# Supersymmetric Particle Searches

A REVIEW GOES HERE - Check our WWW List of Reviews A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

#### SUPERSYMMETRIC MODEL ASSUMPTIONS

The exclusion of particle masses within a mass range  $(m_1, m_2)$  will be denoted with the notation "none  $m_1 - m_2$ " in the VALUE column of the following Listings

A REVIEW GOES HERE - Check our WWW List of Reviews

## $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (Lightest Neutralino) MASS LIMIT

 $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  is often assumed to be the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP). See also the

We have divided the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  listings below into five sections:

- 1) Accelerator limits for stable  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ ,
- 2) Bounds on  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  from dark matter searches, 3) Bounds on  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  elastic cross sections from dark matter searches,
- 4) Other bounds on  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  from astrophysics and cosmology, and
- 5) Bounds on unstable  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ .

# - Accelerator limits for stable $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$

Unless otherwise stated, results in this section assume spectra, production rates, decay modes, and branching ratios as evaluated in the MSSM, with gaugino and sfermion mass unification at the GUT scale. These papers generally study production of  $\widetilde{\chi}_{i}^{0}\widetilde{\chi}_{i}^{0}$  ( $i \geq 1, j \geq 2$ ),  $\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}$ , and (in the case of hadronic collisions)  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^+\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$  pairs. The mass limits on  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  are either direct, or follow indirectly from the constraints set by the non-observation of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm$  and  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$  states on the gaugino and higgsino MSSM parameters  $M_2$  and  $\mu$ . In some cases, information is used from the nonobservation of slepton decays.

Obsolete limits obtained from  $e^+e^-$  collisions up to  $\sqrt{s}$ =184 GeV have been removed from this compilation and can be found in the 2000 Edition (The European Physical Journal C15 1 (2000)) of this Review.

$$\Delta m = m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}.$$

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		ECN	COMMENT
>40	95	$^{ m 1}$ abbiendi	04H O	PAL	all tan $eta$ , $\Delta m > 5$ GeV,
					$m_0 >$ 500 GeV, $A_0 = 0$
>42.4	95	<sup>2</sup> HEISTER	04 A	LEP	all $ aneta$ , all $\Delta m$ , all $m_0$
>39.2	95	<sup>3</sup> ABDALLAH	03M D	LPH	all tan $eta$ , $m_{\widetilde{ u}}>$ 500 GeV
>46	95	<sup>4</sup> ABDALLAH	03M D	LPH	all $tan\beta$ , all $\Delta m$ , all $m_0$
>32.5	95	<sup>5</sup> ACCIARRI	00D L	3	$ aneta > 0.7$ , $\Delta m > 3$ GeV, all $m_0$

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 1

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

		<sup>6</sup> DREINER	09	THEO	
		<sup>7</sup> ABBOTT	98C	D0	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^0$
>41	95	<sup>8</sup> ABE	98J	CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^{\overline{0}}$

- $^1$  ABBIENDI 04H search for charginos and neutralinos in events with acoplanar leptons+jets and multi-jet final states in the 192–209 GeV data, combined with the results on leptonic final states from ABBIENDI 04. The results hold for a scan over the parameter space covering the region 0 <  $M_2$  <5000 GeV, -1000 <  $\mu$  <1000 GeV and tan $\beta$  from 1 to 40. This limit supersedes ABBIENDI 00H.
- <sup>2</sup> HEISTER 04 data collected up to 209 GeV. Updates earlier analysis of selectrons from HEISTER 02E, includes a new analysis of charginos and neutralinos decaying into stau and uses results on charginos with initial state radiation from HEISTER 02J. The limit is based on the direct search for charginos and neutralinos, the constraints from the slepton search and the Higgs mass limits from HEISTER 02 using a top mass of 175 GeV, interpreted in a framework with universal gaugino and sfermion masses. Assuming the mixing in the stau sector to be negligible, the limit improves to 43.1 GeV. Under the assumption of MSUGRA with unification of the Higgs and sfermion masses, the limit improves to 50 GeV, and reaches 53 GeV for  $A_0=0$ . These limits include and update the results of BARATE 01.
- <sup>3</sup> ABDALLAH 03M uses data from  $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV. A limit on the mass of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  is derived from direct searches for neutralinos combined with the chargino search. Neutralinos are searched in the production of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ ,  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0\widetilde{\chi}_3^0$ , as well as  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0\widetilde{\chi}_3^0$  and  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0\widetilde{\chi}_4^0$  giving rise to cascade decays, and  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$  and  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ , followed by the decay  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \to \widetilde{\tau}\tau$ . The results hold for the parameter space defined by values of  $M_2 < 1$  TeV,  $|\mu| \le 2$  TeV with the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  as LSP. The limit is obtained for  $\tan\beta = 1$  and large  $m_0$ , where  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0\widetilde{\chi}_4^0$  and chargino pair production are important. If the constraint from Higgs searches is also imposed, the limit improves to 49.0 GeV in the M $_h^{max}$  scenario with  $m_t=174.3$  GeV. These limits update the results of ABREU 00J.
- $^4$  ABDALLAH 03M uses data from  $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV. An indirect limit on the mass of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  is derived by constraining the MSSM parameter space by the results from direct searches for neutralinos (including cascade decays and  $\widetilde{\tau}\tau$  final states), for charginos (for all  $\Delta m_+$ ) and for sleptons, stop and sbottom. The results hold for the full parameter space defined by values of  $M_2<1$  TeV,  $|\mu|\leq 2$  TeV with the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  as LSP. Constraints from the Higgs search in the  $M_h^{max}$  scenario assuming  $m_t$ =174.3 GeV are included. The limit is obtained for  $\tan\beta\geq 5$  when stau mixing leads to mass degeneracy between  $\widetilde{\tau}_1$  and  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  and the limit is based on  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$  production followed by its decay to  $\widetilde{\tau}_1\tau$ . In the pathological scenario where  $m_0$  and  $|\mu|$  are large, so that the  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$  production cross section is negligible, and where there is mixing in the stau sector but not in stop nor sbottom, the limit is based on charginos with soft decay products and an ISR photon. The limit then degrades to 39 GeV. See Figs 40–42 for the dependence of the limit on  $\tan\beta$  and  $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}$ . These limits update the results of ABREU 00W.
- $^5$  ACCIARRI 00D data collected at  $\sqrt{s}{=}189$  GeV. The results hold over the full parameter space defined by 0.7  $\leq$   $\tan\!\beta \leq$  60,  $0 \leq M_2 \leq$  2 TeV,  $m_0 \leq$  500 GeV,  $|\mu| \leq$  2 TeV The minimum mass limit is reached for  $\tan\!\beta{=}1$  and large  $m_0$ . The results of slepton searches from ACCIARRI 99W are used to help set constraints in the region of small  $m_0$ . The limit improves to 48 GeV for  $m_0 \gtrsim$  200 GeV and  $\tan\!\beta \gtrsim$  10. See their Figs. 6–8 for the  $\tan\!\beta$  and  $m_0$  dependence of the limits. Updates ACCIARRI 98F.
- <sup>6</sup> DREINER 09 show that in the general MSSM with non-universal gaugino masses there exists no model-independent laboratory bound on the mass of the lightest neutralino. An essentially massless  $\chi_1^0$  is allowed by the experimental and observational data, imposing some constraints on other MSSM parameters, including  $M_2$ ,  $\mu$  and the slepton and squark masses.

- $^7$  ABBOTT 98C searches for trilepton final states  $(\ell{=}e,\!\mu).$  See footnote to ABBOTT 98C in the Chargino Section for details on the assumptions. Assuming a negligible decay rate of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm$  and  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$  to quarks, they obtain  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} \gtrsim$  51 GeV.
- <sup>8</sup> ABE 98J searches for trilepton final states ( $\ell=e,\mu$ ). See footnote to ABE 98J in the Chargino Section for details on the assumptions. The quoted result corresponds to the best limit within the selected range of parameters, obtained for  $m_{\widetilde{q}} > m_{\widetilde{g}}$ ,  $\tan\beta=2$ , and  $\mu=-600$  GeV.

# - Bounds on $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{f 0}$ from dark matter searches $\cdot$

These papers generally exclude regions in the  $M_2-\mu$  parameter plane assuming that  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  is the dominant form of dark matter in the galactic halo. These limits are based on the lack of detection in laboratory experiments, telescopes, or by the absence of a signal in underground neutrino detectors. The latter signal is expected if  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  accumulates in the Sun or the Earth and annihilates into high-energy  $\nu^{\rm T}$ s.

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

1	ABDO	10	FRMI
2	ACKERMANN	10	FRMI
3	ABBASI	<b>09</b> B	ICCB
4	<b>ACHTERBERG</b>	06	AMND
5	ACKERMANN	06	AMND
6	DEBOER	06	RVUE
	DESAI	04	SKAM
7	AMBROSIO	99	MCRO
8	LOSECCO	95	RVUE
9	MORI	93	KAMI
10	BOTTINO	92	COSM
11	BOTTINO	91	RVUE
12	GELMINI	91	COSM
13	KAMIONKOW.	91	RVUE
14	MORI	<b>91</b> B	KAMI
15	OLIVE	88	COSM

none 4–15 GeV

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ABDO 10 place upper limits on the annihilation cross section with  $\gamma\gamma$  or  $\mu^+\mu^-$  final states.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ACKERMANN 10 place upper limits on the annihilation cross section with  $b\overline{b}$  or  $\mu^+\mu^-$  final states.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  ABBASI 09 is based on data collected during 104.3 effective days with the IceCube 22-string detector. They looked for interactions of  $\nu_{\mu}$ 's from neutralino annihilations in the Sun over a background of atmospheric neutrinos and set 90% CL limits on the muon flux. They also obtain limits on the spin dependent neutralino–proton cross section for neutralino masses in the range 250–5000 GeV.

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  ACHTERBERG 06 is based on data collected during 421.9 effective days with the AMANDA detector. They looked for interactions of  $\nu_{\mu}$ s from the centre of the Earth over a background of atmospheric neutrinos and set 90 % CL limits on the muon flux. Their limit is compared with the muon flux expected from neutralino annihilations into  $W^+\,W^-$  and  $b\,\overline{b}$  at the centre of the Earth for MSSM parameters compatible with the relic dark matter density, see their Fig. 7.

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  ACKERMANN 06 is based on data collected during 143.7 days with the AMANDA-II detector. They looked for interactions of  $\nu_\mu$ s from the Sun over a background of

- atmospheric neutrinos and set 90 % CL limits on the muon flux. Their limit is compared with the muon flux expected from neutralino annihilations into  $W^+W^-$  in the Sun for SUSY model parameters compatible with the relic dark matter density, see their Fig. 3.
- <sup>6</sup> DEBOER 06 interpret an excess of diffuse Galactic gamma rays observed with the EGRET satellite as originating from  $\pi^0$  decays from the annihilation of neutralinos into quark jets. They analyze the corresponding parameter space in a supergravity inspired MSSM model with radiative electroweak symmetry breaking, see their Fig. 3 for the preferred region in the  $(m_0, m_{1/2})$  plane of a scenario with large  $\tan \beta$ .
- <sup>7</sup> AMBROSIO 99 and DESAI 04 set new neutrino flux limits which can be used to limit the parameter space in supersymmetric models based on neutralino annihilation in the Sun and the Earth.
- <sup>8</sup>LOSECCO 95 reanalyzed the IMB data and places lower limit on  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$  of 18 GeV if the LSP is a photino and 10 GeV if the LSP is a higgsino based on LSP annihilation in the sun producing high-energy neutrinos and the limits on neutrino fluxes from the IMB detector
- 9 MORI 93 excludes some region in  $M_2$ - $\mu$  parameter space depending on  $\tan\beta$  and lightest scalar Higgs mass for neutralino dark matter  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}0} > m_W$ , using limits on upgoing muons produced by energetic neutrinos from neutralino annihilation in the Sun and the Earth.
- $^{10}$  BOTTINO 92 excludes some region  $M_2\text{-}\mu$  parameter space assuming that the lightest neutralino is the dark matter, using upgoing muons at Kamiokande, direct searches by Ge detectors, and by LEP experiments. The analysis includes top radiative corrections on Higgs parameters and employs two different hypotheses for nucleon-Higgs coupling. Effects of rescaling in the local neutralino density according to the neutralino relic abundance are taken into account.
- $^{11}$  BOTTINO 91 excluded a region in  $M_2-\mu$  plane using upgoing muon data from Kamioka experiment, assuming that the dark matter surrounding us is composed of neutralinos and that the Higgs boson is not too heavy.
- $^{12}$  GELMINI 91 exclude a region in  $M_2-\mu$  plane using dark matter searches.
- $^{13}$  KAMIONKOWSKI 91 excludes a region in the  $M_2$ - $\mu$  plane using IMB limit on upgoing muons originated by energetic neutrinos from neutralino annihilation in the sun, assuming that the dark matter is composed of neutralinos and that  $m_{H_1^0} \lesssim 50$  GeV. See Fig. 8 in the paper.
- $^{14}$  MORI 91B exclude a part of the region in the  $M_2-\mu$  plane with  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1}\lesssim 80$  GeV using a limit on upgoing muons originated by energetic neutrinos from neutralino annihilation in the earth, assuming that the dark matter surrounding us is composed of neutralinos and that  $m_{H^0_1}\lesssim 80$  GeV.
- 15 OLIVE 88 result assumes that photinos make up the dark matter in the galactic halo. Limit is based on annihilations in the sun and is due to an absence of high energy neutrinos detected in underground experiments. The limit is model dependent.

# $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ -p elastic cross section —

Experimental results on the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ -p elastic cross section are evaluated at  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ =100 GeV. The experimental results on the cross section are often mass dependent. Therefore, the mass and cross section results are also given where the limit is strongest, when appropriate. Results are quoted separately for spin-dependent interactions (based on an effective 4-Fermi Lagrangian of the form  $\overline{\chi}\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\chi\overline{q}\gamma_\mu\gamma^5q$ ) and spin-independent interactions ( $\overline{\chi}\chi\overline{q}\,q$ ). For calculational details see GRIEST 88B, ELLIS 88D, BAR-BIERI 89C, DREES 93B, ARNOWITT 96, BERGSTROM 96, and BAER 97 in addition to the theory papers listed in the Tables. For a description of the theoretical assumptions and experimental techniques underlying most

of the listed papers, see the review on "Dark matter" in this "Review of Particle Physics," and references therein. Most of the following papers use galactic halo and nuclear interaction assumptions from (LEWIN 96).

## Spin-dependent interactions

VALUE (pb) CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the follow	ing data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. • • •
< 0.07 90	$^{ m 1}$ BEHNKE	11	COUP	CF <sub>3</sub> I
< 0.3 90	<sup>2</sup> ARCHAMBAU.	.09	PICA	F
< 0.8 90	<sup>3</sup> LEBEDENKO	09A	ZEP3	Xe
< 1 90	<sup>4</sup> ANGLE		XE10	Xe
< 0.055	<sup>5</sup> BEDNYAKOV	80	HDMS	Ge
< 0.33 90	<sup>6</sup> BUHNKE	80	COUP	CF <sub>3</sub> I
< 15 90	<sup>7</sup> ALNER	07	ZEP2	Xe
< 0.17 90	<sup>8</sup> LEE	07A	KIMS	Csl
< 5	<sup>9</sup> AKERIB	06	CDMS	Ge
< 2	<sup>10</sup> SHIMIZU	06A	CNTR	CaF <sub>2</sub>
< 0.4	<sup>11</sup> ALNER	05	NAIA	Nal Spin Dep.
< 2	<sup>12</sup> BARNABE-HE.	.05	PICA	C
< 1.4	<sup>13</sup> GIRARD	05	SMPL	F, CI
$2 \times 10^{-11}$ to $1 \times 10^{-4}$	<sup>14</sup> ELLIS	04	THEO	$\mu > 0$
< 16	<sup>15</sup> GIULIANI	04	SIMP	F
< 0.8	<sup>16</sup> AHMED	03	NAIA	Nal Spin Dep.
< 40	<sup>17</sup> TAKEDA	03	BOLO	NaF Spin Dep.
< 10 _	<sup>18</sup> ANGLOHER	02	CRES	Saphire
$8 \times 10^{-7}$ to $2 \times 10^{-5}$	<sup>19</sup> ELLIS	<b>01</b> C	THEO	$ an\!eta \leq 10$
< 3.8	<sup>20</sup> BERNABEI	<b>00</b> D	DAMA	Xe
< 15	<sup>21</sup> COLLAR	00	SMPL	
< 0.8	SPOONER	00	UKDM	
< 4.8	<sup>22</sup> BELLI	<b>99</b> C		
<100	<sup>23</sup> OOTANI	99	BOLO	
< 0.6	BERNABEI	98C		
< 5	<sup>22</sup> BERNABEI	97	DAMA	F

 $<sup>^1\,\</sup>mathrm{The}$  strongest limit is 0.05 pb and occurs at  $m_\chi=$  55 GeV.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  The strongest limit is 0.16 pb and occurs at  $m_\chi=24$  GeV. The strongest limit for the scattering on neutrons is 2.6 pb, also at  $m_\chi=24$  GeV.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  The strongest upper limit is 0.76 pb and occurs at  $m_\chi \simeq 55$  GeV. The strongest limit on the neutron spin-dependent cross section is 0.01 pb, also at  $m_\chi \simeq 55$  GeV (the same limit is achieved for  $m_\chi = 100$  GeV).

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  The strongest limit is 0.6 pb and occurs at  $m_\chi =$  30 GeV. The limit for scattering on neutrons is 0.01 pb at  $m_\chi =$  100 GeV, and the strongest limit is 0.0045 pb at  $m_\chi =$  \_30 GeV.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Limit applies to neutron elastic cross section.

 $<sup>^6\,\</sup>mathrm{The}$  strongest upper limit is 0.25 pb and occurs at  $m_\chi \simeq 40$  GeV.

 $<sup>^7</sup>$  The strongest upper limit is 14 pb and occurs at  $m_\chi \simeq 65$  GeV. The limit on the neutron spin-dependent cross section is 0.08 pb at  $m_\chi = 100$  GeV and the strongest limit for scattering on neutrons is 0.07 pb at  $m_\chi = 65$  GeV.

 $<sup>^8\,\</sup>mathrm{The}$  limit on the neutron spin-dependent cross section is 6 pb at  $m_\chi=100$  GeV.

- $^9$  The strongest upper limit is 4 pb and occurs at  $m_\chi\simeq 60$  GeV. The limit on the neutron spin-dependent elastic cross section is 0.07 pb. This latter limit is improved in AHMED 09, where a limit of 0.02 pb is obtained at  $m_\chi=100$  GeV. The strongest limit in AHMED 09 is 0.018 pb and occurs at  $m_\chi=60$  GeV.
- $^{10}$  The strongest upper limit is 1.2 pb and occurs at  $m_\chi\simeq 40$  GeV. The limit on the neutron spin-dependent cross section is 35 pb.
- $^{11}$  The strongest upper limit is 0.35 pb and occurs at  $m_\chi \simeq 60$  GeV.
- $^{12}\,\mathrm{The}$  strongest upper limit is 1.2 pb and occurs  $m_\chi^{}~\simeq~30$  GeV.
- $^{13}\,\mathrm{The}$  strongest upper limit is 1.2 pb and occurs  $m_\chi^{\sim} \simeq 40$  GeV.
- <sup>14</sup> ELLIS 04 calculates the  $\chi p$  elastic scattering cross section in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry, but without universal scalar masses. In the case of universal squark and slepton masses, but non-universal Higgs masses, the limit becomes  $2 \times 10^{-4}$ , see ELLIS 03E.
- $^{15}$  The strongest upper limit is 10 pb and occurs at  $m_\chi \simeq$  30 GeV.
- $^{16}\,\mathrm{The}$  strongest upper limit is 0.75 pb and occurs at  $m_\chi\approx$  70 GeV.
- $^{17}$  The strongest upper limit is 30 pb and occurs at  $m_{\chi} \approx 20$  GeV.
- $^{18}\,\mathrm{The}$  strongest upper limit is 8 pb and occurs at  $m_{_Y} \simeq$  30 GeV.
- $^{19}$  ELLIS 01C calculates the  $\chi$ -p elastic scattering cross section in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry. In models with nonuniversal Higgs masses, the upper limit to the cross section is  $6 \times 10^{-4}$ .
- <sup>20</sup> The strongest upper limit is 3 pb and occurs at  $m_{\chi} \simeq$  60 GeV. The limits are for inelastic scattering  $\chi^0 + {}^{129}\text{Xe} \rightarrow \chi^0 + {}^{129}\text{Xe}^*$  (39.58 keV).
- $^{21}\,\mathrm{The}$  strongest upper limit is 9 pb and occurs at  $m_\chi \simeq 30$  GeV.
- $^{22}\,\mathrm{The}$  strongest upper limit is 4.4 pb and occurs at  $m_\chi \simeq 60$  GeV.
- $^{23}\,\mathrm{The}$  strongest upper limit is about 35 pb and occurs at  $m_\chi\simeq 15$  GeV.

## Spin-independent interactions

VALUE (pb)	CL%		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	following	g d	ata for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. • • •
$< 4 \times 10^{-8}$	90		AHMED	10	CDMS	Ge
$< 4 \times 10^{-8}$	90	2	APRILE	10	X100	Xe
$< 1 \times 10^{-7}$	90	3	ARMENGAUD	10	EDE2	Ge
$1 \times 10^{-10}$ to $1 \times 10^{-7}$			CAO	10	THEO	
$< 5 \times 10^{-8}$	90		AHMED	09	CDMS	Ge
$< 7 \times 10^{-7}$	90	6	ANGLOHER	09	CRES	CaWO <sub>4</sub>
$3 \times 10^{-10}$ to $3 \times 10^{-8}$	95	7	BUCHMUEL	09	THEO	·
$< 1 \times 10^{-7}$	90	8	LEBEDENKO	09	ZEP3	Xe
$< 1 \times 10^{-7}$	90	9	ANGLE	80	XE10	Xe
$< 1 \times 10^{-6}$	90		BENETTI	80	WARP	Ar
$< 7.5 \times 10^{-7}$	90		ALNER	07A	ZEP2	Xe
$< 22 \times 10^{-7}$	90		LEE	07A	KIMS	Csl
$< 2 \times 10^{-7}$			AKERIB	06A	CDMS	Ge
$< 90 \times 10^{-7}$			LEE	06	KIMS	Csl
$< 5 \times 10^{-7}$		14	AKERIB	05	CDMS	Ge
$< 90 \times 10^{-7}$			ALNER	05	NAIA	Nal Spin Indep.
$< 12 \times 10^{-7}$			ALNER	05A	ZEPL	
$< 20 \times 10^{-7}$		16	ANGLOHER	05	CRES	CaWO <sub>4</sub>
$< 14 \times 10^{-7}$			SANGLARD	05	EDEL	Ge

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 6

```
<sup>17</sup> AKERIB
< 4 \times 10^{-7}
                                                                       CDMS Ge
                                      ^{18,19} ELLIS
2 \times 10^{-11} to 8 \times 10^{-6}
                                                                       THEO \mu > 0
< 5 \times 10^{-8}
                                         <sup>20</sup> PIERCE
                                                                04A THEO
                                         <sup>21</sup> AHMED
 < 2 \times 10^{-5}
                                                                       NAIA
                                                                               Nal Spin Indep.
 < 3 \times 10^{-6}
                                         <sup>22</sup> AKERIB
                                                                03
                                                                       CDMS Ge
2 \times 10^{-13} to 2 \times 10^{-7}
                                         <sup>23</sup> BAER
                                                                03A THEO
 < 1.4 \times 10^{-5}
                                         <sup>24</sup> KLAPDOR-K... 03
                                                                      HDMS Ge
                                         <sup>25</sup> ABRAMS
 < 6 \times 10^{-6}
                                                                       CDMS Ge
                                         <sup>26</sup> BENOIT
 < 1.4 \times 10^{-6}
                                                                       EDEL Ge
1 \times 10^{-12} to 7 \times 10^{-6}
                                         <sup>18</sup> KIM
                                                                02B THEO
                                         <sup>27</sup> MORALES
 < 3 \times 10^{-5}
                                                                02B CSME Ge
 < 1 \times 10^{-5}
                                         <sup>28</sup> MORALES
                                                                02C IGEX
 < 1 \times 10^{-6}
                                             BALTZ
                                                                       THEO
                                         <sup>29</sup> BAUDIS
 < 3 \times 10^{-5}
                                                                      HDMS Ge
 < 4.5 \times 10^{-6}
                                             BENOIT
                                                                01
                                                                      EDEL Ge
                                         <sup>30</sup> BOTTINO
< 7 \times 10^{-6}
                                                                       THEO
< 1 \times 10^{-8}
                                         <sup>31</sup> CORSETTI
                                                                01
                                                                       THEO tan \beta \leq 25
5\!\times\!10^{-10} to 1.5\!\times\!10^{-8}
                                         <sup>32</sup> ELLIS
                                                                01C THEO tan \beta \leq 10
                                         <sup>31</sup> GOMEZ
< 4 \times 10^{-6}
2\times10^{-10} to 1\times10^{-7}
                                         <sup>31</sup> LAHANAS
                                                                01
                                                                       THEO
< 3 \times 10^{-6}
                                             ABUSAIDI
                                                                      CDMS Ge, Si
                                         33 ACCOMANDO 00
 < 6 \times 10^{-7}
                                                                      THEO
                                         <sup>34</sup> BERNABEI
                                                                      DAMA Nal
2.5{\times}10^{-9} to 3.5{\times}10^{-8}
                                         <sup>35</sup> FENG
                                                                      THEO tan\beta=10
< 1.5 \times 10^{-5}
                                                                00
                                                                      IGEX Ge
                                             MORALES
 < 4 \times 10^{-5}
                                                                00 UKDM Nal
                                             SPOONER
                                                                99 HDMO <sup>76</sup>Ge
 < 7 \times 10^{-6}
                                             BAUDIS
                                         <sup>36</sup> BERNABEI
                                                                       DAMA Nal
                                         <sup>37</sup> BERNABEI
                                                                98
                                                                      DAMA Nal
< 7 \times 10^{-6}
                                                                98C DAMA Xe
                                             BERNABEI
```

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  The strongest upper limit is  $<3.8\times10^{-8}$  pb and occurs at  $m_{\chi}~\simeq~70$  GeV.

 $<sup>^2\,\</sup>mathrm{The}$  strongest upper limit is  $<3.4\times10^{-8}$  pb and occurs at  $m_\chi^{\sim}~\simeq~55$  GeV.

 $<sup>^3\,\</sup>mathrm{The}$  strongest limit is at  $m_\chi=80$  GeV.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Uses relic density and various collider experiments to set limits on neutralino-nucleon cross section in MSSM models with gaugino mass unification.

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  AHMED 09 updates the results of AKERIB 06A. The strongest limit is 4.6  $\times$  10  $^{-8}$  pb and occurs at  $m_\chi=60$  GeV.

 $<sup>^6\,\</sup>mathrm{The}$  strongest upper limit is  $4.8\times10^{-7}~\mathrm{pb}$  and occurs at  $m_\chi=50~\mathrm{GeV}.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> BUCHMUELLER 09 makes predictions for the spin-independent elastic cross section based on a frequentist approach to electroweak observables in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry.

 $<sup>^8</sup>$  The strongest upper limit is  $8.1 \times 10^{-8}$  pb and occurs at  $m_\chi = 60$  GeV.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The strongest upper limit is  $5.1 \times 10^{-8}$  pb and occurs at  $m_\chi \simeq 30$  GeV. The values quoted here are based on the analysis performed in ANGLE 08 with the update from SORENSEN 09.

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>$  The strongest upper limit is 6.6  $\times$   $10^{-7}$  pb and occurs at  $m_\chi \simeq 65$  GeV.

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>$  The strongest upper limit is  $19\times 10^{-7}$  pb and occurs at  $m_\chi \simeq$  65 GeV. Supersedes LEE 06.

- $^{12}$ AKERIB 06A updates the results of AKERIB 05. The strongest upper limit is 1.6 imes $10^{-7}$  pb and occurs at  $m_\chi~\approx~60$  GeV.
- $^{13}$  The strongest upper limit is  $8 \times 10^{-6}$  pb and occurs at  $m_\chi \simeq 70$  GeV.
- $^{14}\,\mathrm{AKERIB}$  05 is incompatible with the DAMA most likely value. The strongest upper limit is  $4 \times 10^{-7}$  pb and occurs at  $m_{_Y} \simeq 60$  GeV.
- $^{15}$  The strongest upper limit is also close to  $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$  pb and occurs at  $m_{\chi} \simeq 70$  GeV. BENOIT 06 claim that the discrimination power of ZEPLIN-I measurement (ALNER 05A) is not reliable enough to obtain a limit better than  $1\times 10^{-3}~\text{pb}$ . However, SMITH 06 do not agree with the criticisms of BENOIT 06.
- $^{16}$  The strongest upper limit is also close to  $1.4 \times 10^{-6}$  pb and occurs at  $m_{_Y} \simeq 70$  GeV.
- $^{17}$  AKERIB 04 is incompatible with BERNABEI 00 most likely value, under the assumption of standard WIMP-halo interactions. The strongest upper limit is  $4 \times 10^{-7}$  pb and occurs at  $m_{_Y} \simeq 60$  GeV.
- $^{18}$  KIM 02 and ELLIS 04 calculate the  $\chi p$  elastic scattering cross section in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry, but without universal scalar masses.
- <sup>19</sup> In the case of universal squark and slepton masses, but non-universal Higgs masses, the limit becomes  $2 \times 10^{-6}$  ( $2 \times 10^{-11}$  when constraint from the BNL g-2 experiment are included), see ELLIS 03E. ELLIS 05 display the sensitivity of the elastic scattering cross section to the  $\pi$ -Nucleon  $\Sigma$  term.
- $^{20}$  PIERCE 04A calculates the  $\chi p$  elastic scattering cross section in the framework of models with very heavy scalar masses. See Fig. 2 of the paper.
- $^{21}\,\mathrm{The}$  strongest upper limit is  $1.8\times10^{-5}\,$  pb and occurs at  $m_\chi\approx80\,$  GeV.
- $^{22}$  Under the assumption of standard WIMP-halo interactions, Akerib 03 is incompatible with BERNABEI 00 most likely value at the 99.98% CL. See Fig. 4.
- $^{23}$  BAER 03A calculates the  $\chi p$  elastic scattering cross section in several models including the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak
- <sup>24</sup> The strongest upper limit is  $7 \times 10^{-6}$  pb and occurs at  $m_{\gamma} \simeq 30$  GeV.
- $^{25}\,\mathrm{ABRAMS}$  02 is incompatible with the DAMA most likely value at the 99.9% CL. The strongest upper limit is  $3 \times 10^{-6}$  pb and occurs at  $m_{\chi} \simeq 30$  GeV.
- $^{26}$  BENOIT 02 excludes the central result of DAMA at the 99.8%CL.  $^{27}$  The strongest upper limit is 2  $\times$  10  $^{-5}$  pb and occurs at  $m_\chi \simeq$  40 GeV.
- $^{28}\,\mathrm{The}$  strongest upper limit is  $7\times10^{-6}\,$  pb and occurs at  $m_\chi\simeq$  46 GeV.
- $^{29}$  The strongest upper limit is  $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$  pb and occurs at  $m_\chi \simeq$  32 GeV
- $^{30}$  BOTTINO  $^{01}$  calculates the  $\chi$ -p elastic scattering cross section in the framework of the following supersymmetric models: N=1 supergravity with the radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry, N=1 supergravity with nonuniversal scalar masses and an effective MSSM model at the electroweak scale.
- 31 Calculates the  $\chi$ -p elastic scattering cross section in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry.
- $^{32}$  ELLIS 01C calculates the  $\chi$ -p elastic scattering cross section in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry. EL-LIS 02B find a range  $2\times10^{-8}$ – $1.5\times10^{-7}$  at  $\tan\beta$ =50. In models with nonuniversal Higgs masses, the upper limit to the cross section is  $4 \times 10^{-7}$ .
- $^{33}$  ACCOMANDO 00 calculate the  $\chi$ -p elastic scattering cross section in the framework of minimal N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry. The limit is relaxed by at least an order of magnitude when models with nonuniversal scalar masses are considered. A subset of the authors in ARNOWITT 02 updated the limit to  $< 9 \times 10^{-8}$  (tan $\beta$  < 55).

- $^{34}$  BERNABEI 00 search for annual modulation of the WIMP signal. The data favor the hypothesis of annual modulation at  $4\sigma$  and are consistent, for a particular model framework quoted there, with  $m_{\chi^0}{=}44^{+12}_{-9}$  GeV and a spin-independent  $\chi^0$ -proton cross section of (5.4  $\pm$  1.0)  $\times$  10 $^{-6}$  pb. See also BERNABEI 01 and BERNABEI 00c.
- <sup>35</sup> FENG 00 calculate the  $\chi$ -p elastic scattering cross section in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry with a particular emphasis on focus point models. At  $\tan\beta$ =50, the range is  $8\times10^{-8}$ – $4\times10^{-7}$ .
- $^{36}$  BERNABEI 99 search for annual modulation of the WIMP signal. The data favor the hypothesis of annual modulation at 99.6%CL and are consistent, for the particular model framework considered there, with  $m_{\chi 0} = 59 ^{+17}_{-14}$  GeV and spin-independent  $\chi^0$ -proton cross section of  $(7.0 ^{+0.4}_{-1.2}) \times 10^{-6}$  pb  $(1\,\sigma$  errors).
- $^{37}$  BERNABEI 98 search for annual modulation of the WIMP signal. The data are consistent, for the particular model framework considered there, with  $m_{\chi 0} = 59 ^{+36}_{-19}$  GeV and spin-independent  $\chi^0$ -proton cross section of  $(1.0 ^{+0.1}_{-0.4}) \times 10^{-5}$  pb (1  $\sigma$  errors).

## Other bounds on $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ from astrophysics and cosmology

Most of these papers generally exclude regions in the  $M_2-\mu$  parameter plane by requiring that the  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  contribution to the overall cosmological density is less than some maximal value to avoid overclosure of the Universe. Those not based on the cosmological density are indicated. Many of these papers also include LEP and/or other bounds.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>46 GeV	<sup>1</sup> ELLIS	00	RVUE	
ullet $ullet$ We do not use the	following data for a	verage	es, fits, li	imits, etc. • • •
	<sup>2</sup> BUCHMUEL	09	COSM	
	<sup>3</sup> DREINER	09	THEO	
	<sup>4</sup> BUCHMUEL	80	COSM	
	<sup>5</sup> ELLIS	80	COSM	
	<sup>6</sup> ELLIS	07	COSM	
	<sup>5</sup> BAER	05	COSM	
> 6 GeV	<sup>7,8</sup> BELANGER	04	THEO	
	<sup>9</sup> ELLIS	<b>04</b> B	COSM	
	<sup>10</sup> PIERCE	04A	COSM	
	<sup>11</sup> BAER	03	COSM	
> 6 GeV	<sup>7</sup> BOTTINO	03	COSM	
	11 CHATTOPAD.		COSM	
	<sup>12</sup> ELLIS	03	COSM	
	<sup>5</sup> ELLIS	<b>03</b> B		
	<sup>11</sup> ELLIS		COSM	_
> 18 GeV	<sup>7</sup> HOOPER	03	COSM	$\Omega_\chi=0.05$ –0.3
	<sup>11</sup> LAHANAS	03	COSM	
	13 BAER	02	COSM	
	14 ELLIS	02	COSM	
	15 LAHANAS	02	COSM	
	<sup>16</sup> BARGER	<b>01</b> C		
	13 DJOUADI	01		
	<sup>17</sup> ELLIS	<b>01</b> B		
	<sup>13</sup> ROSZKOWSK	01	COSM	

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

	<sup>12</sup> BOEHM	<b>00</b> B	COSM	
	<sup>18</sup> FENG	00	COSM	
	<sup>19</sup> LAHANAS	00	COSM	
< 600 GeV	<sup>20</sup> ELLIS	<b>98</b> B	COSM	
	<sup>21</sup> EDSJO	97	COSM	Co-annihilation
	<sup>22</sup> BAER	96	COSM	
	<sup>5</sup> BEREZINSKY	95	COSM	
	<sup>23</sup> FALK	95	COSM	CP-violating phases
	<sup>24</sup> DREES	93		Minimal supergravity
	<sup>25</sup> FALK	93		Sfermion mixing
	<sup>24</sup> KELLEY	93	COSM	Minimal supergravity
	<sup>26</sup> MIZUTA	93		Co-annihilation
	<sup>27</sup> LOPEZ	92	COSM	Minimal supergravity $m_0 = A = 0$
	<sup>28</sup> MCDONALD	92	COSM	U
	<sup>29</sup> GRIEST	91	COSM	
	30 NOJIRI	91	COSM	Minimal supergravity
	31 OLIVE	91	COSM	
	32 ROSZKOWSKI	91	COSM	
	<sup>33</sup> GRIEST	90	COSM	
	<sup>31</sup> OLIVE	89	COSM	
none 100 eV – 15 GeV	SREDNICKI	88	COSM	$\widetilde{\gamma}$ ; $m_{\widetilde{f}}{=}100~{ m GeV}$
none 100 eV-5 GeV	ELLIS	84		$\widetilde{\gamma}$ ; for $m_{\widetilde{f}} = 100 \text{ GeV}$
	GOLDBERG	83	COSM	$\widetilde{\gamma}$
	<sup>34</sup> KRAUSS	83	COSM	'
	VYSOTSKII	83	COSM	,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ELLIS 00 updates ELLIS 98. Uses LEP  $e^+e^-$  data at  $\sqrt{s}$ =202 and 204 GeV to improve bound on neutralino mass to 51 GeV when scalar mass universality is assumed and 46 GeV when Higgs mass universality is relaxed. Limits on  $\tan\beta$  improve to > 2.7 ( $\mu > 0$ ), > 2.2 ( $\mu < 0$ ) when scalar mass universality is assumed and > 1.9 (both signs of  $\mu$ ) when Higgs mass universality is relaxed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>BUCHMUELLER 09 places constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry using indirect experimental searches.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  DREINER 09 show that in the general MSSM with non-universal gaugino masses there exists no model-independent laboratory bound on the mass of the lightest neutralino. An essentially massless  $\chi_1^0$  is allowed by the experimental and observational data, imposing some constraints on other MSSM parameters, including  $M_2,\ \mu$  and the slepton and squark masses.

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  BUCHMUELLER 08 places constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry using indirect experimental searches.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Places constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of *N*=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry but non-Universal Higgs masses.

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  ELLIS 07 places constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry with universality below the GUT scale.

 $<sup>^7</sup>$  HOOPER 03, BOTTINO 03 (see also BOTTINO 03A and BOTTINO 04) , and BELANGER 04 do not assume gaugino or scalar mass unification.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Limit assumes a pseudo scalar mass < 200 GeV. For larger pseudo scalar masses,  $m_{\chi} > 18(29)$  GeV for tan $\beta = 50(10)$ . Bounds from WMAP,  $(g-2)_{\mu}$ ,  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ , LEP.

- $^9$  ELLIS 04B places constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry including supersymmetry breaking relations between A and B parameters. See also ELLIS 03D.
- 10 PIERCE 04A places constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of models with very heavy scalar masses.
- <sup>11</sup> BAER 03, CHATTOPADHYAY 03, ELLIS 03C and LAHANAS 03 place constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of *N*=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry based on WMAP results for the cold dark matter density.
- <sup>12</sup> BOEHM 00B and ELLIS 03 place constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of minimal N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry. Includes the effect of  $\chi$ - $\tilde{t}$  co-annihilations.
- <sup>13</sup> DJOUADI 01, ROSZKOWSKI 01, and BAER 02 place constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of minimal *N*=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry.
- <sup>14</sup> ELLIS 02 places constraints on the soft supersymmetry breaking masses in the framework of minimal N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry.
- $^{15}$  LAHANAS 02 places constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of minimal N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry. Focuses on the role of pseudo-scalar Higgs exchange.
- 16 BARGER 01C use the cosmic relic density inferred from recent CMB measurements to constrain the parameter space in the framework of minimal N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry.
- $^{17}$  ELLIS 01B places constraints on the SUSY parameter space in the framework of minimal N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry. Focuses on models with large  $\tan \beta$ .
- $^{18}$  FENG 00 explores cosmologically allowed regions of MSSM parameter space with multi- TeV masses.
- 19 LAHANAS 00 use the new cosmological data which favor a cosmological constant and its implications on the relic density to constrain the parameter space in the framework of minimal N=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry.
- $^{20}$  ELLIS 98B assumes a universal scalar mass and radiative supersymmetry breaking with universal gaugino masses. The upper limit to the LSP mass is increased due to the inclusion of  $\chi-\widetilde{\tau}_R$  coannihilations.
- <sup>21</sup> EDSJO 97 included all coannihilation processes between neutralinos and charginos for any neutralino mass and composition.
- $^{22}$  Notes the location of the neutralino Z resonance and h resonance annihilation corridors in minimal supergravity models with radiative electroweak breaking.
- <sup>23</sup> Mass of the bino (=LSP) is limited to  $m_{\widetilde{B}} \lesssim 350$  GeV for  $m_t = 174$  GeV.
- <sup>24</sup> DREES 93, KELLEY 93 compute the cosmic relic density of the LSP in the framework of minimal *N*=1 supergravity models with radiative breaking of the electroweak gauge symmetry.
- <sup>25</sup> FALK 93 relax the upper limit to the LSP mass by considering sfermion mixing in the MSSM.
- $^{26}$  MIZUTA 93 include coannihilations to compute the relic density of Higgsino dark matter.
- <sup>27</sup>LOPEZ 92 calculate the relic LSP density in a minimal SUSY GUT model.
- <sup>28</sup> MCDONALD 92 calculate the relic LSP density in the MSSM including exact tree-level annihilation cross sections for all two-body final states.
- <sup>29</sup> GRIEST 91 improve relic density calculations to account for coannihilations, pole effects, and threshold effects.
- 30 NOJIRI 91 uses minimal supergravity mass relations between squarks and sleptons to narrow cosmologically allowed parameter space.
- <sup>31</sup> Mass of the bino (=LSP) is limited to  $m_{\widetilde{B}} \lesssim 350$  GeV for  $m_t \leq 200$  GeV. Mass of the higgsino (=LSP) is limited to  $m_{\widetilde{H}} \lesssim 1$  TeV for  $m_t \leq 200$  GeV.

# – Unstable $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ (Lightest Neutralino) MASS LIMIT -

Unless otherwise stated, results in this section assume spectra and production rates as evaluated in the MSSM. Unless otherwise stated, the goldstino or gravitino mass  $m_{\widetilde{G}}$  is assumed to be negligible relative to all other masses. In the following,  $\widetilde{G}$  is assumed to be undetected and to give rise to a missing energy  $(\cancel{E})$  signature.

<i>VALUE</i> (GeV	') CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We	do not	use the following dat	a for a	averages	, fits, limits, etc. • • •
>149	95	<sup>1</sup> AALTONEN	10	CDF	$ \rho \overline{\rho} \to \widetilde{\chi} \widetilde{\chi}, \ \widetilde{\chi} = \widetilde{\chi}_2^0, \ \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}, \ \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \to \gamma \widetilde{G}, $ CMSR
>175	95	<sup>2</sup> ABAZOV	<b>10</b> P	D0	$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0  ightarrow \gamma \widetilde{G}$ , GMSB
		<sup>3</sup> AALTONEN	<b>08</b> U	CDF	$\widetilde{\chi}_{f 1}^{f 0}  ightarrow \ \gamma  \widetilde{G}$ , GMSB
>125	95	<sup>4</sup> ABAZOV	08F	D0	$ \rho \overline{\rho} \to \widetilde{\chi} \widetilde{\chi}, \ \widetilde{\chi} = \widetilde{\chi}_2^0, \ \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}, \ \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \to \gamma \widetilde{G}, $ CMSR
		<sup>5</sup> ABAZOV	08X	D0	$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0  ightarrow Z^0 \widetilde{G}$ , GMSB
		<sup>6</sup> ABULENCIA	07н	CDF	Ŗ, LL <del>E</del>
		<sup>7</sup> ABAZOV	<b>06</b> D	D0	Ŗ, LL <del>E</del>
		<sup>8</sup> ABAZOV	06P	D0	$R, \lambda_{122}$
> 96.8	95	<sup>9</sup> ABBIENDI		OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \widetilde{B}\widetilde{B}, (\widetilde{B} \rightarrow \widetilde{G}\gamma)$
		<sup>10</sup> ABDALLAH			$e^+e^-  ightarrow \widetilde{G}\widetilde{\chi}_1^0, (\widetilde{\chi}_1^0  ightarrow \widetilde{G}\gamma)$
> 96	95	<sup>11</sup> ABDALLAH	<b>05</b> B	DLPH	$\mathrm{e^{+}e^{-}} ightarrow\widetilde{B}\widetilde{B}$ , $(\widetilde{B} ightarrow\widetilde{G}\gamma)$
> 93	95	<sup>12</sup> ACOSTA	05E	CDF	$ \rho \overline{\rho} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi} \widetilde{\chi},  \widetilde{\chi} = \widetilde{\chi}_2^0,  \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}, \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \gamma \widetilde{G}, $
		<sup>13</sup> AKTAS	05	H1	$e^{\pm} \stackrel{GMSB}{p \to q} \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \ \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \to \gamma  \widetilde{G},$
		<sup>14</sup> ABBIENDI	0.41	ODAL	$GMSB+R LQ\overline{D}$
× 66	95	15,16 ABDALLAH	04N 04H	OPAL DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \gamma E$
> 66 > 38.0	95 95	17,18 ABDALLAH			AMSB, $\mu > 0$ $R(\overline{UDD})$
/ 30.0	93	19 ACHARD	04M		$e^+e^-  ightarrow \ \widetilde{G}\widetilde{\chi}_1^0, \ \widetilde{\chi}_1^0  ightarrow \ \widetilde{G}\gamma$
> 99.5	95	<sup>20</sup> ACHARD		L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \widetilde{B}\widetilde{B}, (\widetilde{B} \rightarrow \widetilde{G}\gamma)$
> 89		<sup>21</sup> ABDALLAH			$e^+e^-  ightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ , GMSB,
					$m(\widetilde{G})<1$ eV
		<sup>22</sup> HEISTER	03C	ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \widetilde{B}\widetilde{B}, (\widetilde{B} \rightarrow \gamma \widetilde{G})$
		<sup>23</sup> HEISTER	<b>03</b> C		$e^+e^-  ightarrow \ \widetilde{G}  \widetilde{\chi}_1^0, \ (\widetilde{\chi}_1^0  ightarrow \ \widetilde{G}  \gamma)$
> 39.9	95	<sup>24</sup> ACHARD	02	L3	R, MSUGRA
> 92	95	<sup>25</sup> HEISTER	<b>02</b> R	ALEP	short lifetime
> 54	95	<sup>25</sup> HEISTER	<b>02</b> R	ALEP	any lifetime
> 85	95	<sup>26</sup> ABBIENDI	01	OPAL	$e^+e^- ightarrow~\widetilde{\chi}^0_1\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ , GMSB, tan $eta=2$

 $<sup>^{32}\,\</sup>mathrm{ROSZKOWSKI}$  91 calculates LSP relic density in mixed gaugino/higgsino region.

 $<sup>^{33}</sup>$  Mass of the bino (=LSP) is limited to  $m_{\widetilde{B}}\lesssim 550$  GeV. Mass of the higgsino (=LSP) is limited to  $m_{\widetilde{H}}\lesssim 3.2$  TeV.

 $<sup>^{34}</sup>$  KRAUSS 83 finds  $m_{\widetilde{\gamma}}$  not 30 eV to 2.5 GeV. KRAUSS 83 takes into account the gravitino decay. Find that limits depend strongly on reheated temperature. For example a new allowed region  $m_{\widetilde{\gamma}}=$  4–20 MeV exists if  $m_{\rm gravitino}$   $\,$  <40 TeV. See figure 2.

