

N(1700) 3/2⁻ $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$ Status: ***

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982). Some further obsolete results published before 1984 were last included in our 2006 edition, Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006).

The various partial-wave analyses do not agree very well.

The latest GWU analysis (ARNDT 06) finds no evidence for this resonance.

N(1700) BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1650 to 1750 (\approx 1700) OUR ESTIMATE			
1790 \pm 40	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
1737 \pm 44	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1675 \pm 25	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1731 \pm 15	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1817 \pm 22	BATINIC	10	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
1740 \pm 20	THOMA	08	DPWA Multichannel
1736 \pm 33	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
1650	SAXON	80	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1690 to 1710	BAKER	78	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1719	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1670 \pm 10	BAKER	77	IPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1690	BAKER	77	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1660	LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
1710	LONGACRE	75	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

N(1700) BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
100 to 250 (\approx 150) OUR ESTIMATE			
390 \pm 140	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
250 \pm 220	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
90 \pm 40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
110 \pm 30	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
134 \pm 37	BATINIC	10	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
180 \pm 30	THOMA	08	DPWA Multichannel
175 \pm 133	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
70	SAXON	80	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
70 to 100	BAKER	78	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$

126	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
90± 25	¹ BAKER	77	IPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
100	¹ BAKER	77	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
600	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
300	³ LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

N(1700) POLE POSITION**REAL PART**

VALUE (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	--	-------------	------	---------

1650 to 1750 (≈ 1700) OUR ESTIMATE

1770±40		ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
1700	⁴ HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1660±30	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1806±23	BATINIC	10	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
1710±15	THOMA	08	DPWA	Multichannel
1704	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
not seen	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
1710 or 1678	⁵ LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
1616 or 1613	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

-2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	--	-------------	------	---------

100 to 300 OUR ESTIMATE

420±180		ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
120	⁴ HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
90± 40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
129± 33	BATINIC	10	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
155± 25	THOMA	08	DPWA	Multichannel
156	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
not seen	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
607 or 567	⁵ LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
577 or 575	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

N(1700) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE**MODULUS | r |**

VALUE (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	--	-------------	------	---------

5 to 50 OUR ESTIMATE

50±40		ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
5	HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
6± 3	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
7	BATINIC	10	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-120 to 20 OUR ESTIMATE			
-100±40	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
0±50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
- 34	BATINIC	10	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

N(1700) INELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

The “normalized residue” is the residue divided by Γ_{pole} .

Normalized residue in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow \Delta\pi, S\text{-wave}$

MODULUS (%)	PHASE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
34±21	-60 ± 40	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel

Normalized residue in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow \Delta\pi, D\text{-wave}$

MODULUS (%)	PHASE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8±6	90 ± 35	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel

N(1700) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 N\pi$	(12 ± 5) %
$\Gamma_2 N\eta$	(0.0±1.0) %
$\Gamma_3 \Lambda K$	< 3 %
$\Gamma_4 \Sigma K$	
$\Gamma_5 N\pi\pi$	85–95 %
$\Gamma_6 \Delta\pi$	
$\Gamma_7 \Delta(1232)\pi, S\text{-wave}$	10–90 %
$\Gamma_8 \Delta(1232)\pi, D\text{-wave}$	< 20 %
$\Gamma_9 N\rho$	< 35 %
$\Gamma_{10} N\rho, S=1/2, D\text{-wave}$	
$\Gamma_{11} N\rho, S=3/2, S\text{-wave}$	(7.0±1.0) %
$\Gamma_{12} N\rho, S=3/2, D\text{-wave}$	
$\Gamma_{13} N(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}^{I=0}$	
$\Gamma_{14} p\gamma$	0.01–0.05 %
$\Gamma_{15} p\gamma, \text{ helicity}=1/2$	0.0–0.024 %
$\Gamma_{16} p\gamma, \text{ helicity}=3/2$	0.002–0.026 %
$\Gamma_{17} n\gamma$	0.01–0.13 %
$\Gamma_{18} n\gamma, \text{ helicity}=1/2$	0.0–0.09 %
$\Gamma_{19} n\gamma, \text{ helicity}=3/2$	0.01–0.05 %

N(1700) BRANCHING RATIOS **$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**

VALUE (%)

12±5 OUR ESTIMATE

12±5

1±2

11±5

8±3

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

9±6

8⁺⁸₋₄

4±2

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

ANISOVICH 12A DPWA Multichannel

MANLEY 92 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$ CUTKOSKY 80 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ HOEHLER 79 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ **Γ_1/Γ**  **$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**

VALUE (%)

0±1

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

14±5

10±5

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

VRANA 00 DPWA Multichannel

 Γ_2/Γ  **$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow \Lambda K$**

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

−0.06 to +0.04 OUR ESTIMATE

−0.012

BELL 83 DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$

−0.012

SAXON 80 DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

−0.04

⁶ BAKER 78 DPWA See SAXON 80

−0.03 ± 0.004

¹ BAKER 77 IPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$

−0.03

¹ BAKER 77 DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$

+0.026 ± 0.019

DEVENISH 74B Fixed-*t* dispersion rel. **$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$**  **$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow \Sigma K$**

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen

LIVANOS 80 DPWA $\pi p \rightarrow \Sigma K$

<0.017

⁷ DEANS 75 DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \Sigma K$ **$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$** 

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the $\Delta(1620)$ S_{31} coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

 $(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi$, S-wave

VALUE

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

+0.02 ± 0.03

MANLEY 92 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$

0.00

² LONGACRE 77 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

−0.16

³ LONGACRE 75 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ **$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_7)^{1/2}/\Gamma$** 

