

$\eta'(958)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$$

$\eta'(958)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
957.78 ± 0.06	OUR AVERAGE			
957.793 ± 0.054 ± 0.036	3.9k	LIBBY	08	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
957.9 ± 0.2 ± 0.6	4800	WURZINGER	96	SPEC 1.68 $pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta'$
957.46 ± 0.33		DUANE	74	MMS $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\text{MM}$
958.2 ± 0.5	1414	DANBURG	73	HBC 2.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\eta'$
958 ± 1	400	JACOBS	73	HBC 2.9 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\eta'$
956.1 ± 1.1	3415	¹ BASILE	71	CNTR 1.6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta'$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
957.5 ± 0.2		BAI	04J	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
959 ± 1	630	² BELADIDZE	92C	VES 36 $\pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow \pi^- \eta' \eta \text{Be}$
958 ± 1	340	² ARMSTRONG	91B	OMEG 300 $pp \rightarrow pp\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
958.2 ± 0.4	622	² AUGUSTIN	90	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
957.8 ± 0.2	2420	² AUGUSTIN	90	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
956.3 ± 1.0	143	² GIDAL	87	MRK2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
957.4 ± 1.4	535	³ BASILE	71	CNTR 1.6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta'$
957 ± 1		RITTENBERG	69	HBC 1.7–2.7 $K^- p$

¹ Using all η' decays.² Systematic uncertainty not estimated.³ Using η' decays into neutrals. Not independent of the other listed BASILE 71 η' mass measurement.

$\eta'(958)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.197 ± 0.009	OUR FIT				
0.230 ± 0.021	OUR AVERAGE				
0.226 ± 0.017 ± 0.014	2300	CZERWINSKI	10	MMS	$pp \rightarrow pp\eta'$
0.40 ± 0.22	4800	WURZINGER	96	SPEC	1.68 $pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta'$
0.28 ± 0.10	1000	BINNIE	79	MMS	0 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\text{MM}$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
0.20 ± 0.04		BAI	04J	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

$\eta'(958)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 $\pi^+\pi^-\eta$	(42.9 ± 0.7) %	
Γ_2 $\rho^0\gamma$ (including non-resonant $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$)	(29.1 ± 0.5) %	
Γ_3 $\pi^0\pi^0\eta$	(22.3 ± 0.8) %	
Γ_4 $\omega\gamma$	(2.62 ± 0.13) %	

Γ_5	$\omega e^+ e^-$		$(2.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_6	$\gamma\gamma$		$(2.21 \pm 0.08) \%$	
Γ_7	$3\pi^0$		$(2.20 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_8	$\mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$		$(1.08 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_9	$\pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$		$< 2.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{10}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$		$(3.82 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{11}	$\pi^0 \rho^0$		$< 4 \%$	90%
Γ_{12}	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$		$(8.5 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{13}	$\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$		$(1.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{14}	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$ neutrals		$< 1 \%$	95%
Γ_{15}	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^0$		$< 1.9 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{16}	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-) 2\pi^0$		$< 1 \%$	95%
Γ_{17}	$3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$		$< 3.1 \times 10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{18}	$\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$		$(2.4 \begin{smallmatrix} +1.3 \\ -1.0 \end{smallmatrix}) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{19}	$\pi^+ e^- \nu_e + \text{c.c.}$		$< 2.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{20}	$\gamma e^+ e^-$		$(4.70 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{21}	$\pi^0 \gamma\gamma$		$< 8 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{22}	$4\pi^0$		$< 3.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{23}	$e^+ e^-$		$< 5.6 \times 10^{-9}$	90%
Γ_{24}	invisible		$< 5 \times 10^{-4}$	90%

**Charge conjugation (C), Parity (P),
Lepton family number (LF) violating modes**

Γ_{25}	$\pi^+ \pi^-$	P, CP	$< 6 \times 10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{26}	$\pi^0 \pi^0$	P, CP	$< 4 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{27}	$\pi^0 e^+ e^-$	C [a]	$< 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{28}	$\eta e^+ e^-$	C [a]	$< 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{29}	3γ	C	$< 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{30}	$\mu^+ \mu^- \pi^0$	C [a]	$< 6.0 \times 10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{31}	$\mu^+ \mu^- \eta$	C [a]	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{32}	$e\mu$	LF	$< 4.7 \times 10^{-4}$	90%

[a] C parity forbids this to occur as a single-photon process.