> 76	95	<sup>26</sup> ABBIENDI	01	OPAL	$e^+e^-  ightarrow ~ \widetilde{\chi}^0_1 \widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ , GMSB, tan $\beta$ =20
> 32.5	95	<sup>27</sup> ACCIARRI	01	L3	$R$ , all $m_0$ , $0.7 \le \tan \beta \le 40$
		<sup>28</sup> ADAMS	01		$\widetilde{\chi}^0  ightarrow \ \mu \mu  u$ , $R$ , LL $\overline{E}$
> 29	95	<sup>29</sup> ABBIENDI	99T	OPAL	$e^+e^- ightarrow~\widetilde{\chi}^0_1\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ , $R$ , $m_0=$ 500 GeV,
					taneta > 1.2
> 29	95	<sup>30</sup> BARATE			$R$ , $LQ\overline{D}$ , $tan\beta=1.41$ , $m_0=500$ GeV
		<sup>31</sup> ABREU	98	DLPH	$e^+e^- ightarrow \ \widetilde{\chi}^0_1\widetilde{\chi}^0_1\ (\widetilde{\chi}^0_1 ightarrow \ \gamma\widetilde{G})$
> 23	95	32 BARATE			$R, LL\overline{E}$
		<sup>33</sup> ELLIS	97	THEO	$e^+e^- ightarrow~\widetilde{\chi}^0_1\widetilde{\chi}^0_1,~\widetilde{\chi}^0_1 ightarrow~\gamma\widetilde{G}$
		<sup>34</sup> CABIBBO	81	COSM	1 1 1

- $^1$  AALTONEN 10 searched in 2.6 fb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for diphoton events with large  $E_T$ . They may originate from the production of  $\widetilde{\chi}^\pm$  in pairs or associated to a  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$ , decaying into  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  which itself decays in GMSB to  $\gamma\,\widetilde{G}$ . There is no excess of events beyond expectation. An upper limit on the cross section is calculated in the GMSB model as a function of the  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  mass and lifetime, see their Fig. 2. A limit is derived on the  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  mass of 149 GeV for  $\tau_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1}\ll 1$  ns, which improves the results of previous searches.
- $^2$  ABAZOV 10P looked in 6.3 fb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with at least two isolated  $\gamma s$  and large  $E_T$ . These could be the signature of  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$  and  $\widetilde{\chi}^\pm_1$  production, decaying to  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  and finally  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1 \to \gamma \, \widetilde{G}$  in a GMSB framework. No significant excess over the SM expectation is observed, and a limit at 95% C.L. on the cross section is derived for  $N_{mes}=1$ ,  $\tan\beta=15$  and  $\mu>0$ , see their Fig. 2. This allows them to set a limit on the effective SUSY breaking scale  $\Lambda>124$  TeV, from which the excluded  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  mass range is obtained.
- $^3$  AALTONEN 08U searched in 570 pb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events that contain a time-delayed photon, at least one jet, and large  $E_T$ . The time-of-arrival is measured for each electromagnetic tower with a resolution of 0.50 ns. The number of observed events in the signal region is consistent with the background estimation. An upper limit on the cross section is derived as a function of the  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$  mass and lifetime, shown in their Fig. 24. The comparison with the NLO cross section for GMSB yields an exclusion of the  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$  mass as a function of its lifetime, see Fig. 25. See ABULENCIA 07P for a previous analysis of the same data set.
- for a previous analysis of the same data set.  $^4$  ABAZOV 08F looked in 1.1 fb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for diphoton events with large  $E_T$ . They may originate from the production of  $\widetilde{\chi}^\pm$  in pairs or associated to a  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$ , decaying to a  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  which itself decays promptly in GMSB to  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1 \to \gamma \, \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ . No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation. A limit is derived on the masses of SUSY particles in the GMSB framework for  $M=2\Lambda,\ N=1,\ \tan\beta=15$  and  $\mu>0$ , see Figure 2. It also excludes  $\Lambda<91.5$  TeV. Supersedes the results of ABAZOV 05A. Superseded by ABAZOV 10P.
- $^5$  ABAZOV 08X searched in 1.1 fb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for an excess of events with electron pairs. Their vertex, reconstructed from the directions measured in the segmented electromagnetic calorimeter, is required to be away from the primary interaction point. Such delayed decays might be expected for a Higgsino-like  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  in GMSB. No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation. Upper limits on the cross-section times branching ratio are extracted as a function of the lifetime for several ranges of dielectron invariant masses, see their Fig. 3.
- <sup>6</sup> ABULENCIA 07H searched in 346 pb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with at least three leptons (e or  $\mu$ ) from the decay of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  via  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings. The results are consistent with the hypothesis of no signal. Upper limits on the cross-section are

extracted and a limit is derived in the framework of mSUGRA on the masses of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  and  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ , see e.g. their Fig. 3 and Tab. II.

- $^7$  ABAZOV 06D looked in 360 pb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with three leptons originating from the pair production of charginos and neutralinos, followed by R decays mediated by  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings. One coupling is assumed to be dominant at a time. No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation in the  $e\,e\,\ell$ ,  $\mu\,\mu\,\ell$  nor  $e\,e\,\tau$  ( $\ell=e,\,\mu$ ) final states. Upper limits on the cross-section are extracted in a specific MSUGRA model and a MSSM model without unification of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  at the GUT scale. A limit is derived on the masses of charginos and neutralinos for both scenarios assuming  $\lambda_{ijk}$  couplings such that the decay length is less than 1 cm, see their Table III and Fig. 4.
- <sup>8</sup> ABAZOV 06P looked in 380 pb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with at least 2 opposite sign isolated muons which might arise from the decays of neutralinos into  $\mu\mu\nu$  via R couplings  $LL\overline{E}$ . No events are observed in the decay region defined by a radius between 5 and 20 cm, in agreement with the SM expectation. Limits are set on the cross-section times branching ratio as a function of lifetime, shown in their Fig. 3. This limit excludes the SUSY interpretation of the NuTeV excess of dimuon events reported in ADAMS 01.
- <sup>9</sup>ABBIENDI 06B use 600 pb $^{-1}$  of data from  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. They look for events with diphotons +  $\not\!\!E$  final states originating from prompt decays of pair-produced neutralinos in a GMSB scenario with  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  NLSP. Limits on the cross-section are computed as a function of m( $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ ), see their Fig. 14. The limit on the  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  mass is for a pure Bino state assuming a prompt decay, with lifetimes up to  $10^{-9}$ s. Supersedes the results of ABBIENDI 04N.
- $^{10}$  ABDALLAH 05B use data from  $\sqrt{s}=180\text{--}209$  GeV. They look for events with single photons +  $\cancel{E}$  final states. Limits are computed in the plane (m( $\widetilde{G}$ ), m( $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ )), shown in their Fig. 9b for a pure Bino state in the GMSB framework and in Fig. 9c for a no-scale supergravity model. Supersedes the results of ABREU 00Z.
- ABDALLAH 05B use data from  $\sqrt{s}=130$ –209 GeV. They look for events with diphotons +  $\not\!\! E$  final states and single photons not pointing to the vertex, expected in GMSB when the  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  is the NLSP. Limits are computed in the plane  $(\mathsf{m}(\widetilde{G}), \mathsf{m}(\widetilde{\chi}^0_1))$ , see their Fig. 10. The lower limit is derived on the  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  mass for a pure Bino state assuming a prompt decay and  $m_{\widetilde{e}_R}=m_{\widetilde{e}_L}=2$   $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1}$ . It improves to 100 GeV for  $m_{\widetilde{e}_R}=m_{\widetilde{e}_L}=1.1$   $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1}$ . and the limit in the plane  $(\mathsf{m}(\widetilde{\chi}^0_1), \mathsf{m}(\widetilde{e}_R))$  is shown in Fig. 10b. For long-lived neutralinos,
- cross-section limits are displayed in their Fig 11. Supersedes the results of ABREU 00Z.  $^{12}$  ACOSTA 05E looked in 202 pb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$ =1.96 TeV for diphoton events with large  $E_T$ . They may originate from the production of  $\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm}$  in pairs or associated to a  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$ , decaying to a  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  which itself decays promptly in GMSB to  $\gamma \widetilde{G}$ . No events are selected at large  $E_T$  compared to the background expectation. A limit is derived on the masses of SUSY particles in the GMSB framework for M=2  $\Lambda$ , N=1,  $\tan\beta=15$  and  $\mu>0$ . See Figure 2. It also excludes  $\Lambda<69$  TeV. Supersedes the results of ABE 991
- $\mu>0$ , see Figure 2. It also excludes  $\Lambda<69$  TeV. Supersedes the results of ABE 99I. <sup>13</sup> AKTAS 05 data collected at 319 GeV with 64.3 pb $^{-1}$  of  $e^+p$  and 13.5 pb $^{-1}$  of  $e^-p$ . They look for R resonant  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  production via t-channel exchange of a  $\widetilde{e}$ , followed by prompt GMSB decay of the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  to  $\gamma \widetilde{G}$ . Upper limits at 95% on the cross section are derived, see their Figure 4, and compared to two example scenarios. In Figure 5, they display 95% exclusion limits in the plane of  $M(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0)$  versus  $M(\widetilde{e}_L)-M(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0)$  for the two scenarios and several values of the  $\lambda'$  Yukawa coupling.
- $^{14}$  ABBIENDI 04N use data from  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV, setting limits on  $\sigma(e^+\,e^-\to XX)\times {\rm B}^2(X\to Y\gamma)$ , with Y invisible (see their Fig. 4). Limits on  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  masses for a specific model are given. Supersedes the results of ABBIENDI,G 00D.
- $^{15}$  ABDALLAH 04H use data from LEP 1 and  $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV. They re-use results or re-analyze the data from ABDALLAH 03M to put limits on the parameter space

of anomaly-mediated supersymmetry breaking (AMSB), which is scanned in the region  $1{<}\ m_{3/2}{<}50$  TeV,  $0{<}\ m_0{<}1000$  GeV,  $1.5{<}\tan\beta{<}35$ , both signs of  $\mu$ . The constraints are obtained from the searches for mass degenerate chargino and neutralino, for SM-like and invisible Higgs, for leptonically decaying charginos and from the limit on non-SM Z width of 3.2 MeV. The limit is for  $m_t=174.3$  GeV (see Table 2 for other  $m_t$  values).

 $^{16}$  The limit improves to 73 GeV for  $\mu <$  0.

 $^{17}$  ABDALLAH 04M use data from  $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV to derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with  $LL\overline{E}$  or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings. The results are valid in the ranges 90<  $m_0$  <500 GeV, 0.7<tan $\beta$  <30,  $-200<\mu$  <200 GeV, 0<  $M_2$  <400 GeV. Supersedes the result of ABREU 01D and ABREU 00U.

 $^{18}$  The limit improves to 39.5 GeV for  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings.

 $^{19}$  ACHARD 04E use data from  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. They look for events with single photons + \$\mathbb{E}\$ final states. Limits are computed in the plane (m(\$\widetilde{G}\$), m(\$\widetilde{\chi}\_1^0\$)), shown in their Fig. 8c for a no-scale supergravity model, excluding, e.g., Gravitino masses below  $10^{-5}$  eV for neutralino masses below 172 GeV. Supersedes the results of ACCIARRI 99R.

 $^{20}$  ACHARD 04E use data from  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. They look for events with diphotons  $+\not\!\!\!E$  final states. Limits are computed in the plane (m( $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ ), m( $\widecheck{e}_R$ )), see their Fig. 8d. The limit on the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  mass is for a pure Bino state assuming a prompt decay, with  $m_{\widetilde{e}_L}=1.1~m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$  and  $m_{\widetilde{e}_R}=2.5~m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ . Supersedes the results of ACCIARRI 99R.

- $^{21}$  ABDALLAH 03D use data from  $\sqrt{s}=161$ –208 GeV. They look for 4-tau  $+\not\!\! E$  final states, expected in GMSB when the  $\widetilde{\tau}_1$  is the NLSP, and 4-lepton  $+\not\!\! E$  final states, expected in the co-NLSP scenario, and assuming a short-lived  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  (m( $\widetilde{G}$ )<1 eV). Limits are computed in the plane (m( $\widetilde{\tau}_1$ ), m( $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ )) from a scan of the GMSB parameters space, after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production from the same paper to cover prompt decays and for the case of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  NLSP from ABREU 00Z. The limit above is reached for a single generation of messengers and when the  $\widetilde{\tau}_1$  is the NLSP. Stronger limits are obtained when more messenger generations are assumed or when the other sleptons are co-NLSP, see their Fig. 10. Supersedes the results of ABREU 01G.
- HEISTER 03C use the data from  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV to search for  $\gamma\not\!\! E_T$  final states with non-pointing photons and  $\gamma\gamma\not\!\! E_T$  events. Interpreted in the framework of Minimal GMSB, a lower bound on the  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  mass is obtained as function of its lifetime. For a laboratory lifetime of less than 3 ns, the limit at 95% CL is 98.8 GeV. For other lifetimes, see their Fig. 5. These results are interpreted in a more general GMSB framework in HEISTER 02R.
- 23 HEISTER 03C use the data from  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV to search for  $\gamma \not \!\! E_T$  final states. They obtained an upper bound on the cross section for the process  $e^+e^- \to \widetilde{G}\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ , followed by the prompt decay  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1 \to \gamma \widetilde{G}$ , shown in their Fig. 4. These results supersede BARATE 98H.
- $^{24}$  ACHARD 02 searches for the production of sparticles in the case of R prompt decays with  $LL\overline{E}$  or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}{=}189{-}208$  GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The MSUGRA limit results from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with the assumption of gaugino and scalar mass unification at the GUT scale, imposing simultaneously the exclusions from neutralino, chargino, sleptons, and squarks analyses. The limit holds for  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings and increases to 40.2 GeV for  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings. For L3 limits from  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings, see ACCIARRI 01.
- $^{25}$  HEISTER 02R search for signals of GMSB in the 189–209 GeV data. For the  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  NLSP scenario, they looked for topologies consisting of  $\gamma\gamma E\!\!\!\!/$  or a single  $\gamma$  not pointing to the interaction vertex. For the  $\widetilde{\ell}$  NLSP case, the topologies consist of  $\ell\ell E\!\!\!\!/$  or  $4\ell E\!\!\!\!/$  (from  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ ) production), including leptons with large impact parameters, kinks, or stable particles. Limits are derived from a scan over the GMSB parameters (see their Table 5 for the ranges). The limits are valid whichever is the NLSP. The absolute mass bound

- on the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  for any lifetime includes indirect limits from the chargino search, and from the slepton search HEISTER 02E preformed within the MSUGRA framework. A bound for any NLSP and any lifetime of 77 GeV has also been derived by using the constraints from the neutral Higgs search in HEISTER 02. Limits on the universal SUSY mass scale  $\Lambda$  are also derived in the paper. Supersedes the results from BARATE 00G.
- ABBIENDI 01 looked for final states with  $\gamma\gamma E$ ,  $\ell\ell E$ , with possibly additional activity and four leptons + E to search for prompt decays of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  or  $\widetilde{\ell}_1$  in GMSB. They derive limits in the plane  $(m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}, m_{\widetilde{\tau}_1})$ , see Fig. 6, allowing either the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  or a  $\widetilde{\ell}_1$  to be the NLSP. Two scenarios are considered:  $\tan\beta{=}2$  with the 3 sleptons degenerate in mass and  $\tan\beta{=}20$  where the  $\widetilde{\tau}_1$  is lighter than the other sleptons. Data taken at  $\sqrt{s}{=}189$  GeV.
- ACCIARRI 01 searches for multi-lepton and/or multi-jet final states from R prompt decays with  $LL\overline{E}$ ,  $LQ\overline{D}$ , or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}$ =189 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays of neutralinos, charginos, and scalar leptons, with the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  or a  $\widetilde{\ell}$  as LSP and assuming one coupling to be nonzero at a time. Mass limits are derived using simultaneously the constraints from the neutralino, chargino, and slepton analyses; and the  $Z^0$  width measurements from ACCIARRI 00C in a scan of the parameter space assuming MSUGRA with gaugino and scalar mass universality. Updates and supersedes the results from ACCIARRI 99I.
- ADAMS 01 looked for neutral particles with mass > 2.2 GeV, produced by 900 GeV protons incident on a Beryllium oxide target and decaying through weak interactions into  $\mu\mu$ ,  $\mu e$ , or  $\mu\pi$  final states in the decay channel of the NuTeV detector (E815) at Fermilab. The number of observed events is  $3\,\mu\mu$ ,  $0\,\mu e$ , and  $0\,\mu\pi$  with an expected background of  $0.069\pm0.010$ ,  $0.13\pm0.02$ , and  $0.14\pm0.02$ , respectively. The  $\mu\mu$  events are consistent with the R decay of a neutralino with mass around 5 GeV. However, they share several aspects with  $\nu$ -interaction backgrounds. An upper limit on the differential production cross section of neutralinos in  $p\,p$  interactions as function of the decay length is given in Fig. 3.
- <sup>29</sup> ABBIENDI 99T searches for the production of neutralinos in the case of *R*-parity violation with  $LL\overline{E}$ ,  $LQ\overline{D}$ , or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings using data from  $\sqrt{s}{=}183$  GeV. They investigate topologies with multiple leptons, jets plus leptons, or multiple jets, assuming one coupling at the time to be non-zero and giving rise to direct or indirect decays. Mixed decays (where one particle has a direct, the other an indirect decay) are also considered for the  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings. Upper limits on the cross section are derived which, combined with the constraint from the  $Z^0$  width, allow to exclude regions in the  $M_2$  versus  $\mu$  plane for any coupling. Limits on the neutralino mass are obtained for non-zero  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings  $> 10^{-5}$ . The limit disappears for  $\tan\beta < 1.2$  and it improves to 50 GeV for  $\tan\beta > 20$ .
- <sup>30</sup> BARATE 99E looked for the decay of gauginos via *R*-violating couplings  $LQ\overline{D}$ . The bound is significantly reduced for smaller values of  $m_0$ . Data collected at  $\sqrt{s}$ =130–172 GeV
- 31 ABREU 98 uses data at  $\sqrt{s}$ =161 and 172 GeV. Upper bounds on  $\gamma\gamma E$  cross section are obtained. Similar limits on  $\gamma E$  are also given, relevant for  $e^+e^- \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \widetilde{G}$  production.
- <sup>32</sup> BARATE 98S looked for the decay of gauginos via *R*-violating coupling  $LL\overline{E}$ . The bound improves to 25 GeV if the chargino decays into neutralino which further decays into lepton pairs. Data collected at  $\sqrt{s}$ =130–172 GeV.
- $^{33}$  ELLIS 97 reanalyzed the LEP2 ( $\sqrt{s}{=}161$  GeV) limits of  $\sigma(\gamma\gamma + E_{\rm miss}) <$  0.2 pb to exclude  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1} <$  63 GeV if  $m_{\widetilde{\rm e}_L}{=}m_{\widetilde{\rm e}_R} <$  150 GeV and  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  decays to  $\gamma\,\widetilde{\rm G}$  inside detector.
- <sup>34</sup> CABIBBO 81 consider  $\widetilde{\gamma} \to \gamma +$  goldstino. Photino must be either light enough (<30 eV) to satisfy cosmology bound, or heavy enough (>0.3 MeV) to have disappeared at early universe.

 $\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$ ,  $\widetilde{\chi}_{3}^{0}$ ,  $\widetilde{\chi}_{4}^{0}$  (Neutralinos) MASS LIMITS

Neutralinos are unknown mixtures of photinos, z-inos, and neutral higgsinos (the supersymmetric partners of photons and of Z and Higgs bosons). The limits here apply only to  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ ,  $\widetilde{\chi}_3^0$ , and  $\widetilde{\chi}_4^0$ .  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  is the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP); see  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  Mass Limits. It is not possible to quote rigorous mass limits because they are extremely model dependent; i.e. they depend on branching ratios of various  $\widetilde{\chi}^0$  decay modes, on the masses of decay products  $(\tilde{e}, \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{q}, \tilde{g})$ , and on the  $\tilde{e}$  mass exchanged in  $e^+e^- \to \widetilde{\chi}^0_i \widetilde{\chi}^0_j$ . Limits arise either from direct searches, or from the MSSM constraints set on the gaugino and higgsino mass parameters  $M_2$  and  $\mu$  through searches for lighter charginos and neutralinos. Often limits are given as contour plots in the  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}0} \, - \, m_{\widetilde{e}}$  plane vs other parameters. When specific assumptions are made, e.g, the neutralino is a pure photino  $(\widetilde{\gamma})$ , pure z-ino  $(\widetilde{Z})$ , or pure neutral higgsino  $(\widetilde{H}^0)$ , the neutralinos will be labelled as such.

Limits obtained from  $e^+e^-$  collisions at energies up to 136 GeV, as well as other limits from different techniques, are now superseded and have not been included in this compilation. They can be found in the 1998 Edition (The European Physical Journal **C3** 1 (1998)) of this Review.  $\Delta m = m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ .

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
> 78	95	<sup>1</sup> ABBIENDI	04H	OPAL	$\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$ , all tan $eta$ , $\Delta m {>} 5$ GeV,
> 62.4	95	<sup>2</sup> ABREU	00W	DLPH	$m_0 >$ 500 GeV, $A_0 = 0$ $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ , $1 \le \tan\beta \le 40$ , all $\Delta m$ ,
> 99.9	95	<sup>2</sup> ABREU	00W	DLPH	all $m_0$ $\widetilde{\chi}^0_3$ , $1 \leq \tan\beta \leq 40$ , all $\Delta m$ ,
>116.0	95	<sup>2</sup> ABREU	00W	DLPH	all $m_0$ $\widetilde{\chi}_4^0$ , $1 \le \tan\beta \le 40$ , all $\Delta m$ ,

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

		<sup>3</sup> ABULENCIA	07N	CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^0$
		<sup>4</sup> ABDALLAH	<b>05</b> B	DLPH	$\begin{array}{l} p\overline{p} \rightarrow \ \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \\ e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \ \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}, \ (\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow \ \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \gamma) \\ e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \ \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}, \ (\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow \ \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \gamma) \end{array}$
		<sup>5</sup> ACHARD	04E	L3	$e^+e^-  ightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_2^{ar{0}}\widetilde{\chi}_2^{ar{0}}, (\widetilde{\chi}_2^{ar{0}}  ightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^{ar{0}}\gamma)$
> 80.0	95	<sup>6</sup> ACHARD	02	L3	$\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ , $R$ , MSUGRA
>107.2	95	<sup>6</sup> ACHARD	02	L3	$\widetilde{\chi}_{3}^{\overline{0}}$ , $\cancel{R}$ , MSUGRA
		<sup>7</sup> ABREU	<b>01</b> B	DLPH	$e^{\stackrel{\leftarrow}{+}}e^{-} ightarrow~\widetilde{\chi}^{0}_{i}\widetilde{\chi}^{0}_{i}$
> 68.0	95	<sup>8</sup> ACCIARRI	01	L3	$\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ , $R$ , all $m_0$ , $0.7 \le \tan \beta \le 40$
> 99.0	95	<sup>8</sup> ACCIARRI	01	L3	$\widetilde{\chi}_3^0$ , $R$ , all $m_0$ , $0.7 \leq \tan \beta \leq 40$
> 50	95	<sup>9</sup> ABREU	<b>00</b> U	DLPH	×
					$1 \leq taneta \leq 30$
		<sup>10</sup> ABBIENDI	99F	OPAL	$e^+e^-  ightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 (\widetilde{\chi}_2^0  ightarrow \gamma \widetilde{\chi}_1^0)$
		<sup>11</sup> ABBIENDI	99F	OPAL	$e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} (\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})$ $e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} (\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})$
		<sup>12</sup> ABBOTT	<b>98</b> C	D0	
> 82.2	95	<sup>13</sup> ABE	98J	CDF	$ \begin{array}{l} \rho \overline{\rho} \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \\ \rho \overline{\rho} \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \end{array} $
> 92	95	<sup>14</sup> ACCIARRI	98F	L3	$\widetilde{H}_{2}^{0}$ , tan $\beta = 1.41$ , $M_{2} < 500 \text{ GeV}$
		<sup>15</sup> ACCIARRI	98V	L3	$e^{\stackrel{7}{+}}e^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}\widetilde{\chi}_{1.2}^{0}$
					$(\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \gamma \widetilde{\chi}_1^0)$

$$>$$
 53 95 16 BARATE 98H ALEP  $e^+e^- 
ightarrow \widetilde{\gamma} \widetilde{\gamma} (\widetilde{\gamma} 
ightarrow \gamma \widetilde{H}^0)$  95 17 BARATE 98J ALEP  $e^+e^- 
ightarrow \widetilde{\gamma} \widetilde{\gamma} (\widetilde{\gamma} 
ightarrow \gamma \widetilde{H}^0)$  18 ABACHI 96 D0  $p\overline{p} 
ightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^0$  19 ABE 96K CDF  $p\overline{p} 
ightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ 

- $^1$  ABBIENDI 04H search for charginos and neutralinos in events with acoplanar leptons+jets and multi-jet final states in the 192–209 GeV data, combined with the results on leptonic final states from ABBIENDI 04. The results hold for a scan over the parameter space covering the region 0  $< M_2 <$ 5000 GeV,  $-1000 < \mu <$ 1000 GeV and  $\tan\beta$  from 1 to 40. This limit supersedes ABBIENDI 00H.
- $^2$  ABREU 00W combines data collected at  $\sqrt{s}{=}189$  GeV with results from lower energies. The mass limit is obtained by constraining the MSSM parameter space with gaugino and sfermion mass universality at the GUT scale, using the results of negative direct searches for neutralinos (including cascade decays and  $\widetilde{\tau}\,\tau$  final states) from ABREU 01, for charginos from ABREU 00J and ABREU 00T (for all  $\Delta m_+$ ), and for charged sleptons from ABREU 01B. The results hold for the full parameter space defined by all values of  $M_2$  and  $|\mu| \leq 2$  TeV with the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  as LSP.
- $^3$  ABULENCIA 07N searched in  $1~{\rm fb}^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with two same sign leptons (e or  $\mu$ ) from the decay of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}\,\widetilde{\chi}_2^0\,X$  and large  $\not\!\!E_T$ . A slight excess of 13 events is observed over a SM background expectation of  $7.8\pm1.1$ . However, the kinematic distributions do not show any anomalous deviation from expectations in any particular region of parameter space.
- <sup>4</sup> ABDALLAH 05B use data from  $\sqrt{s}=130$ –209 GeV, looking for events with diphotons +  $\cancel{E}$ . Limits on the cross-section are computed in the plane (m( $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ ), m( $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ )), see Fig. 12. Supersedes the results of ABREU 00Z.
- <sup>5</sup> ACHARD 04E use data from  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV, looking for events with diphotons +  $\cancel{E}$ . Limits are computed in the plane (m( $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ ), m( $\widetilde{e}_R$ )), for  $\Delta m>10$  GeV, see Fig. 7. Supersedes the results of ACCIARRI 99R.
- $^6$  ACHARD 02 searches for the production of sparticles in the case of  $R\!\!\!/$  prompt decays with  $LL\overline{E}$  or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}{=}189{-}208$  GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The MSUGRA limit results from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with the assumption of gaugino and scalar mass unification at the GUT scale, imposing simultaneously the exclusions from neutralino, chargino, sleptons, and squarks analyses. The limit of  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$  holds for  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings and increases to 84.0 GeV for  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings. The same  $\widetilde{\chi}_3^0$  limit holds for both  $LL\overline{E}$  and  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings. For L3 limits from  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings, see ACCIARRI 01.
- <sup>7</sup> ABREU 01B used data from  $\sqrt{s}=189$  GeV to search for the production of  $\widetilde{\chi}_i^0 \widetilde{\chi}_j^0$ . They looked for di-jet and di-lepton pairs with  $\not \!\!\!E$  for events from  $\widetilde{\chi}_i^0 \widetilde{\chi}_j^0$  with the decay  $\widetilde{\chi}_j^0 \to f \overline{f} \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ ; multi-jet and multi-lepton pairs with or without additional photons to cover the cascade decays  $\widetilde{\chi}_j^0 \to f \overline{f} \widetilde{\chi}_2^0$ , followed by  $\widetilde{\chi}_j^0 \to f \overline{f} \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  or  $\widetilde{\chi}_j^0 \to \gamma \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ ; multi-tau final states from  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \to \widetilde{\tau} \tau$  with  $\widetilde{\tau} \to \tau \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ . See Figs. 9 and 10 for limits on the  $(\mu, M_2)$  plane for  $\tan \beta = 1.0$  and different values of  $m_0$ .
- <sup>8</sup> ACCIARRI 01 searches for multi-lepton and/or multi-jet final states from R prompt decays with  $LL\overline{E}$ ,  $LQ\overline{D}$ , or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}{=}189$  GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays of neutralinos, charginos, and scalar leptons, with the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  or a  $\widetilde{\ell}$  as LSP and assuming one coupling to be nonzero at a time. Mass limits are derived using simultaneously the constraints from the neutralino, chargino, and slepton analyses; and the  $Z^0$  width measurements from ACCIARRI 00C in a scan of the parameter space assuming MSUGRA with gaugino and scalar mass universality. Updates and supersedes the results from ACCIARRI 99I.

- <sup>9</sup> ABREU 00U searches for the production of charginos and neutralinos in the case of R-parity violation with  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings, using data from  $\sqrt{s}$ =189 GeV. They investigate topologies with multiple leptons or jets plus leptons, assuming one coupling to be nonzero at the time and giving rise to direct or indirect decays. LImits are obtained in the  $M_2$  versus  $\mu$  plane and a limit on the neutralino mass is derived from a scan over the parameters  $m_0$  and  $\tan\beta$ .
- ABBIENDI 99F looked for  $\gamma \not \! E$  final states at  $\sqrt{s} = 183$  GeV. They obtained an upper bound on the cross section for the production  $e^+e^- \to \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  followed by the prompt decay  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \to \gamma \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  of 0.075–0.80 pb in the region  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} + m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} > m_Z$ ,  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} = 91$ –183 GeV, and  $\Delta m > 5$  GeV. See Fig. 7 for explicit limits in the  $(m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0})$  plane.
- <sup>11</sup> ABBIENDI 99F looked for  $\gamma\gamma E$  final states at  $\sqrt{s}$ =183 GeV. They obtained an upper bound on the cross section for the production  $e^+e^- \to \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \widetilde{\chi}_2^0$  followed by the prompt decay  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \to \gamma \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  of 0.08–0.37 pb for  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0}$ =45–81.5 GeV, and  $\Delta m >$  5 GeV. See Fig. 11 for explicit limits in the  $(m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0})$  plane.
- $^{12}$  ABBOTT 98C searches for trilepton final states ( $\ell = e, \mu$ ). See footnote to ABBOTT 98C in the Chargino Section for details on the assumptions. Assuming a negligible decay rate of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm$  and  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$  to quarks, they obtain  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} \gtrsim 103$  GeV.
- <sup>13</sup> ABE 98J searches for trilepton final states  $(\ell=e,\mu)$ . See footnote to ABE 98J in the Chargino Section for details on the assumptions. The quoted result for  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0}$  corresponds to the best limit within the selected range of parameters, obtained for  $m_{\widetilde{q}} > m_{\widetilde{g}}$ ,  $\tan\beta = 2$ , and  $\mu = -600$  GeV.
- $^{14}$  ACCIARRI 98F is obtained from direct searches in the  $e^+\,e^-\to ~\widetilde{\chi}^0_{1,2}\,\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$  production channels, and indirectly from  $\widetilde{\chi}^\pm_1$  and  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  searches within the MSSM. See footnote to ACCIARRI 98F in the chargino Section for further details on the assumptions. Data taken at  $\sqrt{s}=130$ –172 GeV.
- <sup>15</sup> ACCIARRI 98V looked for  $\gamma(\gamma) \not\!\! E$  final states at  $\sqrt{s} = 183$  GeV. They obtained an upper bound on the cross section for the production  $e^+e^- \to \widetilde{\chi}^0_2 \widetilde{\chi}^0_{1,2}$  followed by the prompt decay  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2 \to \gamma \widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ . See Figs. 4a and 6a for explicit limits in the  $(m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_2}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1})$  plane.
- <sup>16</sup> BARATE 98H looked for  $\gamma\gamma\not\in$  final states at  $\sqrt{s}=161,\!172$  GeV. They obtained an upper bound on the cross section for the production  $e^+e^-\to\widetilde{\chi}_2^0\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$  followed by the prompt decay  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0\to\gamma\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  of 0.4–0.8 pb for  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0}=10$ –80 GeV. The bound above is for the specific case of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0=\widetilde{H}^0$  and  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0=\widetilde{\gamma}$  and  $m_{\widetilde{e}_R}=100$  GeV. See Fig. 6 and 7 for explicit limits in the  $(\widetilde{\chi}_2^0,\widetilde{\chi}_1^0)$  plane and in the  $(\widetilde{\chi}_2^0,\widetilde{e}_R)$  plane.
- $^{18}$  ABACHI 96 searches for 3-lepton final states. Efficiencies are calculated using mass relations and branching ratios in the Minimal Supergravity scenario. Results are presented as lower bounds on  $\sigma(\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}\,\widetilde{\chi}_2^0)\times \mathsf{B}(\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}\to \,\ell\nu_\ell\,\widetilde{\chi}_1^0)\times \mathsf{B}(\widetilde{\chi}_2^0\to \,\ell^+\,\ell^-\,\widetilde{\chi}_1^0)$  as a function of  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ . Limits range from 3.1 pb ( $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}=45$  GeV) to 0.6 pb ( $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}=100$  GeV).
- $^{19}$  ABE 96K looked for trilepton events from chargino-neutralino production. They obtained lower bounds on  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_2}$  as a function of  $\mu$ . The lower bounds are in the 45–50 GeV range

for gaugino-dominant  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$  with negative  $\mu$ , if  $\tan\!\beta <\!10$ . See paper for more details of

 $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ ,  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^{\pm}$  (Charginos) MASS LIMITS Charginos are unknown mixtures of w-inos and charged higgsinos (the supersymmetric partners of W and Higgs bosons). A lower mass limit for the lightest chargino  $(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm})$  of approximately 45 GeV, independent of the field composition and of the decay mode, has been obtained by the LEP experiments from the analysis of the Z width and decays. These results, as well as other now superseded limits from  $e^+e^-$  collisions at energies below 136 GeV, and from hadronic collisions, can be found in the 1998 Edition (The European Physical Journal C3 1 (1998)) of this Review.

Unless otherwise stated, results in this section assume spectra, production rates, decay modes and branching ratios as evaluated in the MSSM, with gaugino and sfermion mass unification at the GUT scale. These papers generally study production of  $\tilde{\chi}_1^U \tilde{\chi}_2^U$ ,  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^+\widetilde{\chi}_1^-$  and (in the case of hadronic collisions)  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^+\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$  pairs, including the effects of cascade decays. The mass limits on  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm$  are either direct, or follow indirectly from the constraints set by the non-observation of  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$  states on the gaugino and higgsino MSSM parameters  $M_2$  and  $\mu$ . For generic values of the MSSM parameters, limits from high-energy  $e^+e^-$  collisions coincide with the highest value of the mass allowed by phase-space, namely  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm} \lesssim \sqrt{s}/2$ . The still unpublished combination of the results of the four LEP collaborations from the 2000 run of LEP2 at  $\sqrt{s}$  up to  $\simeq$  209 GeV yields a lower mass limit of 103.5 GeV valid for general MSSM models. The limits become however weaker in certain regions of the MSSM parameter space where the detection efficiencies or production cross sections are suppressed. For example, this may happen when: (i) the mass differences  $\Delta m_+ = m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$  or  $\Delta m_\nu = m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm} - m_{\widetilde{\nu}}$  are very small, and the detection efficiency is reduced; (ii) the electron sneutrino mass is small, and the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$  production rate is suppressed due to a destructive interference between sand t channel exchange diagrams. The regions of MSSM parameter space where the following limits are valid are indicated in the comment lines or in the footnotes.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>101	95	$^{ m 1}$ abbiendi	04H	OPAL	all tan $eta$ , $\Delta m_{+}~>$ 5 GeV,
		2			$m_0 > 500 \text{ GeV}, A_0 = 0$
> 89		<sup>2</sup> ABBIENDI	03H	OPAL	$0.5 \leq \Delta m_{+} \leq 5$ GeV, higgsinolike, $ an eta = 1.5$
> 97.1	95	<sup>3</sup> ABDALLAH	0214	DI DU	$\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}$ , $\Delta m_{+} \geq 3$ GeV, $m_{\widetilde{\nu}} > m_{\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm}}$
> 91.1	90				- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
> 75	95	<sup>3</sup> ABDALLAH	03м	DLPH	$\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ ,higgsino,all $\Delta m_+, m_{\widetilde{f}} > m_{\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm}}$
> 70	95	<sup>3</sup> ABDALLAH	03м	DLPH	$\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ , all $\Delta m_+$ , $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}$ >500 GeV,
					$M_2 \le 2M_1 \le 10M_2$
> 94	95	<sup>4</sup> ABDALLAH	03м	DLPH	$\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ , $\tan \beta \leq 40$ , $\Delta m_+ > 3$ GeV,all
					$m_0$
> 88	95	<sup>5</sup> HEISTER	02J	ALEP	$\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ , all $\Delta m_+$ , large $m_0$
> 67.7	95	<sup>6</sup> ACCIARRI	<b>00</b> D	L3	$ aneta > 0.7$ , all $\Delta m_+$ , all $m_0$
> 69.4	95	<sup>7</sup> ACCIARRI	00K	L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow~\widetilde{\chi}^\pm\widetilde{\chi}^\mp$ , all $\Delta m_+$ ,
					heavy scalars

• • •	• We do not	use the following	data for averages,	fits, limits, etc. • • •
-------	-------------	-------------------	--------------------	--------------------------

		O		0 ,	,
>129	95	<sup>8</sup> AALTONEN	<b>09</b> G	CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^0$
>138	95	<sup>9</sup> ABAZOV	09т	D0	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$
		<sup>10</sup> AALTONEN	08AE	CDF	$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho \overline{\rho} & \to & \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}  \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \\ \rho \overline{\rho} & \to & \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}  \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \\ \rho \overline{\rho} & \to & \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}  \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \end{array}$
		<sup>11</sup> AALTONEN		CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^{0}$
>229	95	<sup>12</sup> ABAZOV	08F	D0	$ \rho \overline{\rho} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi} \widetilde{\chi}, \widetilde{\chi} = \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}, \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}, \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow $
/ 225	33	715/1201	001	Б0	$\gamma \widetilde{G}$ , GMSB
		<sup>13</sup> AALTONEN	<b>07</b> J	CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^0$
		<sup>14</sup> ABULENCIA		CDF	R, LLE
		<sup>15</sup> ABULENCIA		CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^0$
		<sup>16</sup> ABAZOV	<b>06</b> D		R, LLE
>195	95	<sup>17</sup> ABAZOV	05A		$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}\widetilde{\chi}, \ \widetilde{\chi} = \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}, \ \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}, \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow$
					$\gamma \widetilde{G}$ , GMSB
>167	95	<sup>18</sup> ACOSTA	05E	CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}\widetilde{\chi}, \ \widetilde{\chi} = \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}, \ \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}, \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow$
					$\gamma \widetilde{G}$ , GMSB
> 66	95	19,20 ABDALLAH	04н	DLPH	
>102.5	95	<sup>21,22</sup> ABDALLAH			$R(\overline{UDD})$
>100		<sup>23</sup> ABDALLAH	<b>03</b> D	DLPH	$e^+e^-  ightarrow \ \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}  \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\mp}  (\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}  ightarrow \ \widetilde{\tau}_1 \nu_{\tau},$
		2.4			$\widetilde{ au}_1  ightarrow \  au \ \widetilde{ ilde{G}})$
>103		<sup>24</sup> HEISTER		ALEP	,
>102.7	95	<sup>25</sup> ACHARD	02	L3	R, MSUGRA
. 04.2	0.5	<sup>26</sup> GHODBANE	02	THEO	~+
> 94.3 > 93.8	95 95	<sup>27</sup> ABREU <sup>28</sup> ACCIARRI	010		$\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm} \rightarrow \tau J$ $R$ , all $m_0$ , $0.7 \le \tan \beta \le 40$
> 93.8 >100	95 95	<sup>29</sup> BARATE			$R$ , all $m_0$ , 0.7 $\leq$ tan $\beta \leq$ 40 $R$ decays, $m_0 > 500 \text{ GeV}$
> 91.8	95	30 ABREU	010	UI DH	$e^+e^-  ightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} (\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}  ightarrow \widetilde{\tau}_1 \nu_{\tau},$
/ 91.0	93	ADILLO	000	DLIII	
		<sup>31</sup> CHO	<b>00</b> B	THEO	$\widetilde{ au}_1  ightarrow  au  \widetilde{ ilde{G}})$ EW analysis
> 76	95	32 ABBIENDI	99T		$R, m_0 = 500 \text{ GeV}$
> 51	95	<sup>33</sup> MALTONI	<b>99</b> B	THEO	
> 81.5	95	<sup>34</sup> ABE	98J	CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^0$
		<sup>35</sup> ACKERSTAFF			
> 65.7	95	<sup>36</sup> ACKERSTAFF			$\Delta m_{+} > 3$ GeV, $\Delta m_{\nu} > 2$ GeV
		<sup>37</sup> ACKERSTAFF			light gluino
		<sup>38</sup> CARENA	97		$g_{\mu}-2$
		<sup>39</sup> KALINOWSKI	97	THEO	$W \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$
		<sup>40</sup> ABE			$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^0$
_		_			71 ×2

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  ABBIENDI 04H search for charginos and neutralinos in events with acoplanar leptons+jets and multi-jet final states in the 192–209 GeV data, combined with the results on leptonic final states from ABBIENDI 04. The results hold for a scan over the parameter space covering the region 0 <  $M_2$  <5000 GeV, -1000 <  $\mu$  <1000 GeV and tan $\beta$  from 1 to 40. This limit supersedes ABBIENDI 00H.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  ABBIENDI 03H used  $e^+\,e^-$  data at  $\sqrt{s}=188$ –209 GeV to search for chargino pair production in the case of small  $\Delta m_+$  They select events with an energetic photon, large  $\not\!\!E$  and little hadronic or leptonic activity. The bound applies to higgsino-like charginos with zero lifetime and a 100% branching ratio  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm \to ~\widetilde{\chi}_1^0\,W^*.$  The mass limit for gaugino-like charginos, in case of non-universal gaugino masses, is of 92 GeV for  $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}=1000$ 

- 1000 GeV and is lowered to 74 GeV for  $m_{\widetilde{\nu}} \geq 100$  GeV. Limits in the plane  $(m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}}, \Delta m_+)$  are shown in Fig. 7. Exclusion regions are also derived for the AMSB scenario in the  $(m_{3/2}, \tan\beta)$  plane, see their Fig. 9.
- <sup>3</sup> ABDALLAH 03M searches for the production of charginos using data from  $\sqrt{s}=192$  to 208 GeV to investigate topologies with multiple leptons, jets plus leptons, multi-jets, or isolated photons. The first limit holds for  $\tan\beta\geq 1$  and is obtained at  $\Delta m_+=3$  GeV in the higgsino region. For  $\Delta m_+\geq 10$  (5) GeV and large  $m_0$ , the limit improves to 102.7 (101.7) GeV. For the region of small  $\Delta m_+$ , all data from  $\sqrt{s}=130$  to 208 GeV are used to investigate final states with heavy stable charged particles, decay vertices inside the detector and soft topologies with a photon from initial state radiation. The second limit is obtained in the higgsino region, assuming gaugino mass universality at the GUT scale and  $1<\tan\beta<50$ . For the case of non-universality of gaugino masses, the parameter space is scanned in the domain  $1<\tan\beta<50$  and, for  $\Delta m_+<3$  GeV, for values of  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and  $\mu$  such that  $M_2\leq 2M_1\leq 10M_2$  and  $|\mu|\geq M_2$ . The third limit is obtained in the gaugino region. See Fig. 36 for the dependence of the low  $\Delta m_+$  limits on  $\Delta m_+$ . These limits include and update the results of ABREU 00J and ABREU 00T.
- <sup>4</sup> ABDALLAH 03M uses data from  $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV to obtain limits in the framework of the MSSM with gaugino and sfermion mass universality at the GUT scale. An indirect limit on the mass of charginos is derived by constraining the MSSM parameter space by the results from direct searches for neutralinos (including cascade decays), for charginos and for sleptons. These limits are valid for values of  $M_2 < 1$  TeV,  $|\mu| \leq 2$  TeV with the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  as LSP. Constraints from the Higgs search in the  $M_h^{max}$  scenario assuming  $m_t = 174.3$  GeV are included. The quoted limit applies if there is no mixing in the third family or when  $m_{\widetilde{\tau}_1} m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} > 6$  GeV. If mixing is included the limit degrades to 90 GeV. See Fig. 43 for the mass limits as a function of  $\tan\beta$ . These limits update the results of ABREU 00W.
- <sup>5</sup> HEISTER 02J search for chargino production with small  $\Delta m_+$  in final states with a hard isolated initial state radiation photon and few low-momentum particles, using 189–208 GeV data. This search is sensitive in the intermediate  $\Delta m_+$  region. Combined with searches for  $\not\!E$  topologies and for stable charged particles, the above bound is obtained for  $m_0$  larger than few hundred GeV,  $1 < \tan \beta < 300$  and holds for any chargino field contents. For light scalars, the general limit reduces to the one from the  $Z^0$ , but under the assumption of gaugino and sfermion mass unification the above bound is recovered. See Figs. 4–6 for the more general dependence of the limits on  $\Delta m_+$ . Updates BARATE 98X.
- <sup>6</sup> ACCIARRI 00D data collected at  $\sqrt{s}$ =189 GeV. The results hold over the full parameter space defined by 0.7  $\leq$  tan $\beta$   $\leq$  60, 0  $\leq$   $M_2$   $\leq$  2 TeV,  $|\mu|$   $\leq$  2 TeV  $m_0$   $\leq$  500 GeV. The results of slepton searches from ACCIARRI 99W are used to help set constraints in the region of small  $m_0$ . See their Figs. 5 for the tan $\beta$  and  $M_2$  dependence on the limits. See the text for the impact of a large B( $\tilde{\chi}^{\pm} \rightarrow \tau \tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$ ) on the result. The region of small  $\Delta m_+$  is excluded by the analysis of ACCIARRI 00K. Updates ACCIARRI 98F.
- <sup>7</sup> ACCIARRI 00K searches for the production of charginos with small  $\Delta m_+$  using data from  $\sqrt{s}{=}189$  GeV. They investigate soft final states with a photon from initial state radiation. The results are combined with the limits on prompt decays from ACCIARRI 00D and from heavy stable charged particles from ACCIARRI 99L (see Heavy Charged Lepton Searches). The production and decay branching ratios are evaluated within the MSSM, assuming heavy sfermions. The parameter space is scanned in the domain  $1{<}\tan\beta{<}50,$  0.3  $< M_1/M_2$  <50, and  $0{<}|\mu|$  <2 TeV. The limit is obtained in the higgsino region and improves to 78.6 GeV for gaugino-like charginos. The limit is unchanged for light scalar quarks. For light  $\widetilde{\tau}$  or  $\widetilde{\nu}_{\tau}$ , the limit is unchanged in the gaugino-like region and is lowered by 0.8 GeV in the higgsino-like case. For light  $\widetilde{\mu}$  or  $\widetilde{\nu}_{\mu}$ , the limit is unchanged in the higgsino-like region and is lowered by 0.9 GeV in the gaugino-like region. No direct mass limits are obtained for light  $\widetilde{e}$  or  $\widetilde{\nu}_{\rho}$ .

- <sup>8</sup> AALTONEN 09G searched in 976 pb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with trileptons ( $\mu\mu\mu$  or  $\mu\mu e$ ) with a low, 5 GeV,  $p_T$  threshold, and large  $E_T$  from the decay of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}\,\widetilde{\chi}_2^0\,X$ . The selected number of events is consistent with the SM background expectation. The results are combined with the analysis of AALTONEN 07J to set a limit on the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$  mass for a mSUGRA scenario with no slepton mixing.
- <sup>9</sup> ABAZOV 09T searched in 2.3 fb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with trileptons  $(e,\mu)$  or hadronically decaying  $\tau$ ) from the decay of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 X$  and large  $\cancel{E}_T$ . No evidence for a signal is observed. The data are used to constrain the cross section times branching ratio as a function of the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$  mass under the assumption that  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}}=m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0}$
- = 2  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ ,  $\tan\beta=3$ ,  $\mu>0$  and that the sleptons are heavier than the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ , see their Fig. 8. A chargino lighter than 138 GeV is excluded in the "3l-max" scenario. Exclusion regions in the  $(m_0, m_{1/2})$  plane are shown in their Fig. 9 for a mSUGRA scenario with  $\tan\beta=3$ ,  $A_0=0$  and  $\mu>0$ . The  $\tan\beta$  dependence of this exclusion is illustrated in Fig. 10. Supersedes the results of ABAZOV 05U.
- AALTONEN 08AE searched in 2.0 fb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with trileptons  $(e, \mu)$  or a charged isolated track from  $\tau$ ) from the decay of  $p\overline{p} \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 X$  and large  $\not\!\!E_T$ . The selected number of events is consistent with the SM background expectation. The data are used to constrain the cross section times branching ratio as a function of the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$  mass. Exclusion regions in the  $(m_0, m_{1/2})$  plane are shown in their

Fig. 2 for a mSUGRA scenario. When the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm$  is nearly mass degenerate with the  $\widetilde{\tau}_1$  the leptons are too soft and no limit is obtained. For the case  $m_0=60$  GeV a lower limit of 145 GeV on the chargino mass is obtained in this mSUGRA scenario.

AALTONEN 08L searched in 0.7 to 1.0 fb $^{-1}$  of  $p \bar{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with one high- $p_T$  electron or muon and two additional leptons (e or  $\mu$ ) from the decay of  $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_2^0 X$ . The selected number of events is consistent with the SM background expectation. The data are used to constrain the cross section times branching ratio as a function of the  $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$  mass. The results are compared to three MSSM scenarios. An exclusion on chargino and neutralino production is only obtained in a scenario of no mixing between sleptons, yielding nearly equal branching ratios to all three lepton flavors. It amounts to  $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}} > 151$  GeV, while the analysis is not sensitive to chargino masses below about 110 GeV. The analyses have been combined with the analyses of

AALTONEN 07J and ABULENCIA 07N. The observed limits for the combination are less stringent than the one obtained for the high- $p_T$  analysis due to slight excesses in the other channels.

- $^{12}$  ABAZOV 08F looked in 1.1 fb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for diphoton events with large  $\not\!\!E_T$ . They may originate from the production of  $\widetilde{\chi}^\pm$  in pairs or associated to a  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$ , decaying to a  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  which itself decays promptly in GMSB to  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1 \to \gamma \, \widetilde{G}$ . No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation. A limit is derived on the masses of SUSY particles in the GMSB framework for  $M=2\Lambda,\ N=1,\ \tan\beta=15$  and  $\mu>0$ , see Figure 2. It also excludes  $\Lambda<91.5$  TeV. Supersedes the results of ABAZOV 05A.
- 13 AALTONEN 07J searched in 0.7 to 1.1 fb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with either two same sign leptons (e or  $\mu$ ) or trileptons from the decay of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}\,\widetilde{\chi}_2^0\,X$  and large  $E_T$ . The selected number of events is consistent with the SM background expectation. The data are used to constrain the cross section times branching ratio as a function of the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$  mass. The results, shown in their Fig. 2, are compared to several MSSM scenarios. The strongest exclusion is in the case of no mixing between sleptons, yielding nearly equal branching ratios to all three lepton flavors, and amounting to  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}} > 129$

GeV. This analysis includes the same sign dilepton analysis of ABULENCIA 07N.

