Supersymmetric Particle Searches

A REVIEW GOES HERE - Check our WWW List of Reviews A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

SUPERSYMMETRIC MODEL ASSUMPTIONS

The exclusion of particle masses within a mass range (m_1, m_2) will be denoted with the notation "none $m_1 - m_2$ " in the VALUE column of the following Listings

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

$\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ (Lightest Neutralino) MASS LIMIT

 $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ is often assumed to be the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP). See also the $\widetilde{\chi}_2^{1}$, $\widetilde{\chi}_3^{0}$, $\widetilde{\chi}_4^{0}$ section below.

We have divided the $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ listings below into five sections:

- 1) Accelerator limits for stable $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$
- 2) Bounds on $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ from dark matter searches, 3) Bounds on $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ elastic cross sections from dark matter searches,
- 4) Other bounds on $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ from astrophysics and cosmology, and
- 5) Bounds on unstable $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$.

- Accelerator limits for stable $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$

Unless otherwise stated, results in this section assume spectra, production rates, decay modes, and branching ratios as evaluated in the MSSM, with gaugino and sfermion mass unification at the GUT scale. These papers generally study production of $\tilde{\chi}_i^0 \tilde{\chi}_i^0$ $(i \ge 1, j \ge 2)$, $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$, and (in the case of hadronic collisions) $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ pairs. The mass limits on $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ are either direct, or follow indirectly from the constraints set by the non-observation of $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ states on the gaugino and higgsino MSSM parameters M_2 and μ . In some cases, information is used from the nonobservation of slepton decays.

Obsolete limits obtained from e^+e^- collisions up to $\sqrt{s}=184$ GeV have been removed from this compilation and can be found in the 2000 Edition (The European Physical Journal C15 1 (2000)) of this Review. $\Delta m = m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_2} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1}.$

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
>40	95	¹ ABBIENDI	04н	OPAL	all $\tan\beta$, $\Delta m > 5$ GeV,	
					$m_0 > 500 \text{ GeV}, A_0 = 0$	
>42.4	95	² HEISTER	04	ALEP	all tan eta , all Δm , all m_0	
>39.2	95	³ ABDALLAH	0 3M	DLPH	all tan eta , $m_{\widetilde{ u}}>$ 500 GeV	
>46	95	⁴ ABDALLAH	0 3M	DLPH	all tan β , all Δm , all m_0	
>32.5	95	⁵ ACCIARRI	00 D	L3	$\tan\beta > 0.7$, $\Delta m > 3$ GeV, all m_0	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

		⁶ ABBOTT	98C D0	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_2^0$
>41	95	⁷ ABE	98J CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_2^{\bar{0}}$

¹ ABBIENDI 04H search for charginos and neutralinos in events with acoplanar leptons+jets and multi-jet final states in the 192–209 GeV data, combined with the results on leptonic final states from ABBIENDI 04. The results hold for a scan over the parameter space covering the region $0 < M_2 < 5000$ GeV, $-1000 < \mu < 1000$ GeV and $\tan\beta$ from 1 to 40. This limit supersedes ABBIENDI 00H.

- ² HEISTER 04 data collected up to 209 GeV. Updates earlier analysis of selectrons from HEISTER 02E, includes a new analysis of charginos and neutralinos decaying into stau and uses results on charginos with initial state radiation from HEISTER 02J. The limit is based on the direct search for charginos and neutralinos, the constraints from the slepton search and the Higgs mass limits from HEISTER 02 using a top mass of 175 GeV, interpreted in a framework with universal gaugino and sfermion masses. Assuming the mixing in the stau sector to be negligible, the limit improves to 43.1 GeV. Under the assumption of MSUGRA with unification of the Higgs and sfermion masses, the limit improves to 50 GeV, and reaches 53 GeV for $A_0 = 0$. These limits include and update the results of BARATE 01.
- ³ ABDALLAH 03M uses data from $\sqrt{s} = 192-208$ GeV. A limit on the mass of $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ is derived from direct searches for neutralinos combined with the chargino search. Neutralinos are searched in the production of $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$, $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}\tilde{\chi}_{3}^{0}$, as well as $\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}\tilde{\chi}_{3}^{0}$ and $\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}\tilde{\chi}_{4}^{0}$ giving rise to cascade decays, and $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$ and $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$, followed by the decay $\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}\tau$. The results hold for the parameter space defined by values of $M_{2} < 1$ TeV, $|\mu| \leq 2$ TeV with the $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ as LSP. The limit is obtained for $\tan\beta = 1$ and large m_{0} , where $\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}\tilde{\chi}_{4}^{0}$ and chargino pair production are important. If the constraint from Higgs searches is also imposed, the limit improves to 49.0 GeV in the M_{h}^{max} scenario with m_{t} =174.3 GeV. These limits update the results of ABREU 00J.
- ⁴ ABDALLAH 03M uses data from $\sqrt{s} = 192-208$ GeV. An indirect limit on the mass of $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is derived by constraining the MSSM parameter space by the results from direct searches for neutralinos (including cascade decays and $\tilde{\tau}\tau$ final states), for charginos (for all Δm_+) and for sleptons, stop and sbottom. The results hold for the full parameter space defined by values of $M_2 < 1$ TeV, $|\mu| \leq 2$ TeV with the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ as LSP. Constraints from the Higgs search in the M_h^{max} scenario assuming m_t =174.3 GeV are included. The limit is obtained for $\tan\beta \geq 5$ when stau mixing leads to mass degeneracy between $\tilde{\tau}_1$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and the limit is based on $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ production followed by its decay to $\tilde{\tau}_1\tau$. In the pathological scenario where m_0 and $|\mu|$ are large, so that the $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ production cross section is negligible, and where there is mixing in the stau sector but not in stop nor sbottom, the limit is based on charginos with soft decay products and an ISR photon. The limit then degrades to 39 GeV. See Figs 40-42 for the dependence of the limit on $\tan\beta$ and $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}$. These limits update the results of ABREU 00W.
- ⁵ ACCIARRI 00D data collected at \sqrt{s} =189 GeV. The results hold over the full parameter space defined by 0.7 $\leq \tan\beta \leq 60$, $0 \leq M_2 \leq 2$ TeV, $m_0 \leq 500$ GeV, $|\mu| \leq 2$ TeV The minimum mass limit is reached for $\tan\beta$ =1 and large m_0 . The results of slepton searches from ACCIARRI 99W are used to help set constraints in the region of small m_0 . The limit improves to 48 GeV for $m_0 \gtrsim 200$ GeV and $\tan\beta \gtrsim 10$. See their Figs. 6–8 for the $\tan\beta$ and m_0 dependence of the limits. Updates ACCIARRI 98F.
- ⁶ ABBOTT 98C searches for trilepton final states ($\ell = e, \mu$). See footnote to ABBOTT 98C in the Chargino Section for details on the assumptions. Assuming a negligible decay rate of $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ to quarks, they obtain $m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} \gtrsim 51$ GeV.
- ⁷ ABE 98J searches for trilepton final states ($\ell = e, \mu$). See footnote to ABE 98J in the Chargino Section for details on the assumptions. The quoted result corresponds to the best limit within the selected range of parameters, obtained for $m_{\tilde{q}} > m_{\tilde{g}}$, tan $\beta = 2$, and $\mu = -600$ GeV.

— Bounds on $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{m 0}$ from dark matter searches —

These papers generally exclude regions in the $M_2 - \mu$ parameter plane assuming that $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is the dominant form of dark matter in the galactic halo. These limits are based on the lack of detection in laboratory experiments or by the absence of a signal in underground neutrino detectors. The latter signal is expected if $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ accumulates in the Sun or the Earth and annihilates into high-energy ν 's.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following	g data for averages	, fits,	limits, etc. • • •	
	¹ ACHTERBERG	06	AMND	
	² ACKERMANN	06	AMND	
	³ DEBOER	06	RVUE	
	⁴ DESAI	04	SKAM	
	⁴ AMBROSIO	99	MCRO	
	⁵ LOSECCO	95	RVUE	
	⁶ MORI	93	KAMI	
	⁷ BOTTINO	92	COSM	
	⁸ BOTTINO	91	RVUE	
	⁹ GELMINI	91	COSM	
	¹⁰ KAMIONKOW	.91	RVUE	
	¹¹ MORI	91 B	KAMI	
none 4–15 GeV	¹² OLIVE	88	COSM	

¹ACHTERBERG 06 is based on data collected during 421.9 effective days with the AMANDA detector. They looked for interactions of ν_{μ} s from the centre of the Earth over a background of atmospheric neutrinos and set 90 % CL limits on the muon flux. Their limit is compared with the muon flux expected from neutralino annihilations into W^+W^- and $b\overline{b}$ at the centre of the Earth for MSSM parameters compatible with the relic dark matter density, see their Fig. 7.

- ² ACKERMANN 06 is based on data collected during 143.7 days with the AMANDA-II detector. They looked for interactions of ν_{μ} s from the Sun over a background of atmospheric neutrinos and set 90 % CL limits on the muon flux. Their limit is compared with the muon flux expected from neutralino annihilations into W^+W^- in the Sun for SUSY model parameters compatible with the relic dark matter density, see their Fig. 3.
- ³ DEBOER 06 interpret an excess of diffuse Galactic gamma rays observed with the EGRET satellite as originating from π^0 decays from the annihilation of neutralinos into quark jets. They analyze the corresponding parameter space in a supergravity inspired MSSM model with radiative electroweak symmetry breaking, see their Fig. 3 for the preferred region in the $(m_0, m_{1/2})$ plane of a scenario with large tan β .

⁴ AMBROSIO 99 and DESAI 04 set new neutrino flux limits which can be used to limit the parameter space in supersymmetric models based on neutralino annihilation in the _ Sun and the Earth.

⁵LOSECCO 95 reanalyzed the IMB data and places lower limit on $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ of 18 GeV if

the LSP is a photino and 10 GeV if the LSP is a higgsino based on LSP annihilation in the sun producing high-energy neutrinos and the limits on neutrino fluxes from the IMB detector.

⁶ MORI 93 excludes some region in $M_2 - \mu$ parameter space depending on tan β and lightest scalar Higgs mass for neutralino dark matter $m_{\tilde{\chi}0} > m_W$, using limits on upgoing muons produced by energetic neutrinos from neutralino annihilation in the Sun and the Earth.

⁷ BOTTINO 92 excludes some region M_2 - μ parameter space assuming that the lightest neutralino is the dark matter, using upgoing muons at Kamiokande, direct searches by Ge detectors, and by LEP experiments. The analysis includes top radiative corrections on Higgs parameters and employs two different hypotheses for nucleon-Higgs coupling. Effects of rescaling in the local neutralino density according to the neutralino relic abundance are taken into account.

⁸ BOTTINO 91 excluded a region in $M_2 - \mu$ plane using upgoing muon data from Kamioka experiment, assuming that the dark matter surrounding us is composed of neutralinos and that the Higgs boson is not too heavy.

⁹GELMINI 91 exclude a region in $M_2 - \mu$ plane using dark matter searches.

 10 KAMIONKOWSKI 91 excludes a region in the $M_2-\mu$ plane using IMB limit on upgoing muons originated by energetic neutrinos from neutralino annihilation in the sun, assuming that the dark matter is composed of neutralinos and that $m_{H^0} \lesssim 50$ GeV. See Fig. 8

in the paper.

 11 MORI 91B exclude a part of the region in the $M_2-\mu$ plane with $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1}~\lesssim~$ 80 GeV using

a limit on upgoing muons originated by energetic neutrinos from neutralino annihilation in the earth, assuming that the dark matter surrounding us is composed of neutralinos and that $m_{H_1^0} \lesssim 80$ GeV.

 12 OLIVE 88 result assumes that photinos make up the dark matter in the galactic halo. Limit is based on annihilations in the sun and is due to an absence of high energy neutrinos detected in underground experiments. The limit is model dependent.

— $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ -ho elastic cross section —

Experimental results on the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ -p elastic cross section are evaluated at $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ =100 GeV. The experimental results on the cross section are often

mass dependent. Therefore, the mass and cross section results are also given where the limit is strongest, when appropriate. Results are quoted separately for spin-dependent interactions (based on an effective 4-Fermi Lagrangian of the form $\overline{\chi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{5}\chi\overline{q}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma^{5}q$) and spin-independent interactions $(\overline{\chi}\chi \overline{q} q)$. For calculational details see GRIEST 88B, ELLIS 88D, BAR-BIERI 89C, DREES 93B, ARNOWITT 96, BERGSTROM 96, and BAER 97 in addition to the theory papers listed in the Tables. For a description of the theoretical assumptions and experimental techniques underlying most of the listed papers, see the review on "Dark matter" in this "Review of Particle Physics," and references therein. Most of the following papers use galactic halo and nuclear interaction assumptions from (LEWIN 96).

Spin-dependent interactions

VALUE (pb)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
\bullet \bullet We do not use the	following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
< 1	90	¹ ANGLE	08A	XE10	Xe
< 0.055		² BEDNYAKOV	08	HDMS	Ge
< 15	90	³ ALNER	07	ZEP2	Xe
< 0.17	90	⁴ LEE	07A	KIMS	Csl
< 5		⁵ AKERIB	06	CDMS	Ge
< 2		⁶ SHIMIZU	06A	CNTR	CaF ₂
< 0.4		⁷ ALNER	05	NAIA	Nal Spin Dep.
< 2		⁸ BARNABE-HE.	.05	PICA	С
< 1.4		⁹ GIRARD	05	SMPL	F, CI
2×10^{-11} to 1×10^{-4}	1	^{.0} ELLIS	04	THEO	$\mu~>$ 0
HTTP://PDG.LBL.G	OV	Page 4		Crea	nted: 6/1/2009 14:19

< 16	¹¹ GIULIANI	04	SIMP	F
< 0.8	¹² AHMED	03	NAIA	Nal Spin Dep.
< 40	¹³ TAKEDA	03	BOLO	NaF Spin Dep.
< 10	¹⁴ ANGLOHER	02	CRES	Saphire
$8 imes 10^{-7}$ to $2 imes 10^{-5}$	¹⁵ ELLIS	01 C	THEO	$ aneta \leq 10$
< 3.8	¹⁶ BERNABEI	00 D	DAMA	Xe
< 15	¹⁷ COLLAR	00	SMPL	F
< 0.8	SPOONER	00	UKDM	Nal
< 4.8	¹⁸ BELLI	99 C	DAMA	F
<100	¹⁹ OOTANI	99	BOLO	LiF
< 0.6	BERNABEI	9 8C	DAMA	Xe
< 5	¹⁸ BERNABEI	97	DAMA	F

¹ The strongest limit is 0.6 pb and occurs at m_{χ} = 30 GeV. The limit for scattering on neutrons is 0.01 pb at $m_{\chi} =$ 100 GeV, and the strongest limit is 0.0045 pb at $m_{\chi} =$ $^{30}\,\text{GeV}$. $^{2}\,\text{Limit}$ applies to neutron elastic cross section.

- 3 The strongest upper limit is 14 pb and occurs at $m_\chi\simeq 65$ GeV. The limit on the neutron spin-dependent cross section is 0.08 pb at $m_\chi=100$ GeV and the strongest limit for scattering on neutrons is 0.07 pb at $m_\chi=$ 65 GeV.
- 4 The limit on the neutron spin-dependent cross section is 6 pb at $m_{\chi}=100$ GeV.
- $^5\,{\rm The}$ strongest upper limit is 4 pb and occurs at $m_\chi~\simeq~$ 60 GeV. The limit on the neutron spin-dependent elastic cross section is 0.07 pb. This latter limit is improved in AHMED 09, where a limit of 0.02 pb is obtained at $m_{\chi}=$ 100 GeV. The strongest limit in AHMED 09 is 0.018 pb and occurs at $m_{\chi}=$ 60 GeV.
- 6 The strongest upper limit is 1.2 pb and occurs at $m_{\gamma}~\simeq~$ 40 GeV. The limit on the neutron spin-dependent cross section is 35 pb.
- 7 The strongest upper limit is 0.35 pb and occurs at $m_\chi~\simeq~$ 60 GeV.
- 8 The strongest upper limit is 1.2 pb and occurs $m_{\chi}~\simeq~$ 30 GeV.
- 9 The strongest upper limit is 1.2 pb and occurs $m_{\chi}~\simeq~$ 40 GeV.
- 10 ELLIS 04 calculates the χp elastic scattering cross section in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry, but without universal scalar masses. In the case of universal squark and slepton masses, but non-universal Higgs masses, the limit becomes 2×10^{-4} , see ELLIS 03E.
- $^{11}\,{\rm The}$ strongest upper limit is 10 pb and occurs at $m_\chi\simeq$ 30 GeV.
- 12 The strongest upper limit is 0.75 pb and occurs at $m_{\chi} pprox$ 70 GeV.
- $^{13}\,{\rm The}$ strongest upper limit is 30 pb and occurs at $m_\chi~pprox~$ 20 GeV.
- 14 The strongest upper limit is 8 pb and occurs at $m_{\chi}\simeq$ 30 GeV.
- ¹⁵ ELLIS 01C calculates the χ -p elastic scattering cross section in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry. In models with nonuniversal Higgs masses, the upper limit to the cross section is 6×10^{-4} .
- 16 The strongest upper limit is 3 pb and occurs at $m_\chi \simeq$ 60 GeV. The limits are for inelastic scattering X^0 + ${}^{129}Xe \rightarrow X^0$ + ${}^{129}Xe^*$ (39.58 keV).
- $^{17}\,{\rm The}$ strongest upper limit is 9 pb and occurs at $m_{\chi}\simeq$ 30 GeV.
- 18 The strongest upper limit is 4.4 pb and occurs at $m_{\chi}\simeq 60$ GeV.
- $^{19}\,{\rm The}$ strongest upper limit is about 35 pb and occurs at $m_\chi\simeq 15$ GeV.

Spin-independent interactions						
VALUE (pb)	<u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the	following	data for averages,	, fits,	limits, e	tc. ● ● ●	
$< 5 \times 10^{-8}$	90	¹ AHMED	09	CDMS	Ge	
$< 8.8 \times 10^{-8}$	90	² ANGLE	08	XE10	Xe	
$< 1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	BENETTI	08	WARP	Ar	
$< 7.5 \times 10^{-7}$	90	³ ALNER	07A	ZEP2	Xe	
$< 22 \times 10^{-7}$	90	⁴ LEE	07A	KIMS	Csl	
$< 2 \times 10^{-7}$		⁵ AKERIB	06A	CDMS	Ge	
$< 90 \times 10^{-7}$		⁶ LEE	06	KIMS	Csl	
$< 5 \times 10^{-7}$		⁷ AKERIB	05	CDMS	Ge	
$< 90 \times 10^{-7}$		ALNER	05	NAIA	Nal Spin Indep.	
$< 12 \times 10^{-7}$		⁸ ALNER	05A	ZEPL		
$< 20 \times 10^{-7}$		⁹ ANGLOHER	05	CRES	CaWO ₄	
$< 14 \times 10^{-7}$		SANGLARD	05	EDEL	Ge	
$< 4 \times 10^{-7}$	1	⁰ AKERIB	04	CDMS	Ge	
2×10^{-11} to 8×10^{-6}	11,1	² ELLIS	04	THEO	$\mu > 0$	
$< 5 \times 10^{-8}$	1	³ PIERCE	04A	THEO		
$< 2 \times 10^{-5}$	1	⁴ AHMED	03	NAIA	Nal Spin Indep.	
$< 3 \times 10^{-6}$	1	⁵ AKERIB	03	CDMS	Ge	
2×10^{-13} to 2×10^{-7}	1	⁶ BAER	03A	THEO		
$< 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$	1	⁷ KLAPDOR-K	03	HDMS	Ge	
$< 6 \times 10^{-6}$	1	⁸ ABRAMS	02	CDMS	Ge	
$< 1.4 \times 10^{-6}$	1	⁹ BENOIT	02	EDEL	Ge	
1×10^{-12} to 7×10^{-6}	1	¹ KIM	02B	THEO		
$< 3 \times 10^{-5}$	2	⁰ MORALES	02B	CSME	Ge	
$< 1 \times 10^{-5}$	2	¹ MORALES	020	IGEX	Ge	
$< 1 \times 10^{-6}$		BALT7	01	THEO		
$< 3 \times 10^{-5}$	2		01	HDMS	Ge	
$< 45 \times 10^{-6}$		BENOIT	01	FDFI	Ge	
$< 7 \times 10^{-6}$	2	³ BOTTINO	01	THEO		
$< 1 \times 10^{-8}$	2	⁴ CORSETTI	01	THEO	$\tan \beta < 25$	
5×10^{-10} to 1.5×10^{-8}	2	⁵ FLUS	010	THEO	$\tan\beta \le 23$ $\tan\beta < 10$	
$< 4 \times 10^{-6}$	2	4 GOMEZ	01	THEO		
2×10^{-10} to 1×10^{-7}	2	4 I AHANAS	01	THEO		
$< 3 \times 10^{-6}$		ABUSAIDI	00	CDMS	Ge Si	
$< 6 \times 10^{-7}$	2	⁶ ACCOMANDO	00	THEO		
	2	⁷ BERNABEI	00	DAMA	Nal	
2.5×10^{-9} to 3.5×10^{-8}	2	⁸ FFNG	00	THEO	$\tan\beta = 10$	
$< 15 \times 10^{-5}$		MORALES	00	IGEX	Ge	
$< 4 \times 10^{-5}$		SPOONER	00		Nal	
$< 7 \times 10^{-6}$		RAUDIS	90	номо	76 _{Ce}	
	2	9 RERNAREI	00		Nal	
	3		98		Nal	
$< 7 \times 10^{-6}$		BERNABEI	98C		Xe	
			TL	_,	+ limit in 4 6 × 10−8 ↓ ■	
- AHIVIED U9 updates t	ne results	OT ANERIE UDA.	i ne	stronges	st limit is 4.0 × 10 ° pb	
2 The attraction $\chi = 0$		× 10 ⁻⁸				
- The strongest upper line 3 -	imit is 4.5	$\times 10$ ~ pb and c	occurs	s at m_{χ}	\simeq 30 GeV.	
✓ The strongest upper I	imit is 6.6	\times 10 ' pb and o	occurs	s at m_χ	\simeq 65 GeV.	

Page 6 Created: 6/1/2009 14:19

- 4 The strongest upper limit is 19 \times 10 $^{-7}$ pb and occurs at $m_{_Y} \simeq$ 65 GeV. Supersedes
- LEE 06. $^5\,$ AKERIB 06A updates the results of AKERIB 05. The strongest upper limit is 1.6 \times $10^{-7}~{\rm pb}$ and occurs at $m_\chi~\approx~60~{\rm GeV}.$
- ⁶ The strongest upper limit is 8×10^{-6} pb and occurs at $m_{\chi} \simeq 70$ GeV.
- ⁷AKERIB 05 is incompatible with the DAMA most likely value. The strongest upper limit is 4 \times 10 $^{-7}$ pb and occurs at $m_{\chi}~\simeq~$ 60 GeV.
- ⁸ The strongest upper limit is also close to 1.0×10^{-6} pb and occurs at $m_{\chi} \simeq 70$ GeV. BENOIT 06 claim that the discrimination power of ZEPLIN-I measurement (ALNER 05A) is not reliable enough to obtain a limit better than $1 imes 10^{-3}$ pb. However, SMITH 06 do not agree with the criticisms of BENOIT 06.

 9 The strongest upper limit is also close to $1.4 imes 10^{-6}$ pb and occurs at $m_{\chi}~\simeq~70$ GeV.

- 10 AKERIB 04 is incompatible with BERNABEI 00 most likely value, under the assumption of standard WIMP-halo interactions. The strongest upper limit is 4×10^{-7} pb and occurs at $m_{\chi} \simeq 60$ GeV.
- 11 KIM 02 and ELLIS 04 calculate the χp elastic scattering cross section in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry, but without universal scalar masses.
- ¹² In the case of universal squark and slepton masses, but non-universal Higgs masses, the limit becomes 2×10^{-6} (2×10^{-11} when constraint from the BNL g-2 experiment are included), see ELLIS 03E. ELLIS 05 display the sensitivity of the elastic scattering cross section to the π -Nucleon Σ term.
- ¹³ PIERCE 04A calculates the χp elastic scattering cross section in the framework of models with very heavy scalar masses. See Fig. 2 of the paper. ¹⁴ The strongest upper limit is 1.8×10^{-5} pb and occurs at $m_{\chi} \approx 80$ GeV.
- 15 Under the assumption of standard WIMP-halo interactions, Akerib 03 is incompatible with BERNABEI 00 most likely value at the 99.98% CL. See Fig. 4.
- $^{16}\,{\rm BAER}$ 03A calculates the χp elastic scattering cross section in several models including the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry.
- 17 The strongest upper limit is 7×10^{-6} pb and occurs at $m_{\chi}\simeq 30$ GeV.
- 18 ABRAMS 02 is incompatible with the DAMA most likely value at the 99.9% CL. The strongest upper limit is 3×10^{-6} pb and occurs at $m_{\chi} \simeq 30$ GeV.
- $^{19}_{20}$ BENOIT 02 excludes the central result of DAMA at the 99.8%CL. The strongest upper limit is 2 \times 10 $^{-5}$ pb and occurs at $m_\chi \simeq$ 40 GeV.
- 21 The strongest upper limit is 7 imes 10 $^{-6}$ pb and occurs at m_{χ}^{\sim} 246 GeV.
- 22 The strongest upper limit is $1.8 imes 10^{-5}$ pb and occurs at $\stackrel{\sim}{m_\chi} \simeq$ 32 GeV
- 23 BOTTINO 01 calculates the χ -p elastic scattering cross section in the framework of the following supersymmetric models: N=1 supergravity with the radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry, N=1 supergravity with nonuniversal scalar masses and an effective MSSM model at the electroweak scale.
- ²⁴Calculates the χ -p elastic scattering cross section in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry.
- ²⁵ ELLIS 01C calculates the χ -p elastic scattering cross section in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry. EL-LIS 02B find a range 2×10^{-8} -1.5 $\times 10^{-7}$ at tan β =50. In models with nonuniversal Higgs masses, the upper limit to the cross section is 4×10^{-7} .
- $^{26}\operatorname{ACCOMANDO}$ 00 calculate the $\chi\text{-}p$ elastic scattering cross section in the framework of minimal N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry. The limit is relaxed by at least an order of magnitude when models with nonuniversal scalar masses are considered. A subset of the authors in ARNOWITT 02 updated the limit to $< 9 \times 10^{-8}$ (tan $\beta < 55$).

- ²⁸ FENG 00 calculate the χ -p elastic scattering cross section in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry with a particular emphasis on focus point models. At tan β =50, the range is 8×10^{-8} - 4×10^{-7} .
- ²⁹ BERNABEI 99 search for annual modulation of the WIMP signal. The data favor the hypothesis of annual modulation at 99.6%CL and are consistent, for the particular model framework considered there, with $m_{\chi 0} = 59^{+17}_{-14}$ GeV and spin-independent X^0 -proton cross section of $(7.0^{+0.4}_{-1.2}) \times 10^{-6}$ pb $(1 \sigma \text{ errors})$.
- 30 BERNABEI 98 search for annual modulation of the WIMP signal. The data are consistent, for the particular model framework considered there, with $m_{\chi 0} = 59^{+36}_{-19}$ GeV and spin-independent X^0 -proton cross section of $(1.0^{+0.1}_{-0.4}) \times 10^{-5}$ pb (1 σ errors).

– Other bounds on $\widetilde{\chi}^{m{0}}_1$ from astrophysics and cosmology —

Most of these papers generally exclude regions in the $M_2 - \mu$ parameter plane by requiring that the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ contribution to the overall cosmological density is less than some maximal value to avoid overclosure of the Universe. Those not based on the cosmological density are indicated. Many of these papers also include LEP and/or other bounds.

VALUE		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>46 GeV	1	ELLIS	00	RVUE	
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the	follo	wing data for av	/erage	es, fits, li	mits, etc. • • •
	2	BUCHMUEL	08	COSM	
	3	ELLIS	80	COSM	
	4	ELLIS	07	COSM	
	3	BAER	05	COSM	
> 6 GeV	5,6	BELANGER	04	THEO	
	7	ELLIS	0 4B	COSM	
	8	PIERCE	04A	COSM	
	9	BAER	03	COSM	
> 6 GeV	5	BOTTINO	03	COSM	
	9	CHATTOPAD	.03	COSM	
	10	ELLIS	03	COSM	
	3	ELLIS	03 B	COSM	
	9	ELLIS	03 C	COSM	
> 18 GeV	5	HOOPER	03	COSM	$arOmega_{\chi}=$ 0.05–0.3
	9	LAHANAS	03	COSM	X
	11	BAER	02	COSM	
	12	ELLIS	02	COSM	
	13	LAHANAS	02	COSM	
	14	BARGER	01 C	COSM	
	11	DJOUADI	01	COSM	
	15	ELLIS	01 B	COSM	
	11	ROSZKOWSKI	01	COSM	
	10	BOEHM	00 B	COSM	

Citation: C. Amsler et al. (Particle Data Group), PL B667, 1 (2008) and 2009 partial update for the 2010 edition (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov)

	¹⁶ FENG	00	COSM	
	¹⁷ LAHA	NAS 00	COSM	
< 600 GeV	¹⁸ ELLIS	98B	COSM	
	¹⁹ EDSJ	O 97	COSM	Co-annihilation
	²⁰ BAEF	96	COSM	
	³ BERE	ZINSKY 95	COSM	
	²¹ FALK	95	COSM	CP-violating phases
	²² DREE	S 93	COSM	Minimal supergravity
	²³ FALK	93	COSM	Sfermion mixing
	²² KELL	EY 93	COSM	Minimal supergravity
	²⁴ MIZU	TA 93	COSM	Co-annihilation
	²⁵ LOPE	Z 92	COSM	Minimal supergravity, $m_0 = A = 0$
	²⁶ MCD	ONALD 92	COSM	·
	²⁷ GRIE	ST 91	COSM	
	²⁸ NOJII	RI 91	COSM	Minimal supergravity
	²⁹ OLIVI	E 91	COSM	
	³⁰ ROSZ	KOWSKI 91	COSM	
	³¹ GRIE	ST 90	COSM	
	²⁹ OLIV	E 89	COSM	
none 100 eV – 15 (eV SRED	NICKI 88	COSM	$\widetilde{\gamma}$; $m_{\widetilde{f}}$ =100 GeV
none 100 eV–5 GeV	ELLIS	84	COSM	$\widetilde{\gamma}$; for $m_{\widetilde{f}} = 100 \text{ GeV}$
	GOLD	DBERG 83	COSM	$\widetilde{\gamma}$,
	³² KRAL	JSS 83	COSM	$\widetilde{\gamma}$
	VYSC	TSKII 83	COSM	$\widetilde{\gamma}$

- ¹ ELLIS 00 updates ELLIS 98. Uses LEP e^+e^- data at $\sqrt{s}=202$ and 204 GeV to improve bound on neutralino mass to 51 GeV when scalar mass universality is assumed and 46 GeV when Higgs mass universality is relaxed. Limits on tan β improve to > 2.7 (μ > 0), > 2.2 (μ < 0) when scalar mass universality is assumed and > 1.9 (both signs of μ) when Higgs mass universality is relaxed.
- ² BUCHMUELLER 08 places constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of N = 1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry using indirect experimental searches.
- ³ Places constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry but non-Universal Higgs masses.
- ⁴ ELLIS 07 places constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of N = 1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry with universality below the GUT scale.
- ⁵ HOOPER 03, BOTTINO 03 (see also BOTTINO 03A and BOTTINO 04), and BE-LANGER 04 do not assume gaugino or scalar mass unification.
- ⁶ Limit assumes a pseudo scalar mass < 200 GeV. For larger pseudo scalar masses, $m_{\chi} > 18(29)$ GeV for tan $\beta = 50(10)$. Bounds from WMAP, $(g 2)_{\mu}$, $b \rightarrow s\gamma$, LEP.
- ⁷ ELLIS 04B places constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry including supersymmetry breaking relations between A and B parameters. See also ELLIS 03D.
- ⁸ PIERCE 04A places constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of models with very heavy scalar masses.
- ⁹ BAER 03, CHATTOPADHYAY 03, ELLIS 03C and LAHANAS 03 place constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry based on WMAP results for the cold dark matter density.

- ¹⁰ BOEHM 00B and ELLIS 03 place constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of minimal N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry. Includes the effect of $\chi \tilde{t}$ co-annihilations.
- ¹¹ DJOUADI 01, ROSZKOWSKI 01, and BAER 02 place constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of minimal N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry.
- 12 ELLIS 02 places constraints on the soft supersymmetry breaking masses in the framework of minimal N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry.
- ¹³ LAHANAS 02 places constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of minimal N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry. Focuses on the role of pseudo-scalar Higgs exchange.
- ¹⁴ BARGER 01C use the cosmic relic density inferred from recent CMB measurements to constrain the parameter space in the framework of minimal N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry.
- ¹⁵ ELLIS 01B places constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of minimal N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry. Focuses on models with large tan β .
- 16 FENG 00 explores cosmologically allowed regions of MSSM parameter space with multi-__TeV masses.
- ¹⁷ LAHANAS 00 use the new cosmological data which favor a cosmological constant and its implications on the relic density to constrain the parameter space in the framework of minimal N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry.
- ¹⁸ ELLIS 98B assumes a universal scalar mass and radiative supersymmetry breaking with universal gaugino masses. The upper limit to the LSP mass is increased due to the inclusion of $\chi \tilde{\tau}_R$ coannihilations.
- ¹⁹ EDSJO 97 included all coannihilation processes between neutralinos and charginos for any neutralino mass and composition.
- 20 Notes the location of the neutralino Z resonance and h resonance annihilation corridors in minimal supergravity models with radiative electroweak breaking.
- $^{21}\,{\rm Mass}$ of the bino (=LSP) is limited to $m_{\widetilde{B}}~\lesssim~$ 350 GeV for $m_t=$ 174 GeV.
- 22 DREES 93, KELLEY 93 compute the cosmic relic density of the LSP in the framework of minimal N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry.
- 23 FALK 93 relax the upper limit to the LSP mass by considering sfermion mixing in the MSSM.
- ²⁴ MIZUTA 93 include coannihilations to compute the relic density of Higgsino dark matter.
- 25 LOPEZ 92 calculate the relic LSP density in a minimal SUSY GUT model.
- ²⁶ MCDONALD 92 calculate the relic LSP density in the MSSM including exact tree-level annihilation cross sections for all two-body final states.
- 27 GRIEST 91 improve relic density calculations to account for coannihilations, pole effects, and threshold effects.
- ²⁸ NOJIRI 91 uses minimal supergravity mass relations between squarks and sleptons to narrow cosmologically allowed parameter space.
- ²⁹ Mass of the bino (=LSP) is limited to $m_{\widetilde{B}} \lesssim 350$ GeV for $m_t \leq 200$ GeV. Mass of the higgsino (=LSP) is limited to $m_{\widetilde{H}} \lesssim 1$ TeV for $m_t \leq 200$ GeV.

 30 ROSZKOWSKI 91 calculates LSP relic density in mixed gaugino/higgsino region.

- ³¹ Mass of the bino (=LSP) is limited to $m_{\widetilde{B}} \lesssim 550$ GeV. Mass of the higgsino (=LSP) is limited to $m_{\widetilde{H}} \lesssim 3.2$ TeV.
- 32 KRAUSS 83 finds $m_{\widetilde{\gamma}}$ not 30 eV to 2.5 GeV. KRAUSS 83 takes into account the gravitino decay. Find that limits depend strongly on reheated temperature. For example a new allowed region $m_{\widetilde{\gamma}} = 4\text{--}20$ MeV exists if $m_{\text{gravitino}}$ <40 TeV. See figure 2.

— Unstable $\widetilde{\chi}^{m{0}}_1$ (Lightest Neutralino) MASS LIMIT ———

Unless otherwise stated, results in this section assume spectra and production rates as evaluated in the MSSM. Unless otherwise stated, the goldstino or gravitino mass $m_{\widetilde{G}}$ is assumed to be negligible relative to all other masses. In the following, \widetilde{G} is assumed to be undetected and to give rise to a missing energy (\not{E}) signature.

