


$\pi_2(1670)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^-+)$ **$\pi_2(1670)$ MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1672.4 ± 3.2 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.			
1749 ± 10	± 100	145k	LU	05 E852	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
1676 ± 3	± 8		1 CHUNG	02 E852	$18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
1685 ± 10	± 30		2 BARBERIS	01	$450 pp \rightarrow p_f 3\pi^0 p_s$
1687 ± 9	± 15		AMELIN	99 VES	$37 \pi^- A \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 A^*$
1669 ± 4			BARBERIS	98B	$450 pp \rightarrow p_f \rho \pi p_s$
1670 ± 4			BARBERIS	98B	$450 pp \rightarrow p_f f_2(1270) \pi p_s$
1730 ± 20		3 AMELIN	95B VES		$36 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A$
1690 ± 14		4 BERDNIKOV	94 VES		$37 \pi^- A \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^- A$
1710 ± 20	700	ANTIPOV	87 SIGM	-	$50 \pi^- Cu \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \pi^- Cu$
1676 ± 6		4 EVANGELISTA	81 OMEG	-	$12 \pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi p$
1657 ± 14		4,5 DAUM	80D SPEC	-	$63-94 \pi p \rightarrow 3\pi X$
1662 ± 10	2000	4 BALTAY	77 HBC	+	$15 \pi^+ p \rightarrow p 3\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1742 ± 31	± 49	ANTREASYAN	90 CBAL		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
1624 ± 21		1 BELLINI	85 SPEC		$40 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
1622 ± 35		6 BELLINI	85 SPEC		$40 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
1693 ± 28		7 BELLINI	85 SPEC		$40 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
1710 ± 20		8 DAUM	81B SPEC	-	$63,94 \pi^- p$
1660 ± 10		4 ASCOLI	73 HBC	-	$5-25 \pi^- p \rightarrow p \pi_2$

¹ From $f_2(1270)\pi$ decay.² From a fit to the invariant mass distribution.³ From a fit to $J^{PC} = 2^-+ f_2(1270)\pi, f_0(1370)\pi$ waves.⁴ From a fit to $J^P = 2^- S$ -wave $f_2(1270)\pi$ partial wave.⁵ Clear phase rotation seen in $2^- S, 2^- P, 2^- D$ waves. We quote central value and spread of single-resonance fits to three channels.⁶ From $\rho\pi$ decay.⁷ From $\sigma\pi$ decay.⁸ From a two-resonance fit to four 2^-0^+ waves. This should not be averaged with all the single resonance fits.



$\pi_2(1670)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
259± 9 OUR AVERAGE					Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.
408± 60±250	60±250	145k	LU	05	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
254± 3± 31			9 CHUNG	02	$18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
265± 30± 40			10 BARBERIS	01	$450 p p \rightarrow p_f 3\pi^0 p_s$
168± 43± 53			AMELIN	99	$37 \pi^- A \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 A^*$
268± 15			BARBERIS	98B	$450 p p \rightarrow p_f \rho \pi p_s$
256± 15			BARBERIS	98B	$450 p p \rightarrow p_f f_2(1270) \pi p_s$
310± 20			11 AMELIN	95B	$36 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A$
190± 50			12 BERDNIKOV	94	$37 \pi^- A \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^- A$
170± 80	700		ANTIPOV	87	$50 \pi^- Cu \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \pi^- Cu$
260± 20			12 EVANGELISTA	81	$12 \pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi p$
219± 20			12,13 DAUM	80D	$63-94 \pi p \rightarrow 3\pi X$
285± 60	2000		12 BALTAY	77	$15 \pi^+ p \rightarrow p 3\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
236± 49± 36		ANTREASYAN 90	CBAL		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
304± 22		9 BELLINI	85	SPEC	$40 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
404±108		14 BELLINI	85	SPEC	$40 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$

330 ± 90	¹⁵ BELLINI	85 SPEC	$40 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
312 ± 50	¹⁶ DAUM	$81B$ SPEC	$- 63,94 \pi^- p$
270 ± 60	¹² ASCOLI	73 HBC	$- 5-25 \pi^- p \rightarrow p\pi_2$

⁹ From $f_2(1270)\pi$ decay.

¹⁰ From a fit to the invariant mass distribution.

¹¹ From a fit to $J^{PC} = 2^- + f_2(1270)\pi, f_0(1370)\pi$ waves.


¹² From a fit to $J^P = 2^- f_2(1270)\pi$ partial wave.

¹³ Clear phase rotation seen in $2^- S, 2^- P, 2^- D$ waves. We quote central value and spread of single-resonance fits to three channels.

¹⁴ From $\rho\pi$ decay.

¹⁵ From $\sigma\pi$ decay.

¹⁶ From a two-resonance fit to four $2^- 0^+$ waves. This should not be averaged with all the single resonance fits.



$\pi_2(1670)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 3π	$(95.8 \pm 1.4) \%$	
Γ_2 $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$		
Γ_3 $\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$		
Γ_4 $f_2(1270)\pi$	$(56.2 \pm 3.2) \%$	
Γ_5 $\rho\pi$	$(31 \pm 4) \%$	
Γ_6 $\sigma\pi$	$(10.9 \pm 3.4) \%$	
Γ_7 $(\pi\pi)_S$ -wave	$(8.7 \pm 3.4) \%$	

Γ_8	$K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	(4.2 ± 1.4) %
Γ_9	$\omega\rho$	(2.7 ± 1.1) %
Γ_{10}	$\gamma\gamma$	
Γ_{11}	$\eta\pi$	
Γ_{12}	$\pi^\pm 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	
Γ_{13}	$\rho(1450)\pi$	< 3.6 $\times 10^{-3}$ 97.7%
Γ_{14}	$b_1(1235)\pi$	< 1.9 $\times 10^{-3}$ 97.7%
Γ_{15}	$\eta 3\pi$	
Γ_{16}	$f_1(1285)\pi$	possibly seen
Γ_{17}	$a_2(1320)\pi$	not seen

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 4 branching ratios uses 6 measurements and one constraint to determine 4 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 1.9$ for 3 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} & & & \\ x_5 & -53 & & \\ x_7 & -29 & -59 & \\ x_8 & -8 & -21 & -9 \\ & x_4 & x_5 & x_7 \end{array}$$

$\pi_2(1670)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$					Γ_{10}
<i>VALUE (keV)</i>	<i>CL%</i>	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>	<i>TECN</i>	<i>CHG</i>	<i>COMMENT</i>
<0.072	90	17 ACCIARRI	97T L3		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.41 ± 0.23 ± 0.28		ANTREASYAN 90	CBAL 0		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
0.8 ± 0.3 ± 0.12		18 BEHREND	90C CELL 0		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1.3 ± 0.3 ± 0.2		19 BEHREND	90C CELL 0		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

¹⁷ Decaying into $f_2(1270)\pi$ and $\rho\pi$.

¹⁸ Constructive interference between $f_2(1270)\pi, \rho\pi$ and background.

¹⁹ Incoherent Ansatz.

$\pi_2(1670)$ BRANCHING RATIOS $\Gamma(3\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
0.958 ± 0.014 OUR FIT	

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$0.29 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.05$	20 BARBERIS 01	$450 \text{ pp} \rightarrow p_f 3\pi^0 p_s$

 $\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.29 ± 0.04 OUR FIT	21 DAUM 81B SPEC			$63.94 \pi^- p$

$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$

 <0.3

BARTSCH 68 HBC +	$8 \pi^+ p \rightarrow 3\pi p$
------------------	--------------------------------

 $\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-)$ (With $f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$.) $0.567\Gamma_4/(0.567\Gamma_4 + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_5 + 0.624\Gamma_7)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.604 ± 0.035 OUR FIT	21 DAUM 81B SPEC			$63.94 \pi^- p$

 0.60 ± 0.05 OUR AVERAGE

Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.


0.61 ± 0.04 0.76 ± 0.24
 -0.34 ARMENISE 69 DBC + $5.1 \pi^+ d \rightarrow d3\pi$ 0.35 ± 0.20 BALTAY 68 HBC + $7-8.5 \pi^+ p$

$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$

0.59

BARTSCH 68 HBC + $8 \pi^+ p \rightarrow 3\pi p$

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
 0.60 ± 0.05 (Error scaled by 1.3)

 $\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-)$

$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)$ (With $f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$.) $\Gamma_5/0.564\Gamma_4$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.97±0.09 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.9.		
0.76±0.07±0.10	CHUNG 02	E852	18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
1.01±0.05	BARBERIS 98B		450 $p p \rightarrow p_f \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 p_s$

 $\Gamma(\eta\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-)$
(All η decays.) $\Gamma_{11}/(0.567\Gamma_4+\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_5+0.624\Gamma_7)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.09	BALTAY 68	HBC	+	7–8.5 $\pi^+ p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.10	CRENNELL 70	HBC	–	6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow f_2 \pi^- N$

 $\Gamma(\pi^\pm 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{12}/(0.567\Gamma_4+\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_5+0.624\Gamma_7)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.10	CRENNELL 70	HBC	–	6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow f_2 \pi^- N$
<0.1	BALTAY 68	HBC	+	7,8.5 $\pi^+ p$

 $\Gamma(\rho(1450)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.0036	97.7	AMELIN 99	VES	37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 A^*$

 $\Gamma(b_1(1235)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.0019	97.7	AMELIN 99	VES	37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 A^*$

 $\Gamma((\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})/\Gamma(\pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-)$ $0.624\Gamma_7/(0.567\Gamma_4+\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_5+0.624\Gamma_7)$ (With $(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$.)

