

\/ALLIE (-\/)

CI 0/

$$I(J^{PC}) = 0.1(1^{-})$$

γ MASS

Results prior to 2008 are critiqued in GOLDHABER 10. All experimental results published prior to 2005 are summarized in detail by TU 05.

The following conversions are useful: 1 eV = 1.783 \times 10 $^{-33}$ g = 1.957 \times 10 $^{-6}$ m_e ; χ_C = (1.973 \times 10 $^{-7}$ m)×(1 eV/ m_γ).

VALUE	E (eV)	<u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1	× 10 ⁻¹⁸		$^{ m 1}$ RYUTOV	07		MHD of solar wind
• • •	We do not us	e the follo	wing data for avera	ges, f	its, limit	s, etc. • • •
			² ACCIOLY	10		Anomalous mag. mom.
<1	$\times 10^{-26}$		³ ADELBERGER	07A		Proca galactic field
	nit feasible		³ ADELBERGER	07A		γ as Higgs particle
	× 10 ⁻¹⁹		⁴ TU	06		Torque on rotating magne- tized toroid
	$\times 10^{-7}$		ACCIOLY	04		Dispersion of GHz radio waves by sun
	$\times 10^{-16}$		⁵ FULLEKRUG	04		Speed of 5-50 Hz radiation in atmosphere
<7	$\times 10^{-19}$		⁶ LUO	03		Torque on rotating magne- tized toroid
<1	$\times 10^{-17}$		⁷ LAKES	98		Torque on toroid balance
<6	$\times 10^{-17}$		⁸ RYUTOV	97		MHD of solar wind
<8		90	⁹ FISCHBACH	94		Earth magnetic field
< 5			¹⁰ CHERNIKOV	92	SQID	Ampere-law null test
<1.5	$\times 10^{-9}$	90	¹¹ RYAN	85		Coulomb-law null test
<3	$\times 10^{-27}$		¹² CHIBISOV	76		Galactic magnetic field
<6		99.7	¹³ DAVIS	75		Jupiter magnetic field
<7.3	$ imes 10^{-16}$		HOLLWEG	74		Alfven waves
<6	$\times 10^{-17}$		¹⁴ FRANKEN	71		Low freq. res. cir.
< 2.4	$\times 10^{-13}$		¹⁵ KROLL	71 A		Dispersion in atmosphere
<1	$\times 10^{-14}$		¹⁶ WILLIAMS	71	CNTR	Tests Gauss law
< 2.3	$ imes 10^{-15}$		GOLDHABER	68		Satellite data

¹RYUTOV 07 extends the method of RYUTOV 97 to the radius of Pluto's orbit.

Created: 8/21/2014 12:56

² ACCIOLY 10 limits come from possible alterations of anomalous magnetic moment of electron and gravitational deflection of electromagnetic radiation. Reported limits are not "claimed" by the authors and in any case are not competitive.

³When trying to measure m one must distinguish between measurements performed on large and small scales. If the photon acquires mass by the Higgs mechanism, the large-scale behavior of the photon might be effectively Maxwellian. If, on the other hand, one postulates the Proca regime for all scales, the very existence of the galactic field implies $m < 10^{-26}$ eV, as correctly calculated by YAMAGUCHI 59 and CHIBISOV 76.

 $m<10^{-26}$ eV, as correctly calculated by YAMAGUCHI 59 and CHIBISOV 76. 4 TU 06 continues the work of LUO 03, with extended LAKES 98 method, reporting the improved limit $\mu^2A=(0.7\pm1.7)\times10^{-13}$ T/m if $A=0.2~\mu\text{G}$ out to 4×10^{22} m. Reported result $\mu=(0.9\pm1.5)\times10^{-52}$ g reduces to the frequentist mass limit 1.2×10^{-19} eV (FELDMAN 98).