- $^{14}$  ABULENCIA 07H searched in 346 pb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with at least three leptons (e or  $\mu$ ) from the decay of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  via  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings. The results are consistent with the hypothesis of no signal. Upper limits on the cross-section are extracted and a limit is derived in the framework of mSUGRA on the masses of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  and  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ , see e.g. their Fig. 3 and Tab. II.
- $^{15}$  ABULENCIA 07N searched in 1 fb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with two same sign leptons (e or  $\mu$ ) from the decay of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}\,\widetilde{\chi}_2^0\,X$  and large  $E_T$ . A slight excess of 13 events is observed over a SM background expectation of 7.8  $\pm$  1.1. However, the kinematic distributions do not show any anomalous deviation from expectations in any particular region of parameter space.
- $^{16}$  ABAZOV  $^{06}$ D looked in  $^{360}$  pb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with three leptons originating from the pair production of charginos and neutralinos, followed by R decays mediated by  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings. One coupling is assumed to be dominant at a time. No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation in the  $ee\,\ell,\,\mu\mu\ell$  nor  $ee\,\tau$  ( $\ell=e,\,\mu$ ) final states. Upper limits on the cross-section are extracted in a specific MSUGRA model and a MSSM model without unification of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  at the GUT scale. A limit is derived on the masses of charginos and neutralinos for both scenarios assuming  $\lambda_{ijk}$  couplings such that the decay length is less than 1 cm, see their Table III and Fig. 4.
- $^{17}$  ABAZOV 05A looked in 263 pb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for diphoton events with large  $E_T$ . They may originate from the production of  $\widetilde{\chi}^\pm$  in pairs or associated to a  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$ , decaying to a  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  which itself decays promptly in GMSB to  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1 \to \gamma \, \widetilde{G}$ . No significant excess was found at large  $E_T$  compared to the background expectation. A limit is derived on the masses of SUSY particles in the GMSB framework for M=2  $\Lambda$ , N=1,  $\tan\beta=15$  and  $\mu>0$ , see Figure 2. It also excludes  $\Lambda<79.6$  TeV. Very similar results are obtained for different choices of parameters, see their Table 2. Supersedes the results of ABBOTT 98.
- ACOSTA 05E looked in 202 pb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$ =1.96 TeV for diphoton events with large  $\not\!\!E_T$ . They may originate from the production of  $\widetilde{\chi}^\pm$  in pairs or associated to a  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$ , decaying to a  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  which itself decays promptly in GMSB to  $\gamma \, \widetilde{G}$ . No events are selected at large  $\not\!\!E_T$  compared to the background expectation. A limit is derived on the masses of SUSY particles in the GMSB framework for M=2  $\Lambda$ , N=1,  $\tan\beta=15$  and  $\mu>0$ , see Figure 2. It also excludes  $\Lambda<69$  TeV. Supersedes the results of ABE 99I.
- ABDALLAH 04H use data from LEP 1 and  $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV. They re-use results or re-analyze the data from ABDALLAH 03M to put limits on the parameter space of anomaly-mediated supersymmetry breaking (AMSB), which is scanned in the region  $1 < m_{3/2} < 50$  TeV,  $0 < m_0 < 1000$  GeV,  $1.5 < \tan\beta < 35$ , both signs of  $\mu$ . The constraints are obtained from the searches for mass degenerate chargino and neutralino, for SM-like and invisible Higgs, for leptonically decaying charginos and from the limit on non-SM Z width of 3.2 MeV. The limit is for  $m_t=174.3$  GeV (see Table 2 for other  $m_t$  values).
- $^{20}$  The limit improves to 73 GeV for  $\mu$  < 0.
- $^{21}$  ABDALLAH 04M use data from  $\sqrt{s}=192-208$  GeV to derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of  $\not\!\!R$  with  $LL\overline{E}$  or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings. The results are valid in the ranges 90<  $m_0$  <500 GeV, 0.7<tan $\beta$  <30,  $-200<\mu$  <200 GeV, 0<  $M_2$  <400 GeV. Supersedes the result of ABREU 01D and ABREU 00U.
- $^{22}\,\text{The limit improves to }103\,\,\text{GeV}$  for  $LL\,\overline{E}$  couplings.
- <sup>23</sup> ABDALLAH 03D use data from  $\sqrt{s}=183$ –208 GeV. They look for final states with two acoplanar leptons, expected in GMSB when the  $\widetilde{\tau}_1$  is the NLSP and assuming a short-lived  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ . Limits are obtained in the plane  $(m(\widetilde{\tau}),m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}))$  for different domains of  $m(\widetilde{G})$ , after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production from the same paper. The limit above is valid if the  $\widetilde{\tau}_1$  is the NLSP for all values of  $m(\widetilde{G})$  provided  $m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm})-m(\widetilde{\tau}_1) \geq 0.3$  GeV. For larger  $m(\widetilde{G})>100$  eV the limit improves to 102 GeV,

- see their Fig. 11. In the co-NLSP scenario, the limits are 96 and 102 GeV for all  $m(\widetilde{G})$  and  $m(\widetilde{G}) > 100$  eV, respectively. Supersedes the results of ABREU 01G.
- <sup>24</sup> HEISTER 03G searches for the production of charginos prompt decays. in the case of R prompt decays with  $LL\overline{E}$ ,  $LQ\overline{D}$  or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}$ =189–209 GeV. The search is performed for indirect decays, assuming one coupling at a time to be non-zero. The limit holds for tan $\beta$ =1.41. Excluded regions in the  $(\mu,M_2)$  plane are shown in their Fig. 3.
- $^{25}$  ACHARD 02 searches for the production of sparticles in the case of R prompt decays with  $LL\overline{E}$  or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}{=}189{-}208$  GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The MSUGRA limit results from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with the assumption of gaugino and scalar mass unification at the GUT scale, imposing simultaneously the exclusions from neutralino, chargino, sleptons, and squarks analyses. The limit of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm$  holds for  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings and increases to 103.0 GeV for  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings. For L3 limits from  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings, see ACCIARRI 01.
- <sup>26</sup> GHODBANE 02 reanalyzes DELPHI data at  $\sqrt{s}$ =189 GeV in the presence of complex phases for the MSSM parameters.
- ABREU 01C looked for  $\tau$  pairs with  $\not \!\! E$  at  $\sqrt{s}{=}183{-}189$  GeV to search for the associated production of charginos, followed by the decay  $\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm} \to \tau J$ , J being an invisible massless particle. See Fig. 6 for the regions excluded in the  $(\mu, M_2)$  plane.
- ACCIARRI 01 searches for multi-lepton and/or multi-jet final states from R prompt decays with  $LL\overline{E}$ ,  $LQ\overline{D}$ , or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}$ =189 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays of neutralinos, charginos, and scalar leptons, with the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  or a  $\widetilde{\ell}$  as LSP and assuming one coupling to be nonzero at a time. Mass limits are derived using simultaneously the constraints from the neutralino, chargino, and slepton analyses; and the  $Z^0$  width measurements from ACCIARRI 00C in a scan of the parameter space assuming MSUGRA with gaugino and scalar mass universality. Updates and supersedes the results from ACCIARRI 99I.
- BARATE 01B searches for the production of charginos in the case of R prompt decays with  $LL\overline{E}$ ,  $LQ\overline{D}$ , or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}$ =189–202 GeV. The search is performed for indirect decays, assuming one coupling at a time to be nonzero. Updates BARATE 00H.
- $^{30}$  ABREU 00V use data from  $\sqrt{s}=$  183–189 GeV. They look for final states with two acoplanar leptons, expected in GMSB when the  $\widetilde{\tau}_1$  is the NLSP and assuming a short-lived  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ . Limits are obtained in the plane  $(m_{\widetilde{\tau}},m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm})$  for different domains of  $m_{\widetilde{G}},$  after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABREU 01 to cover prompt decays and on stable particle searches from ABREU 00Q. The limit above is valid for all values of  $m_{\widetilde{C}}$ .
- 31 CHO 00B studied constraints on the MSSM spectrum from precision EW observables. Global fits favour charginos with masses at the lower bounds allowed by direct searches. Allowing for variations of the squark and slepton masses does not improve the fits.
- $^{32}$  ABBIENDI 99T searches for the production of neutralinos in the case of R-parity violation with  $LL\overline{E},\ LQ\overline{D},$  or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings using data from  $\sqrt{s}{=}183$  GeV. They investigate topologies with multiple leptons, jets plus leptons, or multiple jets, assuming one coupling at the time to be non-zero and giving rise to direct or indirect decays. Mixed decays (where one particle has a direct, the other an indirect decay) are also considered for the  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings. Upper limits on the cross section are derived which, combined with the constraint from the  $Z^0$  width, allow to exclude regions in the  $M_2$  versus  $\mu$  plane for any coupling. Limits on the chargino mass are obtained for non-zero  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings  $>10^{-5}$  and assuming decays via a  $W^*$ .
- $^{33}$  MALTONI 99B studied the effect of light chargino-neutralino to the electroweak precision data with a particular focus on the case where they are nearly degenerate ( $\Delta m_+ \sim 1$  GeV) which is difficult to exclude from direct collider searches. The quoted limit is for higgsino-like case while the bound improves to 56 GeV for wino-like case. The values of

- the limits presented here are obtained in an update to MALTONI 99B, as described in MALTONI 00.
- 34 ABE 98J searches for trilepton final states  $(\ell=e,\mu)$ . Efficiencies are calculated using mass relations in the Minimal Supergravity scenario, exploring the domain of parameter space defined by  $1.1 < \tan\beta < 8$ ,  $-1000 < \mu(\text{GeV}) < -200$ , and  $m_{\widetilde{q}}/m_{\widetilde{g}}=1-2$ . In this region  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm} \sim m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0}$  and  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm} \sim 2m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ . Results are presented in Fig. 1 as upper bounds on  $\sigma(p\overline{p}\to\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm\widetilde{\chi}_2^0)\times \text{B}(3\ell)$ . Limits range from 0.8 pb  $(m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm}=50~\text{GeV})$  to 0.23 pb  $(m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm}=100~\text{GeV})$  at 95%CL. The gaugino mass unification hypothesis and the assumed mass relation between squarks and gluinos define the value of the leptonic branching ratios. The quoted result corresponds to the best limit within the selected range of parameters, obtained for  $m_{\widetilde{q}}>m_{\widetilde{g}}$ ,  $\tan\beta=2$ , and  $\mu=-600~\text{GeV}$ . Mass limits for different values of  $\tan\beta$  and  $\mu$  are given in Fig. 2.
- <sup>35</sup> ACKERSTAFF 98K looked for dilepton+ $\rlap/E_T$  final states at  $\sqrt{s}$ =130–172 GeV. Limits on  $\sigma(e^+e^-\to\widetilde{\chi}_1^+\widetilde{\chi}_1^-)\times B^2(\ell)$ , with  $B(\ell)$ = $B(\chi^+\to\ell^+\nu_\ell\chi_1^0)$  ( $B(\ell)$ = $B(\chi^+\to\ell^+\widetilde{\nu}_\ell)$ ), are given in Fig. 16 (Fig. 17).
- $^{36}$  ACKERSTAFF 98L limit is obtained for 0  $<\!M_2<$  1500,  $|\mu|<$  500 and  $\tan\beta>$  1, but remains valid outside this domain. The dependence on the trilinear-coupling parameter A is studied, and found negligible. The limit holds for the smallest value of  $m_0$  consistent with scalar lepton constraints (ACKERSTAFF 97H) and for all values of  $m_0$  where the condition  $\Delta m_{\widetilde{\nu}}>2.0$  GeV is satisfied.  $\Delta m_{\nu}>$  10 GeV if  $\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm}\to \ell\widetilde{\nu}_{\ell}$ . The limit improves to 84.5 GeV for  $m_0$ =1 TeV. Data taken at  $\sqrt{s}$ =130–172 GeV.
- 37 ACKERSTAFF 98V excludes the light gluino with universal gaugino mass where charginos, neutralinos decay as  $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}, \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow q \, \overline{q} \, \widetilde{g}$  from total hadronic cross sections at  $\sqrt{s}$ =130–172 GeV. See paper for the case of nonuniversal gaugino mass.
- <sup>38</sup> CARENA 97 studied the constraints on chargino and sneutrino masses from muon g-2. The bound can be important for large  $\tan \beta$ .
- <sup>39</sup> KALINOWSKI 97 studies the constraints on the chargino-neutralino parameter space from limits on  $\Gamma(W \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_1^0)$  achievable at LEP2. This is relevant when  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$  is "invisible," i.e., if  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$  dominantly decays into  $\widetilde{\nu}_{\ell} \ell^{\pm}$  with little energy for the lepton. Small otherwise allowed regions could be excluded.
- $^{40}$  ABE 96K looked for trilepton events from chargino-neutralino production. The bound on  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$  can reach up to 47 GeV for specific choices of parameters. The limits on the combined production cross section times 3-lepton branching ratios range between 1.4 and 0.4 pb, for  $45 < m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm}(\text{GeV}) < 100$ . See the paper for more details on the parameter dependence of the results.

## Long-lived $\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm}$ (Chargino) MASS LIMITS

Limits on charginos which leave the detector before decaying

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>171	95	$^{ m 1}$ ABAZOV	09м	D0	$\widetilde{H}$
>102	95	<sup>2</sup> ABBIENDI	03L	OPAL	$m_{\widetilde{ u}} >$ 500 GeV
none 2–93.0	95	<sup>3</sup> ABREU	00T	DLPH	$m_{\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}} >$ 500 GeV $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\pm}$ or $m_{\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}} > m_{\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm}}$
• • • We do not use the	e following	g data for averages	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
> 83	95	<sup>4</sup> BARATE	97K	ALEP	
> 28.2	95	ADACHI	90c	TOP7	

- $^1$  ABAZOV 09M searched in 1.1 fb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with direct production of a pair of charged massive stable particles identified by their TOF. The number of the observed events is consistent with the predicted background. The data are used to constrain the production cross section as a function of the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$  mass, see their Fig. 2. The quoted limit improves to 206 GeV for gaugino-like charginos.
- <sup>2</sup> ABBIENDI 03L used  $e^+e^-$  data at  $\sqrt{s}=130$ –209 GeV to select events with two high momentum tracks with anomalous dE/dx. The excluded cross section is compared to the theoretical expectation as a function of the heavy particle mass in their Fig. 3. The bounds are valid for colorless fermions with lifetime longer than  $10^{-6}$  s. Supersedes the results from ACKERSTAFF 98P.
- ABREU 00T searches for the production of heavy stable charged particles, identified by their ionization or Cherenkov radiation, using data from  $\sqrt{s}$ = 130 to 189 GeV. These limits include and update the results of ABREU 98P.
- <sup>4</sup> BARATE 97K uses e<sup>+</sup> e<sup>-</sup> data collected at  $\sqrt{s}=130$ –172 GeV. Limit valid for tan $\beta=\sqrt{2}$  and  $m_{\widetilde{H}}>100$  GeV. The limit improves to 86 GeV for  $m_{\widetilde{H}}>250$  GeV.

## $\widetilde{ u}$ (Sneutrino) MASS LIMIT

The limits may depend on the number,  $N(\widetilde{\nu})$ , of sneutrinos assumed to be degenerate in mass. Only  $\widetilde{\nu}_L$  (not  $\widetilde{\nu}_R$ ) is assumed to exist. It is possible that  $\widetilde{\nu}$  could be the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP).

We report here, but do not include in the Listings, the limits obtained from the fit of the final results obtained by the LEP Collaborations on the invisible width of the Z boson ( $\Delta\Gamma_{\rm inv.} < 2.0$  MeV, LEP-SLC 06):  $m_{\widetilde{\nu}} > 43.7$  GeV ( $N(\widetilde{\nu})=1$ ) and  $m_{\widetilde{\nu}} > 44.7$  GeV ( $N(\widetilde{\nu})=3$ ).

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
> 94	95	$^{ m 1}$ ABDALLAH	03м	DLPH	$1 \leq \tan \beta \leq 40$ ,
					$m_{\widetilde{e}_R}\!-\!m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1}>\!10$ GeV
> 84	95	<sup>2</sup> HEISTER	02N	ALEP	$\widetilde{\nu}_{\mathbf{e}}$ , any $\Delta m$
> 37.1	95	<sup>3</sup> ADRIANI	93M	L3	$\Gamma(Z  o \text{ invisible}); N(\widetilde{\nu})=1$
> 41	95	<sup>4</sup> DECAMP	92	ALEP	$\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \text{invisible}); N(\widetilde{\nu})=3$
> 36	95	ABREU			$\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \text{invisible}); N(\widetilde{\nu})=1$
> 31.2	95	<sup>5</sup> ALEXANDER	91F	OPAL	$\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \text{invisible}); N(\widetilde{\nu})=1$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

		<sup>6</sup> AALTONEN	10Z	CDF	$\widetilde{ u}_{ au}$ , $R$
		<sup>7</sup> ABAZOV	10M		$\widetilde{ u}_{ au}$ , $R$
		<sup>8</sup> AALTONEN	09∨	CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{\nu} \rightarrow \mu\mu, R LQ\overline{D}$
		<sup>9</sup> ABAZOV	08Q	D0	$\widetilde{ u}_{ au}$ , $R$
		<sup>10</sup> SCHAEL	07A	ALEP	$\widetilde{ u}_{\mu, au}^{'}$ , $ ot\!\!R$ , (s+t)-channel
		<sup>11</sup> ABAZOV	061	D0	$R, \lambda'_{211}$
		<sup>12</sup> ABDALLAH	<b>06</b> C	DLPH	$\widetilde{\nu}_{\ell}$ , $\mathcal{R}$ , (s+t)-channel
		<sup>13</sup> ABULENCIA	06м	CDF	$\widetilde{ u}_{ au}$ , $R$
		<sup>14</sup> ABULENCIA	05A	CDF	$p\overline{p}  ightarrow \widetilde{ u}  ightarrow ee, \mu\mu, R LQ\overline{D}$
		<sup>15</sup> ACOSTA	<b>05</b> R	CDF	$p\overline{p}  ightarrow \ \widetilde{ u}  ightarrow \  au au$ , $R$ , $LQ\overline{D}$
		<sup>16</sup> ABBIENDI	04F	OPAL	$R,  \widetilde{ u}_{e,\mu, au}$
> 95	95	<sup>17,18</sup> ABDALLAH	04H	DLPH	AMSB, $\mu > 0$
> 98	95	<sup>19</sup> ABDALLAH	04M	DLPH	$R(LL\overline{E}), \widetilde{\nu}_{e}, \text{indirect}, \Delta m > 5 \text{ GeV}$
> 85	95	<sup>19</sup> ABDALLAH	04M	DLPH	$R(LL\overline{E}), \widetilde{\nu}_{\mu}, \text{indirect}, \Delta m > 5 \text{ GeV}$
> 85	95	<sup>19</sup> ABDALLAH	04M	DLPH	$R(LL\overline{E}), \tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$ , indirect, $\Delta m > 5$ GeV
		<sup>20</sup> ABDALLAH	03F	DLPH	$\widetilde{\nu}_{\mu,\tau}$ , $R L L \overline{E}$ decays

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 27

		<sup>21</sup> ACOSTA	03E	CDF	$\widetilde{\nu}$ , $R$ , $LQ\overline{D}$ production and $LL\overline{E}$
> 88	95	<sup>22</sup> HEISTER	<b>03</b> G	ALEP	decays $\widetilde{\nu}_e$ , $R$ decays, $\mu$ = $-200$ GeV,
> 65	95	<sup>22</sup> HEISTER	03G	ALEP	$ aneta=2$ $\widetilde{ u}_{\mu, au}$ , $ ot\!\!R$ decays
		<sup>23</sup> ABAZOV	02н	D0	$\mathcal{R}, \lambda'_{211}$
> 95	95	<sup>24</sup> ACHARD	02	L3	$\widetilde{\nu}_{e}$ , $\mathcal{R}$ decays, $\mu = -200$ GeV,
> 65	95	<sup>24</sup> ACHARD	02	L3	$ aneta=\sqrt{2}$ $\widetilde{ u}_{oldsymbol{ u},oldsymbol{ au}}$ decays
>149	95	<sup>24</sup> ACHARD	02	L3	$\widetilde{\nu}$ , $R$ decays, MSUGRA
		<sup>25</sup> HEISTER	02F	ALEP	$e\gamma  ightarrow \left. \widetilde{ u}_{\mu, au} \ell_{m{k}},  ot\!\!\!/ LL\overline{m{E}}  ight.$
none 100-264	95	<sup>26</sup> ABBIENDI	<b>00</b> R	OPAL	$\widetilde{ u}_{\mu, au}$ , $R$ , $(s+t)$ -channel
none 100-200	95	<sup>27</sup> ABBIENDI	<b>00</b> R	OPAL	$\widetilde{ u}_{ au}$ , $R$ , s-channel
		<sup>28</sup> ABREU	<b>00</b> S	DLPH	$\widetilde{\nu}_\ell$ , $\mathcal{R}$ , $(s+t)$ -channel
none 50-210	95	<sup>29</sup> ACCIARRI	<b>00</b> P	L3	$\widetilde{ u}_{\mu, au}$ , $ ot\!\!R$ , s-channel
none 50-210	95	<sup>30</sup> BARATE	001	ALEP	$\widetilde{ u}_{\mu, au}^{r}$ , $R$ , $(s+t)$ -channel
none 90-210	95	<sup>31</sup> BARATE	001	ALEP	$\widetilde{\nu}_{\mu,\tau}^{r,r}$ , $R$ , s-channel
none 100-160	95	<sup>32</sup> ABBIENDI	99	OPAL	$\widetilde{\nu}_{e}$ , $R$ , t-channel
$\neq$ m $_{7}$	95	<sup>33</sup> ACCIARRI	97∪	L3	$\widetilde{\nu}_{ au}$ , $R$ , s-channel
none 125–180	95	<sup>33</sup> ACCIARRI	<b>97</b> U	L3	$\widetilde{\nu}_{_{\mathcal{T}}}$ , $R$ , s-channel
		<sup>34</sup> CARENA	97	THEO	$g_{\mu}-2$
> 46.0	95	<sup>35</sup> BUSKULIC	95E	ALEP	$N(\widetilde{\nu})=1, \ \widetilde{\nu} \rightarrow \ \nu \nu \ell \overline{\ell}'$
none 20-25000	)	<sup>36</sup> BECK	94	COSM	Stable $\widetilde{\nu}$ , dark matter
<600		<sup>37</sup> FALK	94	COSM	$\widetilde{ u}$ LSP, cosmic abundance
none 3–90	90	<sup>38</sup> SATO	91	KAMI	Stable $\widetilde{ u}_{e}$ or $\widetilde{ u}_{\mu}$ ,
none 4–90	90	<sup>38</sup> SATO	91	KAMI	dark matter Stable $\widetilde{ u}_{\mathcal{T}}$ , dark matter

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  ABDALLAH 03M uses data from  $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV to obtain limits in the framework of the MSSM with gaugino and sfermion mass universality at the GUT scale. An indirect limit on the mass is derived by constraining the MSSM parameter space by the results from direct searches for neutralinos (including cascade decays) and for sleptons. These limits are valid for values of  $\rm M_2 < 1$  TeV,  $|\mu| \le 1$  TeV with the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  as LSP. The quoted limit is obtained when there is no mixing in the third family. See Fig. 43 for the mass limits as a function of  $\tan\beta$ . These limits update the results of ABREU 00W.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  HEISTER 02N derives a bound on  $m_{\widetilde{\nu}_e}$  by exploiting the mass relation between the  $\widetilde{\nu}_e$  and  $\widetilde{e}$ , based on the assumption of universal GUT scale gaugino and scalar masses  $m_{1/2}$  and  $m_0$  and the search described in the  $\widetilde{e}$  section. In the MSUGRA framework with radiative electroweak symmetry breaking, the limit improves to  $m_{\widetilde{\nu}_e} > \!\! 130$  GeV, assuming a trilinear coupling  $A_0 \! = \! 0$  at the GUT scale. See Figs. 5 and 7 for the dependence of the limits on  $\tan\beta$ .

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  ADRIANI 93M limit from  $\Delta\Gamma(Z)$  (invisible) < 16.2 MeV.

 $<sup>^4\,\</sup>mathrm{DECAMP}$  92 limit is from  $\Gamma(\mathrm{invisible})/\Gamma(\ell\ell)=5.91\pm0.15$  (N $_{\nu}=2.97\pm0.07$ ).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> ALEXANDER 91F limit is for one species of  $\widetilde{\nu}$  and is derived from  $\Gamma(\text{invisible, new})/\Gamma(\ell\ell)$  < 0.38.

- <sup>6</sup> AALTONEN 10Z searched in 1 fb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events from the production  $d\overline{d} \to \widetilde{\nu}_{\tau}$  with the subsequent decays  $\widetilde{\nu}_{\tau} \to e\mu$ ,  $\mu\tau$ ,  $e\tau$  in the MSSM framework with R. Two isolated leptons of different flavor and opposite charges are required, with  $\tau s$  identified by their hadronic decay. No statistically significant excesses are observed over the SM background. Upper limits on  $\lambda_{311}'^2$  times the branching ratio are listed in their Table III for various  $\widetilde{\nu}_{\tau}$  masses. Limits on the cross section times branching ratio for  $\lambda_{311}' = 0.10$  and  $\lambda_{i3k} = 0.05$ , displayed in Fig. 2, are used to set limits on the  $\widetilde{\nu}_{\tau}$  mass of 558 GeV for the  $e\mu$ , 441 GeV for the  $\mu\tau$  and 442 GeV for the  $e\tau$  channels.
- $^7$  ABAZOV 10M looked in 5.3 fb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with exactly one pair of high  $p_T$  isolated  $e\mu$  and a veto against hard jets. No evidence for an excess over the SM expectation is observed, and a limit at 95% C.L. on the cross section times branching ratio is derived, see their Fig. 3. These limits are translated into limits on couplings as a function of  $m_{\widetilde{\nu}_T}$  as shown on their Fig. 4. As an example, for  $m_{\widetilde{\nu}_T}=100$  GeV and  $\lambda_{312}\leq0.07$ , couplings  $\lambda'_{311}>7.7\times10^{-4}$  are excluded.
- <sup>8</sup> AALTONEN 09V searched in 2.3 fb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with an oppositely charged pair originating from the R production of a sneutrino decaying to dimuons. A limit is derived on the cross section times branching ratio, B, of  $\widetilde{\nu} \to \mu\mu$  for several values of the coupling  $\lambda'$ , see their Fig. 3. For  $\lambda'^2B=0.01$ , the range 100 GeV  $\leq m_{\widetilde{\nu}} \leq 810$  GeV is excluded.
- <sup>9</sup> ABAZOV 08Q searched in 1.04 fb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for an excess of events with oppositely charged  $e\mu$  pairs. They might be expected in a SUSY model with R where a sneutrino is produced by  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings and decays via  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings, focusing on  $\widetilde{\nu}_{\tau}$ , hence on the  $\lambda'_{311}$  and  $\lambda_{312}$  constants. No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation. Upper limits on the cross-section times branching ratio are extracted and displayed in their Fig. 2. Exclusion regions are determined for the  $\widetilde{\nu}_{\tau}$  mass as a function of both couplings, see their Fig. 3. As an indication, for  $\widetilde{\nu}_{\tau}$  masses of 100 GeV and  $\lambda_{312}=0.01$ , values of  $\lambda'_{311}\geq 1.6\times 10^{-3}$  are excluded at the 95% C.L. Superseded by ABAZOV 10M.
- $^{10}\, {\rm SCHAEL}$  07A searches for the s- or t-channel exchange of sneutrinos in the case of  $R\!\!\!/$  with LLE couplings by studying di-lepton production at  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. Limits are obtained on the couplings as a function of the  $\widetilde{\nu}$  mass, see their Figs. 22-24. The results of this analysis are combined with BARATE 001.
- $^{11}$  ABAZOV 06I looked in 380 pb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with at least 2 muons and 2 jets for s-channel production of  $\widetilde{\mu}$  or  $\widetilde{\nu}$  and subsequent decay via R couplings  $LQ\overline{D}$ . The data are in agreement with the SM expectation. They set limits on resonant slepton production and derive exclusion contours on  $\lambda'_{211}$  in the mass plane of  $\widetilde{\ell}$  versus  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  assuming a MSUGRA model with  $\tan\beta=5,\ \mu<0$  and  $A_0=0,$  see their Fig. 3. For  $\lambda'_{211}\geq0.09$  slepton masses up to 358 GeV are excluded. Supersedes the results of ABAZOV 02H.
- ABDALLAH 06C searches for anomalies in the production cross sections and forward-backward asymmetries of the  $\ell^+\ell^-(\gamma)$  final states ( $\ell=e,\mu,\tau$ ) from 675 pb $^{-1}$  of  $e^+e^-$  data at  $\sqrt{s}$ =130–207 GeV. Limits are set on the s- and t-channel exchange of sneutrinos in the presence of R with  $\lambda LL\overline{E}$  couplings. For points between the energies at which data were taken, information is obtained from events in which a photon was radiated. Exclusion limits in the  $(\lambda, m_{\widetilde{\nu}})$  plane are given in Fig. 16. These limits include and update the results of ABREU 00S.
- $^{13}$  ABULENCIA 06M searched in 344 pb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for an excess of events with oppositely charged  $e\mu$  pairs. They might be expected in a SUSY model with R where a sneutrino is produced by  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings and decays via  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings, focusing on  $\widetilde{\nu}_{\tau}$ , hence on the  $\lambda'_{311}$  and  $\lambda_{132}$  constants. No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation. Upper limits on the cross-section times branching ratio are extracted and exclusion regions determined for the  $\widetilde{\nu}_{\tau}$  mass as a

- function of both couplings, see their Fig. 3. As an indication,  $\widetilde{\nu}_{\tau}$  masses are excluded up to 300 GeV for  $\lambda'_{311} \geq 0.01$  and  $\lambda_{132} \geq 0.02$ . Superseded by AALTONEN 10z.
- $^{14}$  ABULENCIA 05A looked in  $\sim$  200 pb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for dimuon and dielectron events. They may originate from the R production of a sneutrino decaying to dileptons. No significant excess rate was found compared to the background expectation. A limit is derived on the cross section times branching ratio, B, of  $\widetilde{\nu}\to ee$ ,  $\mu\mu$  of 25 fb at high mass, see their Figure 2. Sneutrino masses are excluded at 95% CL below 680, 620, 460 GeV (ee channel) and 665, 590, 450 GeV ( $\mu\mu$  channel) for a  $\lambda'$  coupling and branching ratio such that  $\lambda'^2$  B=0.01,~0.005,~0.001, respectively.
- $^{15}$  ACOSTA 05R looked in 195 pb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for ditau events with one identified hadronic tau decay and one other tau decay. They may originate from the R production of a sneutrino decaying to  $\tau\tau$ . No significant excess rate was found compared to the background expectation, dominated by Drell-Yan. A limit is derived on the cross section times branching ratio, B, of  $\widetilde{\nu} \to \tau\tau$ , see their Figure 3. Sneutrino masses below 377 GeV are excluded at 95% CL for a  $\lambda'$  coupling to  $d\overline{d}$  and branching ratio such that  $\lambda'^2B=0.01$ .
- <sup>16</sup> ABBIENDI 04F use data from  $\sqrt{s}=189-209$  GeV. They derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with  $LL\overline{E}$  or  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings. The results are valid for  $\tan\beta=1.5$ ,  $\mu=-200$  GeV, and a BR for the decay given by CMSSM, assuming no sensitivity to other decays. Limits are quoted for  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0}=60$  GeV and degrade for low-mass  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ . For  $\widetilde{\nu}_e$  the direct (indirect) limits with  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings are 89 (95) GeV and with  $LQ\overline{D}$  they are 89 (88) GeV. For  $\widetilde{\nu}_{\mu,\tau}$  the direct (indirect) limits with  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings are 79 (81) GeV and with  $LQ\overline{D}$  they are 74 (no limit) GeV. Supersedes the results of ABBIENDI 00.
- <sup>17</sup> ABDALLAH 04H use data from LEP 1 and  $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV. They re-use results or re-analyze the data from ABDALLAH 03M to put limits on the parameter space of anomaly-mediated supersymmetry breaking (AMSB), which is scanned in the region  $1 < m_{3/2} < 50$  TeV,  $0 < m_0 < 1000$  GeV,  $1.5 < \tan \beta < 35$ , both signs of  $\mu$ . The constraints are obtained from the searches for mass degenerate chargino and neutralino, for SM-like and invisible Higgs, for leptonically decaying charginos and from the limit on non-SM Z width of 3.2 MeV. The limit is for  $m_t = 174.3$  GeV (see Table 2 for other  $m_t$  values).
- $^{18}$  The limit improves to 114 GeV for  $\mu <$  0.
- $^{19}$  ABDALLAH 04M use data from  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –208 GeV. The results are valid for  $\mu=-200$  GeV,  $\tan\!\beta=1.5,\,\Delta m>5$  GeV and assuming a BR of 1 for the given decay. The limit quoted is for indirect decays using the neutralino constraint of 39.5 GeV, also derived in ABDALLAH 04M. For indirect decays the limit on  $\widetilde{\nu}_e$  decreases to 96 GeV if the constraint from the neutralino is not used and for direct decays it remains 96 GeV. For indirect decays the limit on  $\widetilde{\nu}_\mu$  decreases to 82 GeV if the constraint from the neutralino is not used and to 83 GeV for direct decays. For indirect decays the limit on  $\widetilde{\nu}_\tau$  decreases to 82 GeV if the constraint from the neutralino is not used and improves to 91 GeV for direct decays. Supersedes the results of ABREU 00U.
- $^{20}$  ABDALLAH 03F looked for events of the type  $e^+\,e^-\to \widetilde{\nu}\to \widetilde{\chi}^0\,\nu,\,\widetilde{\chi}^\pm\,\ell^\mp$  followed by R decays of the  $\widetilde{\chi}^0$  via  $\lambda_{1j1}$  (j = 2,3) couplings in the data at  $\sqrt{s}=$  183–208 GeV. From a scan over the SUGRA parameters, they derive upper limits on the  $\lambda_{1j1}$  couplings as a function of the sneutrino mass, see their Figs. 5–8.
- <sup>21</sup> ACOSTA 03E search for  $e\mu$ ,  $e\tau$  and  $\mu\tau$  final states, and sets limits on the product of production cross-section and decay branching ratio for a  $\tilde{\nu}$  in RPV models (see Fig. 3).
- <sup>22</sup> HEISTER 03G searches for the production of sneutrinos in the case of R prompt decays with  $LL\overline{E}$ ,  $LQ\overline{D}$  or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at a time to be non-zero. The limit holds for indirect  $\overline{\nu}$  decays via  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings and  $\Delta m>10$  GeV. Stronger limits are reached for  $(\overline{\nu}_e,\overline{\nu}_{\mu,\tau})$  for  $LL\overline{E}$  direct (100,90) GeV or indirect (98,89) GeV and for  $LQ\overline{D}$  direct (–,79) GeV or indirect (91,78) GeV couplings. For  $LL\overline{E}$  indirect decays, use is

- made of the bound  $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) >$  23 GeV from BARATE 98S. Supersedes the results from BARATE 01B.
- <sup>23</sup> ABAZOV 02H looked in 94 pb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$ =1.8 TeV for events with at least 2 muons and 2 jets for s-channel production of  $\widetilde{\mu}$  or  $\widetilde{\nu}$  and subsequent decay via R couplings  $LQ\overline{D}$ . A scan over the MSUGRA parameters is performed to exclude regions of the  $(m_0, m_{1/2})$  plane, examples being shown in Fig. 2.
- $^{24}$  ACHARD 02 searches for the associated production of sneutrinos in the case of  $\not\!\!R$  prompt decays with  $LL\overline{E}$  or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}{=}189{-}208$  GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The limit holds for direct decays via  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings. Stronger limits are reached for  $(\widetilde{\nu}_e,\widetilde{\nu}_{\mu,\tau})$  for  $LL\overline{E}$  indirect (99,78) GeV and for  $\overline{UDD}$  direct or indirect (99,70) GeV decays. The MSUGRA limit results from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with the assumption of gaugino and scalar mass unification at the GUT scale, imposing simultaneously the exclusions from neutralino, chargino, sleptons, and squarks analyses. The limit holds for  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings and increases to 152.7 GeV for  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings.
- <sup>25</sup> HEISTER 02F searched for single sneutrino production via  $e\gamma \to \tilde{\nu}_j \ell_k$  mediated by  $\not\!\!R$  LLE couplings, decaying directly or indirectly via a  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$  and assuming a single coupling to be nonzero at a time. Final states with three leptons and possible  $\not\!\!E_T$  due to neutrinos were selected in the 189–209 GeV data. Limits on the couplings  $\lambda_{1j\,k}$  as function of the sneutrino mass are shown in Figs. 10–14. The couplings  $\lambda_{232}$  and  $\lambda_{233}$  are not accessible and  $\lambda_{121}$  and  $\lambda_{131}$  are measured with better accuracy in sneutrino resonant production. For all tested couplings, except  $\lambda_{133}$ , the limits are significantly improved compared to the low-energy limits.
- ABBIENDI 00R studied the effect of s- and t-channel  $\tau$  or  $\mu$  sneutrino exchange in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$  at  $\sqrt{s}{=}130{-}189$  GeV, via the R-parity violating coupling  $\lambda_{1i1}L_1L_ie_1$  ( $i{=}2$  or 3). The limits quoted here hold for  $\lambda_{1i1}>0.13$ , and supersede the results of ABBIENDI 99. See Fig. 11 for limits on  $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}$  versus coupling.
- <sup>27</sup> ABBIENDI 00R studied the effect of s-channel  $\tau$  sneutrino exchange in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  at  $\sqrt{s}$ =130–189 GeV, in presence of the *R*-parity violating couplings  $\lambda_{i3i}L_iL_3e_i$  (i=1 and 2), with  $\lambda_{131}=\lambda_{232}$ . The limits quoted here hold for  $\lambda_{131}>0.09$ , and supersede the results of ABBIENDI 99. See Fig. 12 for limits on  $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}$  versus coupling.
- <sup>28</sup> ABREU 00S searches for anomalies in the production cross sections and forward-backward asymmetries of the  $\ell^+\ell^-(\gamma)$  final states ( $\ell=e,\mu,\tau$ ) from  $e^+e^-$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$ =130–189 GeV. Limits are set on the s- and t-channel exchange of sneutrinos in the presence of R with  $\lambda LL\overline{E}$  couplings. For points between the energies at which data were taken, information is obtained from events in which a photon was radiated. Exclusion limits in the  $(\lambda,m_{\widetilde{\nu}})$  plane are given in Fig. 5. These limits include and update the results of ABREU 99A.
- ACCIARRI 00P use the dilepton total cross sections and asymmetries at  $\sqrt{s}=m_Z$  and  $\sqrt{s}=130-189$  GeV data to set limits on the effect of R LLE couplings giving rise to  $\mu$  or  $\tau$  sneutrino exchange. See their Fig. 5 for limits on the sneutrino mass versus couplings.
- $^{30}$  BARATE 00I studied the effect of s-channel and t-channel  $\tau$  or  $\mu$  sneutrino exchange in  $e^+\,e^-\to e^+\,e^-$  at  $\sqrt{s}{=}$  130–183 GeV, via the R-parity violating coupling  $\lambda_{1i1}L_1L_ie_1^C$  (i=2 or 3). The limits quoted here hold for  $\lambda_{1i1}>0.1$ . See their Fig. 15 for limits as a function of the coupling. Superseded by SCHAEL 07A.
- 31 BARATE 00I studied the effect of s-channel  $\tau$  sneutrino exchange in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  at  $\sqrt{s}=$  130–183 GeV, in presence of the R-parity violating coupling  $\lambda_{i3i}L_iL_3e_i^c$  (i=1 and 2). The limits quoted here hold for  $\sqrt{|\lambda_{131}\lambda_{232}|}>0.2$ . See their Fig. 16 for limits as a function of the coupling. Superseded by SCHAEL 07A.
- <sup>32</sup> ABBIENDI 99 studied the effect of t-channel electron sneutrino exchange in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$  at  $\sqrt{s}$ =130–183 GeV, in presence of the R-parity violating couplings  $\lambda_{131}L_1L_3e_1^c$ . The limits quoted here hold for  $\lambda_{131}>0.6$ .

- <sup>33</sup> ACCIARRI 97U studied the effect of the s-channel tau-sneutrino exchange in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$  at  $\sqrt{s}=m_Z$  and  $\sqrt{s}=130$ –172 GeV, via the R-parity violating coupling  $\lambda_{131}L_1L_ie_1^c$ . The limits quoted here hold for  $\lambda_{131}>0.05$ . Similar limits were studied in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  together with  $\lambda_{232}L_2L_3e_2^c$  coupling.
- <sup>34</sup> CARENA 97 studied the constraints on chargino and sneutrino masses from muon g-2. The bound can be important for large  $\tan \beta$ .
- <sup>35</sup> BUSKULIC 95E looked for  $Z \to \widetilde{\nu}\overline{\widetilde{\nu}}$ , where  $\widetilde{\nu} \to \nu \chi_1^0$  and  $\chi_1^0$  decays via *R*-parity violating interactions into two leptons and a neutrino.
- <sup>36</sup> BECK 94 limit can be inferred from limit on Dirac neutrino using  $\sigma(\tilde{\nu}) = 4\sigma(\nu)$ . Also private communication with H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus.
- <sup>37</sup> FALK 94 puts an upper bound on  $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}$  when  $\widetilde{\nu}$  is LSP by requiring its relic density does not overclose the Universe.
- 38 SATO 91 search for high-energy neutrinos from the sun produced by annihilation of sneutrinos in the sun. Sneutrinos are assumed to be stable and to constitute dark matter in our galaxy. SATO 91 follow the analysis of NG 87, OLIVE 88, and GAISSER 86.

### **CHARGED SLEPTONS**

This section contains limits on charged scalar leptons  $(\widetilde{\ell}, \text{ with } \ell = e, \mu, \tau)$ . Studies of width and decays of the Z boson (use is made here of  $\Delta\Gamma_{\mbox{inv}} < 2.0 \, \mbox{MeV}, \, \mbox{LEP 00})$  conclusively rule out  $m_{\widetilde{\ell}_R} < 40 \, \mbox{GeV}$  (41

GeV for  $\widetilde{\ell}_L$ ) , independently of decay modes, for each individual slepton. The limits improve to 43 GeV (43.5 GeV for  $\widetilde{\ell}_L$ ) assuming all 3 flavors to be degenerate. Limits on higher mass sleptons depend on model assumptions and on the mass splitting  $\Delta m = m_{\widetilde{\ell}} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ . The mass and composition

of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  may affect the selectron production rate in  $e^+e^-$  collisions through t-channel exchange diagrams. Production rates are also affected by the potentially large mixing angle of the lightest mass eigenstate  $\widetilde{\ell}_1 = \widetilde{\ell}_R \sin\theta_\ell + \widetilde{\ell}_L \cos\theta_\ell$ . It is generally assumed that only  $\widetilde{\tau}$  may have significant mixing. The coupling to the Z vanishes for  $\theta_\ell = 0.82$ . In the high-energy limit of  $e^+e^-$  collisions the interference between  $\gamma$  and Z exchange leads to a minimal cross section for  $\theta_\ell = 0.91$ , a value which is sometimes used in the following entries relative to data taken at LEP2. When limits on  $m_{\widetilde{\ell}_R}$  are quoted, it is understood that limits on  $m_{\widetilde{\ell}_L}$  are usually at least as strong.

Possibly open decays involving gauginos other than  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  will affect the detection efficiencies. Unless otherwise stated, the limits presented here result from the study of  $\widetilde{\ell}^+\widetilde{\ell}^-$  production, with production rates and decay properties derived from the MSSM. Limits made obsolete by the recent analyses of  $e^+e^-$  collisions at high energies can be found in previous Editions of this Review.

For decays with final state gravitinos  $(\widetilde{G})$ ,  $m_{\widetilde{G}}$  is assumed to be negligible relative to all other masses.

## $\tilde{e}$ (Selectron) MASS LIMIT

VALUE (GeV) CL%	DOCUMENT I	D	TECN	COMMENT
> 97.5	<sup>1</sup> ABBIENDI	04	OPAL	$\widetilde{e}_{R}$ , $\Delta m > 11$ GeV, $\left  \mu \right  > 100$ GeV, $\tan \beta = 1.5$
> 94.4	<sup>2</sup> ACHARD	04	L3	$\widetilde{\mathrm{e}}_{R}$ , $\Delta m > 10$ GeV, $\left  \mu \right  > 200$ GeV, $\tan \beta \geq 2$
HTTP://PDG.LBL.	GOV	Page 3	32	Created: 6/16/2011 12:05

04 L3

 $\tilde{e}_{R}$ , all  $\Delta m$ 

<sup>2</sup> ACHARD

> 71.3

/ 12.0		, , , , , , , , ,	• .		·K' ···· —···
none 30–94	95	<sup>3</sup> ABDALLAH	03м	DLPH	$\Delta m > 15$ GeV, $\widetilde{e}_R^+ \widetilde{e}_R^-$
> 94	95	<sup>4</sup> ABDALLAH	03м	DLPH	$\widetilde{e}_{R}$ , $1 \leq \tan \beta \leq 40$ , $\Delta m > 10$ GeV
> 95	95	<sup>5</sup> HEISTER	02E	ALEP	$\Delta m > 15$ GeV, $\widetilde{e}_R^+ \widetilde{e}_R^-$
> 73	95	<sup>6</sup> HEISTER	02N	ALEP	$\widetilde{e}_R$ , any $\Delta m$
>107	95	<sup>6</sup> HEISTER	02N	ALEP	$\widetilde{e}_L$ , any $\Delta m$
• • • We do	not use t	the following data f	or ave	rages, fi	ts, limits, etc. • • •
> 89	95	<sup>7</sup> ABBIENDI	04F	OPAL	$R, \widetilde{e}_{l}$
> 92	95	<sup>8</sup> ABDALLAH	04M	DLPH	$R, \tilde{e}_R$ , indirect, $\Delta m > 5$ GeV
> 93	95	<sup>9</sup> HEISTER	<b>03</b> G	ALEP	$\widetilde{e}_{R}$ , $R$ decays, $\mu$ = – 200 GeV,
> 69	95	<sup>10</sup> ACHARD	02	L3	$\tan \beta = 2$ $\widetilde{e}_R$ , $R$ decays, $\mu = -200$ GeV, $\tan \beta = \sqrt{2}$
> 92	95	<sup>11</sup> BARATE	01	ALEP	$\Delta m > 10$ GeV, $\widetilde{e}_{R}^{+} \widetilde{e}_{R}^{-}$
> 77	95	<sup>12</sup> ABBIENDI	001	OPAL	$\Delta m > 5$ GeV, $\tilde{e}_{R}^{+} \tilde{e}_{R}^{-}$
> 83	95	<sup>13</sup> ABREU	<b>00</b> U	DLPH	$\widetilde{e}_R$ , $R$ $(LL\overline{E})$
> 67	95	<sup>14</sup> ABREU	00V	DLPH	$\widetilde{e}_R \widetilde{e}_R (\widetilde{e}_R \rightarrow e \widetilde{G}), m_{\widetilde{G}} > 10 \text{ eV}$
> 85	95	<sup>15</sup> BARATE	<b>00</b> G		$\widetilde{\ell}_{R}  ightarrow  \ell  \widetilde{G}$ , any $ au(\widetilde{\ell}_{R})$
> 29.5	95	<sup>16</sup> ACCIARRI	991	L3	$\widetilde{e}_R$ , $R$ , $\tan \beta \geq 2$
> 56	95	<sup>17</sup> ACCIARRI	98F	L3	$\Delta m >$ 5 GeV, $\widetilde{e}_R^+ \widetilde{e}_R^-$ , $ aneta \geq$
		10			1.41
> 77	95	<sup>18</sup> BARATE	98K	ALEP	Any $\Delta m$ , $\widetilde{e}_R^+ \widetilde{e}_R^-$ , $\widetilde{e}_R \to e \gamma \widetilde{G}$
> 77	95	<sup>19</sup> BREITWEG	98	ZEUS	$m_{\widetilde{q}} = m_{\widetilde{e}}$ , $m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0) =$ 40 GeV
> 63	95	<sup>20</sup> AID	<b>96</b> C	H1	$m_{\widetilde{q}} = m_{\widetilde{e}}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} = 35 \text{ GeV}$

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  ABBIENDI 04 search for  $\widetilde{e}_R\widetilde{e}_R$  production in acoplanar di-electron final states in the 183–208 GeV data. See Fig. 13 for the dependence of the limits on  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1}$  and for the limit at  $\tan\!\beta\!=\!35$  This limit supersedes ABBIENDI 00G.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  ACHARD 04 search for  $\widetilde{e}_R\widetilde{e}_L$  and  $\widetilde{e}_R\widetilde{e}_R$  production in single- and acoplanar di-electron final states in the 192–209 GeV data. Absolute limits on  $m_{\widetilde{e}_R}$  are derived from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with universal GUT scale gaugino and scalar masses  $m_{1/2}$  and  $m_0,~1 \leq \tan\beta \leq 60$  and  $-2 \leq \mu \leq 2$  TeV. See Fig. 4 for the dependence of the limits on  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ . This limit supersedes ACCIARRI 99W.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> ABDALLAH 03M looked for acoplanar dielectron  $+\cancel{E}$  final states at  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –208 GeV. The limit assumes  $\mu=-200$  GeV and  $\tan\beta=1.5$  in the calculation of the production cross section and B( $\widetilde{e} \rightarrow e \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ ). See Fig. 15 for limits in the  $(m_{\widetilde{e}_R}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0})$  plane. These limits include and update the results of ABREU 01

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  ABDALLAH 03M uses data from  $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV to obtain limits in the framework of the MSSM with gaugino and sfermion mass universality at the GUT scale. An indirect limit on the mass is derived by constraining the MSSM parameter space by the results from direct searches for neutralinos (including cascade decays) and for sleptons. These limits are valid for values of  $M_2 < 1$  TeV,  $|\mu| \leq 1$  TeV with the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  as LSP. The quoted limit is obtained when there is no mixing in the third family. See Fig. 43 for the mass limits as a function of  $\tan\beta$ . These limits update the results of ABREU 00W.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> HEISTER 02E looked for acoplanar dielectron  $+ \not\!\! E_T$  final states from  $e^+e^-$  interactions between 183 and 209 GeV. The mass limit assumes  $\mu < -200$  GeV and  $\tan\beta = 2$  for the production cross section and B( $e^- \rightarrow e^-\chi_1^0$ )=1. See their Fig. 4 for the dependence of the limit on  $\Delta m$ . These limits include and update the results of BARATE 01.