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.10±0.04 OUR FIT			
0.10±0.05	21 DAUM	SPEC	63,94 $\pi^- p$

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)$ Γ_8/Γ_4

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.075±0.025 OUR FIT				
0.075±0.025	22 ARMSTRONG 82B OMEG	–		16 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^- p$

 $\Gamma(\omega\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.027±0.004±0.010	23 AMELIN 99	VES	37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 A^*$

 $\Gamma(\sigma\pi)/\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)$ Γ_6/Γ_4

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.19±0.06 OUR AVERAGE			
0.17±0.02±0.07	CHUNG 02	E852	18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
0.24±0.10	24,25 BAKER 99	SPEC	1.94 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 4\pi^0$

$\Gamma(f_1(1285)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_{16}/Γ
possibly seen	69k	KUHN	04	E852	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^- p$

 $\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_{17}/Γ
not seen	69k	KUHN	04	E852	$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^- p$

D-wave/S-wave RATIO FOR $\pi_2(1670) \rightarrow f_2(1270)\pi$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_{16}/Γ
-0.18±0.06	24 BAKER	99	SPEC	$1.94 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 4\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.22±0.10	21 DAUM	81B	SPEC	$63.94 \pi^- p$

F-wave/P-wave RATIO FOR $\pi_2(1670) \rightarrow \rho\pi$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_{16}/Γ
-0.72±0.07±0.14	CHUNG	02	E852	$18.3 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^- p$

20 Using BARBERIS 98B.

21 From a two-resonance fit to four 2^-0^+ waves.22 From a partial-wave analysis of $K^+K^-\pi^-$ system.23 Normalized to the $B(\pi_2(1670) \rightarrow f_2\pi)$.

24 Using preliminary CBAR data.

25 With the $\sigma\pi$ in $L=2$ and the $f_2(1270)\pi$ in $L=0$. **$\pi_2(1670)$ REFERENCES**

LU	05	PRL 94 032002	M. Lu <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
KUHN	04	PL B595 109	J. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
CHUNG	02	PR D65 072001	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
BARBERIS	01	PL B507 14	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	
AMELIN	99	PAN 62 445	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 62 487.		
BAKER	99	PL B449 114	C.A. Baker <i>et al.</i>	
BARBERIS	98B	PL B422 399	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97T	PL B413 147	M. Acciari <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ALBRECHT	97B	ZPHY C74 469	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
AMELIN	95B	PL B356 595	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, TBIL)
BERDNIKOV	94	PL B337 219	E.B. Berdnikov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, TBIL)
ANTREASYAN	90	ZPHY C48 561	D. Antreasyan <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
BEHREND	90C	ZPHY C46 583	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
ANTIPOV	87	EPL 4 403	Y.M. Antipov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, JINR, INRM+)
BELLINI	85	SJNP 41 781	D. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from YAF 41 1223.		
ARMSTRONG	82B	NP B202 1	T.A. Armstrong, B. Baccari	(AACH3, BARI, BONN+)
DAUM	81B	NP B182 269	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
EVANGELISTA	81	NP B178 197	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)
Also		NP B186 594	C. Evangelista	
DAUM	80D	PL 89B 285	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+) JP
BALTAY	77	PRL 39 591	C. Baltay, C.V. Cautis, M. Kalelkar	(COLU) JP
ASCOLI	73	PR D7 669	G. Ascoli	(ILL, TNTO, GENO, HAMB, MILA+) JP
CRENNELL	70	PRL 24 781	D.J. Crennell <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
ARMENISE	69	LNC 2 501	N. Armenise <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BGNA, FIRZ)
BALTAY	68	PRL 20 887	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, ROCH, RUTG, YALE) I
BARTSCH	68	NP B7 345	J. Bartsch <i>et al.</i>	(AACH, BERL, CERN) JP

———— OTHER RELATED PAPERS ——

PAGE	03	PL B566 108	P. Page, S. Capstick	
ZAIMIDOROGA	99	PAN 30 1	O.A. Zaimidoroga	
		Translated from SJPN 30 5.		
CHEN	83B	PR D28 2304	T.Y. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(ARIZ, FNAL, FLOR, NDAM+)
LEEDOM	83	PR D27 1426	I.D. Leedom <i>et al.</i>	(PURD, TNTO)
BELLINI	82B	NP B199 1	G. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MILA, JINR+)
DAUM	81B	NP B182 269	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
PERNEGR	78	NP B134 436	J. Pernegr <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, CERN, LOIC+)
FOCACCI	66	PRL 17 890	M.N. Focacci <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
LEVRAT	66	PL 22 714	B. Levrat <i>et al.</i>	
VETLITSKY	66	PL 21 579	I.A. Vetlitsky <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
FORINO	65B	PL 19 68	A. Forino <i>et al.</i>	(BGNA, BARI, FIRZ, ORSAY+)