VALUE (GeV)	<u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We d	lo not	use the following dat	a for a	averages,	, fits, limits, etc. • • •
		¹ AALTONEN	08 U	CDF	$\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow \ \gamma \widetilde{G}$, GMSB
>125	95	² ABAZOV	08F	D0	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}\widetilde{\chi}, \widetilde{\chi} = \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}, \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}, \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow \gamma\widetilde{G},$
		2			GMSB
		³ ABAZOV	08X	D0	$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow Z^0 G$, GMSB
		⁴ ABULENCIA	07H	CDF	<i>Ŗ</i> , <i>LL<u>E</u></i>
		⁵ ABAZOV	06D	D0	R, LLE
	~-	^o ABAZOV	06P	DO	$\mathcal{K}, \lambda_{122}$
> 96.8	95	' ABBIENDI	06B	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow BB, (B \rightarrow G\gamma)$ + - $\widetilde{c} \approx 0, (\approx 0, \widetilde{c})$
		° ABDALLAH	05B	DLPH	$e + e \rightarrow G \chi_1^{\circ}, (\chi_1^{\circ} \rightarrow G \gamma)$
> 96	95	⁹ ABDALLAH	05 B	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow BB, (B \rightarrow G\gamma)$
> 93	95	¹⁰ ACOSTA	05E	CDF	$p\overline{p} \to \widetilde{\chi}\widetilde{\chi}, \ \widetilde{\chi} = \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}, \ \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\perp}, \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \to \gamma G,$
		¹¹ AKTAS	05	H1	$e^{\pm} p \rightarrow a \widetilde{\chi}^{0} \widetilde{\chi}^{0} \rightarrow \chi \widetilde{G}$
			00		GMSB + R I OD
		¹² ABBIENDI	04N	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \gamma E$
> 66	95	^{13,14} ABDALLAH	04H	DLPH	AMSB, $\mu > 0$
> 38.0	95	^{15,16} ABDALLAH	0 4M	DLPH	$R(\overline{U}\overline{D}\overline{D})$
		¹⁷ ACHARD	04E	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \widetilde{G}\widetilde{\chi}^0_1, \widetilde{\chi}^0_1 \rightarrow \widetilde{G}\gamma$
> 99.5	95	¹⁸ ACHARD	04E	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \widetilde{B}\widetilde{B}, (\widetilde{B}^+ \rightarrow \widetilde{G}\gamma)$
> 89		¹⁹ ABDALLAH	03 D	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}^0_1 \tilde{\chi}^0_1$, GMSB,
					$m(\widetilde{G}) < 1eV$
		²⁰ HEISTER	03 C	ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \widetilde{B}\widetilde{B}, (\widetilde{B} \rightarrow \gamma \widetilde{G})$
		²¹ HEISTER	03 C	ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \widetilde{G} \widetilde{\chi}^0_1, (\widetilde{\chi}^0_1 \rightarrow \widetilde{G} \gamma)$
> 39.9	95	²² ACHARD	02	L3	R, MSUGRA
> 92	95	²³ HEISTER	0 2R	ALEP	short lifetime
> 54	95	²³ HEISTER	0 2R	ALEP	any lifetime
> 85	95	²⁴ ABBIENDI	01	OPAL	$e^+e^- ightarrow~\widetilde{\chi}^0_1\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$, GMSB, tan $eta{=}2$
> 76	95	²⁴ ABBIENDI	01	OPAL	$e^+e^- o ~ \widetilde{\chi}^0_1 \widetilde{\chi}^0_1$, GMSB, tan $eta{=}20$
> 32.5	95	²⁵ ACCIARRI	01	L3	$R,$ all m_0 , 0.7 \leq tan $eta \leq$ 40
		²⁶ ADAMS	01	NTEV	$\widetilde{\chi}^{0} \rightarrow \mu \mu \nu, R, LL\overline{E}$
> 29	95	²⁷ ABBIENDI	99T	OPAL	$e^+e^- ightarrow ~\widetilde{\chi}^0_1 \widetilde{\chi}^0_1$, R , $m_0=$ 500 GeV,
> 00	05	28	00-		$\tan\beta > 1.2$
> 29	95		99E	ALEP	μ , LQD, tan β =1.41, m_0 =500 GeV
× 00	05	ABREU 30 da da te	98 90		$e e \rightarrow \chi_{\tilde{1}} \chi_{\tilde{1}} (\chi_{\tilde{1}} \rightarrow \gamma G)$
> 23	95	31 FLUS	985		μ , LLE $+ - \sim 0 \sim 0 \sim 0 \sim 0$
		32 CARIDDO	97	THEO	$e \cdot e \rightarrow \chi_1^* \chi_1^*, \chi_1^* \rightarrow \gamma G$
		25 CARIRRO	81	COSM	

- ¹ AALTONEN 08U searched in 570 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for events that contain a time-delayed photon, at least one jet, and large \not{E}_T . The time-of-arrival is measured for each electromagnetic tower with a resolution of 0.50 ns. The number of observed events in the signal region is consistent with the background estimation. An upper limit on the cross section is derived as a function of the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass and lifetime, shown in their Fig. 24. The comparison with the NLO cross section for GMSB yields an exclusion of the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass as a function of its lifetime, see Fig. 25. See ABULENCIA 07P for a previous analysis of the same data set.
- ³ABAZOV 08X searched in 1.1 fb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for an excess of events with electron pairs. Their vertex, reconstructed from the directions measured in the segmented electromagnetic calorimeter, is required to be away from the primary interaction point. Such delayed decays might be expected for a Higgsino-like $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ in GMSB. No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation. Upper limits on the cross-section times branching ratio are extracted as a function of the lifetime for several ranges of dielectron invariant masses, see their Fig. 3.
- ⁴ABULENCIA 07H searched in 346 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for events with at least three leptons (e or μ) from the decay of $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ via $LL\overline{E}$ couplings. The results are consistent with the hypothesis of no signal. Upper limits on the cross-section are extracted and a limit is derived in the framework of mSUGRA on the masses of $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and

 $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$, see e.g. their Fig. 3 and Tab. II.

- ⁵ABAZOV 06D looked in 360 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for events with three leptons originating from the pair production of charginos and neutralinos, followed by R decays mediated by $LL\overline{E}$ couplings. One coupling is assumed to be dominant at a time. No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation in the $ee\ell$, $\mu\mu\ell$ nor $ee\tau$ ($\ell = e, \mu$) final states. Upper limits on the cross-section are extracted in a specific MSUGRA model and a MSSM model without unification of M_1 and M_2 at the GUT scale. A limit is derived on the masses of charginos and neutralinos for both scenarios assuming λ_{ijk} couplings such that the decay length is less than 1 cm, see their Table III and Fig. 4.
- ⁶ABAZOV 06P looked in 380 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for events with at least 2 opposite sign isolated muons which might arise from the decays of neutralinos into $\mu\mu\nu$ via R couplings $LL\overline{E}$. No events are observed in the decay region defined by a radius between 5 and 20 cm, in agreement with the SM expectation. Limits are set on the cross-section times branching ratio as a function of lifetime, shown in their Fig. 3. This limit excludes the SUSY interpretation of the NuTeV excess of dimuon events reported in ADAMS 01.

a prompt decay, with lifetimes up to 10^{-9} s. Supersedes the results of ABBIENDI 04N.

⁹ ABDALLAH 05B use data from $\sqrt{s} = 130-209$ GeV. They look for events with diphotons $+ \not\!\!E$ final states and single photons not pointing to the vertex, expected in GMSB when the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is the NLSP. Limits are computed in the plane $(m(\tilde{G}), m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0))$, see their Fig. 10. The lower limit is derived on the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass for a pure Bino state assuming a prompt decay and $m_{\tilde{e}_R} = m_{\tilde{e}_L} = 2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$. It improves to 100 GeV for $m_{\tilde{e}_R} = m_{\tilde{e}_L} = 1.1 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$. and the limit in the plane $(m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0), m(\tilde{e}_R))$ is shown in Fig. 10b. For long-lived neutralinos,

cross-section limits are displayed in their Fig 11. Supersedes the results of ABREU 002. ¹⁰ ACOSTA 05E looked in 202 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=1.96$ TeV for diphoton events with large \mathcal{E}_T . They may originate from the production of $\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}$ in pairs or associated to a $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$, decaying to a $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ which itself decays promptly in GMSB to $\gamma \tilde{G}$. No events are selected at large \mathcal{E}_T compared to the background expectation. A limit is derived on the

masses of SUSY particles in the GMSB framework for $M = 2 \Lambda$, N = 1, $\tan\beta = 15$ and

- $\mu > 0$, see Figure 2. It also excludes $\Lambda < 69$ TeV. Supersedes the results of ABE 99I. ¹¹ AKTAS 05 data collected at 319 GeV with 64.3 pb⁻¹ of $e^+ p$ and 13.5 pb⁻¹ of $e^- p$. They look for \mathcal{R} resonant $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ production via *t*-channel exchange of a \tilde{e} , followed by prompt GMSB decay of the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ to $\gamma \tilde{G}$. Upper limits at 95% on the cross section are derived, see their Figure 4, and compared to two example scenarios. In Figure 5, they display 95% exclusion limits in the plane of $M(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ versus $M(\tilde{e}_L) - M(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ for the two
- scenarios and several values of the λ' Yukawa coupling. ¹²ABBIENDI 04N use data from \sqrt{s} = 189–209 GeV, setting limits on $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow$
- $XX) \times B^2(X \to Y\gamma)$, with Y invisible (see their Fig. 4). Limits on $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ masses for a specific model are given. Supersedes the results of ABBIENDI, G 00D.
- ¹³ ABDALLAH 04H use data from LEP 1 and $\sqrt{s} = 192-208$ GeV. They re-use results or re-analyze the data from ABDALLAH 03M to put limits on the parameter space of anomaly-mediated supersymmetry breaking (AMSB), which is scanned in the region $1 < m_{3/2} < 50$ TeV, $0 < m_0 < 1000$ GeV, $1.5 < \tan\beta < 35$, both signs of μ . The constraints are obtained from the searches for mass degenerate chargino and neutralino, for SM-like and invisible Higgs, for leptonically decaying charginos and from the limit on non-SM Z width of 3.2 MeV. The limit is for $m_t = 174.3$ GeV (see Table 2 for other m_t values).
- ¹⁴ The limit improves to 73 GeV for μ < 0.
- ¹⁵ ABDALLAH 04M use data from $\sqrt{s} = 192-208$ GeV to derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with LLE or \overline{UDD} couplings. The results are valid in the ranges 90< $m_0 <$ 500 GeV, 0.7<tan $\beta <$ 30, -200 < $\mu <$ 200 GeV, 0< $M_2 <$ 400 GeV. Supersedes the result of ABREU 01D and ABREU 00U.
- ¹⁶ The limit improves to 39.5 GeV for $LL\overline{E}$ couplings.
- ¹⁸ ACHARD 04E use data from $\sqrt{s} = 189-209$ GeV. They look for events with diphotons $+ \not\!\!\!E$ final states. Limits are computed in the plane (m($\tilde{\chi}_1^0$), m(\tilde{e}_R)), see their Fig. 8d. The limit on the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass is for a pure Bino state assuming a prompt decay, with $m_{\tilde{e}_L} = 1.1 \ m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ and $m_{\tilde{e}_R} = 2.5 \ m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$. Supersedes the results of ACCIARRI 99R.

above is reached for a single generation of messengers and when the $\tilde{\tau}_1$ is the NLSP. Stronger limits are obtained when more messenger generations are assumed or when the other sleptons are co-NLSP, see their Fig. 10. Supersedes the results of ABREU 01G.

- ²² ACHARD 02 searches for the production of sparticles in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The MSUGRA limit results from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with the assumption of gaugino and scalar mass unification at the GUT scale, imposing simultaneously the exclusions from neutralino, chargino, sleptons, and squarks analyses. The limit holds for \overline{UDD} couplings, see ACCIARRI 01.

- ²⁵ ACCIARRI 01 searches for multi-lepton and/or multi-jet final states from R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$, or \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays of neutralinos, charginos, and scalar leptons, with the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ or a

 ℓ as LSP and assuming one coupling to be nonzero at a time. Mass limits are derived using simultaneously the constraints from the neutralino, chargino, and slepton analyses; and the Z^0 width measurements from ACCIARRI 00C in a scan of the parameter space assuming MSUGRA with gaugino and scalar mass universality. Updates and supersedes the results from ACCIARRI 99I.

²⁶ ADAMS 01 looked for neutral particles with mass > 2.2 GeV, produced by 900 GeV protons incident on a Beryllium oxide target and decaying through weak interactions into $\mu\mu$, μe , or $\mu\pi$ final states in the decay channel of the NuTeV detector (E815) at Fermilab. The number of observed events is $3 \mu\mu$, $0 \mu e$, and $0 \mu\pi$ with an expected background of 0.069 ± 0.010 , 0.13 ± 0.02 , and 0.14 ± 0.02 , respectively. The $\mu\mu$ events are consistent with the R decay of a neutralino with mass around 5 GeV. However, they share several aspects with ν -interaction backgrounds. An upper limit on the differential production cross section of neutralinos in pp interactions as function of the decay length is given in Fig. 3.

- 27 ABBIENDI 99T searches for the production of neutralinos in the case of *R*-parity violation with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$, or \overline{UDD} couplings using data from \sqrt{s} =183 GeV. They investigate topologies with multiple leptons, jets plus leptons, or multiple jets, assuming one coupling at the time to be non-zero and giving rise to direct or indirect decays. Mixed decays (where one particle has a direct, the other an indirect decay) are also considered for the UDD couplings. Upper limits on the cross section are derived which, combined with the constraint from the Z^0 width, allow to exclude regions in the M_2 versus μ plane for any coupling. Limits on the neutralino mass are obtained for non-zero $LL\overline{E}$ couplings $> 10^{-5}$. The limit disappears for tan $\beta < 1.2$ and it improves to 50 GeV for tan $\beta > 20$.
- ²⁸BARATE 99E looked for the decay of gauginos via *R*-violating couplings $LQ\overline{D}$. The bound is significantly reduced for smaller values of m_0 . Data collected at \sqrt{s} =130-172 GeV.
- obtained. Similar limits on $\gamma \not\!\!\!\!/ \!\!\!\!/$ are also given, relevant for $e^+ e^- \to \ \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \, \widetilde{G}$ production.
- ³⁰ BARATE 98S looked for the decay of gauginos via *R*-violating coupling $LL\overline{E}$. The bound improves to 25 GeV if the chargino decays into neutralino which further decays into lepton pairs. Data collected at \sqrt{s} =130–172 GeV.
- 31 ELLIS 97 reanalyzed the LEP2 (\sqrt{s} =161 GeV) limits of $\sigma(\gamma\gamma + E_{\text{miss}}) < 0.2 \text{ pb to exclude}$ $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} < 63 \text{ GeV}$ if $m_{\tilde{e}_L} = m_{\tilde{e}_R} < 150 \text{ GeV}$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ decays to $\gamma \tilde{G}$ inside detector.
- 32 CABIBBO 81 consider $\widetilde{\gamma} o ~\gamma+$ goldstino. Photino must be either light enough (<30 eV) to satisfy cosmology bound, or heavy enough (>0.3 MeV) to have disappeared at early universe.

 $\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$, $\tilde{\chi}_{3}^{0}$, $\tilde{\chi}_{4}^{0}$ (Neutralinos) MASS LIMITS Neutralinos are unknown mixtures of photinos, z-inos, and neutral higgsinos (the supersymmetric partners of photons and of Z and Higgs bosons). The limits here apply only to $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$, $\tilde{\chi}_3^0$, and $\tilde{\chi}_4^0$. $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP); see $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ Mass Limits. It is not possible to quote rigorous mass limits because they are extremely model dependent; i.e. they depend on branching ratios of various $\widetilde{\chi}^{0}$ decay modes, on the masses of decay products (\tilde{e} , $\tilde{\gamma}$, \tilde{g} , \tilde{g}), and on the \tilde{e} mass exchanged in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_i^0 \tilde{\chi}_i^0$. Limits arise either from direct searches, or from the MSSM constraints set on the gaugino and higgsino mass parameters M_2 and μ through searches for lighter charginos and neutralinos. Often limits are given as contour plots in the $m_{\widetilde{\gamma}0}\,-\,m_{\widetilde{e}}$ plane vs other parameters. When specific assumptions are made, e.g, the neutralino is a pure photino ($\tilde{\gamma}$), pure z-ino (\tilde{Z}), or pure neutral higgsino (\tilde{H}^0), the

neutralinos will be labelled as such.

Limits obtained from e^+e^- collisions at energies up to 136 GeV, as well as other limits from different techniques, are now superseded and have not been included in this compilation. They can be found in the 1998 Edition (The European Physical Journal **C3** 1 (1998)) of this Review. $\Delta m = m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT I	D	TECN	COMMENT
> 78	95	¹ ABBIENDI	04н	OPAL	$\widetilde{\chi}^{0}_{2}$, all tan eta , $\Delta m {>} 5$ GeV,
> 62.4	95	² ABREU	00W	DLPH	$\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$, $1 \leq \tan\beta \leq 40$, all Δm , all m_{2}
> 99.9	95	² ABREU	00W	DLPH	$\widetilde{\chi}_{3}^{0}$, $1 \leq aneta \leq 40$, all Δm ,
>116.0	95	² ABREU	00W	DLPH	all m_0 $\widetilde{\chi}^0_4$, $1 \leq aneta \leq 40$, all Δm , all m_0
HTTP://PDC	G.LBL.G	VC	Page 15	5	Created: 6/1/2009 14:19

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

		³ ABULENCIA	07N	CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \qquad \qquad$
		[¬] ABDALLAH	05B	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_2^0 \chi_2^0, (\chi_2^0 \rightarrow \chi_1^0 \gamma)$
		⁵ ACHARD	04E	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_2^0, (\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \gamma)$
> 80.0	95	⁶ ACHARD	02	L3	$\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$, R , MSUGRA
>107.2	95	⁶ ACHARD	02	L3	$\widetilde{\chi}_{3}^{0}$, R , MSUGRA
		⁷ ABREU	01 B	DLPH	$e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_{i}^{0}\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{0}$
> 68.0	95	⁸ ACCIARRI	01	L3	$\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$, R , all m_0 , $0.7 \leq aneta \leq 40$
> 99.0	95	⁸ ACCIARRI	01	L3	$\widetilde{\chi}_3^{m 0}$, $ ot\!$
> 50	95	⁹ ABREU	00 U	DLPH	$\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{\check{0}}$, $ ot\!$
		¹⁰ ABBIENDI ¹¹ ABBIENDI	99F 99F	OPAL OPAL	$1 \leq \tan\beta \leq 30$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0 (\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_2^0 (\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{\chi}_2^0)$
		¹² ABBOTT	98C	D0	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$
> 82.2	95	¹³ ABE	98J	CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_2^0$
> 92	95	¹⁴ ACCIARRI	98F	L3	\widetilde{H}_{2}^{0} , tan $\beta = 1.41$, $M_{2} < 500 \text{ GeV}$
		¹⁵ ACCIARRI	98v	L3	$e^{\pm}e^{-} \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}\tilde{\chi}_{1,2}^{0}$
					$(\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \gamma \widetilde{\chi}_1^0)$
> 53	95	¹⁶ BARATE	98H	ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \widetilde{\gamma}\widetilde{\gamma}(\widetilde{\gamma} \rightarrow \gamma\widetilde{H}^0)$
> 74	95	¹⁷ BARATE	98J	ALEP	$e^+e^- ightarrow \widetilde{\gamma} \widetilde{\gamma} \ (\widetilde{\gamma} ightarrow \ \gamma \widetilde{H}^0)$
		¹⁸ ABACHI	96	D0	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$
		¹⁹ ABE	96K	CDF	$p \overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^{\overline{0}}$

- ¹ ABBIENDI 04H search for charginos and neutralinos in events with acoplanar leptons+jets and multi-jet final states in the 192–209 GeV data, combined with the results on leptonic final states from ABBIENDI 04. The results hold for a scan over the parameter space covering the region $0 < M_2 < 5000$ GeV, $-1000 < \mu < 1000$ GeV and $\tan\beta$ from 1 to 40. This limit supersedes ABBIENDI 00H.
- ² ABREU 00W combines data collected at \sqrt{s} =189 GeV with results from lower energies. The mass limit is obtained by constraining the MSSM parameter space with gaugino and sfermion mass universality at the GUT scale, using the results of negative direct searches for neutralinos (including cascade decays and $\tilde{\tau}\tau$ final states) from ABREU 01, for charginos from ABREU 00J and ABREU 00T (for all Δm_+), and for charged sleptons from ABREU 01B. The results hold for the full parameter space defined by all values of M_2 and $|\mu| \leq 2$ TeV with the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ as LSP.

- ⁶ ACHARD 02 searches for the production of sparticles in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The MSUGRA limit

results from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with the assumption of gaugino and scalar mass unification at the GUT scale, imposing simultaneously the exclusions from neutralino, chargino, sleptons, and squarks analyses. The limit of $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ holds for \overline{UDD} couplings and increases to 84.0 GeV for $LL\overline{E}$ couplings. The same $\tilde{\chi}_3^0$ limit holds for both $LL\overline{E}$ and \overline{UDD} couplings. For L3 limits from $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings, see ACCIARRI 01. ⁷ ABREU 01B used data from \sqrt{s} =189 GeV to search for the production of $\tilde{\chi}_i^0 \tilde{\chi}_i^0$. They

 $f \overline{f} \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$; multi-jet and multi-lepton pairs with or without additional photons to cover the cascade decays $\widetilde{\chi}_{j}^{0} \rightarrow f \overline{f} \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$, followed by $\widetilde{\chi}_{j}^{0} \rightarrow f \overline{f} \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ or $\widetilde{\chi}_{j}^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$; multi-tau final states from $\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow \widetilde{\tau} \tau$ with $\widetilde{\tau} \rightarrow \tau \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$. See Figs. 9 and 10 for limits on the (μ, M_{2}) plane for tan β =1.0 and different values of m_{0} .

- ⁸ ACCIARRI 01 searches for multi-lepton and/or multi-jet final states from \mathcal{R} prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$, or \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays of neutralinos, charginos, and scalar leptons, with the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ or a $\tilde{\ell}$ as LSP and assuming one coupling to be nonzero at a time. Mass limits are derived using simultaneously the constraints from the neutralino, chargino, and slepton analyses; and the Z^0 width measurements from ACCIARRI 00C in a scan of the parameter space assuming MSUGRA with gaugino and scalar mass universality. Updates and supersedes
- the results from ACCIARRI 991.
- ⁹ABREU 000 searches for the production of charginos and neutralinos in the case of *R*-parity violation with *LLE* couplings, using data from \sqrt{s} =189 GeV. They investigate topologies with multiple leptons or jets plus leptons, assuming one coupling to be nonzero at the time and giving rise to direct or indirect decays. Llmits are obtained in the M_2 versus μ plane and a limit on the neutralino mass is derived from a scan over the parameters m_0 and tan β .
- ¹⁰ ABBIENDI 99F looked for $\gamma \not\!\!\!E$ final states at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. They obtained an upper bound on the cross section for the production $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ followed by the prompt decay $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ of 0.075–0.80 pb in the region $m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} > m_Z$, $m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} = 91-183$ GeV, and $\Delta m > 5$ GeV. See Fig. 7 for explicit limits in the $(m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0})$ plane.
- ¹¹ ABBIENDI 99F looked for $\gamma \gamma \not\!\!\! \mathbb{E}$ final states at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. They obtained an upper bound on the cross section for the production $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ followed by the prompt decay $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ of 0.08–0.37 pb for $m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}=45$ –81.5 GeV, and $\Delta m > 5$ GeV. See Fig. 11 for explicit limits in the $(m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0})$ plane.
- ¹² ABBOTT 98C searches for trilepton final states ($\ell = e, \mu$). See footnote to ABBOTT 98C in the Chargino Section for details on the assumptions. Assuming a negligible decay rate of $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ to quarks, they obtain $m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} \gtrsim 103$ GeV.
- ¹³ ABE 98J searches for trilepton final states $(\ell = e, \mu)$. See footnote to ABE 98J in the Chargino Section for details on the assumptions. The quoted result for $m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}$ corresponds to the best limit within the selected range of parameters, obtained for $m_{\tilde{q}} > m_{\tilde{g}}$, $\tan\beta=2$, and $\mu=-600$ GeV.

¹⁴ ACCIARRI 98F is obtained from direct searches in the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}^0_{1,2}\tilde{\chi}^0_2$ production channels, and indirectly from $\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1$ and $\tilde{\chi}^0_1$ searches within the MSSM. See footnote to ACCIARRI 98F in the chargino Section for further details on the assumptions. Data taken at $\sqrt{s} = 130-172$ GeV.

- bound on the cross section for the production $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}^0_2 \tilde{\chi}^0_{1,2}$ followed by the prompt decay $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{\chi}_1^0$. See Figs. 4a and 6a for explicit limits in the $(m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0})$ plane.
- upper bound on the cross section for the production $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}^0_2 \tilde{\chi}^0_2$ followed by the prompt decay $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ of 0.4–0.8 pb for $m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} =$ 10–80 GeV. The bound above is for the specific case of $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 = \tilde{H}^0$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 = \tilde{\gamma}$ and $m_{\tilde{e}_R} = 100$ GeV. See Fig. 6 and 7 for explicit limits in the $(\tilde{\chi}_2^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ plane and in the $(\tilde{\chi}_2^0, \tilde{e}_R)$ plane.
- ¹⁷ BARATE 98J looked for $\gamma \gamma \not E$ final states at $\sqrt{s} = 161-183$ GeV. They obtained an upper bound on the cross section for the production $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ followed by the prompt decay $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \ \gamma \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ of 0.08–0.24 pb for $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} <$ 91 GeV. The bound above is for the specific case of $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 = \tilde{H}^0$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 = \tilde{\gamma}$ and $m_{\widetilde{e}_R}^- = 100$ GeV.
- 18 ABACHI 96 searches for 3-lepton final states. Efficiencies are calculated using mass relations and branching ratios in the Minimal Supergravity scenario. Results are presented as lower bounds on $\sigma(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}\tilde{\chi}_2^0) \times B(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \rightarrow \ell \nu_\ell \tilde{\chi}_1^0) \times B(\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ as a function of $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$. Limits range from 3.1 pb ($m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 45$ GeV) to 0.6 pb ($m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 100$ GeV).
- ¹⁹ ABE 96K looked for trilepton events from chargino-neutralino production. They obtained lower bounds on $m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_2}$ as a function of μ . The lower bounds are in the 45–50 GeV range

for gaugino-dominant $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ with negative μ , if tan β <10. See paper for more details of the assumptions

 $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$, $\tilde{\chi}_2^{\pm}$ (Charginos) MASS LIMITS Charginos are unknown mixtures of w-inos and charged higgsinos (the supersymmetric partners of W and Higgs bosons). A lower mass limit for the lightest chargino ($\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$) of approximately 45 GeV, independent of the field composition and of the decay mode, has been obtained by the LEP experiments from the analysis of the Z width and decays. These results, as well as other now superseded limits from e^+e^- collisions at energies below 136 GeV, and from hadronic collisions, can be found in the 1998 Edition (The European Physical Journal C3 1 (1998)) of this Review.

Unless otherwise stated, results in this section assume spectra, production rates, decay modes and branching ratios as evaluated in the MSSM, with gaugino and sfermion mass unification at the GUT scale. These papers generally study production of $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_2^0$, $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ and (in the case of hadronic collisions) $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ pairs, including the effects of cascade decays. The mass limits on $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ are either direct, or follow indirectly from the constraints set by the non-observation of $\tilde{\chi}^0_2$ states on the gaugino and higgsino MSSM parameters M_2 and μ . For generic values of the MSSM parameters, limits from high-energy e^+e^- collisions coincide with the highest value of the mass allowed by phase-space, namely $m_{\chi^\pm_1}\lesssim \sqrt{s}/2$. The still unpublished combination of the results of the four LEP collaborations from the 2000 run of LEP2 at \sqrt{s} up to \simeq 209 GeV yields a lower mass limit of 103.5 GeV valid for general MSSM models. The limits become however weaker in certain regions of the MSSM parameter space where the detection efficiencies or production cross sections are suppressed. For example, this may happen

when: (i) the mass differences $\Delta m_{+} = m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}}$ or $\Delta m_{\nu} = m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}} - m_{\tilde{\nu}}$ are very small, and the detection efficiency is reduced; (ii) the electron sneutrino mass is small, and the $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}$ production rate is suppressed due to a destructive interference between s and t channel exchange diagrams. The regions of MSSM parameter space where the following limits are valid are indicated in the comment lines or in the footnotes.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>101	95	¹ ABBIENDI	04н	OPAL	all tan β , Δm_+ >5 GeV, m_0 >500 GeV, $A_0 = 0$
> 89		² ABBIENDI	03н	OPAL	$0.5 \le \Delta m_+ \le 5$ GeV, higgsino- like tan $\beta = 1.5$
> 97.1	95	³ ABDALLAH	0 3M	DLPH	$\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$, $\Delta m_+ \geq$ 3 GeV, $m_{\widetilde{\nu}} > m_{\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm}}$
> 75	95	³ ABDALLAH	0 3M	DLPH	$\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$,higgsino,all $\Delta m_+, m_{\widetilde{f}} > m_{\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm}}$
> 70	95	³ ABDALLAH	03M	DLPH	$\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$, all Δm_+ , $m_{\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}}$ >500 GeV, $M_2 \leq 2M_1 \leq 10M_2$
> 94	95	⁴ ABDALLAH	03м	DLPH	$\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}$, $\tan\beta \leq 40$, $\Delta m_{+} > 3$ GeV, all m_{0}
> 88	95	⁵ HEISTER	02J	ALEP	$\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$, all Δm_+ , large m_0
> 67.7	95	⁶ ACCIARRI	00 D	L3	$ aneta > 0.7$, all Δm_+ , all m_0
> 69.4	95	⁷ ACCIARRI	00K	L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow ~\widetilde{\chi}^\pm \widetilde{\chi}^\mp$, all Δm_+ ,
					heavy scalars
• • • We do i	not us	e the following data fo	or ave	rages, fit	ts, limits, etc. ● ●
		⁸ AALTONEN	08AE	CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$
		⁹ AALTONEN	08L	CDF	$p \overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^0$
>229	95	¹⁰ ABAZOV	08F	D0	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}\widetilde{\chi}, \ \widetilde{\chi} = \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}, \ \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}, \ \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow$
					$\gamma \widetilde{G}$, GMSB
		¹¹ AALTONEN	07J	CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$
		¹² ABULENCIA	07H	CDF	$\mathcal{R}, LL\overline{E}$
		¹³ ABULENCIA	07N	CDF	$p \overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^0$
		¹⁴ ABAZOV	06 D	D0	$\mathcal{R}, \ LL\overline{E}$
>195	95	¹⁵ ABAZOV	05A	D0	$p\overline{p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\chi}\widetilde{\chi}, \ \widetilde{\chi} = \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}, \ \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}, \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow$
<u>\117</u>	05	16 ABAZOV	0511	DO	$\gamma G, \text{GMSB}$ $\mathbf{p}_{\overline{\mathbf{n}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}^{\pm} \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}^{0}$
> 167	05	17 ACOSTA	050		$r_{\overline{x}} \sim r_{\overline{x}} $
>107	95	ACOSTA	UJE	CDI	$pp \rightarrow \chi\chi, \chi - \chi_2, \chi_1, \chi_1 \rightarrow \chi_{\widetilde{G}}$ GMSB
> 66	95	^{18,19} ABDALLAH	04н	DLPH	AMSB, $\mu > 0$
>102.5	95	^{20,21} ABDALLAH	04M	DLPH	$R(\overline{U}\overline{D}\overline{D})$
>100		²² ABDALLAH	03 D	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}\tilde{\chi}_1^{\mp} (\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}_1 \nu_{\tau},$
		12			$\widetilde{\tau}_{1} \rightarrow \tau G$)
>103	05	²³ HEISTER	03G	ALEP	\mathcal{R} decays, $m_0 > 500 \text{ GeV}$
>102.7	95	² ACHARD 25 GHODBANF	02 02	L3 THEO	坎, MSUGKA
> 94.3	95	²⁶ ABREU	01C	DLPH	$\tilde{\chi}^{\pm} \rightarrow \tau J$
> 93.8	95	²⁷ ACCIARRI	01	L3	\mathcal{R} , all m_0 , 0.7 $\leq \tan\beta \leq 40$
>100	95	²⁸ BARATE	01 B	ALEP	R decays, $m_0 > 500$ GeV

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

> 91.8	95	²⁹ ABREU	00v	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} (\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}_1 \nu_{\tau})$
		20			$\widetilde{\tau}_1 \rightarrow \tau \widetilde{G}$)
		³⁰ CHO	00 B	THEO	EW analysis
> 76	95	³¹ ABBIENDI	99T	OPAL	尿, m ₀ =500 GeV
> 51	95	³² MALTONI	99 B	THEO	EW analysis, $\Delta m_+ \sim 1$ GeV
> 81.5	95	³³ ABE	98J	CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^0$
		³⁴ ACKERSTAFF	98K	OPAL	$\tilde{\chi}^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ E$
> 65.7	95	³⁵ ACKERSTAFF	98L	OPAL	$\Delta m_+ >$ 3 GeV, $\Delta m_ u >$ 2 GeV
		³⁶ ACKERSTAFF	98v	OPAL	light gluino
		³⁷ CARENA	97	THEO	$g_{\mu} - 2$
		³⁸ KALINOWSKI	97	THEO	$W \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^0$
		³⁹ ABE	96K	CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_2^{\bar{0}}$

- ¹ ABBIENDI 04H search for charginos and neutralinos in events with acoplanar leptons+jets and multi-jet final states in the 192–209 GeV data, combined with the results on leptonic final states from ABBIENDI 04. The results hold for a scan over the parameter space covering the region $0 < M_2 < 5000$ GeV, $-1000 < \mu < 1000$ GeV and $\tan\beta$ from 1 to 40. This limit supersedes ABBIENDI 00H.

 Δm_+) are shown in Fig. 7. Exclusion regions are also derived for the AMSB scenario in the $(m_{3/2}, \tan\beta)$ plane, see their Fig. 9.

- ³ ABDALLAH 03M searches for the production of charginos using data from $\sqrt{s} = 192$ to 208 GeV to investigate topologies with multiple leptons, jets plus leptons, multi-jets, or isolated photons. The first limit holds for $\tan\beta \ge 1$ and is obtained at $\Delta m_{+}=3$ GeV in the higgsino region. For $\Delta m_{+} \ge 10$ (5) GeV and large m_{0} , the limit improves to 102.7 (101.7) GeV. For the region of small Δm_{+} , all data from $\sqrt{s} = 130$ to 208 GeV are used to investigate final states with heavy stable charged particles, decay vertices inside the detector and soft topologies with a photon from initial state radiation. The second limit is obtained in the higgsino region, assuming gaugino mass universality at the GUT scale and $1 < \tan\beta < 50$. For the case of non-universality of gaugino masses, the parameter space is scanned in the domain $1 < \tan\beta < 50$ and, for $\Delta m_{+} < 3$ GeV, for values of M_{1} , M_{2} and μ such that $M_{2} \le 2M_{1} \le 10M_{2}$ and $|\mu| \ge M_{2}$. The third limit is obtained in the gaugino region. See Fig. 36 for the dependence of the low Δm_{+} limits on Δm_{+} . These limits include and update the results of ABREU 00J and ABREU 00T.
- ⁴ ABDALLAH 03M uses data from $\sqrt{s} = 192-208$ GeV to obtain limits in the framework of the MSSM with gaugino and sfermion mass universality at the GUT scale. An indirect limit on the mass of charginos is derived by constraining the MSSM parameter space by the results from direct searches for neutralinos (including cascade decays), for charginos and for sleptons. These limits are valid for values of $M_2 < 1$ TeV, $|\mu| \leq 2$ TeV with the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ as LSP. Constraints from the Higgs search in the M_h^{max} scenario assuming $m_t =$ 174.3 GeV are included. The quoted limit applies if there is no mixing in the third family or when $m_{\tilde{\tau}_1} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} > 6$ GeV. If mixing is included the limit degrades to 90 GeV. See Fig. 43 for the mass limits as a function of tan β . These limits update the results of

ABREU 00W. HEISTEP 021 search for sharring production with small $\Delta m_{\rm e}$ in final states with a hard

⁵ HEISTER 02J search for chargino production with small Δm_+ in final states with a hard isolated initial state radiation photon and few low-momentum particles, using 189–208

- ⁶ ACCIARRI 00D data collected at \sqrt{s} =189 GeV. The results hold over the full parameter space defined by 0.7 $\leq \tan\beta \leq 60$, $0 \leq M_2 \leq 2$ TeV, $|\mu| \leq 2$ TeV $m_0 \leq 500$ GeV. The results of slepton searches from ACCIARRI 99W are used to help set constraints in the region of small m_0 . See their Figs. 5 for the $\tan\beta$ and M_2 dependence on the limits. See the text for the impact of a large B($\tilde{\chi}^{\pm} \rightarrow \tau \tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$) on the result. The region of small Δm_+ is excluded by the analysis of ACCIARRI 00K. Updates ACCIARRI 98F.
- ⁷ ACCIARRI 00K searches for the production of charginos with small Δm_+ using data from \sqrt{s} =189 GeV. They investigate soft final states with a photon from initial state radiation. The results are combined with the limits on prompt decays from ACCIARRI 00D and from heavy stable charged particles from ACCIARRI 99L (see Heavy Charged Lepton Searches). The production and decay branching ratios are evaluated within the MSSM, assuming heavy sfermions. The parameter space is scanned in the domain 1<tan β <50, 0.3 < M_1/M_2 <50, and 0< $|\mu|$ <2 TeV. The limit is obtained in the higgsino region and improves to 78.6 GeV for gaugino-like charginos. The limit is unchanged for light scalar quarks. For light $\tilde{\tau}$ or $\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$, the limit is unchanged in the gaugino-like region and is lowered by 0.8 GeV in the higgsino-like case. For light $\tilde{\mu}$ or $\tilde{\nu}_{\mu}$, the limit is unchanged in the higgsino-like region and is lowered by 0.9 GeV in the gaugino-like region. No direct mass limits are obtained for light \tilde{e} or $\tilde{\nu}_e$.

Fig. 2 for a mSUGRA scenario. When the $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ is nearly mass degenerate with the $\tilde{\tau}_1$ the leptons are too soft and no limit is obtained. For the case $m_0 = 60$ GeV a lower limit of 145 GeV on the chargino mass is obtained in this mSUGRA scenario.

⁹ AALTONEN 08L searched in 0.7 to 1.0 fb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for events with one high- p_T electron or muon and two additional leptons (e or μ) from the decay of $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_2^0 X$. The selected number of events is consistent with the SM background expectation. The data are used to constrain the cross section times branching ratio as a function of the $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ mass. The results are compared to three MSSM scenarios. An exclusion on chargino and neutralino production is only obtained in a scenario of no mixing between sleptons, yielding nearly equal branching ratios to all three lepton flavors. It amounts to $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}} > 151$ GeV, while the analysis is not sensitive to chargino masses below about 110 GeV. The analyses have been combined with the analyses of AALTONEN 07J and ABULENCIA 07N. The observed limits for the combination are less stringent than the one obtained for the high- p_T analysis due to slight excesses in the

stringent than the one obtained for the high- p_T analysis due to slight excesses in the other channels. ¹⁰ ABAZOV 08F looked in 1.1 fb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for diphoton events with lower R.

GeV. This analysis includes the same sign dilepton analysis of ABULENCIA 07N.

¹² ABULENCIA 07H searched in 346 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for events with at least three leptons (e or μ) from the decay of $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ via $LL\overline{E}$ couplings. The results are consistent with the hypothesis of no signal. Upper limits on the cross-section are extracted and a limit is derived in the framework of mSUGRA on the masses of $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and

 $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$, see e.g. their Fig. 3 and Tab. II.

- ¹³ABULENCIA 07N searched in 1 fb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for events with two same sign leptons (e or μ) from the decay of $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_2^0 X$ and large E_T . A slight excess of 13 events is observed over a SM background expectation of 7.8 \pm 1.1. However, the kinematic distributions do not show any anomalous deviation from expectations in any particular region of parameter space.
- ¹⁴ ABAZOV 06D looked in 360 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for events with three leptons originating from the pair production of charginos and neutralinos, followed by R decays mediated by $LL\overline{E}$ couplings. One coupling is assumed to be dominant at a time. No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation in the $ee\ell$, $\mu\mu\ell$ nor $ee\tau$ ($\ell = e, \mu$) final states. Upper limits on the cross-section are extracted in a specific MSUGRA model and a MSSM model without unification of M_1 and M_2 at the GUT scale. A limit is derived on the masses of charginos and neutralinos for both scenarios assuming λ_{ijk} couplings such that the decay length is less than 1 cm, see their Table III and Fig. 4.
- ¹⁵ ABAZOV 05A looked in 263 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for diphoton events with large \mathbb{Z}_T . They may originate from the production of $\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}$ in pairs or associated to a $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$, decaying to a $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ which itself decays promptly in GMSB to $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{G}$. No significant excess was found at large \mathbb{Z}_T compared to the background expectation. A limit is derived on the masses of SUSY particles in the GMSB framework for $M = 2 \Lambda$, N = 1, tan $\beta = 15$ and $\mu > 0$, see Figure 2. It also excludes $\Lambda < 79.6$ TeV. Very similar results are obtained for different choices of parameters, see their Table 2. Supersedes the results of ABBOTT 98.
- ¹⁶ ABAZOV 05U looked in 320 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for events with large \mathbb{Z}_T , no jets and three leptons (e,μ,τ) of which at least two are e or μ . No significant excess was found at large \mathbb{Z}_T compared to the background expectation. A limit is derived on the cross section times branching ratio to 3 leptons, see their Figures 2 and 3. The mass limit assumes gaugino mass universality, three degenerate sleptons and "maximally enhanced" leptonic branching fraction, i.e. a decay dominated by a slepton rather than W/Z. If, in addition, squarks are heavy, the limit improves to 132 GeV. Supersedes the results of ABBOTT 98C.
- ¹⁷ ACOSTA 05E looked in 202 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=1.96$ TeV for diphoton events with large \not{E}_T . They may originate from the production of $\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}$ in pairs or associated to a $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$, decaying to a $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ which itself decays promptly in GMSB to $\gamma \tilde{G}$. No events are selected at large \not{E}_T compared to the background expectation. A limit is derived on the masses of SUSY particles in the GMSB framework for $M = 2 \Lambda$, N = 1, $\tan\beta = 15$ and $\mu > 0$, see Figure 2. It also excludes $\Lambda < 69$ TeV. Supersedes the results of ABE 99I.
- ¹⁸ABDALLAH 04H use data from LEP 1 and $\sqrt{s} = 192-208$ GeV. They re-use results or re-analyze the data from ABDALLAH 03M to put limits on the parameter space of anomaly-mediated supersymmetry breaking (AMSB), which is scanned in the region $1 < m_{3/2} < 50$ TeV, $0 < m_0 < 1000$ GeV, $1.5 < \tan\beta < 35$, both signs of μ . The constraints

are obtained from the searches for mass degenerate chargino and neutralino, for SM-like and invisible Higgs, for leptonically decaying charginos and from the limit on non-SM Z width of 3.2 MeV. The limit is for $m_t = 174.3$ GeV (see Table 2 for other m_t values).