- <sup>6</sup> HEISTER 02N search for  $\widetilde{e}_R\widetilde{e}_L$  and  $\widetilde{e}_R\widetilde{e}_R$  production in single- and acoplanar di-electron final states in the 183–208 GeV data. Absolute limits on  $m_{\widetilde{e}_R}$  are derived from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with universal GUT scale gaugino and scalar masses  $m_{1/2}$  and  $m_0$ ,  $1 \le \tan\beta \le 50$  and  $-10 \le \mu \le 10$  TeV. The region of small  $|\mu|$ , where cascade decays are important, is covered by a search for  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0\widetilde{\chi}_3^0$  in final states with leptons and possibly photons. Limits on  $m_{\widetilde{e}_L}$  are derived by exploiting the mass relation between the  $\widetilde{e}_L$  and  $\widetilde{e}_R$ , based on universal  $m_0$  and  $m_{1/2}$ . When the constraint from the mass limit of the lightest Higgs from HEISTER 02 is included, the bounds improve to  $m_{\widetilde{e}_R} > 77(75)$  GeV and  $m_{\widetilde{e}_L} > 115(115)$  GeV for a top mass of 175(180) GeV. In the MSUGRA framework with radiative electroweak symmetry breaking, the limits improve further to  $m_{\widetilde{e}_R} > 95$  GeV and  $m_{\widetilde{e}_L} > 152$  GeV, assuming a trilinear coupling  $A_0 = 0$  at the GUT scale. See Figs. 4, 5, 7 for the dependence of the limits on  $\tan\beta$ .
- <sup>7</sup> ABBIENDI 04F use data from  $\sqrt{s}=189-209$  GeV. They derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with  $LL\overline{E}$  or  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings. The results are valid for  $\tan\beta=1.5$ ,  $\mu=-200$  GeV, with, in addition,  $\Delta m>5$  GeV for indirect decays via  $LQ\overline{D}$ . The limit quoted applies to direct decays via  $LL\overline{E}$  or  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings. For indirect decays, the limits on the  $\widetilde{e}_R$  mass are respectively 99 and 92 GeV for  $LL\overline{E}$  and  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings and  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}0}=10$  GeV and degrade slightly for larger  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  mass. Supersedes the results of ABBIENDI 00.
- <sup>8</sup> ABDALLAH 04M use data from  $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV to derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with  $LL\overline{E}$  or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings. The results are valid for  $\mu=-200$  GeV,  $\tan\beta=1.5$ ,  $\Delta m>5$  GeV and assuming a BR of 1 for the given decay. The limit quoted is for indirect  $\overline{UDD}$  decays using the neutralino constraint of 39.5 GeV for  $LL\overline{E}$  and of 38.0 GeV for  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings, also derived in ABDALLAH 04M. For indirect decays via  $LL\overline{E}$  the limit improves to 95 GeV if the constraint from the neutralino is used and to 94 GeV if it is not used. For indirect decays via  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings it remains unchanged when the neutralino constraint is not used. Supersedes the result of ABREU 00U.
- 9 HEISTER 03G searches for the production of selectrons in the case of R prompt decays with  $LL\overline{E}$ ,  $LQ\overline{D}$  or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at a time to be non-zero. The limit holds for indirect decays mediated by  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings with  $\Delta m>10$  GeV. Limits are also given for  $LL\overline{E}$  direct ( $m_{\widetilde{e},R}>96$  GeV) and indirect decays ( $m_{\widetilde{e},R}>96$  GeV for  $m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0)>23$  GeV from BARATE 98S) and for  $\overline{UDD}$  indirect decays ( $m_{\widetilde{e},R}>94$  GeV with  $\Delta m>10$  GeV). Supersedes the results from BARATE 01B.
- <sup>10</sup> ACHARD 02 searches for the production of selectrons in the case of  $\not{R}$  prompt decays with  $LL\overline{E}$  or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}$ =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The limit holds for direct decays via  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings. Stronger limits are reached for  $LL\overline{E}$  indirect (79 GeV) and for  $\overline{UDD}$  direct or indirect (96 GeV) decays.
- <sup>11</sup> BARATE 01 looked for acoplanar dielectron  $+ \not\!\!E_T$  final states at 189 to 202 GeV. The limit assumes  $\mu = -200$  GeV and  $\tan\beta = 2$  for the production cross section and 100% branching ratio for  $\stackrel{\sim}{e} \rightarrow e \stackrel{\sim}{\chi} ^0_1$ . See their Fig. 1 for the dependence of the limit on  $\Delta m$ . These limits include and update the results of BARATE 99Q.
- $^{12}$  ABBIENDI 00J looked for acoplanar dielectron  $+ \not\!\!E_T$  final states at  $\sqrt{s} = 161$ –183 GeV. The limit assumes  $\mu < -100$  GeV and  $\tan\beta = 1.5$  for the production cross section and decay branching ratios, evaluated within the MSSM, and zero efficiency for decays other than  $\widetilde{e} \rightarrow e \, \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ . See their Fig. 12 for the dependence of the limit on  $\Delta m$  and  $\tan\beta$ .
- ABREU 000 studies decays induced by *R*-parity violating  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings, using data from  $\sqrt{s}$ =189 GeV. They investigate topologies with multiple leptons, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero and giving rise to indirect decays. The limits assume a neutralino mass limit of 30 GeV, also derived in ABREU 000. Updates ABREU 001. Superseded by ABDALLAH 04M.

- <sup>14</sup> ABREU 00V use data from  $\sqrt{s}$ = 130–189 GeV to search for tracks with large impact parameter or visible decay vertices. Limits are obtained as a function of  $m_{\widetilde{G}}$ , from a scan of the GMSB parameters space, after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABREU 01 to cover prompt decays and on stable particle searches from ABREU 00Q. For limits at different  $m_{\widetilde{G}}$ , see their Fig. 12.
- <sup>15</sup> BARATE 00G combines the search for acoplanar dileptons, leptons with large impact parameters, kinks, and stable heavy-charged tracks, assuming 3 flavors of degenerate sleptons, produced in the schannel. Data collected at  $\sqrt{s}$ =189 GeV.
- $^{16}$  ACCIARRI 99I establish indirect limits on  $m_{\widetilde{e}_R}$  from the regions excluded in the  $M_2$  versus  $m_0$  plane by their chargino and neutralino searches at  $\sqrt{s}$ =130–183 GeV. The situations where the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  is the LSP (indirect decays) and where a  $\widetilde{\ell}$  is the LSP (direct decays) were both considered. The weakest limit, quoted above, comes from direct decays with  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings;  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings or indirect decays lead to a stronger limit.
- <sup>17</sup> ACCIARRI 98F looked for acoplanar dielectron+ $\not\!\!E_T$  final states at  $\sqrt{s}$ =130–172 GeV. The limit assumes  $\mu$ =-200 GeV, and zero efficiency for decays other than  $\tilde{e}_R \to e \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ . See their Fig. 6 for the dependence of the limit on  $\Delta m$ .
- $^{18}$  BARATE 98K looked for  $e^+\,e^-\,\gamma\gamma+\not\!\!E$  final states at  $\sqrt{s}=$  161–184 GeV. The limit assumes  $\mu=-200$  GeV and  $\tan\beta=2$  for the evaluation of the production cross section. See Fig. 4 for limits on the  $(m_{\widetilde e_R},m_{\widetilde \chi_1^0})$  plane and for the effect of cascade decays.
- <sup>19</sup> BREITWEG 98 used positron+jet events with missing energy and momentum to look for  $e^+ q \to \widetilde{e} \widetilde{q}$  via gaugino-like neutralino exchange with decays into  $(e \widetilde{\chi}_1^0)(q \widetilde{\chi}_1^0)$ . See paper for dependences in  $m(\widetilde{q})$ ,  $m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0)$ .
- <sup>20</sup> AID 96C used positron+jet events with missing energy and momentum to look for  $e^+ q \rightarrow \widetilde{e} \widetilde{q}$  via neutralino exchange with decays into  $(e \widetilde{\chi}^0_1)(q \widetilde{\chi}^0_1)$ . See the paper for dependences on  $m_{\widetilde{q}}$ ,  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1}$ .

## $\widetilde{\mu}$ (Smuon) MASS LIMIT

<i>VALUE</i> (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>91.0		<sup>1</sup> ABBIENDI	04	OPAL	$\Delta m$ >3 GeV, $\widetilde{\mu}_R^+ \widetilde{\mu}_R^-$ ,
					$ \mu >$ 100 GeV, tan $eta=$ 1.5
>86.7		<sup>2</sup> ACHARD	04	L3	$\Delta m > 10 \text{ GeV}, \ \widetilde{\mu}_R^+ \widetilde{\mu}_R^-,$
					$ \mu >$ 200 GeV, $ aneta\geq 2$
none 30-88	95	<sup>3</sup> ABDALLAH	03м	DLPH	$\Delta m > 5$ GeV, $\widetilde{\mu}_R^+ \widetilde{\mu}_R^-$
>94	95	<sup>4</sup> ABDALLAH	03M	DLPH	$\widetilde{\mu}_{R}, 1 \leq  aneta \leq 40, \ \Delta m > 10 \; { m GeV}$
>88	95	<sup>5</sup> HEISTER	02E	ALEP	$\Delta m > 10 \text{ GeV}$ $\Delta m > 15 \text{ GeV}, \ \widetilde{\mu}_R^+ \widetilde{\mu}_R^-$

● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc.

		<sup>6</sup> ABAZOV	06ı D0	$00 \qquad R,  \lambda'_{211}$
>74	95	<sup>7</sup> ABBIENDI	04F OF	$DPAL \ \mathcal{R}, \ \widetilde{\mu}_{L}^{TT}$
>87	95	<sup>8</sup> ABDALLAH	04M DI	DLPH $R, \widetilde{\mu}_R$ , indirect, $\Delta m > 5$ GeV
>81	95	<sup>9</sup> HEISTER	03G AL	ALEP $\widetilde{\mu}_L$ , $\mathcal{R}$ decays
		<sup>10</sup> ABAZOV	02H D0	$00 \qquad \cancel{R},  \lambda_{211}'$
>61	95	<sup>11</sup> ACHARD	02 L3	
>85	95	<sup>12</sup> BARATE	01 AL	ALEP $\Delta m > 10$ GeV, $\widetilde{\mu}_R^+ \widetilde{\mu}_R^-$
>65	95	<sup>13</sup> ABBIENDI	10 L00	DPAL $\Delta m > 2$ GeV, $\widetilde{\mu}_R^+ \widetilde{\widetilde{\mu}}_R^-$
>80	95	<sup>14</sup> ABREU		DLPH $\widetilde{\mu}_R \widetilde{\mu}_R (\widetilde{\mu}_R \to \widetilde{\mu} \widetilde{G}), m_{\widetilde{G}} > 8 \text{ eV}$
>77	95	<sup>15</sup> BARATE		ALEP Any $\Delta m$ , $\widetilde{\mu}_{R}^{+}\widetilde{\mu}_{R}^{-}$ , $\widetilde{\mu}_{R} \to \mu \gamma \widetilde{G}$

- <sup>1</sup> ABBIENDI 04 search for  $\widetilde{\mu}_R\widetilde{\mu}_R$  production in acoplanar di-muon final states in the 183–208 GeV data. See Fig. 14 for the dependence of the limits on  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$  and for the limit at  $\tan\beta$ =35. Under the assumption of 100% branching ratio for  $\widetilde{\mu}_R \to \mu \ \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ , the limit improves to 94.0 GeV for  $\Delta m >$  4 GeV. See Fig. 11 for the dependence of the limits on  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$  at several values of the branching ratio. This limit supersedes ABBIENDI 00G.
- <sup>2</sup> ACHARD 04 search for  $\widetilde{\mu}_R\widetilde{\mu}_R$  production in acoplanar di-muon final states in the 192–209 GeV data. Limits on  $m_{\widetilde{\mu}_R}$  are derived from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with universal GUT scale gaugino and scalar masses  $m_{1/2}$  and  $m_0$ ,  $1 \leq \tan\beta \leq 60$  and  $-2 \leq \mu \leq 2$  TeV. See Fig. 4 for the dependence of the limits on  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ . This limit supersedes ACCIARRI 99W.
- <sup>3</sup>ABDALLAH 03M looked for acoplanar dimuon +E final states at  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –208 GeV. The limit assumes B( $\widetilde{\mu} \to \mu \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ ) = 100%. See Fig. 16 for limits on the  $(m_{\widetilde{\mu}_R}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0})$  plane. These limits include and update the results of ABREU 01.
- <sup>4</sup>ABDALLAH 03M uses data from  $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV to obtain limits in the framework of the MSSM with gaugino and sfermion mass universality at the GUT scale. An indirect limit on the mass is derived by constraining the MSSM parameter space by the results from direct searches for neutralinos (including cascade decays) and for sleptons. These limits are valid for values of M $_2 < 1$  TeV,  $|\mu| \le 1$  TeV with the  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$  as LSP. The quoted limit is obtained when there is no mixing in the third family. See Fig. 43 for the mass limits as a function of  $\tan\beta$ . These limits update the results of ABREU 00W.
- <sup>5</sup> HEISTER 02E looked for acoplanar dimuon  $+ \not\!\! E_T$  final states from  $e^+e^-$  interactions between 183 and 209 GeV. The mass limit assumes B( $\widetilde{\mu} \to \mu \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ )=1. See their Fig. 4 for the dependence of the limit on  $\Delta m$ . These limits include and update the results of BARATE 01.
- <sup>6</sup> ABAZOV 06I looked in 380 pb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with at least 2 muons and 2 jets for s-channel production of  $\widetilde{\mu}$  or  $\widetilde{\nu}$  and subsequent decay via R couplings  $LQ\overline{D}$ . The data are in agreement with the SM expectation. They set limits on resonant slepton production and derive exclusion contours on  $\lambda'_{211}$  in the mass plane of  $\widetilde{\ell}$  versus  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  assuming a MSUGRA model with  $\tan\beta=5$ ,  $\mu<0$  and  $A_0=0$ , see their Fig. 3. For  $\lambda'_{211}\geq0.09$  slepton masses up to 358 GeV are excluded. Supersedes the results of ABAZOV 02H.
- $^7$  ABBIENDI 04F use data from  $\sqrt{s}=189-209$  GeV. They derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with  $LL\overline{E}$  or  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings. The results are valid for  $\tan\beta=1.5,~\mu=-200$  GeV, with, in addition,  $\Delta m>5$  GeV for indirect decays via  $LQ\overline{D}$ . The limit quoted applies to direct decays with  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings and improves to 75 GeV for  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings. The limits on the  $\widetilde{\mu}_R$  mass for indirect decays are respectively 94 and 87 GeV for  $LL\overline{E}$  and  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings and  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}0}=10$  GeV. Supersedes the results of ABBIENDI 00.
- <sup>8</sup>ABDALLAH 04M use data from  $\sqrt{s}=192-208$  GeV to derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with  $LL\overline{E}$  or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings. The results are valid for  $\mu=-200$  GeV,  $\tan\beta=1.5$ ,  $\Delta m>5$  GeV and assuming a BR of 1 for the given decay. The limit quoted is for indirect  $\overline{UDD}$  decays using the neutralino constraint of 39.5 GeV for  $LL\overline{E}$  and of 38.0 GeV for  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings, also derived in ABDALLAH 04M. For indirect decays via  $LL\overline{E}$  the limit improves to 90 GeV if the constraint from the neutralino is used and remains at 87 GeV if it is not used. For indirect decays via  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings it degrades to 85 GeV when the neutralino constraint is not used. Supersedes the result of ABREU 000.
- HEISTER 03G searches for the production of smuons in the case of R prompt decays with  $LL\overline{E}$ ,  $LQ\overline{D}$  or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at a time to be non-zero. The limit holds for direct decays mediated by R  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings and improves to 90 GeV for indirect decays (for  $\Delta m>10$  GeV). Limits are also given for  $LL\overline{E}$  direct ( $m_{\widetilde{UR}}>87$  GeV) and indirect

- decays ( $m_{\widetilde{\mu}R} > 96$  GeV for  $m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0) > 23$  GeV from BARATE 98S) and for  $\overline{UDD}$  indirect decays ( $m_{\widetilde{\mu}R} > 85$  GeV for  $\Delta m > 10$  GeV). Supersedes the results from BARATE 01B.
- $^{10}\, {\rm ABAZOV}$  02H looked in 94 pb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}{=}1.8$  TeV for events with at least 2 muons and 2 jets for s-channel production of  $\widetilde{\mu}$  or  $\widetilde{\nu}$  and subsequent decay via R couplings  $LQ\overline{D}$ . A scan over the MSUGRA parameters is performed to exclude regions of the  $(m_0,m_{1/2})$  plane, examples being shown in Fig. 2.
- <sup>11</sup> ACHARD 02 searches for the production of smuons in the case of R prompt decays with  $LL\overline{E}$  or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}$ =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The limit holds for direct decays via  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings. Stronger limits are reached for  $LL\overline{E}$  indirect (87 GeV) and for  $\overline{UDD}$  direct or indirect (86 GeV) decays.
- $^{12}$  BARATE 01 looked for acoplanar dimuon  $+ \not\!\!E_T$  final states at 189 to 202 GeV. The limit assumes 100% branching ratio for  $\widetilde{\mu} \to \mu \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ . See their Fig. 1 for the dependence of the limit on  $\Delta m$ . These limits include and update the results of BARATE 99Q.
- <sup>13</sup> ABBIENDI 00J looked for acoplanar dimuon  $+ \not\!\!E_T$  final states at  $\sqrt{s} = 161$ –183 GeV. The limit assumes B( $\widetilde{\mu} \to \mu \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ )=1. Using decay branching ratios derived from the MSSM, a lower limit of 65 GeV is obtained for  $\mu < -100$  GeV and  $\tan \beta = 1.5$ . See their Figs. 10 and 13 for the dependence of the limit on the branching ratio and on  $\Delta m$ .
- $^{14}$  ABREU 00V use data from  $\sqrt{s} = 130 189$  GeV to search for tracks with large impact parameter or visible decay vertices. Limits are obtained as function of  $m_{\widetilde{G}}$ , after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABREU 01 to cover prompt decays and on stable particle searches from ABREU 00Q. For limits at different  $m_{\widetilde{G}}$ , see their Fig. 12.
- $^{15}$  BARATE 98K looked for  $\mu^+\,\mu^-\,\gamma\gamma+\cancel{E}$  final states at  $\sqrt{s}{=}$  161–184 GeV. See Fig. 4 for limits on the  $(m_{\widetilde{\mu}_R},m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0})$  plane and for the effect of cascade decays.

## $\widetilde{ au}$ (Stau) MASS LIMIT

/ (Stau) W// 150	, E.I.VII I				
VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>85.2		<sup>1</sup> ABBIENDI	04	OPAL	$\Delta m >$ 6 GeV, $\theta_{ au} = \pi/2$ , $\left  \mu \right  >$ 100 GeV, $ aneta = 1.5$
>78.3		<sup>2</sup> ACHARD	04	L3	$\Delta m  > 15$ GeV, $ heta_{ au} {=} \pi/2$ ,
		2			$\left \mu ight >$ 200 GeV,tan $eta\geq2$
>81.9	95	<sup>3</sup> ABDALLAH	03M	DLPH	$\Delta m >$ 15 GeV, all $ heta_{ au}$
none $m_{\tau}-$ 26.3	95	<sup>3</sup> ABDALLAH	03M	DLPH	$\Delta m > m_{_{T}}$ , all $ heta_{_{T}}$
>79	95	<sup>4</sup> HEISTER	02E	ALEP	$\Delta m > 15$ GeV, $ heta_{ au} = \pi/2$
>76	95	<sup>4</sup> HEISTER	02E	ALEP	$\Delta m > 15$ GeV, $ heta_{ au} = 0.91$
ullet $ullet$ We do not	use the	following data for a	verage	es, fits, l	imits, etc. • • •
>87.4	95	<sup>5</sup> ABBIENDI	<b>06</b> B	OPAL	$\widetilde{ au}_{m{R}}  ightarrow \  au  \widetilde{m{G}}$ , all $ au (\widetilde{ au}_{m{R}})$
>74	95	<sup>6</sup> ABBIENDI	04F	OPAL	$R, \widetilde{\tau}_{l}$
>68	95	<sup>7,8</sup> ABDALLAH	04H	DLPH	$\overline{AMSB},\ \mu > 0$
>90	95	<sup>9</sup> ABDALLAH	04M	DLPH	$R, \ \widetilde{\tau}_{R}, \ \text{indirect}, \ \Delta m > 5 \ \text{GeV}$
>82.5		<sup>10</sup> ABDALLAH	<b>03</b> D	DLPH	$\widetilde{ au}_{m{R}}  ightarrow \  au  \widetilde{m{G}}$ , all $ au(\widetilde{ au}_{m{R}})$
>70	95	<sup>11</sup> HEISTER	<b>03</b> G	ALEP	$\widetilde{ au}_{R}$ , $K$ decay
>61	95	<sup>12</sup> ACHARD	02	L3	$\widetilde{ au}_{R}$ , $R$ decays
>77	95	<sup>13</sup> HEISTER	<b>02</b> R	ALEP	$ au_1$ , any lifetime
>70	95	<sup>14</sup> BARATE	01	ALEP	$\Delta m > 10$ GeV, $ heta_{ au} = \pi/2$
>68	95	<sup>14</sup> BARATE	01	ALEP	$\Delta m > 10$ GeV, $ heta_{ au} = 0.91$
>64	95	<sup>15</sup> ABBIENDI	00J	OPAL	$\Delta m > 10$ GeV, $\widetilde{\tau}_{R}^{+} \widetilde{\tau}_{R}^{-}$

$$>84 \qquad 95 \qquad ^{16} \, \mathrm{ABREU} \qquad 00 \mathrm{V} \ \ \mathrm{DLPH} \quad \widetilde{\ell}_R \, \widetilde{\ell}_R \, (\widetilde{\ell}_R \to \, \ell \, \widetilde{G}), \, m_{\widetilde{G}} > 9$$
 
$$>73 \qquad \qquad 95 \qquad ^{17} \, \mathrm{ABREU} \qquad 00 \mathrm{V} \ \ \mathrm{DLPH} \quad \widetilde{\tau}_1 \, \widetilde{\tau}_1 \, (\widetilde{\tau}_1 \to \, \tau \, \widetilde{G}), \, \mathrm{all} \, \tau(\widetilde{\tau}_1)$$
 
$$>52 \qquad \qquad ^{18} \, \mathrm{BARATE} \qquad 98 \mathrm{K} \ \ \mathrm{ALEP} \quad \mathrm{Any} \, \Delta m, \theta_\tau = \pi/2, \widetilde{\tau}_R \to \, \tau \, \gamma \, \widetilde{G}$$

<sup>1</sup> ABBIENDI 04 search for  $\widetilde{\tau}\widetilde{\tau}$  production in acoplanar di-tau final states in the 183–208 GeV data. See Fig. 15 for the dependence of the limits on  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$  and for the limit

at  $\tan\beta$ =35. Under the assumption of 100% branching ratio for  $\widetilde{\tau}_R \to \tau \ \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ , the limit improves to 89.8 GeV for  $\Delta m >$  8 GeV. See Fig. 12 for the dependence of the limits on  $\mathbf{m}_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$  at several values of the branching ratio and for their dependence on  $\theta_{\tau}$ . This limit supersedes ABBIENDI 00G.

- $^2$  ACHARD 04 search for  $\widetilde{\tau}\widetilde{\tau}$  production in acoplanar di-tau final states in the 192–209 GeV data. Limits on  $m_{\widetilde{\tau}_R}$  are derived from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with universal GUT scale gaugino and scalar masses  $m_{1/2}$  and  $m_0,~1~\leq \tan\beta \leq 60$  and  $-2 \leq \mu \leq ~2$  TeV. See Fig. 4 for the dependence of the limits on  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1}$ .
- <sup>3</sup> ABDALLAH 03M looked for acoplanar ditaus  $+\cancel{E}$  final states at  $\sqrt{s}=130$ –208 GeV. A dedicated search was made for low mass  $\widetilde{\tau}$ s decoupling from the  $Z^0$ . The limit assumes B( $\widetilde{\tau} \to \tau \widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ ) = 100%. See Fig. 20 for limits on the  $(m_{\widetilde{\tau}}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1})$  plane and as function

of the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  mass and of the branching ratio. The limit in the low-mass region improves to 29.6 and 31.1 GeV for  $\widetilde{\tau}_R$  and  $\widetilde{\tau}_L$ , respectively, at  $\Delta m > m_{\tau}$ . The limit in the high-mass region improves to 84.7 GeV for  $\widetilde{\tau}_R$  and  $\Delta m > 15$  GeV. These limits include and update the results of ABREU 01.

<sup>4</sup> HEISTER 02E looked for acoplanar ditau  $+ \not\!\! E_T$  final states from  $e^+e^-$  interactions between 183 and 209 GeV. The mass limit assumes B( $\tilde{\tau} \to \tau \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ )=1. See their Fig. 4 for the dependence of the limit on  $\Delta m$ . These limits include and update the results of BARATE 01.

- <sup>5</sup> ABBIENDI 06B use 600 pb<sup>-1</sup> of data from  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. They look for events from pair-produced staus in a GMSB scenario with  $\widetilde{\tau}$  NLSP including prompt  $\widetilde{\tau}$  decays to ditaus +  $\cancel{E}$  final states, large impact parameters, kinked tracks and heavy stable charged particles. Limits on the cross-section are computed as a function of m( $\widetilde{\tau}$ ) and the lifetime, see their Fig. 7. The limit is compared to the  $\sigma \cdot BR^2$  from a scan over the GMSB parameter space.
- <sup>6</sup> ABBIENDI 04F use data from  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. They derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with  $LL\overline{E}$  or  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings. The results are valid for  $\tan\beta=1.5$ ,  $\mu=-200$  GeV, with, in addition,  $\Delta m>5$  GeV for indirect decays via  $LQ\overline{D}$ . The limit quoted applies to direct decays with  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings and improves to 75 GeV for  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings. The limit on the  $\widetilde{\tau}_R$  mass for indirect decays is 92 GeV for  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings at  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}0}=10$  GeV and no exclusion is obtained for  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings. Supersedes the results of ABBIENDI 00.
- $^7$  ABDALLAH 04H use data from LEP 1 and  $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV. They re-use results or re-analyze the data from ABDALLAH 03M to put limits on the parameter space of anomaly-mediated supersymmetry breaking (AMSB), which is scanned in the region  $1 < m_{3/2} < 50$  TeV,  $0 < m_0 < 1000$  GeV,  $1.5 < \tan\beta < 35$ , both signs of  $\mu$ . The constraints are obtained from the searches for mass degenerate chargino and neutralino, for SM-like and invisible Higgs, for leptonically decaying charginos and from the limit on non-SM Z width of 3.2 MeV. The limit is for  $m_t=174.3$  GeV (see Table 2 for other  $m_t$  values).
- $^{8}$  The limit improves to 75 GeV for  $\mu$   $\,<$  0.
- <sup>9</sup> ABDALLAH 04M use data from  $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV to derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings. The results are valid for  $\mu=-200$  GeV,  $\tan\beta=1.5,~\Delta m~>5$  GeV and assuming a BR of 1 for the given decay. The limit quoted is for indirect decays using the neutralino constraint of 39.5 GeV, also derived

- in ABDALLAH 04M. For indirect decays via  $LL\overline{E}$  the limit decreases to 86 GeV if the constraint from the neutralino is not used. Supersedes the result of ABREU 00U.
- $^{10}$  ABDALLAH 03D use data from  $\sqrt{s}=130\text{--}208$  GeV to search for tracks with large impact parameter or visible decay vertices and for heavy charged stable particles. Limits are obtained as function of m( $\widetilde{G}$ ), after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABDALLAH 03M to cover prompt decays. The above limit is reached for the stau decaying promptly, m( $\widetilde{G}$ ) < 6 eV, and is computed for stau mixing yielding the minimal cross section. Stronger limits are obtained for longer lifetimes, See their Fig. 9. Supersedes the results of ABREU 01G.
- 11 HEISTER 03G searches for the production of stau in the case of R prompt decays with  $LL\overline{E},\ LQ\overline{D}$  or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at a time to be non-zero. The limit holds for indirect decays mediated by R  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings with  $\Delta m>10$  GeV. Limits are also given for  $LL\overline{E}$  direct  $(m_{\widetilde{\tau}_R}>87$  GeV) and indirect decays  $(m_{\widetilde{\tau}_R}>95$  GeV for  $m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0)>23$  GeV from BARATE 98S) and for  $LQ\overline{D}$  indirect decays  $(m_{\widetilde{\tau}_R}>76$  GeV). Supersedes the results from BARATE 01B.
- $^{12}$  ACHARD 02 searches for the production of staus in the case of  $R\!\!\!/$  prompt decays with  $LL\overline{E}$  or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}{=}189{-}208$  GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The limit holds for direct decays via  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings. Stronger limits are reached for  $LL\overline{E}$  indirect (86 GeV) and for  $\overline{UDD}$  direct or indirect (75 GeV) decays.
- $^{13}$  HEISTER 02R search for signals of GMSB in the 189–209 GeV data. For the  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  NLSP scenario, they looked for topologies consisting of  $\gamma\gamma E\!\!\!\!/$  or a single  $\gamma$  not pointing to the interaction vertex. For the  $\widetilde{\ell}$  NLSP case, the topologies consist of  $\ell\ell E\!\!\!\!/$ , including leptons with large impact parameters, kinks, or stable particles. Limits are derived from a scan over the GMSB parameters (see their Table 5 for the ranges). The limit remains valid whichever is the NLSP. The absolute mass bound on the  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  for any lifetime includes indirect limits from the slepton search HEISTER 02E preformed within the MSUGRA framework. A bound for any NLSP and any lifetime of 77 GeV has also been derived by using the constraints from the neutral Higgs search in HEISTER 02. In the co-NLSP scenario, limits  $m_{\widetilde{e}_R} >$  83 GeV (neglecting t-channel exchange) and  $m_{\widetilde{\mu}_R} >$  88 GeV are obtained independent of the lifetime. Supersedes the results from BARATE 00G.
- $^{14}$  BARATE 01 looked for acoplanar ditau  $+ \not\!\!E_T$  final states at 189 to 202 GeV. A slight excess (with 1.2% probability) of events is observed relative to the expected SM background. The limit assumes 100% branching ratio for  $\tau \to \tau \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ . See their Fig. 1 for the dependence of the limit on  $\Delta m$ . These limits include and update the results of BARATE 99Q.
- 15 ABBIENDI 00J looked for acoplanar ditau  $+ \not\!\! E_T$  final states at  $\sqrt{s} = 161$ –183 GeV. The limit assumes B( $\tilde{\tau} \to \tau \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ )=1. Using decay branching ratios derived from the MSSM, a lower limit of 60 GeV at  $\Delta m > 9$  GeV is obtained for  $\mu < -100$  GeV and  $\tan \beta = 1.5$ . See their Figs. 11 and 14 for the dependence of the limit on the branching ratio and on  $\Delta m$ .
- ABREU 00V use data from  $\sqrt{s}=130-189$  GeV to search for tracks with large impact parameter or visible decay vertices. Limits are obtained as function of  $m_{\widetilde{G}}$ , after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABREU 01 to cover prompt decays and on stable particle searches from ABREU 00Q. The above limit assumes the degeneracy of stau and smuon. For limits at different  $m_{\widetilde{G}}$ , see their Fig. 12.
- <sup>17</sup> ABREU 00V use data from  $\sqrt{s}=130-189$  GeV to search for tracks with large impact parameter or visible decay vertices. Limits are obtained as function of  $m_{\widetilde{G}}$ , after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABREU 01 to cover prompt decays and on stable particle searches from ABREU 00Q. The above limit is reached for the stau mixing yielding the minimal cross section and decaying promptly. Stronger limits are obtained for longer lifetimes or for  $\widetilde{\tau}_R$ ; see their

Fig. 11. For  $10 \le m_{\widetilde{G}} \le 310\,\mathrm{eV}$ , the whole range  $2 \le m_{\widetilde{\tau}_1} \le 80\,\mathrm{GeV}$  is excluded. Supersedes the results of ABREU 99C and ABREU 99F.

<sup>18</sup> BARATE 98K looked for  $\tau^+\tau^-\gamma\gamma+\cancel{E}$  final states at  $\sqrt{s}=$  161–184 GeV. See Fig. 4 for limits on the  $(m_{\widetilde{\tau}_R},m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0})$  plane and for the effect of cascade decays.

#### **Degenerate Charged Sleptons**

Unless stated otherwise in the comment lines or in the footnotes, the following limits assume 3 families of degenerate charged sleptons.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>93	95	<sup>1</sup> BARATE	01	ALEP	$\Delta m > 10 \text{ GeV}, \ \widetilde{\ell}_R^+ \widetilde{\ell}_R^-$
>70	95	<sup>1</sup> BARATE	01	ALEP	all $\Delta m$ , $\widetilde{\ell}_R^+\widetilde{\ell}_R^-$
• • • We do not use the	following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
>91.9	95	<sup>2</sup> ABBIENDI	<b>06</b> B	OPAL	$\widetilde{\ell}_R  ightarrow \ \ell  \widetilde{G}$ , all $\ell(\widetilde{\ell}_R)$
>88		<sup>3</sup> ABDALLAH	<b>03</b> D	DLPH	$\widetilde{\ell}_R \to \ell  \widetilde{G},  all   \ell(\widetilde{\ell}_R)$
>82.7	95	<sup>4</sup> ACHARD	02	L3	$\widetilde{\ell}_{R}$ , $R$ decays,
>83	95	<sup>5</sup> ABBIENDI	01	OPAL	MSUGRA $e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{\ell}_{1}\widetilde{\ell}_{1},$
		<sup>6</sup> ABREU	01	DLPH	GMSB, $\tan \beta = 2$ $\widetilde{\ell} \rightarrow \ell \widetilde{\chi}_2^0, \ \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \gamma \widetilde{\chi}_1^0,$
>68.8	95	<sup>7</sup> ACCIARRI	01	L3	$\ell = e, \mu$ $\ell = R, R, 0.7 \le \tan\beta \le 40$
>84	95	<sup>3,9</sup> ABREU	00V	DLPH	$\ell_R \ell_R \; (\ell_R  o \; \ell  G),$
					$m_{\widetilde{G}} > 9 \text{ eV}$

 $^1$  BARATE 01 looked for acoplanar dilepton  $+ \not\!\!E_T$  and single electron (for  $\stackrel{\sim}{e}_R \stackrel{\sim}{e}_L)$  final states at 189 to 202 GeV. The limit assumes  $\mu{=}-200$  GeV and  $\tan\beta{=}2$  for the production cross section and decay branching ratios, evaluated within the MSSM, and zero efficiency for decays other than  $\widetilde{\ell} \to \ell \, \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ . The slepton masses are determined from the GUT relations without stau mixing. See their Fig. 1 for the dependence of the limit on  $\Delta m$ .  $^2$  ABBIENDI 06B use 600 pb $^{-1}$  of data from  $\sqrt{s}=189{-}209$  GeV. They look for events

 $^2$  ABBIENDI 06B use 600 pb $^{-1}$  of data from  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. They look for events from pair-produced staus in a GMSB scenario with  $\widetilde{\ell}$  co-NLSP including prompt  $\widetilde{\ell}$  decays to dileptons  $+\not\!\! E$  final states, large impact parameters, kinked tracks and heavy stable charged particles. Limits on the cross-section are computed as a function of m( $\widetilde{\ell}$ ) and the lifetime, see their Fig. 7. The limit is compared to the  $\sigma \cdot BR^2$  from a scan over the GMSB parameter space. The highest mass limit is reached for  $\widetilde{\mu}_R$ , from which the quoted mass limit is derived by subtracting  $m_{\tau}$ .

<sup>3</sup> ABDALLAH 03D use data from  $\sqrt{s}=130$ –208 GeV to search for tracks with large impact parameter or visible decay vertices and for heavy charged stable particles. Limits are obtained as function of  $m(\widetilde{G})$ , after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABDALLAH 03M to cover prompt decays The above limit is reached for prompt decays and assumes the degeneracy of the sleptons. For limits at different  $m(\widetilde{G})$ , see their Fig. 9. Supersedes the results of ABREU 01G.

<sup>4</sup> ACHARD 02 searches for the production of sparticles in the case of  $\not R$  prompt decays with  $LL\overline{E}$  or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}$ =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The MSUGRA limit results from a scan over the MSSM parameter space with the assumption of gaugino and scalar mass unification at the GUT scale and no mixing in the slepton sector, imposing simultaneously the exclusions from neutralino, chargino, sleptons, and squarks analyses. The limit holds for  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings and increases to 88.7 GeV for  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings. For L3 limits from  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings, see ACCIARRI 01.

<sup>5</sup> ABBIENDI 01 looked for final states with  $\gamma\gamma E$ ,  $\ell\ell E$ , with possibly additional activity and four leptons + E to search for prompt decays of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  or  $\widetilde{\ell}_1$  in GMSB. They derive limits in the plane  $(m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}, m_{\widetilde{\tau}_1})$ , see Fig. 6, allowing either the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  or a  $\widetilde{\ell}_1$  to be the NLSP.

- Two scenarios are considered:  $tan\beta=2$  with the 3 sleptons degenerate in mass and  $\tan\beta$ =20 where the  $\tilde{\tau}_1$  is lighter than the other sleptons. Data taken at  $\sqrt{s}$ =189 GeV. For  $\tan\!\beta$ =20, the obtained limits are  $m_{\widetilde{ au}_1}>$  69 GeV and  $m_{\widetilde{e}_1,\widetilde{\mu}_1}>$  88 GeV.
- <sup>6</sup> ABREU 01 looked for acoplanar dilepton + diphoton +  $\not\!\!E$  final states from  $\widetilde{\ell}$  cascade decays at  $\sqrt{s}$ =130–189 GeV. See Fig. 9 for limits on the  $(\mu,M_2)$  plane for  $m_{\widetilde{\ell}}$ =80 GeV,  $\tan\beta$ =1.0, and assuming degeneracy of  $\widetilde{\mu}$  and  $\widetilde{e}$ .
- $^7$  ACCIARRI 01 searches for multi-lepton and/or multi-jet final states from R prompt decays with  $LL\overline{E}$ ,  $LQ\overline{D}$ , or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}$ =189 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays of neutralinos, charginos, and scalar leptons, with the  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$  or a  $\widetilde{\ell}$  as LSP and assuming one coupling to be nonzero at a time. Mass limits are derived

using simultaneously the constraints from the neutralino, chargino, and slepton analyses; and the  $Z^0$  width measurements from ACCIARRI 00C in a scan of the parameter space assuming MSUGRA with gaugino and scalar mass universality. Updates and supersedes the results from ACCIARRI 991.

8 ABREU 00V use data from  $\sqrt{s}$ = 130–189 GeV to search for tracks with large impact parameter or visible decay vertices. Limits are obtained as function of  $m_{\widetilde{C}}$ , after combining these results with the search for slepton pair production in the SUGRA framework from ABREU 01 to cover prompt decays and on stable particle searches from ABREU 00Q. For limits at different  $m_{\widetilde{G}}$ , see their Fig. 12.

# Long-lived ℓ (Slepton) MASS LIMIT

Limits on scalar leptons which leave detector before decaying. Limits from Z decays are independent of lepton flavor. Limits from continuum  $e^+e^-$  annihilation are also independent of flavor for smuons and staus. Selectron limits from  $e^+e^-$  collisions in the continuum depend on MSSM parameters because of the additional neutralino exchange contribution.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>98	95	<sup>1</sup> ABBIENDI	03L	OPAL	$\widetilde{\mu}_{m{R}},\widetilde{ au}_{m{R}}$
none 2-87.5	95	<sup>2</sup> ABREU	00Q	DLPH	$\widetilde{\mu}_R$ , $\widetilde{\tau}_R$
>81.2	95	<sup>3</sup> ACCIARRI	99н	L3	$\widetilde{\mu}_{R}$ , $\widetilde{\tau}_{R}$
>81	95	<sup>4</sup> BARATE	98K	ALEP	$\widetilde{\mu}_{R}, \widetilde{\tau}_{R}$

- $^{1}$  ABBIENDI 03L used  $e^{+}e^{-}$  data at  $\sqrt{s}=1$ 30–209 GeV to select events with two high momentum tracks with anomalous dE/dx. The excluded cross section is compared to the theoretical expectation as a function of the heavy particle mass in their Fig. 3. The limit improves to 98.5 GeV for  $\widetilde{\mu}_I$  and  $\widetilde{\tau}_I$ . The bounds are valid for colorless spin 0 particles with lifetimes longer than  $10^{-6}$  s. Supersedes the results from ACKERSTAFF 98P.
- $^2$ ABREU 00Q searches for the production of pairs of heavy, charged stable particles in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation at  $\sqrt{s}=130$ –189 GeV. The upper bound improves to 88 GeV for  $\widetilde{\mu}_I$ ,  $\widetilde{ au}_{m{L}}$ . These limits include and update the results of ABREU 98P.
- $^3$  ACCIARRI 99H searched for production of pairs of back-to-back heavy charged particles at  $\sqrt{s}$ =130–183 GeV. The upper bound improves to 82.2 GeV for  $\widetilde{\mu}_L$ ,  $\widetilde{\tau}_L$ .
- $^4$  The BARATE 98K mass limit improves to 82 GeV for  $\widetilde{\mu}_L$ , $\widetilde{ au}_L$ . Data collected at  $\sqrt{s} = 161 - 184 \text{ GeV}.$

# $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{q}}$ (Squark) MASS LIMIT

For  $m_{\widetilde{a}}~>$  60–70 GeV, it is expected that squarks would undergo a cascade decay via a number of neutralinos and/or charginos rather than undergo a direct decay to photinos as assumed by some papers. Limits obtained when direct decay is assumed are usually higher than limits when cascade decays are included.

 $<sup>^9</sup>$ The above limit assumes the degeneracy of stau and smuon.

Limits from  $e^+e^-$  collisions depend on the mixing angle of the lightest mass eigenstate  $\widetilde{q}_1 = \widetilde{q}_R \sin\theta_q + \widetilde{q}_L \cos\theta_q$ . It is usually assumed that only the sbottom and stop squarks have non-trivial mixing angles (see the stop and sbottom sections). Here, unless otherwise noted, squarks are always taken to be either left/right degenerate, or purely of left or right type. Data from Z decays have set squark mass limits above 40 GeV, in the case of  $\widetilde{q} \to q \widetilde{\chi}_1$  decays if  $\Delta m = m_{\widetilde{q}} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} \gtrsim 5$  GeV. For smaller values of  $\Delta m$ , current constraints on the invisible width of the Z ( $\Delta \Gamma_{\rm inv} < 2.0$  MeV, LEP 00) exclude  $m_{\widetilde{u}_L,R} <$ 44 GeV,  $m_{\widetilde{d}_R} <$ 33 GeV,  $m_{\widetilde{d}_L} <$ 44 GeV and, assuming all squarks degenerate,  $m_{\widetilde{q}} <$ 45 GeV.

