

$\Delta(1900)$ $1/2^-$ $I(J^P) = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$ Status: $\ast\ast$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Some obsolete results published before 1980 were last included in our 2006 edition, Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006). Some further obsolete results published before 1984 were last included in our 2006 edition, Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006).

The latest GWU analysis (ARNDT 06) finds no evidence for this resonance.

 $\Delta(1900)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1840 to 1920 (≈ 1860) OUR ESTIMATE			
1840 ± 30	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
1920 ± 24	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
1890 ± 50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1908 ± 30	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1802 ± 87	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
1918.5 ± 23.0	CHEW	80	BPWA $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$

 $\Delta(1900)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
300 to 263 (≈ 230) OUR ESTIMATE			
300 ± 45	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
263 ± 39	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
170 ± 50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
140 ± 40	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
48 ± 45	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
93.5 ± 54.0	CHEW	80	BPWA $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$

 $\Delta(1900)$ POLE POSITION**REAL PART**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1845 ± 25	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
1780	¹ HOEHLER	93	SPED $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1870 ± 40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1795	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
not seen	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
2029 or 2025	² LONGACRE	78	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

-2×IMAGINARY PART

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
300±45	ANISOVICH 12A	DPWA	Multichannel
180±50	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
58	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
not seen	ARNDT 91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
164 or 163	² LONGACRE 78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

Δ(1900) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE**MODULUS |r|**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
10±3	ANISOVICH 12A	DPWA	Multichannel
10±3	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

PHASE θ

<u>VALUE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
−125±20	ANISOVICH 12A	DPWA	Multichannel
+ 20±40	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

Δ(1900) INELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

The “normalized residue” is the residue divided by Γ_{pole} .

Normalized residue in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1900) \rightarrow \Sigma K$

<u>MODULUS (%)</u>	<u>PHASE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
7±2	−50 ± 30	ANISOVICH 12A	DPWA	Multichannel

Normalized residue in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1900) \rightarrow \Delta\pi, D\text{-wave}$

<u>MODULUS (%)</u>	<u>PHASE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
12 ⁺⁸ _{−5}	110 ± 20	ANISOVICH 12A	DPWA	Multichannel

Δ(1900) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\pi$	10–30 %
Γ_2	ΣK	
Γ_3	$N\pi\pi$	
Γ_4	$\Delta\pi$	
Γ_5	$\Delta(1232)\pi, D\text{-wave}$	
Γ_6	$N\rho$	
Γ_7	$N\rho, S=1/2, S\text{-wave}$	
Γ_8	$N\rho, S=3/2, D\text{-wave}$	
Γ_9	$N(1440)\pi, S\text{-wave}$	
Γ_{10}	$N\gamma, \text{helicity}=1/2$	

$\Delta(1900)$ BRANCHING RATIOS **$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** VALUE (%)

	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7 ± 3	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
41 ± 4	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
10 ± 3	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
8 ± 4	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

33 ± 10	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
28	CHEW	80	BPWA $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$

 $(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1900) \rightarrow \Sigma K$ VALUE

	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.03	CANDLIN	84	DPWA $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^+$

 $(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1900) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi$, D-waveVALUE

	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
+0.25 ± 0.07	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$

 $\Gamma(\Delta(1232)\pi, D\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (%)

	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
15 ⁺⁵⁰ ₋₁₀	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
28 ± 1	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel

 $(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1900) \rightarrow N\rho, S=1/2, S\text{-wave}$ VALUE

	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.14 ± 0.11	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$

 $\Gamma(N\rho, S=1/2, S\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (%)

	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
30 ± 2	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel

 $(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1900) \rightarrow N\rho, S=3/2, D\text{-wave}$ VALUE

	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.37 ± 0.07	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$

 $\Gamma(N\rho, S=3/2, D\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE (%)

	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5 ± 1	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel

 $(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1900) \rightarrow N(1440)\pi, S\text{-wave}$ VALUE

	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.16 ± 0.11	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(N(1440)\pi, S\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4±1	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel

Δ(1900) PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

Papers on γN amplitudes predating 1981 may be found in our 2006 edition,
Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006).

Δ(1900) → Nγ, helicity-1/2 amplitude A_{1/2}

VALUE (GeV ^{-1/2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.059±0.016	³ ANISOVICH 12A	DPWA	Phase = (60 ± 25)°
-0.004±0.016	CRAWFORD 83	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.029±0.008	AWAJI 81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

Δ(1900) FOOTNOTES

¹ See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

² LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.

³ This ANISOVICH 12A value is the complex helicity amplitude at the pole position.

Δ(1900) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

ANISOVICH	12A	EPJ A48 15	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, PNPI)
ARNDT	06	PR C74 045205	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU)
PDG	06	JPG 33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
VRANA	00	PRPL 328 181	T.P. Vrana, S.A. Dytman,, T.-S.H. Lee	(PITT+)
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also		PR D30 904	D.M. Manley <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, TELE) IJP
CANDLIN	84	NP B238 477	D.J. Candlin <i>et al.</i>	(EDIN, RAL, LOWC)
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	R.L. Crawford, W.T. Morton	(GLAS)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	N. Awaji, R. Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also		NP B197 365	K. Fujii <i>et al.</i>	(NAGO)
CHEW	80	Toronto Conf. 123	D.M. Chew	(LBL) IJP
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also		PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJP
Also		Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)