

**$f_2(1565)$**

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$

## OMMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Seen mostly in antinucleon-nucleon annihilation. Needs confirmation in other channels.

### **$f_2(1565)$ MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1562±13 OUR AVERAGE</b>	Error includes scale factor of 2.1. See the ideogram below.		
1590±10	<sup>1</sup> AMELIN 06	VES	$36 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\omega n$
1552±13	<sup>2</sup> AMSLER 02	CBAR	$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
1550±10±20	AMELIN 00	VES	$37 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+ \pi^- n$
1575±18	BERTIN 98	OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1507±15	<sup>2</sup> BERTIN 97C	OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1565±20	MAY 90	ASTE	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
1560±15	<sup>3</sup> ANISOVICH 09	RVUE	$0.0 \bar{p}p, \pi N$
1598±11± 9	BAKER 99B	SPEC	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\omega\pi^0$
1534±20	<sup>4</sup> ABELE 96C	RVUE	Compilation
~ 1552	<sup>5</sup> AMSLER 95D	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
1598±72	BALOSHIN 95	SPEC	$40 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
1566 <sup>+80</sup> <sub>-50</sub>	<sup>6</sup> ANISOVICH 94	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \eta\eta\pi^0$
1502± 9	ADAMO 93	OBLX	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1488±10	<sup>7</sup> ARMSTRONG 93C	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
1508±10	<sup>7</sup> ARMSTRONG 93D	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
1525±10	<sup>7</sup> ARMSTRONG 93D	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
~ 1504	<sup>8</sup> WEIDENAUER 93	ASTE	$0.0 \bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$
1540±15	<sup>7</sup> ADAMO 92	OBLX	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1515±10	<sup>9</sup> AKER 91	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
1477± 5	BRIDGES 86C	DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$

<sup>1</sup> Supersedes the  $\omega\omega$  state of BELADIDZE 92B earlier assigned to the  $f_2(1640)$ .

<sup>2</sup> T-matrix pole.

<sup>3</sup> On sheet II in a two-pole solution.

<sup>4</sup> T-matrix pole, large coupling to  $\rho\rho$  and  $\omega\omega$ , could be  $f_2(1640)$ .


<sup>5</sup> Coupled-channel analysis of AMSLER 95B, AMSLER 95C, and AMSLER 94D.

<sup>6</sup> From a simultaneous analysis of the annihilations  $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta\eta$  including AKER 91 data.

<sup>7</sup>  $J^P$  not determined, could be partly  $f_0(1500)$ .

<sup>8</sup>  $J^P$  not determined.

<sup>9</sup> Superseded by AMSLER 95B.



### f<sub>2</sub>(1565) WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>134± 8 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
140± 11	10 AMELIN	06 VES	$36 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\omega n$
113± 23	11 AMSLER	02 CBAR	$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
130± 20±40	AMELIN	00 VES	$37 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+ \pi^- n$
119± 24	BERTIN	98 OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
130± 20	11 BERTIN	97C OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
170± 40	MAY	90 ASTE	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
280± 40	12 ANISOVICH	09 RVUE	$0.0 \bar{p}p, \pi N$
180± 60	13 ABELE	96C RVUE	Compilation
~142	14 AMSLER	95D CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
263±101	BALOSHIN	95 SPEC	$40 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
166 <sup>+ 80</sup> <sub>- 20</sub>	15 ANISOVICH	94 CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \eta\eta\pi^0$
130± 10	16 ADAMO	93 OBLX	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
148± 27	17 ARMSTRONG	93C E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
103± 15	17 ARMSTRONG	93D E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
111± 10	17 ARMSTRONG	93D E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
~206	18 WEIDENAUER	93 ASTE	$0.0 \bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$
132± 37	17 ADAMO	92 OBLX	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
120± 10	19 AKER	91 CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
116± 9	BRIDGES	86C DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$

- 10 Supersedes the  $\omega\omega$  state of BELADIDZE 92B earlier assigned to the  $f_2(1640)$ .  
 11 T-matrix pole.  
 12 On sheet II in a two-pole solution.  
 13 T-matrix pole, large coupling to  $\rho\rho$  and  $\omega\omega$ , could be  $f_2(1640)$ .  
 14 Coupled-channel analysis of AMSLER 95B, AMSLER 95C, and AMSLER 94D.  
 15 From a simultaneous analysis of the annihilations  $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta\eta$  including AKER 91 data.  
 16 Supersedes ADAMO 92.  
 17  $J^P$  not determined, could be partly  $f_0(1500)$ .  
 18  $J^P$  not determined.  
 19 Superseded by AMSLER 95B.
- 