- ⁵ FULLEKRUG 04 adopted KROLL 71A method with newer and better Schummann resonance data. Result questionable because assumed frequency shift with photon mass is assumed to be linear. It is quadratic according to theorem by GOLDHABER 71B, KROLL 71, and PARK 71.
- ⁶ LUO 03 extends LAKES 98 technique to set a limit on μ^2A , where μ^{-1} is the Compton wavelength χ_C of the massive photon and A is the ambient vector potential. The important departure is that the apparatus rotates, removing sensitivity to the direction of A. They take $A=10^{12}$ Tm, due to "cluster level fields." But see comment of GOLDHABER 03 and reply by LUO 03B.
- ⁷ LAKES 98 reports limits on torque on a toroid Cavendish balance, obtaining a limit on $\mu^2 A < 2 \times 10^{-9} \ {\rm Tm/m^2}$ via the Maxwell-Proca equations, where μ^{-1} is the characteristic length associated with the photon mass and A is the ambient vector potential in the Lorentz gauge. Assuming $A \approx 1 \times 10^{12} \ {\rm Tm}$ due to cluster fields he obtains $\mu^{-1} > 2 \times 10^{10} \ {\rm m}$, corresponding to $\mu < 1 \times 10^{-17} \ {\rm eV}$. A more conservative limit, using $A \approx (1 \ \mu {\rm G}) \times (600 \ {\rm pc})$ based on the galactic field, is $\mu^{-1} > 1 \times 10^9 \ {\rm m}$ or $\mu < 2 \times 10^{-16} \ {\rm eV}$.
- ⁸ RYUTOV 97 uses a magnetohydrodynamics argument concerning survival of the Sun's field to the radius of the Earth's orbit. "To reconcile observations to theory, one has to reduce [the photon mass] by approximately an order of magnitude compared with" per DAVIS 75. "Secure limit, best by this method" (per GOLDHABER 10).
- ⁹FISCHBACH 94 analysis is based on terrestrial magnetic fields; approach analogous to DAVIS 75. Similar result based on a much smaller planet probably follows from more precise *B* field mapping. "Secure limit, best by this method" (per GOLDHABER 10).
- 10 CHERNIKOV 92, motivated by possibility that photon exhibits mass only below some unknown critical temperature, searches for departure from Ampere's Law at 1.24 K. See also RYAN 85.
- ¹¹ RYAN 85, motivated by possibility that photon exhibits mass only below some unknown critical temperature, sets mass limit at $<(1.5\pm1.4)\times10^{-42}$ g based on Coulomb's Law departure limit at 1.36 K. We report the result as frequentist 90% CL (FELDMAN 98).
- 12 CHIBISOV 76 depends in critical way on assumptions such as applicability of virial theorem. Some of the arguments given only in unpublished references.
- ¹³ DAVIS 75 analysis of Pioneer-10 data on Jupiter's magnetic field. "Secure limit, best by this method" (per GOLDHABER 10).
- ¹⁴ FRANKEN 71 method is of dubious validity (KROLL 71A, JACKSON 99, GOLD-HABER 10, and references therein).
- ¹⁵ KROLL 71A used low frequency Schumann resonances in cavity between the conducting earth and resistive ionosphere, overcoming objections to resonant-cavity methods (JACKSON 99, GOLDHABER 10, and references therein). "Secure limit, best by this method" (per GOLDHABER 10).
- ¹⁶ WILLIAMS 71 is landmark test of Coulomb's law. "Secure limit, best by this method" (per GOLDHABER 10).

Created: 8/21/2014 12:56

γ CHARGE

OKUN 06 has argued that schemes in which all photons are charged are inconsistent. He says that if a neutral photon is also admitted to avoid this problem, then other problems emerge, such as those connected with the emission and absorption of charged photons by charged particles. He concludes that in the absence of a self-consistent phenomenological basis, interpretation of experimental data is at best difficult.

VALU	E (e)	CHARGE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
			$^{ m 1}$ ALTSCHUL	07 B	VLBI	Aharonov-Bohm effect
<1	$\times 10^{-35}$	single	² CAPRINI	05	CMB	Isotropy constraint
• • •	• We do no	t use the fo	llowing data for a	verag	es, fits,	limits, etc. • • •
	$\times 10^{-32}$		$^{ m 1}$ ALTSCHUL	07 B	VLBI	Aharonov-Bohm effect
<3	$\times 10^{-33}$	mixed	³ KOBYCHEV	05	VLBI	Smear as function of $B \cdot E_{\gamma}$
<4	\times 10 ⁻³¹					Deflection as function of $\overset{'}{B}\cdotE_{\gamma}$
	5×10^{-17}		⁴ SEMERTZIDIS	03		Laser light deflection in B-field
<3	$\times 10^{-28}$	single	⁵ SIVARAM	95	CMB	For $\Omega_M = 0.3$, $h^2 = 0.5$
< 5	$\times 10^{-30}$		⁶ RAFFELT	94	TOF	Pulsar $f_1 - f_2$
<2	$\times 10^{-28}$		⁷ COCCONI	92		VLBA radio telescope resolution
<2	$\times 10^{-32}$		COCCONI	88	TOF	Pulsar $f_1 - f_2$ TOF

¹ ALTSCHUL 07B looks for Aharonov-Bohm phase shift in addition to geometric phase shift in radio interference fringes (VSOP mission).