Limits made obsolete by the most recent analyses of  $e^+e^-$ ,  $p\overline{p}$ , and ep collisions can be found in previous Editions of this *Review*.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>392	95	$^{ m 1}$ AALTONEN	<b>09</b> S	CDF	jets+ $ ot\!\!\!E_T$ , $m_{\widetilde{m{q}}} = m_{\widetilde{m{g}}}$
>379	95	<sup>2</sup> ABAZOV	<b>08</b> G	D0	jets $+ E_T$ , $\tan \beta = 3$ , $\mu < 0$ , $A_0 = 0$ , any $m_{\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}}$
> 99.5		<sup>3</sup> ACHARD	04	L3	$\Delta m > 10$ GeV, $e^+e^-  ightarrow \widetilde{q}_{L,R} \overline{\widetilde{q}}_{L,R}$
> 97		<sup>3</sup> ACHARD	04	L3	$\Delta m > 10 \text{ GeV}, e^+e^- \rightarrow \widetilde{q}_R \overline{\widetilde{q}}_R$
>138	95	<sup>4</sup> ABBOTT	<b>01</b> D	D0	$\ell\ell+{ m jets}+ ot\!$
>255	95	<sup>4</sup> ABBOTT	<b>01</b> D	D0	$A_0 = 0$ $ aneta = 2$ , $m_{\widetilde{g}} = m_{\widetilde{q}}$ , $\mu < 0$ , $A_0 = 0$ , $\ell\ell + \mathrm{jets} + E_T$
> 97 >224	95 95	<sup>5</sup> BARATE <sup>6</sup> ABE	01 96D	ALEP CDF	$e^+e^-  o \widetilde{q}\widetilde{\overline{q}}, \Delta m > 6 \text{ GeV}$ $m_{\widetilde{g}} \leq m_{\widetilde{q}}; \text{ with cascade}$ $\text{decays}, \ell\ell + \text{jets} + \cancel{E}_T$

• • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

		<sup>7</sup> ABAZOV	<b>09</b> S	D0	jets $+ au+ ot\!$
					$A_0 = -\bar{2}m_0$
>490	95	<sup>8</sup> SCHAEL	07A	ALEP	$d_R$ , $R$ , $\lambda$ =0.3
>544	95	<sup>8</sup> SCHAEL	07A	ALEP	$\widetilde{s}_R$ , $R$ , $\lambda=0.3$
>273	95	<sup>9</sup> CHEKANOV	05A	ZEUS	$\widetilde{q} \rightarrow \mu q$ , $R$ , $LQ\overline{D}$ , $\lambda$ =0.3
>270	95	<sup>9</sup> CHEKANOV	05A	ZEUS	$\widetilde{q} \rightarrow \tau q$ , $R$ , $LQ\overline{D}$ , $\lambda$ =0.3
>275		<sup>10</sup> AKTAS	<b>04</b> D	H1	$e^{\pm}  ho  ightarrow  \widetilde{U}_L$ , $ ot\!\!R$ , $LQ\overline{D}$
>280		<sup>10</sup> AKTAS	<b>04</b> D	H1	$e^{\pm}  ho  ightarrow   \widetilde{D}_{R}^{-},  ot \!$
		<sup>11</sup> ADLOFF	03	H1	$e^{\pm}  ho  ightarrow  \widetilde{q}$ , $ R$ , $ LQ\overline{D}$
>276	95	<sup>12</sup> CHEKANOV	<b>03</b> B	ZEUS	$\widetilde{d} \rightarrow e^- u, \nu d, R, LQ\overline{D}, \lambda > 0.1$
>260	95	<sup>12</sup> CHEKANOV	<b>03</b> B	ZEUS	$\widetilde{u} \rightarrow e^+ d, R, LQ\overline{D}, \lambda > 0.1$
> 82.5	95	<sup>13</sup> HEISTER	<b>03</b> G	ALEP	$\widetilde{u}_{R}$ , $R$ decay
> 77	95	<sup>13</sup> HEISTER	<b>03</b> G	ALEP	$\widetilde{d}_R$ , $R$ decay
>240	95	<sup>14</sup> ABAZOV	02F	D0	$\tilde{q}$ , $R \lambda'_{2jk}$ indirect decays,
					$\tan \beta = 2$ , any $m_{\widetilde{g}}$
>265	95	<sup>14</sup> ABAZOV	02F	D0	$\widetilde{q}$ , $\mathcal{R}$ $\lambda'_{2jk}$ indirect decays,
					tan $ec{eta} = 2$ , $m_{\widetilde{m{q}}} = m_{\widetilde{m{g}}}$
		<sup>15</sup> ABAZOV	02G	D0	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}, \widetilde{g}\widetilde{q}$
none 80-121	95	<sup>16</sup> ABBIENDI	02	OPAL	$e\gamma \rightarrow \widetilde{u}_L$ , $\not R LQ\overline{D}$ , $\lambda = 0.3$

none 80–158 none 80–185 none 80–196 > 79 > 55 > 263 > 258 > 82 > 68 none 150–204 > 200 > 180 > 390	95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95	16 ABBIENDI 17 ABBIENDI 17 ABBIENDI 18 ACHARD 18 ACHARD 19 CHEKANOV 19 CHEKANOV 20 BARATE 20 BARATE 21 BREITWEG 22 ABBOTT 23 ACCIARRI	02 02B 02B 02 02 02 02 01B 01B 01 00C	OPAL OPAL L3 L3 ZEUS ZEUS ALEP ALEP ZEUS D0 D0 L3	~ <b>~</b>
>148	95	<sup>24</sup> AFFOLDER	00K	CDF	$\tilde{d}_L$ , $\Re \lambda'_{ij3}$ decays
>200 none 150–269 >240	95 95 95	25 BARATE 26 BREITWEG 27 ABBOTT	00I 00E 99	ALEP ZEUS D0	$e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow q\overline{q}, R, \lambda=0.3$ $e^{+}p \rightarrow \widetilde{u}_{L}, R, LQ\overline{D}, \lambda=0.3$ $\widetilde{q} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}X \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}\gamma X,$ $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}} > 20 \text{ GeV}$
>320	95	<sup>27</sup> ABBOTT	99	D0	$\widetilde{q} \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 X \to \widetilde{G} \gamma X$
>243	95	<sup>28</sup> ABBOTT	99K	D0	any $m_{\widetilde{g}}$ , $R$ , $ an eta=2$ , $\mu<0$
>250 >200 none 80–134 none 80–161	95 95 95 95	29 ABBOTT 30 ABE 31 ABREU 31 ABREU	99L 99M 99G 99G	D0 CDF DLPH DLPH	$\begin{array}{l} \tan\!\beta\!=\!2,\;\mu<\!0,\;A\!=\!0,\;\mathrm{jets}\!+\!E_T\\ p\overline{p}\to\;\widetilde{q}\widetilde{q},\;E\\ e\gamma\to\;\widetilde{u}_L,\;E\!\!\!/\;LQ\overline{D},\;\lambda\!=\!0.3\\ e\gamma\to\;\widetilde{d}_R,\;E\!\!\!/\;LQ\overline{D},\;\lambda\!=\!0.3 \end{array}$
>225	95	<sup>32</sup> ABBOTT	98E	D0	$\widetilde{u}_L$ , $\mathcal{R}$ , $\lambda_{1jk}^{\prime}$ decays
>204	95	<sup>32</sup> ABBOTT	98E	D0	$\tilde{d}_R$ , $R$ , $\lambda'_{1jk}$ decays
> 79	95	<sup>32</sup> ABBOTT	98E	D0	$\tilde{d}_L$ , $\mathcal{R}$ , $\lambda'_{ijk}$ decays
>202	95	<sup>33</sup> ABE	<b>98</b> S	CDF	$\widetilde{u}_L$ , $\Re \lambda'_{2jk}$ decays
>160	95	<sup>33</sup> ABE	985	CDF	$\tilde{d}_R$ , $\Re \lambda_{2ik}'$ decays
>140 > 77	95 95	<sup>34</sup> ACKERSTAFF <sup>35</sup> BREITWEG	98∨ 98	OPAL ZEUS	$e^+e^- \rightarrow q\overline{q}, \not R, \lambda=0.3$ $m_{\widetilde{q}}=m_{\widetilde{e}}, m(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0)=40 \text{ GeV}$
		<sup>36</sup> DATTA	97		$\widetilde{ u}$ 's lighter than $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ , $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$
>216	95 05	<sup>37</sup> DERRICK <sup>38</sup> HEWETT	97 07	ZEUS	
none 130–573	95		97	THEO	$q\widetilde{g}  ightarrow \widetilde{q}, \ \widetilde{q}  ightarrow q\widetilde{g}, \  ext{with a} \  ext{light gluino}$
none 190–650	95	<sup>39</sup> TEREKHOV	97	THEO	$qg \xrightarrow{\widetilde{q}} \widetilde{q}\widetilde{g}, \ \widetilde{q} \rightarrow q\widetilde{g}, \ \text{with a}$ light gluino
> 63	95	<sup>40</sup> AID	<b>96</b> C	H1	$m_{\widetilde{q}} = m_{\widetilde{e}}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} = 35 \text{ GeV}$
none 330-400	95	<sup>41</sup> TEREKHOV	96	THEO	$ug \rightarrow \widetilde{u}\widetilde{g}, \widetilde{u} \rightarrow u\widetilde{g}$ with a light gluino
>176	95	<sup>42</sup> ABACHI	<b>95</b> C	D0	Any $m_{\widetilde{g}}$ <300 GeV; with cas-
		<sup>43</sup> ABE	95T	CDF	cade decays $\widetilde{q}  ightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_2^0  ightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \gamma$
> 90	90	<sup>44</sup> ABE	92L		Any $m_{\widetilde{g}}$ <410 GeV; with cascade decay

>100  $^{45}$  ROY 92 RVUE  $p\,\overline{p} \to \,\widetilde{q}\,\widetilde{q};\,R$   $^{46}$  NOJIRI 91 COSM

- $^1$  AALTONEN 09s searched in 2 fb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with at least 2 jets and  $E_T$ . No evidence for a signal is observed. A limit is derived for a mSUGRA scenario in the  $m_{\widetilde{q}}$  versus  $m_{\widetilde{g}}$  plane, see their Fig. 2. For  $m_{\widetilde{g}}<340$  GeV the bound increases to 400 GeV.
- <sup>2</sup>ABAZOV 08G looked in 2.1 fb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$ =1.96 TeV for events with acoplanar jets or multijets with large  $E_T$ . No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation. A limit is derived on the masses of squarks and gluinos for specific MSUGRA parameter values, see Figure 3. Similar results would be obtained for a large class of parameter sets. Supersedes the results of ABAZOV 06C.
- <sup>3</sup> ACHARD 04 search for the production of  $\widetilde{q}\widetilde{q}$  of the first two generations in acoplanar di-jet final states in the 192–209 GeV data. Degeneracy of the squark masses is assumed either for both left and right squarks or for right squarks only, as well as  $B(\widetilde{q} \to q \widetilde{\chi}_1^0) = 1$  See Fig. 7 for the dependence of the limits on  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ . This limit supersedes ACCIARRI 99V.
- $^4$  ABBOTT 01D looked in  $\sim 108~{\rm pb}^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}{=}1.8$  TeV for events with ee,  $\mu\mu$ , or  $e\mu$  accompanied by at least 2 jets and  $E_T$ . Excluded regions are obtained in the MSUGRA framework from a scan over the parameters  $0{<}m_0$   ${<}300~{\rm GeV},\,10{<}m_{1/2}$   ${<}110~{\rm GeV},\,$  and 1.2  ${<}\tan\beta$   ${<}10.$
- <sup>5</sup> BARATE 01 looked for acoplanar dijets  $+ \not\!\!E_T$  final states at 189 to 202 GeV. The limit assumes B( $\widetilde{q} \to q \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ )=1, with  $\Delta m = m_{\widetilde{q}} m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ . It applies to tan $\beta$ =4,  $\mu$ =-400 GeV. See their Fig. 2 for the exclusion in the  $(m_{\widetilde{q}}, m_{\widetilde{g}})$  plane. These limits include and update the results of BARATE 99Q.
- <sup>6</sup> ABE 96D searched for production of gluinos and five degenerate squarks in final states containing a pair of leptons, two jets, and missing  $E_T$ . The two leptons arise from the semileptonic decays of charginos produced in the cascade decays. The limit is derived for fixed  $\tan\beta=4.0,~\mu=-400$  GeV, and  $m_{H^+}=500$  GeV, and with the cascade decays of the squarks and gluinos calculated within the framework of the Minimal Supergravity scenario.
- <sup>7</sup> ABAZOV 09S looked in 0.96 fb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\bar{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with at least 2 jets, a tau decaying hadronically and  $E_T$  from the production  $\tilde{q}_L\tilde{q}_R$ , with the taus originating from the decay of a  $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$  or  $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ . The results were combined with ABAZOV 08G which searched for events with jets and  $E_T$  without requiring taus. No evidence for an excess over the SM expectation is observed. The excluded region is shown for an mSUGRA model in a plane of  $m_{1/2}$  versus  $m_0$  in the "tau corridor," see their Figs. 5 and 6. The largest excluded squark mass in the corridor is 340 GeV for the tau analysis only and 410 GeV for the combined analysis.
- <sup>8</sup> SCHAEL 07A studied the effect on hadronic cross sections and charge asymmetries of t-channel down-type squark exchange via R-parity violating couplings  $LQ\overline{D}$  at  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. The limit here refers to the case j=1, 2 and holds for  $\lambda'_{1jk}$  of electromagnetic strength. The results of this analysis are combined with BARATE 001.
- <sup>9</sup> CHEKANOV 05A search for lepton flavor violating processes  $e^{\pm}p \rightarrow \ell X$ , where  $\ell=\mu$  or  $\tau$  with high  $p_T$ , in 130 pb<sup>-1</sup> at 300 and 318 GeV. Such final states may originate from LQD couplings with simultaneously non-zero  $\lambda'_{1jk}$  and  $\lambda'_{ijk}$  (i=2 or 3). The guested mass bounds hold for a  $\mu$  type squark, assume a  $\lambda'$  of electromagnetic strength
- quoted mass bounds hold for a u-type squark, assume a  $\lambda'$  of electromagnetic strength and contributions from only direct squark decays. For d-type squarks the bounds are strengthened to 278 and 275 GeV for the  $\mu$  and  $\tau$  final states, respectively. Supersedes the results of CHEKANOV 02.
- $^{10}$  AKTAS 04D looked in 77.8  $pb^{-1}$  of  $e^{\pm}p$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=319$  GeV for resonant production of  $\widetilde{q}$  by R-parity violating  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings assuming that one of the  $\lambda'$  couplings dominates over all others. They consider final states with or without leptons and/or jets and/or  $p_T$  resulting from direct and indirect decays. They combine the channels to

- derive limits on  $\lambda'_{1j1}$  and  $\lambda'_{11k}$  as a function of the squark mass, see their Figs. 8 and 9, from a scan over the parameters  $70 < M_2 < 350$  GeV,  $-300 < \mu < 300$  GeV,  $\tan\beta = 6$ , for a fixed mass of 90 GeV for degenerate sleptons and an LSP mass > 30 GeV. The quoted limits refer to  $\lambda' = 0.3$ , with U=u,c,t and D=d,s,b. Supersedes the results of ADLOFF 01B.
- <sup>11</sup> ADLOFF 03 looked for the s-channel production of squarks via R  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings in 117.2 pb $^{-1}$  of  $e^+p$  data at  $\sqrt{s}=301$  and 319 GeV and of  $e^-p$  data at  $\sqrt{s}=319$  GeV. The comparison of the data with the SM differential cross section allows limits to be set on couplings for processes mediated through contact interactions. They obtain lower bounds on the value of  $m_{\widetilde{q}}/\lambda'$  of 710 GeV for the process  $e^+\overline{u}\to\widetilde{d}^k$  (and charge conjugate), mediated by  $\lambda'_{11k}$ , and of 430 GeV for the process  $e^+d\to\widetilde{u}^j$  (and charge conjugate), mediated by  $\lambda'_{1j1}$ .
- $^{12}$  CHEKANOV 03B used 131.5 pb $^{-1}$  of  $e^+p$  and  $e^-p$  data taken at 300 and 318 GeV to look for narrow resonances in the eq or  $\nu q$  final states. Such final states may originate from  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings with non-zero  $\lambda'_{1j1}$  (leading to  $\widetilde{u}_j$ ) or  $\lambda'_{11k}$  (leading to  $\widetilde{d}_k$ ). See their Fig. 8 and explanations in the text for limits. The quoted mass bound assumes that only direct squark decays contribute.
- $^{13}$  HEISTER 03G searches for the production of squarks in the case of  $R\!\!\!/$  prompt decays with  $\overline{UDD}$  direct couplings at at  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV.
- <sup>14</sup> ABAZOV 02F looked in 77.5 pb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at 1.8 TeV for events with  $\geq 2\mu + \geq$  4jets, originating from associated production of squarks followed by an indirect R decay (of the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ ) via  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings of the type  $\lambda_{2jk}'$  where j=1,2 and k=1,2,3. Bounds are obtained in the MSUGRA scenario by a scan in the range  $0 \leq M_0 \leq 400$  GeV,  $60 \leq m_{1/2} \leq 120$  GeV for fixed values  $A_0=0$ ,  $\mu<0$ , and  $\tan\beta=2$  or 6. The bounds are weaker for  $\tan\beta=6$ . See Figs. 2,3 for the exclusion contours in  $m_{1/2}$  versus  $m_0$  for  $\tan\beta=2$  and 6, respectively.
- $^{15}$  ABAZOV 02G search for associated production of gluinos and squarks in 92.7 pb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}{=}1.8$  TeV, using events with one electron,  $\geq$  4 jets, and large  $E_T$ . The results are compared to a MSUGRA scenario with  $\mu$  <0,  $A_0{=}0$ , and  $\tan\beta{=}3$  and allow to exclude a region of the  $(m_0,m_{1/2})$  shown in Fig. 11.
- <sup>16</sup> ABBIENDI 02 looked for events with an electron or neutrino and a jet in  $e^+e^-$  at 189 GeV. Squarks (or leptoquarks) could originate from a  $LQ\overline{D}$  coupling of an electron with a quark from the fluctuation of a virtual photon. Limits on the couplings  $\lambda'_{1jk}$  as a function of the squark mass are shown in Figs. 8–9, assuming that only direct squark decays contribute.
- <sup>17</sup> ABBIENDI 02B looked for events with an electron or neutrino and a jet in  $e^+e^-$  at 189–209 GeV. Squarks (or leptoquarks) could originate from a  $LQ\overline{D}$  coupling of an electron with a quark from the fluctuation of a virtual photon. Limits on the couplings  $\lambda'_{1j\,k}$  as a function of the squark mass are shown in Fig. 4, assuming that only direct squark decays contribute. The quoted limits are read off from Fig. 4. Supersedes the results of ABBIENDI 02.
- ACHARD 02 searches for the production of squarks in the case of R prompt decays with  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}$ =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The limit holds for indirect decays. Stronger limits are reached for  $(\widetilde{u}_R,\widetilde{d}_R)$  direct (80,56) GeV and  $(\widetilde{u}_L,\widetilde{d}_L)$  direct or indirect (87,86) GeV decays.
- <sup>19</sup> CHEKANOV 02 search for lepton flavor violating processes  $e^+p \to \ell X$ , where  $\ell=\mu$  or  $\tau$  with high  $p_T$ , in 47.7 pb $^{-1}$  of  $e^+p$  collisions at 300 GeV. Such final states may originate from  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings with simultaneously nonzero  $\lambda'_{1j\,k}$  and  $\lambda'_{ij\,k}$  (i=2 or 3). The quoted mass bound assumes that only direct squark decays contribute.

- $^{20}$  BARATE 01B searches for the production of squarks in the case of R prompt decays with  $LL\overline{E}$  indirect or  $\overline{UDD}$  direct couplings at  $\sqrt{s}{=}189{-}202$  GeV. The limit holds for direct decays mediated by R  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings. Limits are also given for  $LL\overline{E}$  indirect decays (  $m_{\widetilde{U}_R} > 90$  GeV and  $m_{\widetilde{d}_R} > 89$  GeV). Supersedes the results from BARATE 00H.
- <sup>21</sup> BREITWEG 01 searches for squark production in 47.7 pb<sup>-1</sup> of  $e^+p$  collisions, mediated by R couplings  $LQ\overline{D}$  and leading to final states with  $\widetilde{\nu}$  and  $\geq 1$  jet, complementing the  $e^+X$  final states of BREITWEG 00E. Limits are derived on  $\lambda'\sqrt{\beta}$ , where  $\beta$  is the branching fraction of the squarks into  $e^+q+\overline{\nu}q$ , as function of the squark mass, see their Fig. 15. The quoted mass limit assumes that only direct squark decays contribute.
- ABBOTT 00C searched in  $\sim 94~{\rm pb}^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions for events with  $\mu\mu+{\rm jets}$ , originating from associated production of leptoquarks. The results can be interpreted as limits on production of squarks followed by direct R decay via  $\lambda'_{2j\,k}L_2Q_jd_k^c$  couplings. Bounds are obtained on the cross section for branching ratios of 1 and of 1/2, see their Fig. 4. The former yields the limit on the  $\widetilde{u}_L$ . The latter is combined with the bound of ABBOTT 99J from the  $\mu\nu+{\rm jets}$  channel and of ABBOTT 98E and ABBOTT 98J from the  $\nu\nu+{\rm jets}$  channel to yield the limit on  $\widetilde{d}_R$ .
- <sup>23</sup> ACCIARRI 00P studied the effect on hadronic cross sections of *t*-channel down-type squark exchange via *R*-parity violating coupling  $\lambda'_{1jk}L_1Q_jd_k^c$ . The limit here refers to the case  $j{=}1,2$ , and holds for  $\lambda'_{1jk}{=}0.3$ . Data collected at  $\sqrt{s}{=}130{-}189$  GeV, superseding the results of ACCIARRI 98J.
- <sup>24</sup> AFFOLDER 00K searched in  $\sim 88\,\mathrm{pb}^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions for events with 2–3 jets, at least one being *b*-tagged, large  $\not\!\!E_T$  and no high  $p_T$  leptons. Such  $\nu\nu+b$ -jets events would originate from associated production of squarks followed by direct  $\not\!\!R$  decay via  $\lambda'_{ij3}L_iQ_jd_3^c$  couplings. Bounds are obtained on the production cross section assuming zero branching ratio to charged leptons.
- <sup>25</sup> BARATE 00I studied the effect on hadronic cross sections and charge asymmetries of t-channel down-type squark exchange via R-parity violating coupling  $\lambda_{1jk}' L_1 Q_j d_k^C$ . The limit here refers to the case j=1,2, and holds for  $\lambda_{1jk}' = 0.3$ . A 50 GeV limit is found for up-type squarks with k=3. Data collected at  $\sqrt{s}$ = 130–183 GeV. Superseded by SCHAEL 07A.
- <sup>26</sup> BREITWEG 00E searches for squark exchange in  $e^+p$  collisions, mediated by R couplings  $LQ\overline{D}$  and leading to final states with an identified  $e^+$  and  $\geq 1$  jet. The limit applies to up-type squarks of all generations, and assumes  $B(\widetilde{q} \rightarrow q \, e) = 1$ .
- <sup>27</sup> ABBOTT 99 searched for  $\gamma \not\!\! E_T + \geq 2$  jet final states, and set limits on  $\sigma(p\overline{p} \to \widetilde{q} + X) \cdot B(\widetilde{q} \to \gamma \not\!\! E_T X)$ . The quoted limits correspond to  $m_{\widetilde{g}} \geq m_{\widetilde{q}}$ , with  $B(\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \gamma) = 1$  and  $B(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \to \widetilde{G} \gamma) = 1$ , respectively. They improve to 310 GeV (360 GeV in the case of  $\gamma \, \widetilde{G}$  decay) for  $m_{\widetilde{g}} = m_{\widetilde{q}}$ .
- $^{28}$  ABBOTT 99K uses events with an electron pair and four jets to search for the decay of the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  LSP via  $\not\!\!R$   $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings. The particle spectrum and decay branching ratios are taken in the framework of minimal supergravity. An excluded region at 95% CL is obtained in the  $(m_0,m_{1/2})$  plane under the assumption that  $A_0{=}0,~\mu<0,~\tan\beta{=}2$  and any one of the couplings  $\lambda'_{1jk}>10^{-3}~(j{=}1{,}2$  and  $k{=}1{,}2{,}3)$  and from which the above limit is computed. For equal mass squarks and gluinos, the corresponding limit is 277 GeV. The results are essentially independent of  $A_0$ , but the limit deteriorates rapidly with increasing  $\tan\beta$  or  $\mu>0$ .
- <sup>29</sup> ABBOTT 99L consider events with three or more jets and large  $\mathbb{Z}_T$ . Spectra and decay rates are evaluated in the framework of minimal Supergravity, assuming five flavors of degenerate squarks, and scanning the space of the universal gaugino  $(m_{1/2})$  and scalar

- $(m_0)$  masses. See their Figs. 2–3 for the dependence of the limit on the relative value of  $m_{\widetilde{q}}$  and  $m_{\widetilde{g}}$ .
- $^{30}$  ABE 99M looked in  $^{107}$  pb $^{-1}$  of  $^{10}$  or  $^{10}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.8$  TeV for events with like sign dielectrons and two or more jets from the sequential decays  $^{10}$  and  $^{10}$  and  $^{10}$  and  $^{10}$  assuming  $^{10}$  coupling  $^{10}$  coupling  $^{10}$  with  $^{10}$  and  $^{10}$  and  $^{10}$  and  $^{10}$  and  $^{10}$  assume five degenerate squark flavors,  $^{10}$  and  $^{10}$  and
- <sup>31</sup> ABREU 99G looked for events with an electron or neutrino and a jet in  $e^+e^-$  at 183 GeV. Squarks (or leptoquarks) could originate from a  $LQ\overline{D}$  coupling of an electron with a quark from the fluctuation of a virtual photon. Limits on the couplings  $\lambda'_{1jk}$  as a function of the squark mass are shown in Fig. 4, assuming that only direct squark decays contribute
- 32 ABBOTT 98E searched in  $\sim$  115 pb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions for events with  $e\nu+{\rm jets}$ , originating from associated production of squarks followed by direct R decay via  $\lambda'_{1j\,k}L_1Q_jd_k^c$  couplings. Bounds are obtained by combining these results with the previous bound of ABBOTT 97B from the  $ee+{\rm jets}$  channel and with a reinterpretation of ABACHI 96B  $\nu\nu+{\rm jets}$  channel.
- ABE 98S looked in  $\sim 110\,\mathrm{pb}^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}{=}1.8\,\mathrm{TeV}$  for events with  $\mu\mu+\mathrm{jets}$  originating from associated production of squarks followed by direct R decay via  $\lambda'_{2j\,k}L_2Q_jd^c_k$  couplings. Bounds are obtained on the production cross section times the square of the branching ratio, see Fig. 2. Mass limits result from the comparison with theoretical cross sections and branching ratio equal to 1 for  $\widetilde{u}_I$  and 1/2 for  $\widetilde{d}_R$ .
- 34 ACKERSTAFF 98V and ACCIARRI 98J studied the interference of t-channel squark  $(\widetilde{d}_R)$  exchange via R-parity violating  $\lambda'_{1jk}L_1Q_jd_k^c$  coupling in  $e^+e^-\to q\overline{q}$ . The limit is for  $\lambda'_{1jk}=0.3$ . See paper for related limits on  $\widetilde{u}_L$  exchange. Data collected at  $\sqrt{s}=130-172$  GeV
- 35 BREITWEG 98 used positron+jet events with missing energy and momentum to look for  $e^+ q \to \widetilde{e} \widetilde{q}$  via gaugino-like neutralino exchange with decays into  $(e \widetilde{\chi}_1^0)(q \widetilde{\chi}_1^0)$ . See paper for dependences in  $m_{\widetilde{e}}$ ,  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ .
- $^{36}$  DATTA 97 argues that the squark mass bound by ABACHI 95C can be weakened by 10–20 GeV if one relaxes the assumption of the universal scalar mass at the GUT-scale so that the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}, \widetilde{\chi}_2^0$  in the squark cascade decays have dominant and invisible decays to  $\widetilde{\nu}$
- 37 DERRICK 97 looked for lepton-number violating final states via R-parity violating couplings  $\lambda_{ijk}' L_i Q_j d_k$ . When  $\lambda_{11k}' \lambda_{ijk}' \neq 0$ , the process  $eu \to \widetilde{d}_k^* \to \ell_i u_j$  is possible. When  $\lambda_{1j1}' \lambda_{ijk}' \neq 0$ , the process  $e\overline{d} \to \widetilde{u}_j^* \to \ell_i \overline{d}_k$  is possible. 100% branching fraction  $\widetilde{q} \to \ell j$  is assumed. The limit quoted here corresponds to  $\widetilde{t} \to \tau q$  decay, with  $\lambda' = 0.3$ . For different channels, limits are slightly better. See Table 6 in their paper.
- <sup>38</sup> HEWETT 97 reanalyzed the limits on possible resonances in di-jet mode  $(\widetilde{q} \rightarrow q\widetilde{g})$  from ALITTI 93 quoted in "Limits for Excited q ( $q^*$ ) from Single Production," ABE 96 in "SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions:  $\Lambda(qqqq)$ ," and unpublished CDF, DØ bounds. The bound applies to the gluino mass of 5 GeV, and improves for lighter gluino. The analysis has gluinos in parton distribution function.
- <sup>39</sup> TEREKHOV 97 improved the analysis of TEREKHOV 96 by including di-jet angular distributions in the analysis.

- <sup>40</sup> AID 96C used positron+jet events with missing energy and momentum to look for  $e^+ q \rightarrow \widetilde{e} \widetilde{q}$  via neutralino exchange with decays into  $(e \widetilde{\chi}_1^0)(q \widetilde{\chi}_1^0)$ . See the paper for dependences on  $m_{\widetilde{e}}$ ,  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ .
- <sup>41</sup> TEREKHOV 96 reanalyzed the limits on possible resonances in di-jet mode  $(\widetilde{u} \rightarrow u\widetilde{g})$  from ABE 95N quoted in "MASS LIMITS for  $g_A$  (axigluon)." The bound applies only to the case with a light gluino.
- <sup>42</sup> ABACHI 95C assume five degenerate squark flavors with  $m_{\widetilde{q}_L} = m_{\widetilde{q}_R}$ . Sleptons are assumed to be heavier than squarks. The limits are derived for fixed  $\tan\beta = 2.0~\mu = -250~\text{GeV}$ , and  $m_{H^+} = 500~\text{GeV}$ , and with the cascade decays of the squarks and gluinos calculated within the framework of the Minimal Supergravity scenario. The bounds are weakly sensitive to the three fixed parameters for a large fraction of parameter space. No limit is given for  $m_{\text{gluino}} > 547~\text{GeV}$ .
- $^{43}$  ABE 95T looked for a cascade decay of five degenerate squarks into  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$  which further decays into  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  and a photon. No signal is observed. Limits vary widely depending on the choice of parameters. For  $\mu=-40$  GeV,  $\tan\beta=1.5$ , and heavy gluinos, the range  $50 < m_{\widetilde{q}}$  (GeV) <110 is excluded at 90% CL. See the paper for details.
- ABE 92L assume five degenerate squark flavors and  $m_{\widetilde{q}_L} = m_{\widetilde{q}_R}$ . ABE 92L includes the effect of cascade decay, for a particular choice of parameters,  $\mu = -250$  GeV,  $\tan\beta = 2$ . Results are weakly sensitive to these parameters over much of parameter space. No limit for  $m_{\widetilde{q}} \leq 50$  GeV (but other experiments rule out that region). Limits are 10–20 GeV higher if  $\mathrm{B}(\widetilde{q} \to q \widetilde{\gamma}) = 1$ . Limit assumes GUT relations between gaugino masses and the gauge coupling; in particular that for  $|\mu|$  not small,  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} \approx m_{\widetilde{g}}/6$ . This last
  - relation implies that as  $m_{\widetilde{g}}$  increases, the mass of  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  will eventually exceed  $m_{\widetilde{q}}$  so that no decay is possible. Even before that occurs, the signal will disappear; in particular no bounds can be obtained for  $m_{\widetilde{g}} >$ 410 GeV.  $m_{H^+} =$ 500 GeV.
- <sup>45</sup> ROY 92 reanalyzed CDF limits on di-lepton events to obtain limits on squark production in R-parity violating models. The 100% decay  $\widetilde{q} \to q \widetilde{\chi}$  where  $\widetilde{\chi}$  is the LSP, and the LSP decays either into  $\ell q \overline{d}$  or  $\ell \ell \overline{e}$  is assumed.
- 46 NOJIRI 91 argues that a heavy squark should be nearly degenerate with the gluino in minimal supergravity not to overclose the universe.

## Long-lived $\tilde{q}$ (Squark) MASS LIMIT

The following are bounds on long-lived scalar quarks, assumed to hadronise into hadrons with lifetime long enough to escape the detector prior to a possible decay. Limits may depend on the mixing angle of mass eigenstates:  $\tilde{q}_1 = \tilde{q}_L \cos\theta_q + \tilde{q}_R \sin\theta_q$ .

The coupling to the  $Z^0$  boson vanishes for up-type squarks when  $\theta_u$ =0.98, and for down type squarks when  $\theta_d$ =1.17.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	e following	data for average	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
>249	95	$^{ m 1}$ AALTONEN	09Z	CDF	$\widetilde{t}$
> 95	95	<sup>2</sup> HEISTER	03H	ALEP	$\widetilde{u}$
> 92	95	<sup>2</sup> HEISTER	03H	ALEP	$\widetilde{d}$
none 2–85	95	<sup>3</sup> ABREU	<b>98</b> P	DLPH	$\widetilde{u}_{L}$
none 2–81	95	<sup>3</sup> ABREU	<b>98</b> P	DLPH	$\widetilde{u}_{R}$
none 2–80	95	<sup>3</sup> ABREU	<b>98</b> P	DLPH	$\tilde{u}, \theta_{\mu} = 0.98$
none 2–83	95	<sup>3</sup> ABREU	<b>98</b> P	DLPH	$\tilde{d}_L$
none 5-40	95	<sup>3</sup> ABREU	<b>98</b> P	DLPH	$\tilde{d}_R^-$
none 5–38	95	<sup>3</sup> ABREU	<b>98</b> P	DLPH	$\tilde{d}$ , $\theta_d = 1.17$

# $\tilde{b}$ (Sbottom) MASS LIMIT

Limits in  $e^+e^-$  depend on the mixing angle of the mass eigenstate  $\widetilde{b}_1=\widetilde{b}_L\cos\theta_b+\widetilde{b}_R\sin\theta_b$ . Coupling to the Z vanishes for  $\theta_b\sim 1.17$ . As a consequence, no absolute constraint in the mass region  $\lesssim 40$  GeV is available in the literature at this time from  $e^+e^-$  collisions. In the Listings below, we use  $\Delta m=m_{\widetilde{b}_1}-m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ .

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>230	95	$^{ m 1}$ AALTONEN	<b>10</b> R	CDF	$\widetilde{b}_1  ightarrow b \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ , $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} < 70$ GeV
>247	95	<sup>2</sup> ABAZOV	10L	D0	$\widetilde{b}_1  ightarrow b \widetilde{\chi}_1^0,  m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0  {\sf GeV}$
>220	95	<sup>3</sup> ABULENCIA	061	CDF	$\widetilde{g} \rightarrow \widetilde{b}b, \Delta m > 6 \text{ GeV}, \widetilde{b}_1 \rightarrow$
					$b\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ , $m_{\widetilde{m{arepsilon}}}<$ 270 GeV
> 95		<sup>4</sup> ACHARD	04	L3	$\widetilde{b} \rightarrow b\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ , $\theta_b = 0, \Delta m > 15-25 \text{ GeV}$
> 81		<sup>4</sup> ACHARD	04	L3	$\widetilde{b}  ightarrow \ b \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\overline{0}}$ , all $ heta_b$ , $\Delta m > 15$ –25 GeV
> 7.5	95	<sup>5</sup> JANOT	04	THEO	unstable $\hat{\tilde{b}}_1$ , $e^+e^- o$ hadrons
> 93	95	<sup>6</sup> ABDALLAH			$\widetilde{b} \rightarrow b\widetilde{\chi}^{0}$ , $\theta_{b}=0$ , $\Delta m > 7$ GeV
> 76	95	<sup>6</sup> ABDALLAH	03м	DLPH	$\widetilde{b}  ightarrow b\widetilde{\chi}^{0}$ , all $\theta_{b}$ , $\Delta m > 7$ GeV
> 85.1	95	<sup>7</sup> ABBIENDI	02н	OPAL	$\widetilde{b}  ightarrow \ b \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ , all $ heta_b$ , $\Delta m > 10$ GeV,
> 89	95	<sup>8</sup> HEISTER	02K	ALEP	CDF $\widetilde{b} \rightarrow b\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ , all $\theta_{b}$ , $\Delta m > 8$ GeV,
none 3.5–4.5 none 80–145	95	<sup>9</sup> SAVINOV <sup>10</sup> AFFOLDER	01 00D	CLEO CDF	CDF $\widetilde{B}$ meson $\widetilde{b} \rightarrow b\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}} < 50 \text{ GeV}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

		<sup>11</sup> AALTONEN	09R	CDF	$\widetilde{g}  ightarrow b \widetilde{b}, \ \widetilde{b}  ightarrow b \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$
>193	95	<sup>12</sup> AALTONEN	07E	CDF	$\widetilde{b}_1 \rightarrow b\widetilde{\chi}_1^0, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} = 40 \text{ GeV}$
none 35-222	95	<sup>13</sup> ABAZOV	06R I	D0	$\widetilde{b} \rightarrow b\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \ m_{\widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}} = 50 \text{ GeV}$
> 78	95	<sup>14</sup> ABDALLAH	04M I	DLPH	$R, \tilde{b}_I$ , indirect, $\Delta m > 5$ GeV
none 50–82	95	<sup>15</sup> ABDALLAH	03C I	DLPH	$\widetilde{b} \rightarrow b\widetilde{g}$ , stable $\widetilde{g}$ , all $\theta_h$ ,
		<sup>16</sup> BERGER		THEO	$\Delta m > 10 \text{ GeV}$
> 71.5	95	<sup>17</sup> HEISTER	03G	ALEP	$\widetilde{b}_L$ , $\mathcal{R}$ decay
> 27.4	95	<sup>18</sup> HEISTER	03н /	ALEP	$\widetilde{b} \rightarrow b\widetilde{g}$ , stable $\widetilde{g}$ or $\widetilde{b}$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> AALTONEN 09Z searched in 1 fb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with direct production of a pair of charged massive stable particles identified by their TOF. No excess of events is observed over the expected background. The data are used to set a bound on the production cross section, and the result is compared with the pair production cross section of stable stops as a function of the  $\tilde{t}$  mass, see their Fig. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> HEISTER 03H use  $e^+e^-$  data at and around the  $Z^0$  peak to look for hadronizing stable squarks. Combining their results on searches for charged and neutral R-hadrons with JANOT 03, a lower limit of 15.7 GeV on the mass is obtained. Combining this further with the results of searches for tracks with anomalous ionization in data from 183 to 208 GeV yields the quoted bounds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> ABREU 98P assumes that 40% of the squarks will hadronise into a charged hadron, and 60% into a neutral hadron which deposits most of its energy in hadron calorimeter. Data collected at  $\sqrt{s}$ =130–183 GeV.

<sup>1</sup> AALTONEN 10R searched in 2.65 fb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with  $E_T$  and exactly two jets, at least one of which is b-tagged. The results are in agreement with the SM prediction, and a limit on the cross section of 0.1 pb is obtained for the range of masses  $80 < m_{\widetilde{b}_1} < 280$  GeV assuming that the sbottom decays exclusively to

 $b\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ . The excluded mass region in the framework of conserved  $R_p$  is shown in a plane of  $(m_{\widetilde{b}_1}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0})$ , see their Fig.2.

- $^2$  ABAZOV 10L looked in 5.2 fb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with at least 2 b-jets and  $E_T$  from the production of  $\widetilde{b}_1\,\widetilde{b}_1$ . No evidence for an excess over the SM expectation is observed, and a limit on the cross section is derived under the assumption of 100% branching ratio. The excluded mass region in the framework of conserved  $R_p$  is shown in a plane of  $(m_{\widetilde{b}_1},m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0})$ , see their Fig. 3b. The exclusion also extends to  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}=110$  GeV for  $160 < m_{\widetilde{b}_1} < 200$  GeV.
- $^3$  ABULENCIA 061 searched in 156 pb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for multijet events with large  $E_T$ . They request at least 2 b-tagged jets and no isolated leptons. They investigate the production of gluinos decaying into  $\tilde{b}_1\,b$  followed by  $\tilde{b}_1\to\,b\,\tilde{\chi}^0_1$ . Both branching fractions are assumed to be 100% and the LSP mass to be 60 GeV. No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation. Upper limits on the cross-section are extracted and a limit is derived on the masses of sbottom and gluinos, see their Fig.3.
- <sup>4</sup> ACHARD 04 search for the production of  $\widetilde{b}\widetilde{b}$  in acoplanar b-tagged di-jet final states in the 192–209 GeV data. See Fig. 6 for the dependence of the limits on  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ . This limit supersedes ACCIARRI 99V.
- $^5$  JANOT 04 reanalyzes  $e^+\,e^-\to\,$  hadrons total cross section data with  $\sqrt{s}=$  20–209 GeV from PEP, PETRA, TRISTAN, SLC, and LEP and constrains the mass of  $\tilde{b}_1$  assuming it decays quickly to hadrons.
- $^7$  ABBIENDI 02H search for events with two acoplanar jets and  $p_T'$  in the 161–209 GeV data. The limit assumes 100% branching ratio and uses the exclusion at large  $\Delta m$  from CDF (AFFOLDER 00D). For  $\theta_b{=}0$ , the bound improves to > 96.9 GeV. See Fig. 4 and Table 6 for the more general dependence on the limits on  $\Delta m$ . These results supersede ABBIENDI 99M.
- <sup>8</sup> HEISTER 02K search for bottom squarks in final states with acoplanar jets with b tagging, using 183–209 GeV data. The mass bound uses the CDF results from AFFOLDER 00D. See Fig. 5 for the more general dependence of the limits on  $\Delta m$ . Updates BARATE 01.
- <sup>9</sup> SAVINOV 01 use data taken at  $\sqrt{s}$ =10.52 GeV, below the  $B\overline{B}$  threshold. They look for events with a pair of leptons with opposite charge and a fully reconstructed hadronic D or  $D^*$  decay. These could originate from production of a light-sbottom hadron followed by  $\widetilde{B} \to D^{(*)} \ell^- \widetilde{\nu}$ , in case the  $\widetilde{\nu}$  is the LSP, or  $\widetilde{B} \to D^{(*)} \pi \ell^-$ , in case of R. The mass range  $3.5 \le M(\widetilde{B}) \le 4.5$  GeV was explored, assuming 100% branching ratio for either of the decays. In the  $\widetilde{\nu}$  LSP scenario, the limit holds only for  $M(\widetilde{\nu})$  less than about

- 1 GeV and for the  $D^*$  decays it is reduced to the range 3.9–4.5 GeV. For the R decay, the whole range is excluded.
- $^{10}$  AFFOLDER 00D search for final states with 2 or 3 jets and  $\not\!\!E_T$ , one jet with a b tag. See their Fig. 3 for the mass exclusion in the  $m_{\widetilde t},\ m_{\widetilde \chi^0_1}$  plane.
- <sup>11</sup> AALTONEN 09R searched in 2.5 fb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with at least 2 b-tagged jets and  $E_T$ , originating from the decay  $\widetilde{g} \to b\widetilde{b}$  followed by  $\widetilde{b} \to b\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$ . Both decays are assumed to have 100% branching ratio. No significant deviation from the SM prediction is observed. An upper limit on the gluino pair production cross section is calculated as a function of the gluino mass, see their Fig. 2. A limit is derived in the  $m_{\widetilde{b}}$  versus  $m_{\widetilde{g}}$  plane which improves the results of previous searches, see their Fig. 3.
- ^{12} AALTONEN 07E searched in 295 pb  $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for multijet events with large  $E_T$ . They request at least one heavy flavor-tagged jet and no identified leptons. The branching ratio  $\widetilde{b}_1 \to b\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  is assumed to be 100%. No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation. Upper limits on the cross-section are extracted and a limit is derived on the masses of sbottom versus  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ , see their Fig. 5. Superseded by AALTONEN 10R.
- $^{13}$  ABAZOV 06R looked in 310 pb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with 2 or 3 jets and large  $E_T$  with at least 1 b-tagged jet and a veto against isolated leptons. No excess is observed relative to the SM background expectations. Limits are set on the sbottom pair production cross-section under the assumption that the only decay mode is into  $b\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ . Exclusion contours are derived in the plane of sbottom versus neutralino masses, shown in their Fig. 2. The observed limit is more constraining than the expected one due to a lack of events corresponding to large sbottom masses. Superseded by ABAZOV 10L.
- ABDALLAH 04M use data from  $\sqrt{s}=192$ –208 GeV to derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings. The results are valid for  $\mu=-200$  GeV,  $\tan\beta=1.5$ ,  $\Delta m>5$  GeV and assuming a BR of 1 for the given decay. The limit quoted is for indirect  $\overline{UDD}$  decays using the neutralino constraint of 38.0 GeV, also derived in ABDALLAH 04M, and assumes no mixing. For indirect decays it remains at 78 GeV when the neutralino constraint is not used. Supersedes the result of ABREU 01D.
- ABDALLAH 03C looked for events of the type  $q\overline{q}\,R^\pm\,R^\pm$ ,  $q\overline{q}\,R^\pm\,R^0$ , or  $q\overline{q}\,R^0\,R^0$  in  $e^+\,e^-$  interactions at  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –208 GeV. The  $R^\pm$  bound states are identified by anomalous dE/dx in the tracking chambers and the  $R^0$  by missing energy due to their reduced energy loss in the calorimeters. Excluded mass regions in the  $(m(\widetilde{b}), m(\widetilde{g}))$  plane for  $m(\widetilde{g})>2$  GeV are obtained for several values of the probability for the gluino to fragment into  $R^\pm$  or  $R^0$ , as shown in their Fig. 19. The limit improves to 94 GeV for  $\theta_b=0$ .
- $^{16}$  BERGER 03 studies the constraints on a  $\widetilde{b}_1$  with mass in the 2.2–5.5 GeV region coming from radiative decays of  $\varUpsilon(\text{nS})$  into sbottomonium. The constraints apply only if  $\widetilde{b}_1$  lives long enough to permit formation of the sbottomonium bound state. A small region of mass in the  $m_{\widetilde{b}_1}-m_{\widetilde{g}}$  plane survives current experimental constraints from CLEO.
- <sup>17</sup> HEISTER 03G searches for the production of  $\widetilde{b}$  pairs in the case of R prompt decays with  $LL\overline{E}$ ,  $LQ\overline{D}$  or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. The limit holds for indirect decays mediated by R  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings. It improves to 90 GeV for indirect decays mediated by R  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings and to 80 GeV for indirect decays mediated by R  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings. Supersedes the results from BARATE 01B.
- <sup>18</sup> HEISTER 03H use their results on bounds on stable squarks, on stable gluinos and on squarks decaying to a stable gluino from the same paper to derive a mass limit on  $\tilde{b}$ , see their Fig. 13. The limit for a long-lived  $\tilde{b}_1$  is 92 GeV.
- $^{19}$  ACHARD 02 searches for the production of squarks in the case of R prompt decays with  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}$ =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect

- decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The limit is computed for the minimal cross section and holds for indirect decays and reaches 55 GeV for direct decays.
- $^{20}$  BAEK 02 studies the constraints on a  $\tilde{b}_1$  with mass in the 2.2–5.5 GeV region coming from precision measurements of  $Z^0$  decays. It is noted that CP-violating couplings in the MSSM parameters relax the strong constraints otherwised derived from CP conservation.
- <sup>21</sup> BECHER 02 studies the constraints on a  $\widetilde{b}_1$  with mass in the 2.2–5.5 GeV region coming from radiative B meson decays, and sets limits on the off-diagonal flavor-changing couplings  $q\,\widetilde{b}\,\widetilde{g}\,\,(q=d,s)$ .
- <sup>22</sup> CHEUNG 02B studies the constraints on a  $\widetilde{b}_1$  with mass in the 2.2–5.5 GeV region and a gluino in the mass range 12–16 GeV, using precision measurements of  $Z^0$  decays and  $e^+e^-$  annihilations at LEP2. Few detectable events are predicted in the LEP2 data for the model proposed by BERGER 01.
- <sup>23</sup> CHO 02 studies the constraints on a  $\widetilde{b}_1$  with mass in the 2.2–5.5 GeV region coming from precision measurements of  $Z^0$  decays. Strong constraints are obtained for *CP*-conserving MSSM couplings.
- <sup>24</sup>BERGER 01 reanalyzed interpretation of Tevatron data on bottom-quark production. Argues that pair production of light gluinos ( $m \sim 12$ –16 GeV) with subsequent 2-body decay into a light sbottom ( $m \sim 2$ –5.5 GeV) and bottom can reconcile Tevatron data with predictions of perturbative QCD for the bottom production rate. The sbottom must either decay hadronically via a R-parity- and B-violating interaction, or be long-lived. Constraints on the mass spectrum are derived from the measurements of time-averaged  $B^0$ – $\overline{B}^0$  mixing.
- <sup>25</sup> ABBOTT 99F looked for events with two jets, with or without an associated muon from b decay, and  $E_T$ . See Fig. 2 for the dependence of the limit on  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ . No limit for  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} >$  47 GeV. Superseded by ABAZOV 06R.

