanos<					
BARATE 00H EPJ C13 29 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00I EPJ C12 183 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00P PL B488 234 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00C EPJ C18 283 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00D NJP 2 15 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BOEHM 00B PR D62 035012 C. Boehm, A. Djouadi, M. Drees BREITWEG 00E EPJ C16 253 J. Breitweg et al. (ZEUS Collab.) CHO 00B NP B574 623 GC. Cho, K. Hagiwara (ZEUS Collab.) COLLAR 00 PRL 85 3083 J.I. Collar et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) ELLIS 00 PR D62 075010 J. Ellis et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) FENG 00 PR D62 023515 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V.C. Spanos LEP 00 CERN-EP-2000-016 LEP Collabs. (ALEPH, DELPHI,	AFFOLDER			T. Affolder et al.	(CDF Collab.)
BARATE 00I EPJ C12 183 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BARATE 00P PL B488 234 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00C EPJ C18 283 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00D NJP 2 15 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BOEHM 00B PR D62 035012 C. Boehm, A. Djouadi, M. Drees BREITWEG 00E EPJ C16 253 J. Breitweg et al. (ZEUS Collab.) CHO 00B NP B574 623 GC. Cho, K. Hagiwara (ZEUS Collab.) COLLAR 00 PRL 85 3083 J.I. Collar et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) ELLIS 00 PR D62 075010 J. Ellis et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) FENG 00 PL B482 388 J.L. Feng, K.T. Matchev, F. Wilczek LAHANAS 00 PR D62 035015 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V.C. Spanos LEP 00 CERN-EP-2000-016 LEP Collabs. (ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD					1
BARATE 00P PL B488 234 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) BERNABEI 00 PL B480 23 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00C EPJ C18 283 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00D NJP 2 15 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BOEHM 00B PR D62 035012 C. Boehm, A. Djouadi, M. Drees BREITWEG 00E EPJ C16 253 J. Breitweg et al. (ZEUS Collab.) CHO 00B NP B574 623 GC. Cho, K. Hagiwara (SIMPLE Collab.) COLLAR 00 PRL 85 3083 J.I. Collar et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) ELLIS 00 PR D62 075010 J. Ellis et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) FENG 00 PL B482 388 J.L. Feng, K.T. Matchev, F. Wilczek LAHANAS 00 PR D62 023515 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V.C. Spanos LEP OU CERN-EP-2000-016					1
BERNABEI 00C EPJ C18 283 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BERNABEI 00D NJP 2 15 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BOEHM 00B PR D62 035012 C. Boehm, A. Djouadi, M. Drees BREITWEG 00E EPJ C16 253 J. Breitweg et al. (ZEUS Collab.) CHO 00B NP B574 623 GC. Cho, K. Hagiwara (SIMPLE Collab.) COLLAR 00 PRL 85 3083 J.I. Collar et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) ELLIS 00 PR D62 075010 J. Ellis et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) FENG 00 PL B482 388 J.L. Feng, K.T. Matchev, F. Wilczek LAHANAS 00 PR D62 023515 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V.C. Spanos LEP 00 CERN-EP-2000-016 LEP Collabs. (ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD+) MAFI 00 PR D62 035003 A. Mafi, S. Raby MALTONI 00 PL B489 268 A. Morales et al. (IGEX Collab.) PDG 00 EPJ C15 1 D.E. Groom et al.					
BERNABEI 00D NJP 2 15 R. Bernabei et al. (DAMA Collab.) BOEHM 00B PR D62 035012 C. Boehm, A. Djouadi, M. Drees BREITWEG 00E EPJ C16 253 J. Breitweg et al. (ZEUS Collab.) CHO 00B NP B574 623 GC. Cho, K. Hagiwara (SIMPLE Collab.) COLLAR 00 PRL 85 3083 J.I. Collar et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) ELLIS 00 PR D62 075010 J. Ellis et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) FENG 00 PL B482 388 J.L. Feng, K.T. Matchev, F. Wilczek A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V.C. Spanos LEP 00 CERN-EP-2000-016 LEP Collabs. (ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD+) MAFI 00 PR D62 035003 A. Mafi, S. Raby MALTONI 00 PL B489 268 A. Morales et al. (IGEX Collab.) PDG 00 EPJ C15 1 D.E. Groom et al. (IGEX Collab.)					
