

$\omega(1420)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$ **$\omega(1420)$ MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(1400–1450) OUR ESTIMATE				

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1382 ± 23 ± 70	AUBERT	07AU BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$	
1350 ± 20 ± 20	AUBERT,B	04N BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma$	
1400 ± 50 ± 130	1.2M	1 ACHASOV	03D RVUE 0.44–2.00 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	
1450 ± 10		2 HENNER	02 RVUE 1.2–2.0 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \rho \pi, \omega \pi \pi$	
1373 ± 70	177	3 AKHMETSHIN	00D CMD2 1.2–1.38 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^-$	
1370 ± 25	5095	ANISOVICH	00H SPEC 0.0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$	
1400 ± 100		4 ACHASOV	98H RVUE $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	
~ 1400		5 ACHASOV	98H RVUE $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^-$	
~ 1460		6 ACHASOV	98H RVUE $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$	
1440 ± 70		7 CLEGG	94 RVUE	
1419 ± 31	315	8 ANTONELLI	92 DM2 1.34–2.4 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \rho \pi$	

¹ From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega \pi^+ \pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

² Using results of CORDIER 81 and preliminary data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

³ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The $\rho \pi$ dominance for the energy dependence of the $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ width assumed.

⁴ Using data from BARKOV 87, DOLINSKY 91, and ANTONELLI 92.

⁵ Using the data from ANTONELLI 92.

⁶ Using the data from IVANOV 81 and BISELLO 88B.

⁷ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

⁸ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions interfering between them and with the ω, ϕ tails with fixed (+, -, +) phases.

 $\omega(1420)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(180–250) OUR ESTIMATE				

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

130 ± 50 ± 100	AUBERT	07AU BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$	
450 ± 70 ± 70	AUBERT,B	04N BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma$	
870 ± 500 ± 450	1.2M	9 ACHASOV	03D RVUE 0.44–2.00 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	
199 ± 15		10 HENNER	02 RVUE 1.2–2.0 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \rho \pi, \omega \pi \pi$	
188 ± 45	177	11 AKHMETSHIN	00D CMD2 1.2–1.38 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^-$	
360 ± 100	5095	ANISOVICH	00H SPEC 0.0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$	
240 ± 70		12 CLEGG	94 RVUE	
174 ± 59	315	13 ANTONELLI	92 DM2 1.34–2.4 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \rho \pi$	

- ⁹ From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.
- ¹⁰ Using results of CORDIER 81 and preliminary data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.
- ¹¹ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The $\rho\pi$ dominance for the energy dependence of the $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ width assumed.
- ¹² From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.
- ¹³ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions interfering between them and with the ω,ϕ tails with fixed $(+,-,+)$ phases.

$\omega(1420)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \rho\pi$	dominant
$\Gamma_2 \omega\pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_3 b_1(1235)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_4 e^+e^-$	seen
$\Gamma_5 \pi^0\gamma$	

$$\omega(1420) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$$

$\Gamma(\rho\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$	$\Gamma_1\Gamma_4/\Gamma^2$
<u>VALUE (units 10^{-6})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>
<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	
<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
0.82 ± 0.05 ± 0.06	AUBERT,B 04N BABR 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
0.65 ± 0.13 ± 0.21	1.2M 14,15 ACHASOV 03D RVUE 0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.625 ± 0.160	16,17 CLEGG 94 RVUE
0.466 ± 0.178	18,19 ANTONELLI 92 DM2 1.34–2.4 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi$

- ¹⁴ Calculated by us from the cross section at the peak.
- ¹⁵ From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.
- ¹⁶ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.
- ¹⁷ From the partial and leptonic width given by the authors.
- ¹⁸ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions interfering between them and with the ω,ϕ tails with fixed $(+,-,+)$ phases.
- ¹⁹ From the product of the leptonic width and partial branching ratio given by the authors.

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$	$\Gamma_2\Gamma_4/\Gamma^2$
<u>VALUE (units 10^{-8})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
<u>TECN</u>	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
19.7 ± 5.7	AUBERT 07AU BABR 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1.9 ± 1.9	20 AKHMETSHIN 00D CMD2 1.2–2.4 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$

- ²⁰ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The $\rho\pi$ dominance for the energy dependence of the $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ width assumed.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_5\Gamma_4/\Gamma^2$

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-8})	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$2.03^{+0.70}_{-0.75}$	21 AKHMETSHIN 05	CMD2	$0.60\text{--}1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
21 Using 1420 MeV and 220 MeV for the $\omega(1420)$ mass and width.			

$\omega(1420)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.301 ± 0.029 possibly seen	22 HENNER 02 AKHMETSHIN 00D	RVUE CMD2	$1.2\text{--}2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma(b_1(1235)\pi)$ Γ_2/Γ_3

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.60 ± 0.16	5095	ANISOVICH 00H	SPEC	$0.0 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.699 ± 0.029	22 HENNER 02	RVUE	$1.2\text{--}2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-7})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
~ 6.6	1.2M	23,24 ACHASOV 03D	RVUE	$0.44\text{--}2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
23 ± 1	22 HENNER 02	RVUE	$1.2\text{--}2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$	

22 Assuming that the $\omega(1420)$ decays into $\rho\pi$ and $\omega\pi\pi$ only.

23 Calculated by us from the cross section at the peak.

24 Assuming that the $\omega(1420)$ decays into $\rho\pi$ only.

$\omega(1420)$ REFERENCES

AUBERT 07AU	PR D76 092005	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN 05	PL B605 26	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AUBERT,B 04N	PR D70 072004	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ACHASOV 03D	PR D68 052006	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV 02E	PR D66 032001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
HENNER 02	EPJ C26 3	V.K. Henner <i>et al.</i>	
ACHASOV 01E	PR D63 072002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN 00D	PL B489 125	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ANISOVICH 00H	PL B485 341	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ACHASOV 99E	PL B462 365	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV 98H	PR D57 4334	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	
CLEGG 94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
ANTONELLI 92	ZPHY C56 15	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY 91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BISELLO 88B	ZPHY C39 13	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(PADO, CLER, FRAS+)
BARKOV 87	JETPL 46 164 Translated from ZETFP 46 132.	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
CORDIER 81	PL 106B 155	A. Cordier <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)
IVANOV 81	PL 107B 297	P.M. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)

———— OTHER RELATED PAPERS ——

ACHASOV	07A	PR D76 072012	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	02B	PAN 65 153	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	
		Translated from YAF 65 158.		
CLOSE	02	PR D65 092003	F.E. Close, A. Donnachie, Yu.S. Kalashnikova	
ACHASOV	00J	PR D62 117503	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	
ABELE	99D	PL B468 178	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BELOZEROVA	98	PPN 29 63	T.S. Belozerova, V.K. Henner	
		Translated from FECAY 29 148.		
ACHASOV	97F	PAN 60 2029	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	(NOVM)
		Translated from YAF 60 2212.		
ATKINSON	87	ZPHY C34 157	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ATKINSON	84	NP B231 15	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ATKINSON	83B	PL 127B 132	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)