

$\phi(1680)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

$\phi(1680)$ MASS

e^+e^- PRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	---------

1680 ± 20 OUR ESTIMATE

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1689 \pm 7	10 ± 10	4.8k	¹ SHEN	09	BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1709 \pm 20	\pm 43		² AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
1623 \pm 20		948	³ AKHMETSHIN	03	CMD2	1.05–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0K_S^0$
~ 1500			⁴ ACHASOV	98H	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, \omega\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^-
~ 1900			⁵ ACHASOV	98H	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$
1700 \pm 20			⁶ CLEGG	94	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-, K_S^0K\pi$
1657 \pm 27		367	⁷ BISELLO	91C	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$
1655 \pm 17			⁸ BISELLO	88B	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
1680 \pm 10			⁸ BUON	82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
1677 \pm 12			⁹ MANE	82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0K\pi$

¹ From a fit with two incoherent Breit-Wigners.

² From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892)^+$ c.c. and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

³ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known.

⁴ Using data from IVANOV 81, BARKOV 87, BISELLO 88B, DOLINSKY 91, and ANTONELLI 92.

⁵ Using the data from BISELLO 91C.

⁶ Using BISELLO 88B and MANE 82 data.

⁷ From global fit including ρ , ω , ϕ and $\rho(1700)$ assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitation.

⁸ From global fit of ρ , ω , ϕ and their radial excitations to channels $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^- , $K_S^0K_L^0$, $K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$. Assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitations, mass 1570 and width 500 MeV for ω radial excitation.

⁹ Fit to one channel only, neglecting interference with ω , $\rho(1700)$.

PHOTOPRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1753 \pm 3	¹⁰ LINK	02K	FOCS	$20\text{--}160 \gamma p \rightarrow K^+K^-p$
1726 \pm 22	¹⁰ BUSENITZ	89	TPS	$\gamma p \rightarrow K^+K^-X$
1760 \pm 20	¹⁰ ATKINSON	85C	OMEG	$20\text{--}70 \gamma p \rightarrow K\bar{K}X$
1690 \pm 10	¹⁰ ASTON	81F	OMEG	$25\text{--}70 \gamma p \rightarrow K^+K^-X$

¹⁰ We list here a state decaying into K^+K^- possibly different from $\phi(1680)$.

$p\bar{p}$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1700±8	11 AMSLER	06 CBAR	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
11 Could also be $\rho(1700)$.			

$\phi(1680)$ WIDTH

e⁺e⁻ PRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
150±50 OUR ESTIMATE This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.				

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

211±14± 19	4.8k	12 SHEN	09 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
322±77±160		13 AUBERT	08S BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
139±60	948	14 AKHMETSHIN	03 CMD2	$1.05-1.38 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
300±60		15 CLEGG	94 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K\pi$
146±55	367	16 BISELLO	91C DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
207±45		16 BISELLO	88B DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
185±22		17 BUON	82 DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
102±36		18 MANE	82 DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K\pi$

12 From a fit with two incoherent Breit-Wigners.

13 From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892)^+$ c.c. and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

14 From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known.

15 Using BISELLO 88B and MANE 82 data.

16 From global fit including ρ , ω , ϕ and $\rho(1700)$.

17 From global fit of ρ , ω , ϕ and their radial excitations to channels $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^- , $K_S^0 K_L^0$, $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$. Assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitations, mass 1570 and width 500 MeV for ω radial excitation.

18 Fit to one channel only, neglecting interference with ω , $\rho(1700)$.

PHOTOPRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
122±63	19 LINK	02K FOCS	$20-160 \gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- p$
121±47	19 BUSENITZ	89 TPS	$\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$
80±40	19 ATKINSON	85C OMEG	$20-70 \gamma p \rightarrow K\bar{K}X$
100±40	19 ASTON	81F OMEG	$25-70 \gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$

19 We list here a state decaying into $K^+ K^-$ possibly different from $\phi(1680)$.

$p\bar{p}$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
143±24	20 AMSLER	06 CBAR	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
20 Could also be $\rho(1700)$.			

$\phi(1680)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	dominant
$\Gamma_2 K_S^0 K\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_3 K\bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_4 K_L^0 K_S^0$	
$\Gamma_5 e^+ e^-$	seen
$\Gamma_6 \omega\pi\pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_7 \phi\pi\pi$	
$\Gamma_8 K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_9 \phi\eta$	
$\Gamma_{10} K^+ K^- \pi^0$	

$\phi(1680) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$

This combination of a branching ratio into channel (i) and branching ratio into e^+e^- is directly measured and obtained from the cross section at the peak. We list only data that have not been used to determine the branching ratio into (i) or e^+e^- .

$\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
0.131 ± 0.059	948	²¹ AKHMETSHIN 03	CMD2	$1.05-1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$

²¹ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known. Recalculated by us.

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$1.15 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.01$	22 AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma +$ c.c.	
3.29 ± 1.57	367 ²³ BISELLO	91C DM2	$1.35-2.40 e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$	

²² From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

²³ Recalculated by us with the published value of $B(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)$.

$\Gamma(\phi\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_7/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$1.86 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.21$	4.8k ²⁴ SHEN	09 BELL	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$	
²⁴ Multiplied by 3/2 to take into account the $\phi\pi^0\pi^0$ mode. Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (49.2 \pm 0.6)\%$.				

$\Gamma(\phi\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
$0.43 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.09$	25 AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\eta\gamma$
25 From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.			

$\phi(1680)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(K_S^0 K\pi)$

Γ_1/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
dominant	MANE	82	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})$

Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
0.07 ± 0.01	BUON	82	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})$

Γ_6/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.10	BUON	82	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\phi\eta)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})$

Γ_9/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
≈ 0.37	26 AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
26 From the fit including data from AUBERT 07AK.			

$\phi(1680)$ REFERENCES

SHEN	09	PR D80 031101R	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	07AK	PR D76 012008	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AMSLER	06	PL B639 165	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(CBAR Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	03	PL B551 27	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
Also		PAN 65 1222	E.V. Anashkin, V.M. Aulchenko, R.R. Akhmetshin	
		Translated from YAF 65 1255.	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
LINK	02K	PL B545 50	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	
ACHASOV	98H	PR D57 4334	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
ANTONELLI	92	ZPHY C56 15	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
BISELLO	91C	ZPHY C52 227	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	J.K. Busenitz <i>et al.</i>	(ILL, FNAL)
BUSENITZ	89	PR D40 1	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(PADO, CLER, FRAS+)
BISELLO	88B	ZPHY C39 13	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BARKOV	87	JETPL 46 164	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
		Translated from ZETFP 46 132.	J. Buon <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, MONP)
ATKINSON	85C	ZPHY C27 233	F. Mane <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
BUON	82	PL 118B 221	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
MANE	82	PL 112B 178	P.M. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
ASTON	81F	PL 104B 231	F. Mane <i>et al.</i>	(ORsay)
IVANOV	81	PL 107B 297		
MANE	81	PL 99B 261		