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to the total width, a partial width, 2 combinations of partial widths obtained from integrated cross section, and 16 branching ratios uses 46 measurements and one constraint to determine 9 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 52.8$ for 38 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \cdot \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i , including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	-2							
x_3	-77	-58						
x_4	-11	-13	2					
x_6	-29	-25	32	-1				
x_7	-24	-19	30	0	9			
x_{10}	0	-2	-2	0	-1	-1		
x_{18}	-4	-6	-5	-1	-3	-2	0	
Γ	25	5	-19	3	-71	-5	1	3
	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_6	x_7	x_{10}	x_{18}

Mode	Rate (MeV)
Γ_1 $\pi^+ \pi^- \eta$	0.085 \pm 0.004
Γ_2 $\rho^0 \gamma$ (including non-resonant $\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$)	0.0574 \pm 0.0028
Γ_3 $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$	0.0440 \pm 0.0023
Γ_4 $\omega \gamma$	0.00517 \pm 0.00035
Γ_6 $\gamma \gamma$	0.00435 \pm 0.00013
Γ_7 $3\pi^0$	(4.3 \pm 0.4) $\times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{10} $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	(7.5 \pm 0.8) $\times 10^{-4}$
Γ_{18} $\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$	(4.7 $^{+2.6}_{-1.9}$) $\times 10^{-4}$

$\eta'(958)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$						Γ_6
VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
4.35 \pm 0.14 OUR FIT						
4.28 \pm 0.19 OUR AVERAGE						
4.17 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.27	2000	¹ ACCIARRI	98Q L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$		
4.53 \pm 0.29 \pm 0.51	266	KARCH	92 CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$		
3.61 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.48		² BEHREND	91 CELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta'(958)$		
4.6 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.6	23	BARU	90 MD1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$		

4.57±0.25±0.44		BUTLER	90	MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta'(958)$
5.08±0.24±0.71	547	³ ROE	90	ASP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$
3.8 ±0.7 ±0.6	34	AIHARA	88C	TPC	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
4.9 ±0.5 ±0.5	136	⁴ WILLIAMS	88	CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
4.7 ±0.6 ±0.9	143	⁵ GIDAL	87	MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
4.0 ±0.9		⁶ BARTEL	85E	JADE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$

- ¹ No non-resonant $\pi^+\pi^-$ contribution found.
- ² Reevaluated by us using $B(\eta' \rightarrow \rho(770)\gamma) = (30.2 \pm 1.3)\%$.
- ³ Reevaluated by us using $B(\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (2.11 \pm 0.13)\%$.
- ⁴ Reevaluated by us using $B(\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (2.11 \pm 0.13)\%$.
- ⁵ Superseded by BUTLER 90.
- ⁶ Systematic error not evaluated.

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ Γ_{23}

<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<1.1 × 10⁻³	90	^{1,2} ACHASOV 15	SND	0.958 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\eta$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
<2.0 × 10 ⁻³	90	² ACHASOV 15	SND	0.958 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\eta$
<2.4 × 10 ⁻³	90	² AKHMETSHIN 15	CMD3	0.958 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$
¹ Combining data of ACHASOV 15 and AKHMETSHIN 15.				
² Using η and η' branching fractions from PDG 14.				

$\eta'(958) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into $\gamma\gamma$ and with the total width is obtained from the integrated cross section into channel(i) in the $\gamma\gamma$ annihilation.

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times \Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_6\Gamma_2/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1.27±0.04 OUR FIT				
1.26±0.07 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
1.09±0.04±0.13		BEHREND 91	CELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho(770)^0\gamma$
1.35±0.09±0.21		AIHARA 87	TPC	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
1.13±0.04±0.13	867	ALBRECHT 87B	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
1.53±0.09±0.21		ALTHOFF 84E	TASS	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
1.14±0.08±0.11	243	BERGER 84B	PLUT	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
1.73±0.34±0.35	95	JENNI 83	MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
1.49±0.13±0.027	213	BARTEL 82B	JADE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1.85±0.31±0.24	43	BEHREND 83B	CELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho\gamma$

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times \Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_6\Gamma_3/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.97±0.05 OUR FIT			
0.92±0.06±0.11	¹ KARCH 92	CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.95±0.05±0.08	² KARCH 90	CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
1.00±0.08±0.10	^{2,3} ANTREASYAN 87	CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^0\pi^0$

¹ Reevaluated by us using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.21 \pm 0.34)\%$. Supersedes ANTREASYAN 87 and KARCH 90.