BOEHM 00B PR D62 035012 C. Boehm, A. Djouadi, M. Drees BREITWEG 00E EPJ C16 253 J. Breitweg et al. (ZEUS Collab.) CHO 00B NP B574 623 GC. Cho, K. Hagiwara (SIMPLE Collab.) COLLAR 00 PRL 85 3083 J.I. Collar et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) ELLIS 00 PR D62 075010 J. Ellis et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) FENG 00 PL B482 388 J.L. Feng, K.T. Matchev, F. Wilczek LAHANAS 00 PR D62 023515 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V.C. Spanos LEP 00 CERN-EP-2000-016 LEP Collabs. (ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD+) MAFI 00 PR D62 035003 A. Mafi, S. Raby MALTONI 00 PL B476 107 M. Maltoni et al. MORALES 00 PL B489 268 A. Morales et al. (IGEX Collab.) PDG 00 EPJ C15 1 D.E. Groom et al.					
BREITWEG 00E EPJ C16 253 J. Breitweg et al. (ZEUS Collab.) CHO 00B NP B574 623 GC. Cho, K. Hagiwara (SIMPLE Collab.) COLLAR 00 PRL 85 3083 J.I. Collar et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) ELLIS 00 PR D62 075010 J. Ellis et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) FENG 00 PL B482 388 J.L. Feng, K.T. Matchev, F. Wilczek LAHANAS 00 PR D62 023515 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V.C. Spanos LEP 00 CERN-EP-2000-016 LEP Collabs. (ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD+) MAFI 00 PR D62 035003 A. Mafi, S. Raby MALTONI 00 PL B489 268 A. Morales et al. (IGEX Collab.) PDG 00 EPJ C15 1 D.E. Groom et al.					,
COLLAR 00 PRL 85 3083 J.I. Collar et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) ELLIS 00 PR D62 075010 J. Ellis et al. (SIMPLE Collab.) FENG 00 PL B482 388 J.L. Feng, K.T. Matchev, F. Wilczek LAHANAS 00 PR D62 023515 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V.C. Spanos LEP 00 CERN-EP-2000-016 LEP Collabs. (ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD+) MAFI 00 PR D62 035003 A. Mafi, S. Raby MALTONI 00 PL B476 107 M. Maltoni et al. MORALES 00 PL B489 268 A. Morales et al. (IGEX Collab.) PDG 00 EPJ C15 1 D.E. Groom et al.				J. Breitweg <i>et al.</i>	
ELLIS 00 PR D62 075010 J. Ellis et al. FENG 00 PL B482 388 J.L. Feng, K.T. Matchev, F. Wilczek LAHANAS 00 PR D62 023515 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V.C. Spanos LEP 00 CERN-EP-2000-016 LEP Collabs. (ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD+) MAFI 00 PR D62 035003 A. Mafi, S. Raby MALTONI 00 PL B476 107 M. Maltoni et al. MORALES 00 PL B489 268 A. Morales et al. (IGEX Collab.) PDG 00 EPJ C15 1 D.E. Groom et al.					(SIMDLE Collab.)
FENG 00 PL B482 388 J.L. Feng, K.T. Matchev, F. Wilczek LAHANAS 00 PR D62 023515 A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, V.C. Spanos LEP 00 CERN-EP-2000-016 LEP Collabs. (ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD+) MAFI 00 PR D62 035003 A. Mafi, S. Raby MALTONI 00 PL B476 107 M. Maltoni et al. MORALES 00 PL B489 268 A. Morales et al. (IGEX Collab.) PDG 00 EPJ C15 1 D.E. Groom et al.					(SIMPLE CONAD.)
LEP 00 CERN-EP-2000-016 LEP Collabs. (ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD+) MAFI 00 PR D62 035003 A. Mafi, S. Raby MALTONI 00 PL B476 107 M. Maltoni et al. MORALES 00 PL B489 268 A. Morales et al. (IGEX Collab.) PDG 00 EPJ C15 1 D.E. Groom et al.					/ilczek
MAFI 00 PR D62 035003 A. Mafi, S. Raby MALTONI 00 PL B476 107 M. Maltoni et al. MORALES 00 PL B489 268 A. Morales et al. (IGEX Collab.) PDG 00 EPJ C15 1 D.E. Groom et al.					