- 19 The limit improves to 73 GeV for $\mu~<$ 0.
- ²⁰ ABDALLAH 04M use data from $\sqrt{s} = 192-208$ GeV to derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with LLE or \overline{UDD} couplings. The results are valid in the ranges 90< $m_0 <$ 500 GeV, 0.7<tan $\beta <$ 30, -200 < $\mu <$ 200 GeV, 0< $M_2 <$ 400 GeV. Supersedes the result of ABREU 01D and ABREU 00U.
- ²¹ The limit improves to 103 GeV for $LL\overline{E}$ couplings.
- ²² ABDALLAH 03D use data from $\sqrt{s} = 183-208 \text{ GeV}$. They look for final states with two acoplanar leptons, expected in GMSB when the $\tilde{\tau}_1$ is the NLSP and assuming a short-lived $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$. Limits are obtained in the plane $(\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{\tau}),\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}))$ for different domains of $\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{G})$, after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production from the same paper. The limit above is valid if the $\tilde{\tau}_1$ is the NLSP for all values of $\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{G})$ provided $\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}) \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{\tau}_1) \geq 0.3 \text{ GeV}$. For larger $\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{G}) > 100 \text{ eV}$ the limit improves to 102 GeV, see their Fig. 11. In the co-NLSP scenario, the limits are 96 and 102 GeV for all $\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{G})$ and $\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{G}) > 100 \text{ eV}$, respectively. Supersedes the results of ABREU 01G.
- ²³ HEISTER 03G searches for the production of charginos prompt decays. in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–209 GeV. The search is performed for indirect decays, assuming one coupling at a time to be non-zero. The limit holds for tan β =1.41. Excluded regions in the (μ , M_2) plane are shown in their Fig. 3.
- ²⁴ ACHARD 02 searches for the production of sparticles in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The MSUGRA limit results from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with the assumption of gaugino and scalar mass unification at the GUT scale, imposing simultaneously the exclusions from neutralino, chargino, sleptons, and squarks analyses. The limit of $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ holds for \overline{UDD} couplings and increases to 103.0 GeV for $LL\overline{E}$ couplings. For L3 limits from $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings, see ACCIARRI 01.
- ²⁵ GHODBANE 02 reanalyzes DELPHI data at \sqrt{s} =189 GeV in the presence of complex phases for the MSSM parameters.
- ²⁶ABREU 01C looked for τ pairs with $\not E$ at \sqrt{s} =183–189 GeV to search for the associated production of charginos, followed by the decay $\tilde{\chi}^{\pm} \rightarrow \tau J$, J being an invisible massless particle. See Fig. 6 for the regions excluded in the (μ, M_2) plane.
- ²⁷ ACCIARRI 01 searches for multi-lepton and/or multi-jet final states from R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$, or \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays of neutralinos, charginos, and scalar leptons, with the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ or a

 ℓ as LSP and assuming one coupling to be nonzero at a time. Mass limits are derived using simultaneously the constraints from the neutralino, chargino, and slepton analyses; and the Z^0 width measurements from ACCIARRI 00C in a scan of the parameter space assuming MSUGRA with gaugino and scalar mass universality. Updates and supersedes the results from ACCIARRI 991.

- ²⁸ BARATE 01B searches for the production of charginos in the case of R prompt decays with *LLE*, *LQD*, or *UDD* couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–202 GeV. The search is performed for indirect decays, assuming one coupling at a time to be nonzero. Updates BARATE 00H.
- ²⁹ ABREU 00V use data from \sqrt{s} = 183–189 GeV. They look for final states with two acoplanar leptons, expected in GMSB when the $\tilde{\tau}_1$ is the NLSP and assuming a short-lived $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$. Limits are obtained in the plane $(m_{\tilde{\tau}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}})$ for different domains of $m_{\tilde{G}}$, after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABREU 01 to cover prompt decays and on stable particle searches from

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

ABREU 00Q. The limit above is valid for all values of $m_{\widetilde{C}}$.

- ³⁰ CHO 00B studied constraints on the MSSM spectrum from precision EW observables. Global fits favour charginos with masses at the lower bounds allowed by direct searches. Allowing for variations of the squark and slepton masses does not improve the fits.
- ³¹ ABBIENDI 99T searches for the production of neutralinos in the case of *R*-parity violation with *LLE*, *LQD*, or *UDD* couplings using data from \sqrt{s} =183 GeV. They investigate topologies with multiple leptons, jets plus leptons, or multiple jets, assuming one coupling at the time to be non-zero and giving rise to direct or indirect decays. Mixed decays (where one particle has a direct, the other an indirect decay) are also considered for the *UDD* couplings. Upper limits on the cross section are derived which, combined with the constraint from the Z⁰ width, allow to exclude regions in the M₂ versus μ plane for any coupling. Limits on the chargino mass are obtained for non-zero *LLE* couplings > 10⁻⁵ and assuming decays via a W^{*}.
- ³² MALTONI 99B studied the effect of light chargino-neutralino to the electroweak precision data with a particular focus on the case where they are nearly degenerate ($\Delta m_+ \sim 1$ GeV) which is difficult to exclude from direct collider searches. The quoted limit is for higgsino-like case while the bound improves to 56 GeV for wino-like case. The values of the limits presented here are obtained in an update to MALTONI 99B, as described in MALTONI 00.
- ³³ABE 98J searches for trilepton final states $(\ell = e, \mu)$. Efficiencies are calculated using mass relations in the Minimal Supergravity scenario, exploring the domain of parameter space defined by 1.1 $\langle \tan \beta < 8, -1000 < \mu(\text{GeV}) < -200$, and $m_{\tilde{q}}/m_{\tilde{g}} = 1-2$. In this region $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}} \sim m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}$ and $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}} \sim 2m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$. Results are presented in Fig. 1 as upper bounds on $\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}\tilde{\chi}_2^0) \times B(3\ell)$. Limits range from 0.8 pb $(m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}} = 50 \text{ GeV})$ to

0.23 pb ($m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}}$ =100 GeV) at 95%CL. The gaugino mass unification hypothesis and the assumed mass relation between squarks and gluinos define the value of the leptonic

branching ratios. The quoted result corresponds to the best limit within the selected range of parameters, obtained for $m_{\tilde{q}} > m_{\tilde{g}}$, $\tan\beta=2$, and $\mu=-600$ GeV. Mass limits for different values of $\tan\beta$ and μ are given in Fig. 2.

- ³⁴ ACKERSTAFF 98K looked for dilepton+ $\not\!\!\!E_T$ final states at \sqrt{s} =130–172 GeV. Limits on $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-) \times B^2(\ell)$, with $B(\ell) = B(\chi^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_\ell \chi_1^0) (B(\ell) = B(\chi^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \tilde{\nu}_\ell))$, are given in Fig. 16 (Fig. 17).
- ³⁵ ACKERSTAFF 98L limit is obtained for $0 < M_2 < 1500$, $|\mu| < 500$ and $\tan\beta > 1$, but remains valid outside this domain. The dependence on the trilinear-coupling parameter Ais studied, and found negligible. The limit holds for the smallest value of m_0 consistent with scalar lepton constraints (ACKERSTAFF 97H) and for all values of m_0 where the condition $\Delta m_{\widetilde{\nu}} > 2.0 \text{ GeV}$ is satisfied. $\Delta m_{\nu} > 10 \text{ GeV}$ if $\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm} \rightarrow \ell \widetilde{\nu}_{\ell}$. The limit improves to 84.5 GeV for $m_0=1 \text{ TeV}$. Data taken at $\sqrt{s}=130-172 \text{ GeV}$.
- ³⁶ ACKERSTAFF 98V excludes the light gluino with universal gaugino mass where charginos, neutralinos decay as $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}, \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow q \overline{q} \tilde{g}$ from total hadronic cross sections at \sqrt{s} =130–172 GeV. See paper for the case of nonuniversal gaugino mass.
- ³⁷ CARENA 97 studied the constraints on chargino and sneutrino masses from muon g-2. The bound can be important for large tan β .
- ³⁸ KALINOWSKI 97 studies the constraints on the chargino-neutralino parameter space from limits on $\Gamma(W \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ achievable at LEP2. This is relevant when $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ is "invisible," i.e., if $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ dominantly decays into $\tilde{\nu}_{\ell} \ell^{\pm}$ with little energy for the lepton. Small otherwise allowed regions could be excluded.
- ³⁹ABE 96K looked for trilepton events from chargino-neutralino production. The bound on $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}}$ can reach up to 47 GeV for specific choices of parameters. The limits on the combined production cross section times 3-lepton branching ratios range between 1.4

and 0.4 pb, for 45 $<\!m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm}({\rm GeV})<\!100.$ See the paper for more details on the parameter dependence of the results.

Long-lived $\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}$ (Chargino) MASS LIMITS

Limits on charginos which leave the detector before decaying.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
>102	95	¹ ABBIENDI	03L	OPAL	$m_{\widetilde{ u}}>$ 500 GeV	
none 2–93.0	95	² ABREU	00T	DLPH	\widetilde{H}^{\pm} or $m_{\widetilde{\nu}} > m_{\widetilde{\nu}^{\pm}}$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						

> 83	95	³ BARATE	97K ALEP
> 28.2	95	ADACHI	90C TOPZ

¹ABBIENDI 03L used e^+e^- data at $\sqrt{s} = 130$ –209 GeV to select events with two high momentum tracks with anomalous dE/dx. The excluded cross section is compared to the theoretical expectation as a function of the heavy particle mass in their Fig. 3. The bounds are valid for colorless fermions with lifetime longer than 10^{-6} s. Supersedes the results from ACKERSTAFF 98P.

 2 ABREU 00T searches for the production of heavy stable charged particles, identified by their ionization or Cherenkov radiation, using data from \sqrt{s} = 130 to 189 GeV. These limits include and update the results of ABREU 98P.

 3 BARATE 97K uses $e^+\,e^-$ data collected at $\sqrt{s}=$ 130–172 GeV. Limit valid for tan $\beta=$ $\sqrt{2}$ and $m_{\widetilde{
u}}>$ 100 GeV. The limit improves to 86 GeV for $m_{\widetilde{
u}}>$ 250 GeV.

$\widetilde{\nu}$ (Sneutrino) MASS LIMIT

The limits may depend on the number, $N(\tilde{\nu})$, of sneutrinos assumed to be degenerate in mass. Only $\tilde{\nu}_I$ (not $\tilde{\nu}_R$) is assumed to exist. It is possible that $\tilde{\nu}$ could be the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP).

We report here, but do not include in the Listings, the limits obtained from the fit of the final results obtained by the LEP Collaborations on the invisible width of the Z boson $(\Delta\Gamma_{\text{inv.}} < 2.0 \text{ MeV}, \text{ LEP-SLC 06}): m_{\widetilde{\nu}} > 43.7 \text{ GeV} (N(\widetilde{\nu})=1) \text{ and } m_{\widetilde{\nu}} > 44.7 \text{ GeV}$ $(N(\tilde{\nu})=3)$.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
> 94	95	¹ ABDALLAH	0 3M	DLPH	$egin{array}{lll} 1 & \leq aneta & \leq extsf{40}, \ m_{\widetilde{e}_R}\!-\!m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1}\!>\!\!10 extsf{GeV} \end{array}$
> 84	95	² HEISTER	02N	ALEP	$\tilde{\nu}_{e}$, any Δm
> 37.1	95	³ ADRIANI	9 3M	L3	$\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \text{ invisible}); N(\tilde{\nu})=1$
> 41	95	⁴ DECAMP	92	ALEP	$\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \text{ invisible}); N(\widetilde{ u})=3$
> 36	95	ABREU	91F	DLPH	$\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \text{ invisible}); N(\widetilde{ u}) = 1$
> 31.2	95	⁵ ALEXANDER	91F	OPAL	$\Gamma(Z ightarrow ext{invisible}); N(\widetilde{ u}) = 1$
• • • We do r	not use th	e following data fo	n ave	rages fit	s limits etc. • • •

not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc.

⁶ ABAZOV	08Q	D0	$\widetilde{ u}_{ au}$, R
⁷ SCHAEL	07A	ALEP	$\widetilde{ u}_{\mu, au}$, $ ot\!$
⁸ ABAZOV	061	D0	$\mathcal{R}, \lambda'_{211}$
⁹ ABDALLAH	06 C	DLPH	$\tilde{\nu}_{\ell}$, \tilde{R} , (s+t)-channel
¹⁰ ABULENCIA	0 6M	CDF	$\widetilde{\nu}_{\tau}$, R
¹¹ ABULENCIA	05A	CDF	$p \overline{p} ightarrow ~\widetilde{ u} ightarrow$ ee, $\mu \mu$, $R ~ L Q \overline{D}$
¹² ACOSTA	05 R	CDF	$p\overline{p} ightarrow~\widetilde{ u} ightarrow~ au$, $R,~LQ\overline{D}$

		¹³ ABBIENDI	04F	OPAL	R, Veut
> 95	95	^{14,15} ABDALLAH	04н	DLPH	AMSB, $\mu > 0$
> 98	95	¹⁶ ABDALLAH	04м	DLPH	$\mathcal{R}(LL\overline{E}), \tilde{\nu}_{\rho}, \text{indirect}, \Delta m > 5 \text{ GeV}$
> 85	95	¹⁶ ABDALLAH	04м	DLPH	$\mathcal{R}(LL\overline{E}), \tilde{\nu}_{\mu}$, indirect, $\Delta m > 5$ GeV
> 85	95	¹⁶ ABDALLAH	04M	DLPH	$\mathcal{R}(LL\overline{E}), \tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$, indirect, $\Delta m > 5$ GeV
		¹⁷ ABDALLAH	03F	DLPH	$\widetilde{\nu}_{\mu,\tau}, \mathcal{R} LL\overline{E}$ decays
		¹⁸ ACOSTA	03E	CDF	$\widetilde{\nu}, \mathcal{R}, LQ\overline{D}$ production and $LL\overline{E}$
> 88	95	¹⁹ HEISTER	03 G	ALEP	$\widetilde{\nu}_e$, \mathcal{R} decays, μ =-200 GeV, tan β =2
> 65	95	¹⁹ HEISTER	03 G	ALEP	$\widetilde{\nu}_{\mu \tau}$, \mathcal{R} decays
		²⁰ ABAZOV	02н	D0	R, λ_{211}
> 95	95	²¹ ACHARD	02	L3	$\widetilde{\nu}_{o}$, \mathcal{R} decays, $\mu = -200$ GeV,
					$\tan\beta = \sqrt{2}$
> 65	95	²¹ ACHARD	02	L3	$\widetilde{ u}_{ u, au}$, R decays
>149	95	²¹ ACHARD	02	L3	$\widetilde{ u}$, R decays, MSUGRA
		²² HEISTER	02F	ALEP	e $\gamma ightarrow ~\widetilde{ u}_{\mu, au}\ell_{m k}$, $ ot\!$
none 100-264	95	²³ ABBIENDI	00 R	OPAL	$\widetilde{ u}_{\mu, au}$, R , $(s\!+\!t)$ -channel
none 100-200	95	²⁴ ABBIENDI	00 R	OPAL	$\widetilde{ u}_{ au}$, $ ot\!$
		²⁵ ABREU	00S	DLPH	$\widetilde{\widetilde{ u}_\ell}$, $ ot\!$
none 50–210	95	²⁶ ACCIARRI	00 P	L3	$\widetilde{ u}_{\mu, au}$, $ ot\!$
none 50–210	95	²⁷ BARATE	001	ALEP	Superseded by SCHAEL 07A
none 90–210	95	²⁸ BARATE	001	ALEP	Superseded by SCHAEL 07A
none 100-160	95	²⁹ ABBIENDI	99	OPAL	$\widetilde{\nu}_{e}$, R, t -channel
$\neq m_Z$	95	³⁰ ACCIARRI	97 U	L3	$\widetilde{ u}_{{\mathcal T}}$, $ ot\!$
none 125–180	95	³⁰ ACCIARRI	97 U	L3	$\widetilde{ u}_{_{\mathcal{T}}}$, $ ot\!$
		³¹ CARENA	97	THEO	$g_{\mu}-2$
> 46.0	95	³² BUSKULIC	95E	ALEP	$N(\widetilde{ u}){=}1,\widetilde{ u} ightarrow u u\ell\overline{\ell}'$
none 20-25000)	³³ BECK	94	COSM	Stable $\widetilde{ u}$, dark matter
<600		³⁴ FALK	94	COSM	$\widetilde{ u}$ LSP, cosmic abundance
none 3–90	90	so sato	91	KAMI	Stable $\widetilde{ u}_{m{e}}$ or $\widetilde{ u}_{\mu}$,
none 4–90	90	³⁵ SATO	91	KAMI	dark matter Stable $\widetilde{ u}_{ au}$, dark matter

¹ ABDALLAH 03M uses data from $\sqrt{s} = 192-208$ GeV to obtain limits in the framework of the MSSM with gaugino and sfermion mass universality at the GUT scale. An indirect limit on the mass is derived by constraining the MSSM parameter space by the results from direct searches for neutralinos (including cascade decays) and for sleptons. These limits are valid for values of M₂ < 1 TeV, $|\mu| \leq 1$ TeV with the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ as LSP. The quoted limit is obtained when there is no mixing in the third family. See Fig. 43 for the mass limits as a function of tan β . These limits update the results of ABREU 00W.

² HEISTER 02N derives a bound on $m_{\widetilde{\nu}_e}$ by exploiting the mass relation between the $\widetilde{\nu}_e$ and \widetilde{e} , based on the assumption of universal GUT scale gaugino and scalar masses $m_{1/2}$ and m_0 and the search described in the \widetilde{e} section. In the MSUGRA framework with radiative electroweak symmetry breaking, the limit improves to $m_{\widetilde{\nu}_e} > 130$ GeV, assuming a trilinear coupling $A_0=0$ at the GUT scale. See Figs. 5 and 7 for the dependence of the limits on tan β .

³ADRIANI 93M limit from $\Delta\Gamma(Z)$ (invisible)< 16.2 MeV.

 $^4\,\text{DECAMP}$ 92 limit is from $\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(\ell\,\ell)=5.91\pm0.15$ (\textit{N}_{ν} = 2.97 \pm 0.07).

⁵ ALEXANDER 91F limit is for one species of $\tilde{\nu}$ and is derived from $\Gamma(\text{invisible, new})/\Gamma(\ell \ell)$ < 0.38.

- ⁶ ABAZOV 08Q searched in 1.04 fb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for an excess of events with oppositely charged $e\mu$ pairs. They might be expected in a SUSY model with R where a sneutrino is produced by $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings and decays via $LL\overline{E}$ couplings, focusing on $\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$, hence on the λ'_{311} and λ_{312} constants. No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation. Upper limits on the cross-section times branching ratio are extracted and displayed in their Fig. 2. Exclusion regions are determined for the $\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$ mass as a function of both couplings, see their Fig. 3. As an indication, for $\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$ masses of 100 GeV and $\lambda_{312} = 0.01$, values of $\lambda'_{311} \geq 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ are excluded at the 95% C.L.
- ⁷ SCHAEL 07A searches for the s- or t-channel exchange of sneutrinos in the case of \mathbb{R} with $LL\overline{E}$ couplings by studying di-lepton production at $\sqrt{s} = 189-209$ GeV. Limits are obtained on the couplings as a function of the $\tilde{\nu}$ mass, see their Figs. 22-24. The results of this analysis are combined with BARATE 001.
- ⁸ABAZOV 06I looked in 380 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for events with at least 2 muons and 2 jets for s-channel production of $\tilde{\mu}$ or $\tilde{\nu}$ and subsequent decay via \mathcal{R} couplings $LQ\overline{D}$. The data are in agreement with the SM expectation. They set limits on resonant slepton production and derive exclusion contours on λ'_{211} in the mass plane of $\tilde{\ell}$ versus $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ assuming a MSUGRA model with $\tan\beta = 5$, $\mu < 0$ and $A_0 = 0$, see their Fig. 3. For $\lambda'_{211} \geq 0.09$ slepton masses up to 358 GeV are excluded. Supersedes the results of ABAZOV 02H.
- ⁹ ABDALLAH 06C searches for anomalies in the production cross sections and forwardbackward asymmetries of the $\ell^+\ell^-(\gamma)$ final states ($\ell=e,\mu,\tau$) from 675 pb⁻¹ of $e^+e^$ data at \sqrt{s} =130–207 GeV. Limits are set on the *s*- and *t*-channel exchange of sneutrinos in the presence of \mathcal{R} with $\lambda LL\overline{E}$ couplings. For points between the energies at which data were taken, information is obtained from events in which a photon was radiated. Exclusion limits in the ($\lambda, m_{\widetilde{\nu}}$) plane are given in Fig. 16. These limits include and update the results of ABREU 00S.
- ¹⁰ ABULENCIA 06M searched in 344 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for an excess of events with oppositely charged $e\mu$ pairs. They might be expected in a SUSY model with \mathcal{R} where a sneutrino is produced by $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings and decays via $LL\overline{E}$ couplings, focusing on $\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$, hence on the λ'_{311} and λ_{132} constants. No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation. Upper limits on the cross-section times branching ratio are extracted and exclusion regions determined for the $\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$ mass as a function of both couplings, see their Fig. 3. As an indication, $\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$ masses are excluded up to 300 GeV for $\lambda'_{311} \geq 0.01$ and $\lambda_{132} \geq 0.02$.
- ¹¹ ABULENCIA 05A looked in ~ 200 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for dimuon and dielectron events. They may originate from the R production of a sneutrino decaying to dileptons. No significant excess rate was found compared to the background expectation. A limit is derived on the cross section times branching ratio, B, of $\tilde{\nu} \rightarrow ee$, $\mu\mu$ of 25 fb at high mass, see their Figure 2. Sneutrino masses are excluded at 95% CL below 680, 620, 460 GeV (*ee* channel) and 665, 590, 450 GeV ($\mu\mu$ channel) for a λ' coupling and branching ratio such that $\lambda'^2 B = 0.01$, 0.005, 0.001, respectively.
- ¹² ACOSTA 05R looked in 195 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for ditau events with one identified hadronic tau decay and one other tau decay. They may originate from the R production of a sneutrino decaying to $\tau\tau$. No significant excess rate was found compared to the background expectation, dominated by Drell-Yan. A limit is derived on the cross section times branching ratio, B, of $\tilde{\nu} \rightarrow \tau\tau$, see their Figure 3. Sneutrino masses below 377 GeV are excluded at 95% CL for a λ' coupling to $d\overline{d}$ and branching ratio such that $\lambda'^2 B = 0.01$.
- ¹³ ABBIENDI 04F use data from $\sqrt{s} = 189-209$ GeV. They derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of \mathcal{R} with $LL\overline{E}$ or $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. The results are valid for $\tan\beta$ = 1.5, $\mu = -200$ GeV, and a BR for the decay given by CMSSM, assuming no sensitivity to other decays. Limits are quoted for $m_{\tilde{\chi}_{0}^{0}} = 60$ GeV and degrade for low-mass $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$. For $\tilde{\nu}_{e}$ the direct (indirect) limits with $LL\overline{E}$ couplings are 89 (95) GeV and with $LQ\overline{D}$ they

are 89 (88) GeV. For $\tilde{\nu}_{\mu,\tau}$ the direct (indirect) limits with $LL\overline{E}$ couplings are 79 (81) GeV and with $LQ\overline{D}$ they are 74 (no limit) GeV. Supersedes the results of ABBIENDI 00.

- ¹⁴ ABDALLAH 04H use data from LEP 1 and $\sqrt{s} = 192-208$ GeV. They re-use results or re-analyze the data from ABDALLAH 03M to put limits on the parameter space of anomaly-mediated supersymmetry breaking (AMSB), which is scanned in the region $1 < m_{3/2} < 50$ TeV, $0 < m_0 < 1000$ GeV, $1.5 < \tan\beta < 35$, both signs of μ . The constraints are obtained from the searches for mass degenerate chargino and neutralino, for SM-like and invisible Higgs, for leptonically decaying charginos and from the limit on non-SM Z width of 3.2 MeV. The limit is for $m_t = 174.3$ GeV (see Table 2 for other m_t values).
- ¹⁵ The limit improves to 114 GeV for μ < 0.
- ¹⁶ ABDALLAH 04M use data from $\sqrt{s} = 189-208$ GeV. The results are valid for $\mu = -200$ GeV, tan $\beta = 1.5$, $\Delta m > 5$ GeV and assuming a BR of 1 for the given decay. The limit quoted is for indirect decays using the neutralino constraint of 39.5 GeV, also derived in ABDALLAH 04M. For indirect decays the limit on $\tilde{\nu}_e$ decreases to 96 GeV if the constraint from the neutralino is not used and for direct decays it remains 96 GeV. For indirect decays the limit on $\tilde{\nu}_\mu$ decreases to 82 GeV if the constraint from the neutralino is $\tilde{\nu}_\mu$ decreases to 82 GeV if the constraint from the neutralino $\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$ decreases to 82 GeV if the constraint from the neutralino is not used and to 83 GeV for direct decays. For indirect decays the limit on $\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$ decreases to 82 GeV if the constraint from the neutralino is not used and improves to 91 GeV for direct decays. Supersedes the results of ABREU 000.
- ¹⁷ ABDALLAH 03F looked for events of the type $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\nu} \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}^0 \nu$, $\tilde{\chi}^{\pm} \ell^{\mp}$ followed by R decays of the $\tilde{\chi}^0$ via λ_{1j1} (j = 2,3) couplings in the data at $\sqrt{s} = 183-208$ GeV. From a scan over the SUGRA parameters, they derive upper limits on the λ_{1j1} couplings as a function of the sneutrino mass, see their Figs. 5–8.
- ¹⁸ ACOSTA 03E search for $e\mu$, $e\tau$ and $\mu\tau$ final states, and sets limits on the product of production cross-section and decay branching ratio for a $\tilde{\nu}$ in RPV models (see Fig. 3).
- ¹⁹ HEISTER 03G searches for the production of sneutrinos in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at $\sqrt{s} = 189-209$ GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at a time to be non-zero. The limit holds for indirect $\overline{\nu}$ decays via \overline{UDD} couplings and $\Delta m > 10$ GeV. Stronger limits are reached for ($\overline{\nu}_e, \overline{\nu}_{\mu,\tau}$) for $LL\overline{E}$ direct (100,90) GeV or indirect (98,89) GeV and for $LQ\overline{D}$ direct (-,79) GeV or indirect (91,78) GeV couplings. For $LL\overline{E}$ indirect decays, use is made of the bound $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) > 23$ GeV from BARATE 98S. Supersedes the results from BARATE 01B.
- ²⁰ ABAZOV 02H looked in 94 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at \sqrt{s} =1.8 TeV for events with at least 2 muons and 2 jets for s-channel production of $\tilde{\mu}$ or $\tilde{\nu}$ and subsequent decay via R couplings $LQ\overline{D}$. A scan over the MSUGRA parameters is performed to exclude regions of the $(m_0, m_{1/2})$ plane, examples being shown in Fig. 2.
- ²¹ ACHARD 02 searches for the associated production of sneutrinos in the case of \not{R} prompt decays with *LLE* or *UDD* couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The limit holds for direct decays via *LLE* couplings. Stronger limits are reached for $(\tilde{\nu}_e, \tilde{\nu}_{\mu,\tau})$ for *LLE* indirect (99,78) GeV and for *UDD* direct or indirect (99,70) GeV decays. The MSUGRA limit results from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with the assumption of gaugino and scalar mass unification at the GUT scale, imposing simultaneously the exclusions from neutralino, chargino, sleptons, and squarks analyses. The limit holds for *UDD* couplings and increases to 152.7 GeV for *LLE* couplings.
- ²² HEISTER 02F searched for single sneutrino production via $e\gamma \rightarrow \tilde{\nu}_j \ell_k$ mediated by *R LLE* couplings, decaying directly or indirectly via a $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and assuming a single coupling to be nonzero at a time. Final states with three leptons and possible *E*_T due to neutrinos were selected in the 189–209 GeV data. Limits on the couplings λ_{1jk} as function of the sneutrino mass are shown in Figs. 10–14. The couplings λ_{232} and λ_{233} are not accessible and λ_{121} and λ_{131} are measured with better accuracy in sneutrino resonant production. For all tested couplings, except λ_{133} , the limits are significantly improved compared to the low-energy limits.

- ²³ ABBIENDI 00R studied the effect of *s* and *t*-channel τ or μ sneutrino exchange in $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ at \sqrt{s} =130–189 GeV, via the *R*-parity violating coupling $\lambda_{1i1}L_1L_ie_1$ (*i*=2 or 3). The limits quoted here hold for $\lambda_{1i1} > 0.13$, and supersede the results of ABBIENDI 99. See Fig. 11 for limits on $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}$ versus coupling.
- ²⁴ ABBIENDI 00R studied the effect of s-channel τ sneutrino exchange in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^$ at $\sqrt{s}=130-189$ GeV, in presence of the *R*-parity violating couplings $\lambda_{i3i}L_iL_3e_i$ (*i*=1 and 2), with $\lambda_{131}=\lambda_{232}$. The limits quoted here hold for $\lambda_{131} > 0.09$, and supersede the results of ABBIENDI 99. See Fig. 12 for limits on $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}$ versus coupling.
- ²⁵ ABREU 00S searches for anomalies in the production cross sections and forwardbackward asymmetries of the $\ell^+ \ell^-(\gamma)$ final states ($\ell = e, \mu, \tau$) from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 130 - 189$ GeV. Limits are set on the *s*- and *t*-channel exchange of sneutrinos in the presence of \mathcal{R} with $\lambda LL\overline{E}$ couplings. For points between the energies at which data were taken, information is obtained from events in which a photon was radiated. Exclusion limits in the $(\lambda, m_{\tilde{\nu}})$ plane are given in Fig. 5. These limits include and update the results of ABREU 99A.
- ²⁶ ACCIARRI 00P use the dilepton total cross sections and asymmetries at $\sqrt{s}=m_Z$ and $\sqrt{s}=130-189$ GeV data to set limits on the effect of R LLE couplings giving rise to μ or τ sneutrino exchange. See their Fig. 5 for limits on the sneutrino mass versus couplings.
- ²⁷ BARATE 001 studied the effect of *s*-channel and *t*-channel τ or μ sneutrino exchange in $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ at $\sqrt{s}=130-183$ GeV, via the *R*-parity violating coupling $\lambda_{1i1}L_1L_ie_1^c$ (*i*=2 or 3). The limits quoted here hold for $\lambda_{1i1} > 0.1$. See their Fig. 15 for limits as a function of the coupling.
- ²⁸ BARATE 00I studied the effect of *s*-channel τ sneutrino exchange in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^$ at $\sqrt{s}=$ 130–183 GeV, in presence of the *R*-parity violating coupling $\lambda_{i3i}L_iL_3e_i^c$ (*i*=1

and 2). The limits quoted here hold for $\sqrt{|\lambda_{131}\lambda_{232}|} > 0.2$. See their Fig. 16 for limits as a function of the coupling.

- ²⁹ ABBIENDI 99 studied the effect of *t*-channel electron sneutrino exchange in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ at \sqrt{s} =130–183 GeV, in presence of the *R*-parity violating couplings $\lambda_{131}L_1L_3e_1^c$. The limits quoted here hold for $\lambda_{131} > 0.6$.
- ³⁰ ACCIARRI 97U studied the effect of the s-channel tau-sneutrino exchange in $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ at $\sqrt{s}=m_Z$ and $\sqrt{s}=130-172$ GeV, via the *R*-parity violating coupling $\lambda_{131}L_1L_ie_1^C$. The limits quoted here hold for $\lambda_{131} > 0.05$. Similar limits were studied in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ together with $\lambda_{232}L_2L_3e_2^c$ coupling.
- ³¹ CARENA 97 studied the constraints on chargino and sneutrino masses from muon g-2. The bound can be important for large tan β .
- ³²BUSKULIC 95E looked for $Z \rightarrow \tilde{\nu}\tilde{\tilde{\nu}}$, where $\tilde{\nu} \rightarrow \nu \chi_1^0$ and χ_1^0 decays via *R*-parity violating interactions into two leptons and a neutrino.
- ³³BECK 94 limit can be inferred from limit on Dirac neutrino using $\sigma(\tilde{\nu}) = 4\sigma(\nu)$. Also private communication with H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus.
- ³⁴ FALK 94 puts an upper bound on $m_{\tilde{\nu}}$ when $\tilde{\nu}$ is LSP by requiring its relic density does ______ not overclose the Universe.
- ³⁵ SATO 91 search for high-energy neutrinos from the sun produced by annihilation of sneutrinos in the sun. Sneutrinos are assumed to be stable and to constitute dark matter in our galaxy. SATO 91 follow the analysis of NG 87, OLIVE 88, and GAISSER 86.

CHARGED SLEPTONS

This section contains limits on charged scalar leptons (ℓ , with $\ell = e, \mu, \tau$). Studies of width and decays of the Z boson (use is made here of $\Delta\Gamma_{\rm inv}$ < 2.0 MeV, LEP 00) conclusively rule out $m_{\widetilde{\ell}_R}$ < 40 GeV (41

GeV for $\tilde{\ell}_L$), independently of decay modes, for each individual slepton. The limits improve to 43 GeV (43.5 GeV for $\tilde{\ell}_I$) assuming all 3 flavors to be

degenerate. Limits on higher mass sleptons depend on model assumptions and on the mass splitting $\Delta m = m_{\widetilde{\ell}} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$. The mass and composition

of $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ may affect the selectron production rate in e^+e^- collisions through *t*-channel exchange diagrams. Production rates are also affected by the potentially large mixing angle of the lightest mass eigenstate $\tilde{\ell}_1 = \tilde{\ell}_R \sin \theta_\ell + \tilde{\ell}_L \cos \theta_\ell$. It is generally assumed that only $\tilde{\tau}$ may have significant mixing. The coupling to the Z vanishes for $\theta_\ell = 0.82$. In the high-energy limit of e^+e^- collisions the interference between γ and Z exchange leads to a minimal cross section for $\theta_\ell = 0.91$, a value which is sometimes used in the following entries relative to data taken at LEP2. When limits on $m_{\tilde{\ell}_R}$ are quoted, it is understood that limits on $m_{\tilde{\ell}_I}$ are usually at least as strong.

Possibly open decays involving gauginos other than $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ will affect the detection efficiencies. Unless otherwise stated, the limits presented here result from the study of $\tilde{\ell}^+ \tilde{\ell}^-$ production, with production rates and decay properties derived from the MSSM. Limits made obsolete by the recent analyses of e^+e^- collisions at high energies can be found in previous Editions of this Review.

For decays with final state gravitinos (\widetilde{G}), $m_{\widetilde{G}}$ is assumed to be negligible relative to all other masses.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
> 97.5		¹ ABBIENDI	04	OPAL	\widetilde{e}_{R} , Δm $>$ 11 GeV, $\left \mu ight $ $>$ 100 GeV, $ an eta=$ 1.5
> 94.4		² ACHARD	04	L3	$\widetilde{e}_{R,\Delta m} > 10 \text{ GeV}, \mu > 200 \text{ GeV}, $ $\tan \beta > 2$
> 71.3		² ACHARD	04	L3	\tilde{e}_R , all Δm
none 30–94	95	³ ABDALLAH	0 3M	DLPH	$\Delta m > 15$ GeV, $\tilde{e}_{R}^{+} \tilde{e}_{R}^{-}$
> 94	95	⁴ ABDALLAH	0 3M	DLPH	$\widetilde{e}_{R}, 1 \leq \tan\beta \leq 40, \ \Delta m > 10 \ \text{GeV}$
> 95	95	⁵ HEISTER	02E	ALEP	$\Delta m > 15$ GeV, $\widetilde{e}^+_R \widetilde{e}^R$
> 73	95	⁶ HEISTER	02N	ALEP	\tilde{e}_R , any Δm
>107	95	⁶ HEISTER	02N	ALEP	\widetilde{e}_L , any Δm
• • • We do r	not use tl	ne following data fo	or ave	rages, fit	ts, limits, etc. ● ● ●
> 89	95	⁷ ABBIENDI	04F	OPAL	Ŗ, ĕĻ
> 92	95	⁸ ABDALLAH	04M	DLPH	$ R, \widetilde{e}_R^-, {\sf indirect}, \Delta m > 5 {\sf GeV} $
> 93	95	⁹ HEISTER	03 G	ALEP	$\widetilde{e}_{R}, \mathcal{R} \text{ decays}, \mu = -200 \text{ GeV}, \\ \tan \beta = 2$
> 69	95	¹⁰ ACHARD	02	L3	\tilde{e}_{R} , R decays, $\mu = -200$ GeV,
		11			tan $\beta = \sqrt{2}$
> 92	95	¹¹ BARATE	01	ALEP	$\Delta m > 10$ GeV, $\widetilde{e}^+_R \widetilde{e}^R$
> 77	95	¹² ABBIENDI	100 U	OPAL	$\Delta m > 5$ GeV, $\tilde{e}_R^+ \tilde{e}_R^-$
> 83	95	¹³ ABREU	00 U	DLPH	Superseded by ABDALLAH 04M
> 67	95	¹⁴ ABREU	00V	DLPH	$\widetilde{e}_R \widetilde{e}_R (\widetilde{e}_R \rightarrow e \widetilde{G}), m_{\widetilde{G}} > 10 \text{ eV}$
> 85	95	¹⁵ BARATE	00 G	ALEP	$\widetilde{\ell}_{R} ightarrow \ \ell \widetilde{G}$, any $ au(\widetilde{\ell}_{R})$

\tilde{e} (Selectron) MASS LIMIT

> 29.5	95	¹⁶ ACCIARRI	991 L3	$\widetilde{e}_{m{R}}$, $ ot\!$
> 56	95	¹⁷ ACCIARRI	98F L3	$\Delta m > 5$ GeV, $\widetilde{e}^+_R \widetilde{e}^R$, tan $eta \geq$
> 77	95	¹⁸ BARATE	98K ALEP	1.41 Any Δm , $\widetilde{e}_{R}^{+}\widetilde{e}_{R}^{-}$, $\widetilde{e}_{R} \rightarrow e\gamma \widetilde{G}$
> 77	95	¹⁹ BREITWEG	98 ZEUS	$m_{\widetilde{q}}{=}m_{\widetilde{e}}$, $m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0){=}$ 40 GeV
> 63	95	²⁰ AID	96C H1	$m_{\widetilde{q}} = m_{\widetilde{e}}, \ m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} = 35 \text{ GeV}$

¹ ABBIENDI 04 search for $\tilde{e}_R \tilde{e}_R$ production in acoplanar di-electron final states in the 183–208 GeV data. See Fig. 13 for the dependence of the limits on $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ and for the

limit at tan β =35 This limit supersedes ABBIENDI 00G.