## $\widetilde{t}$ (Stop) MASS LIMIT

Limits depend on the decay mode. In  $e^+e^-$  collisions they also depend on the mixing angle of the mass eigenstate  $\widetilde{t}_1=\widetilde{t}_L\cos\theta_t+\widetilde{t}_R\sin\theta_t$ . The coupling to the Z vanishes when  $\theta_t=0.98$ . In the Listings below, we use  $\Delta m\equiv m_{\widetilde{t}_1}-m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$  or  $\Delta m\equiv m_{\widetilde{t}_1}-m_{\widetilde{\nu}}$ , depending on relevant decay mode. See also bounds in " $\widetilde{q}$  (Squark) MASS LIMIT." Limits made obsolete by the most recent analyses of  $e^+e^-$  and  $p\overline{p}$  collisions can be found in previous Editions of this Review.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
none 60-180	95	$^{ m 1}$ AALTONEN	10Y	CDF	$\widetilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b\ell \widetilde{\nu}, m_{\widetilde{\nu}} = 45 \text{ GeV}$
		<sup>2</sup> ABAZOV	090	D0	$\widetilde{t}  ightarrow b \ell \widetilde{ u}$
none 95-150	95	<sup>3</sup> ABAZOV	08Z	D0	$\widetilde{t}  ightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ ,
					$m_c < \Delta m < m_W + m_b$
none 80–120	95	<sup>4</sup> ABAZOV	04	D0	$\tilde{t} \rightarrow b\ell\nu\tilde{\chi}^0, m_{\tilde{\chi}0} = 50 \text{ GeV}$
> 90		<sup>5</sup> ACHARD	04	L3	$\widetilde{t}  ightarrow \ c  \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ , all $ heta_t$ , $\Delta m  > $
> 93		<sup>5</sup> ACHARD	04	L3	15-25  GeV $\widetilde{b} \rightarrow b \ell \widetilde{\nu}$ , all $\theta_t$ ,
> 88		<sup>5</sup> ACHARD	04	L3	$\Delta m > 15 \text{ GeV}$ $\widetilde{b} \rightarrow b \tau \widetilde{\nu}$ , all $\theta_t, \Delta m > 15 \text{ GeV}$
> 75	95	<sup>6</sup> ABDALLAH	03м	DLPH	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c\widetilde{\chi}^0, \ \theta_t = 0, \ \Delta m > 2 \text{ GeV}$
> 71	95	<sup>6</sup> ABDALLAH			$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c\widetilde{\chi}^0$ , all $\theta_t$ , $\Delta m > 2 \text{ GeV}$

		_			_
> 96	95	<sup>6</sup> ABDALLAH	03M	DLPH	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c\widetilde{\chi}^0, \theta_t = 0, \Delta m > 10 \text{ GeV}$
> 92	95	<sup>6</sup> ABDALLAH	03M	DLPH	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}^0$ , all $\theta_t, \Delta m > 10 \text{ GeV}$
> 95.7	95	<sup>7</sup> ABBIENDI	02H	OPAL	$c\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ , all $ heta_t$ , $\Delta m>$ 10 GeV
> 92.6	95	<sup>7</sup> ABBIENDI	02H	OPAL	$b\ell\widetilde{\widetilde{\nu}}$ , all $\theta_t$ , $\Delta m > 10$ GeV
> 91.5	95	<sup>7</sup> ABBIENDI	02H	OPAL	
> 63	95	<sup>8</sup> HEISTER	02K	ALEP	any decay, any lifetime, all $ heta_t$
> 92	95	<sup>8</sup> HEISTER	02K	ALEP	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ , all $\theta_t$ , $\Delta m > 8$
> 97	95	<sup>8</sup> HEISTER	02K	ALEP	GeV, $\bar{CDF}$ $\widetilde{t} \to b\ell\widetilde{\nu}$ , all $\theta_t$ , $\Delta m > 8$ GeV, DØ
> 78	95	<sup>8</sup> HEISTER	02K	ALEP	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow b\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 W^*$ , all $\theta_t$ , $\Delta m > 8$
• • • We do not	use the	following data for a			
none 128-135	95	<sup>9</sup> AALTONEN	100	CDF	$\widetilde{t}_1  ightarrow b \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}  ightarrow b \ell \widetilde{\chi}_1^0  u, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}}$
					=106 GeV, $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} = 48$ GeV
		<sup>10</sup> ABAZOV	09N	D0	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow b\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$
>153	95	<sup>11</sup> AALTONEN	08z	CDF	$R, \ \widetilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \tau$
>185	95	<sup>12</sup> ABAZOV	80	D0	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow b\ell \widetilde{ u}, \ m_{\widetilde{ u}} = 70 \ { m GeV}$
>132		<sup>13</sup> AALTONEN	07E	CDF	$\widetilde{t}_1 \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_1^0,  m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} = 48 \text{ GeV}$
none 80–134	95	<sup>14</sup> ABAZOV	<b>07</b> B	D0	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_1^0,  m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}^0 < 48  \mathrm{GeV}$
		<sup>15</sup> CHEKANOV	07		$e^+ p \rightarrow \widetilde{t}_1, \not \!\! R, LQ\overline{D}$
> 77	95	<sup>16</sup> ABBIENDI	04F		$R$ , direct, all $\theta_t$
> 77	95	<sup>17</sup> ABDALLAH		DLPH	$R$ , indirect, all $\theta_t$ ,
<i>,</i>					$\Delta m > 5 \text{ GeV}$
. 745		<sup>18</sup> AKTAS <sup>19</sup> DAS	04B	H1	$R, t_1$
> 74.5		13 DAS	04	THEO	$\widetilde{t}\widetilde{t} \xrightarrow{1} b\ell\nu_{\ell}\chi^{0}\overline{b}q\overline{q}'\chi^{0}, m_{\chi_{1}^{0}}$
		20			$=$ 15 GeV, no $\overline{t}  ightarrow c \chi^0$
none 50–87	95	<sup>20</sup> ABDALLAH	<b>03</b> C	DLPH	$\widetilde{t}  ightarrow c \widetilde{g}$ , stable $\widetilde{g}$ , all $ heta_t$ , $\Delta M > 10$ GeV
none 80-131	95	<sup>21</sup> ACOSTA	<b>03</b> C	CDF	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow b\ell\widetilde{\widetilde{\nu}}, m_{\widetilde{\nu}} \leq 63 \text{ GeV}$
		<sup>22</sup> CHAKRAB	03	THEO	$p\overline{p}  ightarrow \ \widetilde{t}\widetilde{t}^*$ , RPV
> 71.5	95	<sup>23</sup> HEISTER	<b>03</b> G		$\widetilde{t}_L$ , $ ot\!\!R$ decay
> 80	95	<sup>24</sup> HEISTER	03н	ALEP	$\widetilde{t}  ightarrow c\widetilde{g}$ , stable $\widetilde{g}$ or $\widetilde{t}$ , all $\theta_t$ ,
>144	95	<sup>25</sup> ABAZOV	<b>02</b> C	D0	all $\Delta M$ $\widetilde{t} \rightarrow b\ell \widetilde{\nu}, m_{\widetilde{\nu}} = 45 \text{ GeV}$
> 77	95	<sup>26</sup> ACHARD	02	L3	$\widetilde{t}_1$ , $R$ decays
		<sup>27</sup> AFFOLDER	<b>01</b> B	CDF	$t \rightarrow \tilde{t} \chi_1^0$
> 61	95	<sup>28</sup> ABREU	001	DLPH	$\mathbb{R}\left(LL\overline{E}\right), \theta_{t}=0.98, \Delta m > 4$
none 68–119	95	<sup>29</sup> AFFOLDER	<b>00</b> D	CDF	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_1^0, \ m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} < 40 \text{ GeV}$
none 84-120	95	<sup>30</sup> AFFOLDER		CDF	$\widetilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b\ell\widetilde{\nu}, \ m_{\widetilde{\nu}} < 45 \text{ GeV}$
>120	95	<sup>31</sup> ABE	99м	CDF	$ ho\overline{ ho}  ightarrow  \widetilde{t}_1\widetilde{t}_1,  ot\!\!\!/ \widetilde{k}$
none 9-24.4	95	<sup>32</sup> AID	96	H1	$e p  ightarrow  \widetilde{t} \overline{\widetilde{t}},  \overline{R}  { m decays}$
>138	95	<sup>33</sup> AID	96	H1	$e p  ightarrow  \widetilde{t}$ , $R$ , $\lambda { m cos}  heta_{m t} > 0.03$
· 4F		34 (110	0.0	D) /I IE	DO <u>D</u> O 1 0 000

> 45

none 11-41

none 6.0-41.2

95

95

<sup>34</sup> CHO

<sup>35</sup> BUSKULIC

**AKERS** 

96 H1  $e p \rightarrow t t$ ,  $\mu$  decays

96 H1  $e p \rightarrow \widetilde{t}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\lambda \cos \theta_t > 0.03$ 96 RVUE  $B^0 - \overline{B}^0$  and  $\epsilon$ ,  $\theta_t = 0.98$ ,  $\tan \beta < 2$ 95E ALEP  $\mathcal{R}$  ( $LL\overline{E}$ ),  $\theta_t = 0.98$ 94K OPAL  $\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ ,  $\theta_t = 0$ ,  $\Delta m > 2$  GeV

none 5.0-46.0	95	AKERS	94K	OPAL	$\widetilde{t}  ightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ , $\theta_t = 0$ , $\Delta m > 5$ GeV
none 11.2-25.5	95	AKERS	94K	OPAL	$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \ \theta_{t}=0.98, \ \Delta m > 2$
none 7.9–41.2	95	AKERS			$\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \ \theta_{t} = 0.98, \ \Delta m > 5$
none 7.6–28.0	95	<sup>36</sup> SHIRAI			GeV $\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ , any $\theta_t$ , $\Delta m > 10$
none 10–20	95	<sup>36</sup> SHIRAI	94	VNS	GeV $\widetilde{t} \rightarrow c\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ , any $\theta_t$ , $\Delta m > 2.5$
					Ge\/

- $^1$  AALTONEN 10Y searched in  $1~{\rm fb}^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with an oppositely charged lepton pair (e or  $\mu$ ),  $\not\!\!E_T$  and at least one jet. A limit is derived on the cross section assuming 100% branching ratio of  $\widetilde t_1\to b\ell\widetilde\nu$  and an invisible  $\widetilde\nu$ , see their Fig. 10. In Fig. 11, the exclusion contour is shown in the plane of  $(m_{\widetilde t_1},m_{\widetilde \nu}).$
- $^2$  ABAZOV 090 looked in  $1~{\rm fb}^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with two electrons or one electron and one muon and  $E_T$  originating from associated production  $\widetilde{tt}$ , followed by the three-body decays  $\widetilde{t}\to b\ell\widetilde{\nu}$ . No evidence for an excess over the SM expectation is observed. The excluded region is shown in a plane of  $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}$  versus  $m_{\widetilde{t}}$ , see their Fig. 3. The largest excluded  $\widetilde{t}$  mass is 175 GeV for a  $\widetilde{\nu}$  mass of 45 GeV, and the largest excluded  $\widetilde{\nu}$  mass is 96 GeV for a  $\widetilde{t}$  mass of 140 GeV. Supersedes the results of ABAZOV 08 and ABAZOV 02C.
- <sup>3</sup>ABAZOV 08Z looked in 995 pb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with exactly 2 jets, at least one being tagged as heavy quark, and  $E_T$ , originating from stop pair production. Branching ratios are assumed to be 100% for  $\widetilde{t}_1 \to c \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ . No evidence for an excess over the SM expectation is observed. The excluded region is shown in a plane of  $m_{\widetilde{t}}$  versus  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ , see their Fig. 5. No limit can be obtained for  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} > 70$  GeV.
- Supersedes the results of ABAZOV 07B.  $^4 \, \text{ABAZOV 04 looked at } 108.3 pb^{-1} \, \text{ of } p\overline{p} \, \text{collisions at } \sqrt{s} = 1.8 \, \text{TeV for events with } e + \mu + \cancel{E}_T \, \text{as signature for the } 3 \text{ and } 4 \text{body decays of stop into } b\ell\nu\widetilde{\chi}^0 \, \text{ final states.}$  For the  $b\ell\widetilde{\nu}$  channel they use the results from ABAZOV 02C. No significant excess is observed compared to the Standard Model expectation and limits are derived on the mass of  $\widetilde{t}_1$  for the 3- and 4-body decays in the  $(m_{\widetilde{t}} \, , \, m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0})$  plane, see their Figure 4.
- $^5$  ACHARD 04 search in the 192–209 GeV data for the production of  $\widetilde{t}\widetilde{t}$  in acoplanar di-jet final states and, in case of  $b\ell\widetilde{\nu}$  ( $b\tau\widetilde{\nu}$ ) final states, two leptons (taus). The limits for  $\theta_t=$  0 improve to 95, 96 and 93 GeV, respectively. All limits assume 100% branching ratio for the respective decay modes. See Fig. 6 for the dependence of the limits on  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$ .

These limits supersede ACCIARRI 99V.

- <sup>6</sup> ABDALLAH 03M looked for  $\widetilde{t}$  pair production in events with acoplanar jets and  $\cancel{E}$  at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 189–208 GeV. See Fig. 23 and Table 11 for other choices of  $\Delta m$ . These limits include and update the results of ABREU,P 00D.
- <sup>7</sup>ABBIENDI 02H looked for events with two acoplanar jets,  $p_T$ , and, in the case of  $b\ell\widetilde{\nu}$  final states, two leptons, in the 161–209 GeV data. The bound for  $c\,\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  applies to the region where  $\Delta m < m_W + m_b$ , else the decay  $\widetilde{t}_1 \to b\,\widetilde{\chi}_1^0\,W^+$  becomes dominant. The limit for  $b\ell\widetilde{\nu}$  assumes equal branching ratios for the three lepton flavors and for  $b\tau\widetilde{\nu}$  100% for this channel. For  $\theta_t$ =0, the bounds improve to > 97.6 GeV  $(c\,\widetilde{\chi}_1^0)$ , > 96.0 GeV  $(b\ell\widetilde{\nu})$ , and > 95.5  $(b\tau\widetilde{\nu})$ . See Figs. 5–6 and Table 5 for the more general dependence of the limits on  $\Delta m$ . These results supersede ABBIENDI 99M.
- <sup>8</sup> HEISTER 02K search for top squarks in final states with jets (with/without b tagging or leptons) or long-lived hadrons, using 183–209 GeV data. The absolute mass bound is obtained by varying the branching ratio of  $\tilde{t} \to c \tilde{\chi}_1^0$  and the lepton fraction in  $\tilde{t} \to b \tilde{\chi}_1^0 f \bar{f}'$  decays. The mass bound for  $\tilde{t} \to c \tilde{\chi}_1^0$  uses the CDF results from AFFOLDER 00D and

- for  $\widetilde{t}\to b\ell\widetilde{\nu}$  the DØ results from ABAZOV 02C. See Figs. 2–5 for the more general dependence of the limits on  $\Delta m$ . Updates BARATE 01 and BARATE 00P.
- <sup>9</sup> AALTONEN 100 searched in 2.7 fb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with a charged lepton pair (e or  $\mu$ ),  $\not\!\!E_T$  and at least two jets. A fit of the data is made to the  $\widetilde{t}_1\widetilde{t}_1$  hypothesis. Assuming a 100% branching ratio of  $\widetilde{t}_1\to b\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ , the exclusion is independent of the value of the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm\to\ell\widetilde{\chi}_1^0\nu$  branching ratio.
- $^{10}$  ABAZOV 09N looked in 0.9 fb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with  $\geq 3$  jets, at least one being b-tagged, one electron or muon and  $\not\!\! E_T$  originating from associated production  $\widetilde{t}\,\widetilde{t}$ , with one  $\widetilde{t}$  decaying leptonically, the other hadronically. The branching ratios for  $\widetilde{t}_1 \to b\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm$  and  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 W^\pm$  are assumed to be 100%. The separation from the dominant  $t\overline{t}$  background is based on a multivariate likelihood discriminant analysis. The tested mass range is 130 GeV  $\leq m_{\widetilde{t}} \leq 190$  GeV, 90 GeV  $\leq m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm} \leq 150$  GeV and  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} = 50$  GeV fixed. The excluded cross section is a factor 2–13 larger than the theoretical expectation in the considered MSSM scenarios, see their Fig. 3.
- <sup>11</sup> AALTONEN 08Z searched in 322 pb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for dijet events with a lepton  $(e \text{ or } \mu)$  and a hadronic  $\tau$  decay produced via R-parity violating couplings  $LQ\overline{D}$ . No heavy flavour-tagged jets are requested. No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation. Upper limits on the cross-section times the square of the branching ratio  $B(\widetilde{t}_1 \to b\tau)$  are extracted, and a limit is derived on the stop mass assuming  $B(\widetilde{t}_1 \to b\tau) = 1$ , see their Fig. 2. Supersedes the results of ACOSTA 04B.
- <sup>12</sup> ABAZOV 08 looked at approximately 400 pb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with  $b\overline{b}\ell\ell'E_T$  with  $\ell\ell'=e^\pm\mu^\mp$  or  $\ell\ell'=\mu^+\mu^-$ , originating from associated production  $\widetilde{t}\widetilde{t}$ . Branching ratios are assumed to be 100% for both  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm\to\ell\widetilde{\nu}$  and  $\widetilde{\nu}\to\nu\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ . No evidence for an excess over the SM expectation is observed. The excluded region is shown in a plane of  $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}$  versus  $m_{\widetilde{t}}$ , see their Fig.3.
- AALTONEN 07E searched in 295 pb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for multijet events with large  $E_T$ . They request at least one heavy flavor-tagged jet and no identified leptons. The branching ratio  $\widetilde{t}_1 \to c \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  is assumed to be 100%. No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation. Upper limits on the cross-section are extracted and a limit is derived on the masses of stop versus  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ , see their Fig. 4.
- $^{14}$  ABAZOV 07B looked in 360 pb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with a pair of acoplanar heavy-flavor jets with  $E_T$ . No excess is observed relative to the SM background expectations. Limits are set on the production of  $\widetilde{t}_1$  under the assumption that the only decay mode is into  $c\,\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ , see their Fig. 4 for the limit in the  $(m_{\widetilde{t}},m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0})$  plane. No limit can be obtained for  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}>54$  GeV. Supersedes the results of ABAZOV 04B.
- 15 CHEKANOV 07 search for the  $LQ\overline{D}$  R-parity violating process  $e^+p \to \widetilde{t}_1$  in 65 pb $^{-1}$  at 318 GeV. Final states may originate from  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings  $\widetilde{t} \to e^+d$  and from the R-parity conserving decay  $\widetilde{t} \to \widetilde{\chi}^+b$ , giving rise to e+ jet, e+ multi-jet, and  $\nu+$  multi-jet. The excluded region in an MSSM scenario is presented for  $\lambda'_{131}$  as a function of the stop mass in Fig. 6. Other excluded regions in a more restricted mSUGRA model are shown in Fig. 7 and 8.
- ABBIENDI 04F use data from  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. They derive limits on the stop mass under the assumption of R with  $LQ\overline{D}$  or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings. The limit quoted applies to direct decays with  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings when the stop decouples from the  $Z^0$  and improves to 88 GeV for  $\theta_t=0$ . For  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings, the limit improves to 98 (100) GeV for  $\lambda'_{13k}$

- or  $\lambda_{23k}^{\prime}$  couplings and all  $\theta_t$  ( $\theta_t=0$ ). For  $\lambda_{33k}^{\prime}$  couplings it is 96 (98) GeV for all  $\theta_t$  ( $\theta_t=0$ ). Supersedes the results of ABBIENDI 00.
- $^{17}$  ABDALLAH 04M use data from  $\sqrt{s}=192-208$  GeV to derive limits on sparticle masses under the assumption of R with  $LL\overline{E}$  or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings. The results are valid for  $\mu=-200$  GeV,  $\tan\beta=1.5$ ,  $\Delta m>5$  GeV and assuming a BR of 1 for the given decay. The limit quoted is for decoupling of the stop from the  $Z^0$  and indirect  $\overline{UDD}$  decays using the neutralino constraint of 39.5 GeV for  $LL\overline{E}$  and of 38.0 GeV for  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings, also derived in ABDALLAH 04M. For no mixing (decoupling) and indirect decays via  $LL\overline{E}$  the limit improves to 92 (87) GeV if the constraint from the neutralino is used and to 88 (81) GeV if it is not used. For indirect decays via  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings it improves to 87 GeV for no mixing and using the constraint from the neutralino, whereas it becomes 81 GeV (67) GeV for no mixing (decoupling) if the neutralino constraint is not used. Supersedes the result of ABREU 01D.
- 18 AKTAS 04B looked in 106  $pb^{-1}$  of  $e^{\pm}\,p$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=319$  GeV and 301 GeV for resonant production of  $\widetilde{t}_1$  by R-parity violating  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings couplings with  $\lambda'_{131}$ , others being zero. They consider the decays  $\widetilde{t}_1 \to e^+ d$  and  $\widetilde{t}_1 \to W\widetilde{b}$  followed by  $\widetilde{b} \to \overline{\nu}_e d$  and assume gauginos too heavy to participate in the decays. They combine the channels  $jep_T$ ,  $j\mu p_T$ ,  $jjjp_T$  to derive limits in the plane  $(m_{\widetilde{t}}, \lambda'_{131})$ , see their Fig. 5.
- <sup>19</sup> DAS 04 reanalyzes AFFOLDER 00G data and obtains constraints on  $m_{\widetilde{t}_1}$  as a function of  $B(\widetilde{t} \to b\ell\nu\chi^0) \times B(\widetilde{t} \to b\overline{q}\,q'\chi^0)$ ,  $B(\widetilde{t} \to c\chi^0)$  and  $m_{\chi^0}$ . Bound weakens for larger  $B(\widetilde{t} \to c\chi^0)$  and  $m_{\chi^0}$ .
- ABDALLAH 03C looked for events of the type  $q\overline{q}R^{\pm}R^{\pm}$ ,  $q\overline{q}R^{\pm}R^{0}$  or  $q\overline{q}R^{0}R^{0}$  in  $e^{+}e^{-}$  interactions at  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –208 GeV. The  $R^{\pm}$  bound states are identified by anomalous dE/dx in the tracking chambers and the  $R^{0}$  by missing energy, due to their reduced energy loss in the calorimeters. Excluded mass regions in the  $(m(\tilde{t}), m(\tilde{g}))$  plane for  $m(\tilde{g})>2$  GeV are obtained for several values of the probability for the gluino to fragment into  $R^{\pm}$  or  $R^{0}$ , as shown in their Fig. 18. The limit improves to 90 GeV for  $\theta_{t}=0$ .
- <sup>21</sup> ACOSTA 03C searched in 107  $pb^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$ =1.8 TeV for pair production of  $\widetilde{t}$  followed by the decay  $\widetilde{t} \to b\ell\widetilde{\nu}$ . They looked for events with two isolated leptons (e or  $\mu$ ), at least one jet and  $\not\!\!E_T$ . The excluded mass range is reduced for larger  $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}$ , and no limit is set for  $m_{\widetilde{\nu}} > 88.4$  GeV (see Fig. 2). Superseded by AALTONEN 10Y.
- Theoretical analysis of  $e^+e^-+2$  jet final states from the RPV decay of  $\widetilde{t}\widetilde{t}^*$  pairs produced in  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.8$  TeV. 95%CL limits of 220 (165) GeV are derived for B( $\widetilde{t} \rightarrow eq$ )=1 (0.5).
- <sup>23</sup> HEISTER 03G searches for the production of  $\widetilde{t}$  pairs in the case of R prompt decays with  $LL\overline{E}, LQ\overline{D}$  or  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}=189$ –209 GeV. The limit holds for indirect decays mediated by R  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings. It improves to 91 GeV for indirect decays mediated by R  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings, to 97 GeV for direct (assuming  $B(\widetilde{t}_L \to q\tau)=100\%$ ) and to 85 GeV for indirect decays mediated by R  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings. Supersedes the results from BARATE 01B.
- <sup>24</sup> HEISTER 03H use  $e^+e^-$  data from 183–208 GeV to look for the production of stop decaying into a c quark and a stable gluino hadronizing into charged or neutral R-hadrons. Combining these results with bounds on stable squarks and on a stable gluino LSP from the same paper yields the quoted limit. See their Fig. 13 for the dependence of the mass limit on the gluino mass and on  $\theta_t$ .
- <sup>25</sup> ABAZOV 02C looked in 108.3pb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$ =1.8 TeV for events with  $e\mu E_T$ , originating from associated production  $\widetilde{t}\widetilde{t}$ . Branching ratios are assumed to be 100%. The bound for the  $b\ell\widetilde{\nu}$  decay weakens for large  $\widetilde{\nu}$  mass (see Fig. 3), and no limit

- is set when  $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}>$ 85 GeV. See Fig. 4 for the limits in case of decays to a real  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ , followed by  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm \to \ell \widetilde{\nu}$ , as a function of  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$ .
- $^{26}$  ACHARD 02 searches for the production of squarks in the case of R prompt decays with  $\overline{UDD}$  couplings at  $\sqrt{s}$ =189–208 GeV. The search is performed for direct and indirect decays, assuming one coupling at the time to be nonzero. The limit is computed for the minimal cross section and holds for both direct and indirect decays.
- $^{27}$  AFFOLDER 01B searches for decays of the top quark into stop and LSP, in  $t\overline{t}$  events. Limits on the stop mass as a function of the LSP mass and of the decay branching ratio are shown in Fig. 3. They exclude branching ratios in excess of 45% for SLP masses up to 40 GeV.
- ABREU 00I searches for the production of stop in the case of R-parity violation with LLE couplings, for which only indirect decays are allowed. They investigate topologies with jets plus leptons in data from  $\sqrt{s}$ =183 GeV. The lower bound on the stop mass assumes a neutralino mass limit of 27 GeV, also derived in ABREU 00I.
- AFFOLDER 00D search for final states with 2 or 3 jets and  $E_T$ , one jet with a c tag. See their Fig. 2 for the mass exclusion in the  $(m_{\widetilde{t}}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0})$  plane. The maximum excluded  $m_{\widetilde{t}}$  value is 119 GeV, for  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} =$  40 GeV.
- 30 AFFOLDER 00G searches for  $\widetilde{t}_1\,\widetilde{t}_1^*$  production, with  $\widetilde{t}_1\to b\ell\widetilde{\nu}$ , leading to topologies with  $\geq 1$  isolated lepton (e or  $\mu$ ),  $\not\!\!E_T$ , and  $\geq 2$  jets with  $\geq 1$  tagged as b quark by a secondary vertex. See Fig. 4 for the excluded mass range as a function of  $m_{\widetilde{\nu}}$ . Cross-section limits for  $\widetilde{t}_1\,\widetilde{t}_1^*$ , with  $\widetilde{t}_1\to b\chi_1^\pm$  ( $\chi_1^\pm\to\ell^\pm\nu\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ ), are given in Fig. 2. Superseded by AALTONEN 10Y.
- 31 ABE 99M looked in 107 pb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$ =1.8 TeV for events with like sign dielectrons and two or more jets from the sequential decays  $\widetilde{q} \to q \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  and  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \to e q \overline{q}'$ , assuming E coupling  $L_1 Q_j D_k^c$ , with j=2,3 and k=1,2,3. They assume B( $\widetilde{t}_1 \to c \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ )=1, B( $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \to e q \overline{q}'$ )=0.25 for both  $e^+$  and  $e^-$ , and  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} \ge m_{\widetilde{t}_1}/2$ . The limit improves for heavier  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ .
- <sup>32</sup> AID 96 considers photoproduction of  $\widetilde{t}\widetilde{t}$  pairs, with 100% *R*-parity violating decays of  $\widetilde{t}$  to eq, with q=d, s, or b quarks.
- <sup>33</sup> AID 96 considers production and decay of  $\tilde{t}$  via the *R*-parity violating coupling  $\lambda' L_1 Q_3 d_1^c$ .
- $^{34}$  CHO  $^{96}$  studied the consistency among the  $B^0\text{-}\overline{B}{}^0$  mixing,  $\epsilon$  in  $K^0\text{-}\overline{K}{}^0$  mixing, and the measurements of  $V_{cb},~V_{ub}/V_{cb}.$  For the range 25.5 GeV<  $m_{\widetilde{t}_1} < m_Z/2$  left by AKERS 94K for  $\theta_t=0.98$ , and within the allowed range in  $M_2\text{-}\mu$  parameter space from chargino, neutralino searches by ACCIARRI 95E, they found the scalar top contribution to  $B^0\text{-}\overline{B}{}^0$  mixing and  $\epsilon$  to be too large if  $\tan\!\beta<\!2$ . For more on their assumptions, see the paper and their reference 10.
- 35 BUSKULIC 95E looked for  $Z \to \widetilde{t}\overline{\widetilde{t}}$ , where  $\widetilde{t} \to c\chi_1^0$  and  $\chi_1^0$  decays via R-parity violating interactions into two leptons and a neutrino.
- $^{36}$  SHIRAI 94 bound assumes the cross section without the s-channel Z-exchange and the QCD correction, underestimating the cross section up to 20% and 30%, respectively. They assume  $m_{\rm C}{=}1.5$  GeV.

## Heavy $\widetilde{g}$ (Gluino) MASS LIMIT

For  $m_{\widetilde{g}} > 60\text{--}70$  GeV, it is expected that gluinos would undergo a cascade decay via a number of neutralinos and/or charginos rather than undergo a direct decay to photinos as assumed by some papers. Limits obtained when direct decay is assumed are usually higher than limits when cascade decays are included. Limits made obsolete by the most recent analyses of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions can be found in previous Editions of this *Review*.

<i>VALUE</i> (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>280	95	<sup>1</sup> AALTONEN	<b>09</b> S	CDF	jets+ $\not\!\!E_T$ , tan $\beta$ =5, $\mu$ <0, $A_0$ =0, any $m_{\widetilde{q}}$
>392	95	<sup>1</sup> AALTONEN	<b>09</b> S	CDF	
>308	95	<sup>2</sup> ABAZOV	<b>0</b> 8G	D0	$\beta$ jets+ $E_T$ , $tan \beta=3$ , $\mu<0$ , $A_0=0$ , any $m_{\widetilde{a}}$
>390	95	<sup>2</sup> ABAZOV	08G	D0	$ jets+\cancel{E}_T, \ \tan\beta=3, \ \mu<0,  A_0=0, \ m_{\widetilde{a}}=m_{\widetilde{e}} $
>270	95	<sup>3</sup> ABULENCIA	061	CDF	$\widetilde{g} \rightarrow \widetilde{b}  b,  \Delta m > 6  \text{GeV},  \widetilde{b}_1 \rightarrow$
		4			$b{\widetilde \chi}_1^0$ , $m_{{\widetilde b}_1}^{}<$ 220 GeV
>195	95	<sup>4</sup> AFFOLDER	02	CDF	Jets $+  ot\!$
>300	95	<sup>4</sup> AFFOLDER	02	CDF	Jets+ $\not\!\!E_T$ , $m_{\widetilde{m{q}}} = m_{\widetilde{m{g}}}$
>129	95	<sup>5</sup> ABBOTT	<b>01</b> D	D0	$\ell\ell+{ m jets}+ ot\!$
>175	95	<sup>5</sup> ABBOTT	<b>01</b> D	D0	$\ell\ell$ +jets+ $E_T$ , $\tan\beta$ =2, large $m_0$ , $\mu$ < 0, $A_0$ =0
>255	95	<sup>5</sup> ABBOTT	<b>01</b> D	D0	$\begin{array}{c} m_0, \ \mu < 0, \ m_0 < 0, \\ \ell\ell + \mathrm{jets} + E_T, \ \tan\beta = 2, \\ m_{\widetilde{g}} = m_{\widetilde{q}}, \ \mu < 0, \ A_0 = 0 \end{array}$
>168	95	<sup>6</sup> AFFOLDER	<b>01</b> J	CDF	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{g}  \mathbf{q}^{T}, \\ \ell\ell + Jets + \mathbf{E}_{T}, \ \tan\!\beta = \! 2, \\ \mu = \! - 800 \ GeV, \ m_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{q}}} \gg m_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{g}}} \end{array}$
>221	95	<sup>6</sup> AFFOLDER	<b>01</b> J	CDF	$\begin{array}{c} q > q \\ \ell\ell + \mathrm{Jets} + \cancel{\mathbb{Z}}_T, \ \tan\!\beta = 2, \\ \mu = -800 \ \mathrm{GeV}, \ m_{\widetilde{a}} = m_{\widetilde{g}} \end{array}$
>190	95	<sup>7</sup> ABBOTT	99L	D0	Jets $+\cancel{E}_T$ , $\tan\beta$ =2, $\mu$ <0, $A$ =0
>260	95	<sup>7</sup> ABBOTT	99L	D0	$\operatorname{Jets} + \operatorname{\mathbb{Z}}_T, \ m_{\widetilde{g}} = m_{\widetilde{g}}$
• • • We do not	use the fo	ollowing data for a	verage	es, fits, I	0 1
>224	95	<sup>8</sup> ABAZOV	02F	D0	$\not R \lambda'_{2jk}$ indirect decays,
. 065	0.5	8 4 5 4 7 0 1 /	00-	D.0	$\tan\beta=2$ , any $m_{\widetilde{q}}$
>265	95	<sup>8</sup> ABAZOV	02F	D0	
		<sup>9</sup> ABAZOV	02G	D0	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}, \widetilde{g}\widetilde{q}$
		<sup>10</sup> CHEUNG	<b>02</b> B	THEO	
		<sup>11</sup> BERGER	01	THEO	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow X+b$ -quark
>240	95	<sup>12</sup> ABBOTT	99	D0	$\widetilde{g} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 X \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \gamma X,$ $m_{\approx 0} - m_{\approx 0} > 20 \text{ GeV}$
>320	95	<sup>12</sup> ABBOTT	99	D0	$m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} > 20 \text{ GeV}$ $\widetilde{g} \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 X \to \widetilde{G} \gamma X$
>227		<sup>13</sup> ABBOTT	99K	D0	any $m_{\widetilde{q}}$ , $R$ , $\tan \beta = 2$ , $\mu < 0$
>212	95	<sup>14</sup> ABACHI	<b>95</b> C	D0	$m_{\widetilde{g}} \geq m_{\widetilde{q}}$ ; with cascade decays
					Scays 7

>144	95	<sup>14</sup> ABACHI	<b>95</b> C	D0	Any $m_{\widetilde{q}}$ ; with cascade decays
		<sup>15</sup> ABE	95T	CDF	$\widetilde{g} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow \widetilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \gamma$
		<sup>16</sup> HEBBEKER	93	RVUE	$e^+e^-$ jet analyses
>218	90	<sup>17</sup> ABE	92L	CDF	$m_{\widetilde{q}} \leq m_{\widetilde{g}}$ ; with cascade
		10			decay
>100		<sup>18</sup> ROY	92	RVUE	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}; R$
		<sup>19</sup> NOJIRI	91	COSM	
none 4–53	90	<sup>20</sup> ALBAJAR	<b>87</b> D	UA1	Any $m_{\widetilde{a}} > m_{\widetilde{g}}$
none 4–75	90	<sup>20</sup> ALBAJAR	<b>87</b> D	UA1	$m_{\widetilde{q}} = m_{\widetilde{g}}$
none 16-58	90	<sup>21</sup> ANSARI	<b>87</b> D	UA2	$m_{\widetilde{q}} \lesssim 100 \text{ GeV}$

- $^1$  AALTONEN 09S searched in 2 fb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with at least 2 jets and  $E_T$ . No evidence for a signal is observed. A limit is derived for a mSUGRA scenario in the  $m_{\widetilde{a}}$  versus  $m_{\widetilde{g}}$  plane, see their Fig. 2.
- <sup>2</sup>ABAZOV 08G looked in 2.1 fb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$ =1.96 TeV for events with acoplanar jets or multijets with large  $E_T$ . No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation. A limit is derived on the masses of squarks and gluinos for specific MSUGRA parameter values, see Figure 3. Similar results would be obtained for a large class of parameter sets. Supersedes the results of ABAZOV 06C.
- $^3$  ABULENCIA 06I searched in 156 pb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for multijet events with large  $\not\!\!E_T$ . They request at least 2 b-tagged jets and no isolated leptons. They investigate the production of gluinos decaying into  $\tilde{b}_1\,b$  followed by  $\tilde{b}_1\to b\,\tilde{\chi}^0_1$ . Both branching fractions are assumed to be 100% and the LSP mass to be 60 GeV. No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation. Upper limits on the cross-section are extracted and a limit is derived on the masses of sbottom and gluinos, see their Fig.3.
- <sup>4</sup> AFFOLDER 02 searched in  $\sim$  84 pb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions for events with  $\geq$  3 jets and  $\not\!\!E_T$ , arising from the production of gluinos and/or squarks. Limits are derived by scanning the parameter space, for  $m_{\widetilde{q}} \geq m_{\widetilde{g}}$  in the framework of minimal Supergravity, assuming five flavors of degenerate squarks, and for  $m_{\widetilde{q}} < m_{\widetilde{g}}$  in the framework of constrained MSSM, assuming conservatively four flavors of degenerate squarks. See Fig. 3 for the variation of the limit as function of the squark mass. Supersedes the results of ABE 97K.
- $^5$  ABBOTT 01D looked in  $\sim 108~{\rm pb}^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}{=}1.8$  TeV for events with  $e\,e$ ,  $\mu\mu$ , or  $e\,\mu$  accompanied by at least 2 jets and  $E_T$ . Excluded regions are obtained in the MSUGRA framework from a scan over the parameters  $0{<}m_0$   ${<}300~{\rm GeV},\,10{<}m_{1/2}$   ${<}110~{\rm GeV},\,$  and 1.2  ${<}\tan\beta$   ${<}10.$
- <sup>6</sup> AFFOLDER 01J searched in  $\sim 106~{\rm pb}^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions for events with 2 like-sign leptons (e or  $\mu$ ),  $\geq 2$  jets and  $E_T$ , expected to arise from the production of gluinos and/or squarks with cascade decays into  $\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm}$  or  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$ . Spectra and decay rates are evaluated in the framework of minimal Supergravity, assuming five flavors of degenerate squarks and a pseudoscalar Higgs mass  $m_A$ =500 GeV. The limits are derived for  $\tan\beta$ =2,  $\mu$ =-800 GeV, and scanning over  $m_{\widetilde{g}}$  and  $m_{\widetilde{q}}$ . See Fig. 2 for the variation of the limit as function of the squark mass. These limits supersede the results of ABE 96D.
- <sup>7</sup>ABBOTT 99L consider events with three or more jets and large  $E_T$ . Spectra and decay rates are evaluated in the framework of minimal Supergravity, assuming five flavors of degenerate squarks, and scanning the space of the universal gaugino  $(m_{1/2})$  and scalar  $(m_0)$  masses See their Figs. 2–3 for the dependence of the limit on the relative value of  $m_{\widetilde{q}}$  and  $m_{\widetilde{g}}$ .
- <sup>8</sup> ABAZOV 02F looked in 77.5 pb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at 1.8 TeV for events with  $\geq 2\mu + \geq$  4jets, originating from associated production of squarks followed by an indirect R decay (of the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ ) via  $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings of the type  $\lambda'_{2j\,k}$  where j=1,2 and k=1,2,3. Bounds are obtained in the MSUGRA scenario by a scan in the range  $0 \leq M_0 \leq 400$  GeV,  $60 \leq 100$

- $m_{1/2} \leq$  120 GeV for fixed values  $A_0$ =0,  $\mu$  <0, and  $\tan\beta$ =2 or 6. The bounds are weaker for  $\tan\beta$ =6. See Figs. 2,3 for the exclusion contours in  $m_{1/2}$  versus  $m_0$  for  $\tan\beta$ =2 and 6, respectively.
- <sup>9</sup> ABAZOV 02G search for associated production of gluinos and squarks in 92.7 pb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$ =1.8 TeV, using events with one electron,  $\geq$  4 jets, and large  $E_T$ . The results are compared to a MSUGRA scenario with  $\mu$  <0,  $A_0$ =0, and  $\tan\beta$ =3 and allow to exclude a region of the  $(m_0, m_{1/2})$  shown in Fig. 11.
- $^{10}$  CHEUNG 02B studies the constraints on a  $\widetilde{b}_1$  with mass in the 2.2–5.5 GeV region and a gluino in the mass range 12–16 GeV, using precision measurements of  $Z^0$  decays and  $e^+e^-$  annihilations at LEP2. Few detectable events are predicted in the LEP2 data for the model proposed by BERGER 01.
- <sup>11</sup> BERGER 01 reanalyzed interpretation of Tevatron data on bottom-quark production. Argues that pair production of light gluinos ( $m\sim 12$ –16 GeV) with subsequent 2-body decay into a light sbottom ( $m\sim 2$ –5.5 GeV) and bottom can reconcile Tevatron data with predictions of perturbative QCD for the bottom production rate. The sbottom must either decay hadronically via a R-parity- and B-violating interaction, or be long-lived.
- <sup>12</sup> ABBOTT 99 searched for  $\gamma \not\!\! E_T + \geq 2$  jet final states, and set limits on  $\sigma(p\overline{p} \to \widetilde{g} + X) \cdot B(\widetilde{g} \to \gamma \not\!\! E_T X)$ . The quoted limits correspond to  $m_{\widetilde{q}} \geq m_{\widetilde{g}}$ , with  $B(\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \gamma) = 1$  and  $B(\widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \to \widetilde{G} \gamma) = 1$ , respectively. They improve to 310 GeV (360 GeV in the case of  $\gamma \, \widetilde{G}$  decay) for  $m_{\widetilde{g}} = m_{\widetilde{g}}$ .
- <sup>13</sup> ABBOTT 99K uses events with an electron pair and four jets to search for the decay of the  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  LSP via  $\not R$   $LQ\overline{D}$  couplings. The particle spectrum and decay branching ratios are taken in the framework of minimal supergravity. An excluded region at 95% CL is obtained in the  $(m_0, m_{1/2})$  plane under the assumption that  $A_0$ =0,  $\mu$ <0,  $\tan\beta$ =2 and any one of the couplings  $\lambda'_{1jk} > 10^{-3}$  (j=1,2 and k=1,2,3) and from which the above limit is computed. For equal mass squarks and gluinos, the corresponding limit is 277 GeV. The results are essentially independent of  $A_0$ , but the limit deteriorates rapidly with increasing  $\tan\beta$  or  $\mu$ >0.
- $^{14}$  ABACHI 95C assume five degenerate squark flavors with with  $m_{\widetilde{q}_L}=m_{\widetilde{q}_R}$ . Sleptons are assumed to be heavier than squarks. The limits are derived for fixed  $\tan\beta=2.0~\mu=-250$  GeV, and  $m_{H^+}\!=\!500$  GeV, and with the cascade decays of the squarks and gluinos calculated within the framework of the Minimal Supergravity scenario. The bounds are weakly sensitive to the three fixed parameters for a large fraction of parameter space.
- ^{15} ABE 95T looked for a cascade decay of gluino into  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0$  which further decays into  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  and a photon. No signal is observed. Limits vary widely depending on the choice of parameters. For  $\mu = -40$  GeV,  $\tan\beta = 1.5$ , and heavy squarks, the range  $50 < m_{\widetilde{g}}$  (GeV)<140 is excluded at 90% CL. See the paper for details.
- $^{16}$  HEBBEKER 93 combined jet analyses at various  $e^+\,e^-$  colliders. The 4-jet analyses at TRISTAN/LEP and the measured  $\alpha_{\rm S}$  at PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN/LEP are used. A constraint on effective number of quarks  $N{=}6.3\pm1.1$  is obtained, which is compared to that with a light gluino,  $N{=}8.$
- $^{17}$  ABE 92L bounds are based on similar assumptions as ABACHI 95C. Not sensitive to  $m_{\rm gluino}$  <40 GeV (but other experiments rule out that region).
- <sup>18</sup> ROY 92 reanalyzed CDF limits on di-lepton events to obtain limits on gluino production in *R*-parity violating models. The 100% decay  $\tilde{g} \to q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}$  where  $\tilde{\chi}$  is the LSP, and the LSP decays either into  $\ell q \bar{d}$  or  $\ell \ell \bar{e}$  is assumed.
- 19 NOJIRI 91 argues that a heavy gluino should be nearly degenerate with squarks in minimal supergravity not to overclose the universe.
- <sup>20</sup> The limits of ALBAJAR 87D are from  $p\overline{p} \to \widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}X$  ( $\widetilde{g} \to q\overline{q}\widetilde{\gamma}$ ) and assume  $m_{\widetilde{q}} > m_{\widetilde{g}}$ . These limits apply for  $m_{\widetilde{\gamma}} \lesssim$  20 GeV and  $\tau(\widetilde{g}) < 10^{-10}$  s.

 $^{21}\,\mathrm{The}$  limit of ANSARI 87D assumes  $m_{\widetilde{q}}~>m_{\widetilde{g}}$  and  $m_{\widetilde{\gamma}}\approx~0.$ 

**Long-lived/light \widetilde{g} (Gluino) MASS LIMIT**Limits on light gluinos ( $m_{\widetilde{g}} < 5$  GeV), or gluinos which leave the detector before decaying.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
ullet $ullet$ We do not	use the 1	following data for a	verage	es, fits, li	imits, etc. • • •
>370	95	<sup>1</sup> KHACHATRY.	11	CMS	long lived $\widetilde{g}$
> 15	90	<sup>2</sup> BERGER	10	THEO	hadron scattering data, $\alpha_{ m S}$
> 51	95	<sup>3</sup> KAPLAN	80	THEO	event shapes at LEP
		<sup>4</sup> ABAZOV	07L	D0	long-lived $\widetilde{g}$
> 12		<sup>5</sup> BERGER	05	THEO	hadron scattering data
none 2-18	95	<sup>6</sup> ABDALLAH	<b>03</b> C	DLPH	$e^+e^- o q\overline{q}\widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}$ , stable $\widetilde{g}$
> 5		<sup>7</sup> ABDALLAH	<b>03</b> G	DLPH	QCD beta function
		<sup>8</sup> HEISTER	03	ALEP	
> 26.9	95	<sup>9</sup> HEISTER	03H		$e^+e^-  o q\overline{q}\widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}$
> 6.3		<sup>10</sup> JANOT	03		$\Delta$ Γ $_{had}$ <3.9 MeV
		<sup>11</sup> MAFI	00		$p p  o {\sf jets} + {\not p}_T$
		<sup>12</sup> ALAVI-HARAT	199E	KTEV	$ ho N  ightarrow R^0$ , with $R^0  ightarrow  ho^0 \widetilde{\gamma}$
		10			and $R^0  ightarrow \ \pi^0 \widetilde{\gamma}$
		<sup>13</sup> BAER	99		Stable $\widetilde{g}$ hadrons
		<sup>14</sup> FANTI	99	NA48	$pBe  o R^0  o \eta\widetilde{\gamma}$
		<sup>15</sup> ACKERSTAFF	98V	OPAL	$e^+e^-  ightarrow \ \widetilde{\chi}_1^+\widetilde{\chi}_1^-$
		<sup>16</sup> ADAMS	<b>97</b> B	KTEV	$pN \rightarrow R^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \widetilde{\gamma}$
		<sup>17</sup> ALBUQUERQ.	97	E761	$R^+(uud\widetilde{g}) \rightarrow S^0(uds\widetilde{g})\pi^+,$
					$X^-(ssd\widetilde{g})  ightarrow S^0\pi^-$
> 6.3	95	<sup>18</sup> BARATE	97L	ALEP	Color factors
> 5	99	<sup>19</sup> CSIKOR	97	RVUE	eta function, $Z o$ jets
> 1.5	90	<sup>20</sup> DEGOUVEA	97	THEO	$Z \rightarrow jjjj$
		<sup>21</sup> FARRAR	96	RVUE	$R^0  ightarrow \pi^0 \widetilde{\gamma}$
none 1.9–13.6	95	<sup>22</sup> AKERS	<b>95</b> R	OPAL	$Z$ decay into a long-lived $(\widetilde{g} q \overline{q})^{\pm}$
< 0.7		<sup>23</sup> CLAVELLI	95	RVUE	quarkonia
none 1.5–3.5		<sup>24</sup> CAKIR	94	RVUE	$\varUpsilon(1S)  ightarrow \ \gamma + \ gluinonium$
not 3–5		<sup>25</sup> LOPEZ	<b>93</b> C	RVUE	LEP
$\approx 4$		<sup>26</sup> CLAVELLI	92	RVUE	3
		<sup>27</sup> ANTONIADIS	91		$\alpha_{\it S}$ running
> 1		<sup>28</sup> ANTONIADIS	91		$pN \rightarrow \text{missing energy}$
		<sup>29</sup> NAKAMURA	89	SPEC	$R$ - $\Delta^{++}$
> 3.8	90	30 ARNOLD	87	EMUL	$\pi^-$ (350 GeV). $\sigma \simeq A^1$
> 3.2	90	30 ARNOLD	87	EMUL	$\pi^{-}$ (350 GeV). $\sigma \simeq A^{0.72}$
none 0.6-2.2	90	<sup>31</sup> TUTS	87	CUSB	
none 1 -4.5	90	<sup>32</sup> ALBRECHT	86C	ARG	$1 \times 10^{-11} \lesssim \tau \lesssim 1 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{s}$
none 1–4	90	33 BADIER	86		$1 \times 10^{-10} < \tau < 1 \times 10^{-7} s$
none 3–5		34 BARNETT	86		$p\overline{p}  o { m gluino}$ gluino gluon
none		<sup>35</sup> VOLOSHIN	86		If (quasi) stable; $\widetilde{g} u u d$
none 0.5–2		<sup>36</sup> COOPER	<b>85</b> B	BDMP	For $m_{\widetilde{q}}$ =300 GeV
none 0.5–4		<sup>36</sup> COOPER	<b>85</b> B		For $m_{\widetilde{q}}^{\prime}$ <65 GeV

```
<sup>36</sup> COOPER-...
none 0.5-3
                                                                  BDMP For m_{\widetilde{a}} = 150 \text{ GeV}
                                   <sup>37</sup> DAWSON
                                                            85
                                                                  RVUE \tau > 10^{-7} s
none 2-4
                                   <sup>37</sup> DAWSON
                                                                   RVUE For m_{\widetilde{a}} = 100 \text{ GeV}
none 1-2.5
                                   <sup>38</sup> FARRAR
                        90
none 0.5-4.1
                                                                   RVUE FNAL beam dump
                                   <sup>39</sup> GOLDMAN
                                                            85
                                                                   RVUE Gluinonium
> 1
                                   <sup>40</sup> HABER
>1-2
                                                            85
                                                                   RVUE
                                   <sup>41</sup> BALL
                                                                   CALO
                                   <sup>42</sup> BRICK
                                                            84
                                                                   RVUE
                                   <sup>43</sup> FARRAR
                                                            84
                                                                   RVUE
                                   <sup>44</sup> BERGSMA
                                                                  RVUE For m_{\widetilde{q}} < 100 \text{ GeV}
                                   <sup>45</sup> CHANOWITZ
                                                                   RVUE \tilde{g}u\overline{d}, \tilde{g}uud
                                                           83
                                   <sup>46</sup> KANE
                                                                   RVUE Beam dump
                                       FARRAR
>1.5-2
                                                            78
                                                                   RVUE R-hadron
```

 $^1$  KHACHATRYAN 11 looked in 10 pb $^{-1}$  of pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=7$  TeV for events with pair production of long-lived gluinos. The hadronization of the gluinos leads to R-hadrons which may stop inside the detector and later decay via  $\tilde{g}\to g\,\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  during gaps between the proton bunches. No significant excess over the expected background is observed. From a counting experiment, a limit at 95% C.L. on the cross section times branching ratio is derived for  $m_{\widetilde{g}}-m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}>100$  GeV, see their Fig. 2. Assuming 100% branching

ratio, lifetimes between 75 ns and  $3\times 10^5$  s are excluded for  $m_{\widetilde{g}}=300$  GeV. The  $\widetilde{g}$  mass exclusion is obtained with the same assumptions for lifetimes between 10  $\mu s$  and 1000 s, but shows some dependence on the model for R-hadron interactions with matter, illustrated in Fig. 3. From a time-profile analysis, the mass exclusion is 382 GeV for a lifetime of 10  $\mu s$  under the same assumptions as above.

 $^2$  BERGER 10 updated the results of BERGER 05. They fit parton distribution functions including the effects of a light gluino as an extra parton. Different data on  $\alpha_{\rm S}$  is also included. A fit for  $\alpha_{\rm S}(M_Z)$  is performed as a function of the gluino mass. The bound is determined by comparing the quality of the fit to the CT10 fit, and the CT10 tolerance criterion is used to define the significance. The lower bound is 25 GeV for fixed  $\alpha_{\rm S}(M_Z)=0.118$ .

<sup>3</sup> KAPLAN 08 reanalysed jet event shape data from LEP 1 and LEP 2 using soft collinear effective theory methods. These data are sensitive to the effects of new degrees of freedoms, including a relatively light gluino, at different energy scales, roughly between 5 and 50 GeV. The analysis relies on theoretical modeling of and approximations for non-perturbative effects and matching between different scales.

<sup>4</sup> ABAZOV 07L looked in approximately 410 pb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events with a long-lived gluino from split supersymmetry, decaying after stopping in the detector into  $g\,\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  with lifetimes from 30  $\mu s$  to 100 h. The signal signature is a largely empty event with a single large transverse energy deposit in the calorimeter. The main background is due to cosmic muons interacting in the calorimeter. The data agree with the estimated background and allow the authors to estimate a limit on the rate of an out-of-time monojet signal of a given energy. Assuming the branching ratios  $\widetilde{g} \to g\,\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  to be 100% the results can be translated to limits on the gluino cross section versus the gluino mass for fixed  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  mass. After comparing to the expected gluino cross sections, the excluded region of gluino masses can be obtained, see examples in their Fig. 3.

 $^5$  BERGER 05 include the light gluino in proton PDF and perform global analysis of hadronic data. Effects on the running of  $\alpha_{\rm S}$  also included. Strong dependency on  $\alpha_{\rm S}(m_Z)$ . Bound quoted for  $\alpha_{\rm S}(m_Z)=0.118.$  Superseded by BERGER 10.

<sup>6</sup> ABDALLAH 03C looked for events of the type  $q \overline{q} R^{\pm} R^{\pm}$ ,  $q \overline{q} R^{\pm} R^{0}$  or  $q \overline{q} R^{0} R^{0}$  in  $e^{+} e^{-}$  interactions at 91.2 GeV collected in 1994. The  $R^{\pm}$  bound states are identified by anomalous dE/dx in the tracking chambers and the  $R^{0}$  by missing energy, due to their reduced energy loss in the calorimeters. The upper value of the excluded range

depends on the probability for the gluino to fragment into  $R^{\pm}$  or  $R^{0}$ , see their Fig. 17. It improves to 23 GeV for 100% fragmentation to  $R^{\pm}$ .