MALTONI 00 PL B476 107 M. Maltoni et al. MORALES 00 PL B489 268 A. Morales et al. (IGEX Collab.) PDG 00 EPJ C15 1 D.E. Groom et al.					ELPHI, L3, UPAL, SLD+)
MORALES 00 PL B489 268 A. Morales et al. (IGEX Collab.) PDG 00 EPJ C15 1 D.E. Groom et al.					
	MORALES		PL B489 268	A. Morales et al.	(IGEX Collab.)
					(UK Dark Matter Col.)

ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBOTT ABBOTT	99 99F 99M 99T 99	EPJ C6 1 EPJ C8 23 PL B456 95 EPJ C11 619 PRL 82 29 PR D60 031101	G. G. B. B.	Abbiendi et al. Abbiendi et al. Abbiendi et al. Abbiendi et al. Abbott et al. Abbott et al.		(OPAL (OPAL (OPAL (D0 (D0	Collab.) Collab.) Collab.) Collab.) Collab.)
ABBOTT ABBOTT	99J 99K	PRL 83 2896 PRL 83 4476		Abbott <i>et al.</i> Abbott <i>et al.</i>		`	Collab.) Collab.)
ABBOTT	99L	PRL 83 4937		Abbott et al.			Collab.)
ABE	991	PR D59 092002		Abe et al.		` .	Collab.)
ABE ABREU	99M 99A	PRL 83 2133 EPJ C11 383		Abe <i>et al.</i> Abreu <i>et al.</i>		(CDF (DELPHI	Collab.) Collab.)
ABREU	99C	EPJ C6 385	P.	Abreu et al.		(DELPHI	
ABREU	99F	EPJ C7 595		Abreu et al.		(DELPHI	
ABREU ACCIARRI	99G 99H	PL B446 62 PL B456 283		Abreu <i>et al.</i> . Acciarri <i>et al.</i>		(DELPHI (L3	Collab.)
ACCIARRI	991	PL B459 354	M	. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>		(L3	Collab.)
ACCIARRI	99L	PL B462 354		. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>			Collab.)
ACCIARRI ACCIARRI	99R 99V	PL B470 268 PL B471 308		. Acciarri <i>et al.</i> . Acciarri <i>et al.</i>			Collab.) Collab.)
ACCIARRI	99W	PL B471 280	M	. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>		(L3	Collab.)
ALAVI-HARATI		PRL 83 2128 PR D60 082002		Alavi-Harati <i>et al.</i>		(FNAL KTeV	
AMBROSIO BAER	99 99	PR D50 062002 PR D59 075002		. Ambrosio <i>et al.</i> Baer, K. Cheung, J.F.	Gunion	(IVIacro	Collab.)
BARATE	99E	EPJ C7 383		Barate <i>et al.</i>	ouo	(ALEPH	Collab.)
BARATE	99Q	PL B469 303		Barate <i>et al.</i>	(11.	(ALEPH	
BAUDIS BELLI	99 99C	PR D59 022001 NP B563 97		Baudis <i>et al.</i> Belli <i>et al.</i>	(Hei	idelberg-Moscow (DAMA)	
BERNABEI	99	PL B450 448		Bernabei <i>et al.</i>			Collab.)
FANTI	99	PL B446 117	V.	Fanti et al.		(CERN NA48	
MALTONI	99B	PL B463 230		. Maltoni, M.I. Vysotsky	У		
OOTANI ABBOTT	99 98	PL B461 371 PRL 80 442		. Ootani <i>et al.</i> Abbott <i>et al.</i>		(D0	Collab.)
ABBOTT	98C	PRL 80 1591		Abbott et al.			Collab.)
ABBOTT	98E	PRL 80 2051		Abbott et al.			Collab.)
ABBOTT	98J	PRL 81 38		Abbott et al.			Collab.)
ABE ABE	98J 98L	PRL 80 5275 PRL 81 1791		Abe <i>et al.</i> Abe <i>et al.</i>			Collab.) Collab.)
ABE	98S	PRL 81 4806		Abe et al.		(CDF	Collab.)
ABREU	98	EPJ C1 1		Abreu et al.		(DELPHI	Collab.)