- ² ACHARD 04 search for $\tilde{e}_R \tilde{e}_L$ and $\tilde{e}_R \tilde{e}_R$ production in single- and acoplanar di-electron final states in the 192–209 GeV data. Absolute limits on $m_{\tilde{e}_R}$ are derived from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with universal GUT scale gaugino and scalar masses $m_{1/2}$ and m_0 , $1 \leq \tan\beta \leq 60$ and $-2 \leq \mu \leq 2$ TeV. See Fig. 4 for the dependence of the limits on $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$. This limit supersedes ACCIARRI 99W.

include and update the results of ABREU 01

- ⁴ ABDALLAH 03M uses data from $\sqrt{s} = 192-208$ GeV to obtain limits in the framework of the MSSM with gaugino and sfermion mass universality at the GUT scale. An indirect limit on the mass is derived by constraining the MSSM parameter space by the results from direct searches for neutralinos (including cascade decays) and for sleptons. These limits are valid for values of $M_2 < 1$ TeV, $|\mu| \leq 1$ TeV with the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ as LSP. The quoted limit is obtained when there is no mixing in the third family. See Fig. 43 for the mass limits as a function of tan β . These limits update the results of ABREU 00W.
- ⁶ HEISTER 02N search for $\tilde{e}_R \tilde{e}_L$ and $\tilde{e}_R \tilde{e}_R$ production in single- and acoplanar di-electron final states in the 183–208 GeV data. Absolute limits on $m_{\tilde{e}_R}$ are derived from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with universal GUT scale gaugino and scalar masses $m_{1/2}$ and m_0 , $1 \leq \tan\beta \leq 50$ and $-10 \leq \mu \leq 10$ TeV. The region of small $|\mu|$,

where cascade decays are important, is covered by a search for $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_3^0$ in final states with leptons and possibly photons. Limits on $m_{\widetilde{e}_L}$ are derived by exploiting the mass relation between the \tilde{e}_L and \tilde{e}_R , based on universal m_0 and $m_{1/2}$. When the constraint from the mass limit of the lightest Higgs from HEISTER 02 is included, the bounds improve to $m_{\widetilde{e}_R} > 77(75)$ GeV and $m_{\widetilde{e}_L} > 115(115)$ GeV for a top mass of 175(180) GeV. In the MSUGRA framework with radiative electroweak symmetry breaking, the limits improve further to $m_{\widetilde{e}_R} > 95$ GeV and $m_{\widetilde{e}_L} > 152$ GeV, assuming a trilinear coupling $A_0=0$ at the GUT scale. See Figs. 4, 5, 7 for the dependence of the limits on tan β .

⁷ ABBIENDI 04F use data from $\sqrt{s} = 189-209$ GeV. They derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of \mathcal{R} with $LL\overline{E}$ or $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. The results are valid for $\tan\beta = 1.5$, $\mu = -200$ GeV, with, in addition, $\Delta m > 5$ GeV for indirect decays via $LQ\overline{D}$. The limit quoted applies to direct decays via $LL\overline{E}$ or $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. For indirect decays, the limits on the \tilde{e}_R mass are respectively 99 and 92 GeV for $LL\overline{E}$ and $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings and $m_{\tilde{\chi}^0} = 10$ GeV and degrade slightly for larger $\tilde{\chi}^0_1$ mass. Supersedes the results of ABBIENDI 00.

- ⁸ ABDALLAH 04M use data from $\sqrt{s} = 192-208$ GeV to derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with $LL\overline{E}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings. The results are valid for $\mu = -200$ GeV, $\tan\beta = 1.5$, $\Delta m > 5$ GeV and assuming a BR of 1 for the given decay. The limit quoted is for indirect \overline{UDD} decays using the neutralino constraint of 39.5 GeV for $LL\overline{E}$ and of 38.0 GeV for \overline{UDD} couplings, also derived in ABDALLAH 04M. For indirect decays via $LL\overline{E}$ the limit improves to 95 GeV if the constraint from the neutralino is used and to 94 GeV if it is not used. For indirect decays via \overline{UDD} couplings it remains unchanged when the neutralino constraint is not used. Supersedes the result of ABREU 00U.
- ⁹ HEISTER 03G searches for the production of selectrons in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at $\sqrt{s} = 189-209$ GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at a time to be non-zero. The limit holds for indirect decays mediated by $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings with $\Delta m > 10$ GeV. Limits are also given for $LL\overline{E}$ direct ($m_{\widetilde{e},R} > 96$ GeV) and indirect decays ($m_{\widetilde{e},R} > 96$ GeV for

 $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) > 23$ GeV from BARATE 98S) and for \overline{UDD} indirect decays ($m_{\tilde{e},R} > 94$ GeV with $\Delta m > 10$ GeV). Supersedes the results from BARATE 01B.

- ¹⁰ ACHARD 02 searches for the production of selectrons in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at $\sqrt{s}=189-208$ GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The limit holds for direct decays via $LL\overline{E}$ couplings. Stronger limits are reached for $LL\overline{E}$ indirect (79 GeV) and for \overline{UDD} direct or indirect (96 GeV) decays.
- ¹¹BARATE 01 looked for acoplanar dielectron $+ \not\!\!\!E_T$ final states at 189 to 202 GeV. The limit assumes $\mu = -200 \text{ GeV}$ and $\tan\beta = 2$ for the production cross section and 100% branching ratio for $\tilde{e} \rightarrow e \tilde{\chi}_1^0$. See their Fig. 1 for the dependence of the limit on Δm . These limits include and update the results of BARATE 99Q.
- ¹³ ABREU 00U studies decays induced by *R*-parity violating $LL\overline{E}$ couplings, using data from \sqrt{s} =189 GeV. They investigate topologies with multiple leptons, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero and giving rise to indirect decays. The limits assume a neutralino mass limit of 30 GeV, also derived in ABREU 00U. Updates ABREU 00I.
- ¹⁴ ABREU 00V use data from \sqrt{s} = 130–189 GeV to search for tracks with large impact parameter or visible decay vertices. Limits are obtained as a function of $m_{\widetilde{G}}$, from a scan of the GMSB parameters space, after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABREU 01 to cover prompt decays and on stable particle searches from ABREU 00Q. For limits at different $m_{\widetilde{G}}$, see their Fig. 12.
- ¹⁵ BARATE 00G combines the search for acoplanar dileptons, leptons with large impact parameters, kinks, and stable heavy-charged tracks, assuming 3 flavors of degenerate sleptons, produced in the *s* channel. Data collected at \sqrt{s} =189 GeV.
- ¹⁶ ACCIARRI 991 establish indirect limits on $m_{\widetilde{e}_R}$ from the regions excluded in the M_2 versus m_0 plane by their chargino and neutralino searches at \sqrt{s} =130–183 GeV. The situations where the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is the LSP (indirect decays) and where a $\tilde{\ell}$ is the LSP (direct decays) were both considered. The weakest limit, quoted above, comes from direct decays with \overline{UDD} couplings; $LL\overline{E}$ couplings or indirect decays lead to a stronger limit.
- ¹⁷ ACCIARRI 98F looked for acoplanar dielectron+ $\not\!\!E_T$ final states at \sqrt{s} =130–172 GeV. The limit assumes μ =-200 GeV, and zero efficiency for decays other than $\tilde{e}_R \rightarrow e \tilde{\chi}_1^0$. See their Fig. 6 for the dependence of the limit on Δm .

- ¹⁹ BREITWEG 98 used positron+jet events with missing energy and momentum to look for $e^+ q \rightarrow \tilde{e}\tilde{q}$ via gaugino-like neutralino exchange with decays into $(e\tilde{\chi}_1^0)(q\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$. See paper for dependences in $m(\tilde{q}), m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$.
- ²⁰ AID 96C used positron+jet events with missing energy and momentum to look for $e^+ q \rightarrow \tilde{e}\tilde{q}$ via neutralino exchange with decays into $(e\tilde{\chi}_1^0)(q\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$. See the paper for dependences on $m_{\tilde{q}}$, $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$.

$\widetilde{\mu}$ (Smuon) MASS LIMIT

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>91.0		¹ ABBIENDI	04	OPAL	$\Delta m > 3 \text{ GeV}, \widetilde{\mu}_R^+ \widetilde{\mu}_R^-,$
					$ \mu $ $>$ 100 GeV, tan eta =1.5
>86.7		² ACHARD	04	L3	$\Delta m > 10 \text{ GeV}, \ \widetilde{\mu}_{R}^{+} \widetilde{\mu}_{R}^{-},$
					$\left \mu ight $ >200 GeV, tan eta \geq 2
none 30–88	95	³ ABDALLAH	0 3M	DLPH	$\Delta m > 5$ GeV, $\tilde{\mu}_{R}^{+} \tilde{\mu}_{R}^{-}$
>94	95	⁴ ABDALLAH	03M	DLPH	$\widetilde{\mu}_{R}, 1 \leq \tan \beta \leq 40, \Delta m > 10 \text{ GeV}$
>88	95	⁵ HEISTER	02E	ALEP	$\Delta m > 15$ GeV, $\tilde{\mu}_{R}^{+} \tilde{\mu}_{R}^{-}$
• • • We do	not use tł	ne following data fo	r aver	ages, fits	s, limits, etc. • • •
		⁶ ABAZOV	061	D0	$\mathcal{R}, \lambda'_{211}$
>74	95	⁷ ABBIENDI	04F	OPAL	$\mathcal{R}, \tilde{\mu}_{I}$
>87	95	⁸ ABDALLAH	0 4M	DLPH	$\mathcal{R}, \widetilde{\mu}_R$, indirect, $\Delta m > 5$ GeV
>81	95	⁹ HEISTER	03 G	ALEP	$\widetilde{\mu}_L$, \mathcal{R} decays
		¹⁰ ABAZOV	02н	D0	$\mathcal{R}, \lambda'_{211}$
>61	95	¹¹ ACHARD	02	L3	$\widetilde{\mu}_{R}$, R decays
>85	95	¹² BARATE	01	ALEP	$\Delta m > 10$ GeV, $\tilde{\mu}_{R}^{+} \tilde{\mu}_{R}^{-}$
>65	95	¹³ ABBIENDI	100 L	OPAL	$\Delta m > 2$ GeV, $\tilde{\mu}_{R}^{+} \tilde{\mu}_{R}^{-}$
>80	95	¹⁴ ABREU	00v	DLPH	$\widetilde{\mu}_{R}\widetilde{\mu}_{R}$ ($\widetilde{\mu}_{R} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mu}\widetilde{G}$), $m_{\widetilde{G}} > 8 \text{ eV}$
>77	95	¹⁵ BARATE	98K	ALEP	Any Δm , $\tilde{\mu}_{P}^{+}\tilde{\mu}_{P}^{-}$, $\tilde{\mu}_{R} \rightarrow \mu\gamma \tilde{G}$

¹ABBIENDI 04 search for $\tilde{\mu}_R \tilde{\mu}_R$ production in acoplanar di-muon final states in the 183–208 GeV data. See Fig. 14 for the dependence of the limits on $m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_1}$ and for the

limit at tan β =35. Under the assumption of 100% branching ratio for $\tilde{\mu}_R \rightarrow \mu \tilde{\chi}_1^0$, the limit improves to 94.0 GeV for $\Delta m > 4$ GeV. See Fig. 11 for the dependence of the limits on $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ at several values of the branching ratio. This limit supersedes ABBIENDI 00G.

² ACHARD 04 search for $\tilde{\mu}_R \tilde{\mu}_R$ production in acoplanar di-muon final states in the 192–209 GeV data. Limits on $m_{\tilde{\mu}_R}$ are derived from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with universal GUT scale gaugino and scalar masses $m_{1/2}$ and m_0 , $1 \leq \tan\beta \leq 60$ and $-2 \leq \mu \leq 2$ TeV. See Fig. 4 for the dependence of the limits on $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$.

This limit supersedes ACCIARRI 99W.

⁴ ABDALLAH 03M uses data from $\sqrt{s} = 192-208$ GeV to obtain limits in the framework of the MSSM with gaugino and sfermion mass universality at the GUT scale. An indirect limit on the mass is derived by constraining the MSSM parameter space by the results from direct searches for neutralinos (including cascade decays) and for sleptons. These limits are valid for values of M₂ < 1 TeV, $|\mu| \leq 1$ TeV with the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ as LSP. The quoted

limit is obtained when there is no mixing in the third family. See Fig. 43 for the mass limits as a function of $\tan\beta$. These limits update the results of ABREU 00W.

- ⁵ HEISTER 02E looked for acoplanar dimuon $+ \not\!\!\!E_T$ final states from e^+e^- interactions between 183 and 209 GeV. The mass limit assumes $B(\tilde{\mu} \rightarrow \mu \tilde{\chi}_1^0)=1$. See their Fig. 4 for the dependence of the limit on Δm . These limits include and update the results of BARATE 01.
- ⁶ ABAZOV 06I looked in 380 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for events with at least 2 muons and 2 jets for s-channel production of $\tilde{\mu}$ or $\tilde{\nu}$ and subsequent decay via \mathcal{R} couplings $LQ\overline{D}$. The data are in agreement with the SM expectation. They set limits on resonant slepton production and derive exclusion contours on λ'_{211} in the mass plane of $\tilde{\ell}$ versus $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ assuming a MSUGRA model with $\tan\beta = 5$, $\mu < 0$ and $A_0 = 0$, see their Fig. 3. For $\lambda'_{211} \geq 0.09$ slepton masses up to 358 GeV are excluded. Supersedes the results of ABAZOV 02H.
- ⁷ ABBIENDI 04F use data from $\sqrt{s} = 189-209$ GeV. They derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of \mathcal{R} with $LL\overline{E}$ or $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. The results are valid for $\tan\beta$ = 1.5, $\mu = -200$ GeV, with, in addition, $\Delta m > 5$ GeV for indirect decays via $LQ\overline{D}$. The limit quoted applies to direct decays with $LL\overline{E}$ couplings and improves to 75 GeV for $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. The limits on the $\tilde{\mu}_R$ mass for indirect decays are respectively 94 and 87 GeV for $LL\overline{E}$ and $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings and $m_{\tilde{\chi}^0} = 10$ GeV. Supersedes the results of ABBIENDI 00.
- ⁸ABDALLAH 04M use data from $\sqrt{s} = 192-208$ GeV to derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of \mathcal{R} with $LL\overline{E}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings. The results are valid for $\mu = -200$ GeV, $\tan\beta = 1.5$, $\Delta m > 5$ GeV and assuming a BR of 1 for the given decay. The limit quoted is for indirect \overline{UDD} decays using the neutralino constraint of 39.5 GeV for $LL\overline{E}$ and of 38.0 GeV for \overline{UDD} couplings, also derived in ABDALLAH 04M. For indirect decays via $LL\overline{E}$ the limit improves to 90 GeV if the constraint from the neutralino is used and remains at 87 GeV if it is not used. For indirect decays via \overline{UDD} couplings it degrades to 85 GeV when the neutralino constraint is not used. Supersedes the result of ABREU 00U.
- ⁹ HEISTER 03G searches for the production of smuons in the case of \mathcal{R} prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at $\sqrt{s} = 189-209$ GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at a time to be non-zero. The limit holds for direct decays mediated by $\mathcal{R} LQ\overline{D}$ couplings and improves to 90 GeV for indirect decays (for $\Delta m > 10$ GeV). Limits are also given for $LL\overline{E}$ direct ($m_{\tilde{\mu}R} > 87$ GeV) and indirect

decays ($m_{\tilde{\mu}R} > 96 \text{ GeV}$ for $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) > 23 \text{ GeV}$ from BARATE 98S) and for \overline{UDD} indirect decays ($m_{\tilde{\mu}R} > 85 \text{ GeV}$ for $\Delta m > 10 \text{ GeV}$). Supersedes the results from BARATE 01B.

- ¹⁰ ABAZOV 02H looked in 94 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at \sqrt{s} =1.8 TeV for events with at least 2 muons and 2 jets for s-channel production of $\tilde{\mu}$ or $\tilde{\nu}$ and subsequent decay via R couplings $LQ\overline{D}$. A scan over the MSUGRA parameters is performed to exclude regions of the $(m_0, m_{1/2})$ plane, examples being shown in Fig. 2.
- ¹¹ ACHARD 02 searches for the production of smuons in the case of ^A/_L prompt decays with LLE or UDD couplings at √s=189-208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The limit holds for direct decays via LLE couplings. Stronger limits are reached for LLE indirect (87 GeV) and for UDD direct or indirect (86 GeV) decays.
- ¹³ ABBIENDI 00J looked for acoplanar dimuon $+ \not\!\!\!E_T$ final states at $\sqrt{s} = 161-183$ GeV. The limit assumes B($\tilde{\mu} \rightarrow \mu \tilde{\chi}_1^0$)=1. Using decay branching ratios derived from the MSSM, a lower limit of 65 GeV is obtained for $\mu < -100$ GeV and tan β =1.5. See their Figs. 10 and 13 for the dependence of the limit on the branching ratio and on Δm .

- ¹⁴ ABREU 00V use data from \sqrt{s} = 130–189 GeV to search for tracks with large impact parameter or visible decay vertices. Limits are obtained as function of $m_{\widetilde{G}}$, after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABREU 01 to cover prompt decays and on stable particle searches from ABREU 00Q. For limits at different $m_{\widetilde{G}}$, see their Fig. 12.

au (Stau) MASS LIM

VALUE (GeV)	<u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>85.2		¹ ABBIENDI	04	OPAL	$\Delta m >$ 6 GeV, $\theta_{ au} = \pi/2$, $\left \mu \right >$ 100 GeV, tan $\beta =$ 1.5
>78.3		² ACHARD	04	L3	$\Delta m > 15$ GeV, $\theta_{ au} = \pi/2$,
					$ \mu >$ 200 GeV,tan $eta\geq$ 2
>81.9	95	³ ABDALLAH	0 3M	DLPH	Δm >15 GeV, all $ heta_{ au}$
none $m_{ au}-$ 26.3	95	³ ABDALLAH	0 3M	DLPH	$\Delta m > m_{ au}$, all $ heta_{ au}$
>79	95	⁴ HEISTER	02E	ALEP	$\Delta m > 15$ GeV, $ heta_{ au} {=} \pi/2$
>76	95	⁴ HEISTER	02E	ALEP	$\Delta m > 15$ GeV, $ heta_{ au}{=}0.91$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not	use the	e following data for av	verage	es, fits, l	imits, etc. • • •
>87.4	95	⁵ ABBIENDI	06 B	OPAL	$\widetilde{ au}_{m{R}} ightarrow \ au \widetilde{m{G}}$, all $ au (\widetilde{ au}_{m{R}})$
>74	95	⁶ ABBIENDI	04F	OPAL	$\mathcal{R}, \tilde{\tau}_{l}$
>68	95	^{7,8} ABDALLAH	04н	DLPH	AMSB, $\mu > 0$
>90	95	⁹ ABDALLAH	0 4M	DLPH	$ R, \widetilde{ au}_{R}, { m indirect}, \Delta m > 5 { m GeV} $
>82.5		¹⁰ ABDALLAH	03 D	DLPH	$\widetilde{\tau}_{R} \rightarrow \tau \widetilde{G}$, all $\tau(\widetilde{\tau}_{R})$
>70	95	¹¹ HEISTER	03 G	ALEP	$\widetilde{ au}_R$, R decay
>61	95	¹² ACHARD	02	L3	$\tilde{\tau}_{R}$, R decays
>77	95	¹³ HEISTER	0 2R	ALEP	$ au_1$, any lifetime
>70	95	¹⁴ BARATE	01	ALEP	$\Delta m > 10$ GeV, $ heta_{ au} = \pi/2$
>68	95	¹⁴ BARATE	01	ALEP	$\Delta m > 10$ GeV, $ heta_{ au} {=} 0.91$
>64	95	¹⁵ ABBIENDI	100 J	OPAL	$\Delta m > 10$ GeV, $\tilde{\tau}_{R}^{+} \tilde{\tau}_{R}^{-}$
>84	95	¹⁶ ABREU	00V	DLPH	$\tilde{\ell}_R \tilde{\ell}_R (\tilde{\ell}_R \to \ell \tilde{G}), m_{\tilde{C}} > 9$
		17			eV
>73	95	¹ ABREU	00V	DLPH	$\widetilde{ au}_1 \widetilde{ au}_1 \ (\widetilde{ au}_1 o \ au \ G)$, all $ au(\widetilde{ au}_1)$ \sim
>52		¹⁸ BARATE	98K	ALEP	Any $\Delta m, \theta_{\tau} = \pi/2, \tilde{\tau}_R \rightarrow \tau \gamma G$

¹ABBIENDI 04 search for $\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}$ production in acoplanar di-tau final states in the 183–208 GeV data. See Fig. 15 for the dependence of the limits on $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ and for the limit

at tan β =35. Under the assumption of 100% branching ratio for $\tilde{\tau}_R \rightarrow \tau \tilde{\chi}_1^0$, the limit improves to 89.8 GeV for $\Delta m > 8$ GeV. See Fig. 12 for the dependence of the limits on $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ at several values of the branching ratio and for their dependence on θ_{τ} . This limit supersedes ABBIENDI 00G.

² ACHARD 04 search for $\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}$ production in acoplanar di-tau final states in the 192–209 GeV data. Limits on $m_{\tilde{\tau}_R}$ are derived from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with universal GUT scale gaugino and scalar masses $m_{1/2}$ and m_0 , $1 \leq \tan\beta \leq 60$ and $-2 \leq \mu \leq 2$ TeV. See Fig. 4 for the dependence of the limits on $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$.

29.6 and 31.1 GeV for $\tilde{\tau}_R$ and $\tilde{\tau}_L$, respectively, at $\Delta m > m_{\tau}$. The limit in the high-mass region improves to 84.7 GeV for $\tilde{\tau}_R$ and $\Delta m > 15$ GeV. These limits include and update the results of ABREU 01.

- ⁴ HEISTER 02E looked for acoplanar ditau + E_T final states from e^+e^- interactions between 183 and 209 GeV. The mass limit assumes B($\tilde{\tau} \rightarrow \tau \tilde{\chi}_1^0$)=1. See their Fig. 4 for the dependence of the limit on Δm . These limits include and update the results of BARATE 01.
- ⁶ ABBIENDI 04F use data from $\sqrt{s} = 189-209$ GeV. They derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with $LL\overline{E}$ or $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. The results are valid for $\tan\beta = 1.5$, $\mu = -200$ GeV, with, in addition, $\Delta m > 5$ GeV for indirect decays via $LQ\overline{D}$. The limit quoted applies to direct decays with $LL\overline{E}$ couplings and improves to 75 GeV for $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. The limit on the $\tilde{\tau}_R$ mass for indirect decays is 92 GeV for $LL\overline{E}$ couplings at $m_{\tilde{\chi}0} = 10$ GeV and no exclusion is obtained for $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. Supersedes _ the results of ABBIENDI 00.
- ⁷ABDALLAH 04H use data from LEP 1 and $\sqrt{s} = 192-208$ GeV. They re-use results or re-analyze the data from ABDALLAH 03M to put limits on the parameter space of anomaly-mediated supersymmetry breaking (AMSB), which is scanned in the region $1 < m_{3/2} < 50$ TeV, $0 < m_0 < 1000$ GeV, $1.5 < \tan\beta < 35$, both signs of μ . The constraints are obtained from the searches for mass degenerate chargino and neutralino, for SM-like and invisible Higgs, for leptonically decaying charginos and from the limit on non-SM Z width of 3.2 MeV. The limit is for $m_t = 174.3$ GeV (see Table 2 for other m_t values).
- ⁸ The limit improves to 75 GeV for μ < 0.
- ⁹ ABDALLAH 04M use data from $\sqrt{s} = 192-208$ GeV to derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with $LL\overline{E}$ couplings. The results are valid for $\mu = -200$ GeV, $\tan\beta = 1.5$, $\Delta m > 5$ GeV and assuming a BR of 1 for the given decay. The limit quoted is for indirect decays using the neutralino constraint of 39.5 GeV, also derived in ABDALLAH 04M. For indirect decays via $LL\overline{E}$ the limit decreases to 86 GeV if the constraint from the neutralino is not used. Supersedes the result of ABREU 00U.
- ¹⁰ ABDALLAH 03D use data from $\sqrt{s} = 130-208$ GeV to search for tracks with large impact parameter or visible decay vertices and for heavy charged stable particles. Limits are obtained as function of m(\tilde{G}), after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABDALLAH 03M to cover prompt decays. The above limit is reached for the stau decaying promptly, m(\tilde{G}) < 6 eV, and is computed for stau mixing yielding the minimal cross section. Stronger limits are obtained for longer lifetimes, See their Fig. 9. Supersedes the results of ABREU 01G.
- ¹¹ HEISTER 03G searches for the production of stau in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at $\sqrt{s} = 189-209$ GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at a time to be non-zero. The limit holds for indirect decays mediated by R \overline{UDD} couplings with $\Delta m > 10$ GeV. Limits are also given for $LL\overline{E}$ direct ($m_{\widetilde{\tau}_R} > 87$ GeV) and indirect decays ($m_{\widetilde{\tau}_R} > 95$ GeV for $m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0) > 23$ GeV from BARATE 98S) and for $LQ\overline{D}$ indirect decays ($m_{\widetilde{\tau}_R} > 76$ GeV). Supersedes the results from BARATE 01B.
- ¹² ACHARD 02 searches for the production of staus in the case of \mathcal{R} prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The limit holds for direct decays via $LL\overline{E}$ couplings. Stronger limits are reached for $LL\overline{E}$ indirect (86 GeV) and for \overline{UDD} direct or indirect (75 GeV) decays.
- ¹³ HEISTER 02R search for signals of GMSB in the 189–209 GeV data. For the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ NLSP scenario, they looked for topologies consisting of $\gamma\gamma\not\!\!\!\!E$ or a single γ not pointing to the

interaction vertex. For the ℓ NLSP case, the topologies consist of $\ell\ell E$, including leptons with large impact parameters, kinks, or stable particles. Limits are derived from a scan over the GMSB parameters (see their Table 5 for the ranges). The limit remains valid whichever is the NLSP. The absolute mass bound on the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ for any lifetime includes indirect limits from the slepton search HEISTER 02E preformed within the MSUGRA framework. A bound for any NLSP and any lifetime of 77 GeV has also been derived by using the constraints from the neutral Higgs search in HEISTER 02. In the co-NLSP scenario, limits $m_{\tilde{e}_R} > 83$ GeV (neglecting t-channel exchange) and $m_{\tilde{\mu}_R} > 88$ GeV are obtained independent of the lifetime. Supersedes the results from BARATE 00G.

- ¹⁶ ABREU 00V use data from \sqrt{s} = 130–189 GeV to search for tracks with large impact parameter or visible decay vertices. Limits are obtained as function of $m_{\widetilde{G}}$, after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABREU 01 to cover prompt decays and on stable particle searches from ABREU 00Q. The above limit assumes the degeneracy of stau and smuon. For limits at different $m_{\widetilde{G}}$, see their Fig. 12.
- ¹⁷ ABREU 00V use data from \sqrt{s} = 130–189 GeV to search for tracks with large impact parameter or visible decay vertices. Limits are obtained as function of $m_{\widetilde{G}}$, after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABREU 01 to cover prompt decays and on stable particle searches from ABREU 00Q. The above limit is reached for the stau mixing yielding the minimal cross section and decaying promptly. Stronger limits are obtained for longer lifetimes or for $\tilde{\tau}_R$; see their Fig. 11. For 10 $\leq m_{\widetilde{G}} \leq$ 310 eV, the whole range 2 $\leq m_{\widetilde{\tau}_1} \leq$ 80 GeV is excluded. Supersedes the results of ABREU 99C and ABREU 99F.

Degenerate Charged Sleptons

Unless stated otherwise in the comment lines or in the footnotes, the following limits assume 3 families of degenerate charged sleptons.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>93	95	¹ BARATE	01	ALEP	$\Delta m > 10$ GeV, $\widetilde{\ell}^+_R \widetilde{\ell}^R$
>70	95	¹ BARATE	01	ALEP	all Δm , $\tilde{\ell}_R^+ \tilde{\ell}_R^-$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the	following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. ● ● ●
>91.9	95	² ABBIENDI	06 B	OPAL	$\widetilde{\ell}_R \to \ \ell \widetilde{G}$, all $\ell(\widetilde{\ell}_R)$
>88		³ ABDALLAH	03 D	DLPH	$\widetilde{\ell}_R \to \ell \widetilde{G}$, all $\ell(\widetilde{\ell}_R)$
>82.7	95	⁴ ACHARD	02	L3	$\tilde{\ell}_R, R$ decays,
>83	95	⁵ ABBIENDI	01	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_1 \tilde{\ell}_1,$
		⁶ ABREU	01	DLPH	$\widetilde{\ell} \rightarrow \ell \widetilde{\chi}_2^0, \ \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \gamma \widetilde{\chi}_1^0,$
>68.8 >84	95 95 8	⁷ ACCIARRI ^{9,9} ABREU	01 00v	L3 DLPH	$ \begin{array}{c} \ell = e, \mu \\ \widetilde{\ell}_{R}, \ \mathcal{B}, \ 0.7 \leq \tan\beta \leq 40 \\ \widetilde{\ell}_{R} \widetilde{\ell}_{R}, \ (\widetilde{\ell}_{R} \rightarrow \ell \ \widetilde{G}), \\ m_{\widetilde{C}} > 9 \ eV \end{array} $
					0

- ¹ BARATE 01 looked for acoplanar dilepton $+ \not\!\!\!E_T$ and single electron (for $\tilde{e}_R \tilde{e}_L$) final states at 189 to 202 GeV. The limit assumes $\mu = -200$ GeV and $\tan\beta = 2$ for the production cross section and decay branching ratios, evaluated within the MSSM, and zero efficiency for decays other than $\tilde{\ell} \rightarrow \ell \tilde{\chi}_1^0$. The slepton masses are determined from the GUT relations without stau mixing. See their Fig. 1 for the dependence of the limit on Δm .
- ² ABBIENDI 06B use 600 pb⁻¹ of data from $\sqrt{s} = 189-209$ GeV. They look for events from pair-produced staus in a GMSB scenario with $\tilde{\ell}$ co-NLSP including prompt $\tilde{\ell}$ decays to dileptons + \not{E} final states, large impact parameters, kinked tracks and heavy stable charged particles. Limits on the cross-section are computed as a function of m($\tilde{\ell}$) and the lifetime, see their Fig. 7. The limit is compared to the $\sigma \cdot BR^2$ from a scan over the GMSB parameter space. The highest mass limit is reached for $\tilde{\mu}_R$, from which the quoted mass limit is derived by subtracting m_{τ} .
- ³ ABDALLAH 03D use data from $\sqrt{s} = 130-208$ GeV to search for tracks with large impact parameter or visible decay vertices and for heavy charged stable particles. Limits are obtained as function of m(\tilde{G}), after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABDALLAH 03M to cover prompt decays The above limit is reached for prompt decays and assumes the degeneracy of the sleptons. For limits at different m(\tilde{G}), see their Fig. 9. Supersedes the results of ABREU 01G.
- ⁴ ACHARD 02 searches for the production of sparticles in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The MSUGRA limit results from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with the assumption of gaugino and scalar mass unification at the GUT scale and no mixing in the slepton sector, imposing simultaneously the exclusions from neutralino, chargino, sleptons, and squarks analyses. The limit holds for $LL\overline{E}$ couplings and increases to 88.7 GeV for \overline{UDD} couplings. For L3 limits from $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings, see ACCIARRI 01.
- ⁵ ABBIENDI 01 looked for final states with $\gamma \gamma E$, $\ell \ell E$, with possibly additional activity and four leptons + E to search for prompt decays of $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ or $\tilde{\ell}_1$ in GMSB. They derive limits in the plane $(m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}, m_{\tilde{\tau}_1})$, see Fig. 6, allowing either the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ or a $\tilde{\ell}_1$ to be the NLSP. Two scenarios are considered: $\tan\beta=2$ with the 3 sleptons degenerate in mass and $\tan\beta=20$ where the $\tilde{\tau}_1$ is lighter than the other sleptons. Data taken at $\sqrt{s}=189$ GeV. For $\tan\beta=20$, the obtained limits are $m_{\tilde{\tau}_1} > 69$ GeV and $m_{\tilde{e}_1,\tilde{\mu}_1} > 88$ GeV.
- ⁶ABREU 01 looked for acoplanar dilepton + diphoton + E final states from $\tilde{\ell}$ cascade decays at \sqrt{s} =130–189 GeV. See Fig. 9 for limits on the (μ , M_2) plane for $m_{\tilde{\ell}}$ =80 GeV,
- tan β =1.0, and assuming degeneracy of $\widetilde{\mu}$ and \widetilde{e} .
- ⁷ ACCIARRI 01 searches for multi-lepton and/or multi-jet final states from \mathcal{R} prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$, or \overline{UDD} couplings at $\sqrt{s}=189$ GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays of neutralinos, charginos, and scalar leptons, with the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ or a

 ℓ as LSP and assuming one coupling to be nonzero at a time. Mass limits are derived using simultaneously the constraints from the neutralino, chargino, and slepton analyses; and the Z^0 width measurements from ACCIARRI 00C in a scan of the parameter space assuming MSUGRA with gaugino and scalar mass universality. Updates and supersedes the results from ACCIARRI 991.

⁸ ABREU 00V use data from \sqrt{s} = 130–189 GeV to search for tracks with large impact parameter or visible decay vertices. Limits are obtained as function of $m_{\widetilde{G}}$, after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABREU 01 to cover prompt decays and on stable particle searches from ABREU 00Q. For limits at different $m_{\widetilde{G}}$, see their Fig. 12.

⁹ The above limit assumes the degeneracy of stau and smuon.

Long-lived ℓ (Slepton) MASS LIMIT

Limits on scalar leptons which leave detector before decaying. Limits from Z decays are independent of lepton flavor. Limits from continuum e^+e^- annihilation are also independent of flavor for smuons and staus. Selectron limits from e^+e^- collisions in the continuum depend on MSSM parameters because of the additional neutralino exchange contribution.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>98	95	¹ ABBIENDI	03L	OPAL	$\widetilde{\mu}_{R}$, $\widetilde{\tau}_{R}$
none 2–87.5	95	² ABREU	00Q	DLPH	$\tilde{\mu}_{R}, \tilde{\tau}_{R}$
>81.2	95	³ ACCIARRI	99н	L3	$\tilde{\mu}_{R}, \tilde{\tau}_{R}$
>81	95	⁴ BARATE	98K	ALEP	$\tilde{\mu}_{R}, \tilde{\tau}_{R}$

- ¹ ABBIENDI 03L used e^+e^- data at $\sqrt{s} = 130-209$ GeV to select events with two high momentum tracks with anomalous dE/dx. The excluded cross section is compared to the theoretical expectation as a function of the heavy particle mass in their Fig. 3. The limit improves to 98.5 GeV for $\tilde{\mu}_L$ and $\tilde{\tau}_L$. The bounds are valid for colorless spin 0 particles with lifetimes longer than 10^{-6} s. Supersedes the results from ACKERSTAFF 98P.
- ²ABREU 00Q searches for the production of pairs of heavy, charged stable particles in e^+e^- annihilation at \sqrt{s} = 130–189 GeV. The upper bound improves to 88 GeV for $\tilde{\mu}_L$, $\tilde{\tau}_I$. These limits include and update the results of ABREU 98P.
- ³ ACCIARRI 99H searched for production of pairs of back-to-back heavy charged particles at \sqrt{s} =130–183 GeV. The upper bound improves to 82.2 GeV for $\tilde{\mu}_{I}$, $\tilde{\tau}_{I}$.
- ⁴ The BARATE 98K mass limit improves to 82 GeV for $\tilde{\mu}_L, \tilde{\tau}_L$. Data collected at \sqrt{s} =161–184 GeV.

\tilde{q} (Squark) MASS LIMIT

For $m_{\widetilde{q}} > 60-70$ GeV, it is expected that squarks would undergo a cascade decay via a number of neutralinos and/or charginos rather than undergo a direct decay to photinos as assumed by some papers. Limits obtained when direct decay is assumed are usually higher than limits when cascade decays are included.

Limits from e^+e^- collisions depend on the mixing angle of the lightest mass eigenstate $\tilde{q}_1 = \tilde{q}_R \sin\theta_q + \tilde{q}_L \cos\theta_q$. It is usually assumed that only the sbottom and stop squarks have non-trivial mixing angles (see the stop and sbottom sections). Here, unless otherwise noted, squarks are always taken to be either left/right degenerate, or purely of left or right type. Data from Z decays have set squark mass limits above 40 GeV, in the case of $\tilde{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_1$ decays if $\Delta m = m_{\tilde{q}} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} \gtrsim 5$ GeV. For smaller values of Δm , current constraints on the invisible width of the Z ($\Delta\Gamma_{\rm inv} < 2.0$ MeV, LEP 00) exclude $m_{\tilde{u}_{L,R}} < 44$ GeV, $m_{\tilde{d}_R} < 33$ GeV, $m_{\tilde{d}_L} < 44$ GeV and, assuming all squarks degenerate, $m_{\tilde{a}} < 45$ GeV.

