

Quark and Lepton Compositeness, Searches for

SEARCHES FOR QUARK AND LEPTON COMPOSITENESS

Revised 2001 by K. Hagiwara (KEK), and K. Hikasa and M. Tanabashi (Tohoku University).

If quarks and leptons are made of constituents, then at the scale of constituent binding energies, there should appear new interactions among quarks and leptons. At energies much below the compositeness scale (Λ), these interactions are suppressed by inverse powers of Λ . The dominant effect should come from the lowest dimensional interactions with four fermions (contact terms), whose most general chirally invariant form reads [1]

$$L = \frac{g^2}{2\Lambda^2} \left[\eta_{LL} \bar{\psi}_L \gamma_\mu \psi_L \bar{\psi}_L \gamma^\mu \psi_L + \eta_{RR} \bar{\psi}_R \gamma_\mu \psi_R \bar{\psi}_R \gamma^\mu \psi_R + 2\eta_{LR} \bar{\psi}_L \gamma_\mu \psi_L \bar{\psi}_R \gamma^\mu \psi_R \right]. \quad (1)$$

Chiral invariance provides a natural explanation why quark and lepton masses are much smaller than their inverse size Λ . We may determine the scale Λ unambiguously by using the above form of the effective interactions; the conventional method [1] is to fix its scale by setting $g^2/4\pi = g^2(\Lambda)/4\pi = 1$ for the new strong interaction coupling and by setting the largest magnitude of the coefficients $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ to be unity. In the following, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= \Lambda_{LL}^\pm \text{ for } (\eta_{LL}, \eta_{RR}, \eta_{LR}) = (\pm 1, 0, 0) , \\ \Lambda &= \Lambda_{RR}^\pm \text{ for } (\eta_{LL}, \eta_{RR}, \eta_{LR}) = (0, \pm 1, 0) , \\ \Lambda &= \Lambda_{VV}^\pm \text{ for } (\eta_{LL}, \eta_{RR}, \eta_{LR}) = (\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1) , \\ \Lambda &= \Lambda_{AA}^\pm \text{ for } (\eta_{LL}, \eta_{RR}, \eta_{LR}) = (\pm 1, \pm 1, \mp 1) , \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

as typical examples. Such interactions can arise by constituent interchange (when the fermions have common constituents, *e.g.*, for $ee \rightarrow ee$) and/or by exchange of the binding quanta (whenever binding quanta couple to constituents of both particles).

Another typical consequence of compositeness is the appearance of excited leptons and quarks (ℓ^* and q^*). Phenomenologically, an excited lepton is defined to be a heavy lepton which shares leptonic quantum number with one of the existing leptons (an excited quark is defined similarly). For example, an excited electron e^* is characterized by a nonzero transition-magnetic coupling with electrons. Smallness of the lepton mass and the success of QED prediction for $g-2$ suggest chirality conservation, *i.e.*, an excited lepton should not couple to both left- and right-handed components of the corresponding lepton.

Excited leptons may be classified by $SU(2) \times U(1)$ quantum numbers. Typical examples are:

1. Sequential type

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu^* \\ \ell^* \end{pmatrix}_L, \quad [\nu_R^*], \quad \ell_R^*.$$

ν_R^* is necessary unless ν^* has a Majorana mass.

2. Mirror type

$$[\nu_L^*], \quad \ell_L^*, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \nu^* \\ \ell^* \end{pmatrix}_R.$$

3. Homodoublet type

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu^* \\ \ell^* \end{pmatrix}_L, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \nu^* \\ \ell^* \end{pmatrix}_R.$$

Similar classification can be made for excited quarks.

Excited fermions can be pair produced via their gauge couplings. The couplings of excited leptons with Z are listed

	Sequential type	Mirror type	Homodoublet type
V^{ℓ^*}	$-\frac{1}{2} + 2 \sin^2 \theta_W$	$-\frac{1}{2} + 2 \sin^2 \theta_W$	$-1 + 2 \sin^2 \theta_W$
A^{ℓ^*}	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$+\frac{1}{2}$	0
$V^{\nu_D^*}$	$+\frac{1}{2}$	$+\frac{1}{2}$	+1
$A^{\nu_D^*}$	$+\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0
$V^{\nu_M^*}$	0	0	—
$A^{\nu_M^*}$	+1	-1	—

in the following table (for notation see Eq. (1) in “Standard Model of Electroweak Interactions”):

Here ν_D^* (ν_M^*) stands for Dirac (Majorana) excited neutrino. The corresponding couplings of excited quarks can be easily obtained. Although form factor effects can be present for the gauge couplings at $q^2 \neq 0$, they are usually neglected.

In addition, transition magnetic type couplings with a gauge boson are expected. These couplings can be generally parameterized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} = & \frac{\lambda_\gamma^{(f^*)} e}{2m_{f^*}} \bar{f}^* \sigma^{\mu\nu} (\eta_L \frac{1-\gamma_5}{2} + \eta_R \frac{1+\gamma_5}{2}) f F_{\mu\nu} \\
& + \frac{\lambda_Z^{(f^*)} e}{2m_{f^*}} \bar{f}^* \sigma^{\mu\nu} (\eta_L \frac{1-\gamma_5}{2} + \eta_R \frac{1+\gamma_5}{2}) f Z_{\mu\nu} \\
& + \frac{\lambda_W^{(\ell^*)} g}{2m_{\ell^*}} \bar{\ell}^* \sigma^{\mu\nu} \frac{1-\gamma_5}{2} \nu W_{\mu\nu} \\
& + \frac{\lambda_W^{(\nu^*)} g}{2m_{\nu^*}} \bar{\nu}^* \sigma^{\mu\nu} (\eta_L \frac{1-\gamma_5}{2} + \eta_R \frac{1+\gamma_5}{2}) \ell W_{\mu\nu}^\dagger \\
& + \text{h.c.}, \tag{3}
\end{aligned}$$

where $g = e/\sin\theta_W$, $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the photon field strength, $Z_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu Z_\nu - \partial_\nu Z_\mu$, etc. The normalization of the coupling is chosen such that

$$\max(|\eta_L|, |\eta_R|) = 1 .$$

Chirality conservation requires

$$\eta_L \eta_R = 0 . \quad (4)$$

Some experimental analyses assume the relation $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$, which violates chiral symmetry. We encode the results of such analyses if the crucial part of the cross section is proportional to the factor $\eta_L^2 + \eta_R^2$ and the limits can be reinterpreted as those for chirality conserving cases $(\eta_L, \eta_R) = (1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$ after rescaling λ .

These couplings in Eq. (3) can arise from $SU(2) \times U(1)$ -invariant higher-dimensional interactions. A well-studied model is the interaction of homodoublet type ℓ^* with the Lagrangian [2,3]

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \overline{L}^* \sigma^{\mu\nu} (gf \frac{\tau^a}{2} W_{\mu\nu}^a + g' f' Y B_{\mu\nu}) \frac{1-\gamma_5}{2} L + \text{h.c.} , \quad (5)$$

where L denotes the lepton doublet (ν, ℓ) , Λ is the compositeness scale, g , g' are $SU(2)$ and $U(1)_Y$ gauge couplings, and $W_{\mu\nu}^a$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$ are the field strengths for $SU(2)$ and $U(1)_Y$ gauge fields. The same interaction occurs for mirror-type excited leptons. For sequential-type excited leptons, the ℓ^* and ν^* couplings become unrelated, and the couplings receive the extra suppression of $(250 \text{ GeV})/\Lambda$ or m_{L^*}/Λ . In any case, these couplings satisfy the relation

$$\lambda_W = -\sqrt{2} \sin^2 \theta_W (\lambda_Z \cot \theta_W + \lambda_\gamma) . \quad (6)$$

Additional coupling with gluons is possible for excited quarks:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \overline{Q}^* \sigma^{\mu\nu} \left(g_s f_s \frac{\lambda^a}{2} G_{\mu\nu}^a + g f \frac{\tau^a}{2} W_{\mu\nu}^a + g' f' Y B_{\mu\nu} \right) \\ & \times \frac{1-\gamma_5}{2} Q + \text{h.c.}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where Q denotes a quark doublet, g_s is the QCD gauge coupling, and $G_{\mu\nu}^a$ the gluon field strength.

It should be noted that the electromagnetic radiative decay of $\ell^*(\nu^*)$ is forbidden if $f = -f'$ ($f = f'$). These two possibilities ($f = f'$ and $f = -f'$) are investigated in many analyses of the LEP experiments above the Z pole.

Several different conventions are used by LEP experiments on Z pole to express the transition magnetic couplings. To facilitate comparison, we re-express these in terms of λ_Z and λ_γ using the following relations and taking $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.23$. We assume chiral couplings, *i.e.*, $|c| = |d|$ in the notation of Ref. 2.

1. ALEPH (charged lepton and neutrino)

$$\lambda_Z^{\text{ALEPH}} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_Z \quad (\text{1990 papers}) \quad (8a)$$

$$\frac{2c}{\Lambda} = \frac{\lambda_Z}{m_{\ell^*}[\text{or } m_{\nu^*}]} \quad (\text{for } |c| = |d|) \quad (8b)$$

2. ALEPH (quark)

$$\lambda_u^{\text{ALEPH}} = \frac{\sin \theta_W \cos \theta_W}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{2}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W + \frac{8}{9} \sin^4 \theta_W}} \lambda_Z = 1.11 \lambda_Z \quad (9)$$

3. L3 and DELPHI (charged lepton)

$$\lambda^{\text{L3}} = \lambda_Z^{\text{DELPHI}} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\cot \theta_W - \tan \theta_W} \lambda_Z = -1.10 \lambda_Z \quad (10)$$

4. L3 (neutrino)

$$f_Z^{\text{L3}} = \sqrt{2} \lambda_Z \quad (11)$$

5. OPAL (charged lepton)

$$\frac{f_{\text{OPAL}}}{\Lambda} = -\frac{2}{\cot \theta_W - \tan \theta_W} \frac{\lambda_Z}{m_{\ell^*}} = -1.56 \frac{\lambda_Z}{m_{\ell^*}} \quad (12)$$

6. OPAL (quark)

$$\frac{f_{\text{OPAL}}^c}{\Lambda} = \frac{\lambda_Z}{2m_{q^*}} \quad (\text{for } |c| = |d|) \quad (13)$$

7. DELPHI (charged lepton)

$$\lambda_{\gamma}^{\text{DELPHI}} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_{\gamma} \quad (14)$$

If leptons are made of color triplet and antitriplet constituents, we may expect their color-octet partners. Transitions between the octet leptons (ℓ_8) and the ordinary lepton (ℓ) may take place via the dimension-five interactions

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \sum_{\ell} \left\{ \bar{\ell}_8^{\alpha} g_S F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha} \sigma^{\mu\nu} (\eta_L \ell_L + \eta_R \ell_R) + h.c. \right\} \quad (15)$$

where the summation is over charged leptons and neutrinos. The leptonic chiral invariance implies $\eta_L \eta_R = 0$ as before.

References

1. E.J. Eichten, K.D. Lane, and M.E. Peskin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 811 (1983).
2. K. Hagiwara, S. Komamiya, and D. Zeppenfeld, Z. Phys. **C29**, 115 (1985).
3. N. Cabibbo, L. Maiani, and Y. Srivastava, Phys. Lett. **139B**, 459 (1984).

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(eeee)$

Limits are for Λ_{LL}^{\pm} only. For other cases, see each reference.

$\Lambda_{LL}^+(TeV)$	$\Lambda_{LL}^-(TeV)$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>8.3	>10.3	95	¹ BOURILKOV	01	RVUE $E_{\text{cm}} = 192\text{--}208 \text{ GeV}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>4.7	>6.1	95	² ABBIENDI	04G	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}207 \text{ GeV}$
>3.8	>5.6	95	ABBIENDI	00R OPAL	$E_{cm} = 189 \text{ GeV}$
>4.4	>5.4	95	ABREU	00S DELPHI	$E_{cm} = 183\text{--}189 \text{ GeV}$
>4.3	>4.9	95	ACCIARRI	00P L3	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}189 \text{ GeV}$
>3.5	>3.2	95	BARATE	00I ALEP	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}183 \text{ GeV}$
>6.0	>7.7	95	³ BOURILKOV	00 RVUE	$E_{cm} = 183\text{--}189 \text{ GeV}$
>3.1	>3.8	95	ABBIENDI	99 OPAL	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}136, 161\text{--}172, 183 \text{ GeV}$
>2.2	>2.8	95	ABREU	99A DELPHI	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}172 \text{ GeV}$
>2.7	>2.4	95	ACCIARRI	98J L3	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}172 \text{ GeV}$
>3.0	>2.5	95	ACKERSTAFF	98V OPAL	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}172 \text{ GeV}$
>2.4	>2.2	95	ACKERSTAFF	97C OPAL	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}136, 161 \text{ GeV}$
>1.7	>2.3	95	ARIMA	97 VNS	$E_{cm} = 57.77 \text{ GeV}$
>1.6	>2.0	95	⁴ BUSKULIC	93Q ALEP	$E_{cm} = 88.25\text{--}94.25 \text{ GeV}$
>1.6		95	^{4,5} BUSKULIC	93Q RVUE	
	>2.2	95	BUSKULIC	93Q RVUE	
	>3.6	95	⁶ KROHA	92 RVUE	
>1.3		95	⁶ KROHA	92 RVUE	
>0.7	>2.8	95	BEHREND	91C CELL	$E_{cm} = 35 \text{ GeV}$
>1.3	>1.3	95	KIM	89 AMY	$E_{cm} = 50\text{--}57 \text{ GeV}$
>1.4	>3.3	95	⁷ BRAUNSCH...	88 TASS	$E_{cm} = 12\text{--}46.8 \text{ GeV}$
>1.0	>0.7	95	⁸ FERNANDEZ	87B MAC	$E_{cm} = 29 \text{ GeV}$
>1.1	>1.4	95	⁹ BARTEL	86C JADE	$E_{cm} = 12\text{--}46.8 \text{ GeV}$
>1.17	>0.87	95	¹⁰ DERRICK	86 HRS	$E_{cm} = 29 \text{ GeV}$
>1.1	>0.76	95	¹¹ BERGER	85B PLUT	$E_{cm} = 34.7 \text{ GeV}$

¹ A combined analysis of the data from ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, and OPAL.