ABREU ACCIARRI	98P 98F	PL B444 491 EPJ C4 207		Abreu <i>et al.</i> . Acciarri <i>et al.</i>		(DELPHI	
ACCIARRI	98J	PL B433 163		. Acciarri <i>et al.</i> . Acciarri <i>et al.</i>			Collab.) Collab.)
ACCIARRI	98V	PL B444 503		. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>			Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98K	EPJ C4 47		Ackerstaff et al.			Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF ACKERSTAFF	98L 98P	EPJ C2 213 PL B433 195		Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i> Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>		` .	Collab.) Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98V	EPJ C2 441		Ackerstaff et al.		` .	Collab.)
BARATE	98H	PL B420 127		Barate et al.		(ÀLEPH	Collab.)
BARATE	98J	PL B429 201		Barate <i>et al.</i>		(ALEPH	
BARATE BARATE	98K 98S	PL B433 176 EPJ C4 433		Barate <i>et al.</i> Barate <i>et al.</i>		(ALEPH (ALEPH	
BARATE	98X	EPJ C2 417		Barate <i>et al.</i>		(ALEPH	
BERNABEI	98	PL B424 195		Bernabei et al.		,	Collab.)
BERNABEI BREITWEG	98C 98	PL B436 379 PL B434 214		Bernabei <i>et al.</i> Breitweg <i>et al.</i>		` .	Collab.) Collab.)
ELLIS	98	PR D58 095002		Ellis et al.		(ZLOS	Collab.)
ELLIS	98B	PL B444 367		Ellis, T. Falk, K. Olive	:		
PDG	98	EPJ C3 1		Caso <i>et al.</i> Abachi <i>et al.</i>		(D0	Callah)
ABACHI ABBOTT	97 97B	PRL 78 2070 PRL 79 4321		Abbott <i>et al.</i>			Collab.) Collab.)
ABE	97K	PR D56 R1357	F.	Abe et al.			Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97U	PL B414 373		. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>			Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF ADAMS	97H 97B	PL B396 301 PRL 79 4083		Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i> Adams <i>et al.</i>		(FNAL KTeV	Collab.)
ALBUQUERQ		PRL 78 3252		Albuquerque <i>et al.</i>		(FNAL E761	
BAER	97	PR D57 567		Baer, M. Brhlik			
BARATE BARATE	97K 97L	PL B405 379 ZPHY C76 1		Barate <i>et al.</i> Barate <i>et al.</i>		(ALEPH (ALEPH	
BERNABEI	97L 97	ASP 7 73		Bernabei <i>et al.</i>		١.	Collab.)
CARENA	97	PL B390 234	M	. Carena, G.F. Giudice,	C.E.M.		,

CSIKOR	97	PRL 78 4335	F. Csikor, Z. Fodor	(EOTV, CERN)
DATTA	97	PL B395 54	A. Datta, M. Guchait, N. Parua	(ICTP, TATA)
DEGOUVEA	97	PL B400 117	A. de Gouvea, H. Murayama	(ZEUS Collab.)
DERRICK	97	ZPHY C73 613	M. Derrick <i>et al.</i>	
EDSJO	97	PR D56 1879	J. Edsjo, P. Gondolo	
ELLIS	97	PL B394 354	J. Ellis, J.L. Lopez, D.V. Nanopoulos	
HEWETT	97	PR D56 5703	J.L. Hewett, T.G. Rizzo, M.A. Doncheski	
KALINOWSKI	97	PL B400 112	J. Kalinowski, P. Zerwas	
TEREKHOV	97	PL B412 86	I. Terekhov	(ALAT)
ABACHI	96	PRL 76 2228	S. Abachi <i>et al.</i>	
ABACHI	96B	PRL 76 2222	S. Abachi <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.)
ABE	96	PRL 77 438	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96D	PRL 76 2006	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96K	PRL 76 4307	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AID	96	ZPHY C71 211	S. Aid <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
AID	96C	PL B380 461	S. Aid <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
ARNOWITT	96	PR D54 2374	R. Arnowitt, P. Nath	(III Collab.)