Limits made obsolete by the most recent analyses of e^+e^- , $p\overline{p}$, and ep collisions can be found in previous Editions of this *Review*.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT	r ID	TECN	COMMENT
>379	95	¹ ABAZOV	0 8G	D0	jets+ $\not\!\!\!E_T$, tan β =3, μ <0, A_0 =0, any m_{\simeq}
> 99.5		² ACHARD	04	L3	$\Delta m > 10 \text{ GeV}, e^+e^- \rightarrow \qquad $
> 97		² ACHARD	04	L3	$\Delta m > 10 \text{ GeV}, e^+e^- \rightarrow \widetilde{q}_R \overline{\tilde{q}}_R$
HTTP://PDG.I	_BL.GO	/	Page 39		Created: 6/1/2009 14:19

>138	95	³ ABBOTT	01 D	D0	$\ell\ell+ ext{jets}+ ot\!$
>255	95	³ ABBOTT	01 D	D0	$\tan \beta = 2, \ m_{\widetilde{g}} = m_{\widetilde{q}}, \ \mu < 0,$ $A_0 = 0, \ \ell \ell + \text{iets} + E_T$
> 97	95	⁴ BARATE	01	ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{a}\tilde{a}, \Delta m > 6 \text{ GeV}$
>224	95	⁵ ABE	96 D	CDF	$m_{\widetilde{g}} \leq m_{\widetilde{q}}$; with cascade decays, $\ell\ell$ +jets+ $ ot\!$
• • • We do not	use the f	following data for a	verage	es, fits, l	imits, etc. • • •
>490	95	⁶ SCHAEL	07A	ALEP	$\widetilde{d}_{P}, R, \lambda = 0.3$
>544	95	⁶ SCHAEL	07A	ALEP	$\widetilde{s}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathcal{R}, \lambda=0.3$
>325	95	⁷ ABAZOV	06 C	D0	jets+ $\not\!\!\!E_T$, tan β =3, μ <0, A_0 =0, any $m_{\widetilde{\sigma}}$
>273	95	⁸ CHEKANOV	05A	ZEUS	$\widetilde{a} \rightarrow \mu a. R. LQ\overline{D}. \lambda = 0.3$
>270	95	⁸ CHEKANOV	05A	ZEUS	$\widetilde{q} \rightarrow \tau q, R, LQ\overline{D}, \lambda=0.3$
>275		⁹ AKTAS	04 D	H1	$e^{\pm} p \rightarrow \widetilde{U}_{I}, R, LQ\overline{D}$
>280		⁹ AKTAS	04 D	H1	$e^{\pm} p \rightarrow \widetilde{D}_{R}, R, LQ\overline{D}$
		¹⁰ ADLOFF	03	H1	$e^{\pm} p \rightarrow \widetilde{q}, \mathcal{R}, LQ\overline{D}$
>276	95	¹¹ CHEKANOV	03 B	ZEUS	$\widetilde{d} \rightarrow e^- u, \nu d, \mathcal{R}, LQ\overline{D}, \lambda > 0.1$
>260	95	¹¹ CHEKANOV	03 B	ZEUS	$\widetilde{u} \rightarrow e^+ d, R, LQ\overline{D}, \lambda > 0.1$
> 82.5	95	¹² HEISTER	03 G	ALEP	$\widetilde{u}_R, \mathcal{R}$ decay
> 77	95	¹² HEISTER	03 G	ALEP	$\widetilde{d}_R, \mathcal{R}$ decay
>240	95	¹³ ABAZOV	02F	D0	$\widetilde{q}, \not R \lambda'_{2,L}$ indirect decays,
					$\tan\beta=2$, any $m_{\widetilde{\sigma}}$
>265	95	¹³ ABAZOV	02F	D0	$\tilde{q}, R \lambda'_{2jk}$ indirect decays,
		14 404701	<u> </u>	DA	$\lim_{q \to \infty} p = 2, \ m_{\tilde{q}} = m_{\tilde{g}}$
00 101	05		02G	DU	$pp \rightarrow gg, gq$
none 60-121	95 05	15 ADDIENDI	02		$e\gamma \rightarrow u_L, \# LQD, \lambda=0.3$
none 60-156	95 05	16 ADDIENDI	02		$e\gamma \rightarrow a_R, f, LQD, \lambda \equiv 0.3$
none 80-105	95 05	16 ABBIENDI	02D		$e^{\gamma} \rightarrow u_{L}, \mu EQD, \lambda=0.3$
× 70	95 05	17 ACHARD	026		$\widetilde{\mu}_{P} \xrightarrow{R} decays$
> 55	95	17 ACHARD	02	13	$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}$, \mathcal{R} decays
>263	95	¹⁸ CHEKANOV	02	ZEUS	$\widetilde{\mu}_{R} \rightarrow \mu q_{R} R_{1} I Q \overline{D}_{1} \lambda = 0.3$
>258	95	¹⁸ CHEKANOV	02	ZEUS	$\widetilde{u}_{I} \rightarrow \tau a. R. LQ\overline{D}. \lambda=0.3$
> 82	95	¹⁹ BARATE	01 B	ALEP	$\widetilde{u}_{\mathbf{D}}, R$ decays
> 68	95	¹⁹ BARATE	01 B	ALEP	$\widetilde{d}_{R}, \mathcal{R}$ decays
none 150–204	95	²⁰ BREITWEG	01	ZEUS	$e^+ p \rightarrow \tilde{d}_{R}, R LQ\overline{D}, \lambda=0.3$
>200	95	²¹ ABBOTT	00 C	D0	\widetilde{u}_{I} , \mathcal{R} , $\lambda'_{2:I}$ decays
>180	95	²¹ ABBOTT	00 C	D0	$\widetilde{d}_R, \mathcal{R}, \lambda'_{2jk}$ decays
>390	95	²² ACCIARRI	00 P	L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow q \overline{q}$, $ ot\!$
>148	95	²³ AFFOLDER	00K	CDF	\widetilde{d}_L , $\mathcal{R} \; \lambda'_{ii3}$ decays
>200	95	²⁴ BARATE	001	ALEP	Superseded by SCHAEL 07A
none 150–269	95	²⁵ BREITWEG	00E	ZEUS	$e^+ p \rightarrow \tilde{u}_I, R, LQ\overline{D}, \lambda=0.3$
>240	95	²⁶ АВВОТТ	99	D0	$\widetilde{q} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} X \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \gamma X,$
		26			$m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} > 20 \text{ GeV}$
>320	95	²⁰ ABBOTT	99	D0	$\widetilde{q} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 X \rightarrow \widetilde{G} \gamma X$

>243	95	²⁷ АВВОТТ	99K	D0	any $m_{\widetilde{\sigma}}$, $ ot\!$
>250	95	²⁸ АВВОТТ	99L	D0	$\tan\beta = 2$, $\mu < 0$, $A = 0$, jets+ $\not\!\!\!E_T$
>200	95	²⁹ ABE	99M	CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{q}\widetilde{q}, R$
none 80–134	95	³⁰ ABREU	99 G	DLPH	$e\gamma ightarrow ~\widetilde{u}_{I}$, $ ot\!$
none 80–161	95	³⁰ ABREU	99 G	DLPH	$e \gamma ightarrow \widetilde{d}_R$, $ ot\!$
>225	95	³¹ АВВОТТ	98E	D0	\tilde{u}_L , \mathcal{R} , λ'_{1ik} decays
>204	95	³¹ ABBOTT	98E	D0	\widetilde{d}_R , \mathcal{R} , λ'_{1ik} decays
> 79	95	³¹ ABBOTT	98E	D0	$\widetilde{d}_L, \mathcal{R}, \lambda'_{ijk}$ decays
>202	95	³² ABE	9 8s	CDF	\widetilde{u}_L , $\mathcal{R} \; \lambda'_{2ik}$ decays
>160	95	³² ABE	9 8s	CDF	$\tilde{d}_R, R \lambda'_{2ik}$ decays
>140	95	³³ ACKERSTAFF	98v	OPAL	$e^+e^- ightarrow q \overline{q}$, $ ot\!$
> 77	95	³⁴ BREITWEG	98	ZEUS	$m_{\widetilde{q}} = m_{\widetilde{e}}$, $m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0) =$ 40 GeV
		³⁵ DATTA	97	THEO	$\tilde{\nu}$'s lighter than $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$, $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$
>216	95	³⁶ DERRICK	97	ZEUS	$ep ightarrow\widetilde{q},\widetilde{q} ightarrow\mu\dot{j}$ or $ au\dot{j},R$
none 130–573	95	³⁷ HEWETT	97	THEO	$q\widetilde{g} \rightarrow \widetilde{q}, \widetilde{q} \rightarrow q\widetilde{g},$ with a light gluino
none 190–650	95	³⁸ TEREKHOV	97	THEO	$qg \rightarrow \widetilde{q}\widetilde{g}, \widetilde{q} \rightarrow q\widetilde{g}$, with a
62	05	39 AID	060	Ш1	light gluino $m_1 - m_2 = m_2 - m_2 - 25$
> 03	90	AID	90C	111	$\widetilde{q} = \widetilde{e}, \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 = 35 \text{ GeV}$
none 330–400	95	⁴⁰ TEREKHOV	96	THEO	$ug \rightarrow \widetilde{u}\widetilde{g}, \widetilde{u} \rightarrow u\widetilde{g}$ with a
>176	95	⁴¹ ABACHI	95 C	D0	Any $m_{\widetilde{g}}$ <300 GeV; with cas-
		40			cade decays
		⁴² ABE	95T	CDF	$\widetilde{q} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \gamma$
> 90	90	⁴³ ABE	92L	CDF	Any $m_{\widetilde{g}}$ <410 GeV; with
		11			cascade decay
>100		44 ROY	92	RVUE	$p \overline{p} \rightarrow \tilde{q} \tilde{q}; R$
		⁺ ³ NOJIRI	91	COSM	

- ¹ABAZOV 08G looked in 2.1 fb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=1.96$ TeV for events with acoplanar jets or multijets with large $\not\!\!E_T$. No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation. A limit is derived on the masses of squarks and gluinos for specific MSUGRA parameter values, see Figure 3. Similar results would be obtained for a large class of parameter sets. Supersedes the results of ABAZOV 06C.
- ² ACHARD 04 search for the production of $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}$ of the first two generations in acoplanar di-jet final states in the 192–209 GeV data. Degeneracy of the squark masses is assumed either for both left and right squarks or for right squarks only, as well as $B(\tilde{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_1^0) = 1$ See Fig. 7 for the dependence of the limits on $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$. This limit supersedes ACCIARRI 99V.
- ³ ABBOTT 01D looked in ~ 108 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at \sqrt{s} =1.8 TeV for events with *ee*, $\mu\mu$, or $e\mu$ accompanied by at least 2 jets and $\not\!\!\!E_T$. Excluded regions are obtained in the MSUGRA framework from a scan over the parameters 0< m_0 <300 GeV, 10< $m_{1/2}$ <110 GeV, and 1.2 <tan β <10.
- ⁵ ABE 96D searched for production of gluinos and five degenerate squarks in final states containing a pair of leptons, two jets, and missing E_T . The two leptons arise from the semileptonic decays of charginos produced in the cascade decays. The limit is derived for

fixed tan β = 4.0, μ = -400 GeV, and m_{H^+} = 500 GeV, and with the cascade decays of the squarks and gluinos calculated within the framework of the Minimal Supergravity scenario.

- ⁶SCHAEL 07A studied the effect on hadronic cross sections and charge asymmetries of t-channel down-type squark exchange via R-parity violating couplings $LQ\overline{D}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 189-209$ GeV. The limit here refers to the case j = 1, 2 and holds for λ'_{1jk} of electromagnetic strength. The results of this analysis are combined with BARATE 001.
- ⁷ ABAZOV 06C looked in 310 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for events with acoplanar jets or multijets with large $\not\!\!E_T$. No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation. A limit is derived on the masses of squarks and gluinos for specific MSUGRA parameter values, see Figure 3. Similar results would be obtained for a large class of parameter sets. Supersedes the results of ABBOTT 99L.
- ⁸CHEKANOV 05A search for lepton flavor violating processes $e^{\pm} p \rightarrow \ell X$, where $\ell = \mu$ or τ with high p_T , in 130 pb⁻¹ at 300 and 318 GeV. Such final states may originate from *LQD* couplings with simultaneously non-zero λ'_{1jk} and λ'_{ijk} (*i*=2 or 3). The

quoted mass bounds hold for a *u*-type squark, assume a λ' of electromagnetic strength and contributions from only direct squark decays. For *d*-type squarks the bounds are strengthened to 278 and 275 GeV for the μ and τ final states, respectively. Supersedes the results of CHEKANOV 02.

- ⁹AKTAS 04D looked in 77.8 pb^{-1} of $e^{\pm}p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 319$ GeV for resonant production of \tilde{q} by R-parity violating $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings assuming that one of the λ' couplings dominates over all others. They consider final states with or without leptons and/or jets and/or p_T' resulting from direct and indirect decays. They combine the channels to derive limits on λ'_{1j1} and λ'_{11k} as a function of the squark mass, see their Figs. 8 and 9, from a scan over the parameters $70 < M_2 < 350$ GeV, $-300 < \mu < 300$ GeV, $\tan\beta = 6$, for a fixed mass of 90 GeV for degenerate sleptons and an LSP mass > 30 GeV. The quoted limits refer to $\lambda' = 0.3$, with U=u,c,t and D=d,s,b. Supersedes the results of ADLOFF 01B.
- ¹⁰ ADLOFF 03 looked for the s-channel production of squarks via $\not R \ LQ\overline{D}$ couplings in 117.2 pb⁻¹ of $e^+ p$ data at $\sqrt{s} = 301$ and 319 GeV and of $e^- p$ data at $\sqrt{s} = 319$ GeV. The comparison of the data with the SM differential cross section allows limits to be set on couplings for processes mediated through contact interactions. They obtain lower bounds on the value of $m_{\widetilde{q}}/\lambda'$ of 710 GeV for the process $e^+ \overline{u} \rightarrow \tilde{d}^k$ (and charge conjugate), mediated by λ'_{11k} , and of 430 GeV for the process $e^+ d \rightarrow \tilde{u}^j$ (and charge conjugate), mediated by λ'_{1i1} .
- ¹¹ CHEKANOV 03B used 131.5 pb⁻¹ of $e^+ p$ and $e^- p$ data taken at 300 and 318 GeV to look for narrow resonances in the eq or νq final states. Such final states may originate from $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings with non-zero λ'_{1j1} (leading to \tilde{u}_j) or λ'_{11k} (leading to \tilde{d}_k). See their Fig. 8 and explanations in the text for limits. The quoted mass bound assumes that only direct squark decays contribute.
- ¹² HEISTER 03G searches for the production of squarks in the case of R prompt decays with \overline{UDD} direct couplings at at $\sqrt{s} = 189-209$ GeV.
- ¹³ ABAZOV 02F looked in 77.5 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at 1.8 TeV for events with $\geq 2\mu + \geq 4$ jets, originating from associated production of squarks followed by an indirect R decay (of the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$) via $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings of the type λ'_{2jk} where j=1,2 and k=1,2,3. Bounds are obtained in the MSUGRA scenario by a scan in the range $0 \leq M_0 \leq 400$ GeV, $60 \leq m_{1/2} \leq 120$ GeV for fixed values $A_0=0$, $\mu < 0$, and $\tan\beta=2$ or 6. The bounds are weaker for $\tan\beta=6$. See Figs. 2,3 for the exclusion contours in $m_{1/2}$ versus m_0 for $\tan\beta=2$ and 6, respectively.
- ¹⁴ ABAZOV 02G search for associated production of gluinos and squarks in 92.7 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at \sqrt{s} =1.8 TeV, using events with one electron, \geq 4 jets, and large $\not\!\!E_T$.

The results are compared to a MSUGRA scenario with $\mu < 0$, $A_0=0$, and $\tan\beta=3$ and allow to exclude a region of the $(m_0, m_{1/2})$ shown in Fig. 11.

- ¹⁵ ABBIENDI 02 looked for events with an electron or neutrino and a jet in e^+e^- at 189 GeV. Squarks (or leptoquarks) could originate from a $LQ\overline{D}$ coupling of an electron with a quark from the fluctuation of a virtual photon. Limits on the couplings λ'_{1jk} as a function of the squark mass are shown in Figs. 8–9, assuming that only direct squark decays contribute.
- ¹⁶ ABBIENDI 02B looked for events with an electron or neutrino and a jet in e^+e^- at 189–209 GeV. Squarks (or leptoquarks) could originate from a LQD coupling of an electron with a quark from the fluctuation of a virtual photon. Limits on the couplings λ'_{1jk} as a function of the squark mass are shown in Fig. 4, assuming that only direct squark decays contribute. The quoted limits are read off from Fig. 4. Supersedes the results of ABBIENDI 02.
- ¹⁷ ACHARD 02 searches for the production of squarks in the case of \mathcal{R} prompt decays with \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The limit holds for indirect decays. Stronger limits are reached for $(\tilde{u}_R, \tilde{d}_R)$ direct (80,56) GeV and $(\tilde{u}_L, \tilde{d}_L)$ direct or indirect (87,86) GeV decays.
- ¹⁸ CHEKANOV 02 search for lepton flavor violating processes $e^+ p \rightarrow \ell X$, where $\ell = \mu$ or τ with high p_T , in 47.7 pb⁻¹ of $e^+ p$ collisions at 300 GeV. Such final states may originate from $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings with simultaneously nonzero λ'_{1jk} and λ'_{ijk} (*i*=2 or 3). The quoted mass bound assumes that only direct squark decays contribute.
- ¹⁹ BARATE 01B searches for the production of squarks in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$ indirect or \overline{UDD} direct couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–202 GeV. The limit holds for direct decays mediated by R \overline{UDD} couplings. Limits are also given for $LL\overline{E}$ indirect decays $(m_{\widetilde{u}_R} > 90 \text{ GeV} \text{ and } m_{\widetilde{d}_R} > 89 \text{ GeV})$. Supersedes the results from BARATE 00H.
- ²⁰ BREITWEG 01 searches for squark production in 47.7 pb⁻¹ of $e^+ p$ collisions, mediated by \mathcal{R} couplings $LQ\overline{D}$ and leading to final states with $\tilde{\nu}$ and ≥ 1 jet, complementing the $e^+ X$ final states of BREITWEG 00E. Limits are derived on $\lambda' \sqrt{\beta}$, where β is the branching fraction of the squarks into $e^+ q + \overline{\nu} q$, as function of the squark mass, see their Fig. 15. The quoted mass limit assumes that only direct squark decays contribute.
- ²¹ ABBOTT 00C searched in ~ 94 pb⁻¹ of pp̄ collisions for events with μμ+jets, originating from associated production of leptoquarks. The results can be interpreted as limits on production of squarks followed by direct R decay via λ'_{2jk}L₂Q_jd^c_k couplings. Bounds are obtained on the cross section for branching ratios of 1 and of 1/2, see their Fig. 4. The former yields the limit on the ũ_L. The latter is combined with the bound of ABBOTT 99J from the μν+jets channel and of ABBOTT 98E and ABBOTT 98J from the νν+jets channel to yield the limit on *d*_R.
- ²² ACCIARRI 00P studied the effect on hadronic cross sections of *t*-channel down-type squark exchange via *R*-parity violating coupling $\lambda'_{1jk} L_1 Q_j d_k^c$. The limit here refers to the case j=1,2, and holds for $\lambda'_{1jk}=0.3$. Data collected at $\sqrt{s}=130-189$ GeV, superseding the results of ACCIARRI 98J.
- ²⁴ BARATE 00 studied the effect on hadronic cross sections and charge asymmetries of *t*-channel down-type squark exchange via *R*-parity violating coupling $\lambda'_{1jk}L_1Q_jd_k^c$. The

limit here refers to the case j=1,2, and holds for $\lambda'_{1jk}=0.3$. A 50 GeV limit is found for up-type squarks with k=3. Data collected at $\sqrt{s}=130-183$ GeV.

- ²⁵ BREITWEG 00E searches for squark exchange in $e^+ p$ collisions, mediated by R couplings $LQ\overline{D}$ and leading to final states with an identified e^+ and ≥ 1 jet. The limit applies to up-type squarks of all generations, and assumes $B(\tilde{q} \rightarrow qe)=1$.
- ²⁷ ABBOTT 99K uses events with an electron pair and four jets to search for the decay of the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ LSP via \not{R} $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. The particle spectrum and decay branching ratios are taken in the framework of minimal supergravity. An excluded region at 95% CL is obtained in the $(m_0, m_{1/2})$ plane under the assumption that $A_0=0$, $\mu < 0$, $\tan\beta=2$ and any one of the couplings $\lambda'_{1jk} > 10^{-3}$ (*j*=1,2 and *k*=1,2,3) and from which the above limit is computed. For equal mass squarks and gluinos, the corresponding limit is 277 GeV. The results are essentially independent of A_0 , but the limit deteriorates rapidly with increasing $\tan\beta$ or $\mu > 0$.
- ²⁸ ABBOTT 99L consider events with three or more jets and large $\not\!\!\!E_T$. Spectra and decay rates are evaluated in the framework of minimal Supergravity, assuming five flavors of degenerate squarks, and scanning the space of the universal gaugino $(m_{1/2})$ and scalar (m_0) masses. See their Figs. 2–3 for the dependence of the limit on the relative value of $m_{\widetilde{a}}$ and $m_{\widetilde{g}}$.
- ²⁹ ABE 99M looked in 107 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=1.8$ TeV for events with like sign dielectrons and two or more jets from the sequential decays $\tilde{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow e q \overline{q}'$, assuming \mathcal{R} coupling $L_1 Q_j D_k^c$, with j=2,3 and k=1,2,3. They assume five degenerate squark flavors, $B(\tilde{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_1^0)=1$, $B(\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow e q \overline{q}')=0.25$ for both e^+ and e^- , and $m_{\tilde{g}} \geq 200$ GeV. The limit is obtained for $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} \geq m_{\tilde{q}}/2$ and improves for heavier gluinos or

heavier χ_1^0 .

- ³⁰ ABREU 99G looked for events with an electron or neutrino and a jet in e^+e^- at 183 GeV. Squarks (or leptoquarks) could originate from a $LQ\overline{D}$ coupling of an electron with a quark from the fluctuation of a virtual photon. Limits on the couplings λ'_{1jk} as a function of the squark mass are shown in Fig. 4, assuming that only direct squark decays contribute.
- ³¹ ABBOTT 98E searched in ~ 115 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions for events with $e\nu$ +jets, originating from associated production of squarks followed by direct R decay via $\lambda'_{1jk}L_1Q_jd_k^c$ couplings. Bounds are obtained by combining these results with the previous bound of ABBOTT 97B from the ee+jets channel and with a reinterpretation of ABACHI 96B $\nu\nu$ +jets channel.
- ³² ABE 98S looked in ~ 110 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=1.8$ TeV for events with $\mu\mu$ +jets originating from associated production of squarks followed by direct R decay via $\lambda'_{2jk}L_2Q_jd_k^c$ couplings. Bounds are obtained on the production cross section times the square of the branching ratio, see Fig. 2. Mass limits result from the comparison with theoretical cross sections and branching ratio equal to 1 for \tilde{u}_L and 1/2 for \tilde{d}_R .
- ³³ ACKERSTAFF 98V and ACCIARRI 98J studied the interference of *t*-channel squark (\tilde{d}_R) exchange via *R*-parity violating $\lambda'_{1jk} L_1 Q_j d_k^c$ coupling in $e^+e^- \rightarrow q \overline{q}$. The limit is for $\lambda'_{1jk}=0.3$. See paper for related limits on \tilde{u}_L exchange. Data collected at $\sqrt{s}=130-172$ GeV.

- ³⁴ BREITWEG 98 used positron+jet events with missing energy and momentum to look for $e^+ q \rightarrow \tilde{e}\tilde{q}$ via gaugino-like neutralino exchange with decays into $(e\tilde{\chi}_1^0)(q\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$. See paper for dependences in $m_{\tilde{e}}$, $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$.
- ³⁵ DATTA 97 argues that the squark mass bound by ABACHI 95C can be weakened by 10–20 GeV if one relaxes the assumption of the universal scalar mass at the GUT-scale so that the $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}, \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ in the squark cascade decays have dominant and invisible decays to $\tilde{\nu}$
- 36 $\overset{\widetilde{\nu}.}{\text{DERRICK}}$ 97 looked for lepton-number violating final states via *R*-parity violating couplings $\lambda'_{ijk}L_iQ_jd_k$. When $\lambda'_{11k}\lambda'_{ijk}\neq 0$, the process $eu \rightarrow \widetilde{d}_k^* \rightarrow \ell_i u_j$ is possible. When $\lambda'_{1j1}\lambda'_{ijk}\neq 0$, the process $e\overline{d} \rightarrow \widetilde{u}_j^* \rightarrow \ell_i \overline{d}_k$ is possible. 100% branching fraction $\widetilde{q} \rightarrow \ell_j$ is assumed. The limit quoted here corresponds to $\widetilde{t} \rightarrow \tau q$ decay, with $\lambda'=0.3$. For different channels, limits are slightly better. See Table 6 in their paper.
- ³⁷ HEWETT 97 reanalyzed the limits on possible resonances in di-jet mode $(\tilde{q} \rightarrow q\tilde{g})$ from ALITTI 93 quoted in "Limits for Excited $q(q^*)$ from Single Production," ABE 96 in "SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(qqqq)$," and unpublished CDF, DØ bounds. The bound applies to the gluino mass of 5 GeV, and improves for lighter gluino. The analysis has gluinos in parton distribution function.
- ³⁸ TEREKHOV 97 improved the analysis of TEREKHOV 96 by including di-jet angular distributions in the analysis.
- ³⁹ AID 96C used positron+jet events with missing energy and momentum to look for $e^+ q \rightarrow \tilde{e}\tilde{q}$ via neutralino exchange with decays into $(e\tilde{\chi}_1^0)(q\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$. See the paper for dependences on $m_{\tilde{e}}$, $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$.
- ⁴⁰ TEREKHOV 96 reanalyzed the limits on possible resonances in di-jet mode $(\tilde{u} \rightarrow u\tilde{g})$ from ABE 95N quoted in "MASS LIMITS for g_A (axigluon)." The bound applies only to the case with a light gluino.
- ⁴¹ABACHI 95C assume five degenerate squark flavors with $m_{\tilde{q}_L} = m_{\tilde{q}_R}$. Sleptons are assumed to be heavier than squarks. The limits are derived for fixed $\tan\beta = 2.0 \ \mu = -250 \ \text{GeV}$, and $m_{H^+} = 500 \ \text{GeV}$, and with the cascade decays of the squarks and gluinos calculated within the framework of the Minimal Supergravity scenario. The bounds are weakly sensitive to the three fixed parameters for a large fraction of parameter space. No limit is given for $m_{\text{gluino}} > 547 \ \text{GeV}$.
- ⁴² ABE 95T looked for a cascade decay of five degenerate squarks into $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ which further decays into $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and a photon. No signal is observed. Limits vary widely depending on the choice of parameters. For $\mu = -40$ GeV, $\tan\beta = 1.5$, and heavy gluinos, the range $50 < m_{\tilde{a}}$ (GeV)<110 is excluded at 90% CL. See the paper for details.
- ⁴³ ABE 92L assume five degenerate squark flavors and $m_{\widetilde{q}_L} = m_{\widetilde{q}_R}$. ABE 92L includes the effect of cascade decay, for a particular choice of parameters, $\mu = -250$ GeV, $\tan\beta = 2$. Results are weakly sensitive to these parameters over much of parameter space. No limit for $m_{\widetilde{q}} \leq 50$ GeV (but other experiments rule out that region). Limits are 10–20 GeV higher if $B(\widetilde{q} \rightarrow q\widetilde{\gamma}) = 1$. Limit assumes GUT relations between gaugino masses and the gauge coupling; in particular that for $|\mu|$ not small, $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} \approx m_{\widetilde{g}}/6$. This last

relation implies that as $m_{\tilde{g}}$ increases, the mass of $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ will eventually exceed $m_{\tilde{q}}$ so that no decay is possible. Even before that occurs, the signal will disappear; in particular no bounds can be obtained for $m_{\tilde{g}} > 410$ GeV. $m_{H^+} = 500$ GeV.

- ⁴⁴ ROY 92 reanalyzed CDF limits on di-lepton events to obtain limits on squark production in *R*-parity violating models. The 100% decay $\tilde{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}$ where $\tilde{\chi}$ is the LSP, and the LSP decays either into $\ell q \overline{d}$ or $\ell \ell \overline{e}$ is assumed.
- ⁴⁵ NOJIRI 91 argues that a heavy squark should be nearly degenerate with the gluino in minimal supergravity not to overclose the universe.

Long-lived \tilde{q} (Squark) MASS LIMIT

The following are bounds on long-lived scalar quarks, assumed to hadronise into hadrons with lifetime long enough to escape the detector prior to a possible decay. Limits may depend on the mixing angle of mass eigenstates: $\tilde{q}_1 = \tilde{q}_L \cos\theta_q + \tilde{q}_R \sin\theta_q$.

The coupling to the Z^0 boson vanishes for up-type squarks when θ_u =0.98, and for down type squarks when θ_d =1.17.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	etc. ● ● ●
>95	95	¹ HEISTER	03н	ALEP	ũ
>92	95	¹ HEISTER	03н	ALEP	ã
none 2–85	95	² ABREU	98 P	DLPH	ũ
none 2–81	95	² ABREU	98 P	DLPH	ũ _R
none 2–80	95	² ABREU	98 P	DLPH	$\widetilde{u}, \theta_{\mu} = 0.98$
none 2–83	95	² ABREU	98 P	DLPH	\tilde{d}_I
none 5–40	95	² ABREU	98 P	DLPH	ã_ _R
none 5–38	95	² ABREU	98 P	DLPH	$\tilde{d}, \theta_d = 1.17$

¹ HEISTER 03H use e^+e^- data at and around the Z^0 peak to look for hadronizing stable squarks. Combining their results on searches for charged and neutral R-hadrons with JANOT 03, a lower limit of 15.7 GeV on the mass is obtained. Combining this further with the results of searches for tracks with anomalous ionization in data from 183 to 208 GeV yields the quoted bounds.

² ABREU 98P assumes that 40% of the squarks will hadronise into a charged hadron, and 60% into a neutral hadron which deposits most of its energy in hadron calorimeter. Data collected at \sqrt{s} =130–183 GeV.

\tilde{b} (Sbottom) MASS LIMIT

Limits in e^+e^- depend on the mixing angle of the mass eigenstate $\tilde{b}_1 = \tilde{b}_L \cos\theta_b + \tilde{b}_R \sin\theta_b$. Coupling to the Z vanishes for $\theta_b \sim 1.17$. As a consequence, no absolute constraint in the mass region ≤ 40 GeV is available in the literature at this time from e^+e^- collisions. In the Listings below, we use $\Delta m = m_{\widetilde{b}_1} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>193	95	¹ AALTONEN	07E	CDF	$\widetilde{b}_1 ightarrow b \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$, $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} =$ 40 GeV
none 35–222	95	² ABAZOV	06 R	D0	$\widetilde{b} \rightarrow b \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \ m_{\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}} = 50 \text{ GeV}$
>220	95	³ ABULENCIA	061	CDF	$\widetilde{g} \rightarrow \widetilde{b}b, \Delta m > 6 \text{ GeV}, \widetilde{b}_1 \rightarrow$
					$b \widetilde{\chi}^0_1$, $m_{\widetilde{g}}$ <270 GeV
> 95		⁴ ACHARD	04	L3	$\tilde{b} \rightarrow \tilde{b} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \theta_{b} = 0, \Delta m > 15 - 25 \text{ GeV}$
> 81		⁴ ACHARD	04	L3	$\tilde{b} \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$, all $\theta_{b}, \Delta m > 15-25 \text{ GeV}$
> 7.5	95	⁵ JANOT	04	THEO	unstable $\dot{\tilde{b}}_1$, $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
> 93	95	⁶ ABDALLAH	0 3M	DLPH	$\widetilde{b} \rightarrow b \widetilde{\chi}^0$, $\theta_b = 0$, $\Delta m > 7$ GeV
> 76	95	⁶ ABDALLAH	0 3M	DLPH	$\widetilde{b} \rightarrow b \widetilde{\chi}^0$, all θ_b , $\Delta m > 7$ GeV
> 85.1	95	⁷ ABBIENDI	02н	OPAL	$\widetilde{b} ightarrow \ b \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$, all $ heta_b^{-}$, $\Delta m > 10$ GeV,
> 89	95	⁸ HEISTER	02K	ALEP	$ \begin{array}{c} CDF \\ \widetilde{b} \to b \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \text{ all } \theta_{b}, \ \Delta m > 8 \text{ GeV}, \\ CDF \end{array} $
none 3.5–4.5	95	⁹ SAVINOV	01	CLEO	\tilde{B} meson
none 80–145		¹⁰ AFFOLDER	00 D	CDF	$\widetilde{b} ightarrow \ b \widetilde{\chi}_1^0, \ m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} < \!\! 50 { m GeV}$
HTTP://PI	DG.LB	L.GOV	Page	e 46	Created: 6/1/2009 14:19

Citation: C. Amsler et al. (Particle Data Group), PL B667, 1 (2008) and 2009 partial update for the 2010 edition (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov)

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

> 78	95	¹¹ ABDALLAH	0 4M	DLPH	\mathcal{R} , \tilde{b}_L , indirect, $\Delta m > 5$ GeV
none 50–82	95	¹² ABDALLAH	03 C	DLPH	$\widetilde{b} \rightarrow b\widetilde{g}$, stable \widetilde{g} , all θ_b ,
		10			Δm $>$ 10 GeV
		¹³ BERGER	03	THEO	
> 71.5	95	¹⁴ HEISTER	03 G	ALEP	$\widetilde{b}_L, \mathcal{R}$ decay
> 27.4	95	¹⁵ HEISTER	0 3H	ALEP	$\widetilde{b} ightarrow b\widetilde{g}$, stable \widetilde{g} or \widetilde{b}
> 48	95	¹⁶ ACHARD	02	L3	\widetilde{b}_1 , $ ot\!$
		¹⁷ BAEK	02	THEO	-
		¹⁸ BECHER	02	THEO	
		¹⁹ CHEUNG	0 2B	THEO	
		²⁰ СНО	02	THEO	
		²¹ BERGER	01	THEO	$p \overline{p} \rightarrow X + b$ -quark
none 52–115	95	²² АВВОТТ	99F	D0	$\widetilde{b} \rightarrow b \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \ m_{\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}} < 20 \ { m GeV}$
					λ_1

¹ AALTONEN 07E searched in 295 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for multijet events with large \not{E}_T . They request at least one heavy flavor-tagged jet and no identified leptons. The branching ratio $\tilde{b}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is assumed to be 100%. No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation. Upper limits on the cross-section are extracted and a limit is derived on the masses of sbottom versus $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, see their Fig. 5.

- ²ABAZOV 06R looked in 310 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for events with 2 or 3 jets and large \not{E}_T with at least 1 *b*-tagged jet and a veto against isolated leptons. No excess is observed relative to the SM background expectations. Limits are set on the sbottom pair production cross-section under the assumption that the only decay mode is into $b\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. Exclusion contours are derived in the plane of sbottom versus neutralino masses, shown in their Fig. 2. The observed limit is more constraining than the expected one due to a lack of events corresponding to large sbottom masses. Supersedes the results of ABBOTT 99F.
- ⁴ ACHARD 04 search for the production of $\tilde{b}\tilde{b}$ in acoplanar b-tagged di-jet final states in the 192–209 GeV data. See Fig. 6 for the dependence of the limits on $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$. This limit

supersedes ACCIARRI 99V.

- ⁵ JANOT 04 reanalyzes $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons total cross section data with $\sqrt{s} = 20-209$ GeV from PEP, PETRA, TRISTAN, SLC, and LEP and constrains the mass of \tilde{b}_1 assuming it decays quickly to hadrons.
- ⁷ ABBIENDI 02H search for events with two acoplanar jets and p_T in the 161–209 GeV data. The limit assumes 100% branching ratio and uses the exclusion at large Δm from CDF (AFFOLDER 00D). For $\theta_b=0$, the bound improves to > 96.9 GeV. See Fig. 4 and Table 6 for the more general dependence on the limits on Δm . These results supersede ABBIENDI 99M.
- ⁸ HEISTER 02K search for bottom squarks in final states with acoplanar jets with *b* tagging, using 183–209 GeV data. The mass bound uses the CDF results from AFFOLDER 00D. See Fig. 5 for the more general dependence of the limits on Δm . Updates BARATE 01.

- ⁹ SAVINOV 01 use data taken at \sqrt{s} =10.52 GeV, below the $B\overline{B}$ threshold. They look for events with a pair of leptons with opposite charge and a fully reconstructed hadronic Dor D^* decay. These could originate from production of a light-sbottom hadron followed by $\widetilde{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)} \ell^- \widetilde{\nu}$, in case the $\widetilde{\nu}$ is the LSP, or $\widetilde{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)} \pi \ell^-$, in case of \mathcal{R} . The mass range $3.5 \leq M(\widetilde{B}) \leq 4.5$ GeV was explored, assuming 100% branching ratio for either of the decays. In the $\widetilde{\nu}$ LSP scenario, the limit holds only for $M(\widetilde{\nu})$ less than about 1 GeV and for the D^* decays it is reduced to the range 3.9–4.5 GeV. For the \mathcal{R} decay, the whole range is excluded.
- ¹⁰ AFFOLDER 00D search for final states with 2 or 3 jets and E_T , one jet with a *b* tag. See their Fig. 3 for the mass exclusion in the $m_{\tilde{t}}$, $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ plane.
- ¹¹ ABDALLAH 04M use data from $\sqrt{s} = 192-208$ GeV to derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with \overline{UDD} couplings. The results are valid for $\mu = -200$ GeV, $\tan\beta = 1.5$, $\Delta m > 5$ GeV and assuming a BR of 1 for the given decay. The limit quoted is for indirect \overline{UDD} decays using the neutralino constraint of 38.0 GeV, also derived in ABDALLAH 04M, and assumes no mixing. For indirect decays it remains at 78 GeV when the neutralino constraint is not used. Supersedes the result of ABREU 01D.
- ¹² ABDALLAH 03C looked for events of the type $q \overline{q} R^{\pm} R^{\pm}$, $q \overline{q} R^{\pm} R^{0}$, or $q \overline{q} R^{0} R^{0}$ in $e^{+}e^{-}$ interactions at $\sqrt{s} = 189-208$ GeV. The R^{\pm} bound states are identified by anomalous dE/dx in the tracking chambers and the R^{0} by missing energy due to their reduced energy loss in the calorimeters. Excluded mass regions in the $(m(\tilde{b}), m(\tilde{g}))$ plane for $m(\tilde{g}) > 2$ GeV are obtained for several values of the probability for the gluino to fragment into R^{\pm} or R^{0} , as shown in their Fig. 19. The limit improves to 94 GeV for $\theta_{b}=0$.
- ¹³ BERGER 03 studies the constraints on a \tilde{b}_1 with mass in the 2.2–5.5 GeV region coming from radiative decays of $\Upsilon(nS)$ into sbottomonium. The constraints apply only if \tilde{b}_1 lives long enough to permit formation of the sbottomonium bound state. A small region of mass in the $m_{\tilde{b}_1} - m_{\tilde{g}}$ plane survives current experimental constraints from CLEO.
- ¹⁴ HEISTER 03G searches for the production of \tilde{b} pairs in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$ or UDD couplings at $\sqrt{s} = 189-209$ GeV. The limit holds for indirect decays mediated by R UDD couplings. It improves to 90 GeV for indirect decays mediated by R $LL\overline{E}$ couplings and to 80 GeV for indirect decays mediated by R $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. Supersedes the results from BARATE 01B.
- ¹⁵ HEISTER 03H use their results on bounds on stable squarks, on stable gluinos and on squarks decaying to a stable gluino from the same paper to derive a mass limit on \tilde{b} , see their Fig. 13. The limit for a long-lived \tilde{b}_1 is 92 GeV.
- ¹⁶ ACHARD 02 searches for the production of squarks in the case of R prompt decays with \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The limit is computed for the minimal cross section and holds for indirect decays and reaches 55 GeV for direct decays.
- ¹⁷ BAEK 02 studies the constraints on a \tilde{b}_1 with mass in the 2.2–5.5 GeV region coming from precision measurements of Z^0 decays. It is noted that *CP*-violating couplings in the MSSM parameters relax the strong constraints otherwised derived from *CP* conservation.
- ¹⁸ BECHER 02 studies the constraints on a \tilde{b}_1 with mass in the 2.2–5.5 GeV region coming from radiative *B* meson decays, and sets limits on the off-diagonal flavor-changing couplings $q \tilde{b}\tilde{g}$ (q=d,s).
- ¹⁹ CHEUNG 02B studies the constraints on a \tilde{b}_1 with mass in the 2.2–5.5 GeV region and a gluino in the mass range 12–16 GeV, using precision measurements of Z^0 decays and e^+e^- annihilations at LEP2. Few detectable events are predicted in the LEP2 data for the model proposed by BERGER 01.
- ²⁰ CHO 02 studies the constraints on a \tilde{b}_1 with mass in the 2.2–5.5 GeV region coming from precision measurements of Z^0 decays. Strong constraints are obtained for *CP*-conserving MSSM couplings.