## $f_2(1565)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1 \pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \pi^+\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_3 \pi^0\pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_4 \rho^0\rho^0$	seen
$\Gamma_5 2\pi^+2\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_6 \eta\eta$	seen
$\Gamma_7 a_2(1320)\pi$	
$\Gamma_8 \omega\omega$	seen
$\Gamma_9 K\bar{K}$	
$\Gamma_{10} \gamma\gamma$	

---

## $f_2(1565)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)$	$\Gamma_6$
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u> <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$	
$1.2 \pm 0.3$	870 <sup>20</sup> SCHEGELSKY 06A RVUE $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
$\Gamma(K\bar{K})$	$\Gamma_9$
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u> <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$	
$2.0 \pm 1.0$	870 <sup>20</sup> SCHEGELSKY 06A RVUE $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$	$\Gamma_{10}$
<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u> <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$	
$0.70 \pm 0.14$	870 <sup>20</sup> SCHEGELSKY 06A RVUE $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
20 From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using $f_2(1565)$ mass of 1570 MeV, width of 160 MeV, $\Gamma(\pi\pi) = 25$ MeV, and SU(3) relations.	

---

**$f_2(1565)$  BRANCHING RATIOS** **$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	<b><math>\Gamma_1/\Gamma</math></b>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
seen	BAKER 99B	SPEC	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\omega\pi^0$	

 **$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	<b><math>\Gamma_2/\Gamma</math></b>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
seen	BERTIN 98	OBLX	$0.05\text{--}0.405 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$	
not seen	21 ANISOVICH 94B	RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	
seen	MAY 89	ASTE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	

21 ANISOVICH 94B is from a reanalysis of MAY 90.

 **$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	<b><math>\Gamma_3/\Gamma</math></b>
seen	AMSLER 95B	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$	

 **$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\rho^0\rho^0)$** 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	<b><math>\Gamma_2/\Gamma_4</math></b>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
$0.042 \pm 0.013$	BRIDGES 86B	DBC	$\bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$	

 **$\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)$** 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	<b><math>\Gamma_6/\Gamma_3</math></b>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
$0.024 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.012$	22 ARMSTRONG 93C	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$	
22 $J^P$ not determined, could be partly $f_0(1500)$ .				

 **$\Gamma(\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	<b><math>\Gamma_8/\Gamma</math></b>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
seen	BAKER 99B	SPEC	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\omega\pi^0$	

 **$f_2(1565)$  REFERENCES**

ANISOVICH 09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	
AMELIN 06	PAN 69 690	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
	Translated from YAF 69 715.		
SCHEGELSKY 06A	EPJ A27 207	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>	
AMSLER 02	EPJ C23 29	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	
AMELIN 00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
BAKER 99B	PL B467 147	C.A. Baker <i>et al.</i>	
BERTIN 98	PR D57 55	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BERTIN 97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE 96C	NP A609 562	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER 95B	PL B342 433	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER 95C	PL B353 571	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER 95D	PL B355 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BALOSHIN 95	PAN 58 46	O.N. Baloshin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
	Translated from YAF 58 50.		

AMSLER	94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH	94	PL B323 233	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH	94B	PR D50 1972	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM)
ADAMO	93	NP A558 13C	A. Adamo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	93C	PL B307 394	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
ARMSTRONG	93D	PL B307 399	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
WEIDENAUER	93	ZPHY C59 387	P. Weidenauer <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.)
ADAMO	92	PL B287 368	A. Adamo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BELADIDZE	92B	ZPHY C54 367	G.M. Beladidze <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
AKER	91	PL B260 249	E. Aker <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
MAY	90	ZPHY C46 203	B. May <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.)
MAY	89	PL B225 450	B. May <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.) IJP
BRIDGES	86B	PRL 56 215	D.L. Bridges <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA, CASE)
BRIDGES	86C	PRL 57 1534	D.L. Bridges <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA)