

$h_c(1P)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = ?^?(1^{+-})$

Quantum numbers are quark model prediction, $C = -$ established by $\eta_c \gamma$ decay.

 $h_c(1P)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
3525.41 ± 0.16 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
3525.40 ± 0.13 ± 0.18	3679	ABLIKIM	10B BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta_c$	
3525.20 ± 0.18 ± 0.12	1282	¹ DOBBS	08A CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta_c \gamma$	
3525.8 ± 0.2 ± 0.2	13	ANDREOTTI	05B E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
3525.6 ± 0.5	92 ⁺²³ ₋₂₂	ADAMS	09 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$	
3524.4 ± 0.6 ± 0.4	168 ± 40	² ROSNER	05 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta_c \gamma$	
3527 ± 8	42	ANTONIAZZI	94 E705	300 $\pi^\pm, p\text{Li} \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0 X$	
3526.28 ± 0.18 ± 0.19	59	³ ARMSTRONG	92D E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0$	
3525.4 ± 0.8 ± 0.4	5	BAGLIN	86 SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow J/\psi X$	

¹ Combination of exclusive and inclusive analyses for the reaction $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta_c \gamma$. This result is the average of DOBBS 08A and ROSNER 05.

² Superseded by DOBBS 08A.

³ Mass central value and systematic error recalculated by us according to Eq. (16) in ARMSTRONG 93B, using the value for the $\psi(2S)$ mass from AULCHENKO 03.

 $h_c(1P)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1		13	ANDREOTTI	05B E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<1.44	90	3679	⁴ ABLIKIM	10B BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta_c$
<1.1	90	59	ARMSTRONG	92D E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0$

⁴ The central value is $\Gamma = 0.73 \pm 0.45 \pm 0.28$ MeV.

 $h_c(1P)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 J/\psi(1S) \pi^0$	
$\Gamma_2 J/\psi(1S) \pi \pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_3 p\bar{p}$	
$\Gamma_4 \eta_c(1S) \gamma$	(53 ± 7)%
$\Gamma_5 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	< 2.3 × 10 ⁻³
$\Gamma_6 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$	(2.2 ^{+0.9} _{-0.8})%
$\Gamma_7 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- \pi^0$	< 3.0 %

$h_c(1P)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS **$h_c(1P) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\bar{p}p)/\Gamma(\text{total})$**

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S)\gamma) \times \Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_4\Gamma_3/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
12.0 \pm 4.5	13	⁵ ANDREOTTI	05B E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta_c\gamma$
5 Assuming $\Gamma = 1$ MeV.				

 $h_c(1P)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi\pi)/\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\pi^0) \quad \Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.18	90	ARMSTRONG 92D	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^0$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_4/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
53 \pm 7 OUR AVERAGE				

54.3 \pm 6.7 \pm 5.2 3679 ABLIKIM 10B BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma\eta_c$

50 \pm 6 \pm 10 ⁶ DOBBS 08A CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0\eta_c\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

50 \pm 7 \pm 10 1282 ⁷ DOBBS 08A CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0\eta_c\gamma$

48 \pm 13 \pm 9 168 ⁸ ROSNER 05 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0\eta_c\gamma$

⁶ Average of DOBBS 08A and ROSNER 05. DOBBS 08A reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P))] = (4.16 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.37) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)) = (8.4 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁷ DOBBS 08A reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P))] = (4.19 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.45) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)) = (8.4 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁸ ROSNER 05 reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P))] = (4.0 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)) = (8.4 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_5/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.3	⁹ ADAMS 09	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma\eta_c$

⁹ ADAMS 09 reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P))] < 0.19 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)) = 8.4 \times 10^{-4}$.

$\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_6/Γ**

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$2.2^{+0.8}_{-0.6} \pm 0.4$	92	10 ADAMS	09	CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta_c$

10 ADAMS 09 reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\text{B}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P))] = (1.88^{+0.48+0.47}_{-0.45-0.30}) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $\text{B}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)) = (8.4 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(3\pi^+ 3\pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_7/Γ**

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<3.0	11 ADAMS	09	CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta_c$

11 ADAMS 09 reports $[\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\text{B}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P))] < 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $\text{B}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P)) = 8.4 \times 10^{-4}$.

$\Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **$\Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{15}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$**

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
(4.3+-0.4) OUR AVERAGE				
4.58 $\pm 0.40 \pm 0.50$	3679	12 ABLIKIM	10B BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma X$
4.16 $\pm 0.30 \pm 0.37$	1430	13 DOBBS	08A CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta_c$

12 Not independent of other branching fractions in ABLIKIM 10B.

13 Not independent of other branching fractions in DOBBS 08A.

$h_c(1P)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	10B	PRL 104 132002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
ADAMS	09	PR D80 051106	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
DOBBS	08A	PRL 101 182003	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ANDREOTTI	05B	PR D72 032001	M. Andreotti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)
ROSNER	05	PRL 95 102003	J.L. Rosner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AULCHENKO	03	PL B573 63	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)
ANTONIAZZI	94	PR D50 4258	L. Antoniazz <i>et al.</i>	(E705 Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	93B	PR D47 772	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E760 Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	92D	PRL 69 2337	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
BAGLIN	86	PL B171 135	C. Baglin <i>et al.</i>	(LAPP, CERN, TORI, STRB+)