- ²¹ BERGER 01 reanalyzed interpretation of Tevatron data on bottom-quark production. Argues that pair production of light gluinos ($m \sim 12-16$ GeV) with subsequent 2-body decay into a light sbottom ($m \sim 2-5.5$ GeV) and bottom can reconcile Tevatron data with predictions of perturbative QCD for the bottom production rate. The sbottom must either decay hadronically via a *R*-parity- and *B*-violating interaction, or be long-lived. Constraints on the mass spectrum are derived from the measurements of time-averaged $B^0-\overline{B}^0$ mixing.

 $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1} >$ 47 GeV.

\tilde{t} (Stop) MASS LIMIT

Limits depend on the decay mode. In $e^+ e^-$ collisions they also depend on the mixing angle of the mass eigenstate $\tilde{t}_1 = \tilde{t}_L \cos\theta_t + \tilde{t}_R \sin\theta_t$. The coupling to the Z vanishes when $\theta_t = 0.98$. In the Listings below, we use $\Delta m \equiv m_{\tilde{t}_1} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ or $\Delta m \equiv m_{\tilde{t}_1} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}$, depending on relevant decay mode. See also bounds in " \tilde{q} (Squark)

MASS LIMIT." Limits made obsolete by the most recent analyses of e^+e^- and $p\overline{p}$ collisions can be found in previous Editions of this *Review*.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>176	95	¹ ABAZOV	80	D0	$\widetilde{t} ightarrow b \ell \widetilde{ u}$, $m_{\widetilde{ u}} =$ 60 GeV
none 95–150	95	² ABAZOV	08Z	D0	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$,
					$m_c < \Delta m < m_W + m_b$
none 80–120	95	³ ABAZOV	04	D0	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow b \ell \nu \widetilde{\chi}^0$, $m_{\widetilde{\chi}0} = 50 \text{ GeV}$
> 90		⁴ ACHARD	04	L3	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$, all $\theta_{t}^{\Lambda}, \Delta m >$
> 93		⁴ ACHARD	04	L3	$\begin{array}{ccc} 15-25 \text{ GeV} \\ \widetilde{b} \rightarrow b\ell \widetilde{\nu}, \text{ all } \theta_t, \end{array}$
> 88		⁴ ACHARD	04	L3	$\begin{array}{rcl} & \Delta m > 15 \text{ GeV} \\ \widetilde{b} \rightarrow & b \tau \widetilde{\nu}, \text{ all} \\ & \theta_t, \Delta m > 15 \text{ GeV} \end{array}$
> 75	95	⁵ ABDALLAH	0 3M	DLPH	$\tilde{t} \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}^0$, $\theta_t = 0$, $\Delta m > 2 \text{ GeV}$
> 71	95	⁵ ABDALLAH	0 3M	DLPH	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}^{0}$, all θ_{t} , $\Delta m > 2$ GeV
> 96	95	⁵ ABDALLAH	0 3M	DLPH	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}^{0}, \theta_{t} = 0, \Delta m > 10 \text{ GeV}$
> 92	95	⁵ ABDALLAH	0 3M	DLPH	$\tilde{t} \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}^{0}$, all $\theta_{t}, \Delta m > 10 \text{ GeV}$
none 80–131	95	⁶ ACOSTA	03 C	CDF	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow b\ell \widetilde{\nu}, \ m_{\widetilde{\nu}} \leq 63 \text{ GeV}$
>144	95	⁷ ABAZOV	02C	D0	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow b\ell \widetilde{\nu}, \ m_{\widetilde{\nu}} = 45 \text{ GeV}$
> 95.7	95	⁸ ABBIENDI	02н	OPAL	$c \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$, all θ_{t} , $\Delta m > 10$ GeV
> 92.6	95	⁸ ABBIENDI	02н	OPAL	$b\ell \tilde{\widetilde{\nu}}$, all θ_t , $\Delta m > 10$ GeV
> 91.5	95	⁸ ABBIENDI	02н	OPAL	$b \tau \widetilde{\nu}$, all θ_t , $\Delta m > 10$ GeV
> 63	95	⁹ HEISTER	02ĸ	ALEP	any decay, any lifetime, all θ_t
> 92	95	⁹ HEISTER	0 2K	ALEP	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$, all θ_t , $\Delta m > 8$
> 97	95	⁹ HEISTER	02K	ALEP	GeV, ČDF $\tilde{t} \rightarrow b \ell \tilde{\nu}$, all θ_t , $\Delta m > 8$
> 78	95	⁹ HEISTER	02K	ALEP	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow b \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} W^{*}$, all θ_{t} , $\Delta m > 8$ GeV

 \bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. \bullet \bullet

>153 >132	95	¹⁰ AALTONEN ¹¹ AALTONEN	08z 07e	CDF CDF	$\mathcal{R}, \ \widetilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \tau$ $\widetilde{t}_1 \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_1^0, \ m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} = 48 \text{ GeV}$
none 80–134	95	¹² ABAZOV	07 B	D0	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \ m_{\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}}^{\chi_{1}} < 48 \text{ GeV}$
> 77 > 77	95 95	¹³ CHEKANOV ¹⁴ ABBIENDI ¹⁵ ABDALLAH	07 04F 04M	ZEUS OPAL DLPH	$e^+ p \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1, \mathcal{R}, LQ\overline{D}$ $\mathcal{R}, \text{ direct, all } \theta_t$ $\mathcal{R}, \text{ indirect, all } \theta_t,$
> 74.5		¹⁶ AKTAS ¹⁷ DAS	04в 04	H1 THEO	$ \begin{array}{l} \underset{\mathcal{R}, \ \tilde{t}_{1}}{\mathcal{K} t} \rightarrow b \ell \nu_{\ell} \chi^{0} \overline{b} q \overline{q}' \chi^{0}, \ m_{\chi_{1}^{0}} \end{array} $
none 50–87	95	¹⁸ ABDALLAH	03 C	DLPH	$ \begin{array}{l} = 15 \; {\rm GeV}, \; {\rm no} \; \overline{t} \rightarrow \; c \chi^0 \\ \widetilde{t} \rightarrow \; c \widetilde{g}, \; {\rm stable} \; \widetilde{g}, \; {\rm all} \; \theta_t, \\ \Delta M \; > 10 \; {\rm GeV} \end{array} $
> 71.5 > 80	95 95	¹⁹ CHAKRAB ²⁰ HEISTER ²¹ HEISTER	03 03G 03Н	THEO ALEP ALEP	$\begin{array}{l} p \overline{\rho} \rightarrow \widetilde{t} \widetilde{t}^{*}, RPV \\ \widetilde{t}_{L}, \mathcal{R} \text{ decay} \\ \widetilde{t} \rightarrow c \widetilde{g}, stable \ \widetilde{g} \text{ or } \widetilde{t}, all \ \theta_{t}, \end{array}$
> 77	95	²² ACHARD ²³ AFFOLDER	02 01в	L3 CDF	$ \begin{array}{l} \text{all } \Delta M \\ \widetilde{t}_1, \ \mathcal{J} \text{ decays} \\ t \rightarrow \ \widetilde{t} \chi_1^0 \end{array} $
> 61	95	²⁴ ABREU	001	DLPH	$\mathcal{R}(\underline{LLE}), \theta_t = 0.98, \Delta m > 4$
none 68–119	95	²⁵ AFFOLDER	00 D	CDF	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \ m_{\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}} < 40 \text{ GeV}$
none 84–120 >120 none 9–24.4 >138 > 45	95 95 95 95	26 AFFOLDER 27 ABE 28 AID 29 AID 30 CHO	00G 99M 96 96 96	CDF CDF H1 H1 RVUE	$ \begin{split} \widetilde{t}_{1} &\to b \ell \widetilde{\nu}, \ m_{\widetilde{\nu}} < 45 \\ p \overline{p} &\to \widetilde{t}_{1} \widetilde{t}_{1}, \ \mathcal{R} \\ e p &\to \widetilde{t} \widetilde{t}, \ \mathcal{R} \text{ decays} \\ e p &\to \widetilde{t}, \ \mathcal{R}, \ \lambda \cos \theta_{t} > 0.03 \\ B^{0} - \overline{B}^{0} \text{ and } \epsilon, \ \theta_{t} = 0.98, \\ \tan \theta < 2 \end{split} $
none 11–41	95	³¹ BUSKULIC	95e	ALEP	$\mathcal{R}(LL\overline{E}), \theta_t = 0.98$
none 6.0-41.2	95	AKERS	94K	OPAL	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_{\underline{1}}^{0}, \ \theta_{\underline{t}} = 0, \ \Delta m > 2 \text{ GeV}$
none 5.0–46.0	95	AKERS	94K	OPAL	$t \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \theta_{t} = 0, \Delta m > 5 \text{ GeV}$
none 11.2–25.5	95	AKERS	94K	OPAL	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \ \theta_{t} = 0.98, \ \Delta m > 2$ GeV
none 7.9-41.2	95	AKERS	94K	OPAL	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \ \theta_{t} = 0.98, \ \Delta m > 5$
none 7.6–28.0	95	³² SHIRAI	94	VNS	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$, any θ_{t} , $\Delta m > 10$
none 10–20	95	³² SHIRAI	94	VNS	$\widetilde{t} \stackrel{\rm GeV}{ ightarrow} c\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$, any $ heta_t$, $\Delta m > 2.5$ GeV

¹ABAZOV 08 looked at approximately 400 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for events with $b\overline{b}\ell\ell' E_T$ with $\ell\ell' = e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$ or $\ell\ell' = \mu^{\pm}\mu^{-}$, originating from associated production $\tilde{t}\tilde{t}$. Branching ratios are assumed to be 100% for both $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \rightarrow \ell\tilde{\nu}$ and $\tilde{\nu} \rightarrow \nu\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. No evidence for an excess over the SM expectation is observed. The excluded region is shown in a plane of $m_{\tilde{\nu}}$ versus $m_{\tilde{t}}$, see their Fig.3.

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

- ²ABAZOV 08Z looked in 995 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for events with exactly 2 jets, at least one being tagged as heavy quark, and \not{E}_T , originating from stop pair production. Branching ratios are assumed to be 100% for $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}_1^0$. No evidence for an excess over the SM expectation is observed. The excluded region is shown in a plane of $m_{\tilde{t}}$ versus $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$, see their Fig. 5. No limit can be obtained for $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} > 70$ GeV. Supersedes the results of ABAZOV 07B.
- ³ABAZOV 04 looked at 108.3pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV for events with $e+\mu+\not\!\!\!E_T$ as signature for the 3- and 4-body decays of stop into $b\ell\nu\tilde{\chi}^0$ final states. For the $b\ell\tilde{\nu}$ channel they use the results from ABAZOV 02C. No significant excess is observed compared to the Standard Model expectation and limits are derived on the mass of \tilde{t}_1 for the 3- and 4-body decays in the $(m_{\tilde{t}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}^0})$ plane, see their Figure 4.
- ⁴ ACHARD 04 search in the 192–209 GeV data for the production of $\tilde{t}\tilde{t}$ in acoplanar di-jet final states and, in case of $b\ell\tilde{\nu}$ ($b\tau\tilde{\nu}$) final states, two leptons (taus). The limits for $\theta_t =$ 0 improve to 95, 96 and 93 GeV, respectively. All limits assume 100% branching ratio for the respective decay modes. See Fig. 6 for the dependence of the limits on $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$.

These limits supersede ACCIARRI 99V.

- ⁷ ABAZOV 02C looked in 108.3pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=1.8$ TeV for events with $e\mu E_T$, originating from associated production $\tilde{t}\tilde{t}$. Branching ratios are assumed to be 100%. The bound for the $b\ell\tilde{\nu}$ decay weakens for large $\tilde{\nu}$ mass (see Fig. 3), and no limit is set when $m_{\tilde{\nu}} > 85$ GeV. See Fig. 4 for the limits in case of decays to a real $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$, followed by $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \rightarrow \ell\tilde{\nu}$, as a function of $m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^{\pm}}$.
- ⁸ ABBIENDI 02H looked for events with two acoplanar jets, p_T' , and, in the case of $b\ell\tilde{\nu}$ final states, two leptons, in the 161–209 GeV data. The bound for $c\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ applies to the region where $\Delta m < m_W + m_b$, else the decay $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b\tilde{\chi}_1^0 W^+$ becomes dominant. The limit for $b\ell\tilde{\nu}$ assumes equal branching ratios for the three lepton flavors and for $b\tau\tilde{\nu}$ 100% for this channel. For θ_t =0, the bounds improve to > 97.6 GeV ($c\tilde{\chi}_1^0$), > 96.0 GeV ($b\ell\tilde{\nu}$), and > 95.5 ($b\tau\tilde{\nu}$). See Figs. 5–6 and Table 5 for the more general dependence of the limits on Δm . These results supersede ABBIENDI 99M.
- ⁹ HEISTER 02K search for top squarks in final states with jets (with/without *b* tagging or leptons) or long-lived hadrons, using 183–209 GeV data. The absolute mass bound is obtained by varying the branching ratio of $\tilde{t} \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and the lepton fraction in $\tilde{t} \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_1^0 f \bar{f'}$ decays. The mass bound for $\tilde{t} \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ uses the CDF results from AFFOLDER 00D and for $\tilde{t} \rightarrow b\ell\tilde{\nu}$ the DØ results from ABAZOV 02C. See Figs. 2–5 for the more general dependence of the limits on Δm . Updates BARATE 01 and BARATE 00P.
- ¹⁰ AALTONEN 08Z searched in 322 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for dijet events with a lepton (e or μ) and a hadronic τ decay produced via R-parity violating couplings $LQ\overline{D}$. No heavy flavour-tagged jets are requested. No sigificant excess was found compared to the background expectation. Upper limits on the cross-section times the square of the branching ratio $B(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b\tau)$ are extracted, and a limit is derived on the stop mass assuming $B(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b\tau) = 1$, see their Fig. 2. Supersedes the results of ACOSTA 04B.
- ¹¹ AALTONEN 07E searched in 295 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for multijet events with large $\not\!\!\!E_T$. They request at least one heavy flavor-tagged jet and no identified leptons. The branching ratio $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is assumed to be 100%. No significant excess

was found compared to the background expectation. Upper limits on the cross-section are extracted and a limit is derived on the masses of stop versus $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, see their Fig. 4.

- ¹² ABAZOV 07B looked in 360 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for events with a pair of acoplanar heavy-flavor jets with E_T . No excess is observed relative to the SM background expectations. Limits are set on the production of \tilde{t}_1 under the assumption that the only decay mode is into $c \tilde{\chi}_1^0$, see their Fig. 4 for the limit in the $(m_{\tilde{t}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0})$ plane. No limit can be obtained for $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} > 54$ GeV. Supersedes the results of ABAZOV 04B.
- ¹³ CHEKANOV 07 search for the $LQ\overline{D}$ R-parity violating process $e^+ p \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1$ in 65 pb⁻¹ at 318 GeV. Final states may originate from $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings $\tilde{t} \rightarrow e^+ d$ and from the R-parity conserving decay $\tilde{t} \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}^+ b$, giving rise to e + jet, e + multi-jet, and $\nu + multi-jet$. The excluded region in an MSSM scenario is presented for λ'_{131} as a function of the stop mass in Fig. 6. Other excluded regions in a more restricted mSUGRA model are shown in Fig. 7 and 8.
- ¹⁴ ABBIENDI 04F use data from $\sqrt{s} = 189-209$ GeV. They derive limits on the stop mass under the assumption of R with $LQ\overline{D}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings. The limit quoted applies to direct decays with \overline{UDD} couplings when the stop decouples from the Z^0 and improves to 88 GeV for $\theta_t = 0$. For $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings, the limit improves to 98 (100) GeV for λ'_{13k} or λ'_{23k} couplings and all θ_t ($\theta_t = 0$). For λ'_{33k} couplings it is 96 (98) GeV for all θ_t ($\theta_t = 0$). Supersedes the results of ABBIENDI 00.
- ¹⁵ ABDALLAH 04M use data from $\sqrt{s} = 192-208$ GeV to derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with $LL\overline{E}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings. The results are valid for $\mu = -200$ GeV, $\tan\beta = 1.5$, $\Delta m > 5$ GeV and assuming a BR of 1 for the given decay. The limit quoted is for decoupling of the stop from the Z^0 and indirect \overline{UDD} decays using the neutralino constraint of 39.5 GeV for $LL\overline{E}$ and of 38.0 GeV for \overline{UDD} couplings, also derived in ABDALLAH 04M. For no mixing (decoupling) and indirect decays via $LL\overline{E}$ the limit improves to 92 (87) GeV if the constraint from the neutralino is used and to 88 (81) GeV if it is not used. For indirect decays via \overline{UDD} couplings it improves to 87 GeV for no mixing and using the constraint from the neutralino, whereas it becomes 81 GeV (67) GeV for no mixing (decoupling) if the neutralino constraint is not used. Supersedes the result of ABREU 01D.
- ¹⁶ AKTAS 04B looked in 106 pb^{-1} of $e^{\pm}p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 319$ GeV and 301 GeV for resonant production of \tilde{t}_1 by R-parity violating $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings couplings with λ'_{131} , others being zero. They consider the decays $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow e^+d$ and $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow W\tilde{b}$ followed by $\tilde{b} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_e d$ and assume gauginos too heavy to participate in the decays. They combine the channels jep_T' , $j\mu p_T'$, $jjjp_T'$ to derive limits in the plane $(m_{\tilde{t}}, \lambda'_{131})$, see their Fig. 5.
- ¹⁷ DAS 04 reanalyzes AFFOLDER 00G data and obtains constraints on $m_{\tilde{t}_1}$ as a function of $B(\tilde{t} \rightarrow b\ell\nu\chi^0) \times B(\tilde{t} \rightarrow b\overline{q}q'\chi^0)$, $B(\tilde{t} \rightarrow c\chi^0)$ and m_{χ^0} . Bound weakens for larger $B(\tilde{t} \rightarrow c\chi^0)$ and m_{χ^0} .
- ¹⁸ ABDALLAH 03C looked for events of the type $q\bar{q}R^{\pm}R^{\pm}$, $q\bar{q}R^{\pm}R^{0}$ or $q\bar{q}R^{0}R^{0}$ in $e^{+}e^{-}$ interactions at $\sqrt{s} = 189-208$ GeV. The R^{\pm} bound states are identified by anomalous dE/dx in the tracking chambers and the R^{0} by missing energy, due to their reduced energy loss in the calorimeters. Excluded mass regions in the $(m(\tilde{t}), m(\tilde{g}))$ plane for $m(\tilde{g}) > 2$ GeV are obtained for several values of the probability for the gluino to fragment into R^{\pm} or R^{0} , as shown in their Fig. 18. The limit improves to 90 GeV for $\theta_{t} = 0$.

- ¹⁹ Theoretical analysis of e^+e^-+2 jet final states from the RPV decay of $\tilde{t}\tilde{t}^*$ pairs produced in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=1.8$ TeV. 95%CL limits of 220 (165) GeV are derived for B($\tilde{t} \rightarrow eq$)=1 (0.5).
- ²⁰ HEISTER 03G searches for the production of \tilde{t} pairs in the case of R prompt decays with $LL\overline{E}$, $LQ\overline{D}$ or \overline{UDD} couplings at $\sqrt{s} = 189-209$ GeV. The limit holds for indirect decays mediated by R \overline{UDD} couplings. It improves to 91 GeV for indirect decays mediated by R $LL\overline{E}$ couplings, to 97 GeV for direct (assuming $B(\tilde{t}_L \rightarrow q\tau) = 100\%)$ and to 85 GeV for indirect decays mediated by R $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. Supersedes the results from BARATE 01B.
- ²¹ HEISTER 03H use e^+e^- data from 183–208 GeV to look for the production of stop decaying into a c quark and a stable gluino hadronizing into charged or neutral R-hadrons. Combining these results with bounds on stable squarks and on a stable gluino LSP from the same paper yields the quoted limit. See their Fig. 13 for the dependence of the mass limit on the gluino mass and on θ_t .
- ²² ACHARD 02 searches for the production of squarks in the case of R prompt decays with \overline{UDD} couplings at \sqrt{s} =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The limit is computed for the minimal cross section and holds for both direct and indirect decays.
- ²³ AFFOLDER 01B searches for decays of the top quark into stop and LSP, in *t t* events. Limits on the stop mass as a function of the LSP mass and of the decay branching ratio are shown in Fig. 3. They exclude branching ratios in excess of 45% for SLP masses up to 40 GeV.
- ²⁴ ABREU 00I searches for the production of stop in the case of *R*-parity violation with $LL\overline{E}$ couplings, for which only indirect decays are allowed. They investigate topologies with jets plus leptons in data from \sqrt{s} =183 GeV. The lower bound on the stop mass assumes a neutralino mass limit of 27 GeV, also derived in ABREU 00I.
- ²⁵ AFFOLDER 00D search for final states with 2 or 3 jets and E_T , one jet with a *c* tag. See their Fig. 2 for the mass exclusion in the $(m_{\tilde{t}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0})$ plane. The maximum excluded

 $m_{\widetilde{t}}$ value is 119 GeV, for $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1} =$ 40 GeV.

- ²⁷ ABE 99M looked in 107 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=1.8$ TeV for events with like sign dielectrons and two or more jets from the sequential decays $\tilde{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow e q \overline{q}'$, assuming \mathcal{R} coupling $L_1 Q_j D_k^c$, with j=2,3 and k=1,2,3. They assume $B(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}_1^0)=1$, $B(\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow e q \overline{q}')=0.25$ for both e^+ and e^- , and $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} \geq m_{\tilde{t}_1}/2$. The limit improves for $t = 1 \frac{1}{2} = 0$.
 - heavier $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$.
- ²⁸ AID 96 considers photoproduction of $\tilde{t}\tilde{t}$ pairs, with 100% *R*-parity violating decays of \tilde{t} to eq, with q=d, *s*, or *b* quarks.
- ²⁹ AID 96 considers production and decay of \tilde{t} via the *R*-parity violating coupling $\lambda' L_1 Q_3 d_1^c$.
- ³⁰ CHO 96 studied the consistency among the $B^{0}-\overline{B}^{0}$ mixing, ϵ in $K^{0}-\overline{K}^{0}$ mixing, and the measurements of V_{cb} , V_{ub}/V_{cb} . For the range 25.5 GeV $< m_{\tilde{t}_{1}} < m_{Z}/2$ left by AKERS 94K for $\theta_{t} = 0.98$, and within the allowed range in M_{2} - μ parameter space from chargino, neutralino searches by ACCIARRI 95E, they found the scalar top contribution to $B^{0}-\overline{B}^{0}$ mixing and ϵ to be too large if tan $\beta < 2$. For more on their assumptions, see the paper and their reference 10.
- ³¹ BUSKULIC 95E looked for $Z \to \tilde{t} \bar{\tilde{t}}$, where $\tilde{t} \to c \chi_1^0$ and χ_1^0 decays via *R*-parity violating interactions into two leptons and a neutrino.

 32 SHIRAI 94 bound assumes the cross section without the s-channel Z-exchange and the QCD correction, underestimating the cross section up to 20% and 30%, respectively. They assume $m_{\rm C}{=}1.5$ GeV.

Heavy \tilde{g} (Gluino) MASS LIMIT

For $m_{\tilde{g}} > 60-70$ GeV, it is expected that gluinos would undergo a cascade decay via a number of neutralinos and/or charginos rather than undergo a direct decay to photinos as assumed by some papers. Limits obtained when direct decay is assumed are usually higher than limits when cascade decays are included. Limits made obsolete by the most recent analyses of $p\overline{p}$ collisions can be found in previous Editions of this *Review*.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>308	95	¹ ABAZOV	08 G	D0	jets+ E_T , tan $eta=$ 3, $\mu<$ 0, $A_0=$ 0, any $m_{\widetilde{a}}$
>390	95	¹ ABAZOV	08 G	D0	jets+ E_T , tan β =3, μ <0, A_0 =0, $m_{\widetilde{g}}$ = $m_{\widetilde{g}}$
>270	95	² ABULENCIA	061	CDF	$\widetilde{g} \rightarrow \widetilde{b}b, \Delta m > 6 \text{ GeV}, \widetilde{b}_1 \rightarrow b\widetilde{\chi}_1^0, m_{\widetilde{b}_1} < 220 \text{ GeV}$
>195	95	³ AFFOLDER	02	CDF	Jets+ $\not\!$
>300	95	³ AFFOLDER	02	CDF	Jets+ $\not\!$
>129	95	⁴ ABBOTT	01 D	D0	$ \begin{array}{c} \ell\ell + \mathrm{jets} + E_T, \ \mathrm{tan} \overset{\circ}{\beta} < 10, \\ m_0 < 300 \ \mathrm{GeV}, \ \mu < 0, \\ A_0 = 0 \end{array} $
>175	95	⁴ ABBOTT	01 D	D0	$\ell\ell$ +jets+ $\not\!\!\!E_T$, tan β =2, large $m_0, \ \mu < 0, \ A_0$ =0
>255	95	⁴ ABBOTT	01 D	D0	$ \begin{array}{l} \ell \ell + \text{jets} + \not\!$
>168	95	⁵ AFFOLDER	01J	CDF	$\ell \ell + \text{Jets} + \not\!\!\!E_T$, $\tan \beta = 2$, $\mu = -800 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{\widetilde{\alpha}} \gg m_{\widetilde{\alpha}}$
>221	95	⁵ AFFOLDER	01J	CDF	$\ell\ell + \text{Jets} + \not\!$
>190	95	⁶ ABBOTT	99L	D0	Jets+ E_T , tan $\beta=2$, $\mu < 0$, A=0
>260	95	⁶ ABBOTT	99L	D0	Jets+ $\not\!$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not	use the fo	ollowing data for a	verage	es, fits, l	imits, etc. • • •
>241	95	⁷ ABAZOV	06C	D0	jets+ $ ot\!$
>337	95	⁷ ABAZOV	06C	D0	jets+ E_T ,tan $\beta=3$, $\mu < 0, A_0=0, m_{\widetilde{g}}=m_{\widetilde{g}}$
>224	95	⁸ ABAZOV	02F	D0	$R \lambda'_{2jk}$ indirect decays, tan $\beta=2$, any $m_{\tilde{q}}$
>265	95	⁸ ABAZOV	02F	D0	$\mathcal{R} \lambda'_{2jk}$ indirect decays, tan $\beta = 2, m z = m z$
>240	95	 ⁹ ABAZOV ¹⁰ CHEUNG ¹¹ BERGER ¹² ABBOTT 	02G 02B 01 99	D0 THEO THEO D0	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}, \widetilde{g}\widetilde{q}$ $p\overline{p} \rightarrow X + b \text{-quark}$ $\widetilde{g} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}X \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}\gamma X,$ $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}} > 20 \text{ GeV}$

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

		10			o ~
>320	95	¹² ABBOTT	99	D0	$\widetilde{g} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 X \rightarrow G \gamma X$
>227	95	¹³ ABBOTT	99K	D0	any $m_{\widetilde{g}}$, R , tan $eta{=}2$, $\mu < 0$
>212	95	¹⁴ ABACHI	95 C	D0	$m_{\widetilde{g}} \geq m_{\widetilde{q}}$; with cascade de-
>144	95	¹⁴ ABACHI	95 C	D0	Any $m_{\tilde{q}}$; with cascade decays
		¹⁵ ABE	95T	CDF	$\widetilde{g} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \gamma$
		¹⁶ HEBBEKER	93	RVUE	e^+e^- jet analyses
>218	90	¹⁷ ABE	92L	CDF	$m_{\widetilde{q}} \leq m_{\widetilde{g}}$; with cascade
		10			decay
>100		¹⁰ ROY	92	RVUE	$p \overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{g} \widetilde{g}; \mathcal{R}$
		¹⁹ NOJIRI	91	COSM	
none 4–53	90	²⁰ ALBAJAR	87 D	UA1	Any $m_{\widetilde{a}} > m_{\widetilde{g}}$
none 4–75	90	²⁰ ALBAJAR	87 D	UA1	$m_{\widetilde{a}} = m_{\widetilde{p}}$
none 16–58	90	²¹ ANSARI	87 D	UA2	$m_{\widetilde{a}} \lesssim 100 \text{ GeV}$

¹ABAZOV 08G looked in 2.1 fb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=1.96$ TeV for events with acoplanar jets or multijets with large E_T . No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation. A limit is derived on the masses of squarks and gluinos for specific MSUGRA parameter values, see Figure 3. Similar results would be obtained for a large class of parameter sets. Supersedes the results of ABAZOV 06C.

- ³AFFOLDER 02 searched in ~ 84 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions for events with \geq 3 jets and $\not\!\!\!E_T$, arising from the production of gluinos and/or squarks. Limits are derived by scanning the parameter space, for $m_{\widetilde{q}} \geq m_{\widetilde{g}}$ in the framework of minimal Supergravity, assuming five flavors of degenerate squarks, and for $m_{\widetilde{q}} < m_{\widetilde{g}}$ in the framework of constrained MSSM, assuming conservatively four flavors of degenerate squarks. See Fig. 3 for the variation of the limit as function of the squark mass. Supersedes the results of ABE 97K.
- ⁴ ABBOTT 01D looked in ~ 108 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at \sqrt{s} =1.8 TeV for events with *ee*, $\mu\mu$, or $e\mu$ accompanied by at least 2 jets and $\not\!\!\!E_T$. Excluded regions are obtained in the MSUGRA framework from a scan over the parameters $0 < m_0 < 300$ GeV, $10 < m_{1/2} < 110$ GeV, and 1.2 $< \tan\beta < 10$.

- ⁷ABAZOV 06C looked in 310 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for events with acoplanar jets or multijets with large $\not\!\!E_T$. No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation. A limit is derived on the masses of squarks and gluinos for

specific MSUGRA parameter values, see Figure 3. Similar results would be obtained for a large class of parameter sets. Supersedes the results of ABBOTT 99L.

- ⁸ ABAZOV 02F looked in 77.5 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at 1.8 TeV for events with $\geq 2\mu + \geq 4$ jets, originating from associated production of squarks followed by an indirect \mathbb{R} decay (of the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$) via $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings of the type λ'_{2jk} where j=1,2 and k=1,2,3. Bounds are obtained in the MSUGRA scenario by a scan in the range $0 \leq M_0 \leq 400$ GeV, $60 \leq m_{1/2} \leq 120$ GeV for fixed values $A_0=0, \mu < 0$, and $\tan\beta=2$ or 6. The bounds are weaker for $\tan\beta=6$. See Figs. 2,3 for the exclusion contours in $m_{1/2}$ versus m_0 for $\tan\beta=2$ and 6, respectively.
- ⁹ABAZOV 02G search for associated production of gluinos and squarks in 92.7 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at \sqrt{s} =1.8 TeV, using events with one electron, \geq 4 jets, and large $\not\!\!E_T$. The results are compared to a MSUGRA scenario with $\mu < 0$, $A_0=0$, and $\tan\beta=3$ and allow to exclude a region of the $(m_0,m_{1/2})$ shown in Fig. 11.
- ¹⁰ CHEUNG 02B studies the constraints on a \tilde{b}_1 with mass in the 2.2–5.5 GeV region and a gluino in the mass range 12–16 GeV, using precision measurements of Z^0 decays and e^+e^- annihilations at LEP2. Few detectable events are predicted in the LEP2 data for the model proposed by BERGER 01.
- ¹¹ BERGER 01 reanalyzed interpretation of Tevatron data on bottom-quark production. Argues that pair production of light gluinos ($m \sim 12-16$ GeV) with subsequent 2-body decay into a light sbottom ($m \sim 2-5.5$ GeV) and bottom can reconcile Tevatron data with predictions of perturbative QCD for the bottom production rate. The sbottom must either decay hadronically via a *R*-parity- and *B*-violating interaction, or be long-lived.
- ¹² ABBOTT 99 searched for $\gamma \not\!\!\!E_T + \geq 2$ jet final states, and set limits on $\sigma(p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{g} + X) \cdot B(\widetilde{g} \rightarrow \gamma \not\!\!\!E_T X)$. The quoted limits correspond to $m_{\widetilde{q}} \geq m_{\widetilde{g}}$, with $B(\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \gamma) = 1$ and $B(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \widetilde{G} \gamma) = 1$, respectively. They improve to 310 GeV (360 GeV in the case of $\gamma \,\widetilde{G}$ decay) for $m_{\widetilde{g}} = m_{\widetilde{q}}$.
- ¹³ ABBOTT 99K uses events with an electron pair and four jets to search for the decay of the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ LSP via \not{R} $LQ\overline{D}$ couplings. The particle spectrum and decay branching ratios are taken in the framework of minimal supergravity. An excluded region at 95% CL is obtained in the $(m_0, m_{1/2})$ plane under the assumption that $A_0=0$, $\mu < 0$, $\tan\beta=2$ and any one of the couplings $\lambda'_{1jk} > 10^{-3}$ (*j*=1,2 and *k*=1,2,3) and from which the above limit is computed. For equal mass squarks and gluinos, the corresponding limit is 277 GeV. The results are essentially independent of A_0 , but the limit deteriorates rapidly with increasing $\tan\beta$ or $\mu > 0$.
- ¹⁴ABACHI 95C assume five degenerate squark flavors with with $m_{\tilde{q}_L} = m_{\tilde{q}_R}$. Sleptons are assumed to be heavier than squarks. The limits are derived for fixed $\tan\beta = 2.0 \ \mu = -250 \ \text{GeV}$, and $m_{H^+} = 500 \ \text{GeV}$, and with the cascade decays of the squarks and gluinos calculated within the framework of the Minimal Supergravity scenario. The bounds are weakly sensitive to the three fixed parameters for a large fraction of parameter space.
- ¹⁵ ABE 95T looked for a cascade decay of gluino into $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ which further decays into $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and a photon. No signal is observed. Limits vary widely depending on the choice of parameters. For $\mu = -40$ GeV, tan $\beta = 1.5$, and heavy squarks, the range $50 < m_{\tilde{g}}$ (GeV)<140 is excluded at 90% CL. See the paper for details.
- ¹⁶ HEBBEKER 93 combined jet analyses at various e^+e^- colliders. The 4-jet analyses at TRISTAN/LEP and the measured α_s at PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN/LEP are used. A constraint on effective number of quarks N=6.3 \pm 1.1 is obtained, which is compared to that with a light gluino, N=8.
- ¹⁷ABE 92L bounds are based on similar assumptions as ABACHI 95C. Not sensitive to m_{gluino} <40 GeV (but other experiments rule out that region).

- ¹⁸ ROY 92 reanalyzed CDF limits on di-lepton events to obtain limits on gluino production in *R*-parity violating models. The 100% decay $\tilde{g} \rightarrow q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}$ where $\tilde{\chi}$ is the LSP, and the LSP decays either into $\ell q \bar{d}$ or $\ell \ell \bar{e}$ is assumed.
- ¹⁹ NOJIRI 91 argues that a heavy gluino should be nearly degenerate with squarks in minimal supergravity not to overclose the universe.

²⁰ The limits of ALBAJAR 87D are from $p\overline{p} \rightarrow \tilde{g}\tilde{g}X \ (\tilde{g} \rightarrow q\overline{q}\tilde{\gamma})$ and assume $m_{\tilde{q}} > m_{\tilde{g}}$. These limits apply for $m_{\tilde{\gamma}} \lesssim 20$ GeV and $\tau(\tilde{g}) < 10^{-10}$ s.

²¹ The limit of ANSARI 87D assumes $m_{\widetilde{q}} > m_{\widetilde{g}}$ and $m_{\widetilde{\gamma}} \approx 0$.