- <sup>7</sup> ABDALLAH 03G used  $e^+e^-$  data at and around the  $Z^0$  peak, above the  $Z^0$  up to  $\sqrt{s}=202$  GeV and events from radiative return to cover the low energy region. They perform a direct measurement of the QCD beta-function from the means of fully inclusive event observables. Compared to the energy range, gluinos below 5 GeV can be considered massless and are firmly excluded by the measurement.
- $^8$  HEISTER 03 use  $e^+e^-$  data from 1994 and 1995 at and around the  $Z^0$  peak to measure the 4-jet rate and angular correlations. The comparison with QCD NLO calculations allow  $\alpha_S(M_Z)$  and the color factor ratios to be extracted and the results are in agreement with the expectations from QCD. The inclusion of a massless gluino in the beta functions yields  $T_R$  /  $C_F=0.15\pm0.06\pm0.06$  (expectation is  $T_R$  /  $T_R=0.18$ ), excluding a massless gluino at more than 95% CL. As no NLO calculations are available for massive gluinos, the earlier LO results from BARATE 97L for massive gluinos remain valid.
- <sup>9</sup>HEISTER 03H use  $e^+e^-$  data at and around the  $Z^0$  peak to look for stable gluinos hadronizing into charged or neutral R-hadrons with arbitrary branching ratios. Combining these results with bounds on the  $Z^0$  hadronic width from electroweak measurements (JANOT 03) to cover the low mass region the quoted lower limit on the mass of a long-lived gluino is obtained.
- <sup>10</sup> JANOT 03 excludes a light gluino from the upper limit on an additional contribution to the Z hadronic width. At higher confidence levels,  $m_{\widetilde{g}} > 5.3(4.2)$  GeV at  $3\sigma(5\sigma)$  level.
- $^{11}$  MAFI 00 reanalyzed CDF data assuming a stable heavy gluino as the LSP, with model for R-hadron-nucleon scattering. Gluino masses between 35 GeV and 115 GeV are excluded based on the CDF Run I data. Combined with the analysis of BAER 99, this allows a LSP gluino mass between 25 and 35 GeV if the probability of fragmentation into charged R-hadron  $P{>}1/2$ . The cosmological exclusion of such a gluino LSP are assumed to be avoided as in BAER 99. Gluino could be NLSP with  $\tau_{\widetilde{g}}\sim 100$  yrs, and decay to gluon gravitino.
- ALAVI-HARATI 99E looked for  $R^0$  bound states, yielding  $\pi^+\pi^-$  or  $\pi^0$  in the final state. The experiment is sensitive to values of  $\Delta m = m_{R^0} m_{\widetilde{\gamma}}$  larger than 280 MeV and 140 MeV for the two decay modes, respectively, and to  $R^0$  mass and lifetime in the ranges 0.8–5 GeV and  $10^{-10}$ – $10^{-3}$  s. The limits obtained depend on  $\mathrm{B}(R^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\mathrm{photino})$  and  $\mathrm{B}(R^0 \to \pi^0\mathrm{photino})$  on the value of  $m_{R^0}/m_{\widetilde{\gamma}}$ , and on the ratio of production rates  $\sigma(R^0)/\sigma(K_L^0)$ . See Figures in the paper for the excluded  $R^0\mathrm{production}$  rates as a function of  $\Delta m$ ,  $R^0\mathrm{mass}$  and lifetime. Using the production rates expected from perturbative QCD, and assuming dominance of the above decay channels over the suitable phase space,  $R^0\mathrm{masses}$  in the range 0.8–5 GeV are excluded at 90%CL for a large fraction of the sensitive lifetime region. ALAVI-HARATI 99E updates and supersedes the results of ADAMS 97B.
- 13 BAER 99 set constraints on the existence of stable  $\widetilde{g}$  hadrons, in the mass range  $m_{\widetilde{g}} > 3$  GeV. They argue that strong-interaction effects in the low-energy annihilation rates could leave small enough relic densities to evade cosmological constraints up to  $m_{\widetilde{g}} < 10$  TeV. They consider jet+ $\cancel{E}_T$  as well as heavy-ionizing charged-particle signatures from production of stable  $\widetilde{g}$  hadrons at LEP and Tevatron, developing modes for the energy loss of  $\widetilde{g}$  hadrons inside the detectors. Results are obtained as a function of the fragmentation probability P of the  $\widetilde{g}$  into a charged hadron. For P < 1/2, and for various energy-loss models, OPAL and CDF data exclude gluinos in the  $3 < m_{\widetilde{g}}(\text{GeV}) < 130$  mass range. For P > 1/2, gluinos are excluded in the mass ranges  $3 < m_{\widetilde{g}}(\text{GeV}) < 23$  and  $50 < m_{\widetilde{g}}(\text{GeV}) < 200$ .
- <sup>14</sup> FANTI 99 looked for  $R^0$  bound states yielding high  $P_T \eta \to 3\pi^0$  decays. The experiment is sensitive to a region of  $R^0$  mass and lifetime in the ranges of 1–5 GeV and  $10^{-10}$ – $10^{-3}$  s. The limits obtained depend on B( $R^0 \to \eta \tilde{\gamma}$ ), on the value of

- $m_{R^0}/m_{\widetilde{\gamma}}$ , and on the ratio of production rates  $\sigma(R^0)/\sigma(K_L^0)$ . See Fig. 6–7 for the excluded production rates as a function of  $R^0$  mass and lifetime.
- <sup>15</sup> ACKERSTAFF 98V excludes the light gluino with universal gaugino mass where charginos, neutralinos decay as  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ ,  $\widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow q \overline{q} \widetilde{g}$  from total hadronic cross sections at  $\sqrt{s}$ =130–172 GeV. See paper for the case of nonuniversal gaugino mass.
- GeV. See paper for the case of nonuniversal gaugino mass.  $^{16}\,\text{ADAMS}$  97B looked for  $\rho^0\to\pi^+\pi^-$  as a signature of  $R^0\!=\!(\tilde{g}\,g)$  bound states. The experiment is sensitive to an  $R^0$  mass range of 1.2–4.5 GeV and to a lifetime range of  $10^{-10}$ –10 $^{-3}$  sec. Precise limits depend on the assumed value of  $m_{R^0}/m_{\widetilde{\gamma}}$ . See Fig. 7 for the excluded mass and lifetime region.
- <sup>17</sup> ALBUQUERQUE 97 looked for weakly decaying baryon-like states which contain a light gluino, following the suggestions in FARRAR 96. See their Table 1 for limits on the production fraction. These limits exclude gluino masses in the range 100–600 MeV for the predicted lifetimes (FARRAR 96) and production rates, which are assumed to be comparable to those of strange or charmed baryons.
- <sup>18</sup> BARATE 97L studied the QCD color factors from four-jet angular correlations and the differential two-jet rate in Z decay. Limit obtained from the determination of  $n_f=4.24\pm0.29\pm1.15$ , assuming  $T_F/C_F$ =3/8 and  $C_A/C_F$ =9/4.
- <sup>19</sup> CSIKOR 97 combined the  $\alpha_s$  from  $\sigma(e^+e^- \to \text{hadron})$ ,  $\tau$  decay, and jet analysis in Z decay. They exclude a light gluino below 5 GeV at more than 99.7%CL.
- <sup>20</sup> DEGOUVEA 97 reanalyzed AKERS 95A data on Z decay into four jets to place constraints on a light stable gluino. The mass limit corresponds to the pole mass of 2.8 GeV. The analysis, however, is limited to the leading-order QCD calculation.
- <sup>21</sup> FARRAR 96 studied the possible  $R^0 = (\widetilde{g} g)$  component in Fermilab E799 experiment and used its bound B( $K_L^0 \to \pi^0 \nu \overline{\nu}$ )  $\leq 5.8 \times 10^{-5}$  to place constraints on the combination of  $R^0$  production cross section and its lifetime.
- <sup>22</sup> AKERS 95R looked for Z decay into  $q \overline{q} \widetilde{g} \widetilde{g}$ , by searching for charged particles with dE/dx consistent with  $\widetilde{g}$  fragmentation into a state  $(\widetilde{g} q \overline{q})^{\pm}$  with lifetime  $\tau > 10^{-7}$  sec. The fragmentation probability into a charged state is assumed to be 25%.
- $^{23}$  CLAVELLI 95 updates the analysis of CLAVELLI 93, based on a comparison of the hadronic widths of charmonium and bottomonium S-wave states. The analysis includes a parametrization of relativistic corrections. Claims that the presence of a light gluino improves agreement with the data by slowing down the running of  $\alpha_{\rm S}$ .
- <sup>24</sup>CAKIR 94 reanalyzed TUTS 87 and later unpublished data from CUSB to exclude pseudo-scalar gluinonium  $\eta_{\widetilde{g}}(\widetilde{g}\,\widetilde{g})$  of mass below 7 GeV. it was argued, however, that the perturbative QCD calculation of the branching fraction  $\Upsilon \to \eta_{\widetilde{g}} \gamma$  is unreliable for  $m_{\eta_{\widetilde{g}}} < 3$  GeV. The gluino mass is defined by  $m_{\widetilde{g}} = (m_{\eta_{\widetilde{q}}})/2$ . The limit holds for any gluino lifetime.
- <sup>25</sup> LOPEZ 93C uses combined restraint from the radiative symmetry breaking scenario within the minimal supergravity model, and the LEP bounds on the  $(M_2,\mu)$  plane. Claims that the light gluino window is strongly disfavored.
- $^{26}$  CLAVELLI 92 claims that a light gluino mass around 4 GeV should exist to explain the discrepancy between  $\alpha_{\rm S}$  at LEP and at quarkonia (  $\Upsilon$  ), since a light gluino slows the running of the QCD coupling.
- $^{27}$  ANTONIADIS 91 argue that possible light gluinos (< 5 GeV) contradict the observed running of  $\alpha_{\rm S}$  between 5 GeV and  $m_{\rm Z}$ . The significance is less than 2 s.d.
- <sup>28</sup> ANTONIADIS 91 interpret the search for missing energy events in 450 GeV/c p N collisions, AKESSON 91, in terms of light gluinos.
- $^{29}$  NAKAMURA 89 searched for a long-lived (  $\tau \gtrsim 10^{-7}$  s) charge-(±2) particle with mass  $\lesssim 1.6$  GeV in proton-Pt interactions at 12 GeV and found that the yield is less than  $10^{-8}$  times that of the pion. This excludes  $R\text{-}\Delta^{++}$  (a  $\tilde{g}\,u\,u\,u$  state) lighter than 1.6 GeV.
- $^{30}$  The limits assume  $m_{\widetilde{q}}=100$  GeV. See their figure 3 for limits vs.  $m_{\widetilde{q}}$ .

- <sup>31</sup> The gluino mass is defined by half the bound  $\widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}$  mass. If zero gluino mass gives a  $\widetilde{g}\widetilde{g}$  of mass about 1 GeV as suggested by various glueball mass estimates, then the low-mass bound can be replaced by zero. The high-mass bound is obtained by comparing the data with nonrelativistic potential-model estimates.
- <sup>32</sup> ALBRECHT 86C search for secondary decay vertices from  $\chi_{b1}(1P) \to \widetilde{g}\,\widetilde{g}\,g$  where  $\widetilde{g}$ 's make long-lived hadrons. See their figure 4 for excluded region in the  $m_{\widetilde{g}}-m_{\widetilde{g}}$  and  $m_{\widetilde{g}}-m_{\widetilde{q}}$  plane. The lower  $m_{\widetilde{g}}$  region below  $\sim 2$  GeV may be sensitive to fragmentation effects. Remark that the  $\widetilde{g}$ -hadron mass is expected to be  $\sim 1$  GeV (glueball mass) in the zero  $\widetilde{g}$  mass limit.
- <sup>33</sup> BADIER 86 looked for secondary decay vertices from long-lived  $\widetilde{g}$ -hadrons produced at 300 GeV  $\pi^-$  beam dump. The quoted bound assumes  $\widetilde{g}$ -hadron nucleon total cross section of  $10\mu$ b. See their figure 7 for excluded region in the  $m_{\widetilde{g}}-m_{\widetilde{q}}$  plane for several assumed total cross-section values.
- <sup>34</sup> BARNETT 86 rule out light gluinos (m=3-5 GeV) by calculating the monojet rate from gluino gluino gluon events (and from gluino gluino events) and by using UA1 data from  $p\bar{p}$  collisions at CERN.
- $^{35}$  VOLOSHIN 86 rules out stable gluino based on the cosmological argument that predicts too much hydrogen consisting of the charged stable hadron  $\widetilde{g}$  uud. Quasi-stable ( $\tau > 1. \times 10^{-7}$ s) light gluino of  $m_{\widetilde{g}} < 3$  GeV is also ruled out by nonobservation of the stable charged particles,  $\widetilde{g}$  uud, in high energy hadron collisions.
- $^{36}$  COOPER-SARKAR 85B is BEBC beam-dump. Gluinos decaying in dump would yield  $\widetilde{\gamma}$ 's in the detector giving neutral-current-like interactions. For  $m_{\widetilde{q}} > \!\! 330$  GeV, no limit is set.
- 37 DAWSON 85 first limit from neutral particle search. Second limit based on FNAL beam dump experiment.
- $^{38}$  FARRAR 85 points out that BALL 84 analysis applies only if the  $\widetilde{g}$ 's decay before interacting, i.e.  $m_{\widetilde{q}}~<\!80m_{\widetilde{g}}^{-1.5}.$  FARRAR 85 finds  $m_{\widetilde{g}}~<\!0.5$  not excluded for  $m_{\widetilde{q}}=30\text{--}1000$  GeV and  $m_{\widetilde{g}}~<\!1.0$  not excluded for  $m_{\widetilde{q}}=100\text{--}500$  GeV by BALL 84 experiment.
- <sup>39</sup> GOLDMAN 85 use nonobservation of a pseudoscalar  $\widetilde{g}$ - $\widetilde{g}$  bound state in radiative  $\psi$  decay.
- <sup>40</sup> HABER 85 is based on survey of all previous searches sensitive to low mass  $\tilde{g}$ 's. Limit makes assumptions regarding the lifetime and electric charge of the lightest supersymmetric particle.
- $^{41}$  BALL 84 is FNAL beam dump experiment. Observed no interactions of  $\widetilde{\gamma}$  in the calorimeter, where  $\widetilde{\gamma}$ 's are expected to come from pair-produced  $\widetilde{g}$ 's. Search for long-lived  $\widetilde{\gamma}$  interacting in calorimeter 56m from target. Limit is for  $m_{\widetilde{q}}=40$  GeV and production cross section proportional to  $A^{0.72}$ . BALL 84 find no  $\widetilde{g}$  allowed below 4.1 GeV at CL = 90%. Their figure 1 shows dependence on  $m_{\widetilde{q}}$  and A. See also KANE 82.
- <sup>42</sup> BRICK 84 reanalyzed FNAL 147 GeV HBC data for R- $\Delta$ (1232)<sup>++</sup> with  $\tau > 10^{-9}$  s and  $p_{\text{lab}} >$ 2 GeV. Set CL = 90% upper limits 6.1, 4.4, and 29 microbarns in pp,  $\pi^+p$ ,  $K^+p$  collisions respectively. R- $\Delta^{++}$  is defined as being  $\widetilde{g}$  and 3 up quarks. If mass = 1.2–1.5 GeV, then limits may be lower than theory predictions.
- $^{43}$  FARRAR 84 argues that  $m_{\widetilde{g}}~<\!100$  MeV is not ruled out if the lightest R-hadrons are long-lived. A long lifetime would occur if R-hadrons are lighter than  $\widetilde{\gamma}$ 's or if  $m_{\widetilde{q}}~>\!100$  GeV.
- 44 BERGSMA 83C is reanalysis of CERN-SPS beam-dump data. See their figure 1.
- $^{45}$  CHANOWITZ 83 find in bag-model that charged s-hadron exists which is stable against strong decay if  $m_{\widetilde{g}}~<1$  GeV. This is important since tracks from decay of neutral s-hadron cannot be reconstructed to primary vertex because of missed  $\widetilde{\gamma}$ . Charged s-hadron leaves track from vertex.
- 46 KANE 82 inferred above  $\widetilde{g}$  mass limit from retroactive analysis of hadronic collision and beam dump experiments. Limits valid if  $\widetilde{g}$  decays inside detector.

# LIGHT $\hat{G}$ (Gravitino) MASS LIMITS FROM COLLIDER EXPERIMENTS

The following are bounds on light (  $\ll 1\,\mathrm{eV}$ ) gravitino indirectly inferred from its coupling to matter suppressed by the gravitino decay constant.

Unless otherwise stated, all limits assume that other supersymmetric particles besides the gravitino are too heavy to be produced. The gravitino is assumed to be undetected and to give rise to a missing energy  $(\cancel{E})$  signature.

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
ullet $ullet$ We do not	use the fo	ollowing data for a	verages, fits,	limits, etc. • • •
$> 1.09 \times 10^{-5}$	95	<sup>1</sup> ABDALLAH	05B DLPH	$\mathrm{e^{+}e^{-}} ightarrow$ $\widetilde{G}\widetilde{G}\gamma$
$> 1.35 \times 10^{-5}$	95	<sup>2</sup> ACHARD	04E L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow\widetilde{G}\widetilde{G}\gamma$
$> 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$		<sup>3</sup> HEISTER		$e^+e^- ightarrow\widetilde{\it G}\widetilde{\it G}\gamma$
$>11.7 \times 10^{-6}$	95	<sup>4</sup> ACOSTA	02н CDF	$ ho\overline{ ho}  ightarrow  \widetilde{G}\widetilde{G}\gamma$
$> 8.7 \times 10^{-6}$	95	<sup>5</sup> ABBIENDI,G	00D OPAL	$e^+e^- ightarrow\widetilde{\widetilde{G}}\widetilde{\widetilde{G}}\gamma$
$>10.0 \times 10^{-6}$	95	<sup>6</sup> ABREU	00z DLPH	$e^+e^- ightarrow\widetilde{G}\widetilde{G}\gamma$
$>11 \times 10^{-6}$	95	<sup>7</sup> AFFOLDER	00J CDF	$ ho\overline{ ho} ightarrow\widetilde{G}\widetilde{G}+{ m jet}$
$> 8.9 \times 10^{-6}$	95	<sup>8</sup> ACCIARRI	99R L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow\widetilde{\it G}\widetilde{\it G}\gamma$
$> 7.9 \times 10^{-6}$	95	<sup>9</sup> ACCIARRI	98V L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow\widetilde{\it G}\widetilde{\it G}\gamma$
$> 8.3 \times 10^{-6}$	95	<sup>9</sup> BARATE	98J ALEP	$\mathrm{e^{+}e^{-}} ightarrow\ \widetilde{G}\widetilde{G}\gamma$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ABDALLAH 05B use data from  $\sqrt{s}=180$ –208 GeV. They look for events with a single photon + E final states from which a cross section limit of  $\sigma < 0.18~pb$  at 208 GeV is obtained, allowing a limit on the mass to be set. Supersedes the results of ABREU 00Z.

#### Supersymmetry Miscellaneous Results

Results that do not appear under other headings or that make nonminimal assumptions.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the follow	ing data for averag	ges, fit	ts, limits	, etc. • • •
	$^{ m 1}$ ABAZOV		D0	$\gamma_D$ , hidden valley
	<sup>2</sup> LOVE	08A	CLEO	$R, Y \rightarrow \mu \tau$
	<sup>3</sup> ABULENCIA	<b>06</b> P	CDF	$\ell\gamma E_T$ , $\ell\ell\gamma$ , GMSB
	<sup>4</sup> ACOSTA		CDF	-
				$K^-  ightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 P$
	<sup>6</sup> AFFOLDER	<b>02</b> D	CDF	$ ho  \overline{ ho}  ightarrow \ \gamma  b  ( ot\!\!\!E_T)$
HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV	Page 66		Cre	ated: 6/16/2011 12:05

 $<sup>^2\,\</sup>mathrm{ACHARD}$  04E use data from  $\sqrt{s}=$  189–209 GeV. They look for events with a single photon  $+ \not\!\! E$  final states from which a limit on the Gravitino mass is set corresponding to  $\sqrt{F}~>$  238 GeV. Supersedes the results of ACCIARRI 99R.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  HEISTER 03C use the data from  $\sqrt{s}=$  189–209 GeV to search for  $\gamma E_T$  final states.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> ACOSTA 02H looked in 87  $pb^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$ =1.8 TeV for events with a high- $E_T$  photon and  $E_T$ . They compared the data with a GMSB model where the final state could arise from  $q\overline{q} \rightarrow \widetilde{G}\widetilde{G}\gamma$ . Since the cross section for this process scales as  $1/|F|^4$ , a limit at 95% CL is derived on  $|F|^{1/2}>221$  GeV. A model independent limit for the above topology is also given in the paper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> ABBIENDI,G 00D searches for  $\gamma E$  final states from  $\sqrt{s}$ =189 GeV.

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  ABREU 00Z search for  $\gamma E$  final states using data from  $\sqrt{s}$ =189 GeV. Superseded by ABDALLAH 05B.

AFFOLDER 00J searches for final states with an energetic jet (from quark or gluon) and large  $E_T$  from undetected gravitinos.

 $<sup>^8</sup>$  ACCIARRI 99R search for  $\gamma E$  final states using data from  $\sqrt{s}$ =189 GeV. Superseded by ACHARD 04E.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Searches for  $\gamma \cancel{E}$  final states at  $\sqrt{s}$ =183 GeV.

```
^{7} AFFOLDER 01H CDF p\overline{p} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma X

^{8} ABBOTT 00G D0 p\overline{p} \rightarrow 3\ell + \cancel{E}_{T}, \cancel{R}, LL\overline{E}

^{9} ABREU,P 00C DLPH e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \gamma + S/P

^{10} ABACHI 97 D0 \gamma\gamma X

^{11} BARBER 84B RVUE

^{12} HOFFMAN 83 CNTR \pi p \rightarrow n(e^{+}e^{-})
```

- $^1$  ABAZOV 10N looked in 5.8 fb $^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for events from hidden valley models in which a  $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$  decays into a dark photon,  $\gamma_D$ , and the unobservable lightest SUSY particle of the hidden sector. As the  $\gamma_D$  is expected to be light, it may decay into a tightly collimated lepton pair, called lepton jet. They searched for events with  $E_T$  and two isolated lepton jets observable by an opposite charged lepton pair  $e\,e,\,e\,\mu$  or  $\mu\mu$ . No significant excess over the SM expectation is observed, and a limit at 95% C.L. on the cross section times branching ratio is derived, see their Table I. They also examined the invariant mass of the lepton jets for a narrow resonance, see their Fig. 4, but found no evidence for a signal.
- <sup>2</sup>LOVE 08A searched for decays of Y(nS) with n=1, 2, 3 into  $\mu\tau$  in 1.1, 1.3, 1.4 fb<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, in the CLEO III detector at CESR. The signature is a muon with  $\approx 97$  % of the beam energy and an electron from the decay of  $\tau$ . No evidence for lepton flavour violation is found and 95% CL limits on the branching ratio are estimated to be 6.0, 14.4 and  $20.3 \times 10^{-6}$  for n=1, 2, 3, respectively.
- <sup>3</sup> ABULENCIA 06P searched in 305 pb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.96$  TeV for an excess of events with  $\ell\gamma E_T$  and  $\ell\ell\gamma$  ( $\ell=e,\mu$ ). No significant excess was found compared to the background expectation. No events are found such as the  $e\,e\,\gamma\gamma E_T$  event observed in ABE 99I.
- <sup>4</sup> ACOSTA 04E looked in 107  $pb^{-1}$  of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=1.8$  TeV for events with two same sign leptons without selection of other objects nor  $\mathbb{E}_T$ . No significant excess is observed compared to the Standard Model expectation and constraints are derived on the parameter space of MSUGRA models, see Figure 4.
- <sup>5</sup> Looked for the scalar partner of a goldstino in decays  $K^- \to \pi^- \pi^0 P$  from a 25 GeV  $K^-$  beam produced at the IHEP 70 GeV proton synchrotron. The sgoldstino is assumed to be sufficiently long-lived to be invisible. A 90% CL upper limit on the decay branching ratio is set at  $\sim 9.0 \times 10^{-6}$  for a sgoldstino mass range from 0 to 200 MeV, excluding the interval near  $m(\pi^0)$ , where the limit is  $\sim 3.5 \times 10^{-5}$ .
- <sup>6</sup> AFFOLDER 02D looked in 85 pb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$ =1.8 TeV for events with a high- $E_T$  photon, and a b-tagged jet with or without  $E_T$ . They compared the data with models where the final state could arise from cascade decays of gluinos and/or squarks into  $\widetilde{\chi}^\pm$  and  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$  or direct associated production of  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2\widetilde{\chi}^\pm_2$ , followed by  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_2\to\gamma\widetilde{\chi}^0_1$  or a GMSB model where  $\widetilde{\chi}^0_1\to\gamma\widetilde{G}$ . It is concluded that the experimental sensitivity is insufficient to detect the associated production or the GMSB model, but some sensitivity may exist to the cascade decays. A model independent limit for the above topology is also given in the paper.
- <sup>7</sup> AFFOLDER 01H searches for  $p\overline{p} \to \gamma\gamma$ X events, where the di-photon system originates from sgoldstino production, in 100 pb<sup>-1</sup> of data. Upper limits on the cross section times branching ratio are shown as function of the di-photon mass >70 GeV in Fig. 5. Excluded regions are derived in the plane of the sgoldstino mass versus the supersymmetry breaking scale for two representative sets of parameter values, as shown in Figs. 6 and 7.
- <sup>8</sup> ABBOTT 00G searches for trilepton final states  $(\ell=e,\mu)$  with  $\not\!\!E_T$  from the indirect decay of gauginos via  $LL\overline{E}$  couplings. Efficiencies are computed for all possible production and decay modes of SUSY particles in the framework of the Minimal Supergravity scenario. See Figs. 1–4 for excluded regions in the  $m_{1/2}$  versus  $m_0$  plane.
- <sup>9</sup> ABREU,P 00C look for the *CP*-even (S) and *CP*-odd (P) scalar partners of the goldstino, expected to be produced in association with a photon. The S/P decay into two photons or into two gluons and both the tri-photon and the photon + two jets topologies are

- investigated. Upper limits on the production cross section are shown in Fig. 5 and the excluded regions in Fig. 6. Data collected at  $\sqrt{s}=$  189–202 GeV.
- <sup>10</sup> ABACHI 97 searched for  $p\overline{p} \to \gamma \gamma \not\!\!\!E_T + X$  as supersymmetry signature. It can be caused by selectron, sneutrino, or neutralino production with a radiative decay of their decay products. They placed limits on cross sections.
- $^{11}$  BARBER 84B consider that  $\widetilde{\mu}$  and  $\widetilde{e}$  may mix leading to  $\mu \to e \widetilde{\gamma} \widetilde{\gamma}.$  They discuss mass-mixing limits from decay dist. asym. in LBL-TRIUMF data and  $e^+$  polarization in SIN data.
- data. <sup>12</sup> HOFFMAN 83 set CL = 90% limit  $d\sigma/dt$  B( $e^+e^-$ )  $< 3.5 \times 10^{-32}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/GeV<sup>2</sup> for spin-1 partner of Goldstone fermions with 140 < m <160 MeV decaying  $\rightarrow e^+e^-$  pair.

## **REFERENCES FOR Supersymmetric Particle Searches**

BEHNKE	11	PRL 106 021303	E. Behnke <i>et al.</i>	(COUPP Collab.)
KHACHATRY	. 11	PRL 106 011801	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i>	` (CMS Collab.)
AALTONEN	10	PRL 104 011801	T. Aaltonen et al.	(CDF Collab.)
AALTONEN	100	PRL 104 251801	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AALTONEN	10R	PRL 105 081802	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AALTONEN	10Y	PR D82 092001	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AALTONEN	10Z	PRL 105 191801	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABAZOV	10L	PL B693 95	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	10M	PRL 105 191802	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	10N	PRL 105 191802 PRL 105 211802	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	10N	PRL 105 211802	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABDO	10	JCAP 1004 014	A.A. Abdo <i>et al.</i>	
-	-			(Fermi-LAT Collab.)
-	10	JCAP 1005 025	M. Ackermann	(Fermi-LAT Collab.)
AHMED	10	SCI 327 1619	Z. Ahmed <i>et al.</i>	(CDMS II Collab.)
APRILE	10	PRL 105 131302	E. Aprile <i>et al.</i>	(XENON100 Collab.)
ARMENGAUD	10	PL B687 294	E. Armengaud <i>et al.</i>	(EDELWEISS II Collab.)
BERGER	10	PR D82 114023	E.L. Berger et al.	
CAO	10	PR D82 051701	J. Cao et al.	
AALTONEN	09G	PR D79 052004	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AALTONEN	09R	PRL 102 221801	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AALTONEN	09S	PRL 102 121801	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AALTONEN	09V	PRL 102 091805	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AALTONEN	09Z	PRL 103 021802	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABAZOV	09M	PRL 102 161802	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	09N	PL B674 4	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	090	PL B675 289	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	09S	PL B680 24	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	09T	PL B680 34	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABBASI	09	PR D79 102005	R. Abbasi <i>et al.</i>	(IceCube Collab.)
ABBASI	09B	PRL 102 201302	R. Abbasi <i>et al.</i>	(IceCube Collab.)
AHMED	09	PRL 102 011301	Z. Ahmed et al.	`(CDMS Collab.)
ANGLOHER	09	ASP 31 270	G. Angloher et al.	(CRESST Collab.)
ARCHAMBAU	09	PL B682 185	S. Archambault <i>et al.</i>	(PICASSO Collab.)
BUCHMUEL	09	EPJ C64 391	O. Buchmueller et al.	(LOIC, FNAL, CERN+)
DREINER	09	EPJ C62 547	H. Dreiner et al.	
LEBEDENKO	09	PR D80 052010	V.N. Lebedenko <i>et al.</i>	(ZEPLIN-III Collab.)
LEBEDENKO	09A	PRL 103 151302	V.N. Lebedenko <i>et al.</i>	(ZEPLIN-III Collab.)
SORENSEN	09	NIM A601 339	P. Sorensen et al.	(XENON10 Collab.)
AALTONEN	08AE	PRL 101 251801	T. Aaltonen et al.	(CDF Collab.)
AALTONEN	08L	PR D77 052002	T. Aaltonen et al.	(CDF Collab.)
AALTONEN	08U	PR D78 032015	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AALTONEN	08Z	PRL 101 071802	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Colab.)
ABAZOV	08	PL B659 500	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	08F	PL B659 856	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	08G	PL B660 449	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	08Q	PRL 100 241803	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	08X	PRL 101 111802	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	08Z	PL B665 1	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ANGLE	08	PRL 100 021303	J. Angle et al.	(XENON10 Collab.)
ANGLE	08A	PRL 100 021303	J. Angle et al.	(XENON10 Collab.)
BEDNYAKOV	08		".A. Bednyakov, H.P. Klapdor-Kleir	,
PEDITAROV	00	Translated from YAF 71		igiociiaus, i.v. Milvosiiellia

BENETTI BUCHMUEL	08 08	ASP 28 495 JHEP 0809 117	P. Benetti <i>et al.</i> O. Buchmueller <i>et al.</i>	(WARP Collab.)
BUHNKE ELLIS	08 08	SCI 319 933 PR D78 075012	E. Behnke J. Ellis, K. Olive, P. Sandick	(COUPP Collab.) (CERN, MINN)
KAPLAN LOVE	08 08A	PRL 101 022002 PRL 101 201601	D.E. Kaplan, M.D. Schwartz W. Love <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AALTONEN	07E	PR D76 072010	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AALTONEN	07J	PRL 99 191806	T. Aaltonen et al.	(CDF Collab.)
ABAZOV	07B	PL B645 119	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV ABULENCIA	07L 07H	PRL 99 131801 PRL 98 131804	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i> A. Abulencia <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABULENCIA	0711	PRL 98 131804 PRL 98 221803	A. Abulencia <i>et al.</i> A. Abulencia <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
ABULENCIA	07P	PRL 99 121801	A. Abulencia <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ALNER	07	PL B653 161	G.J. Alner et al.	(ZEPLIN-II Collab.)
ALNER	07A	ASP 28 287	G.J. Alner <i>et al.</i>	(ZEPLIN-II Collab.)
CHEKANOV ELLIS	07 07	EPJ C50 269 JHEP 0706 079	S. Chekanov <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis, K. Olive, P. Sandick	(ZEUS Collab.) (CERN, MINN)
LEE	07A	PRL 99 091301	H.S. Lee <i>et al.</i>	(KIMS Collab.)
SCHAEL	07A	EPJ C49 411	S. Schael <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABAZOV	06C	PL B638 119	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	06D	PL B638 441	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i> V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV ABAZOV	06I 06P	PRL 97 111801 PRL 97 161802	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	06R	PRL 97 171806	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABBIENDI	06B	EPJ C46 307	G. Abbiendi et al.	(OPAL Collab.)
ABDALLAH	06C	EPJ C45 589	J. Abdallah et al.	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABULENCIA	06I 06M	PRL 96 171802 PRL 96 211802	A. Abulencia <i>et al.</i> A. Abulencia <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABULENCIA ABULENCIA	06IVI	PRL 90 211002 PRL 97 031801	A. Abulencia <i>et al.</i> A. Abulencia <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
ACHTERBERG		ASP 26 129	A. Achterberg <i>et al.</i>	(AMANDA Collab.)
ACKERMANN	06	ASP 24 459	M. Ackermann et al.	(AMANDA Collab.)
AKERIB	06	PR D73 011102R	D.S. Akerib et al.	(CDMS Collab.)
AKERIB	06A	PRL 96 011302	D.S. Akerib <i>et al.</i> A. Benoit <i>et al.</i>	(CDMS Collab.)
BENOIT DEBOER	06 06	PL B637 156 PL B636 13	W. de Boer <i>et al.</i>	
LEE	06	PL B633 201	H.S. Lee <i>et al.</i>	(KIMS Collab.)
LEP-SLC	06	PRPL 427 257	ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL,	CLD and working groups
				SED and working groups
SHIMIZU	06A	PL B633 195	Y. Shimizu et al.	
SHIMIZU SMITH	06A 06	PL B633 195 PL B642 567	Y. Shimizu <i>et al.</i> N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T	J. Summer
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV	06A	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801	Y. Shimizu et al.	J. Summer (D0 Collab.)
SHIMIZU SMITH	06A 06 05A	PL B633 195 PL B642 567	Y. Shimizu <i>et al.</i> N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	J. Summer
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA	06A 06 05A 05U 05B 05A	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al.	.J. Summer  (D0 Collab.)  (D0 Collab.)  (DELPHI Collab.)  (CDF Collab.)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA	06A 06 05A 05U 05B 05A 05E	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al.	T.J. Summer (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA	06A 06 05A 05U 05B 05A 05E 05R	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA	06A 06 05A 05U 05B 05A 05E	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al.	T.J. Summer (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER	06A 06 05A 05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. C.S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER	06A 06 05A 05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER	06A 06 05A 05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (CRESST-II Collab.)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER	06A 06 05A 05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05 05A	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (CRESST-II Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER	06A 06 05A 05U 05B 05A 05E 05R 05 05 05 05 05A	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (CRESST-II Collab.)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV	06A 06 05A 05U 05B 05A 05E 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D.S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. S. Chekanov et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (CRESST-II Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS	06A 06 05A 05U 05B 05A 05E 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. C.S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. S. Chekanov et al. J. Ellis et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (CRESST-II Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ANNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD	06A 06 05A 05U 05B 05A 05E 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. C.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. S. Chekanov et al. J. Ellis et al. T.A. Girard et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (CRESST-II Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS	06A 06 05A 05U 05B 05A 05E 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. C.S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. S. Chekanov et al. J. Ellis et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (CRESST-II Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV	06A 06 05A 05U 05B 05A 05E 05B 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. C.S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. J. Ellis et al. T.A. Girard et al. V. Sanglard et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (CRESST-II Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) (SIMPLE Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV ABBIENDI	06A 06 05A 05U 05B 05A 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 0195007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D.S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. S. Chekanov et al. J. Ellis et al. T.A. Girard et al. V. Sanglard et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (CRESST-II Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (FICASSO Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) (SIMPLE Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV ABBIENDI ABAZOV	06A 06 05A 05U 05B 05A 05E 05S 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453 EPJ C33 149	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D.S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. S. Chekanov et al. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis et al. V. Sanglard et al. V. Sanglard et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) (SIMPLE Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV ABBIENDI	06A 06 05A 05U 05B 05A 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 0195007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D.S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. S. Chekanov et al. J. Ellis et al. T.A. Girard et al. V. Sanglard et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (CRESST-II Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (FICASSO Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) (SIMPLE Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABDALLAH	06A 06 05A 05U 05B 05A 05E 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453 EPJ C33 149 EPJ C35 1 PL B602 167 EPJ C34 145	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. C.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. S. Chekanov et al. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis et al. V. Sanglard et al. V. M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (CRESST-II Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) (SIMPLE Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ANNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV ABBIENDI	06A 06 05A 05U 05B 05A 05E 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453 EPJ C33 149 EPJ C35 1 PL B602 167 EPJ C34 145 EPJ C36 1	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. H. Baer et al. Berger et al. S. Chekanov et al. J. Ellis et al. T.A. Girard et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (CRESST-II Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (ZEUS Collab.) (SIMPLE Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV ABAZOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABDALLAH AISO	06A 06 05A 05B 05B 05B 05B 05B 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453 EPJ C35 1 PL B602 167 EPJ C34 145 EPJ C36 1 EPJ C37 129 (erratum)	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. J. Ellis et al. T.A. Girard et al. V. Sanglard et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (CRESST-II Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (SIMPLE Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV ABBIENDI ABDALLAH AIso ACHARD	06A 06 05A 05U 05B 05A 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453 EPJ C33 149 EPJ C35 1 PL B602 167 EPJ C36 1 EPJ C37 129 (erratum) PL B580 37	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Madallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. S. Chekanov et al. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis et al. V. Sanglard et al. V. M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. J. Abdallah et al. P. Achard et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (CRESST-II Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABAZOV ABAZOV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABDALLAH AISO	06A 06 05A 05B 05B 05B 05B 05B 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453 EPJ C35 1 PL B602 167 EPJ C34 145 EPJ C36 1 EPJ C37 129 (erratum)	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. H. Baer et al. M. Barnabe-Heider et al. E.L. Berger et al. J. Ellis et al. T.A. Girard et al. V. Sanglard et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (ENERGY COLLAB.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (FICASSO Collab.) (SIMPLE Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABBIENDI ACOSTA ACOSTA	06A 06 05A 05U 05B 05A 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453 EPJ C33 149 EPJ C35 1 PL B602 167 EPJ C36 1 EPJ C37 129 (erratum) PL B580 37 PL B580 361802	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. G. Angloher et al. H. Baer et al. S. Chekanov et al. J. Ellis et al. T.A. Girard et al. V. Sanglard et al. V.M. Abazov et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Achard et al. P. Achard et al. P. Achard et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (CRESST-II Collab.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.)  (SIMPLE Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
SHIMIZU SMITH ABAZOV ABAZOV ABDALLAH ABULENCIA ACOSTA ACOSTA AKERIB AKTAS ALNER ALNER ANGLOHER BAER BARNABE-HE. BERGER CHEKANOV ELLIS GIRARD SANGLARD ABAZOV ABBIENDI ABALLAH AISO ACHARD ACOSTA	06A 06 05A 05U 05B 05A 05E 05S 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	PL B633 195 PL B642 567 PRL 94 041801 PRL 95 151805 EPJ C38 395 PRL 95 252001 PR D71 031104R PRL 95 131801 PR D72 052009 PL B616 31 PL B616 17 ASP 23 444 ASP 23 325 JHEP 0507 065 PL B624 186 PR D71 014007 EPJ C44 463 PR D71 095007 PL B621 233 PR D71 122002 PL B581 147 PRL 93 011801 EPJ C32 453 EPJ C33 149 EPJ C35 1 PL B602 167 EPJ C34 145 EPJ C37 129 (erratum) PL B580 37 PL B580 37 PL B587 16 PRL 92 051803	Y. Shimizu et al. N.J.T. Smith, A.S. Murphy, T. V.M. Abazov et al. J. Abdallah et al. A. Abulencia et al. D. Acosta et al. D. Acosta et al. D.S. Akerib et al. A. Aktas et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. G.J. Alner et al. Baer et al. H. Baer et al. S. Chekanov et al. J. Ellis et al. V. Sanglard et al. V. Sanglard et al. V.M. Abazov et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Abdallah et al. J. Achard et al. P. Achard et al. D. Acosta et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDMS Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (UK Dark Matter Collab.) (ENERGY COLLAB.) (FSU, MSU, HAWA) (PICASSO Collab.) (SIMPLE Collab.) (EDELWEISS Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (L3 Collab.) (L3 Collab.)

AKTAS AKTAS BELANGER BOTTINO	04B 04D 04 04	PL B599 159 EPJ C36 425 JHEP 0403 012 PR D69 037302	A. Aktas <i>et al.</i> A. Aktas <i>et al.</i> G. Belanger <i>et al.</i> A. Bottino <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.) (H1 Collab.)
DAS DESAI ELLIS ELLIS	04 04 04 04B	PL B596 293 PR D70 083523 PR D69 015005 PR D70 055005	S.P. Das, A. Datta, M. I S. Desai <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis <i>et al.</i>	Maity (Super-Kamiokande Collab.)
GIULIANI HEISTER JANOT PIERCE	04 04 04 04A	PL B588 151 PL B583 247 PL B594 23 PR D70 075006	F. Giuliani, T.A. Girard A. Heister <i>et al.</i> P. Janot A. Pierce	(ALEPH Collab.)
TCHIKILEV ABBIENDI ABBIENDI	04 03H 03L	PL B602 149 EPJ C29 479 PL B572 8	O.G. Tchikilev et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al.	(ISTRA+ Coolab.) (OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
ABDALLAH	03C	EPJ C26 505	J. Abdallah et al.	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABDALLAH ABDALLAH	03D 03F	EPJ C27 153 EPJ C28 15	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i> J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABDALLAH	03G	EPJ C29 285	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ABDALLAH	03M	EPJ C31 421	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACOSTA	03C	PRL 90 251801	D. Acosta et al.	(CDF Collab.)
ACOSTA ADLOFF	03E 03	PRL 91 171602 PL B568 35	D. Acosta <i>et al.</i> C. Adloff <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.) (H1 Collab.)
AHMED	03	ASP 19 691	B. Ahmed <i>et al.</i>	(UK Dark Matter Collab.)
AKERIB	03	PR D68 082002	D. Akerib et al.	(CDMS Collab.)
BAER	03	JCAP 0305 006	H. Baer, C. Balazs	
BAER BERGER	03A 03	JCAP 0309 007 PL B552 223	H. Baer <i>et al.</i> E. Berger <i>et al.</i>	
BOTTINO	03	PR D68 043506	A. Bottino <i>et al.</i>	
BOTTINO	03A	PR D67 063519	A. Bottino, N. Fornengo,	
CHAKRAB	03	PR D68 015005	S. Chakrabarti, M. Gucha	
CHATTOPAD CHEKANOV	. 03 03B	PR D68 035005 PR D68 052004	U. Chattopadhyay, A. Co S. Chekanov <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ELLIS	03	ASP 18 395	J. Ellis, K.A. Olive, Y. S	` ,
ELLIS	03B	NP B652 259	J. Ellis <i>et al.</i>	
ELLIS ELLIS	03C 03D	PL B565 176 PL B573 162	J. Ellis <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis <i>et al.</i>	
ELLIS	03E	PR D67 123502	J. Ellis et al.	
HEISTER	03	EPJ C27 1	A. Heister et al.	(ALEPH)
HEISTER HEISTER	03C 03G	EPJ C28 1 EPJ C31 1	A. Heister <i>et al.</i> A. Heister <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.)
HEISTER	03G 03H	EPJ C31 327	A. Heister <i>et al.</i> A. Heister <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
HOOPER	03	PL B562 18	D. Hooper, T. Plehn	(
JANOT	03	PL B564 183	P. Janot	
KLAPDOR-K LAHANAS	03	ASP 18 525 PL B568 55	H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrothau A. Lahanas, D. Nanopoul	
TAKEDA	03	PL B572 145	A. Takeda <i>et al.</i>	
ABAZOV	02C	PRL 88 171802	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV ABAZOV	02F 02G	PRL 89 171801 PR D66 112001	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i> V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.)
ABAZOV	02G 02H	PRL 89 261801	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABBIENDI	02	EPJ C23 1	G. Abbiendi et al.	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI ABBIENDI	02B	PL B526 233	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	02H	PL B545 272 PL B548 258 (erratum)	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
ABRAMS	02	PR D66 122003	D. Abrams et al.	(CDMS Collab.)
ACHARD	02	PL B524 65	P. Achard et al.	(L3 Collab.)
ACOSTA AFFOLDER	02H 02	PRL 89 281801 PRL 88 041801	D. Acosta <i>et al.</i> T. Affolder <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
AFFOLDER	02D	PR D65 052006	T. Affolder et al.	(CDF Collab.)
ANGLOHER	02	ASP 18 43	G. Angloher et al.	(CRÈSST Collab.)
ARNOWITT BAEK	02 02	hep-ph/0211417 PL B541 161	R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta S. Baek	
BAER	02	JHEP 0207 050	H. Baer <i>et al.</i>	
BECHER	02	PL B540 278	T. Becher et al.	
BENOIT	02	PL B545 43	A. Benoit et al.	(EDELWEISS Collab.)
CHEKANOV CHEUNG	02 02B	PR D65 092004 PRL 89 221801	S. Chekanov <i>et al.</i> K. Cheung, WY. Keung	(ZEUS Collab.)
CHO	02.0	PRL 89 091801	GC. Cho	•
ELLIS	02	PL B525 308	J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos	
ELLIS	02B	PL B532 318	J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. (	Dlive

GHODBANE	02	NP B647 190	N. Ghodbane et al.		
HEISTER	02	PL B526 191	A. Heister et al.	(ALEPH Collab	).)
HEISTER	02E	PL B526 206	A. Heister et al.	(ALEPH Collab	).)
HEISTER	02F	EPJ C25 1	A. Heister <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab	
HEISTER	02J	PL B533 223	A. Heister <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab	
HEISTER HEISTER	02K 02N	PL B537 5 PL B544 73	A. Heister <i>et al.</i> A. Heister <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab (ALEPH Collab	
HEISTER	02N	EPJ C25 339	A. Heister <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab	
KIM	02	PL B527 18	H.B. Kim <i>et al.</i>	(ALLI II COMUS	.,
KIM	02B	JHEP 0212 034	Y.G. Kim et al.		
LAHANAS	02	EPJ C23 185	A. Lahanas, V.C. Spanos		
MORALES	02B	ASP 16 325	A. Morales <i>et al.</i>	(COSME Collab	
MORALES	02C	PL B532 8	A. Morales <i>et al.</i>	(IGEX Collab	
ABBIENDI ABBOTT	01 01D	PL B501 12 PR D63 091102	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab (D0 Collab	
ABREU	010	EPJ C19 29	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab	
ABREU	01B	EPJ C19 201	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab	
ABREU	01C	PL B502 24	P. Abreu et al.	(DELPHI Collab	
ABREU	01D	PL B500 22	P. Abreu et al.	(DELPHI Collab	).)
ABREU	01G	PL B503 34	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab	
ACCIARRI	01	EPJ C19 397	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab	
ADAMS	01 01 B	PRL 87 041801	T. Adams <i>et al.</i> C. Adloff <i>et al.</i>	(NuTeV Collab	(
ADLOFF AFFOLDER	01B 01B	EPJ C20 639 PR D63 091101	T. Affolder et al.	(H1 Collab (CDF Collab	
AFFOLDER	01H	PR D64 092002	T. Affolder <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab	
AFFOLDER	01J	PRL 87 251803	T. Affolder et al.	(CDF Collab	•
BALTZ	01	PRL 86 5004	E. Baltz, P. Gondolo	`	_
BARATE	01	PL B499 67	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab	
BARATE	01B	EPJ C19 415	R. Barate et al.	(ALEPH Collab	).)
BARGER	01C	PL B518 117	V. Barger, C. Kao	(Haidalbara Masasur Callab	. \
BAUDIS BENOIT	01 01	PR D63 022001 PL B513 15	L. Baudis <i>et al.</i> A. Benoit <i>et al.</i>	(Heidelberg-Moscow Collab (EDELWEISS Collab	
BERGER	01	PRL 86 4231	E. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(EDELVVEISS COIIAD	··)
BERNABEI	01	PL B509 197	R. Bernabei <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA Collab	.)
BOTTINO	01	PR D63 125003	A. Bottino et al.	,	,
BREITWEG	01	PR D63 052002	J. Breitweg <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab	).)
CORSETTI	01	PR D64 125010	A. Corsetti, P. Nath		
DJOUADI	01	JHEP 0108 055	A. Djouadi, M. Drees, J.L.	Kneur	
ELLIS ELLIS	01B 01C	PL B510 236 PR D63 065016	J. Ellis <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Oliv		
GOMEZ	01	PL B512 252	M.E. Gomez, J.D. Vergados		
LAHANAS	01	PL B518 94	A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoul		
ROSZKOWSKI		JHEP 0108 024	L. Roszkowski, R. Ruiz de		
SAVINOV	01	PR D63 051101	V. Savinov et al.	(CLEO Collab	).)
ABBIENDI	00	EPJ C12 1	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab	
ABBIENDI	00G	EPJ C14 51	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab	
ABBIENDI Also	00H	EPJ C14 187	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab	•
ABBIENDI	00J	EPJ C16 707 (erratum) EPJ C12 551	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab (OPAL Collab	
ABBIENDI	00S	EPJ C13 553	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab	
ABBIENDI,G	00D	EPJ C18 253	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab	).)
ABBOTT	00C	PRL 84 2088	B. Abbott et al.	(D0 Collab	).)
ABBOTT	00G	PR D62 071701R	B. Abbott et al.	(D0 Collab	).)
ABREU	001	EPJ C13 591	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab	).)
ABREU	00J	PL B479 129	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab	,
ABREU ABREU	00Q 00S	PL B478 65 PL B485 45	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i> P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab (DELPHI Collab	,
ABREU	003 00T	PL B485 95	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab	
ABREU	00U	PL B487 36	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab	
ABREU	00V	EPJ C16 211	P. Abreu et al.	(DELPHI Collab	
ABREU	00W	PL B489 38	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab	
ABREU	00Z	EPJ C17 53	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab	
		DI D404 000			).)
ABREU,P	00C	PL B494 203	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab	
ABREU,P ABREU,P	00C 00D	PL B496 59	P. Abreu et al.	(DELPHI Collab	).)
ABREU,P ABREU,P ABUSAIDI	00C 00D 00	PL B496 59 PRL 84 5699	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i> R. Abusaidi <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab (CDMS Collab	).) ).)
ABREU,P ABREU,P	00C 00D	PL B496 59	P. Abreu et al.	(DELPHI Collab	o.) o.) o.)
ABREU,P ABREU,P ABUSAIDI ACCIARRI	00C 00D 00 00C	PL B496 59 PRL 84 5699 EPJ C16 1	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i> R. Abusaidi <i>et al.</i> M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab (CDMS Collab (L3 Collab	o.) o.) o.)
ABREU,P ABREU,P ABUSAIDI ACCIARRI ACCIARRI ACCIARRI ACCIARRI	00C 00D 00 00C 00D 00K 00P	PL B496 59 PRL 84 5699 EPJ C16 1 PL B472 420 PL B482 31 PL B489 81	P. Abreu et al. R. Abusaidi et al. M. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al.	(DELPHI Collab (CDMS Collab (L3 Collab (L3 Collab	o.) o.) o.) o.)
ABREU,P ABREU,P ABUSAIDI ACCIARRI ACCIARRI ACCIARRI ACCIARRI ACCOMANDO	00C 00D 00 00C 00D 00K 00P 00	PL B496 59 PRL 84 5699 EPJ C16 1 PL B472 420 PL B482 31 PL B489 81 NP B585 124	P. Abreu et al. R. Abusaidi et al. M. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al. E. Accomando et al.	(DELPHI Collab (CDMS Collab (L3 Collab (L3 Collab (L3 Collab (L3 Collab	o.) o.) o.) o.) o.)
ABREU,P ABREU,P ABUSAIDI ACCIARRI ACCIARRI ACCIARRI ACCIARRI	00C 00D 00 00C 00D 00K 00P	PL B496 59 PRL 84 5699 EPJ C16 1 PL B472 420 PL B482 31 PL B489 81	P. Abreu et al. R. Abusaidi et al. M. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al.	(DELPHI Collab (CDMS Collab (L3 Collab (L3 Collab (L3 Collab	o.) o.) o.) o.) o.)