² ABBIENDI 04G limits are from $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 130\text{--}207 \text{ GeV}$.

³ A combined analysis of the data from ALEPH, L3, and OPAL.

⁴ BUSKULIC 93Q uses the following prescription to obtain the limit: when the naive 95%CL limit is better than the statistically expected sensitivity for the limit, the latter is adopted for the limit.

⁵ This BUSKULIC 93Q value is from ALEPH data plus PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN data re-analyzed by KROHA 92.

⁶ KROHA 92 limit is from fit to BERGER 85B, BARTEL 86C, DERRICK 86B, FERNANDEZ 87B, BRAUNSCHWEIG 88, BEHREND 91B, and BEHREND 91C. The fit gives $\eta/\Lambda_{LL}^2 = +0.230 \pm 0.206 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$.

⁷ BRAUNSCHWEIG 88 assumed $m_Z = 92 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.23$.

⁸ FERNANDEZ 87B assumed $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.22$.

⁹ BARTEL 86C assumed $m_Z = 93 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.217$.

¹⁰ DERRICK 86 assumed $m_Z = 93 \text{ GeV}$ and $g_V^2 = (-1/2 + 2\sin^2\theta_W)^2 = 0.004$.

¹¹ BERGER 85B assumed $m_Z = 93 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.217$.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(ee\mu\mu)$

Limits are for Λ_{LL}^\pm only. For other cases, see each reference.

$\Lambda_{LL}^+(TeV)$	$\Lambda_{LL}^-(TeV)$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>8.1	> 7.3	95	12 ABBIENDI	04G	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}207 \text{ GeV}$
> 8.5	>3.8	95	ACCIARRI	00P L3	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}189 \text{ GeV}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>7.3	>4.6	95	ABBIENDI	00R OPAL	$E_{cm} = 189$ GeV
>6.6	>6.3	95	ABREU	00S DLPH	$E_{cm} = 183\text{--}189$ GeV
>4.0	>4.7	95	BARATE	00I ALEP	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}183$ GeV
>4.5	>4.3	95	ABBIENDI	99 OPAL	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}136, 161\text{--}172,$ 183 GeV
>3.4	>2.7	95	ABREU	99A DLPH	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}172$ GeV
>3.6	>2.4	95	ACCIARRI	98J L3	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}172$ GeV
>2.9	>3.4	95	ACKERSTAFF	98V OPAL	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}172$ GeV
>3.1	>2.0	95	MIURA	98 VNS	$E_{cm} = 57.77$ GeV
>2.4	>2.9	95	ACKERSTAFF	97C OPAL	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}136, 161$ GeV
>1.7	>2.2	95	13 VELISSARIS	94 AMY	$E_{cm} = 57.8$ GeV
>1.3	>1.5	95	13 BUSKULIC	93Q ALEP	$E_{cm} = 88.25\text{--}94.25$ GeV
>2.6	>1.9	95	13,14 BUSKULIC	93Q RVUE	
>2.3	>2.0	95	HOWELL	92 TOPZ	$E_{cm} = 52\text{--}61.4$ GeV
	>1.7	95	15 KROHA	92 RVUE	
>2.5	>1.5	95	BEHREND	91C CELL	$E_{cm} = 35\text{--}43$ GeV
>1.6	>2.0	95	16 ABE	90I VNS	$E_{cm} = 50\text{--}60.8$ GeV
>1.9	>1.0	95	KIM	89 AMY	$E_{cm} = 50\text{--}57$ GeV
>2.3	>1.3	95	BRAUNSCH...	88D TASS	$E_{cm} = 30\text{--}46.8$ GeV
>4.4	>2.1	95	17 BARTEL	86C JADE	$E_{cm} = 12\text{--}46.8$ GeV
>2.9	>0.86	95	18 BERGER	85 PLUT	$E_{cm} = 34.7$ GeV

¹² ABBIENDI 04G limits are from $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu$ cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 130\text{--}207$ GeV.

¹³ BUSKULIC 93Q and VELISSARIS 94 use the following prescription to obtain the limit:
when the naive 95%CL limit is better than the statistically expected sensitivity for the
limit, the latter is adopted for the limit.

¹⁴ This BUSKULIC 93Q value is from ALEPH data plus PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN data re-analyzed by KROHA 92.

¹⁵ KROHA 92 limit is from fit to BARTEL 86C, BEHREND 87C, BRAUNSCHWEIG 88D,
BRAUNSCHWEIG 89C, ABE 90I, and BEHREND 91C. The fit gives $\eta/\Lambda_{LL}^2 = -0.155 \pm$
 0.095 TeV $^{-2}$.

¹⁶ ABE 90I assumed $m_Z = 91.163$ GeV and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.231$.

¹⁷ BARTEL 86C assumed $m_Z = 93$ GeV and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.217$.

¹⁸ BERGER 85 assumed $m_Z = 93$ GeV and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.217$.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(ee\tau\tau)$

Limits are for Λ_{LL}^\pm only. For other cases, see each reference.

$\Lambda_{LL}^+(TeV)$	$\Lambda_{LL}^-(TeV)$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>4.9	>7.2	95	19 ABBIENDI	04G	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}207$ GeV
>5.4	>4.7	95	ACCIARRI	00P L3	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}189$ GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>3.9	>6.5	95	ABBIENDI	00R OPAL	$E_{cm} = 189$ GeV
>5.2	>5.4	95	ABREU	00S DLPH	$E_{cm} = 183\text{--}189$ GeV
>3.9	>3.7	95	BARATE	00I ALEP	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}183$ GeV
>3.8	>4.0	95	ABBIENDI	99 OPAL	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}136, 161\text{--}172,$ 183 GeV
>2.8	>2.6	95	ABREU	99A DLPH	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}172$ GeV
>2.4	>2.8	95	ACCIARRI	98J L3	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}172$ GeV
>2.3	>3.7	95	ACKERSTAFF	98V OPAL	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}172$ GeV

>1.9	>3.0	95	ACKERSTAFF	97C OPAL	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}136, 161 \text{ GeV}$
>1.4	>2.0	95	20 VELISSARIS	94 AMY	$E_{cm} = 57.8 \text{ GeV}$
>1.0	>1.5	95	20 BUSKULIC	93Q ALEP	$E_{cm} = 88.25\text{--}94.25 \text{ GeV}$
>1.8	>2.3	95	20,21 BUSKULIC	93Q RVUE	
>1.9	>1.7	95	HOWELL	92 TOPZ	$E_{cm} = 52\text{--}61.4 \text{ GeV}$
>1.9	>2.9	95	22 KROHA	92 RVUE	
>1.6	>2.3	95	BEHREND	91C CELL	$E_{cm} = 35\text{--}43 \text{ GeV}$
>1.8	>1.3	95	23 ABE	90I VNS	$E_{cm} = 50\text{--}60.8 \text{ GeV}$
>2.2	>3.2	95	24 BARTEL	86 JADE	$E_{cm} = 12\text{--}46.8 \text{ GeV}$

¹⁹ ABBIENDI 04G limits are from $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau$ cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 130\text{--}207 \text{ GeV}$.

²⁰ BUSKULIC 93Q and VELISSARIS 94 use the following prescription to obtain the limit: when the naive 95%CL limit is better than the statistically expected sensitivity for the limit, the latter is adopted for the limit.

²¹ This BUSKULIC 93Q value is from ALEPH data plus PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN data re-analyzed by KROHA 92.

²² KROHA 92 limit is from fit to BARTEL 86C BEHREND 89B, BRAUNSCHWEIG 89C, ABE 90I, and BEHREND 91C. The fit gives $\eta/\Lambda_{LL}^2 = +0.095 \pm 0.120 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$.

²³ ABE 90I assumed $m_Z = 91.163 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.231$.

²⁴ BARTEL 86 assumed $m_Z = 93 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.217$.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(\ell\ell\ell\ell)$

Lepton universality assumed. Limits are for Λ_{LL}^\pm only. For other cases, see each reference.

$\Lambda_{LL}^+(TeV)$	$\Lambda_{LL}^-(TeV)$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>7.7	>9.5	95	25 ABBIENDI	04G	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}207 \text{ GeV}$
>9.0	>5.2	95	ACCIARRI	00P L3	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}189 \text{ GeV}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>6.4	>7.2	95	ABBIENDI	00R OPAL	$E_{cm} = 189 \text{ GeV}$
>7.3	>7.8	95	ABREU	00S DLPH	$E_{cm} = 183\text{--}189 \text{ GeV}$
>5.3	>5.5	95	BARATE	00I ALEP	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}183 \text{ GeV}$
>5.2	>5.3	95	ABBIENDI	99 OPAL	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}136, 161\text{--}172, 183 \text{ GeV}$
>4.4	>4.2	95	ABREU	99A DLPH	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}172 \text{ GeV}$
>4.0	>3.1	95	27 ACCIARRI	98J L3	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}172 \text{ GeV}$
>3.4	>4.4	95	ACKERSTAFF	98V OPAL	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}172 \text{ GeV}$
>2.7	>3.8	95	ACKERSTAFF	97C OPAL	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}136, 161 \text{ GeV}$
>3.0	>2.3	95	27,28 BUSKULIC	93Q ALEP	$E_{cm} = 88.25\text{--}94.25 \text{ GeV}$
>3.5	>2.8	95	28,29 BUSKULIC	93Q RVUE	
>2.5	>2.2	95	30 HOWELL	92 TOPZ	$E_{cm} = 52\text{--}61.4 \text{ GeV}$
>3.4	>2.7	95	31 KROHA	92 RVUE	

²⁵ ABBIENDI 04G limits are from $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$ cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 130\text{--}207 \text{ GeV}$.

²⁶ BABICH 03 obtain a bound $-0.175 \text{ TeV}^{-2} < 1/\Lambda_{LL}^2 < 0.095 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$ (95%CL) in a model independent analysis allowing all of $\Lambda_{LL}, \Lambda_{LR}, \Lambda_{RL}, \Lambda_{RR}$ to coexist.

²⁷ From $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^-, \mu^+ \mu^-, \text{ and } \tau^+ \tau^-$.

²⁸ BUSKULIC 93Q uses the following prescription to obtain the limit: when the naive 95%CL limit is better than the statistically expected sensitivity for the limit, the latter is adopted for the limit.

- ²⁹This BUSKULIC 93Q value is from ALEPH data plus PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN data re-analyzed by KROHA 92.
³⁰HOWELL 92 limit is from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ and $\tau^+\tau^-$.
³¹KROHA 92 limit is from fit to most PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN data. The fit gives $\eta/\Lambda_{LL}^2 = -0.0200 \pm 0.0666 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$.
-

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(eeqq)$

Limits are for Λ_{LL}^\pm only. For other cases, see each reference.

$\Lambda_{LL}^+(TeV)$	$\Lambda_{LL}^-(TeV)$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>23.3	>12.5	95	32 CHEUNG	01B RVUE	($eeuu$)
>11.1	>26.4	95	32 CHEUNG	01B RVUE	($eedd$)
> 5.6	>4.9	95	33 BARATE	00I ALEP	($eebb$)
> 1.0	>2.1	95	34 ABREU	99A DLPH	($eecc$)
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
> 8.2	>3.7	95	35 ABBIENDI	04G	($eeqq$)
> 5.9	>9.1	95	35 ABBIENDI	04G	($eeuu$)
> 8.6	>5.5	95	35 ABBIENDI	04G	($eedd$)
> 2.7	>1.7	95	CHEKANOV	04B ZEUS	($eeqq$)
> 2.8	>1.6	95	36 ADLOFF	03 H1	($eeqq$)
> 2.7	>2.7	95	37 ACHARD	02J L3	($eetc$)
> 5.5	>3.1	95	38 ABBIENDI	00R OPAL	($eeqq$)
> 4.9	>6.1	95	38 ABBIENDI	00R OPAL	($eeuu$)
> 5.7	>4.5	95	38 ABBIENDI	00R OPAL	($eedd$)
> 4.2	>2.8	95	39 ACCIARRI	00P L3	($eeqq$)
> 2.4	>1.3	95	40 ADLOFF	00 H1	($eeqq$)
> 5.4	>6.2	95	41 BARATE	00I ALEP	($eeqq$)
			42 BREITWEG	00B ZEUS	
> 4.4	>2.8	95	43 ABBIENDI	99 OPAL	($eeqq$)
> 4.0	>4.8	95	44 ABBIENDI	99 OPAL	($eebb$)
> 3.3	>4.2	95	45 ABBOTT	99D D0	($eeqq$)
> 2.4	>2.8	95	34 ABREU	99A DLPH	($eeqq$) (<i>d or s quark</i>)
> 4.4	>3.9	95	34 ABREU	99A DLPH	($eebb$)
> 1.0	>2.4	95	34 ABREU	99A DLPH	($eeuu$)
> 4.0	>3.4	95	46 ZARNECKI	99 RVUE	($eedd$)
> 4.3	>5.6	95	46 ZARNECKI	99 RVUE	($eeuu$)
> 3.0	>2.1	95	47 ACCIARRI	98J L3	($eeqq$)
> 3.4	>2.2	95	48 ACKERSTAFF	98V OPAL	($eeqq$)
> 4.0	>2.8	95	49 ACKERSTAFF	98V OPAL	($eebb$)
> 9.3	>12.0	95	50 BARGER	98E RVUE	($eeuu$)
> 8.8	>11.9	95	50 BARGER	98E RVUE	($eedd$)
> 2.5	>3.7	95	51 ABE	97T CDF	($eeqq$) (<i>isosinglet</i>)
> 2.5	>2.1	95	52 ACKERSTAFF	97C OPAL	($eeqq$)
> 3.1	>2.9	95	53 ACKERSTAFF	97C OPAL	($eebb$)
> 7.4	>11.7	95	54 DEANDREA	97 RVUE	$eeuu$, atomic parity violation
> 2.3	>1.0	95	55 AID	95 H1	($eeqq$) (<i>u, d quarks</i>)
1.7	>2.2	95	56 ABE	91D CDF	($eeqq$) (<i>u, d quarks</i>)
> 1.2		95	57 ADACHI	91 TOPZ	($eeqq$) (flavor-universal)

>1.6	95	57 ADACHI	91 TOPZ (eeqq) (flavor-universal)
> 0.6	>1.7	95	58 BEHREND (eecc)
> 1.1	>1.0	95	58 BEHREND (eebb)
> 0.9		95	59 ABE (eeqq) (flavor-universal)
	>1.7	95	59 ABE (eeqq) (flavor-universal)
> 1.05	>1.61	95	60 HAGIWARA (eecc)
> 1.21	>0.53	95	61 HAGIWARA (eebb)

32 CHEUNG 01B is an update of BARGER 98E.

33 BARATE 00I limits are from R_b and jet-charge asymmetry at 130–183 GeV.

34 ABREU 99A limits are from flavor-tagged $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ cross section at 130–172 GeV.