Long-lived/light \tilde{g} (Gluino) MASS LIMIT

Limits on light gluinos ($m_{\widetilde{g}}$ < 5 GeV), or gluinos which leave the detector before decaying.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do no	t use the	following data for a	verage	es, fits, li	imits, etc. • • •
		¹ ABAZOV	07L	D0	long-lived \widetilde{g}
>12		² BERGER	05	THEO	hadron scattering data
none 2–18	95	³ ABDALLAH	03 C	DLPH	$e^+ e^- ightarrow \ q \overline{q} \widetilde{g} \widetilde{g}$, stable \widetilde{g}
> 5		⁴ ABDALLAH	03 G	DLPH	QCD beta function
		⁵ HEISTER	03	ALEP	Color factors
>26.9	95	⁶ HEISTER	03н	ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow q \overline{q} \widetilde{g} \widetilde{g}$
> 6.3		⁷ JANOT	03	RVUE	$\Delta\Gamma_{had}$ <3.9 MeV
		⁸ MAFI	00	THEO	$p p \rightarrow jets + p_T$
		⁹ ALAVI-HARAT	199e	KTEV	$pN \rightarrow R^0$, with $R^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \tilde{\gamma}$
		10			and $R^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \widetilde{\gamma}$
		¹⁰ BAER	99	RVUE	Stable \tilde{g} hadrons
		¹¹ FANTI	99	NA48	$p \operatorname{Be} \rightarrow R^0 \rightarrow \eta \widetilde{\gamma}$
		¹² ACKERSTAFF	98V	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$
		¹³ ADAMS	97 B	KTEV	$pN \rightarrow R^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \widetilde{\gamma}$
		¹⁴ ALBUQUERQ.	97	E761	$R^+(uud\widetilde{g}) \rightarrow S^0(uds\widetilde{g})\pi^+,$
					$X^-(ssd\widetilde{g}) \rightarrow S^0\pi^-$
> 6.3	95	¹⁵ BARATE	97L	ALEP	Color factors
> 5	99	¹⁶ CSIKOR	97	RVUE	eta function, $Z ightarrow$ jets
> 1.5	90	¹⁷ DEGOUVEA	97	THEO	$Z \rightarrow jjjj$
		¹⁸ FARRAR	96	RVUE	$R^0 ightarrow \pi^0 \widetilde{\gamma}$
none 1.9–13.6	95	¹⁹ AKERS	95 R	OPAL	Z decay into a long-lived $(\widetilde{g} \overline{a} \overline{a})^{\pm}$
< 0.7		²⁰ CLAVELLI	95	RVUE	quarkonia
none 1.5–3.5		²¹ CAKIR	94	RVUE	$\Upsilon(1S) ightarrow \gamma+$ gluinonium
not 3–5		²² LOPEZ	93 C	RVUE	LEP
\approx 4		²³ CLAVELLI	92	RVUE	α_s running
		²⁴ ANTONIADIS	91	RVUE	α_s running
> 1		²⁵ ANTONIADIS	91	RVUE	$pN \rightarrow \text{missing energy}$
		²⁶ NAKAMURA	89	SPEC	$R-\Delta^{++}$
> 3.8	90	²⁷ ARNOLD	87	EMUL	π^- (350 GeV). $\sigma \simeq A^1$
> 3.2	90	²⁷ ARNOLD	87	EMUL	π^{-} (350 GeV). $\sigma \simeq A^{0.72}$
none 0.6–2.2	90	²⁸ титѕ	87	CUSB	$\Upsilon(1S) ightarrow \gamma +$ gluinonium
none 1 –4.5	90	²⁹ ALBRECHT	86C	ARG	$1 \times 10^{-11} \lesssim \tau \lesssim 1 \times 10^{-9} s$
none 1–4	90	³⁰ BADIER	86	BDMP	$1 \times 10^{-10} < \tau < 1 \times 10^{-7} s$

none 3-5		31 _{barnett}	86	R\/IIE	$n \overline{n} \rightarrow gluino gluino gluon$
none			86	RVUE	If (quasi) stable: \tilde{g} und
none 0 5_2		33 COOPER	85p		For $m_{\rm e} = 300$ GeV
		22	055	DDIVII	$101 m_{\tilde{q}}^{-300} \text{ GeV}$
none 0.5–4		³³ COOPER	85 B	BDMP	For $m_{\widetilde{q}}$ <65 GeV
none 0.5–3		³³ COOPER	85 B	BDMP	For $m_{\tilde{g}} = 150$ GeV
none 2–4		³⁴ DAWSON	85	RVUE	$\tau > 10^{-7} s$
none 1–2.5		³⁴ DAWSON	85	RVUE	For $m_{\tilde{a}} = 100 \text{ GeV}$
none 0.5-4.1	90	³⁵ FARRAR	85	RVUE	FNAL beam dump
> 1		³⁶ GOLDMAN	85	RVUE	Gluinonium
>1-2		³⁷ HABER	85	RVUE	
		³⁸ BALL	84	CALO	
		³⁹ BRICK	84	RVUE	
		⁴⁰ FARRAR	84	RVUE	
> 2		⁴¹ BERGSMA	83C	RVUE	For $m_{\widetilde{a}} < 100 \text{ GeV}$
		⁴² CHANOWITZ	83	RVUE	$\widetilde{g} u \overline{d}, \widetilde{g} u u d$
>2–3		⁴³ KANE	82	RVUE	Beam dump
>1.5-2		FARRAR	78	RVUE	<i>R</i> -hadron
				_	

¹ABAZOV 07L looked in approximately 410 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for events with a long-lived gluino from split supersymmetry, decaying after stopping in the detector into $g \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ with lifetimes from 30 μ s to 100 h. The signal signature is a largely empty event with a single large transverse energy deposit in the calorimeter. The main background is due to cosmic muons interacting in the calorimeter. The data agree with the estimated background and allow the authors to estimate a limit on the rate of an out-of-time monojet signal of a given energy. Assuming the branching ratios $\tilde{g} \rightarrow g \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ to be 100% the results can be translated to limits on the gluino cross section versus the gluino mass for fixed $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass. After comparing to the expected gluino cross sections, the excluded region of gluino masses can be obtained, see examples in their Fig. 3.

- ²BERGER 05 include the light gluino in proton PDF and perform global analysis of hadronic data. Effects on the running of α_s also included. Strong dependency on $\alpha_s(m_Z)$. Bound quoted for $\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.118$.
- ³ ABDALLAH 03C looked for events of the type $q \overline{q} R^{\pm} R^{\pm}$, $q \overline{q} R^{\pm} R^{0}$ or $q \overline{q} R^{0} R^{0}$ in $e^{+} e^{-}$ interactions at 91.2 GeV collected in 1994. The R^{\pm} bound states are identified by anomalous dE/dx in the tracking chambers and the R^{0} by missing energy, due to their reduced energy loss in the calorimeters. The upper value of the excluded range depends on the probability for the gluino to fragment into R^{\pm} or R^{0} , see their Fig. 17. It improves to 23 GeV for 100% fragmentation to R^{\pm} .
- ⁴ ABDALLAH 03G used e^+e^- data at and around the Z^0 peak, above the Z^0 up to $\sqrt{s} = 202$ GeV and events from radiative return to cover the low energy region. They perform a direct measurement of the QCD beta-function from the means of fully inclusive event observables. Compared to the energy range, gluinos below 5 GeV can be considered massless and are firmly excluded by the measurement.
- ⁵ HEISTER 03 use e^+e^- data from 1994 and 1995 at and around the Z^0 peak to measure the 4-jet rate and angular correlations. The comparison with QCD NLO calculations allow $\alpha_S(M_Z)$ and the color factor ratios to be extracted and the results are in agreement with the expectations from QCD. The inclusion of a massless gluino in the beta functions yields $T_R / C_F = 0.15 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.06$ (expectation is $T_R / C_F = 3/8$), excluding a massless gluino at more than 95% CL. As no NLO calculations are available for massive gluinos, the earlier LO results from BARATE 97L for massive gluinos remain valid.
- ⁶ HEISTER 03H use e^+e^- data at and around the Z^0 peak to look for stable gluinos hadronizing into charged or neutral R-hadrons with arbitrary branching ratios. Combining these results with bounds on the Z^0 hadronic width from electroweak measurements

(JANOT 03) to cover the low mass region the quoted lower limit on the mass of a long-lived gluino is obtained.

- ⁷ JANOT 03 excludes a light gluino from the upper limit on an additional contribution to the Z hadronic width. At higher confidence levels, $m_{\tilde{\sigma}} > 5.3(4.2)$ GeV at $3\sigma(5\sigma)$ level.
- ⁸ MAFI 00 reanalyzed CDF data assuming a stable heavy gluino as the LSP, with model for *R*-hadron-nucleon scattering. Gluino masses between 35 GeV and 115 GeV are excluded based on the CDF Run I data. Combined with the analysis of BAER 99, this allows a LSP gluino mass between 25 and 35 GeV if the probability of fragmentation into charged *R*-hadron P>1/2. The cosmological exclusion of such a gluino LSP are assumed to be avoided as in BAER 99. Gluino could be NLSP with $\tau_{\widetilde{g}} \sim 100$ yrs, and decay to gluon gravitino.
- ⁹ ALAVI-HARATI 99E looked for R^0 bound states, yielding $\pi^+\pi^-$ or π^0 in the final state. The experiment is sensitive to values of $\Delta m = m_{R^0} - m_{\widetilde{\gamma}}$ larger than 280 MeV and 140 MeV for the two decay modes, respectively, and to R^0 mass and lifetime in the ranges 0.8–5 GeV and 10^{-10} – 10^{-3} s. The limits obtained depend on B($R^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ photino) and B($R^0 \rightarrow \pi^0$ photino) on the value of $m_{R^0}/m_{\widetilde{\gamma}}$, and on the ratio of production rates $\sigma(R^0)/\sigma(K_L^0)$. See Figures in the paper for the excluded R^0 production rates as a function of Δm , R^0 mass and lifetime. Using the production rates expected from perturbative QCD, and assuming dominance of the above decay channels over the suitable phase space, R^0 masses in the range 0.8–5 GeV are excluded at 90%CL for a large fraction of the sensitive lifetime region. ALAVI-HARATI 99E updates and supersedes the results of ADAMS 97B.
- ¹⁰ BAER 99 set constraints on the existence of stable \tilde{g} hadrons, in the mass range $m_{\tilde{g}} > 3$ GeV. They argue that strong-interaction effects in the low-energy annihilation rates could leave small enough relic densities to evade cosmological constraints up to $m_{\tilde{g}} < 10$ TeV. They consider jet+ \not{E}_T as well as heavy-ionizing charged-particle signatures from production of stable \tilde{g} hadrons at LEP and Tevatron, developing modes for the energy loss of \tilde{g} hadrons inside the detectors. Results are obtained as a function of the fragmentation probability P of the \tilde{g} into a charged hadron. For P < 1/2, and for various energy-loss models, OPAL and CDF data exclude gluinos in the $3 < m_{\tilde{g}}(\text{GeV}) < 130$ mass range. For P > 1/2, gluinos are excluded in the mass ranges $3 < m_{\tilde{g}}(\text{GeV}) < 23$ and $50 < m_{\tilde{g}}(\text{GeV}) < 200$.
- ¹¹ FANTI 99 looked for R^0 bound states yielding high $P_T \eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$ decays. The experiment is sensitive to a region of R^0 mass and lifetime in the ranges of 1–5 GeV and 10^{-10} – 10^{-3} s. The limits obtained depend on B($R^0 \rightarrow \eta \tilde{\gamma}$), on the value of $m_{R^0}/m_{\tilde{\gamma}}$, and on the ratio of production rates $\sigma(R^0)/\sigma(K_L^0)$. See Fig. 6–7 for the excluded production rates as a function of R^0 mass and lifetime.
- ¹² ACKERSTAFF 98V excludes the light gluino with universal gaugino mass where charginos, neutralinos decay as $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}, \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow q \bar{q} \tilde{g}$ from total hadronic cross sections at \sqrt{s} =130–172 GeV. See paper for the case of nonuniversal gaugino mass.
- GeV. See paper for the case of nonuniversal gaugino mass. ¹³ ADAMS 97B looked for $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ as a signature of $R^0 = (\tilde{g}g)$ bound states. The experiment is sensitive to an R^0 mass range of 1.2–4.5 GeV and to a lifetime range of $10^{-10}-10^{-3}$ sec. Precise limits depend on the assumed value of $m_{R^0}/m_{\tilde{\gamma}}$. See Fig. 7 for the excluded mass and lifetime region.
- ¹⁴ ALBUQUERQUE 97 looked for weakly decaying baryon-like states which contain a light gluino, following the suggestions in FARRAR 96. See their Table 1 for limits on the production fraction. These limits exclude gluino masses in the range 100–600 MeV for the predicted lifetimes (FARRAR 96) and production rates, which are assumed to be comparable to those of strange or charmed baryons.
- ¹⁵ BARATE 97L studied the QCD color factors from four-jet angular correlations and the differential two-jet rate in Z decay. Limit obtained from the determination of $n_f = 4.24 \pm 0.29 \pm 1.15$, assuming $T_F/C_F = 3/8$ and $C_A/C_F = 9/4$.

- ¹⁶ CSIKOR 97 combined the α_s from $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow hadron)$, τ decay, and jet analysis in Z decay. They exclude a light gluino below 5 GeV at more than 99.7%CL.
- ¹⁷ DEGOUVEA 97 reanalyzed AKERS 95A data on Z decay into four jets to place constraints on a light stable gluino. The mass limit corresponds to the pole mass of 2.8 GeV. The analysis, however, is limited to the leading-order QCD calculation.
- ¹⁸ FARRAR 96 studied the possible $R^0 = (\tilde{g}g)$ component in Fermilab E799 experiment and used its bound $B(K_L^0 \to \pi^0 \nu \overline{\nu}) \leq 5.8 \times 10^{-5}$ to place constraints on the combination of R^0 production cross section and its lifetime.
- ¹⁹ AKERS 95R looked for Z decay into $q \overline{q} \widetilde{g} \widetilde{g}$, by searching for charged particles with dE/dx consistent with \widetilde{g} fragmentation into a state $(\widetilde{g} q \overline{q})^{\pm}$ with lifetime $\tau > 10^{-7}$ sec. The fragmentation probability into a charged state is assumed to be 25%.
- ²⁰ CLAVELLI 95 updates the analysis of CLAVELLI 93, based on a comparison of the hadronic widths of charmonium and bottomonium *S*-wave states. The analysis includes a parametrization of relativistic corrections. Claims that the presence of a light gluino improves agreement with the data by slowing down the running of α_s .
- ²¹CAKIR 94 reanalyzed TUTS 87 and later unpublished data from CUSB to exclude pseudo-scalar gluinonium $\eta_{\widetilde{g}}(\widetilde{g}\widetilde{g})$ of mass below 7 GeV. it was argued, however, that the perturbative QCD calculation of the branching fraction $\Upsilon \rightarrow \eta_{\widetilde{g}} \gamma$ is unreliable for $m_{\eta_{\widetilde{g}}} < 3$ GeV. The gluino mass is defined by $m_{\widetilde{g}} = (m_{\eta_{\widetilde{q}}})/2$. The limit holds for any gluino lifetime.
- ²² LOPEZ 93C uses combined restraint from the radiative symmetry breaking scenario within the minimal supergravity model, and the LEP bounds on the (M_2,μ) plane. Claims that the light gluino window is strongly disfavored.
- ²³ CLAVELLI 92 claims that a light gluino mass around 4 GeV should exist to explain the discrepancy between α_s at LEP and at quarkonia (Υ), since a light gluino slows the running of the QCD coupling.
- ²⁴ ANTONIADIS 91 argue that possible light gluinos (< 5 GeV) contradict the observed running of α_s between 5 GeV and m_7 . The significance is less than 2 s.d.
- ²⁵ ANTONIADIS 91 interpret the search for missing energy events in 450 GeV/c pN collisions, AKESSON 91, in terms of light gluinos.
- ²⁶ NAKAMURA 89 searched for a long-lived ($\tau \gtrsim 10^{-7}$ s) charge-(±2) particle with mass $\lesssim 1.6$ GeV in proton-Pt interactions at 12 GeV and found that the yield is less than 10^{-8} times that of the pion. This excludes R- Δ^{++} (a $\tilde{g} u u u$ state) lighter than 1.6 GeV.
- ²⁷ The limits assume $m_{\tilde{q}} = 100$ GeV. See their figure 3 for limits vs. $m_{\tilde{q}}$.
- ²⁸ The gluino mass is defined by half the bound $\tilde{g} \tilde{g}$ mass. If zero gluino mass gives a $\tilde{g} \tilde{g}$ of mass about 1 GeV as suggested by various glueball mass estimates, then the low-mass bound can be replaced by zero. The high-mass bound is obtained by comparing the data with nonrelativistic potential-model estimates.
- ²⁹ ALBRECHT 86C search for secondary decay vertices from $\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow \tilde{g} \tilde{g} g$ where \tilde{g} 's make long-lived hadrons. See their figure 4 for excluded region in the $m_{\tilde{g}} m_{\tilde{g}}$ and $m_{\tilde{g}} m_{\tilde{q}}$ plane. The lower $m_{\tilde{g}}$ region below $\sim 2 \text{ GeV}$ may be sensitive to fragmentation effects. Remark that the \tilde{g} -hadron mass is expected to be $\sim 1 \text{ GeV}$ (glueball mass) in the zero \tilde{g} mass limit.
- ³⁰ BADIER ⁸6 looked for secondary decay vertices from long-lived \tilde{g} -hadrons produced at 300 GeV π^- beam dump. The quoted bound assumes \tilde{g} -hadron nucleon total cross section of 10µb. See their figure 7 for excluded region in the $m_{\tilde{g}} m_{\tilde{q}}$ plane for several assumed total cross-section values.
- ³¹ BARNETT 86 rule out light gluinos (m = 3-5 GeV) by calculating the monojet rate from gluino gluino gluon events (and from gluino gluino events) and by using UA1 data from $p\overline{p}$ collisions at CERN.
- ³² VOLOSHIN 86 rules out stable gluino based on the cosmological argument that predicts too much hydrogen consisting of the charged stable hadron \tilde{g} uud. Quasi-stable ($\tau > \tau$

 $1. \times 10^{-7}$ s) light gluino of $m_{\tilde{g}} < 3$ GeV is also ruled out by nonobservation of the stable charged particles, $\tilde{g} u u d$, in high energy hadron collisions.

- ³³ COOPER-SARKAR 85B is BEBC beam-dump. Gluinos decaying in dump would yield $\tilde{\gamma}$'s in the detector giving neutral-current-like interactions. For $m_{\tilde{q}} >$ 330 GeV, no limit at is set.
- ³⁴ DAWSON 85 first limit from neutral particle search. Second limit based on FNAL beam dump experiment.
- ³⁵ FARRAR 85 points out that BALL 84 analysis applies only if the \tilde{g} 's decay before interacting, i.e. $m_{\tilde{q}} < 80 m_{\tilde{g}}^{1.5}$. FARRAR 85 finds $m_{\tilde{g}} < 0.5$ not excluded for $m_{\tilde{q}} = 30-1000$ GeV and $m_{\tilde{g}} < 1.0$ not excluded for $m_{\tilde{q}} = 100-500$ GeV by BALL 84 experiment.
- $^{36}\,{\rm GOLDMAN}$ 85 use nonobservation of a pseudoscalar $\widetilde{g}\mathchar`-\widetilde{g}$ bound state in radiative ψ decay.
- ³⁷ HABER 85 is based on survey of all previous searches sensitive to low mass \tilde{g} 's. Limit makes assumptions regarding the lifetime and electric charge of the lightest supersymmetric particle.
- ³⁸ BALL 84 is FNAL beam dump experiment. Observed no interactions of $\tilde{\gamma}$ in the calorimeter, where $\tilde{\gamma}$'s are expected to come from pair-produced \tilde{g} 's. Search for long-lived $\tilde{\gamma}$ interacting in calorimeter 56m from target. Limit is for $m_{\tilde{q}} = 40$ GeV and production cross section proportional to A^{0.72}. BALL 84 find no \tilde{g} allowed below 4.1 GeV at CL =

90%. Their figure 1 shows dependence on $m_{\widetilde{q}}$ and A. See also KANE 82.

- ³⁹ BRICK 84 reanalyzed FNAL 147 GeV HBC data for R- Δ (1232)⁺⁺ with $\tau > 10^{-9}$ s and $p_{lab} > 2$ GeV. Set CL = 90% upper limits 6.1, 4.4, and 29 microbarns in pp, π^+p , K^+p collisions respectively. R- Δ^{++} is defined as being \tilde{g} and 3 up quarks. If mass = 1.2–1.5 GeV, then limits may be lower than theory predictions.
- ⁴⁰ FARRAR 84 argues that $m_{\tilde{g}} < 100$ MeV is not ruled out if the lightest R-hadrons are long-lived. A long lifetime would occur if R-hadrons are lighter than $\tilde{\gamma}$'s or if $m_{\tilde{q}} > 100$ GeV.
- 41 BERGSMA 83C is reanalysis of CERN-SPS beam-dump data. See their figure 1.
- ⁴² CHANOWITZ 83 find in bag-model that charged *s*-hadron exists which is stable against strong decay if $m_{\tilde{g}}$ <1 GeV. This is important since tracks from decay of neutral *s*-hadron cannot be reconstructed to primary vertex because of missed $\tilde{\gamma}$. Charged *s*-hadron leaves track from vertex.
- ⁴³ KANE 82 inferred above \tilde{g} mass limit from retroactive analysis of hadronic collision and beam dump experiments. Limits valid if \tilde{g} decays inside detector.

LIGHT \tilde{G} (Gravitino) MASS LIMITS FROM COLLIDER EXPERIMENTS

The following are bounds on light (\ll 1 eV) gravitino indirectly inferred from its coupling to matter suppressed by the gravitino decay constant.

Unless otherwise stated, all limits assume that other supersymmetric particles besides the gravitino are too heavy to be produced. The gravitino is assumed to be undetected and to give rise to a missing energy $(\not E)$ signature.

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not	use the fo	llowing data for a	verages, fits, l	imits, etc. 🛛	•••
$>$ 1.09 \times 10 ⁻⁵	95	¹ ABDALLAH	05B DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$	$\widetilde{G}\widetilde{G}\gamma$
$>$ 1.35 $ imes$ 10 $^{-5}$	95	² ACHARD	04E L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$	$\widetilde{G}\widetilde{G}\gamma$
$> 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$		³ HEISTER	03C ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$	$\widetilde{G}\widetilde{G}\gamma$
$>11.7 \times 10^{-6}$	95	⁴ ACOSTA	02H CDF		
$> 8.7 \times 10^{-6}$	95	⁵ ABBIENDI,G	00D OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$	$\widetilde{G} \widetilde{G} \gamma$

>10.0	imes 10 ⁻⁶	95	⁶ ABREU	00Z	DLPH	Superseded by ABDAL-
>11	imes 10 ⁻⁶	95	⁷ AFFOLDER	00J	CDF	$p \overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{G} \widetilde{G} + \text{jet}$
> 8.9	imes 10 ⁻⁶	95	⁶ ACCIARRI	99 R	L3	Superseded by ACHARD 04E
> 7.9	imes 10 ⁻⁶	95	⁸ ACCIARRI	98v	L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \widetilde{G} \widetilde{G} \gamma$
> 8.3	imes 10 ⁻⁶	95	⁸ BARATE	9 8J	ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \widetilde{G}\widetilde{G}\gamma$

¹ABDALLAH 05B use data from $\sqrt{s} = 180-208$ GeV. They look for events with a single photon + $\not\!\!E$ final states from which a cross section limit of $\sigma < 0.18$ pb at 208 GeV is obtained, allowing a limit on the mass to be set. Supersedes the results of ABREU 00Z.

²ACHARD 04E use data from $\sqrt{s} = 189-209$ GeV. They look for events with a single photon + $\not\!\!\!E$ final states from which a limit on the Gravitino mass is set corresponding to $\sqrt{F}~>$ 238 GeV. Supersedes the results of ACCIARRI 99R.

³HEISTER 03C use the data from $\sqrt{s}=$ 189–209 GeV to search for $\gamma \not\!\! E_T$ final states.

⁴ ACOSTA 02H looked in 87 pb^{-1} of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=1.8$ TeV for events with a high- E_T photon and E_T . They compared the data with a GMSB model where the final state could arise from $q \overline{q} \rightarrow \widetilde{G} \widetilde{G} \gamma$. Since the cross section for this process scales as $1/|{\it F}|^4$, a limit at 95% CL is derived on $|{\it F}|^{1/2}~>$ 221 GeV. A model independent limit for the above topology is also given in the paper.

⁷AFFOLDER 00J searches for final states with an energetic jet (from quark or gluon) and

⁸ Searches for $\gamma \not\!\!\! E$ final states at \sqrt{s} =183 GeV.

Supersymmetry Miscellaneous Results

Results that do not appear under other headings or that make nonminimal assumptions.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the followi	ng data for averag	es, fit	s, limits,	etc. • • •
	¹ LOVE	08A	CLEO	$R, Y ightarrow \mu au$
	² ABULENCIA	06 P	CDF	$\ell\gamma ot\!$
	³ ACOSTA	04E	CDF	-
	⁴ TCHIKILEV	04	ISTR	$K^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 P$
	⁵ AFFOLDER	0 2D	CDF	$p \overline{p} ightarrow \ \gamma b \ (ot\!$
	⁶ AFFOLDER	01н	CDF	$p \overline{p} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma X$
	⁷ ABBOTT	00 G	D0	$p \overline{p} ightarrow \ 3\ell + ot\!$
	⁸ ABREU,P	00 C	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma + S/P$
	⁹ ABACHI	97	D0	$\gamma \gamma X$
	¹⁰ BARBER	8 4B	RVUE	
	11 HOFFMAN	83	CNTR	$\pi p ightarrow n(e^+e^-)$

- ¹LOVE 08A searched for decays of Y(nS) with n = 1, 2, 3 into $\mu\tau$ in 1.1, 1.3, 1.4 fb⁻¹ respectively, in the CLEO III detector at CESR. The signature is a muon with pprox 97 % of the beam energy and an electron from the decay of τ . No evidence for lepton flavour violation is found and 95% CL limits on the branching ratio are estimated to be 6.0, 14.4 and 20.3×10^{-6} for n = 1, 2, 3, respectively.
- ²ABULENCIA 06P searched in 305 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV for an excess of events with $\ell \gamma \not\!\!\! E_T$ and $\ell \ell \gamma$ ($\ell = e, \mu$). No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation. No events are found such as the $e e \gamma \gamma \not\!\!\!/ _T$ event observed in ABE 991.

³ACOSTA 04E looked in 107 pb^{-1} of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV for events with two same sign leptons without selection of other objects nor $\not\!\!\!E_T$. No significant excess is

observed compared to the Standard Model expectation and constraints are derived on the parameter space of MSUGRA models, see Figure 4.

- ⁴ Looked for the scalar partner of a goldstino in decays $K^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 P$ from a 25 GeV K^- beam produced at the IHEP 70 GeV proton synchrotron. The sgoldstino is assumed to be sufficiently long-lived to be invisible. A 90% CL upper limit on the decay branching ratio is set at $\sim 9.0 \times 10^{-6}$ for a sgoldstino mass range from 0 to 200 MeV, excluding the interval near $m(\pi^0)$, where the limit is $\sim 3.5 \times 10^{-5}$.
- ⁵ AFFOLDER 02D looked in 85 pb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=1.8$ TeV for events with a high- E_T photon, and a *b*-tagged jet with or without E_T . They compared the data with models where the final state could arise from cascade decays of gluinos and/or squarks into $\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}$ and $\tilde{\chi}^0_2$ or direct associated production of $\tilde{\chi}^0_2 \tilde{\chi}^{\pm}_2$, followed by $\tilde{\chi}^0_2 \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{\chi}^0_1$ or a GMSB model where $\tilde{\chi}^0_1 \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{G}$. It is concluded that the experimental sensitivity is insufficient to detect the associated production or the GMSB model, but some sensitivity may exist to the cascade decays. A model independent limit for the above topology is also given in the paper.
- ⁶ AFFOLDER 01H searches for $p\overline{p} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma X$ events, where the di-photon system originates from sgoldstino production, in 100 pb⁻¹ of data. Upper limits on the cross section times branching ratio are shown as function of the di-photon mass >70 GeV in Fig. 5. Excluded regions are derived in the plane of the sgoldstino mass versus the supersymmetry breaking scale for two representative sets of parameter values, as shown in Figs. 6 and 7.
- ⁸ ABREU,P 00C look for the *CP*-even (*S*) and *CP*-odd (*P*) scalar partners of the goldstino, expected to be produced in association with a photon. The *S*/*P* decay into two photons or into two gluons and both the tri-photon and the photon + two jets topologies are investigated. Upper limits on the production cross section are shown in Fig. 5 and the excluded regions in Fig. 6. Data collected at \sqrt{s} = 189–202 GeV.
- ¹⁰ BARBER 84B consider that $\tilde{\mu}$ and \tilde{e} may mix leading to $\mu \rightarrow e \tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\gamma}$. They discuss massmixing limits from decay dist. asym. in LBL-TRIUMF data and e^+ polarization in SIN data.
- ¹¹ HOFFMAN 83 set CL = 90% limit $d\sigma/dt \ B(e^+e^-) < 3.5 \times 10^{-32} \ cm^2/GeV^2$ for spin-1 partner of Goldstone fermions with 140 < m < 160 MeV decaying $\rightarrow e^+e^-$ pair.

REFERENCES FOR Supersymmetric Particle Searches

AHMED	09	PRL 102 011301	Z. Ahmed <i>et al.</i>	(CDMS Collab.)
AALTONEN	08AE	PRL 101 251801	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AALTONEN	08L	PR D77 052002	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AALTONEN	08U	PR D78 032015	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AALTONEN	08Z	PRL 101 071802	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Colab.)
ABAZOV	08	PL B659 500	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	08F	PL B659 856	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	08G	PL B660 449	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	08Q	PRL 100 241803	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	08X	PRL 101 111802	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	08Z	PL B665 1	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ANGLE	08	PRL 100 021303	J. Angle <i>et al.</i>	(XENON10 Collab.)
ANGLE	08A	PRL 101 091301	J. Angle et al.	(XENON10 Collab.)
BEDNYAKOV	08	PAN 71 111	V.A. Bednyakov, H.P. Kla	pdor-Kleingrothaus, I.V. Krivosheina
		Translated from YAF	71 112	

BENELII	00	1 CD 00 105		
DUCUMUEL	80	ASP 28 495	P. Benetti <i>et al.</i>	(WARP Collab.)
BUCHMUEL	80	JHEP 0809 117	U. Buchmueller <i>et al.</i>	
ELLIS	08	PR D/8 0/5012	J. Ellis, K. Olive, P. Sandick	(CERN, MINN)
	08A	PRL 101 201001	VV. Love et al.	(CLEU Collab.)
	07E	PR D/0 0/2010	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AALTONEN	075	PRL 99 191800	I. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABAZOV	07B	PL B645 119	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(DU Collab.)
ABAZOV	07L	PRL 99 131801	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(DU Collab.)
ABULENCIA	07H	PRL 98 131804	A. Abulencia <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABULENCIA		PRL 98 221803	A. Abulencia <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
	07P	PRL 99 121801	A. Abulencia <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
	07	PL B053 101	G.J. Alner et al.	(ZEPLIN-II Collab.)
	07A	ASP 28 287	G.J. Alner et al.	(ZEPLIN-II COIIAD.)
	07	EPJ C50 209	5. Chekanov <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS COIIAD.)
	07	DDL 00 001201	J. Ellis, K. Olive, P. Sandick	(CERIN, IVIIININ)
	07A	EDI CAO A11	S School at al	(ALEDH Collab.)
	01A 06C	DI D629 110	V.M. Abazov et al	(ALLETT Collab.)
	000	PL D030 119 DI D620 441	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
	061	PE 0030 441 DPI 07 111901	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
	06P	PRI 07 161802	VM Abazov et al	(D0 Collab.)
	06R	PRI 07 171806	VM Abazov et al	(D0 Collab.)
	06R	EPI C/6 307	C Abbiendi et al	(OPAL Collab.)
	060	EPI C45 580	L Abdallab et al.	(DELPHI Collab.)
	060	PRI 96 171802	A Abulencia et al	(CDE Collab.)
	06M	PRI 96 211802	A Abulencia et al.	(CDF Collab.)
	06P	PRI 97 031801	A Abulencia et al.	(CDF Collab.)
ACHTERBERG	06	ASP 26 129	A Achterberg et al	(AMANDA Collab.)
ACKERMANN	06	ASP 24 459	M Ackermann et al	(AMANDA Collab.)
AKERIB	06	PR D73 011102R	D.S. Akerib <i>et al</i>	(CDMS Collab.)
AKERIB	06A	PRL 96 011302	D.S. Akerib <i>et al.</i>	(CDMS Collab.)
BENOIT	06	PL B637 156	A. Benoit <i>et al.</i>	(00.000 000000)
DEBOER	06	PL B636 13	W. de Boer <i>et al.</i>	
LEE	06	PL B633 201	H.S. Lee et al.	(KIMS Collab.)
LEP-SLC	06	PRPL 427 257	ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL,	SLD and working groups
SHIMIZU	06A	PL B633 195	Y. Shimizu <i>et al.</i>	
SMITH	06	PL B642 567	N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T	.J. Summer
ABAZOV	05Δ	PRI 0/ 0/1801	VM Abazov et al	
	05/1		V.IVI. ADAZOV EL AL	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	05U	PRL 95 151805	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH	05U 05B	PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395	V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA	05U 05B 05A	PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i> J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i> A. Abulencia <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA	05U 05B 05A 05E	PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R	V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA	05U 05B 05A 05E 05R	PRL 95 051005 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801	 V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB	05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05	PRL 95 051001 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009	 V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D.S. Akerib et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS	05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05	PRL 95 051001 PPL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31	 V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D.S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER	05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05	PRL 95 051001 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17	 V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D.S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER	05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05	PRL 95 051001 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444	V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D.S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDK Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER	05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05A 05	PRL 95 051001 PPL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325	 V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D.S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDK Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (CRESST-II Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER	05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05 05A 05 05	PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065	 V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDK Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (CRESST-II Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE.	05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05A 05 05 05 05 05	PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186	 V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDK Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER	050 050 058 058 058 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007	 V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D.S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDK Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV	051 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463	 V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. S. Chekanov et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS CIDADD	05 U 05 B 05 A 05 E 05 R 05	PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL P621 232	 V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. S. Chekanov et al. J. Ellis et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANCI ADD	05 U 05 B 05 A 05 E 05 C 05 C 05 C 05 C 05 C 05 C 05 C 05 C	PRL 95 051001 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 DR D71 122022	 V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. S. Chekanov et al. J. Ellis et al. T.A. Girard et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD	05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PRL 95 051001 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL PS 1 147	 V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. S. Chekanov et al. J. Ellis et al. V. Sanglard et al. V. M. Abazov et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) (SIMPLE Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER BAER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV	05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PRL 95 051001 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 DRI 02 011801	 V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. S. Chekanov et al. J. Ellis et al. V. Sanglard et al. V.M. Abazov et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (FICASSO Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) (SIMPLE Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV ABAZOV	05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPL 622 453	 V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D.S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. S. Chekanov et al. J. Ellis et al. T.A. Girard et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) (SIMPLE Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI	05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05 05A 05 05A 05 05A 05 05A 05 05A 05 05 05A 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453 EPL C33 140	 V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. J. Ellis et al. T.A. Girard et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI	05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05 05A 05 05 05A 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453 EPJ C33 149 EPJ C35 1	 V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. G. Angloher et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. J. Ellis et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA ACOSTA ACOSTA ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI	05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05 05A 05 05 05A 05 05 05A 05 05 05 05A 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453 EPJ C33 149 EPJ C35 1 PL B602 167	 V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. G. Angloher et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. J. Ellis et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI	05U 05B 05A 05E 05A 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453 EPJ C33 149 EPJ C35 1 PL B602 167 FPL C34 145	 V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. J. Ellis et al. V. Sanglard et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. Abbiendi et al. Abbiendi et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABDALLAH ABDALLAH	05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453 EPJ C33 149 EPJ C34 145 EPJ C34 145	 V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. S. Chekanov et al. J. Ellis et al. V. Sanglard et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABDALLAH ABDALLAH AIso	05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453 EPJ C33 149 EPJ C35 1 PL B602 167 EPJ C34 145 EPJ C36 1 EPJ C37 129 (erratum)	 V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. S. Chekanov et al. J. Ellis et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (FICASSO Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV ABBIENDI ABBIALLAH AIso ACHARD	05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453 EPJ C33 149 EPJ C35 1 PL B602 167 EPJ C36 1 EPJ C37 129 (erratum) PL B580 37	 V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. S. Chekanov et al. J. Ellis et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al. P. Achard et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (FICASSO Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV ABBIENDI ABDALLAH AIso ACHARD	05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453 EPJ C33 149 EPJ C35 1 PL B602 167 EPJ C34 145 EPJ C37 129 (erratum) PL B580 37 PL B587 16	 V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. S. Chekanov et al. J. Ellis et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al. P. Achard et al. P. Achard et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (L3 Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER BARCHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV ABAZOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABAZOV ABAZOV	05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453 EPJ C33 149 EPJ C35 1 PL B602 167 EPJ C34 145 EPJ C36 1 EPJ C37 129 (erratum) PL B587 16 PRL 92 051803	 V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. S. Chekanov et al. J. Ellis et al. T.A. Girard et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al. P. Achard et al. D. Acosta et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (L3 Collab.) (L3 Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV ABAZOV ABBIENDI ACOSTA ACOSTA	05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453 EPJ C33 149 EPJ C35 1 PL B602 167 EPJ C34 145 EPJ C36 1 EPJ C37 129 (erratum) PL B587 16 PRL 92 051803 PRL 93 061802	 V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. J. Ellis et al. T.A. Girard et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Achard et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (CRESST-II Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (L3 Collab.) (L3 Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV ABAZOV ABBIENDI ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB	05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453 EPJ C35 1 PL B602 167 EPJ C34 145 EPJ C35 1 PL B580 37 PL B587 16 PRL 93 061802 PRL 93 211301	 V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. G. Angloher et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. J. Ellis et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (CRESST-II Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (L3 Collab.) (L3 Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV ABAZOV ABAZOV ABBIENDI ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS	05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453 EPJ C35 1 PL B602 167 EPJ C34 145 EPJ C35 1 PL B580 37 PL B587 16 PRL 93 051803 PRL 93 051802 PRL 93 211301 PL B599 159	 V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. J. Ellis et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. Aktas et al. Aktas et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (D1 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D7AL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (L3 Collab.) (L3 Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV ABAZOV ABAZOV ABBIENDI ACHARD ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS AKTAS	05U 05B 05A 05E 05A 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453 EPJ C35 1 PL B602 167 EPJ C34 145 EPJ C35 1 PL B580 37 PL B587 16 PRL 93 051803 PRL 93 051802 PRL 93 211301 PL B599 159 EPJ C36 425	 V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. J. Ellis et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al. P. Achard et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. Aktas et al. Aktas et al. Aktas et al. Aktas et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (L3 Collab.) (L3 Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMSII Collab.) (H1 Collab.)