BAER	96	PR D53 597	H. Baer, M. Brhlik	
BERGSTROM	96	ASP 5 263	L. Bergstrom, P. Gondolo	
CHO	96	PL B372 101	G.C. Cho, Y. Kizukuri, N. Oshimo	(TOKAH, OCH)
FARRAR	96	PRL 76 4111	G.R. Farrar	(RUTG)
LEWIN	96	ASP 6 87	J.D. Lewin, P.F. Smith	
TEREKHOV	96	PL B385 139	I. Terkhov, L. Clavelli	(ALAT)
ABACHI	95C	PRL 75 618	S. Abachi <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABE	95N	PRL 74 3538	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	95T	PRL 75 613	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ACCIARRI	95E	PL B350 109	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
AKERS	95A	ZPHY C65 367	R. Akers <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
AKERS	95R	ZPHY C67 203	R. Akers <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
BEREZINSKY	95	ASP 5 1	V. Berezinsky <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	95E	PL B349 238	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	
CLAVELLI	95	PR D51 1117	L. Clavelli, P.W. Coulter	(ALAT)
FALK	95	PL B354 99	T. Falk, K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki	(MINN, UCSB)
LOSECCO	95	PL B342 392	J.M. LoSecco	(NDAM)
AKERS	94K	PL B337 207	R. Akers <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL `Collab.)
BECK	94	PL B336 141	M. Beck <i>et al.</i> (MPII-	H, KIAE, SASSO)
CAKIR	94	PR D50 3268	M.B. Cakir, G.R. Farrar	(RUTG)
FALK	94	PL B339 248	T. Falk, K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki	(UCSB, MINN)
SHIRAI	94	PRL 72 3313	J. Shirai <i>et al.</i>	(VENUS Collab.)
ADRIANI	93M	PRPL 236 1	O. Adriani <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ALITTI	93	NP B400 3	J. Alitti <i>et al.</i>	(UA2 Collab.)
CLAVELLI	93	PR D47 1973	L. Clavelli, P.W. Coulter, K.J. Yuan	(ALAT)
DREES	93	PR D47 376	M. Drees, M.M. Nojiri	(DESY, SLAC)
DREES	93B	PR D48 3483	M. Drees, M.M. Nojiri	,
FALK	93	PL B318 354	T. Falk <i>et al.</i> (UC	B, UCSB, MINN)
HEBBEKER	93	ZPHY C60 63	T. Hebbeker	(CERN)
KELLEY	93	PR D47 2461	S. Kelley <i>et al.</i>	(TAMU, `ALAH)
LOPEZ	93C	PL B313 241	J.L. Lopez, D.V. Nanopoulos, X. Wang ((TAMU, HARC+)
MIZUTA	93	PL B298 120	S. Mizuta, M. Yamaguchi	(TOHO)
MORI	93	PR D48 5505	M. Mori et al. (KEK, NIIG,	TOKY, TOKA+)
ABE	92L	PRL 69 3439	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
BOTTINO	92	MPL A7 733	A. Bottino <i>et al.</i>	(TORI, ZARA)
Also	91	PL B265 57	A. Bottino <i>et al.</i>	(TORI, INFN)
CLAVELLI	92	PR D46 2112	L. Clavelli	(ALAT)
DECAMP	92	PRPL 216 253	D. Decamp <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
LOPEZ MCDONALD	92 92	NP B370 445	J.L. Lopez, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.J. Yuan	(TAMU)
ROY	92	PL B283 80 PL B283 270	J. McDonald, K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki D.P. Roy	(LISB+) (CERN)
ABREU	91F	NP B367 511		(DELPHI Collab.)
AKESSON	91	ZPHY C52 219		(HELIOS Collab.)
ALEXANDER	91F	ZPHY C52 175	G. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ANTONIADIS	91	PL B262 109	I. Antoniadis, J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos A. Bottino <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL+)
BOTTINO	91	PL B265 57		(TORI, INFN)
GELMINI	91	NP B351 623	G.B. Gelmini, P. Gondolo, E. Roulet	(UCLA, TRST)
GRIEST	91	PR D43 3191	K. Griest, D. Seckel	(CHIC, FNAL)
KAMIONKOW.	91	PR D44 3021	M. Kamionkowski	
MORI	91B	PL B270 89	M. Mori <i>et al.</i> (Ka	miokande Collab.)