35 ABBIENDI 04G limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 130$ –207 GeV.

36 ADLOFF 03 limits are from the $d\sigma/dQ^2$ measurement of $e^\pm p \rightarrow e^\pm X$.

37 ACHARD 02J limit is from the bound on the $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ cross section. $\Lambda_{LL} = \Lambda_{LR} = \Lambda_{RL} = \Lambda_{RR}$ and $m_t = 175$ GeV are assumed.

38 ABBIENDI 00R limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 130$ –189 GeV.

39 ACCIARRI 00P limit is from $e^+e^- \rightarrow qq$ cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 130$ –189 GeV.

40 ADLOFF 00 limits are from the Q^2 spectrum measurement of $e^+p \rightarrow e^+X$.

41 BARATE 00I limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ cross section and jet-charge asymmetry at 130–183 GeV.

42 BREITWEG 00B limits are from Q^2 spectrum measurement of e^+p collisions. See their Table 3 for the limits of various models.

43 ABBIENDI 99 limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ cross section at 130–136, 161–172, 183 GeV.

44 ABBIENDI 99 limits are from R_b at 130–136, 161–172, 183 GeV.

45 ABBOTT 99D limits are from e^+e^- mass distribution in $p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+e^-X$ at $E_{cm} = 1.8$ TeV.

46 ZARNECKI 99 use data from HERA, LEP, Tevatron, and various low-energy experiments.

47 ACCIARRI 98J limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ cross section at $E_{cm} = 130$ –172 GeV.

48 ACKERSTAFF 98V limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ at $E_{cm} = 130$ –172 GeV.

49 ACKERSTAFF 98V limits are from R_b measurements at $E_{cm} = 130$ –172 GeV.

50 BARGER 98E use data from HERA, LEP, Tevatron, and various low-energy experiments.

51 ABE 97T limits are from e^+e^- mass distribution in $\bar{p}p \rightarrow e^+e^-X$ at $E_{cm} = 1.8$ TeV.

52 ACKERSTAFF 97C limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ cross section at $E_{cm} = 130$ –136 GeV and 161 GeV.

53 ACKERSTAFF 97C limits are R_b measurements at $E_{cm} = 133$ GeV and 161 GeV.

54 DEANDREA 97 limit is from atomic parity violation of cesium. The limit is excluded if the contact interactions are parity conserving.

55 AID 95 limits are from the Q^2 spectrum measurement of $ep \rightarrow eX$.

56 ABE 91D limits are from e^+e^- mass distribution in $p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+e^-X$ at $E_{cm} = 1.8$ TeV.

57 ADACHI 91 limits are from differential jet cross section. Universality of $\Lambda(eeqq)$ for five flavors is assumed.

58 BEHREND 91C is from data at $E_{cm} = 35$ –43 GeV.

59 ABE 89L limits are from jet charge asymmetry. Universality of $\Lambda(eeqq)$ for five flavors is assumed.

60 The HAGIWARA 89 limit is derived from forward-backward asymmetry measurements of D/D^* mesons by ALTHOFF 83C, BARTEL 84E, and BARINGER 88.

61 The HAGIWARA 89 limit is derived from forward-backward asymmetry measurement of b hadrons by BARTEL 84D.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(\mu\mu qq)$

$\Lambda_{LL}^+(TeV)$	$\Lambda_{LL}^-(TeV)$	$CL\%$	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>	<i>TECN</i>	<i>COMMENT</i>
>2.9	>4.2	95	62 ABE	97T CDF	$(\mu\mu qq)$ (isosinglet)
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
>1.4	>1.6	95	ABE	92B CDF	$(\mu\mu qq)$ (isosinglet)
62 ABE 97T limits are from $\mu^+ \mu^-$ mass distribution in $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- X$ at $E_{cm}=1.8$ TeV.					

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(\ell\nu\ell\nu)$

<i>VALUE (TeV)</i>	<i>CL%</i>	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>	<i>TECN</i>	<i>COMMENT</i>
>3.10	90	63 JODIDIO	86 SPEC	$\Lambda_{LR}^{\pm}(\nu_\mu \nu_e \mu e)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
>3.8		64 DIAZCRUZ	94 RVUE	$\Lambda_{LL}^+(\tau \nu_\tau e \nu_e)$
>8.1		64 DIAZCRUZ	94 RVUE	$\Lambda_{LL}^-(\tau \nu_\tau e \nu_e)$
>4.1		65 DIAZCRUZ	94 RVUE	$\Lambda_{LL}^+(\tau \nu_\tau \mu \nu_\mu)$
>6.5		65 DIAZCRUZ	94 RVUE	$\Lambda_{LL}^-(\tau \nu_\tau \mu \nu_\mu)$
63 JODIDIO 86 limit is from $\mu^+ \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\mu e^+ \nu_e$. Chirality invariant interactions $L = (g^2/\Lambda^2)$ $[\eta_{LL} (\bar{\nu}_\mu L \gamma^\alpha \mu_L) (\bar{e}_L \gamma_\alpha \nu_e L) + \eta_{LR} (\bar{\nu}_\mu L \gamma^\alpha \nu_e L) (\bar{e}_R \gamma_\alpha \mu_R)]$ with $g^2/4\pi = 1$ and $(\eta_{LL}, \eta_{LR}) = (0, \pm 1)$ are taken. No limits are given for Λ_{LL}^{\pm} with $(\eta_{LL}, \eta_{LR}) = (\pm 1, 0)$. For more general constraints with right-handed neutrinos and chirality nonconserving contact interactions, see their text.				
64 DIAZCRUZ 94 limits are from $\Gamma(\tau \rightarrow e \nu \nu)$ and assume flavor-dependent contact interactions with $\Lambda(\tau \nu_\tau e \nu_e) \ll \Lambda(\mu \nu_\mu e \nu_e)$.				
65 DIAZCRUZ 94 limits are from $\Gamma(\tau \rightarrow \mu \nu \nu)$ and assume flavor-dependent contact interactions with $\Lambda(\tau \nu_\tau \mu \nu_\mu) \ll \Lambda(\mu \nu_\mu e \nu_e)$.				

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(e\nu qq)$

<i>VALUE (TeV)</i>	<i>CL%</i>	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>	<i>TECN</i>
>2.81	95	66 AFFOLDER	01I CDF
66 AFFOLDER 00I bound is for a scalar interaction $\bar{q}_R q_L \bar{\nu} e_L$.			

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(qqqq)$

Limits are for Λ_{LL}^{\pm} with color-singlet isoscalar exchanges among u_L 's and d_L 's only, unless otherwise noted. See EICHEN 84 for details.

<i>VALUE (TeV)</i>	<i>CL%</i>	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>	<i>TECN</i>	<i>COMMENT</i>
>2.7	95	67 ABBOTT	99C D0	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ dijet mass. Λ_{LL}^+

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>2.0	95	⁶⁸ ABBOTT	00E D0	H_T distribution; Λ_{LL}^+
>2.1	95	⁶⁹ ABBOTT	98G D0	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ dijet angl. Λ_{LL}^+
		70 BERTRAM	98 RVUE	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ dijet mass
		71 ABE	96 CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ jets inclusive
>1.6	95	72 ABE	96S CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ dijet angl.; Λ_{LL}^+
>1.3	95	73 ABE	93G CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ dijet mass
>1.4	95	74 ABE	92D CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ jets inclusive
>1.0	99	75 ABE	92M CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ dijet angl.
>0.825	95	76 ALITTI	91B UA2	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ jets inclusive
>0.700	95	74 ABE	89 CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ jets inclusive
>0.330	95	77 ABE	89H CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ dijet angl.
>0.400	95	78 ARNISON	86C UA1	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ jets inclusive
>0.415	95	79 ARNISON	86D UA1	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ dijet angl.
>0.370	95	80 APPEL	85 UA2	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ jets inclusive
>0.275	95	81 BAGNAIA	84C UA2	Repl. by APPEL 85

⁶⁷ The quoted limit is from inclusive dijet mass spectrum in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{cm}=1.8$ TeV.

ABBOTT 99C also obtain $\Lambda_{LL}^- > 2.4$ TeV. All quarks are assumed composite.

⁶⁸ The quoted limit for ABBOTT 00E is from H_T distribution in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{cm}=1.8$ TeV. CTEQ4M PDF and $\mu=E_T^{\max}$ are assumed. For limits with different assumptions, see their Tables 2 and 3. All quarks are assumed composite.

⁶⁹ ABBOTT 98G limit is from dijet angular distribution in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{cm}=1.8$ TeV. All quarks are assumed composite.

⁷⁰ BERTRAM 98 obtain limit on the scale of color-octet axial-vector flavor-universal contact interactions: $\Lambda_{A8} > 2.1$ TeV. They also obtain a limit $\Lambda_{V8} > 2.4$ TeV on a color-octet flavor-universal vectorial contact interaction.

⁷¹ ABE 96 finds that the inclusive jet cross section for $E_T > 200$ GeV is significantly higher than the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$ perturbative QCD prediction. This could be interpreted as the effect of a contact interaction with $\Lambda_{LL} \sim 1.6$ TeV. However, ABE 96 state that uncertainty in the parton distribution functions, higher-order QCD corrections, and the detector calibration may possibly account for the effect.

⁷² ABE 96S limit is from dijet angular distribution in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{cm}=1.8$ TeV. The limit for Λ_{LL}^- is > 1.4 TeV. ABE 96S also obtain limits for flavor symmetric contact interactions among all quark flavors: $\Lambda_{LL}^+ > 1.8$ TeV and $\Lambda_{LL}^- > 1.6$ TeV.

⁷³ ABE 93G limit is from dijet mass distribution in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{cm}=1.8$ TeV. The limit is the weakest from several choices of structure functions and renormalization scale.

⁷⁴ Limit is from inclusive jet cross-section data in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{cm}=1.8$ TeV. The limit takes into account uncertainties in choice of structure functions and in choice of process scale.

⁷⁵ ABE 92M limit is from dijet angular distribution for $m_{dijet} > 550$ GeV in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{cm}=1.8$ TeV.

⁷⁶ ALITTI 91B limit is from inclusive jet cross section in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{cm}=630$ GeV. The limit takes into account uncertainties in choice of structure functions and in choice of process scale.

⁷⁷ ABE 89H limit is from dijet angular distribution for $m_{dijet} > 200$ GeV at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider with $E_{cm}=1.8$ TeV. The QCD prediction is quite insensitive to choice of structure functions and choice of process scale.

- ⁷⁸ ARNISON 86C limit is from the study of inclusive high- p_T jet distributions at the CERN $\bar{p}p$ collider ($E_{cm} = 546$ and 630 GeV). The QCD prediction renormalized to the low- p_T region gives a good fit to the data.
- ⁷⁹ ARNISON 86D limit is from the study of dijet angular distribution in the range $240 < m(\text{dijet}) < 300$ GeV at the CERN $\bar{p}p$ collider ($E_{cm} = 630$ GeV). QCD prediction using EHLQ structure function (EICHTEN 84) with $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} = 0.2$ GeV for the choice of $Q^2 = p_T^2$ gives the best fit to the data.
- ⁸⁰ APPEL 85 limit is from the study of inclusive high- p_T jet distributions at the CERN $\bar{p}p$ collider ($E_{cm} = 630$ GeV). The QCD prediction renormalized to the low- p_T region gives a good description of the data.
- ⁸¹ BAGNAIA 84C limit is from the study of jet p_T and dijet mass distributions at the CERN $\bar{p}p$ collider ($E_{cm} = 540$ GeV). The limit suffers from the uncertainties in comparing the data with the QCD prediction.
-

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(\nu\nu qq)$

Limits are for Λ_{LL}^\pm only. For other cases, see each reference.

Λ_{LL}^+ (TeV)	Λ_{LL}^- (TeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>5.0	>5.4	95	82 MCFARLAND	98 CCFR	νN scattering

- ⁸² MCFARLAND 98 assumed a flavor universal interaction. Neutrinos were mostly of muon type.
-

MASS LIMITS for Excited e (e^*)

Most e^+e^- experiments assume one-photon or Z exchange. The limits from some e^+e^- experiments which depend on λ have assumed transition couplings which are chirality violating ($\eta_L = \eta_R$). However they can be interpreted as limits for chirality-conserving interactions after multiplying the coupling value λ by $\sqrt{2}$; see Note.

Excited leptons have the same quantum numbers as other ortholeptons. See also the searches for ortholeptons in the "Searches for Heavy Leptons" section.