γ REFERENCES

ACCIOLY GOLDHABER ADELBERGER ALTSCHUL	10 10 07A 07B	PR D82 065026 RMP 82 939 PRL 98 010402 PRL 98 261801	 A. Accioly, J. Helayel-Neto, E. Scatena A.F. Goldhaber, M.M. Nieto E. Adelberger, G. Dvali, A. Gruzinov B. Altschul 	(LABEX+) (STON, LANL) (WASH, NYU) (IND)
Also		ASP 29 290	B. Altschul	(SCUC)
RYUTOV	07	PPCF 49 B429	D.D. Ryutov	(LLNL)
OKUN	06	APP B37 565	L.B. Okun	(ITEP)
TU	06	PL A352 267	LC. Tu <i>et al.</i>	
CAPRINI KOBYCHEV	05 05	JCAP 0502 006 AL 31 147	C. Caprini, P.G. Ferreira V.V. Kobychev, S.B. Popov	(GEVA, OXFTP) (KIEV, PADO)

Page 3

Created: 8/21/2014 12:56

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

²CAPRINI 05 uses isotropy of the cosmic microwave background to place stringent limits on possible charge asymmetry of the Universe. Charge limits are set on the photon, neutrino, and dark matter particles. Valid if charge asymmetries produced by different particles are not anticorrelated.

³ KOBYCHEV 05 considers a variety of observable effects of photon charge for extragalactic compact radio sources. Best limits if source observed through a foreground cluster of galaxies.

 $^{^4}$ SEMERTZIDIS 03 reports the first laboratory limit on the photon charge in the last 30 years. Straightforward improvements in the apparatus could attain a sensitivity of $_10^{-20}$ e.

⁵ SIVARAM 95 requires that CMB photon charge density not overwhelm gravity. Result scales as Ω_M h².

⁶ RAFFELT 94 notes that COCCONI 88 neglects the fact that the time delay due to dispersion by free electrons in the interstellar medium has the same photon energy dependence as that due to bending of a charged photon in the magnetic field. His limit is based on the assumption that the entire observed dispersion is due to photon charge. It is a factor of 200 less stringent than the COCCONI 88 limit.

⁷See COCCONI 92 for less stringent limits in other frequency ranges. Also see RAF-FELT 94 note.

TU ACCIOLY FULLEKRUG GOLDHABER LUO LUO SEMERTZIDIS JACKSON	05 04 04 03 03 03B 03 99	RPP 68 77 PR D69 107501 PRL 93 043901 PRL 91 149101 PRL 90 081801 PRL 91 149102 PR D67 017701 Classical Electrodynamics	LC. Tu, J. Luo, G.T. Gillies A. Accioly, R. Paszko M. Fullekrug A.S. Goldhaber, M.M. Nieto J. Luo et al. J. Luo et al. Y.K. Semertzidis, G.T. Danby, D.M. Lazarus J.D. Jackson (3rd ed., J. Wiley and Sons	(1999))
FELDMAN	98	PR D57 3873	G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins	
LAKES	98	PRL 80 1826	R. Lakes	(WISC)
RYUTOV	97	PPCF 39 A73	D.D. Ryutov	(LLNL)
SIVARAM	95	AJP 63 473	C. Sivaram (BANG)
FISCHBACH	94	PRL 73 514	E. Fischbach <i>et al.</i> (PURD,	JHU+)
RAFFELT	94	PR D50 7729		MPIM)
CHERNIKOV	92	PRL 68 3383	M.A. Chernikov et al.	(ETH)
Also		PRL 69 2999 (erratum)	M.A. Chernikov <i>et al.</i>	(ETH)
COCCONI	92	AJP 60 750	G. Cocconi ((CERN)
COCCONI	88	PL B206 705	G. Cocconi ((CERN)
RYAN	85	PR D32 802		(PRIN)
CHIBISOV	76	SPU 19 624		(LEBD)
		Translated from UFN 119		
DAVIS	75	PRL 35 1402	L. Davis, A.S. Goldhaber, M.M. Nieto (CIT, S	
HOLLWEG	74	PRL 32 961		NCAR)
FRANKEN	71	PRL 26 115		(MICH)
GOLDHABER	71B	RMP 43 277	A.S. Goldhaber, M.M. Nieto (STON, BOHR,	,
KROLL	71	PRL 26 1395		(SLAC)
KROLL	71A	PRL 27 340	N.M. Kroll	(SLAC)
PARK	71	PRL 26 1393		(WILC)
WILLIAMS	71	PRL 26 721	, ,	WESL)
GOLDHABER	68	PRL 21 567		STON)
YAMAGUCHI	59	PTPS 11 37	Y. Yamaguchi	

Created: 8/21/2014 12:56