NOJIRI	91	PL B261 76	M.M. Nojiri	(KEK)
OLIVE	91	NP B355 208	K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki	(MINN, UCSB)
ROSZKOWSKI	91	PL B262 59	L. Roszkowski	(CERN)
SATO	91	PR D44 2220	N. Sato <i>et al.</i> (Ka	miokande Collab.)

ADACHI GRIEST	90C 90	PL B244 352 PR D41 3565	I. Adachi <i>et al.</i> (TOPAZ Collab.) K. Griest, M. Kamionkowski, M.S. Turner (UCB+)
BARBIERI	89C	NP B313 725	R. Barbieri, M. Frigeni, G. Giudice
NAKAMURA	89	PR D39 1261	T.T. Nakamura <i>et al.</i> (KYOT, TMTC)
OLIVE	89	PL B230 78	K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki (MINN, UCSB)
ELLIS	88D	NP B307 883	J. Ellis, R. Flores
GRIEST	88B	PR D38 2357	K. Griest
OLIVE	88	PL B205 553	K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki (MINN, UCSB)
SREDNICKI	88	NP B310 693	M. Srednicki, R. Watkins, K.A. Olive (MINN, UCSB)
ALBAJAR	87D	PL B198 261	C. Albajar <i>et al.</i> (UA1 Collab.)
ANSARI	87D	PL B195 613	R. Ansari <i>et al.</i> (UA2 Collab.)
ARNOLD	87	PL B186 435	R.G. Arnold <i>et al.</i> (BRUX, DUUC, LOUC+)
NG	87	PL B188 138	K.W. Ng, K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki (MINN, UCSB)
TUTS	87	PL B186 233	P.M. Tuts et al. (CUSB Collab.)
ALBRECHT	86C	PL 167B 360	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i> (ARGUS Collab.)
BADIER	86	ZPHY C31 21	J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.)
BARNETT	86	NP B267 625	R.M. Barnett, H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane (LBL, UCSC+)
GAISSER	86	PR D34 2206	T.K. Gaisser, G. Steigman, S. Tilav (BART, DELA)
VOLOSHIN	86	SJNP 43 495	M.B. Voloshin, L.B. Okun (ITEP)
		Translated from YAF 43	
COOPER	85B	PL 160B 212	A.M. Cooper-Sarkar <i>et al.</i> (WA66 Collab.)
DAWSON	85	PR D31 1581	S. Dawson, E. Eichten, C. Quigg (LBL, FNAL)
FARRAR	85	PRL 55 895	G.R. Farrar (RUTG)
GOLDMAN	85	Physica 15D 181	T. Goldman, H.E. Haber (LANL, UCSC)
HABER	85	PRPL 117 75	H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane (UCSC, MICH)
BALL	84	PRL 53 1314	R.C. Ball et al. (MICH, FIRZ, OSU, FNAL+)
BARBER	84B	PL 139B 427	J.S. Barber, R.E. Shrock (STON)
BRICK	84	PR D30 1134	D.H. Brick et al. (BROW, CAVE, IIT+)
ELLIS	84	NP B238 453	J. Ellis et al. (CERN)
FARRAR	84 83C	PRL 53 1029	G.R. Farrar (RUTG)
BERGSMA	83 83	PL 121B 429 PL 126B 225	F. Bergsma et al. (CHARM Collab.)
CHANOWITZ GOLDBERG	os 83	PRL 50 1419	M.S. Chanowitz, S. Sharpe (UCB, LBL) H. Goldberg (NEAS)
HOFFMAN	os 83	PR D28 660	()
KRAUSS	83	NP B227 556	C.M. Hoffman <i>et al.</i> (LANL, ARZS) L.M. Krauss (HARV)
VYSOTSKII	83	SJNP 37 948	M.I. Vysotsky (ITEP)
V 130 13KII	03	Translated from YAF 37	
KANE	82	PL 112B 227	G.L. Kane, J.P. Leveille (MICH)
CABIBBO	81	PL 105B 155	N. Cabibbo, G.R. Farrar, L. Maiani (ROMA, RUTG)
FARRAR	78	PL 76B 575	G.R. Farrar, P. Fayet (CIT)
Also	78B	PL 79B 442	G.R. Farrar, P. Fayet (CIT)