Limits for Excited e (e^*) from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^{*+}e^{*-}$ and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of e^* . Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. For the case of limits from Z decay, the e^* coupling is assumed to be of sequential type. Possible t channel contribution from transition magnetic coupling is neglected. All limits assume a dominant $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$ decay except the limits from $\Gamma(Z)$.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45**, 1 June, Part II (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>103.2	95	83 ABBIENDI	02G OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^*$ Homodoublet type

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>102.8	95	84 ACHARD	03B L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Homodoublet type
>100.0	95	85 ACCIARRI	01D L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Homodoublet type
> 91.3	95	86 ABBIENDI	00I OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Homodoublet type
> 94.2	95	87 ACCIARRI	00E L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Homodoublet type
> 90.7	95	88 ABREU	990 DLPH	Homodoublet type
> 85.0	95	89 ACKERSTAFF	98C OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Homodoublet type
		90 BARATE	98U ALEP	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*$
> 79.6	95	91,92 ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Homodoublet type
> 77.9	95	91,93 ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Sequential type
> 79.7	95	91 ACCIARRI	97G L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Sequential type
> 79.9	95	91,94 ACKERSTAFF	97 OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Homodoublet type
> 62.5	95	95 ABREU	96K DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Homodoublet type
> 64.7	95	96 ACCIARRI	96D L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Sequential type
> 66.5	95	96 ALEXANDER	96Q OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Homodoublet type
> 65.2	95	96 BUSKULIC	96W ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$ Sequential type
> 45.6	95	ADRIANI	93M L3	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*$
> 45.6	95	ABREU	92C DLPH	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*$
> 29.8	95	97 BARDADIN-...	92 RVUE	$\Gamma(Z)$
> 26.1	95	98 DECAMP	92 ALEP	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*; \Gamma(Z)$
> 46.1	95	DECAMP	92 ALEP	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*$
> 33	95	98 ABREU	91F DLPH	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*; \Gamma(Z)$
> 45.0	95	99 ADEVA	90F L3	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*$
> 44.9	95	AKRAWY	90I OPAL	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*$
> 44.6	95	100 DECAMP	90G ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$
> 30.2	95	ADACHI	89B TOPZ	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$
> 28.3	95	KIM	89 AMY	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$
> 27.9	95	101 ABE	88B VNS	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$

83 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 183\text{--}209$ GeV. $f = f'$ is assumed.

84 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 189\text{--}209$ GeV. $f = f'$ is assumed. ACHARD 03B also obtain limit for $f = -f'$: $m_{e^*} > 96.6$ GeV.

85 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 192\text{--}202$ GeV. $f=f'$ is assumed. ACCIARRI 01D also obtain limit for $f=-f'$: $m_{e^*} > 93.4$ GeV.

86 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161\text{--}183$ GeV. $f=f'$ is assumed. ABBIENDI 00I also obtain limit for $f=-f'$ ($e^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{e^*} > 86.0$ GeV.

87 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=189$ GeV. $f=f'$ is assumed. ACCIARRI 00E also obtain limit for $f=-f'$ ($e^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{e^*} > 92.6$ GeV.

88 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. $f=f'$ is assumed. ABREU 990 also obtain limit for $f=-f'$ ($e^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{e^*} > 81.3$ GeV.

89 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=170\text{--}172$ GeV. ACKERSTAFF 98C also obtain limit from $e^* \rightarrow \nu W$ decay mode: $m_{e^*} > 81.3$ GeV.

90 BARATE 98U obtain limits on the form factor. See their Fig. 14 for limits in mass-form factor plane.

91 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV.

92 ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $e^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{e^*} > 70.9$ GeV.

93 ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $e^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{e^*} > 44.6$ GeV.

⁹⁴ ACKERSTAFF 97 also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $e^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{\nu_e^*} > 77.1$ GeV.

⁹⁵ From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 130$ –136 GeV.

⁹⁶ From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 130$ –140 GeV.

⁹⁷ BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit is independent of decay modes. Based on $\Delta\Gamma(Z) < 36$ MeV.

⁹⁸ Limit is independent of e^* decay mode.

⁹⁹ ADEVA 90F is superseded by ADRIANI 93M.

¹⁰⁰ Superseded by DECAMP 92.

¹⁰¹ ABE 88B limits assume $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^-$ with one photon exchange only and $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$ giving $ee\gamma\gamma$.

Limits for Excited e (e^*) from Single Production

These limits are from $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e$, $W \rightarrow e^* \nu$, or $ep \rightarrow e^* X$ and depend on transition magnetic coupling between e and e^* . All limits assume $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$ decay except as noted. Limits from LEP, UA2, and H1 are for chiral coupling, whereas all other limits are for nonchiral coupling, $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$. In most papers, the limit is expressed in the form of an excluded region in the $\lambda - m_{e^*}$ plane. See the original papers.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45**, 1 June, Part II (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>255	95	102 ADLOFF	02B H1	$ep \rightarrow e^* X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
>209	95	103 ACOSTA	05B CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^* X$
>206	95	104 ACHARD	03B L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
>208	95	105 ABBIENDI	02G OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
>228	95	106 CHEKANOV	02D ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow e^* X$
>202		107 ACCIARRI	01D L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
		108 ABBIENDI	00I OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
		109 ACCIARRI	00E L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
>223	95	110 ADLOFF	00E H1	$ep \rightarrow e^* X$
		111 ABREU	990 DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
none 20–170	95	112 ACCIARRI	98T L3	$e\gamma \rightarrow e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$
		113 ACKERSTAFF	98C OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
		114 BARATE	98U ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
		115,116 ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
		115,117 ACCIARRI	97G L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
		118 ACKERSTAFF	97 OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
		119 ADLOFF	97 H1	Lepton-flavor violation
none 30–200	95	120 BREITWEG	97C ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow e^* X$
		121 ABREU	96K DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
		122 ACCIARRI	96D L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
		123 ALEXANDER	96Q OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
		124 BUSKULIC	96W ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
		125 DERRICK	95B ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow e^* X$
		126 ABT	93 H1	$ep \rightarrow e^* X$
> 86	95	ADRIANI	93M L3	$\lambda_\gamma > 0.04$

> 89	95	ADRIANI	93M L3	$Z \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_Z > 0.5$
		127 DERRICK	93B ZEUS	Superseded by DERRICK 95B
> 88	95	ABREU	92C DLPH	$Z \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_Z > 0.5$
> 86	95	ABREU	92C DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_\gamma > 0.1$
> 91	95	DECAMP	92 ALEP	$Z \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_Z > 1$
> 88	95	128 ADEVA	90F L3	$Z \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_Z > 0.5$
> 86	95	128 ADEVA	90F L3	$Z \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_Z > 0.04$
> 87	95	AKRAWY	90I OPAL	$Z \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_Z > 0.5$
> 81	95	129 DECAMP	90G ALEP	$Z \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_Z > 1$
> 50	95	ADACHI	89B TOPZ	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_\gamma > 0.04$
> 56	95	KIM	89 AMY	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_\gamma > 0.03$
none 23–54	95	130 ABE	88B VNS	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_\gamma > 0.04$
> 75	95	131 ANSARI	87D UA2	$W \rightarrow e^*\nu; \lambda_W > 0.7$
> 63	95	131 ANSARI	87D UA2	$W \rightarrow e^*\nu; \lambda_W > 0.2$
> 40	95	131 ANSARI	87D UA2	$W \rightarrow e^*\nu; \lambda_W > 0.09$

102 ADLOFF 02B search for single e^* production in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma, eZ, \nu W$. $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{e^*}$ is assumed for the e^* coupling. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

103 ACOSTA 05B search for single e^* production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$. $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{e^*}$ is assumed for the e^* coupling. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

104 ACHARD 03B result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 189$ –209 GeV. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

105 ABBIENDI 02G result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 183$ –209 GeV. $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{e^*}$ is assumed for e^* coupling. See their Fig. 4c for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

106 CHEKANOV 02D search for single e^* production in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma, eZ, \nu W$. $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{e^*}$ is assumed for the e^* coupling. See their Fig. 5a for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

107 ACCIARRI 01D result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 192$ –202 GeV. $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{e^*}$ is assumed for the e^* coupling. See their Fig. 4 for limits in the mass-coupling plane.

108 ABBIENDI 00I result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 161$ –183 GeV. See their Fig. 7 for limits in mass-coupling plane.

109 ACCIARRI 00E result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 189$ GeV. See their Fig. 3 for limits in mass-coupling plane.

110 ADLOFF 00E search for single e^* production in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma, eZ, \nu W$. $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{e^*}$ is assumed for the e^* coupling. See their Fig. 9 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

111 ABREU 99O result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 183$ GeV. See their Figs. 4 and 5 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

112 ACCIARRI 98T search for single e^* production in quasi-real Compton scattering. The limit is for $|\lambda| > 1.0 \times 10^{-1}$ and non-chiral coupling of e^* . See their Fig. 7 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

113 ACKERSTAFF 98C from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 170$ –172 GeV. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

114 BARATE 98U is from e^+e^- collision at $\sqrt{s} = M_Z$. See their Fig. 12 for limits in mass-coupling plane

115 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 161$ GeV.

116 See Fig. 4a and Fig. 5a of ABREU 97B for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

- 117 See Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 of ACCIARRI 97G for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
 118 ACKERSTAFF 97 result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 161$ GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
 119 ADLOFF 97 search for single e^* production in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$, eZ , νW . See their Fig. 4 for the rejection limits on the product of the production cross section and the branching ratio into a specific decay channel.
 120 BREITWEG 97C search for single e^* production in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$, eZ , νW . $f=f'=2\Lambda/m_{e^*}$ is assumed for the e^* coupling. See their Fig. 9 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.
 121 ABREU 96K result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 130$ –136 GeV. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
 122 ACCIARRI 96D result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 130$ –140 GeV. See their Fig. 2 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
 123 ALEXANDER 96Q result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 130$ –140 GeV. See their Fig. 3a for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
 124 BUSKULIC 96W result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 130$ –140 GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
 125 DERRICK 95B search for single e^* production via $e^* e\gamma$ coupling in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$, eZ , νW . See their Fig. 13 for the exclusion plot in the m_{e^*} – λ_γ plane.
 126 ABT 93 search for single e^* production via $e^* e\gamma$ coupling in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$, eZ , νW . See their Fig. 4 for exclusion plot in the m_{e^*} – λ_γ plane.
 127 DERRICK 93B search for single e^* production via $e^* e\gamma$ coupling in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$, eZ , νW . See their Fig. 3 for exclusion plot in the m_{e^*} – λ_γ plane.
 128 Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.
 129 Superseded by DECOMP 92.
 130 ABE 88B limits use $e^+ e^- \rightarrow ee^*$ where t-channel photon exchange dominates giving $e\gamma(e)$ (quasi-real compton scattering).
 131 ANSARI 87D is at $E_{cm} = 546$ –630 GeV.

Limits for Excited e (e^*) from $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

These limits are derived from indirect effects due to e^* exchange in the t channel and depend on transition magnetic coupling between e and e^* . All limits are for $\lambda_\gamma = 1$. All limits except ABE 89J and ACHARD 02D are for nonchiral coupling with $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$. We choose the chiral coupling limit as the best limit and list it in the Summary Table.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45**, 1 June, Part II (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>310	95	ACHARD	02D L3	$\sqrt{s} = 192$ –209 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
>356	95	132 ABDALLAH	04N DLPH	$\sqrt{s} = 161$ –208 GeV
>311	95	ABREU	00A DLPH	$\sqrt{s} = 189$ –202 GeV
>283	95	133 ACCIARRI	00G L3	$\sqrt{s} = 183$ –189 GeV
>306	95	ABBIENDI	99P OPAL	$\sqrt{s} = 189$ GeV
>231	95	ABREU	98J DLPH	$\sqrt{s} = 130$ –183 GeV
>194	95	ACKERSTAFF	98 OPAL	$\sqrt{s} = 130$ –172 GeV
>227	95	ACKER..,K...	98B OPAL	$\sqrt{s} = 183$ GeV
>250	95	BARATE	98J ALEP	$\sqrt{s} = 183$ GeV
>160	95	134 BARATE	98U ALEP	
>210	95	135 ACCIARRI	97W L3	$\sqrt{s} = 161, 172$ GeV

>129	95	ACCIARRI	96L L3	$\sqrt{s}=133$ GeV
>147	95	ALEXANDER	96K OPAL	
>136	95	BUSKULIC	96Z ALEP	$\sqrt{s}=130, 136$ GeV
>146	95	ACCIARRI	95G L3	
	136	BUSKULIC	93Q ALEP	
>127	95	137 ADRIANI	92B L3	
>114	95	138 BARDADIN-...	92 RVUE	
> 99	95	DECAMP	92 ALEP	
	139	SHIMOZAWA	92 TOPZ	
>100	95	ABREU	91E DLPH	
>116	95	AKRAWY	91F OPAL	
> 83	95	ADEVA	90K L3	
> 82	95	AKRAWY	90F OPAL	
> 68	95	140 ABE	89J VNS	$\eta_L=1, \eta_R=0$
> 90.2	95	ADACHI	89B TOPZ	
> 65	95	KIM	89 AMY	

132 ABDALLAH 04N also obtain a limit on the excited electron mass with $e e^*$ chiral coupling, $m_{e^*} > 295$ GeV at 95% CL.

133 ACCIARRI 00G also obtain a limit on e^* with chiral coupling, $m_{e^*} > 213$ GeV.

134 BARATE 98U is from $e^+ e^-$ collision at $\sqrt{s}=M_Z$. See their Fig. 5 for limits in mass-coupling plane

135 ACCIARRI 97W also obtain a limit on e^* with chiral coupling, $m_{e^*} > 157$ GeV (95%CL).

136 BUSKULIC 93Q obtain $\Lambda^+ > 121$ GeV (95%CL) from ALEPH experiment and $\Lambda^+ > 135$ GeV from combined TRISTAN and ALEPH data. These limits roughly correspond to limits on m_{e^*} .

137 ADRIANI 92B superseded by ACCIARRI 95G.

138 BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit from fit to the combined data of DECAMP 92, ABREU 91E, ADEVA 90K, AKRAWY 91F.

139 SHIMOZAWA 92 fit the data to the limiting form of the cross section with $m_{e^*} \gg E_{cm}$ and obtain $m_{e^*} > 168$ GeV at 95%CL. Use of the full form would reduce this limit by a few GeV. The statistically unexpected large value is due to fluctuation in the data.

140 The ABE 89J limit assumes chiral coupling. This corresponds to $\lambda_\gamma = 0.7$ for nonchiral coupling.

Indirect Limits for Excited e (e^*)

These limits make use of loop effects involving e^* and are therefore subject to theoretical uncertainty.

VALUE (GeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
141 DORENBOS...	89 CHRM	$\bar{\nu}_\mu e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\mu e$ and $\nu_\mu e \rightarrow \nu_\mu e$	
142 GRIFOLS	86 THEO	$\nu_\mu e \rightarrow \nu_\mu e$	
143 RENARD	82 THEO	$g-2$ of electron	

141 DORENBOSCH 89 obtain the limit $\lambda_\gamma^2 \Lambda_{cut}^2 / m_{e^*}^2 < 2.6$ (95% CL), where Λ_{cut} is the cutoff scale, based on the one-loop calculation by GRIFOLS 86. If one assumes that $\Lambda_{cut} = 1$ TeV and $\lambda_\gamma = 1$, one obtains $m_{e^*} > 620$ GeV. However, one generally expects $\lambda_\gamma \approx m_{e^*}/\Lambda_{cut}$ in composite models.

142 GRIFOLS 86 uses $\nu_\mu e \rightarrow \nu_\mu e$ and $\bar{\nu}_\mu e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\mu e$ data from CHARM Collaboration to derive mass limits which depend on the scale of compositeness.

¹⁴³ RENARD 82 derived from $g-2$ data limits on mass and couplings of e^* and μ^* . See figures 2 and 3 of the paper.

MASS LIMITS for Excited μ (μ^*)

Limits for Excited μ (μ^*) from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* + \mu^{*-}$ and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of μ^* . Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. For the case of limits from Z decay, the μ^* coupling is assumed to be of sequential type. All limits assume a dominant $\mu^* \rightarrow \mu\gamma$ decay except the limits from $\Gamma(Z)$.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45**, 1 June, Part II (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>103.2	95	¹⁴⁴ ABBIENDI	02G OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Homodoublet type
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
>102.8	95	¹⁴⁵ ACHARD	03B L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Homodoublet type
>100.2	95	¹⁴⁶ ACCIARRI	01D L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Homodoublet type
> 91.3	95	¹⁴⁷ ABBIENDI	00I OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Homodoublet type
> 94.2	95	¹⁴⁸ ACCIARRI	00E L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Homodoublet type
> 90.7	95	¹⁴⁹ ABREU	990 DLPH	Homodoublet type
> 85.3	95	¹⁵⁰ ACKERSTAFF	98C OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Homodoublet type
		¹⁵¹ BARATE	98U ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$
> 79.6	95	^{152,153} ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Homodoublet type
> 78.4	95	^{152,154} ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Sequential type
> 79.9	95	¹⁵² ACCIARRI	97G L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Sequential type
> 80.0	95	^{152,155} ACKERSTAFF	97 OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Homodoublet type
> 62.6	95	¹⁵⁶ ABREU	96K DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Homodoublet type
> 64.9	95	¹⁵⁷ ACCIARRI	96D L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Sequential type
> 66.8	95	¹⁵⁷ ALEXANDER	96Q OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Homodoublet type
> 65.4	95	¹⁵⁷ BUSKULIC	96W ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Sequential type
> 45.6	95	ADRIANI	93M L3	$Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$
> 45.6	95	ABREU	92C DLPH	$Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$
> 29.8	95	¹⁵⁸ BARDADIN...	92 RVUE	$\Gamma(Z)$
> 26.1	95	¹⁵⁹ DECAMP	92 ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*; \Gamma(Z)$
> 46.1	95	DECAMP	92 ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$
> 33	95	¹⁵⁹ ABREU	91F DLPH	$Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*; \Gamma(Z)$
> 45.3	95	¹⁶⁰ ADEVA	90F L3	$Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$
> 44.9	95	AKRAWY	90I OPAL	$Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$
> 44.6	95	¹⁶¹ DECAMP	90G ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$
> 29.9	95	ADACHI	89B TOPZ	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$
> 28.3	95	KIM	89 AMY	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$

¹⁴⁴ From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 183\text{--}209$ GeV. $f = f'$ is assumed.

¹⁴⁵ From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 189\text{--}209$ GeV. $f = f'$ is assumed. ACHARD 03B also obtain limit for $f = -f'$: $m_{\mu^*} > 96.6$ GeV.

¹⁴⁶ From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 192\text{--}202$ GeV. $f=f'$ is assumed. ACCIARRI 01D also obtain limit for $f=-f'$: $m_{\mu^*} > 93.4$ GeV.

- 147 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161\text{--}183$ GeV. $f=f'$ is assumed. ABBIENDI 00I also obtain limit for $f=-f'$ ($\mu^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{\mu^*} > 86.0$ GeV.
- 148 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=189$ GeV. $f=f'$ is assumed. ACCIARRI 00E also obtain limit for $f=-f'$ ($\mu^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{\mu^*} > 92.6$ GeV.
- 149 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. $f=f'$ is assumed. ABREU 99O also obtain limit for $f=-f'$ ($\mu^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{\mu^*} > 81.3$ GeV.
- 150 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=170\text{--}172$ GeV. ACKERSTAFF 98C also obtain limit from $\mu^* \rightarrow \nu W$ decay mode: $m_{\mu^*} > 81.3$ GeV.
- 151 BARATE 98U obtain limits on the form factor. See their Fig. 14 for limits in mass-form factor plane.
- 152 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV.
- 153 ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\mu^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{\mu^*} > 70.9$ GeV.
- 154 ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\mu^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{\mu^*} > 44.6$ GeV.
- 155 ACKERSTAFF 97 also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\mu^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{\nu_\mu^*} > 77.1$ GeV.
- 156 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130\text{--}136$ GeV.
- 157 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130\text{--}140$ GeV.
- 158 BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit is independent of decay modes. Based on $\Delta\Gamma(Z) < 36$ MeV.
- 159 Limit is independent of μ^* decay mode.
- 160 Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.
- 161 Superseded by DECOMP 92.

Limits for Excited μ (μ^*) from Single Production

These limits are from $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu$ and depend on transition magnetic coupling between μ and μ^* . All limits assume $\mu^* \rightarrow \mu\gamma$ decay. Limits from LEP are for chiral coupling, whereas all other limits are for nonchiral coupling, $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$. In most papers, the limit is expressed in the form of an excluded region in the $\lambda-m_{\mu^*}$ plane. See the original papers.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45**, 1 June, Part II (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>190	95	162 ABBIENDI	02G OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
>180	95	163 ACHARD	03B L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$
>178	95	164 ACCIARRI	01D L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$
		165 ABBIENDI	00I OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$
		166 ACCIARRI	00E L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$
		167 ABREU	99O DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$
		168 ACKERSTAFF	98C OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$
		169 BARATE	98U ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$
170,171	ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$	
170,172	ACCIARRI	97G L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$	
173	ACKERSTAFF	97 OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$	
174	ABREU	96K DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$	

175	ACCIARRI	96D L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$
176	ALEXANDER	96Q OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$
177	BUSKULIC	96W ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$
> 89	95	ADRIANI	93M L3 $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu^*, \lambda_Z > 0.5$
> 88	95	ABREU	92C DLPH $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu^*, \lambda_Z > 0.5$
> 91	95	DECAMP	92 ALEP $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu^*, \lambda_Z > 1$
> 85	95	178 ADEVA	90F L3 $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu^*, \lambda_Z > 1$
> 75	95	178 ADEVA	90F L3 $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu^*, \lambda_Z > 0.1$
> 87	95	AKRAWY	90I OPAL $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu^*, \lambda_Z > 1$
> 80	95	179 DECAMP	90G ALEP $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*, \lambda_Z = 1$
> 50	95	ADACHI	89B TOPZ $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*, \lambda_\gamma = 0.7$
> 46	95	KIM	89 AMY $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*, \lambda_\gamma = 0.2$

162 ABBIENDI 02G result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 183\text{--}209$ GeV. $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{\mu^*}$

is assumed for μ^* coupling. See their Fig. 4c for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

163 ACHARD 03B result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 189\text{--}209$ GeV. $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{\mu^*}$ is assumed. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

164 ACCIARRI 01D result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 192\text{--}202$ GeV. $f=f'=\Lambda/m_{\mu^*}$ is assumed for the μ^* coupling. See their Fig. 4 for limits in the mass-coupling plane.

165 ABBIENDI 00I result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161\text{--}183$ GeV. See their Fig. 7 for limits in mass-coupling plane.

166 ACCIARRI 00E result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=189$ GeV. See their Fig. 3 for limits in mass-coupling plane.

167 ABREU 99O result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. See their Figs. 4 and 5 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

168 ACKERSTAFF 98C from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=170\text{--}172$ GeV. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

169 BARATE 98U obtain limits on the $Z\mu\mu^*$ coupling. See their Fig. 12 for limits in mass-coupling plane

170 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV.

171 See Fig. 4a and Fig. 5a of ABREU 97B for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

172 See Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 of ACCIARRI 97G for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

173 ACKERSTAFF 97 result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

174 ABREU 96K result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130\text{--}136$ GeV. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

175 ACCIARRI 96D result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130\text{--}140$ GeV. See their Fig. 2 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

176 ALEXANDER 96Q result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130\text{--}140$ GeV. See their Fig. 3a for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

177 BUSKULIC 96W result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130\text{--}140$ GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

178 Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.

179 Superseded by DECAMP 92.

Indirect Limits for Excited μ (μ^*)

These limits make use of loop effects involving μ^* and are therefore subject to theoretical uncertainty.

VALUE (GeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
180	RENDAR	82	THEO $g-2$ of muon
180 RENARD 82 derived from $g-2$ data limits on mass and couplings of e^* and μ^* . See figures 2 and 3 of the paper.			

MASS LIMITS for Excited τ (τ^*)

Limits for Excited τ (τ^*) from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$ and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of τ^* . Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. For the case of limits from Z decay, the τ^* coupling is assumed to be of sequential type. All limits assume a dominant $\tau^* \rightarrow \tau \gamma$ decay except the limits from $\Gamma(Z)$.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45**, 1 June, Part II (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>103.2	95	181 ABBIENDI	02G OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$ Homodoublet type
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
>102.8	95	182 ACHARD	03B L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$ Homodoublet type
> 99.8	95	183 ACCIARRI	01D L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$ Homodoublet type
> 91.2	95	184 ABBIENDI	00I OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$ Homodoublet type
> 94.2	95	185 ACCIARRI	00E L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$ Homodoublet type
> 89.7	95	186 ABREU	990 DLPH	Homodoublet type
> 84.6	95	187 ACKERSTAFF	98C OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$ Homodoublet type
		188 BARATE	98U ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$
> 79.4	95	189,190 ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$ Homodoublet type
> 77.4	95	189,191 ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$ Sequential type
> 79.3	95	189 ACCIARRI	97G L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$ Sequential type
> 79.1	95	189,192 ACKERSTAFF	97 OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$ Homodoublet type
> 62.2	95	193 ABREU	96K DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$ Homodoublet type
> 64.2	95	194 ACCIARRI	96D L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$ Sequential type
> 65.3	95	194 ALEXANDER	96Q OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$ Homodoublet type
> 64.8	95	194 BUSKULIC	96W ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$ Sequential type
> 45.6	95	ADRIANI	93M L3	$Z \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$
> 45.3	95	ABREU	92C DLPH	$Z \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$
> 29.8	95	195 BARDADIN...	92 RVUE	$\Gamma(Z)$
> 26.1	95	196 DECAMP	92 ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*; \Gamma(Z)$
> 46.0	95	DECAMP	92 ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$
> 33	95	196 ABREU	91F DLPH	$Z \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*; \Gamma(Z)$
> 45.5	95	197 ADEVA	90L L3	$Z \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$
> 44.9	95	AKRAWY	90I OPAL	$Z \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$
> 41.2	95	198 DECAMP	90G ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$
> 29.0	95	ADACHI	89B TOPZ	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*$

- 181 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 183\text{--}209$ GeV. $f = f'$ is assumed.
- 182 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 189\text{--}209$ GeV. $f = f'$ is assumed. ACHARD 03B also obtain limit for $f = -f'$: $m_{\tau^*} > 96.6$ GeV.
- 183 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 192\text{--}202$ GeV. $f=f'$ is assumed. ACCIARRI 01D also obtain limit for $f=-f'$: $m_{\tau^*} > 93.4$ GeV.
- 184 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161\text{--}183$ GeV. $f=f'$ is assumed. ABBIENDI 00I also obtain limit for $f=-f'$ ($\tau^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{\tau^*} > 86.0$ GeV.
- 185 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=189$ GeV. $f=f'$ is assumed. ACCIARRI 00E also obtain limit for $f=-f'$ ($\tau^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{\tau^*} > 92.6$ GeV.
- 186 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. $f=f'$ is assumed. ABREU 99O also obtain limit for $f=-f'$ ($\tau^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{\tau^*} > 81.3$ GeV.
- 187 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=170\text{--}172$ GeV. ACKERSTAFF 98C also obtain limit from $\tau^* \rightarrow \nu W$ decay mode: $m_{\tau^*} > 81.3$ GeV.
- 188 BARATE 98U obtain limits on the form factor. See their Fig. 14 for limits in mass-form factor plane.
- 189 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV.
- 190 ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\tau^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{\tau^*} > 70.9$ GeV.
- 191 ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\tau^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{\tau^*} > 44.6$ GeV.
- 192 ACKERSTAFF 97 also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\tau^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{\nu_\tau^*} > 77.1$ GeV.
- 193 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130\text{--}136$ GeV.
- 194 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130\text{--}140$ GeV.
- 195 BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit is independent of decay modes. Based on $\Delta\Gamma(Z) < 36$ MeV.
- 196 Limit is independent of τ^* decay mode.
- 197 Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.
- 198 Superseded by DECOMP 92.

Limits for Excited τ (τ^*) from Single Production

These limits are from $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^* \tau$ and depend on transition magnetic coupling between τ and τ^* . All limits assume $\tau^* \rightarrow \tau \gamma$ decay. Limits from LEP are for chiral coupling, whereas all other limits are for nonchiral coupling, $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$. In most papers, the limit is expressed in the form of an excluded region in the $\lambda-m_{\tau^*}$ plane. See the original papers.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>185	95	199 ABBIENDI	02G OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau \tau^*$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
>180	95	200 ACHARD	03B L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau \tau^*$
>173	95	201 ACCIARRI	01D L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau \tau^*$
		202 ABBIENDI	00I OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau \tau^*$
		203 ACCIARRI	00E L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau \tau^*$
		204 ABREU	99O DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau \tau^*$
		205 ACKERSTAFF	98C OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau \tau^*$
		206 BARATE	98U ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \tau \tau^*$
207,208		ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau \tau^*$
207,209		ACCIARRI	97G L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau \tau^*$
210		ACKERSTAFF	97 OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau \tau^*$

211	ABREU	96K DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
212	ACCIARRI	96D L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
213	ALEXANDER	96Q OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
214	BUSKULIC	96W ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
> 88	95	ADRIANI	93M L3 $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau^*, \lambda_Z > 0.5$
> 87	95	ABREU	92C DLPH $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau^*, \lambda_Z > 0.5$
> 90	95	DECAMP	92 ALEP $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau^*, \lambda_Z > 0.18$
> 88	95	215 ADEVA	90L L3 $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau^*, \lambda_Z > 1$
> 86.5	95	AKRAWY	90I OPAL $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau^*, \lambda_Z > 1$
> 59	95	216 DECAMP	90G ALEP $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau^*, \lambda_Z = 1$
> 40	95	217 BARTEL	86 JADE $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*, \lambda_\gamma = 1$
> 41.4	95	218 BEHREND	86 CELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*, \lambda_\gamma = 1$
> 40.8	95	218 BEHREND	86 CELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*, \lambda_\gamma = 0.7$

199 ABBIENDI 02G result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 183\text{--}209$ GeV. $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{\tau^*}$ is assumed for τ^* coupling. See their Fig. 4c for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

200 ACHARD 03B result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 189\text{--}209$ GeV. $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{\tau^*}$ is assumed. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

201 ACCIARRI 01D result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 192\text{--}202$ GeV. $f=f'=\Lambda/m_{\tau^*}$ is assumed for the τ^* coupling. See their Fig. 4 for limits in the mass-coupling plane.

202 ABBIENDI 00I result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161\text{--}183$ GeV. See their Fig. 7 for limits in mass-coupling plane.

203 ACCIARRI 00E result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=189$ GeV. See their Fig. 3 for limits in mass-coupling plane.

204 ABREU 990 result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. See their Figs. 4 and 5 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

205 ACKERSTAFF 98C from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=170\text{--}172$ GeV. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

206 BARATE 98U obtain limits on the $Z\tau\tau^*$ coupling. See their Fig. 12 for limits in mass-coupling plane

207 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV.

208 See Fig. 4a and Fig. 5a of ABREU 97B for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

209 See Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 of ACCIARRI 97G for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

210 ACKERSTAFF 97 result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

211 ABREU 96K result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130\text{--}136$ GeV. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

212 ACCIARRI 96D result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130\text{--}140$ GeV. See their Fig. 2 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

213 ALEXANDER 96Q result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130\text{--}140$ GeV. See their Fig. 3a for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

214 BUSKULIC 96W result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130\text{--}140$ GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

215 Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.

216 Superseded by DECAMP 92.

217 BARTEL 86 is at $E_{cm} = 30\text{--}46.78$ GeV.

218 BEHREND 86 limit is at $E_{cm} = 33\text{--}46.8$ GeV.

MASS LIMITS for Excited Neutrino (ν^*)

Limits for Excited ν (ν^*) from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*$ and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of ν^* . Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. The ν^* coupling is assumed to be of sequential type unless otherwise noted. All limits assume a dominant $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$ decay except the limits from $\Gamma(Z)$.

<u>VALUE (GeV)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
>102.6	95	219 ACHARD	03B L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*$ Homodoublet type
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
		220 ABBIENDI	04N OPAL	
> 99.4	95	221 ACCIARRI	01D L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*$ Homodoublet type
> 91.2	95	222 ABBIENDI	00I OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*$ Homodoublet type
		223 ABBIENDI,G	00D OPAL	
> 94.1	95	224 ACCIARRI	00E L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*$ Homodoublet type
		225 ABBIENDI	99F OPAL	
> 90.0	95	226 ABREU	990 DLPH	Homodoublet type
> 84.9	95	227 ACKERSTAFF	98C OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*$ Homodoublet type
		228 BARATE	98U ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*$
> 77.6	95	229,230 ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*$ Homodoublet type
> 64.4	95	229,231 ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*$ Sequential type
> 71.2	95	229,232 ACCIARRI	97G L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*$ Sequential type
> 77.8	95	229,233 ACKERSTAFF	97 OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*$ Homodoublet type
> 61.4	95	234,235 ACCIARRI	96D L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*$ Sequential type
> 65.0	95	236,237 ALEXANDER	96Q OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*$ Homodoublet type
> 63.6	95	234 BUSKULIC	96W ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*$ Sequential type
> 43.7	95	238 BARDADIN...	92 RVUE	$\Gamma(Z)$
> 47	95	239 DECAMP	92 ALEP	
> 42.6	95	240 DECAMP	92 ALEP	$\Gamma(Z)$
> 35.4	95	241,242 DECAMP	900 ALEP	$\Gamma(Z)$
> 46	95	242,243 DECAMP	900 ALEP	

219 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 189\text{--}209$ GeV. $f = -f'$ is assumed. ACHARD 03B also obtain limit for $f = f'$: $m_{\nu_e^*} > 101.7$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\mu^*} > 101.8$ GeV, and $m_{\nu_\tau^*} > 92.9$ GeV.

See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

220 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 192\text{--}209$ GeV, ABBIENDI 04N obtain limit on $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*) B^2(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma)$. See their Fig.2. The limit ranges from 20 to 45fb for $m_{\nu^*} > 45$ GeV.

221 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 192\text{--}202$ GeV. $f=f'$ is assumed. ACCIARRI 01D also obtain limit for $f=-f'$: $m_{\nu_e^*} > 99.1$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\mu^*} > 99.3$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\tau^*} > 90.5$ GeV.

222 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161\text{--}183$ GeV. $f=-f'$ (photonic decay) is assumed. ABBIENDI 00I also obtain limit for $f=f'$ ($\nu^* \rightarrow \ell W$): $m_{\nu_e^*} > 91.1$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\mu^*} > 91.1$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\tau^*} > 83.1$ GeV.

223 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=189$ GeV. ABBIENDI,G 00D obtain limit on $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*) B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma)^2$. See their Fig. 14. The limit ranges from 50 to 80 fb for $\sqrt{s}/2=95$ GeV $> m_{\nu^*} > 45$ GeV.

- 224 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=189$ GeV. $f=-f'$ (photonic decay) is assumed. ACCIARRI 00E also obtain limit for $f=f'$ ($\nu^* \rightarrow \ell W$): $m_{\nu_e^*} > 93.9$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\mu^*} > 94.0$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\tau^*} > 91.5$ GeV.
- 225 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-183$ GeV, ABBIENDI 99F obtain limit on $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*) B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma)^2$. See their Fig. 13. The limit ranges from 0.094 to 0.14 pb for $\sqrt{s}/2 > m_{\nu^*} > 45$ GeV.
- 226 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. $f=-f'$ is assumed. ABREU 99O also obtain limit for $f=f'$: $m_{\nu_e^*} > 87.3$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\mu^*} > 88.0$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\tau^*} > 81.0$ GeV.
- 227 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=170-172$ GeV. ACKERSTAFF 98C also obtain limit from charged decay modes: $m_{\nu_e^*} > 84.1$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\mu^*} > 83.9$ GeV, and $m_{\nu_\tau^*} > 79.4$ GeV.
- 228 BARATE 98U obtain limits on the form factor. See their Fig. 14 for limits in mass-form factor plane.
- 229 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV.
- 230 ABREU 97B also obtain limits from charged current decay modes, $m_{\nu^*} > 56.4$ GeV.
- 231 ABREU 97B also obtain limits from charged current decay modes, $m_{\nu^*} > 44.9$ GeV.
- 232 ACCIARRI 97G also obtain limits from charged current decay mode $\nu_e^* \rightarrow e W$, $m_{\nu^*} > 64.5$ GeV.
- 233 ACKERSTAFF 97 also obtain limits from charged current decay modes $m_{\nu_e^*} > 78.3$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\mu^*} > 78.9$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\tau^*} > 76.2$ GeV.
- 234 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-140$ GeV.
- 235 ACCIARRI 96D also obtain limit from $\nu^* \rightarrow e W$ decay mode: $m_{\nu^*} > 57.3$ GeV.
- 236 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-136$ GeV.
- 237 ALEXANDER 96Q also obtain limits from charged current decay modes: $m_{\nu_e^*} > 66.2$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\mu^*} > 66.5$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\tau^*} > 64.7$ GeV.
- 238 BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit is for Dirac ν^* . Based on $\Delta\Gamma(Z) < 36$ MeV. The limit is 36.4 GeV for Majorana ν^* , 45.4 GeV for homodoublet ν^* .
- 239 Limit is based on $B(Z \rightarrow \nu^* \bar{\nu}^*) \times B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma)^2 < 5 \times 10^{-5}$ (95%CL) assuming Dirac ν^* , $B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma) = 1$.
- 240 Limit is for Dirac ν^* . The limit is 34.6 GeV for Majorana ν^* , 45.4 GeV for homodoublet ν^* .
- 241 DECAMP 900 limit is from excess $\Delta\Gamma(Z) < 89$ MeV. The above value is for Dirac ν^* ; 26.6 GeV for Majorana ν^* ; 44.8 GeV for homodoublet ν^* .
- 242 Superseded by DECAMP 92.
- 243 DECAMP 900 limit based on $B(Z \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*) \cdot B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma)^2 < 7 \times 10^{-5}$ (95%CL), assuming Dirac ν^* , $B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma) = 1$.

Limits for Excited ν (ν^*) from Single Production

These limits are from $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$, $Z \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$, or $e p \rightarrow \nu^* X$ and depend on transition magnetic coupling between ν/e and ν^* . Assumptions about ν^* decay mode are given in footnotes.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>190	95	244 ACHARD	03B L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

none 50–150	95	245 ADLOFF	02 H1	$e p \rightarrow \nu^* X$
>158	95	246 CHEKANOV	02D ZEUS	$e p \rightarrow \nu^* X$
>171	95	247 ACCIARRI	01D L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu \nu^*$
		248 ABBIENDI	00I OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu \nu^*$
		249 ABBIENDI,G	00D OPAL	
		250 ACCIARRI	00E L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu \nu^*$
>114	95	251 ADLOFF	00E H1	$e p \rightarrow \nu^* X$
		252 ABBIENDI	99F OPAL	
		253 ABREU	990 DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu \nu^*$
		254 ACKERSTAFF	98C OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*$ Ho- modoublet type
		255 BARATE	98U ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \nu \nu^*$
		256,257 ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu \nu^*$
		258 ABREU	97I DLPH	$\nu^* \rightarrow \ell W, \nu Z$
		259 ABREU	97J DLPH	$\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$
		256,260 ACCIARRI	97G L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu \nu^*$
		261 ACKERSTAFF	97 OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu \nu^*$
		262 ADLOFF	97 H1	Lepton-flavor violation
none 40–96	95	263 BREITWEG	97C ZEUS	$e p \rightarrow \nu^* X$
		264 ACCIARRI	96D L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu \nu^*$
		265 ALEXANDER	96Q OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu \nu^*$
		266 BUSKULIC	96W ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu \nu^*$
		267 DERRICK	95B ZEUS	$e p \rightarrow \nu^* X$
		268 ABT	93 H1	$e p \rightarrow \nu^* X$
> 91	95	ADRIANI	93M L3	$\lambda_Z > 1, \nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$
> 89	95	ADRIANI	93M L3	$\lambda_Z > 1, \nu_e^* \rightarrow e W$
> 87	95	ADRIANI	93M L3	$\lambda_Z > 0.1, \nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$
> 74	95	ADRIANI	93M L3	$\lambda_Z > 0.1, \nu_e^* \rightarrow e W$
		269 BARDADIN-...	92 RVUE	
> 91	95	270 DECOMP	92 ALEP	$\lambda_Z > 1$
> 74	95	270 DECOMP	92 ALEP	$\lambda_Z > 0.034$
> 91	95	271,272 ADEVA	900 L3	$\lambda_Z > 1$
> 83	95	272 ADEVA	900 L3	$\lambda_Z > 0.1, \nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$
> 74	95	272 ADEVA	900 L3	$\lambda_Z > 0.1, \nu_e^* \rightarrow e W$
> 90	95	273,274 DECOMP	900 ALEP	$\lambda_Z > 1$
> 74.7	95	273,274 DECOMP	900 ALEP	$\lambda_Z > 0.06$

244 ACHARD 03B result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 189\text{--}209$ GeV. The quoted limit is for ν_e^* . $f = -f' = \Lambda/m_{\nu^*}$ is assumed. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

245 ADLOFF 02 search for single ν^* production in $e p$ collisions with the decays $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$, νZ , $e W$. The quoted limit assumes $f = -f' = \Lambda/m_{\nu^*}$. See their Fig. 1 for the exclusion plots in the mass-coupling plane.