Page 64

BELANGER BOTTINO DAS DESAI ELLIS ELLIS	04 04 04 04 04 04B	JHEP 0403 012 PR D69 037302 PL B596 293 PR D70 083523 PR D69 015005 PR D70 055005	G. Belanger <i>et al.</i> A. Bottino <i>et al.</i> S.P. Das, A. Datta, M. S. Desai <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis <i>et al.</i>	Maity (Super-Kamiokande Collab.)
GIULIANI HEISTER JANOT PIERCE	04 04 04 04	PL B588 151 PL B583 247 PL B594 23 PR D70 075006	 F. Giuliani, T.A. Girard A. Heister <i>et al.</i> P. Janot A. Pierre 	(ALEPH Collab.)
TCHIKILEV ABBIENDI ABDALLAH ABDALLAH ABDALLAH ABDALLAH ABDALLAH ABDALLAH ACOSTA	04 03H 03L 03C 03D 03F 03G 03M 03C	PL B602 149 EPJ C29 479 PL B572 8 EPJ C26 505 EPJ C27 153 EPJ C28 15 EPJ C29 285 EPJ C31 421 PRL 90 251801	 G.G. Tchikilev et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. J. Abdallah et al. D. Acosta et al. 	(ISTRA+ Coolab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ACOSTA ADLOFF AHMED AKERIB BAER BAER BERGER	03E 03 03 03 03 03 03A 03	PRL 91 171602 PL B568 35 ASP 19 691 PR D68 082002 JCAP 0305 006 JCAP 0309 007 PL B552 223	 D. Acosta <i>et al.</i> C. Adloff <i>et al.</i> B. Ahmed <i>et al.</i> D. Akerib <i>et al.</i> H. Baer, C. Balazs H. Baerrer <i>et al.</i> E. Berrer <i>et al.</i> 	(CDF Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (CDMS Collab.)
BOTTINO BOTTINO CHAKRAB CHATTOPAD CHEKANOV	03 03A 03 03 03 03B	PR D68 043506 PR D67 063519 PR D68 015005 PR D68 035005 PR D68 052004	A. Bottino <i>et al.</i> A. Bottino, N. Fornengo S. Chakrabarti, M. Guch U. Chattopadhyay, A. Co S. Chekanov <i>et al.</i>	, S. Scopel ait, N.K. Mondal orsetti, P. Nath (ZEUS Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS	03 03B 03C 03D 03E	ASP 18 395 NP B652 259 PL B565 176 PL B573 162 PR D67 123502	J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. S J. Ellis <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis <i>et al.</i>	Santoso
HEISTER HEISTER HEISTER HOOPER JANOT	03 03C 03G 03H 03 03	EPJ C27 1 EPJ C28 1 EPJ C31 1 EPJ C31 327 PL B562 18 PL B564 183	 A. Heister <i>et al.</i> A. Heister <i>et al.</i> A. Heister <i>et al.</i> A. Heister <i>et al.</i> D. Hooper, T. Plehn P. Janot 	(ALEPH) (ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.)
KLAPDOR-K LAHANAS TAKEDA	03 03 03	ASP 18 525 PL B568 55 PL B572 145	H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrotha A. Lahanas, D. Nanopou A. Takeda <i>et al.</i>	ius <i>et al.</i> ilos
ABAZOV ABAZOV ABAZOV ABAZOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI	02C 02F 02G 02H 02 02B 02B 02H	PRL 88 171802 PRL 89 171801 PR D66 112001 PRL 89 261801 EPJ C23 1 PL B526 233 PL B545 272	 V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. 	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
Also ABRAMS ACHARD ACOSTA AFFOLDER AFFOLDER ANGLOHER	02 02 02H 02 02D 02D 02	PL B548 258 (erratum) PR D66 122003 PL B524 65 PRL 89 281801 PRL 88 041801 PR D65 052006 ASP 18 43	 G. Abbiendi et al. D. Abrams et al. P. Achard et al. D. Acosta et al. T. Affolder et al. T. Affolder et al. G. Angloher et al. 	(OPAL Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (L3 Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CPF Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK BAER BECHER BENOIT CHEKANOV CHEUNG	02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02B	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161 JHEP 0207 050 PL B540 278 PL B545 43 PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801	 R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek H. Baer <i>et al.</i> T. Becher <i>et al.</i> A. Benoit <i>et al.</i> S. Chekanov <i>et al.</i> K. Cheung, WY. Keung 	(EDELWEISS Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) g
CHO ELLIS ELLIS GHODBANE HEISTER	02 02 02B 02 02 02	PRL 89 091801 PL B525 308 PL B532 318 NP B647 190 PL B526 191	GC. Cho J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulo J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. N. Ghodbane <i>et al.</i> A. Heister <i>et al.</i>	s, K.A. Olive Olive (ALEPH Collab.)

Page 65

HEISTER	02E	PL B526 206	A. Heister <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
HEISTER	02F	EP.J C25 1	A. Heister <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH_Collab.)
HEISTER	021	PI B533 223	A Heister et al	(ALEPH Collab)
HEISTER	02K	PI 8537 5	A Heister et al	(ALEPH Collab.)
	0210	DI DE44 72	A Hoistor of al	(ALEDH Collab.)
		FL D344 73	A. Heister et al.	
HEISTER	02R	EPJ C25 339	A. Heister <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
KIM	02	PL B527 18	H.B. Kim <i>et al.</i>	
KIM	02B	JHEP 0212 034	Y.G. Kim <i>et al.</i>	
LAHANAS	02	EPJ C23 185	A. Lahanas, V.C. Spanos	
MORALES	02B	ASP 16 325	A. Morales <i>et al.</i>	(COSME Collab.)
MORALES	02C	PL B532 8	A. Morales <i>et al.</i>	(IGEX Collab.)
ABBIENDI	01	PL B501 12	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(ÒPAL Collab.)
ABBOTT	01D	PR D63 091102	B Abbott et al	(D0 Collab)
ABREII	01	EPI (10.20	P Abreu et al	(DELPHI Collab.)
	01B	EP C10 201	P Abrou at al	(DELPHI Collab.)
	010	DI DE02 24	D Abreu et al.	(DELDHI Collab.)
ADREU	010	FL D502 24	F. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	
ABREU	UID	PL B500 22	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	01G	PL B503 34	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	01	EPJ C19 397	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ADAMS	01	PRL 87 041801	T. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(NuTeV Collab.)
ADLOFF	01B	EPJ C20 639	C. Adloff et al.	(H1 Collab.)
AFFOLDER	01B	PR D63 091101	T. Affolder <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AFFOLDER	01H	PR D64 092002	T. Affolder <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AFFOI DER	011	PRI 87 251803	T Affolder et al	(CDF_Collab.)
BALTZ	01	PRI 86 5004	F Baltz P Gondolo	(001 001100))
BARATE	01	PI B400 67	R Barate et al	(ALEPH Collab.)
	01 D	EDI C10 415	P. Parato et al	(ALEDH Collab.)
	010	LFJ CI9 413	N. Darate et al.	(ALLETT COND.)
BARGER	010	PL B518 117	V. Barger, C. Kao	
BAUDIS	01	PR D63 022001	L. Baudis <i>et al.</i>	(Heidelberg-Woscow Collab.)
BENUII	01	PL B513 15	A. Benoit <i>et al.</i>	(EDELWEISS Collab.)
BERGER	01	PRL 80 4231	E. Berger <i>et al.</i>	
BERNABEI	01	PL B509 197	R. Bernabei <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA Collab.)
BOTTINO	01	PR D63 125003	A. Bottino <i>et al.</i>	
BREITWEG	01	PR D63 052002	J. Breitweg <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
CORSETT	01	PR D64 125010	A. Corsetti, P. Nath	
	01			1 17
500,61	01	JHEP 0108 055	A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I	L. Kneur
ELLIS	01B	PL B510 236	A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I J. Ellis <i>et al.</i>	L. Kneur
ELLIS	01B 01C	PL B510 236 PR D63 065016	A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I J. Ellis <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C	L. Kneur Dlive
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ	01B 01C 01	PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252	A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I J. Ellis <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac	L. Kneur Dlive dos
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS	01B 01C 01 01	PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94	A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I J. Ellis <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopo	L. Kneur Dlive dos pulos, V. Spanos
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI	01B 01C 01 01 01	PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024	A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I J. Ellis <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopo L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz d	L. Kneur Dlive dos pulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 01	PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopo L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz do V. Savinov <i>et al.</i> 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.)
ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 01 00	PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopo L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz de V. Savinov <i>et al.</i> G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 01 00 00G	PL P 0108 055 PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C12 1	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopo L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz de V. Savinov <i>et al.</i> G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> 	L. Kneur Dlive dos oulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00H	PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C14 187	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopo L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz de V. Savinov <i>et al.</i> G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> 	L. Kneur Dlive dos oulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABSO	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00H	PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C16 707 (erratum)	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopc L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz de V. Savinov <i>et al.</i> G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> 	L. Kneur Dlive Jos sulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI Also ABBIENDI	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00H	PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C16 707 (erratum) EPJ C12 251	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopo L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz d V. Savinov <i>et al.</i> G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00H 00J 00R	PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C16 707 (erratum) EPJ C12 551 EPJ C13 553	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopo L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz d V. Savinov <i>et al.</i> G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI G	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00H 00J 00R 00D	PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C14 187 EPJ C14 187 EPJ C16 707 (erratum) EPJ C13 553 EPJ C18 253	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopo L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz de V. Savinov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI,G ABBIENT	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00H 00J 00R 00D 00C	PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C14 187 EPJ C16 707 (erratum) EPJ C12 551 EPJ C18 253 EPJ C18 253 PRI 84 2088	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopo L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz de V. Savinov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI,G ABBOTT	01 01B 01C 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00G 00D 00C 00C	PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C14 187 EPJ C16 707 (erratum) EPJ C12 551 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C18 253 PRL 84 2088 PR D63 071701B	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopo L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz de V. Savinov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. B. Abbott et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DO Collab.) (DO Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI,G ABBOTT ABBOTT ABBOTT	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00H 00J 00C 00G 00C	PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C16 707 (erratum) EPJ C12 551 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C18 253 PRL 84 2088 PR D62 071701R EPJ C13 551	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopc L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz d V. Savinov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. B. Abbott et al. B. Abbott et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DPAL Collab.) (DEL PHI Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBOTT ABBOTT ABREU ABBELI	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00H 00J 00C 00G 00C 00G 00L	PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C1551 EPJ C12 551 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C18 253 PRL 84 2088 PR D62 071701R EPJ C13 591 DL Pd70 120	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopo L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz d V. Savinov <i>et al.</i> G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> B. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> B. Abbott <i>et al.</i> B. Abbott <i>et al.</i> B. Abbutt <i>et al.</i> C. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> 	L. Kneur Dlive dos boulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DPAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DC Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBOTT ABROTT ABREU ABREU	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00H 00J 00C 00G 00C 00G 00I 00J	PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C16 707 (erratum) EPJ C12 551 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C18 253 PRL 84 2088 PR D62 071701R EPJ C13 591 PL B479 129 PL B479 C55	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergaci A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoc L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz di V. Savinov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al. P. Abreu et al. P. Abreu et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DC Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI,G ABBOTT ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00H 00J 00C 00G 00J 00G 00J 00G 00J 00G	PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C16 707 (erratum) EPJ C12 551 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C18 253 PRL 84 2088 PR D62 071701R EPJ C13 591 PL B479 129 PL B478 65 PL D42 45	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopo L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz d V. Savinov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al. P. Abreu et al. P. Abreu et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DPAL Collab.) (DO Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBOTT ABBOTT ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00H 00J 00C 00G 00J 00Q 00J 00Q 00S	PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C14 187 EPJ C16 707 (erratum) EPJ C12 551 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 253 PRL 84 2088 PR D62 071701R EPJ C13 591 PL B479 129 PL B478 65 PL B485 45 PL B485 45	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopo L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz de V. Savinov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DPAL Collab.) (DC Collab.) (DC Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBOTT ABBOTT ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00H 00J 00C 00G 00I 00J 00J 00J 00J 00J 00J 00J	PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C16 707 (erratum) EPJ C12 551 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 253 PRL 84 2088 PR D62 071701R EPJ C13 591 PL B479 129 PL B478 65 PL B485 95 PL B485 95	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopc L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz d V. Savinov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos boulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBOTT ABROTT ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00H 00J 00C 00G 00D 00C 00G 00J 00Q 00S 00T 00U	JHEP 0108 055 PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C14 187 EPJ C12 551 EPJ C12 551 EPJ C12 551 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C18 253 PRL 84 2088 PR D62 071701R EPJ C13 591 PL B479 129 PL B478 65 PL B485 45 PL B487 36 PL B487 36	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergaci A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopo L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz di V. Savinov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBOTT ABROTT ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00H 00J 00C 00G 00C 00G 00J 00Q 00S 00T 00U 00V	PL B510 236 PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C15 551 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C18 253 PRL 84 2088 PR D62 071701R EPJ C13 591 PL B479 129 PL B478 65 PL B485 45 PL B485 95 PL B487 36 EPJ C16 211	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergaci A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopo L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz di V. Savinov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos boulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DPAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBOTT ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00G 00D 00C 00G 00J 00Q 00S 00T 00U 00V 00W	JHEP 0108 055 PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C15 551 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 591 PL B479 129 PL B478 65 PL B485 95 PL B485 95 PL B487 36 EPJ C16 211 PL B489 38	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergaci A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopo L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz di V. Savinov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBENDI ABBEN ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00H 00J 00G 00G 00G 00G 00G 00G 00J 00Q 00S 00T 00U 00V 00V 00Z	JHEP 0108 055 PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 187 EPJ C14 187 EPJ C16 707 (erratum) EPJ C12 551 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 553 PRL 84 2088 PR D62 071701R EPJ C13 591 PL B479 129 PL B479 129 PL B478 65 PL B485 45 PL B485 45 PL B485 95 PL B487 36 EPJ C16 211 PL B489 38 EPJ C17 53	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergaci A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoc L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz di V. Savinov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DPAL Collab.) (DPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBENDI ABBENT ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU ABREU	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00H 00J 00G 00G 00J 00C 00G 00J 00Q 00S 00U 00V 00V 00V 00Z 00C	JHEP 0108 055 PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C16 707 (erratum) EPJ C12 551 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 553 PR D62 071701R EPJ C13 591 PL B479 129 PL B485 45 PL B485 45 PL B489 38 EPJ C17 53 PL B494 203	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopol. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz di V. Savinov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DAL COLLAB
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBOTT ABREU	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00H 00J 00G 00H 00J 00C 00G 00J 00Q 00S 00T 00V 00V 00V 00V 00C 00D	JHEP 0108 055 PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C14 187 EPJ C12 551 EPJ C12 551 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 553 PR D62 071701R EPJ C13 591 PL B479 129 PL B478 65 PL B485 45 PL B485 95 PL B487 36 EPJ C17 53 PL B494 203 PL B496 59	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C. M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopol. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz d. V. Savinov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Co
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBOTT ABREU	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00G 00G 00D 00C 00G 00J 00Q 00S 00T 00U 00V 00V 00V 00V 00C 00D 00C 00D 00C	JHEP 0108 055 PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C12 551 EPJ C12 551 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 591 PL B479 129 PL B478 65 PL B485 45 PL B485 95 PL B489 38 EPJ C17 53 PL B499 38 EPJ C17 53 PL B496 59 PRL 84 5699	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopc L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz d V. Savinov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al. R. Abreu et al. R. Abreu et al. R. Abreu et al. R. Abreu et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBOTT ABREU	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00H 00J 00G 00D 00C 00G 00J 00Q 00S 00T 00U 00V 00V 00V 00V 00C 00D 00C 00D 00C	JHEP 0108 055 PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 187 EPJ C12 551 EPJ C12 551 EPJ C12 551 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 591 PL B479 129 PL B478 65 PL B485 45 PL B485 95 PL B487 36 EPJ C16 211 PL B489 38 EPJ C17 53 PL B494 203 PL B495 59 PL 8496 59 PRL 84 5699 EPJ C16 1	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergacion A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopo L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz dividenti et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al. R. Abusaidi et al. M. Acciarri et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos boulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DELPHI Co
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBEU ABREU	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00H 00J 00G 00D 00C 00G 00J 00Q 00S 00T 00U 00V 00V 00V 00V 00V 00C 00D 00C 00D 00C 00D	JHEP 0108 055 PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C14 187 EPJ C12 551 EPJ C12 553 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 591 PL B479 129 PL B478 65 PL B485 45 PL B485 95 PL B485 38 EPJ C16 211 PL B489 38 EPJ C17 53 PL B496 59 PR 44 5699 EPJ C16 1 PL B472 420	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergacion A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopo L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz dividenti et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al. M. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBENDI ABBEN ABREU	01B 01B 01C 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00G 00G 00G 00G 00G	JHEP 0108 055 PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C14 187 EPJ C16 707 (erratum) EPJ C12 551 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 553 PRL 84 2088 PR D62 071701R EPJ C13 591 PL B479 129 PL B479 129 PL B478 65 PL B485 45 PL B485 45 PL B485 45 PL B485 36 EPJ C16 211 PL B489 38 EPJ C17 53 PL B494 203 PL 847 5699 EPJ C16 1 PL B472 420 PL B482 31	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergacion A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopocion L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz division V. Savinov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al. M. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DELPHI
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBENDI ABBENDI ABBEN ABREU	01B 01B 01C 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00G 00G 00G 00G 00G	JHEP 0108 055 PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C14 187 EPJ C16 707 (erratum) EPJ C12 551 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 253 PRL 84 2088 PR D62 071701R EPJ C13 591 PL B479 129 PL B479 129 PL B479 129 PL B478 65 PL B485 45 PL B485 45 PL B485 45 PL B485 38 EPJ C16 211 PL B489 38 EPJ C17 53 PL B494 203 PL B496 59 PRL 84 5699 EPJ C16 1 PL B482 31 PL B489 81	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopol. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz de V. Savinov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al. M. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBENDI ABBENDI ABBENDI ABREU ABCAR	01B 01B 01C 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00H 00J 00G 00H 00J 00G 00J 00G 00J 00Q 00S 00T 00V 00V 00V 00V 00C 00D 00C 00D 00C 00D 00C 00D 00C	JHEP 0108 055 PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C16 707 (erratum) EPJ C12 551 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 553 PL 84 2088 PR D62 071701R EPJ C13 591 PL B479 129 PL B479 129 PL B478 65 PL B485 45 PL B485 35 PL B485 36 EPJ C17 53 PL B494 203 PL B496 59 PRL 84 5699 EPJ C16 1 PL B472 420 PL B489 81 NP B585 124	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoc L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz d V. Savinov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al. R. Abusidi et al. Mareu et al. Mareu et al. P. Abreu et al. Mareu et al. M. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al. E. Accomando et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DELPHI
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBENDI ABBENDI ABBENDI ABBEU ABREU ACIARRI	01B 01B 01C 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00H 00J 00G 00H 00J 00G 00J 00G 00J 00Q 00S 00T 00U 00V 00V 00V 00C 00D 00C 00D 00C 00D 00C 00D 00D	JHEP 0108 055 PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C12 551 EPJ C12 551 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 591 PL B479 129 PL B478 65 PL B478 65 PL B485 95 PL B489 38 EPJ C17 53 PL B494 203 PL B494 203 PL B496 59 PRL 84 5699 EPJ C16 1 PL B489 81 NP B585 124 PR 84 5704	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopc L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz d V. Savinov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al. R. Abreu et al. R. Abreu et al. Matrix et al. P. Abreu et al. Matrix et al. M. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al. T. Affolder et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (L3 Collab.) (L3 Collab.) (L3 Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBOTT ABREU	01B 01B 01C 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00G 00G 00G 00G 00J 00Q 00S 00U 00V 00V 00V 00V 00C 00D 00D	JHEP 0108 055 PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C16 707 (erratum) EPJ C12 551 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C18 253 PRL 84 2088 PR D62 071701R EPJ C13 591 PL B479 129 PL B478 65 PL B485 45 PL B485 45 PL B485 95 PL B485 95 PL B487 36 EPJ C16 211 PL B499 38 EPJ C17 53 PL B494 203 PL B472 420 PL B472 420 PL B489 81 NP B585 124 PRL 84 5704 PRL 84 5704 PRL 84 5273	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergac A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopc L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz d V. Savinov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al. R. Abbeu et al. R. Abreu et al. R. Abreu et al. Mabreu et al. R. Abreu et al. Mabreu et al. Mabreu et al. C. Abreu et al. C. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al. T. Affolder et al. T. Affolder et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (L3 Collab.) (L3 Collab.) (L3 Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
ELLIS ELLIS ELLIS GOMEZ LAHANAS ROSZKOWSKI SAVINOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBENDI ABBEN ABREU ACIARRI ACCIARI ACCIARI ACCIARI ACCIARI ACCIARI ACCIARI ACCIA	01B 01C 01 01 01 01 01 00 00G 00H 00G 00H 00G 00H 00G 00C 00G 00U 00V 00V 00V 00V 00C 00D 00D	JHEP 0108 055 PL B510 236 PR D63 065016 PL B512 252 PL B518 94 JHEP 0108 024 PR D63 051101 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C12 1 EPJ C14 51 EPJ C12 551 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C13 553 EPJ C18 253 PRL 84 2088 PR D62 071701R EPJ C13 591 PL B479 129 PL B478 65 PL B485 45 PL B485 45 PL B485 95 PL B485 38 EPJ C16 211 PL B489 38 EPJ C16 211 PL B494 203 PL B496 59 PRL 84 5699 EPJ C16 1 PL B472 420 PL B489 81 NP B585 124 PRL 84 5704 PRL 84 5273 PRL 85 1378	 A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.I. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. C M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergaci A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoc L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz di V. Savinov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbiendi et al. P. Abreu et al. R. Abusaidi et al. M. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al. T. Affolder et al. T. Affolder et al. 	L. Kneur Dlive dos bulos, V. Spanos e Austri, T. Nihei (CLEO Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (L3 Collab.) (L3 Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.)

Page 66

	00K	DDI 85 2056	T Affoldor at al	(CDE Collab.)
ALIULDEN	001	FRL 03 2030		
BARATE	00G	EPJ C16 /1	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARATE	00H	EPJ C13 29	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARATE	001	EP.J C12 183	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARATE	00P	PI R488 234	R Barate et al	(ALEPH Collab.)
	001	DL D400 234		
BERNABEI	00	PL B480 23	R. Bernadel et al.	(DAIVIA COIIAD.)
BERNABEI	00C	EPJ C18 283	R. Bernabei <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA Collab.)
BERNABEI	00D	NJP 2 15	R. Bernabei <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA Collab.)
ROFHM	00R	PR D62 035012	C Boehm A Diouadi M	Drees
	000	EDI C16 252	L Breitwar et el	(7EUS Callab.)
DREITWEG	UUE	EPJ C10 255	J. Dreitweg et al.	(ZEUS Collab.)
CHO	00B	NP B574 623	GC. Cho, K. Hagiwara	
COLLAR	00	PRL 85 3083	J.I. Collar <i>et al.</i>	(SIMPLE Collab.)
FLUS	00	PR D62 075010	l Ellis et al	()
	00	DI D402 200	LL Form KT Matchev F	\\/ile=elc
FENG	00	PL B482 388	J.L. Feng, K.I. Watchev, F	. WIICZEK
LAHANAS	00	PR D62 023515	A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulo	os, V.C. Spanos
LEP	00	CERN-EP-2000-016	LEP Collabs. (ALEPH,	DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD+)
MAFI	00	PR D62 035003	A Mafi S Raby	,
	00	DI D476 107	M Maltoni et al	
	00	FL B470 107	IVI. IVIAILOIII EL AI.	
MORALES	00	PL B489 268	A. Morales <i>et al.</i>	(IGEX Collab.)
PDG	00	EPJ C15 1	D.E. Groom <i>et al.</i>	
SPOONER	00	PL B473 330	NIC Spooner et al	(UK Dark Matter Col.)
	00	EDI C6 1	C Abbiendi et al	
	99 00F		G. Abbieliul et al.	(OFAL COND.)
ABRIENDI	99F	EPJ C8 23	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	99M	PL B456 95	G. Abbiendi et al.	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	99T	FP1 C11 619	G Abbiendi <i>et al</i>	(OPAL Collab)
ADDOTT	00		P Abbett at al	(D0 Callab.)
ADDOTT	99	FRL 02 29	B. Abboll et al.	(Du Collab.)
ABBOIL	99F	PR D60 031101	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABBOTT	99 J	PRL 83 2896	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABBOTT	99K	PRI 83 4476	B Abbott et al	(D0 Collab)
APPOTT	001	DDI 92 4027	P Abbett et al	(D0 Collab.)
ADDUTT	99L	FRE 03 4937	B. Abboll et al.	(DU Collab.)
ABE	991	PR D59 092002	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	99M	PRL 83 2133	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABREU	99A	FP1 C11 383	P Abreu <i>et al</i>	(DEÌ PHI, Collab Ĵ
	000	EDI C6 205	P Abrou at al	(DELPHI Collab.)
ADREU	990	LFJ C0 303	F. Abreu et al.	(DELFTIT Collab.)
ABREU	99F	EPJ C7 595	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	99G	PL B446 62	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	99H	PL B456 283	M Acciarri et al	(L3 Collab)
ACCIARRI	001	PL B450 354	M Accipri et al	(L3 Collab.)
	001	DL D460 354		
ACCIARRI	99L	PL B462 354	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	99R	PL B470 268	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	99V	PL B471 308	M. Acciarri <i>et al</i> .	(L3 Collab.)
	00\//	PL B471 280	M Accierri et al	(L3 Collab.)
	99VV	DDI 02 0100	A Alasi Hasati at al	
ALAVI-HARATT	99E	PRL 83 2128	A. Alavi-Harati et al.	(FNAL KIEV COLLAD.)
AMBROSIO	99	PR D60 082002	M. Ambrosio <i>et al.</i>	(Macro Collab.)
BAER	99	PR D59 075002	H. Baer, K. Cheung, J.F. G	Junion
BARATE	QQE	FP1 (7 383	R Barate et al	(ALEPH Collab.)
	000		D Devete et al.	
BARATE	99Q	PL B409 303	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BAUDIS	99	PR D59 022001	L. Baudis <i>et al.</i>	(Heidelberg-Moscow Collab.)
BELLI	99C	NP B563 97	P. Belli <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA Collab.)
BERNABEL	99	PI 8450 448	R Bernabei <i>et al</i>	(DAMA_Collab.)
	00	DI D446 117	V Eanti at al	(CEPN NA48 Collab.)
	99	FL D440 117	V. Fallti et al.	(CLKN NA46 COND.)
MALIONI	99B	PL B463 230	M. Maltoni, M.I. Vysotsky	
OOTANI	99	PL B461 371	W. Ootani <i>et al.</i>	
ABBOTT	98	PRL 80 442	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
APPOTT	0°C	DDI 90 1501	P Abbett et al	(D0 Collab.)
ADDOTT	90C	FRE 60 1391	B. Abboll et al.	(Du Collab.)
ABBOIL	98E	PRL 80 2051	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABBOTT	98J	PRL 81 38	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABF	98 I	PRI 80 5275	F Abe et al	(CDF_Collab)
ADE	005	DDI 01 4006		(CDE Callab.)
ADL	905	FKL 01 4000	F. Abe et al.	(CDF Collab.)
ABREU	98	EPJ CI I	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	98P	PL B444 491	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	98F	EP.J C4 207	M. Acciarri <i>et al</i>) (1.3 Collab)
	081	PI B/33 163	M Accierri et al	(13 Collab.)
	201		M Assist 1	
ACCIARRI	98V	PL B444 503	IVI. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98K	EPJ C4 47	K. Ackerstaff et al.	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98L	EPJ C2 213	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	ÓPAL Collab Í
ACKERSTAFE	08P	PI 8433 105	K Ackerstaff et al	(OPAL Collab.)
	001/	EDI CO 441	V A alconstall CL dl.	
ACKERSIAFE	90 V		N. ACKEISLAII <i>et al.</i>	(UPAL Collab.)
BARALE	98H	PL B420 127	к. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARATE	98J	PL B429 201	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARATE	98K	PL B433 176	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
—				(-=

Page 67

DADATE				
BARATE	985	EPJ C4 433	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
	988	EPJ C2 417	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
	90 08C	PL D424 195 PL B436 370	R. Bernabel et al.	(DAMA Collab.)
BREITWEG	98	PI R434 214	I Breitweg et al	(ZEUS Collab.)
ELLIS	98	PR D58 095002	J. Ellis <i>et al.</i>	(2200 00100)
ELLIS	98B	PL B444 367	J. Ellis, T. Falk, K. Olive	
PDG	98	EPJ C3 1	C. Caso et al.	
ABACHI	97	PRL 78 2070	S. Abachi <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABBOTT	97B	PRL 79 4321	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABE	97K	PR D56 R1357	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ACCIARRI	970	PL B414 373	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97H 07P	PL 5390 301	K. ACKErStaff <i>et al.</i>	(UPAL Collab.)
	97 B 07	PRI 78 3252	IF Albuquerque et al. (F	FNAL F761 Collab.)
BAER	97	PR D57 567	H. Baer. M. Brhlik	THINE EVEL CONAD.)
BARATE	97K	PL B405 379	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARATE	97L	ZPHY C76 1	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BERNABEI	97	ASP 7 73	R. Bernabei <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA Collab.)
CARENA	97	PL B390 234	M. Carena, G.F. Giudice, C.E.M. Wa	gner
CSIKOR	97	PRL 78 4335	F. Csikor, Z. Fodor	(EOTV, CERN)
DATTA	97	PL B395 54	A. Datta, M. Guchait, N. Parua	(ICTP, TATA)
DEGOUVEA	97	PL B400 117	A. de Gouvea, H. Murayama	
	97 07	ZPHY C/3 013 PP D56 1870	IVI. Derrick <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ELLIS	97 07	PL B30/ 35/	J. Edijo, F. Gondolo J. Ellis I.J. Lonez, D.V. Nanonoulos	
HEWETT	97	PR D56 5703	II Hewett T.G. Rizzo M.A. Donch	neski
KALINOWSKI	97	PL B400 112	J. Kalinowski. P. Zerwas	
TEREKHOV	97	PL B412 86	I. Terekhov	(ALAT)
ABACHI	96	PRL 76 2228	S. Abachi <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Čollab.)
ABACHI	96B	PRL 76 2222	S. Abachi <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABE	96	PRL 77 438	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96D	PRL 76 2006	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96K	PRL 76 4307	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
	96 06C	ZPHY C/I ZII DI D200 A61	S. Aid et al.	(HI Collab.)
	90C 06	PL D300 401 PR D54 2374	S. Ald <i>et al.</i> R. Arpowitt, P. Noth	(HI Collab.)
BAFR	90 96	PR D53 597	H Baer M Brhlik	
BERGSTROM	96	ASP 5 263	L. Bergstrom, P. Gondolo	
СНО	96	PL B372 101	G.C. Cho, Y. Kizukuri, N. Oshimo	(TOKAH, OCH)
FARRAR	96	PRL 76 4111	G.R. Farrar	` (RUTG)
LEWIN	96	ASP 6 87	J.D. Lewin, P.F. Smith	
TEREKHOV	96	PL B385 139	I. Terkhov, L. Clavelli	(ALAT)
ABACHI	95C	PRL 75 618	S. Abachi <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
	95N 05T	PRL 74 3538	F. Abe et al.	(CDF Collab.)
	95 T 05 E	PRL 75 015 PL R350 100	F. ADE et al. M. Acciarri et al	(LDF Collab.)
AKERS	95A	7PHY C65 367	R Akers <i>et al</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
AKERS	95R	ZPHY C67 203	R. Akers <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
BEREZINSKY	95	ASP 5 1	V. Berezinsky <i>et al.</i>	(,
BUSKULIC	95E	PL B349 238	D. Buskulic et al.	(ALEPH Collab.)
CLAVELLI	95	PR D51 1117	L. Clavelli, P.W. Coulter	(ALAT)
FALK	95	PL B354 99	T. Falk, K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki	(MINN, UCSB)
	95	PL B342 392	J.M. LoSecco	(NDAM)
ANERS	94N	PL D337 207 DL D226 141	R. Akers et al. (M	
CAKIR	94 0/	PR D50 3268	M.B. Cakir, G.B. Earrar	(RUTC)
FALK	94	PL B339 248	T. Falk, K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki	(UCSB, MINN)
SHIRAI	94	PRL 72 3313	J. Shirai <i>et al.</i>	(VENUS Collab.)
ADRIANI	93M	PRPL 236 1	O. Adriani <i>et al.</i>	` (L3 Collab.)́
ALITTI	93	NP B400 3	J. Alitti <i>et al.</i>	(UA2 Collab.)
CLAVELLI	93	PR D47 1973	L. Clavelli, P.W. Coulter, K.J. Yuan	(ALAT)
DREES	93	PK D47 376	M. Drees, M.M. Nojiri	(DESY, SLAC)
	02 93R	РК U40 3403 DI B210 251	IVI. Drees, IVI.IVI. INOJIRI	ICB LICED MININI
	90	1 L D 310 334 $7 D U V C 60 62$	T Hebbeker	(CERNI)
	93			
KELLEY	93 93	PR D47 2461	S. Kellev <i>et al.</i>	(TAMU. ALAH)
KELLEY LOPEZ	93 93 93C	PR D47 2461 PL B313 241	S. Kelley <i>et al.</i> J.L. Lopez, D.V. Nanopoulos, X. War	(TAMU, ALAH) ng (TAMU, HARC+)
KELLEY LOPEZ MIZUTA	93 93 93C 93	PR D47 2461 PL B313 241 PL B298 120	S. Kelley <i>et al.</i> J.L. Lopez, D.V. Nanopoulos, X. War S. Mizuta, M. Yamaguchi	(TAMU, ALAH) ng (TAMU, HARC+) (TOHO)
KELLEY LOPEZ MIZUTA MORI	93 93 93C 93 93 93	PR D47 2461 PL B313 241 PL B298 120 PR D48 5505	S. Kelley et al. J.L. Lopez, D.V. Nanopoulos, X. War S. Mizuta, M. Yamaguchi M. Mori et al. (KEK, NII	(TAMU, ALAH) og (TAMU, HARC+) (TOHO) G, TOKY, TOKA+)

Page 68

BOTTINO	92	MPL A7 733	A. Bottino <i>et al.</i> (TORI, ZARA)
Also		PL B265 57	A Bottino et al (TORI INEN)
CLAV/FLLL	92	PR D46 2112	
	02	DDDI 016 052	D Decemp et al $(ALEDH Collab)$
	92	FREL 210 200	L Lease DV Nerseules K L Vier (ALLFIT Collab.)
LUPEZ	92	NP B370 445	J.L. Lopez, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.J. Yuan (TAMU)
MCDONALD	92	PL B283 80	J. McDonald, K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki (LISB+)
ROY	92	PL B283 270	D.P. Roy (CERN)
ABREU	91F	NP B367 511	P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.)
AKESSON	91	ZPHY C52 219	T. Akesson <i>et al.</i> (HELIOS Collab.)
ALEXANDER	91F	7PHY (52 175	G Alexander et al (OPAL Collab.)
	01	PI B262 100	\downarrow Antoniadis \downarrow Ellis DV Nanonoulos (EPOL \perp)
	01	DI D265 57	A Betting at al $(TOPL INEN)$
	91	PL D205 57	A. DOLLINO $et al.$ (TORI, INFIN)
GELMINI	91	NP B351 623	G.B. Gelmini, P. Gondolo, E. Roulet (UCLA, TRST)
GRIEST	91	PR D43 3191	K. Griest, D. Seckel
KAMIONKOW.	91	PR D44 3021	M. Kamionkowski (CHIC, FNAL)
MORI	91B	PL B270 89	M. Mori <i>et al.</i> (Kamiokande Collab.)
NOJIRI	91	PL B261 76	M.M. Nojiri (KEK)
OLIVE	91	NP B355 208	K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki (MINN, ÚCSB)
ROSZKOWSKI	91	PL B262 59	L Roszkowski (CERN)
SATO	01	PR D44 2220	N Sato <i>et al</i> (Kamiokande Collab)
	000	DI D244 252	Adachi at al (TOPAZ Collab.)
ADACIII	900	FL D244 332	I. Adacili et al. (TOFAZ COID.)
GRIEST	90	PR D41 3505	K. Griest, W. Kamionkowski, W.S. Turner $(UCB+)$
BARBIERI	89C	NP B313 725	R. Barbieri, M. Frigeni, G. Giudice
NAKAMURA	89	PR D39 1261	T.T. Nakamura <i>et al.</i> (KYOT, TMTC)
OLIVE	89	PL B230 78	K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki (MINN, UCSB)
ELLIS	88D	NP B307 883	J. Ellis, R. Flores
GRIEST	88B	PR D38 2357	K. Griest
OLIVE	88	PL B205 553	K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki (MINN, UCSB)
SREDNICKI	88	NP B310 693	M. Srednicki, R. Watkins, K.A. Olive (MINN, UCSB)
ALBAJAR	87D	PL B198 261	C. Albajar <i>et al.</i> (UA1 Collab.)
ANSARI	87D	PL B195 613	R. Ansari <i>et al.</i> (UA2 Collab.)
ARNOLD	87	PL B186 435	R.G. Arnold <i>et al.</i> (BRUX, DUUC, LOUC+)
NG	87	PL B188 138	K.W. Ng. K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki (MINN, UCSB)
TUTS	87	PL B186 233	P.M. Tuts <i>et al.</i> (CUSB Collab.)
ALBRECHT	86C	PL 167B 360	H Albrecht <i>et al</i> (ARGUS Collab.)
BADIER	86	7PHY C31 21	L Badier et al (NA3 Collab.)
BARNETT	86	NP 8267 625	\mathbf{P} M Barnett HE Haber CI Kano (IBI U(SCI))
	00 96	DD D24 2206	T.M. Darnett, H.E. Haber, G.E. Kane $(EDE, OCSCT)$
	00	SIND 42 405	M.P. Veleshin, J.P. Okum
VOLOSHIN	00	JINP 45 495 Translated from VAE 42	IVI.D. VOIOSIIIII, L.D. OKUII (ITEP)
	85B	DI 160R 212	A.M. Cooper Sarkar et al. (WA66 Collab.)
	050	DD D21 1501	S Deuroen E Eichten C Quier (IPI ENAL)
	00		C.D. Example (LDL, FINAL)
	85	PRL 55 895	G.R. Farrar (RUIG)
GOLDMAN	85	Physica 15D 181	I. Goldman, H.E. Haber (LAINL, UCSC)
HABER	85	PRPL 117 75	H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane (UCSC, MICH)
BALL	84	PRL 53 1314	R.C. Ball <i>et al.</i> (MICH, FIRZ, OSU, FNAL+)
BARBER	84B	PL 139B 427	J.S. Barber, R.E. Shrock (STON)
BRICK	84	PR D30 1134	D.H. Brick <i>et al.</i> (BROW, CAVE, IIT+)
ELLIS	84	NP B238 453	J. Ellis <i>et al.</i> (CERN)
FARRAR	84	PRL 53 1029	G.R. Farrar (RUTG)
BERGSMA	83C	PL 121B 429	F. Bergsma <i>et al.</i> (CHARM Collab.)
CHANOWITZ	83	PL 126B 225	M.S. Chanowitz, S. Sharpe (UCB, LBL)
GOLDBERG	83	PRL 50 1419	H. Goldberg (NEAS)
HOFFMAN	83	PR D28 660	C.M. Hoffman <i>et al.</i> (LANI AR7S)
KRAUSS	83	NP B227 556	$I M Krauss (H \Delta R V)$
VYSOTSKII	83	SINP 37 948	M I Vysotsky (ITEP)
	00	Translated from YAF 37	1597
KANE	82	PL 112B 227	G.L. Kane, J.P. Leveille (MICH)
CABIBBO	81	PI 105B 155	N Cabibbo G R Farrar I Majani (ROMA RUTG)
FARRAR	78	PL 76B 575	G R Farrar P Favet (CIT)
Also		PL 79B 442	G.R. Farrar, P. Favet (CIT)
			(01)