AFFOLDER AFFOLDER AFFOLDER BARATE BARATE BARATE BARATE BARATE	00G 00J 00K 00G 00H 00I 00P	PRL 84 5273 PRL 85 1378 PRL 85 2056 EPJ C16 71 EPJ C13 29 EPJ C12 183 PL B488 234	T. Affolder et al. T. Affolder et al. T. Affolder et al. R. Barate et al.	(CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.)
BERNABEI BERNABEI BERNABEI BOEHM	00 00C 00D 00B	PL B480 23 EPJ C18 283 NJP 2 15 PR D62 035012	<ul> <li>R. Bernabei et al.</li> <li>R. Bernabei et al.</li> <li>R. Bernabei et al.</li> <li>C. Boehm, A. Djouadi, M.</li> </ul>	(DAMA Collab.) (DAMA Collab.) (DAMA Collab.)
BREITWEG CHO	00E 00B	EPJ C16 253 NP B574 623	J. Breitweg <i>et al.</i> GC. Cho, K. Hagiwara	(ZEUS Collab.)
COLLAR ELLIS	00 00	PRL 85 3083 PR D62 075010	J.I. Collar <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis <i>et al.</i>	(SIMPLE Collab.)
FENG LAHANAS LEP MAFI MALTONI	00 00 00 00 00	PL B482 388 PR D62 023515 CERN-EP-2000-016 PR D62 035003 PL B476 107	J.L. Feng, K.T. Matchev, I A. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopou LEP Collabs. (ALEPH A. Mafi, S. Raby M. Maltoni <i>et al.</i>	
MORALES PDG	00 00	PL B489 268 EPJ C15 1	A. Morales <i>et al.</i> D.E. Groom <i>et al.</i>	(IGEX Collab.)
SPOONER ABBIENDI	00 99	PL B473 330 EPJ C6 1	N.J.C. Spooner <i>et al.</i> G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(UK Dark Matter Col.) (OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	99F	EPJ C8 23	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	99M	PL B456 95	G. Abbiendi et al.	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	99T	EPJ C11 619 PRL 82 29	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBOTT ABBOTT	99 99F	PR D60 031101	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.)
ABBOTT	99J	PRL 83 2896	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABBOTT	99K	PRL 83 4476	B. Abbott et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABBOTT	99L	PRL 83 4937	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABE ABE	991 99M	PR D59 092002 PRL 83 2133	F. Abe <i>et al.</i> F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
ABREU	99A	EPJ C11 383	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	99C	EPJ C6 385	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	99F	EPJ C7 595	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU ACCIARRI	99G 99H	PL B446 62 PL B456 283	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i> M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.) (L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	991	PL B459 354	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	99L	PL B462 354	M. Acciarri et al.	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	99R	PL B470 268	M. Acciarri et al.	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	99V	PL B471 308	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI ALAVI-HARATI	99W 99F	PL B471 280 PRL 83 2128	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i> A. Alavi-Harati <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.) (FNAL KTeV Collab.)
AMBROSIO	99	PR D60 082002	M. Ambrosio <i>et al.</i>	(Macro Collab.)
BAER	99	PR D59 075002	H. Baer, K. Cheung, J.F.	
BARATE	99E	EPJ C7 383	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARATE BAUDIS	99Q 99	PL B469 303 PR D59 022001	R. Barate <i>et al.</i> L. Baudis <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.) (Heidelberg-Moscow Collab.)
BELLI	99C	NP B563 97	P. Belli <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA Collab.)
BERNABEI	99	PL B450 448	R. Bernabei et al.	(DAMA Collab.)
FANTI MALTONI	99 00B	PL B446 117	V. Fanti et al.	(CERN NA48 Collab.)
OOTANI	99B 99	PL B463 230 PL B461 371	M. Maltoni, M.I. Vysotsky W. Ootani <i>et al.</i>	
ABBOTT	98	PRL 80 442	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABBOTT	98C	PRL 80 1591	B. Abbott et al.	(D0 Collab.)
ABBOTT	98E	PRL 80 2051	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABBOTT ABE	98J 98J	PRL 81 38 PRL 80 5275	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i> F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
ABE	985	PRL 81 4806	F. Abe <i>et al</i> .	(CDF Collab.)
ABREU	98	EPJ C1 1	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	98P	PL B444 491	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI ACCIARRI	98F 98J	EPJ C4 207 PL B433 163	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i> M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.) (L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	98V	PL B444 503	M. Acciarri <i>et al</i> .	(L3 Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98K	EPJ C4 47	K. Ackerstaff et al.	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98L	EPJ C2 213	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF ACKERSTAFF	98P 98V	PL B433 195 EPJ C2 441	<ul><li>K. Ackerstaff et al.</li><li>K. Ackerstaff et al.</li></ul>	(OPAL Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
BARATE	98H	PL B420 127	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
				,

BARATE 98J BARATE 98K BARATE 98S BARATE 98X BERNABEI 98 BERNABEI 98	<ul> <li>C PL B433 176</li> <li>EPJ C4 433</li> <li>EPJ C2 417</li> <li>PL B424 195</li> <li>PL B436 379</li> </ul>	R. Barate et al. R. Barate et al. R. Barate et al. R. Barate et al. R. Bernabei et al. R. Bernabei et al.	(ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.) (DAMA Collab.) (DAMA Collab.)
BREITWEG 98 ELLIS 98 ELLIS 98E PDG 98 ABACHI 97	PL B434 214 PR D58 095002 B PL B444 367 EPJ C3 1 PRL 78 2070	J. Breitweg et al. J. Ellis et al. J. Ellis, T. Falk, K. Olive C. Caso et al. S. Abachi et al.	(ZEUS Collab.)  (D0 Collab.)
ABBOTT 97E ABE 97K ACCIARRI 97U ACKERSTAFF 97F	3 PRL 79 4321 K PR D56 R1357 J PL B414 373	B. Abbott et al. F. Abe et al. M. Acciarri et al. K. Ackerstaff et al.	(D0 Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (L3 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
ADAMS 97E ALBUQUERQ 97 BAER 97 BARATE 97K	PRL 78 3252 PR D57 567	J. Adams <i>et al.</i> I.F. Albuquerque <i>et al.</i> H. Baer, M. Brhlik R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL KTeV Collab.) (FNAL E761 Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.)
BARATE 97L BERNABEI 97 CARENA 97 CSIKOR 97	. ZPHY C76 1 ASP 7 73 PL B390 234 PRL 78 4335	R. Barate <i>et al.</i> R. Bernabei <i>et al.</i> M. Carena, G.F. Giudice, C.E.M. F. Csikor, Z. Fodor	(EOTV, CERN)
DATTA 97 DEGOUVEA 97 DERRICK 97 EDSJO 97	PL B395 54 PL B400 117 ZPHY C73 613 PR D56 1879	<ul> <li>A. Datta, M. Guchait, N. Parua</li> <li>A. de Gouvea, H. Murayama</li> <li>M. Derrick et al.</li> <li>J. Edsjo, P. Gondolo</li> </ul>	(ICTP, TATA) (ZEUS Collab.)
ELLIS 97 HEWETT 97 KALINOWSKI 97 TEREKHOV 97	PL B394 354 PR D56 5703 PL B400 112 PL B412 86	J. Ellis, J.L. Lopez, D.V. Nanopo J.L. Hewett, T.G. Rizzo, M.A. D J. Kalinowski, P. Zerwas I. Terekhov	
ABACHI 96 ABACHI 96E ABE 96 ABE 96E	PRL 76 2228 3 PRL 76 2222 PRL 77 438	S. Abachi et al. S. Abachi et al. F. Abe et al. F. Abe et al.	(D0 Collab.) (D0 Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
ABE 96K AID 96 AID 96C ARNOWITT 96	X PRL 76 4307 ZPHY C71 211	F. Abe et al. S. Aid et al. S. Aid et al. R. Arnowitt, P. Nath	(CDF Collab.) (H1 Collab.) (H1 Collab.)
BAER 96 BERGSTROM 96 CHO 96 FARRAR 96	PR D53 597 ASP 5 263 PL B372 101 PRL 76 4111	H. Baer, M. Brhlik L. Bergstrom, P. Gondolo G.C. Cho, Y. Kizukuri, N. Oshim G.R. Farrar	`
LEWIN 96 TEREKHOV 96 ABACHI 950	ASP 6 87 PL B385 139 C PRL 75 618	J.D. Lewin, P.F. Smith I. Terkhov, L. Clavelli S. Abachi <i>et al</i> .	(RUTG) (ALAT) (D0 Collab.)
ABE 95N ABE 95T ACCIARRI 95E AKERS 95A	F PRL 75 613 F PL B350 109 A ZPHY C65 367	F. Abe <i>et al.</i> F. Abe <i>et al.</i> M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i> R. Akers <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (L3 Collab.) (OPAL Collab.)
AKERS 95F BEREZINSKY 95 BUSKULIC 95E CLAVELLI 95	ASP 5 1 E PL B349 238 PR D51 1117	R. Akers <i>et al.</i> V. Berezinsky <i>et al.</i> D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i> L. Clavelli, P.W. Coulter	(OPAL Collab.) (ALEPH Collab.) (ALAT)
FALK 95 LOSECCO 95 AKERS 94k BECK 94	PL B336 141	T. Falk, K.A. Olive, M. Srednick J.M. LoSecco R. Akers <i>et al.</i> M. Beck <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM) (OPAL Collab.) (MPIH, KIAE, SASSO)
CAKIR 94 FALK 94 SHIRAI 94 ADRIANI 93N ALITTI 93 CLAVELLI 93	PR D50 3268 PL B339 248 PRL 72 3313 M PRPL 236 1 NP B400 3 PR D47 1973	M.B. Cakir, G.R. Farrar T. Falk, K.A. Olive, M. Srednick J. Shirai <i>et al.</i> O. Adriani <i>et al.</i> J. Alitti <i>et al.</i> L. Clavelli, P.W. Coulter, K.J. Yu	(VENUS Collab.) (L3 Collab.) (UA2 Collab.)
DREES 93 DREES 93E FALK 93 HEBBEKER 93	PL B318 354 ZPHY C60 63	M. Drees, M.M. Nojiri M. Drees, M.M. Nojiri T. Falk <i>et al.</i> T. Hebbeker	(DESY, SLAC) (UCB, UCSB, MINN) (CERN)
KELLEY 93 LOPEZ 93C MIZUTA 93	PR D47 2461 PL B313 241 PL B298 120	S. Kelley <i>et al.</i> J.L. Lopez, D.V. Nanopoulos, X. S. Mizuta, M. Yamaguchi	(TAMU, ALAH) Wang (TAMU, HARC+) (TOHO)

The component   The componen	ABE BOTTINO Also CLAVELLI DECAMP LOPEZ MCDONALD ROY ABREU AKESSON ALEXANDER	93 92L 92 92 92 92 92 92 91 91 91 91	PR D48 5505 PRL 69 3439 MPL A7 733 PL B265 57 PR D46 2112 PRPL 216 253 NP B370 445 PL B283 80 PL B283 270 NP B367 511 ZPHY C52 219 ZPHY C52 119 PL B262 109	M. Mori et al. F. Abe et al. A. Bottino et al. Clavelli D. Decamp et al. J.L. Lopez, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.J. Yuan J. McDonald, K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki D.P. Roy P. Abreu et al. Clavelli Clavelli D.P. Roy CERN CERN CERN CERN COPAL Collab. CEPOL+
RAMIONKOW91	BOTTINO	91	PL B265 57	A. Bottino <i>et al.</i> (TORI, INFN)
MAMIONKOW91				
MORI   91B   PL B270 89   M. Mori et al.   (Kamiokande Collab.)		-		
NOJIRI				
DLIVE		-		` '
SATO         91         PR D44 2220         N. Sato et al.         (Kamiokande Collab.)           ADACHI         90         PL B244 352         I. Adachi et al.         (TOPAZ Collab.)           GRIEST         90         PR D41 3565         K. Griest, M. Kamionkowski, M.S. Turner (UCB+)           BARBIERI         89         PR D39 1261         T.T. Nakamura et al.         (KYOT, TMTC)           OLIVE         89         PL B230 78         K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki         (MINN, UCSB)           ELLIS         88D         NP B307 883         J. Ellis, R. Flores           GRIEST         88B         PR D38 2337         K. Griest, W. Srednicki         (MINN, UCSB)           SREDNICKI         88         PL B310 693         M. Srednicki, R. Watkins, K.A. Olive         (MINN, UCSB)           SREDNICKI         88         PL B198 613         K. A. Olive, M. Srednicki         (MINN, UCSB)           ALBAJAR         87D         PL B186 435         R. C. Annold et al.         (WA2 Collab.)           ANSARI         87D         PL B188 138         R. Ansari et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ALBRECHT         86C         PL 167B 360         H. Albrecht et al.         (RW. N. Ng. KA. Olive, M. Srednicki         (MINN, UCSB)           BANETT         86         NP B23	OLIVE	91	NP B355 208	K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki (MINN, ÙCSB)
ADACH   90C   PL B244 352   Sequence   Adachi et al.   (TOPAZ Collab.)				
GRIEST         90         PR D41 3565         K. Griest, M. Kamionkowski, M.S. Turner         (UCB+)           BARBIERI         89C         NP B313 725         R. Barbieri, M. Frigeni, G. Giudice         (KYOT, TMTC)           DLIVE         89         PL B230 78         K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki         (MINN, UCSB)           ELLIS         88D         NP B307 883         J. Ellis, R. Flores         (MINN, UCSB)           GRIEST         88B         PL B205 553         K. Griest         (MINN, UCSB)           SREDNICKI         88         PL B306 693         M. Srednicki, R. Watkins, K.A. Olive         (MINN, UCSB)           ALBAJAR         87D         PL B195 613         R. Ansari et al.         (UA1 Collab.)           ANSARI         87D         PL B186 435         R. G. Arnold et al.         (BRUX, DUUC, LOUC+)           NG         87         PL B186 435         R. Marnold et al.         (BRUX, DUUC, LOUC+)           NG         87         PL B188 138         K.W. Ng, K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki         (MINN, UCSB)           ALBRECHT         86         ZPHY C31 21         J. Badier et al.         (ARGUS Collab.)           BANNETT         86         PR D34 2206         T.K. Gaisser, G. Steigman, S. Tilav         (BART, DELA)           VOLOSHIN         85 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>`</td>				`
BARBIERI   89C   NP B313 725   R. Barbieri, M. Frigeni, G. Giudice   (KYOT, TMTC)				
NAKAMURA   89				
OLIVE         89         PL B230 78         K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki         (MINN, UCSB)           ELLIS         88D         NP B307 883         J. Ellis, R. Flores           GRIEST         88B         PR D38 2357         K. Griest           OLIVE         88         PL B205 553         K. A. Olive, M. Srednicki         (MINN, UCSB)           SREDNICKI         88         PL B205 553         K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki         (MINN, UCSB)           ALBAJAR         87D         PL B180 693         M. Srednicki, R. Watkins, K.A. Olive         (MINN, UCSB)           ALBAJAR         87D         PL B195 613         R. Ansari et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ANSARI         87D         PL B186 435         R. C. Arnold et al.         (BRUX, DUUC, LOUC+)           NG         87         PL B186 233         P.M. Tuts et al.         (CUSB Collab.)           ALBRECHT         86C         PL 167B 360         H. Albrecht et al.         (ARGUS Collab.)           BADIER         86         PPH 731 21         J. Badier et al.         (NA3 Collab.)           BARNETT         86         PR D34 2206         T.K. Gaisser, G. Steigman, S. Tilav         (BART, DELA)           VOLOSHIN         85         PR D34 2206         T.K. Gaisser, G. Steigman, S. Tilav <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				
ELLIS         88D         NP B307 883         J. Ellis, R. Flores           GRIEST         88B         PR D38 2357         K. Griest           OLIVE         88         PL B205 553         K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki         (MINN, UCSB)           SREDNICKI         88         NP B310 693         M. Srednicki, R. Watkins, K.A. Olive         (MINN, UCSB)           ALBAJAR         87D         PL B198 261         C. Albajar et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ANSARI         87D         PL B186 435         R. Ansari et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ARNOLD         87         PL B188 138         K.W. Ng, K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki         (MINN, UCSB)           TUTS         87         PL B186 233         P.M. Tuts et al.         (CUSB Collab.)           ALBRECHT         86C         PL 167B 360         H. Albrecht et al.         (ARGUS Collab.)           BADIER         86         ZPHY C31 21         J. Badier et al.         (NA3 Collab.)           BANETT         86         PR D34 2206         T.K. Gaisser, G. Steigman, S. Tilav         (BART, DELA)           VOLOSHIN         86         PR D31 1581         S. Dawson, E. Eichten, C. Quigg         (LBL, UCSC+)           COOPER         85B         PR L 160B 212         A.M. Cooper-Sarkar et al.				
OLIVE         88         PL B205 553         K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki         (MINN, UCSB)           SREDNICKI         88         NP B310 693         M. Srednicki, R. Watkins, K.A. Olive         (MINN, UCSB)           ALBAJAR         87D         PL B198 261         C. Albajar et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ANSARI         87D         PL B195 613         R. Ansari et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ARNOLD         87         PL B186 435         R.G. Arnold et al.         (BRUX, DUUC, LOUC+)           NG         87         PL B186 233         P.M. Tuts et al.         (CUSB Collab.)           ALBRECHT         86C         PL 167B 360         H. Albrecht et al.         (ARGUS Collab.)           BADIER         86         ZPHY C31 21         J. Badier et al.         (NA3 Collab.)           BARNETT         86         NP B267 625         R.M. Barnett, H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane         (LBL, UCSC+)           GAISSER         86         PR D34 2206         T.K. Gaisser, G. Steigman, S. Tilav         (BART, DELA)           VOLOSHIN         86         SJNP 43 495         T.T.         G. Steigman, S. Tilav         (BART, DELA)           VOLOSHIN         86         PR D31 1581         S. Dawson, E. Eichten, C. Quigg         (LBL, FNAL)           FARRAR			NP B307 883	
SREDNICKI         88         NP B310 693         M. Srednicki, R. Watkins, K.A. Olive         (MINN, UCSB)           ALBAJAR         87D         PL B198 261         C. Albajar et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ANSARI         87D         PL B195 613         R. Ansari et al.         (UA2 Collab.)           ARNOLD         87         PL B186 435         R.G. Arnold et al.         (BRUX, DUUC, LOUC+)           NG         87         PL B186 233         P.M. Tuts et al.         (CUSB Collab.)           ALBRECHT         86C         PL 1678 360         H. Albrecht et al.         (ARGUS Collab.)           BADIER         86         ZPHY C31 21         J. Badier et al.         (NA3 Collab.)           BARNETT         86         PR D34 2206         T.K. Gaisser, G. Steigman, S. Tilav         (BART, DELA)           VOLOSHIN         86         SJNP 43 495         M.B. Voloshin, L.B. Okun         (ITEP)           Translated from YAF 43         779.         (WA66 Collab.)           DAWSON         85         PL 160B 212         A.M. Cooper-Sarkar et al.         (WA66 Collab.)           DAWSON         85         PRD 1117 75         H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane         (LANL, UCSC)           HABER         85         PRL 53 1314         R.C. Ball et al.         (MI				
ALBAJAR 87D PL B198 261 C. Albajar et al. (UA1 Collab.) ANSARI 87D PL B195 613 R. Ansari et al. (UA2 Collab.) ARNOLD 87 PL B186 435 R.G. Arnold et al. (BRUX, DUUC, LOUC, LOUC, NG 87 PL B188 138 K.W. Ng, K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki (MINN, UCSB) TUTS 87 PL B186 233 P.M. Tuts et al. (CUSB Collab.) ALBRECHT 86C PL 167B 360 H. Albrecht et al. (ARGUS Collab.) BADIER 86 ZPHY C31 21 J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) BARNETT 86 NP B267 625 R.M. Barnett, H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane (LBL, UCSC+) GAISSER 86 PR D34 2206 T.K. Gaisser, G. Steigman, S. Tilav (BART, DELA) VOLOSHIN 86 SJNP 43 495 M.B. Voloshin, L.B. Okun (ITEP) Translated from YAF 43 779.  COOPER 85B PL 160B 212 A.M. Cooper-Sarkar et al. (WA66 Collab.) DAWSON 85 PR D31 1581 S. Dawson, E. Eichten, C. Quigg (LBL, FNAL) FARRAR 85 PRL 55 895 G.R. Farrar (RUTG) GOLDMAN 85 Physica 15D 181 T. Goldman, H.E. Haber (LANL, UCSC) HABER 85 PRL 117 75 H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane (UCSC, MICH) BALL 84 PRL 53 1314 R.C. Ball et al. (MICH, FIRZ, OSU, FNAL+) BARBER 84B PL 139B 427 J.S. Barber, R.E. Shrock (STON) BRICK 84 PR D30 1134 D.H. Brick et al. (BROW, CAVE, IIT+) ELLIS 84 PRD 30 1134 D.H. Brick et al. (ERW, CAVE, IIT+) ELLIS 84 PRD 30 1134 D.H. Brick et al. (ERW, CAVE, IIT+) ELLIS 84 PRD 30 1134 D.H. Brick et al. (CERN) FARRAR 84 PRL 53 1029 G.R. Farrar (RUTG) BERGSMA 83C PL 121B 429 F. Bergsma et al. (CHARM Collab.) CHANOWITZ 83 PR L 26B 225 M.S. Chanowitz, S. Sharpe (UCS, LBL) GOLDBERG 83 PRL 50 1419 H. Goldberg (NEAS) HOFFMAN 83 PR D28 660 C.M. Hoffman et al. (LANL, ARZ5) KRAUSS 83 NP B227 556 L.M. Krauss (HARV) VYSOTSKII 83 SJNP 37 948 M.I. Vysotsky (ITEP) Translated from YAF 37 1597. KANE 82 PL 112B 227 G.L. Kane, J.P. Leveille CABIBBO 81 PL 105B 155 N. Cabibbo, G.R. Farrar, L. Maiani (ROMA, RUTG) FARRAR 78 PL 76B 575 G.R. Farrar, P. Fayet (CIT)				
ANSARI 87D PL B195 613 R. Ansari et al. (UA2 Collab.) ARNOLD 87 PL B186 435 R.G. Arnold et al. (BRUX, DUUC, LOUC+) NG 87 PL B188 138 K.W. Ng, K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki (MINN, UCSB) TUTS 87 PL B186 233 P.M. Tuts et al. (CUSB Collab.) ALBRECHT 86C PL 167B 360 H. Albrecht et al. (ARGUS Collab.) BADIER 86 ZPHY C31 21 J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) BARNETT 86 NP B26F 625 R.M. Barnett, H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane (LBL, UCSC+) GAISSER 86 PR D34 2206 T.K. Gaisser, G. Steigman, S. Tilav (BART, DELA) VOLOSHIN 86 SJNP 43 495 M.B. Voloshin, L.B. Okun (ITEP) Translated from YAF 43 779.  COOPER 85B PL 160B 212 A.M. Cooper-Sarkar et al. (WA66 Collab.) DAWSON 85 PR D31 1581 S. Dawson, E. Eichten, C. Quigg (LBL, FNAL) FARRAR 85 PRL 55 895 G.R. Farrar (RUTG) GOLDMAN 85 PRPL 117 75 H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane (UCSC, MICH) BALL 84 PRL 53 1314 R.C. Ball et al. (MICH, FIRZ, OSU, FNAL+) BARBER 84B PL 139B 427 J.S. Barber, R.E. Shrock BARBER 84B PRL 53 1029 G.R. Farrar (RUTG) BERGSMA 83C PL 121B 429 F. Bergsma et al. (CHANL, UCSC) GOLDBERG 83 PRL 50 1419 H. Goldberg (NEAS) HOFFMAN 83 PR D28 660 C.M. Hoffman et al. (CHANL, ARZS) KRAUSS 83 NP B227 556 L.M. Krauss (HARV) VYSOTSKII 83 SJNP 37 948 M.I. Vysotsky (ITEP) Translated from YAF 37 1597. KANE 82 PL 1126B 227 G.L. Kane, J.P. Leveille CABIBBO 81 PL 1058 155 N. Cabiobo, G.R. Farrar, P. Fayet (CIT)				
ARNOLD         87         PL B186 435         R.G. Arnold et al.         (BRUX, DUUC, LOUC+)           NG         87         PL B188 138         K.W. Ng, K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki         (MINN, UCSB)           TUTS         87         PL B186 233         P.M. Tuts et al.         (CUSB Collab.)           ALBRECHT         86C         PL 167B 360         H. Albrecht et al.         (ARGUS Collab.)           BADIER         86         ZPHY C31 21         J. Badier et al.         (NA3 Collab.)           BARNETT         86         NP B267 625         R.M. Barnett, H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane         (LBL, UCSC+)           GAISSER         86         PR D34 2206         T.K. Gaisser, G. Steigman, S. Tilav         (BART, DELA)           VOLOSHIN         86         SJNP 43 495         M.B. Voloshin, L.B. Okun         (ITEP)           Translated from YAF 43         779.         (WA66 Collab.)           DAWSON         85         PR D31 1581         S. Dawson, E. Eichten, C. Quigg         (LBL, FNAL)           FARRAR         85         PRL 55 895         G.R. Farrar         (RUTG)           GOLDMAN         85         PRL 53 1314         R.C. Ball et al.         (MICH, FIRZ, OSU, FNAL+)           BARBER         84B         PL 139B 427         J.S. Barber, R.E. Shrock				
NG         87         PL B188 138         K.W. Ng, K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki         (MINN, UCSB)           TUTS         87         PL B186 233         P.M. Tuts et al.         (CUSB Collab.)           ALBRECHT         86C         PL 167B 360         H. Albrecht et al.         (ARGUS Collab.)           BADIER         86         ZPHY C31 21         J. Badier et al.         (NA3 Collab.)           BARNETT         86         NP B267 625         R.M. Barnett, H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane         (LBL, UCSC+)           GAISSER         86         PR D34 2206         T.K. Gaisser, G. Steigman, S. Tilav         (BART, DELA)           VOLOSHIN         86         SJNP 43 495         M.B. Voloshin, L.B. Okun         (ITEP)           Translated from YAF 43         779.         (OOPER         85B         PL 160B 212         A.M. Cooper-Sarkar et al.         (WA66 Collab.)           DAWSON         85         PR D31 1581         S. Dawson, E. Eichten, C. Quigg         (LBL, FNAL)           FARRAR         85         PRL 55 895         G.R. Farrar         (RUTG)           GOLDMAN         85         PRPL 117 75         H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane         (LANL, UCSC)           HABER         84         PL 139B 427         J.S. Barber, R.E. Shrock         (STON) <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>( )</td></t<>				( )
ALBRECHT         86C         PL 167B 360         H. Albrecht et al.         (ARGUS Collab.)           BADIER         86         ZPHY C31 21         J. Badier et al.         (NA3 Collab.)           BARNETT         86         NP B267 625         R.M. Barnett, H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane         (LBL, UCSC+)           GAISSER         86         PR D34 2206         T.K. Gaisser, G. Steigman, S. Tilav         (BART, DELA)           VOLOSHIN         86         PS D34 2206         T.K. Gaisser, G. Steigman, S. Tilav         (BART, DELA)           VOLOSHIN         86         PS D34 2206         T.K. Gaisser, G. Steigman, S. Tilav         (BART, DELA)           VOLOSHIN         86         PS D4 43 495         M.B. Voloshin, L.B. Okun         (ITEP)           Translated from YAF 43 779.         T. GOOD         (WA66 Collab.)           DAWSON         85         PR 160B 212         A.M. Cooper-Sarkar et al.         (WA66 Collab.)           DAWSON         85         PRL 55 895         G.R. Farrar         (RUTG)           GOLDMAN         85         PRL 55 895         G.R. Farrar         (RUTG)           GOLDMAN         85         PNPL 53 1314         R.C. Ball et al.         (MICH, FIRZ, OSU, FNAL+)           BALL         84         PRL 53 1314         R.C. Ball et al.<	NG	87	PL B188 138	
BADIER         86         ZPHY C31 21         J. Badier et al.         (NA3 Collab.)           BARNETT         86         NP B267 625         R.M. Barnett, H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane         (LBL, UCSC+)           GAISSER         86         PR D34 2206         T.K. Gaisser, G. Steigman, S. Tilav         (BART, DELA)           VOLOSHIN         86         SJNP 43 495         M.B. Voloshin, L.B. Okun         (ITEP)           Translated from YAF 43         779.         (WA66 Collab.)           COOPER         85B         PL 160B 212         A.M. Cooper-Sarkar et al.         (WA66 Collab.)           DAWSON         85         PR D31 1581         S. Dawson, E. Eichten, C. Quigg         (LBL, FNAL)           FARRAR         85         PRL 55 895         G.R. Farrar         (RUTG)           GOLDMAN         85         Physica 15D 181         T. Goldman, H.E. Haber         (LANL, UCSC)           HABER         85         PRPL 117 75         H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane         (UCSC, MICH)           BALL         84         PRL 53 1314         R.C. Ball et al.         (MICH, FIRZ, OSU, FNAL+)           BARBER         84B         PL 1398 427         J.S. Barber, R.E. Shrock         (STON)           BRICK         84         NP B238 453         J. Ellis et al. <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				
BARNETT         86         NP B267 625         R.M. Barnett, H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane         (LBL, UCSC+)           GAISSER         86         PR D34 2206         T.K. Gaisser, G. Steigman, S. Tilav         (BART, DELA)           VOLOSHIN         86         SJNP 43 495         M.B. Voloshin, L.B. Okun         (ITEP)           Translated from YAF 43 779.         Translated from YAF 43 779.         (WA66 Collab.)           COOPER         85B PL 160B 212         A.M. Cooper-Sarkar et al.         (WA66 Collab.)           DAWSON         85 PR D31 1581         S. Dawson, E. Eichten, C. Quigg         (LBL, FNAL)           FARRAR         85 PRL 55 895         G.R. Farrar         (RUTG)           GOLDMAN         85 PRPL 117 75         H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane         (UCSC, MICH)           BALL         84 PRL 53 1314         R.C. Ball et al.         (MICH, FIRZ, OSU, FNAL+)           BARBER         84B PL 139B 427         J.S. Barber, R.E. Shrock         (STON)           BRICK         84 PR D30 1134         D.H. Brick et al.         (BROW, CAVE, IIT+)           ELLIS         84 NP B238 453         J. Ellis et al.         (CERN)           FARRAR         84 PRL 53 1029         G.R. Farrar         (CHARM Collab.)           CHANOWITZ         83 PRL 50 1419         H. Goldberg <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>`</td></t<>				`
GAISSER         86         PR D34 2206         T.K. Gaisser, G. Steigman, S. Tilav         (BART, DELA)           VOLOSHIN         86         SJNP 43 495         M.B. Voloshin, L.B. Okun         (ITEP)           Translated from YAF 43 779.         A.M. Cooper-Sarkar et al.         (WA66 Collab.)           DAWSON         85         PR D31 1581         S. Dawson, E. Eichten, C. Quigg         (LBL, FNAL)           FARRAR         85         PRL 55 895         G.R. Farrar         (RUTG)           GOLDMAN         85         PRPL 117 75         H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane         (UCSC, MICH)           BALL         84         PRL 53 1314         R.C. Ball et al.         (MICH, FIRZ, OSU, FNAL+)           BARBER         84B         PL 1398 427         J.S. Barber, R.E. Shrock         (STON)           BRICK         84         PR D30 1134         D.H. Brick et al.         (BROW, CAVE, IIT+)           ELLIS         84         NP B238 453         J. Ellis et al.         (CERN)           FARRAR         84         PRL 53 1029         G.R. Farrar         (RUTG)           BERGSMA         83C         PL 121B 429         F. Bergsma et al.         (CHARM Collab.)           CHANOWITZ         83         PRL 50 1419         H. Goldberg         (NEAS)				
VOLOSHIN         86         SJNP 43 495 Translated from YAF 43 779.         M.B. Voloshin, L.B. Ökun         (ITEP) (ITEP) (ITEP) (ITEP) (ITER) (ITEP) (ITER) (ITEP) (ITER) (ITER) (ITER) (ITER) (ITEP) (ITER) (I				
COOPER         85B         PL 160B 212         A.M. Cooper-Sarkar et al.         (WA66 Collab.)           DAWSON         85         PR D31 1581         S. Dawson, E. Eichten, C. Quigg (LBL, FNAL)           FARRAR         85         PRL 55 895         G.R. Farrar (RUTG)           GOLDMAN         85         PRPL 55 895         G.R. Farrar (RUTG)           GOLDMAN         85         PRPL 117 75         H.E. Haber (G.L. Kane (UCSC, MICH)           HABER         85         PRPL 117 75         H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane (UCSC, MICH)           BALL         84         PRL 53 1314         R.C. Ball et al. (MICH, FIRZ, OSU, FNAL+)           BARBER         84B         PL 139B 427         J.S. Barber, R.E. Shrock (STON)           BRICK         84         PR D30 1134         D.H. Brick et al. (BROW, CAVE, IIT+)           ELIS         84         NP B238 453         J. Ellis et al. (CERN)           FARRAR         84         PRL 53 1029         G.R. Farrar         (RUTG)           BERGSMA         83C         PL 121B 429         F. Bergsma et al. (CHARM Collab.)         (CHARM Collab.)           CHANOWITZ         83         PR L 50 1419         H. Goldberg         (NEAS)           HOFFMAN         83         PR D28 660         C.M. Hoffman et al. (LANL, ARZS)				- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
DAWSON         85         PR D31 1581         S. Dawson, E. Eichten, C. Quigg         (LBL, FNAL)           FARRAR         85         PRL 55 895         G.R. Farrar         (RUTG)           GOLDMAN         85         Physica 15D 181         T. Goldman, H.E. Haber         (LANL, UCSC)           HABER         85         PRPL 117 75         H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane         (UCSC, MICH)           BALL         84         PRL 53 1314         R.C. Ball et al.         (MICH, FIRZ, OSU, FNAL+)           BARBER         84B         PL 139B 427         J.S. Barber, R.E. Shrock         (STON)           BRICK         84         PR D30 1134         D.H. Brick et al.         (BROW, CAVE, IIT+)           ELLIS         84         NP B238 453         J. Ellis et al.         (CERN)           FARRAR         84         PRL 53 1029         G.R. Farrar         (RUTG)           BERGSMA         83C         PL 121B 429         F. Bergsma et al.         (CHARM Collab.)           CHANOWITZ         83         PL 126B 225         M.S. Chanowitz, S. Sharpe         (UCB, LBL)           GOLDBERG         83         PRL 50 1419         H. Goldberg         (NEAS)           HOFFMAN         83         PR D28 660         C.M. Hoffman et al.         (LANL, ARZS)				779.
FARRAR         85         PRL 55 895         G.R. Farrar         (RUTG)           GOLDMAN         85         Physica 15D 181         T. Goldman, H.E. Haber         (LANL, UCSC)           HABER         85         PRPL 117 75         H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane         (UCSC, MICH)           BALL         84         PRL 53 1314         R.C. Ball et al.         (MICH, FIRZ, OSU, FNAL+)           BARBER         84B         PL 139B 427         J.S. Barber, R.E. Shrock         (STON)           BRICK         84         PR D30 1134         D.H. Brick et al.         (BROW, CAVE, IIT+)           ELLIS         84         NP B238 453         J. Ellis et al.         (CERN)           FARRAR         84         PRL 53 1029         G.R. Farrar         (RUTG)           BERGSMA         83C         PL 121B 429         F. Bergsma et al.         (CHARM Collab.)           CHANOWITZ         83         PL 126B 225         M.S. Chanowitz, S. Sharpe         (UCB, LBL)           GOLDBERG         83         PRL 50 1419         H. Goldberg         (NEAS)           HOFFMAN         83         PR D28 660         C.M. Hoffman et al.         (LANL, ARZS)           KRAUSS         83         NP B227 556         L.M. Krauss         (HARV)				
GOLDMAN         85         Physica 15D 181         T. Goldman, H.E. Haber         (LANL, UCSC)           HABER         85         PRPL 117 75         H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane         (UCSC, MICH)           BALL         84         PRL 53 1314         R.C. Ball et al.         (MICH, FIRZ, OSU, FNAL+)           BARBER         84B         PL 1398 427         J.S. Barber, R.E. Shrock         (STON)           BRICK         84         PR D30 1134         D.H. Brick et al.         (BROW, CAVE, IIT+)           ELLIS         84         NP B238 453         J. Ellis et al.         (CERN)           FARRAR         84         PRL 53 1029         G.R. Farrar         (RUTG)           BERGSMA         83C         PL 121B 429         F. Bergsma et al.         (CHARM Collab.)           CHANOWITZ         83         PL 126B 225         M.S. Chanowitz, S. Sharpe         (UCB, LBL)           GOLDBERG         83         PRL 50 1419         H. Goldberg         (NEAS)           HOFFMAN         83         PR D28 660         C.M. Hoffman et al.         (LANL, ARZS)           KRAUSS         83         NP B227 556         L.M. Krauss         (HARV)           VYSOTSKII         83         SJNP 37 48         M.I. Vysotsky         (ITEP)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
HABER         85         PRPL 117 75         H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane         (UCSC, MICH)           BALL         84         PRL 53 1314         R.C. Ball et al.         (MICH, FIRZ, OSU, FNAL+)           BARBER         84B         PL 139B 427         J.S. Barber, R.E. Shrock         (STON)           BRICK         84         PR D30 1134         D.H. Brick et al.         (BROW, CAVE, IIT+)           ELLIS         84         NP B238 453         J. Ellis et al.         (CERN)           FARRAR         84         PRL 53 1029         G.R. Farrar         (RUTG)           BERGSMA         83C         PL 121B 429         F. Bergsma et al.         (CHARM Collab.)           CHANOWITZ         83         PL 126B 225         M.S. Chanowitz, S. Sharpe         (UCB, LBL)           GOLDBERG         83         PRL 50 1419         H. Goldberg         (NEAS)           HOFFMAN         83         PR D28 660         C.M. Hoffman et al.         (LANL, ARZS)           KRAUSS         83         NP B227 556         L.M. Krauss         (HARV)           VYSOTSKII         83         SJNP 37 948         M.I. Vysotsky         (ITEP)           Translated from YAF 37         T597.         KANE         R.E. Kane, J.P. Leveille         (MICH)      <				( )
BARBER         84B         PL 139B 427         J.S. Barber, R.E. Shrock         (STON)           BRICK         84         PR D30 1134         D.H. Brick et al.         (BROW, CAVE, IIT+)           ELIS         84         NP B238 453         J. Ellis et al.         (CERN)           FARRAR         84         PRL 53 1029         G.R. Farrar         (RUTG)           BERGSMA         83C         PL 121B 429         F. Bergsma et al.         (CHARM Collab.)           CHANOWITZ         83         PL 126B 225         M.S. Chanowitz, S. Sharpe         (UCB, LBL)           GOLDBERG         83         PRL 50 1419         H. Goldberg         (NEAS)           HOFFMAN         83         PR D28 660         C.M. Hoffman et al.         (LANL, ARZS)           KRAUSS         83         NP B227 556         L.M. Krauss         (HARV)           VYSOTSKII         83         SJNP 37 948         M.I. Vysotsky         (ITEP)           KANE         82         PL 112B 227         G.L. Kane, J.P. Leveille         (MICH)           CABIBBO         81         PL 105B 155         N. Cabibbo, G.R. Farrar, L. Maiani         (ROMA, RUTG)           FARRAR         78         PL 76B 575         G.R. Farrar, P. Fayet         (CIT)			3	·
BRICK         84         PR D30 1134         D.H. Brick et al.         (BROW, CAVE, IIT+)           ELLIS         84         NP B238 453         J. Ellis et al.         (CERN)           FARRAR         84         PRL 53 1029         G.R. Farrar         (RUTG)           BERGSMA         83C         PL 121B 429         F. Bergsma et al.         (CHARM Collab.)           CHANOWITZ         83         PL 126B 225         M.S. Chanowitz, S. Sharpe         (UCB, LBL)           GOLDBERG         83         PRL 50 1419         H. Goldberg         (NEAS)           HOFFMAN         83         PR D28 660         C.M. Hoffman et al.         (LANL, ARZS)           KRAUSS         83         NP B227 556         L.M. Krauss         (HARV)           VYSOTSKII         83         SJNP 37 948         M.I. Vysotsky         (ITEP)           Translated from YAF 37         T597.         KANE         82         PL 112B 227         G.L. Kane, J.P. Leveille         (MICH)           CABIBBO         81         PL 105B 155         N. Cabibbo, G.R. Farrar, L. Maiani         (ROMA, RUTG)           FARRAR         78         PL 76B 575         G.R. Farrar, P. Fayet         (CIT)	BALL	84	PRL 53 1314	
ELLIS         84         NP B238 453         J. Ellis et al.         (CERN)           FARRAR         84         PRL 53 1029         G.R. Farrar         (RUTG)           BERGSMA         83C         PL 121B 429         F. Bergsma et al.         (CHARM Collab.)           CHANOWITZ         83         PL 126B 225         M.S. Chanowitz, S. Sharpe         (UCB, LBL)           GOLDBERG         83         PRL 50 1419         H. Goldberg         (NEAS)           HOFFMAN         83         PR D28 660         C.M. Hoffman et al.         (LANL, ARZS)           KRAUSS         83         NP B227 556         L.M. Krauss         (HARV)           VYSOTSKII         83         SJNP 37 948         M.I. Vysotsky         (ITEP)           Translated from YAF 37         T597.         KANE         82         PL 112B 227         G.L. Kane, J.P. Leveille         (MICH)           CABIBBO         81         PL 105B 155         N. Cabibbo, G.R. Farrar, L. Maiani         (ROMA, RUTG)           FARRAR         78         PL 76B 575         G.R. Farrar, P. Fayet         (CIT)		-		
FARRAR         84         PRL 53 1029         G.R. Farrar         (RUTG)           BERGSMA         83C         PL 121B 429         F. Bergsma et al.         (CHARM Collab.)           CHANOWITZ         83         PL 126B 225         M.S. Chanowitz, S. Sharpe         (UCB, LBL)           GOLDBERG         83         PRL 50 1419         H. Goldberg         (NEAS)           HOFFMAN         83         PR D28 660         C.M. Hoffman et al.         (LANL, ARZS)           KRAUSS         83         NP B227 556         L.M. Krauss         (HARV)           VYSOTSKII         83         SJNP 37 948         M.I. Vysotsky         (ITEP)           Translated from YAF 37         1597.         KANE         82         PL 112B 227         G.L. Kane, J.P. Leveille         (MICH)           CABIBBO         81         PL 105B 155         N. Cabibbo, G.R. Farrar, L. Maiani         (ROMA, RUTG)           FARRAR         78         PL 76B 575         G.R. Farrar, P. Fayet         (CIT)		-		
BERGSMA         83C         PL 121B 429         F. Bergsma et al.         (CHARM Collab.)           CHANOWITZ         83         PL 126B 225         M.S. Chanowitz, S. Sharpe         (UCB, LBL)           GOLDBERG         83         PRL 50 1419         H. Goldberg         (NEAS)           HOFFMAN         83         PR D28 660         C.M. Hoffman et al.         (LANL, ARZS)           KRAUSS         83         NP B227 556         L.M. Krauss         (HARV)           VYSOTSKII         83         SJNP 37 948         M.I. Vysotsky         (ITEP)           Translated from YAF 37 1597.         KANE         82         PL 112B 227         G.L. Kane, J.P. Leveille         (MICH)           CABIBBO         81         PL 105B 155         N. Cabibbo, G.R. Farrar, L. Maiani         (ROMA, RUTG)           FARRAR         78         PL 76B 575         G.R. Farrar, P. Fayet         (CIT)				
CHANOWITZ         83         PL 126B 225         M.S. Chanowitz, S. Sharpe         (UCB, LBL)           GOLDBERG         83         PRL 50 1419         H. Goldberg         (NEAS)           HOFFMAN         83         PR D28 660         C.M. Hoffman et al.         (LANL, ARZS)           KRAUSS         83         NP B227 556         L.M. Krauss         (HARV)           VYSOTSKII         83         SJNP 37 948         M.I. Vysotsky         (ITEP)           Translated from YAF 37 1597.         KANE         82         PL 112B 227         G.L. Kane, J.P. Leveille         (MICH)           CABIBBO         81         PL 105B 155         N. Cabibbo, G.R. Farrar, L. Maiani         (ROMA, RUTG)           FARRAR         78         PL 76B 575         G.R. Farrar, P. Fayet         (CIT)				
GOLDBERG         83         PRL 50 1419         H. Goldberg         (NEAS)           HOFFMAN         83         PR D28 660         C.M. Hoffman et al.         (LANL, ARZS)           KRAUSS         83         NP B227 556         L.M. Krauss         (HARV)           VYSOTSKII         83         SJNP 37 948         M.I. Vysotsky         (ITEP)           Translated from YAF 37 1597.         Translated from YAF 37 1597.         (MICH)           KANE         82         PL 112B 227         G.L. Kane, J.P. Leveille         (MICH)           CABIBBO         81         PL 105B 155         N. Cabibbo, G.R. Farrar, L. Maiani         (ROMA, RUTG)           FARRAR         78         PL 76B 575         G.R. Farrar, P. Fayet         (CIT)				
KRAUSS VYSOTSKII       83       NP B227 556       L.M. Krauss       (HARV)         VYSOTSKII       83       SJNP 37 948       M.I. Vysotsky       (ITEP)         Translated from YAF 37 1597.       KANE       82       PL 112B 227       G.L. Kane, J.P. Leveille       (MICH)         CABIBBO       81       PL 105B 155       N. Cabibbo, G.R. Farrar, L. Maiani       (ROMA, RUTG)         FARRAR       78       PL 76B 575       G.R. Farrar, P. Fayet       (CIT)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
VYSOTSKII         83         SJNP 37 948 rranslated from YAF 37 1597.         M.I. Vysotsky         (ITEP)           KANE         82         PL 112B 227 G.L. Kane, J.P. Leveille         (MICH)           CABIBBO         81         PL 105B 155 N. Cabibbo, G.R. Farrar, L. Maiani         (ROMA, RUTG)           FARRAR         78         PL 76B 575 G.R. Farrar, P. Fayet         (CIT)	HOFFMAN	83	PR D28 660	C.M. Hoffman <i>et al.</i> (LANL, ARZS)
Translated from YAF 37 1597.  KANE 82 PL 112B 227 G.L. Kane, J.P. Leveille (MICH)  CABIBBO 81 PL 105B 155 N. Cabibbo, G.R. Farrar, L. Maiani (ROMA, RUTG)  FARRAR 78 PL 76B 575 G.R. Farrar, P. Fayet (CIT)				`
KANE       82       PL 112B 227       G.L. Kane, J.P. Leveille       (MICH)         CABIBBO       81       PL 105B 155       N. Cabibbo, G.R. Farrar, L. Maiani       (ROMA, RUTG)         FARRAR       78       PL 76B 575       G.R. Farrar, P. Fayet       (CIT)	VYSUTSKII	<b>ช</b> 3		
CABIBBO 81 PL 105B 155 N. Cabibbo, G.R. Farrar, L. Maiani (ROMA, RUTG) FARRAR 78 PL 76B 575 G.R. Farrar, P. Fayet (CIT)	KANE	82		
Also PL 79B 442 G.R. Farrar, P. Fayet (CIT)		78		
	Also		PL 79B 442	G.R. Farrar, P. Fayet (CIT)