246 CHEKANOV 02D search for single ν^* production in $e p$ collisions with the decays $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$, νZ , $e W$. $f = -f' = \Lambda/m_{\nu^*}$ is assumed for the e^* coupling. CHEKANOV 02D also obtain limit for $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{\nu^*}$: $m_{\nu^*} > 135$ GeV. See their Fig. 5c and Fig. 5d for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

- 247 ACCIARRI 01D search for $\nu\nu^*$ production in e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 192\text{--}202$ GeV with decays $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$, $\nu^* \rightarrow eW$. $f=-f'=\Lambda/m_{\nu^*}$ is assumed for the ν^* coupling. See their Fig. 4 for limits in the mass-coupling plane.
- 248 ABBIENDI 00I result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161\text{--}183$ GeV. See their Fig. 7 for limits in mass-coupling plane.
- 249 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=189$ GeV. ABBIENDI,G 00D obtain limit on $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*)B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma)^2$. See their Fig. 11.
- 250 ACCIARRI 00E result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=189$ GeV. See their Fig. 3 for limits in mass-coupling plane.
- 251 ADLOFF 00E search for single ν^* production in ep collisions with the decays $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$, νZ , eW . The quoted limit assumes $f=-f'=\Lambda/m_{\nu^*}$. See their Fig. 10 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.
- 252 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130\text{--}183$ GeV, ABBIENDI 99F obtain limit on $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*) B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma)$. See their Fig. 8.
- 253 ABREU 99O result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. See their Figs. 4 and 5 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 254 ACKERSTAFF 98C from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=170\text{--}172$ GeV. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 255 BARATE 98U obtain limits on the $Z\nu\nu^*$ coupling. See their Fig. 13 for limits in mass-coupling plane
- 256 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV.
- 257 See Fig. 4b and Fig. 5b of ABREU 97B for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 258 ABREU 97I limit is from $Z \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$. See their Fig. 12 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 259 ABREU 97J limit is from $Z \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$. See their Fig. 5 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 260 See Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 of ACCIARRI 97G for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 261 ACKERSTAFF 97 result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV, for homodoublet ν^* . See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 262 ADLOFF 97 search for single e^* production in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$, eZ , νW . See their Fig. 4 for the rejection limits on the product of the production cross section and the branching ratio.
- 263 BREITWEG 97C search for single ν^* production in ep collisions with the decay $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$. $f=-f'=2\Lambda/m_{\nu^*}$ is assumed for the ν^* coupling. See their Fig. 10 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.
- 264 ACCIARRI 96D result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130\text{--}140$ GeV. See their Fig. 2 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 265 ALEXANDER 96Q result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130\text{--}140$ GeV for homodoublet ν^* . See their Fig. 3b and Fig. 3c for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 266 BUSKULIC 96W result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130\text{--}140$ GeV. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 267 DERRICK 95B search for single ν^* production via ν^*eW coupling in ep collisions with the decays $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$, νZ , eW . See their Fig. 14 for the exclusion plot in the $m_{\nu^*}-\lambda\gamma$ plane.
- 268 ABT 93 search for single ν^* production via ν^*eW coupling in ep collisions with the decays $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$, νZ , eW . See their Fig. 4 for exclusion plot in the $m_{\nu^*}-\lambda_W$ plane.
- 269 See Fig. 5 of BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 for combined limit of ADEVA 900, DECAMP 900, and DECOMP 92.
- 270 DECOMP 92 limit is based on $B(Z \rightarrow \nu^*\bar{\nu}) \times B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma) < 2.7 \times 10^{-5}$ (95%CL) assuming Dirac ν^* , $B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma) = 1$.
- 271 Limit is either for $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$ or $\nu^* \rightarrow eW$.

272 Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.

273 DECAMP 900 limit based on $B(Z \rightarrow \nu\nu^*) \cdot B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma) < 6 \times 10^{-5}$ (95%CL), assuming $B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma) = 1$.

274 Superseded by DECAMP 92.

MASS LIMITS for Excited q (q^*)

Limits for Excited q (q^*) from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from $e^+ e^- \rightarrow q^* \bar{q}^*$ and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of the q^* . Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. Assumptions about the q^* decay are given in the comments and footnotes.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>45.6	95	275 ADRIANI	93M L3	u or d type, $Z \rightarrow q^* q^*$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
		276 BARATE	98U ALEP	$Z \rightarrow q^* q^*$
		277 ADRIANI	92F L3	$Z \rightarrow q^* q^*$
>41.7	95	278 BARDADIN-...	92 RVUE	u -type, $\Gamma(Z)$
>44.7	95	278 BARDADIN-...	92 RVUE	d -type, $\Gamma(Z)$
>40.6	95	279 DECAMP	92 ALEP	u -type, $\Gamma(Z)$
>44.2	95	279 DECAMP	92 ALEP	d -type, $\Gamma(Z)$
>45	95	280 DECAMP	92 ALEP	u or d type, $Z \rightarrow q^* q^*$
>45	95	279 ABREU	91F DLPH	u -type, $\Gamma(Z)$
>45	95	279 ABREU	91F DLPH	d -type, $\Gamma(Z)$
>21.1	95	281 BEHREND	86C CELL	$e(q^*) = -1/3$, $q^* \rightarrow qg$
>22.3	95	281 BEHREND	86C CELL	$e(q^*) = 2/3$, $q^* \rightarrow qg$
>22.5	95	281 BEHREND	86C CELL	$e(q^*) = -1/3$, $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$
>23.2	95	281 BEHREND	86C CELL	$e(q^*) = 2/3$, $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$

275 ADRIANI 93M limit is valid for $B(q^* \rightarrow qg) > 0.25$ (0.17) for up (down) type.

276 BARATE 98U obtain limits on the form factor. See their Fig. 16 for limits in mass-form factor plane.

277 ADRIANI 92F search for $Z \rightarrow q^* \bar{q}^*$ followed with $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$ decays and give the limit $\sigma_Z \cdot B(Z \rightarrow q^* \bar{q}^*) \cdot B^2(q^* \rightarrow q\gamma) < 2 \text{ pb}$ at 95%CL. Assuming five flavors of degenerate q^* of homodoublet type, $B(q^* \rightarrow q\gamma) < 4\%$ is obtained for $m_{q^*} < 45 \text{ GeV}$.278 BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit based on $\Delta\Gamma(Z) < 36 \text{ MeV}$.

279 These limits are independent of decay modes.

280 Limit is for $B(q^* \rightarrow qg) + B(q^* \rightarrow q\gamma) = 1$.281 BEHREND 86C search for $e^+ e^- \rightarrow q^* \bar{q}^*$ for $m_{q^*} > 5 \text{ GeV}$. But $m < 5 \text{ GeV}$ excluded by total hadronic cross section. The limits are for point-like photon couplings of excited quarks.

Limits for Excited q (q^*) from Single Production

These limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q^*\bar{q}$ or $p\bar{p} \rightarrow q^*X$ and depend on transition magnetic couplings between q and q^* . Assumptions about q^* decay mode are given in the footnotes and comments.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>775	95	282 ABAZOV	04C D0	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow q^*X, q^* \rightarrow qg$
none 200–520 and 580–760	95	283 ABE	97G CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow q^*X, q^* \rightarrow 2$ jets
none 80–570	95	284 ABE	95N CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow q^*X, q^* \rightarrow qg$ $q\gamma, qW$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
>205	95	285 CHEKANOV	02D ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow q^*X$
>188	95	286 ADLOFF	00E H1	$ep \rightarrow q^*X$
		287 ABREU	990 DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow qq^*$
		288 BARATE	98U ALEP	$Z \rightarrow qq^*$
		289 ADLOFF	97 H1	Lepton-flavor violation
none 40–169	95	290 BREITWEG	97C ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow q^*X$
		291 DERRICK	95B ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow q^*X$
none 80–540	95	292 ABE	94 CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow q^*X, q^* \rightarrow q\gamma,$ qW
> 79	95	293 ADRIANI	93M L3	$\lambda_Z(L3) > 0.06$
>288	90	294 ALITTI	93 UA2	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow q^*X, q^* \rightarrow qg$
		295 ABREU	92D DLPH	$Z \rightarrow qq^*$
		296 ADRIANI	92F L3	$Z \rightarrow qq^*$
> 75	95	293 DECOMP	92 ALEP	$Z \rightarrow qq^*, \lambda_Z > 1$
> 88	95	297 DECOMP	92 ALEP	$Z \rightarrow qq^*, \lambda_Z > 1$
> 86	95	297 AKRAWY	90J OPAL	$Z \rightarrow qq^*, \lambda_Z > 1.2$
		298 ALBAJAR	89 UA1	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow q^*X,$ $q^* \rightarrow qW$
> 39	95	299 BEHREND	86C CELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow q^*\bar{q} (q^* \rightarrow qg, q\gamma), \lambda_\gamma = 1$

282 ABAZOV 04C assume $f_s = f = f' = \Lambda/m_{q^*}$.

283 ABE 97G search for new particle decaying to dijets.

284 ABE 95N assume a degenerate u^* and d^* with $f_s = f = f' = \Lambda/m_{q^*}$. See their Fig. 4 for the excluded region in $m_{q^*} - f$ plane.

285 CHEKANOV 02D search for single q^* production in ep collisions with the decays $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma, qZ, qW$. $f_s = 0$ and $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{q^*}$ is assumed for the q^* coupling. See their Fig. 5b for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

286 ADLOFF 00E search for single q^* production in ep collisions with the decays $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma, qZ, qW$. $f_s = 0$ and $f = f' = \Lambda/m_{q^*}$ is assumed for the q^* coupling. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

287 ABREU 990 result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 183$ GeV. See their Fig. 6 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

288 BARATE 98U obtain limits on the Zqq^* coupling. See their Fig. 16 for limits in mass-coupling plane

289 ADLOFF 97 search for single q^* production in ep collisions with the decay $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$. See their Fig. 6 for the rejection limits on the product of the production cross section and the branching ratio.

- 290 BREITWEG 97C search for single q^* production in $e p$ collisions with the decays $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$, qW . $f_s=0$, and $f=f'=2\Lambda/m_{q^*}$ is assumed for the q^* coupling. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.
- 291 DERRICK 95B search for single q^* production via $q^* q\gamma$ coupling in $e p$ collisions with the decays $q^* \rightarrow qW$, qZ , qg , $q\gamma$. See their Fig. 15 for the exclusion plot in the $m_{q^*}-\lambda\gamma$ plane.
- 292 ABE 94 search for resonances in jet- γ and jet- W invariant mass in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{cm} = 1.8$ TeV. The limit is for $f_s = f = f' = \Lambda/m_{q^*}$ and u^* and d^* are assumed to be degenerate. See their Fig. 4 for the excluded region in $m_{q^*}-f$ plane.
- 293 Assumes $B(q^* \rightarrow qg) = 1$.
- 294 ALITTI 93 search for resonances in the two-jet invariant mass. The limit is for $f_s = f = f' = \Lambda/m_{q^*}$. u^* and d^* are assumed to be degenerate. If not, the limit for u^* (d^*) is 277 (247) GeV if $m_{d^*} \gg m_{u^*}$ ($m_{u^*} \gg m_{d^*}$).
- 295 ABREU 92D give $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow q^*\bar{q} \text{ or } q\bar{q}^*) \times B(q^* \rightarrow q\gamma) < 15 \text{ pb}$ (95% CL) for $m_{q^*} < 80$ GeV.
- 296 ADRIANI 92F search for $Z \rightarrow qq^*$ with $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$ and give the limit $\sigma_Z \cdot B(Z \rightarrow qq^*) \cdot B(q^* \rightarrow q\gamma) < (2-10) \text{ pb}$ (95% CL) for $m_{q^*} = (46-82)$ GeV.
- 297 Assumes $B(q^* \rightarrow q\gamma) = 0.1$.
- 298 ALBAJAR 89 give $\sigma(q^* \rightarrow W + \text{jet})/\sigma(W) < 0.019$ (90% CL) for $m_{q^*} > 220$ GeV.
- 299 BEHREND 86C has $E_{cm} = 42.5-46.8$ GeV. See their Fig. 3 for excluded region in the $m_{q^*} - (\lambda_\gamma/m_{q^*})^2$ plane. The limit is for $\lambda_\gamma = 1$ with $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$.
-

MASS LIMITS for Color Sextet Quarks (q_6)

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>84	95	300 ABE	89D CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow q_6\bar{q}_6$

- 300 ABE 89D look for pair production of unit-charged particles which leave the detector before decaying. In the above limit the color sextet quark is assumed to fragment into a unit-charged or neutral hadron with equal probability and to have long enough lifetime not to decay within the detector. A limit of 121 GeV is obtained for a color decuplet.
-

MASS LIMITS for Color Octet Charged Leptons (ℓ_8)

$$\lambda \equiv m_{\ell_8}/\Lambda$$

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>86	95	301 ABE	89D CDF	Stable ℓ_8 : $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \ell_8\bar{\ell}_8$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
none 3.0–30.3	95	302 ABT 303 KIM	93 H1 90 AMY	$e_8: e p \rightarrow e_8 X$ $e_8: e^+e^- \rightarrow ee + \text{jets}$
none 3.5–30.3	95	303 KIM	90 AMY	$\mu_8: e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu + \text{jets}$
		304 KIM	90 AMY	$e_8: e^+e^- \rightarrow gg; R$
>19.8	95	305 BARTEL	87B JADE	$e_8, \mu_8, \tau_8: e^+e^-; R$
none 5–23.2	95	305 BARTEL	87B JADE	$\mu_8: e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu + \text{jets}$
		306 BARTEL	85K JADE	$e_8: e^+e^- \rightarrow gg; R$