$\Gamma(\Delta(1232)\pi, S\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ VALUE (%)**10 to 90 OUR ESTIMATE**

	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
72±23	ANISOVICH 12A	DPWA	Multichannel
11± 1	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
10± 5	THOMA 08	DPWA	Multichannel

 $(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi, D\text{-wave}$ $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_8)^{1/2}/\Gamma$ VALUE

<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
² LONGACRE 77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
³ LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

 $\Gamma(\Delta(1232)\pi, D\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ VALUE (%)**<20 OUR ESTIMATE**

	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<10	ANISOVICH 12A	DPWA	Multichannel
79±56	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
20±11	THOMA 08	DPWA	Multichannel

 $(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow N\rho, S=3/2, S\text{-wave}$ $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{11})^{1/2}/\Gamma$ VALUE**±0.01 to ±0.13 OUR ESTIMATE**

	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-0.04±0.06	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
-0.07	² LONGACRE 77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.07	³ LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

 $\Gamma(N\rho, S=3/2, S\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ VALUE (%)**7±1**

	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
7±1	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel

 $(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow N(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}^{l=0}$ $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{13})^{1/2}/\Gamma$ VALUE**±0.02 to ±0.28 OUR ESTIMATE**

	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
+0.02±0.02	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
0.00	² LONGACRE 77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.2	³ LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

 $\Gamma(N(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}^{l=0})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ VALUE (%)**0± 1**

	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0± 1	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
18±12	THOMA 08	DPWA	Multichannel

N(1700) PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

Papers on γN amplitudes predating 1981 may be found in our 2006 edition,
Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006).

$N(1700) \rightarrow p\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV $^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.018±0.013 OUR ESTIMATE			
0.041±0.017	ANISOVICH 12A	DPWA	Multichannel
-0.016±0.014	CRAWFORD 83	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.002±0.013	AWAJI 81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
-0.033±0.021	BARBOUR 78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$N(1700) \rightarrow p\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

VALUE (GeV $^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.002±0.024 OUR ESTIMATE			
-0.034±0.013	ANISOVICH 12A	DPWA	Multichannel
-0.009±0.012	CRAWFORD 83	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.029±0.014	AWAJI 81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
-0.014±0.025	BARBOUR 78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$N(1700) \rightarrow n\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV $^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.000±0.050 OUR ESTIMATE			
0.006±0.024	AWAJI 81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.002±0.013	FUJII 81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
+0.050±0.042	BARBOUR 78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$N(1700) \rightarrow n\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

VALUE (GeV $^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.003±0.044 OUR ESTIMATE			
-0.033±0.017	AWAJI 81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.018±0.018	FUJII 81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
+0.035±0.030	BARBOUR 78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

N(1700) $\gamma p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ AMPLITUDES

$$(\Gamma_i/\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } p\gamma \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow \Lambda K^+ \quad (E_2- \text{amplitude})$$

VALUE (units 10 $^{-3}$)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
4.09	TANABE 89	DPWA

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $p\gamma \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$			(M ₂₋ amplitude)		
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	(E ₂₋ amplitude)
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
-7.09	TANABE	89	DPWA		
$p\gamma \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ phase angle θ					
VALUE (degrees)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN			
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
-35.9	TANABE	89	DPWA		

N(1700) FOOTNOTES

- ¹ The two BAKER 77 entries are from an IPWA using the Barrelet-zero method and from a conventional energy-dependent analysis.
- ² LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
- ³ From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
- ⁴ See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.
- ⁵ LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.
- ⁶ The overall phase of BAKER 78 couplings has been changed to agree with previous conventions.
- ⁷ The range given is from the four best solutions.

N(1700) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

ANISOVICH	12A	EPJ A48 15	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, PNPI)
BATINIC	10	PR C82 038203	M. Batinic <i>et al.</i>	(ZAGR)
THOMA	08	PL B659 87	U. Thoma <i>et al.</i>	(CB-ELSA Collab.)
ARNDT	06	PR C74 045205	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU)
PDG	06	JPG 33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
VRANA	00	PRPL 328 181	T.P. Vrana, S.A. Dytman,, T.-S.H. Lee	(PITT+)
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also		PR D30 904	D.M. Manley <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, TELE) IJP
TANABE	89	PR C39 741	H. Tanabe, M. Kohno, C. Bennhold	(MANZ)
Also		NC 102A 193	M. Kohno, H. Tanabe, C. Bennhold	(MANZ)
BELL	83	NP B222 389	K.W. Bell <i>et al.</i>	(RL) IJP
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	R.L. Crawford, W.T. Morton	(GLAS)
PDG	82	PL 111B 1	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	N. Awaji, R. Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also		NP B197 365	K. Fujii <i>et al.</i>	(NAGO)
FUJII	81	NP B187 53	K. Fujii <i>et al.</i>	(NAGO, OSAK)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also		PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP

LIVANOS	80	Toronto Conf. 35	P. Livanos <i>et al.</i>	(SACL) IJP
SAXON	80	NP B162 522	D.H. Saxon <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, BRIS) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJP
Also		Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP
BAKER	78	NP B141 29	R.D. Baker <i>et al.</i>	(RL, CAVE) IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	I.M. Barbour, R.L. Crawford, N.H. Parsons	(GLAS)
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)
BAKER	77	NP B126 365	R.D. Baker <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL) IJP
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	R.S. Longacre, J. Dolbeau	(SACL) IJP
Also		NP B108 365	J. Dolbeau <i>et al.</i>	(SACL) IJP
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	S.R. Deans <i>et al.</i>	(SFLA, ALAH) IJP
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC) IJP
DEVENISH	74B	NP B81 330	R.C.E. Devenish, C.D. Froggatt, B.R. Martin	(DESY+)