- 301 ABE 89D look for pair production of unit-charged particles which leave the detector before decaying. In the above limit the color octet lepton is assumed to fragment into a unit-charged or neutral hadron with equal probability and to have long enough lifetime not to decay within the detector. The limit improves to 99 GeV if it always fragments into a unit-charged hadron.
- 302 ABT 93 search for e_8 production via e-gluon fusion in $e p$ collisions with $e_8 \rightarrow e g$. See their Fig. 3 for exclusion plot in the m_{e_8} - Λ plane for $m_{e_8} = 35\text{--}220$ GeV.
- 303 KIM 90 is at $E_{cm} = 50\text{--}60.8$ GeV. The same assumptions as in BARTEL 87B are used.
- 304 KIM 90 result $(m_{e_8}\Lambda_M)^{1/2} > 178.4$ GeV (95%CL, $\alpha_s = 0.16$ used) is subject to the same restriction as for BARTEL 85K.
- 305 BARTEL 87B is at $E_{cm} = 46.3\text{--}46.78$ GeV. The limits assume ℓ_8 pair production cross sections to be eight times larger than those of the corresponding heavy lepton pair production.
- 306 In BARTEL 85K, R can be affected by $e^+ e^- \rightarrow gg$ via e_q exchange. Their limit $m_{e_8} > 173$ GeV (CL=95%) at $\lambda = m_{e_8}/\Lambda_M = 1$ ($\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$) is not listed above because the cross section is sensitive to the product $\eta_L \eta_R$, which should be absent in ordinary theory with electronic chiral invariance.
-

MASS LIMITS for Color Octet Neutrinos (ν_8)

$$\lambda \equiv m_{\ell_8}/\Lambda$$

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>110	90	307 BARGER	89 RVUE	$\nu_8: p\bar{p} \rightarrow \nu_8 \bar{\nu}_8$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
none 3.8–29.8	95	308 KIM	90 AMY	$\nu_8: e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ acoplanar jets
none 9–21.9	95	309 BARTEL	87B JADE	$\nu_8: e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ acoplanar jets

- 307 BARGER 89 used ABE 89B limit for events with large missing transverse momentum. Two-body decay $\nu_8 \rightarrow \nu g$ is assumed.
- 308 KIM 90 is at $E_{cm} = 50\text{--}60.8$ GeV. The same assumptions as in BARTEL 87B are used.
- 309 BARTEL 87B is at $E_{cm} = 46.3\text{--}46.78$ GeV. The limit assumes the ν_8 pair production cross section to be eight times larger than that of the corresponding heavy neutrino pair production. This assumption is not valid in general for the weak couplings, and the limit can be sensitive to its $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ quantum numbers.
-

MASS LIMITS for W_8 (Color Octet W Boson)

VALUE (GeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
310 ALBAJAR	89 UA1	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow W_8 X,$ $W_8 \rightarrow W g$	

- 310 ALBAJAR 89 give $\sigma(W_8 \rightarrow W + \text{jet})/\sigma(W) < 0.019$ (90% CL) for $m_{W_8} > 220$ GeV.
-

REFERENCES FOR Searches for Quark and Lepton Compositeness

ACOSTA	05B	PRL 94 101802	D. Acosta <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABAZOV	04C	PR D69 111101R	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABBIENDI	04G	EPJ C33 173	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	04N	PL B602 167	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABDALLAH	04N	EPJ C37 405	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
CHEKANOV	04B	PL B591 23	S. Chekanov <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ACHARD	03B	PL B568 23	P. Achard <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ADLOFF	03	PL B568 35	C. Adloff <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
BABICH	03	EPJ C29 103	A.A. Babich <i>et al.</i>	
ABBIENDI	02G	PL B544 57	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ACHARD	02D	PL B531 28	P. Achard <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACHARD	02J	PL B549 290	P. Achard <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ADLOFF	02	PL B525 9	C. Adloff <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
ADLOFF	02B	PL B548 35	C. Adloff <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
CHEKANOV	02D	PL B549 32	S. Chekanov <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ACCIARRI	01D	PL B502 37	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
AFFOLDER	01I	PRL 87 231803	T. Affolder <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
BOURILKOV	01	PR D64 071701	D. Bourilkov	
CHEUNG	01B	PL B517 167	K. Cheung	
ABBIENDI	00I	EPJ C14 73	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	00R	EPJ C13 553	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI,G	00D	EPJ C18 253	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBOTT	00E	PR D62 031101	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABREU	00A	PL B491 67	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	00S	PL B485 45	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	00E	PL B473 177	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	00G	PL B475 198	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	00P	PL B489 81	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ADLOFF	00	PL B479 358	C. Adloff <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
ADLOFF	00E	EPJ C17 567	C. Adloff <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
AFFOLDER	00I	PR D62 012004	T. Affolder <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
BARATE	00I	EPJ C12 183	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	
BOURILKOV	00	PR D62 076005	D. Bourilkov	(ALEPH Collab.)
BREITWEG	00B	EPJ C14 239	J. Breitweg <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ABBIENDI	99	EPJ C6 1	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	99F	EPJ C8 23	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	99P	PL B465 303	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBOTT	99C	PRL 82 2457	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABBOTT	99D	PRL 82 4769	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABREU	99A	EPJ C11 383	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	99O	EPJ C8 41	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ZARNECKI	99	EPJ C11 539	A.F. Zarnecki	
ABBOTT	98G	PRL 80 666	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABREU	98J	PL B433 429	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	98J	PL B433 163	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	98T	PL B439 183	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98	EPJ C1 21	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98C	EPJ C1 45	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98V	EPJ C2 441	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKER...K...	98B	PL B438 379	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
BARATE	98J	PL B429 201	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARATE	98U	EPJ C4 571	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARGER	98E	PR D57 391	V. Barger <i>et al.</i>	
BERTRAM	98	PL B443 347	I. Bertram, E.H. Simmons	
MFARLAND	98	EPJ C1 509	K.S. McFarland <i>et al.</i>	(CCFR/NuTeV Collab.)
MIURA	98	PR D57 5345	M. Miura <i>et al.</i>	(VENUS Collab.)
ABE	97G	PR D55 R5263	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	97T	PRL 79 2198	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABREU	97B	PL B393 245	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	97I	ZPHY C74 57	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
Also		ZPHY C75 580 (erratum)	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	97J	ZPHY C74 577	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97G	PL B401 139	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97W	PL B413 159	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97	PL B391 197	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97C	PL B391 221	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ADLOFF	97	NP B483 44	C. Adloff <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)

ARIMA	97	PR D55 19	T. Arima <i>et al.</i>	(VENUS Collab.)
BREITWEG	97C	ZPHY C76 631	J. Breitweg <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
DEANDREA	97	PL B409 277	A. Deandrea	(MARS)
ABE	96	PRL 77 438	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96S	PRL 77 5336	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABREU	96K	PL B380 480	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	96D	PL B370 211	M. Acciari <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	96L	PL B384 323	M. Acciari <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ALEXANDER	96K	PL B377 222	G. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ALEXANDER	96Q	PL B386 463	G. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96W	PL B385 445	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96Z	PL B384 333	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABE	95N	PRL 74 3538	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ACCIARRI	95G	PL B353 136	M. Acciari <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
AID	95	PL B353 578	S. Aid <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
DERRICK	95B	ZPHY C65 627	M. Derrick <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ABE	94	PRL 72 3004	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
DIAZCRUZ	94	PR D49 R2149	J.L. Diaz Cruz, O.A. Sampayo	(CINV)
VELISSARIS	94	PL B331 227	C. Velissaris <i>et al.</i>	(AMY Collab.)
ABE	93G	PRL 71 2542	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABT	93	NP B396 3	I. Abt <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
ADRIANI	93M	PRPL 236 1	O. Adriani <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ALITTI	93	NP B400 3	J. Alitti <i>et al.</i>	(UA2 Collab.)
BUSKULIC	93Q	ZPHY C59 215	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
DERRICK	93B	PL B316 207	M. Derrick <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ABE	92B	PRL 68 1463	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	92D	PRL 68 1104	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	92M	PRL 69 2896	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABREU	92C	ZPHY C53 41	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	92D	ZPHY C53 555	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ADRIANI	92B	PL B288 404	O. Adriani <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ADRIANI	92F	PL B292 472	O. Adriani <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
BARDADIN-...	92	ZPHY C55 163	M. Bardadin-Otwinowska	(CLER)
DECAMP	92	PRPL 216 253	D. Decamp <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
HOWELL	92	PL B291 206	B. Howell <i>et al.</i>	(TOPAZ Collab.)
KROHA	92	PR D46 58	H. Kroha	(ROCH)
PDG	92	PR D45, 1 June, Part II	K. Hikasa <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, LBL, BOST+)
SHIMOZAWA	92	PL B284 144	K. Shimozawa <i>et al.</i>	(TOPAZ Collab.)
ABE	91D	PRL 67 2418	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABREU	91E	PL B268 296	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	91F	NP B367 511	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ADACHI	91	PL B255 613	I. Adachi <i>et al.</i>	(TOPAZ Collab.)
AKRAWY	91F	PL B257 531	M.Z. Akrawy <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ALITTI	91B	PL B257 232	J. Alitti <i>et al.</i>	(UA2 Collab.)
BEHREND	91B	ZPHY C51 143	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
BEHREND	91C	ZPHY C51 149	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
Also		ZPHY C51 143	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
ABE	90I	ZPHY C48 13	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(VENUS Collab.)
ADEVA	90F	PL B247 177	B. Adeva <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ADEVA	90K	PL B250 199	B. Adeva <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ADEVA	90L	PL B250 205	B. Adeva <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ADEVA	90O	PL B252 525	B. Adeva <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
AKRAWY	90F	PL B241 133	M.Z. Akrawy <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
AKRAWY	90I	PL B244 135	M.Z. Akrawy <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
AKRAWY	90J	PL B246 285	M.Z. Akrawy <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
DECAMP	90G	PL B236 501	D. Decamp <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
DECAMP	90O	PL B250 172	D. Decamp <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
KIM	90	PL B240 243	G.N. Kim <i>et al.</i>	(AMY Collab.)
ABE	89	PRL 62 613	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	89B	PRL 62 1825	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	89D	PRL 63 1447	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	89H	PRL 62 3020	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	89J	ZPHY C45 175	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(VENUS Collab.)
ABE	89L	PL B232 425	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(VENUS Collab.)
ADACHI	89B	PL B228 553	I. Adachi <i>et al.</i>	(TOPAZ Collab.)
ALBAJAR	89	ZPHY C44 15	C. Albajar <i>et al.</i>	(UA1 Collab.)
BARGER	89	PL B220 464	V. Barger <i>et al.</i>	(WISC, KEK)
BEHREND	89B	PL B222 163	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
BRAUNSCH...	89C	ZPHY C43 549	W. Braunschweig <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
DORENBOS...	89	ZPHY C41 567	J. Dorenbosch <i>et al.</i>	(CHARM Collab.)
HAGIWARA	89	PL B219 369	K. Hagiwara, M. Sakuda, N. Terunuma	(KEK, DURH+)

KIM	89	PL B223 476	S.K. Kim <i>et al.</i>	(AMY Collab.)
ABE	88B	PL B213 400	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(VENUS Collab.)
BARINGER	88	PL B206 551	P. Baringer <i>et al.</i>	(HRS Collab.)
BRAUNSCH...	88	ZPHY C37 171	W. Braunschweig <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
BRAUNSCH...	88D	ZPHY C40 163	W. Braunschweig <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
ANSARI	87D	PL B195 613	R. Ansari <i>et al.</i>	(UA2 Collab.)
BARTEL	87B	ZPHY C36 15	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
BEHREND	87C	PL B191 209	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
FERNANDEZ	87B	PR D35 10	E. Fernandez <i>et al.</i>	(MAC Collab.)
ARNISON	86C	PL B172 461	G.T.J. Arnison <i>et al.</i>	(UA1 Collab.)
ARNISON	86D	PL B177 244	G.T.J. Arnison <i>et al.</i>	(UA1 Collab.)
BARTEL	86	ZPHY C31 359	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
BARTEL	86C	ZPHY C30 371	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
BEHREND	86	PL 168B 420	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
BEHREND	86C	PL B181 178	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
DERRICK	86	PL 166B 463	M. Derrick <i>et al.</i>	(HRS Collab.)
Also		PR D34 3286	M. Derrick <i>et al.</i>	(HRS Collab.)
DERRICK	86B	PR D34 3286	M. Derrick <i>et al.</i>	(HRS Collab.)
GRIFOLS	86	PL 168B 264	J.A. Grifols, S. Peris	(BARC)
JODIDIO	86	PR D34 1967	A. Jodidio <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, NWES, TRIU)
Also		PR D37 237 (erratum)	A. Jodidio <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, NWES, TRIU)
APPEL	85	PL 160B 349	J.A. Appel <i>et al.</i>	(UA2 Collab.)
BARTEL	85K	PL 160B 337	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
BERGER	85	ZPHY C28 1	C. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(PLUTO Collab.)
BERGER	85B	ZPHY C27 341	C. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(PLUTO Collab.)
BAGNAIA	84C	PL 138B 430	P. Bagnaia <i>et al.</i>	(UA2 Collab.)
BARTEL	84D	PL 146B 437	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
BARTEL	84E	PL 146B 121	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
EICHTEN	84	RMP 56 579	E. Eichten <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, LBL, OSU)
ALTHOFF	83C	PL 126B 493	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
RENARD	82	PL 116B 264	F.M. Renard	(CERN)