² Superseded by KARCH 92.

³ Using $BR(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (38.9 \pm 0.5)\%$.

$\eta'(958) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_1\Gamma_{23}/\Gamma$
VALUE (10^{-3} eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<1.0	90	¹ AKHMETSHIN 15	CMD3	0.958 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$	
¹ AKHMETSHIN 15 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta) \times \Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 4.1 \times 10^{-4}$ eV which we divide by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.41 \times 10^{-2}$.					

$\eta'(958)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.429±0.007 OUR FIT					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.424±0.011±0.004	1.2k	¹ PEDLAR	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$	
¹ Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.					

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta(\text{charged decay}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					0.286Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.1228±0.0020 OUR FIT					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.123 ±0.014	107	RITTENBERG 69	HBC	1.7–2.7 K^-p	
0.10 ±0.04	10	LONDON 66	HBC	2.24 $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$	
0.07 ±0.04	7	BADIER 65B	HBC	3 K^-p	

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta(\text{neutral decay}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					0.714Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.307±0.005 OUR FIT					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.314±0.026	281	RITTENBERG 69	HBC	1.7–2.7 K^-p	

$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_2/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.291±0.005 OUR FIT					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.287±0.007±0.004	0.2k	¹ PEDLAR	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$	
0.329±0.033	298	RITTENBERG 69	HBC	1.7–2.7 K^-p	
0.2 ±0.1	20	LONDON 66	HBC	2.24 $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$	
0.34 ±0.09	35	BADIER 65B	HBC	3 K^-p	

¹ Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

$\Gamma(\rho^0 \gamma (\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma))/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)$ Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.678 ± 0.017 OUR FIT			
0.683 ± 0.020 OUR AVERAGE			
0.677 ± 0.024 ± 0.011	PEDLAR 09	CLE3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$
0.69 ± 0.03	ABLIKIM 06E	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$

$\Gamma(\rho^0 \gamma (\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma))/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta (\text{neutral decay}))$ $\Gamma_2/0.714\Gamma_1$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.950 ± 0.024 OUR FIT				
0.97 ± 0.09 OUR AVERAGE				
0.70 ± 0.22		AMSLER 04B	CBAR	$0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$
1.07 ± 0.17		BELADIDZE 92C	VES	$36 \pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow \pi^- \eta' \eta \text{Be}$
0.92 ± 0.14	473	DANBURG 73	HBC	$2.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$
1.11 ± 0.18	192	JACOBS 73	HBC	$2.9 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.223 ± 0.008 OUR FIT				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.235 ± 0.013 ± 0.004	3.2k	¹ PEDLAR 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$
¹ Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.				

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta (3\pi^0 \text{ decay}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $0.321\Gamma_3/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0716 ± 0.0026 OUR FIT				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.11 ± 0.06	4	BENSINGER 70	DBC	$2.2 \pi^+ d$

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)$ Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.519 ± 0.026 OUR FIT			
0.555 ± 0.043 ± 0.013	PEDLAR 09	CLE3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$

$\Gamma(\rho^0 \gamma (\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma))/\Gamma(\pi \pi \eta)$ $\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_3)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.446 ± 0.012 OUR FIT			
0.43 ± 0.02 ± 0.02	BARBERIS 98C	OMEG	$450 p p \rightarrow p_f \eta' p_s$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.31 ± 0.15	DAVIS 68	HBC	$5.5 K^- p$

$\Gamma(\omega \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.62 ± 0.13 OUR FIT				
2.55 ± 0.03 ± 0.16	33.2k	¹ ABLIKIM 15AD	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2.34 ± 0.30 ± 0.04	70	² PEDLAR 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$
¹ Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma) = (5.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$ and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7)\%$.				
² Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.				

$\Gamma(\omega\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_4/Γ_1

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.0610±0.0033 OUR FIT

0.055 ±0.007 ±0.001 PEDLAR 09 CLE3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.068 ±0.013 68 ZANFINO 77 ASPK $8.4 \pi^- p$

$\Gamma(\omega\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ Γ_4/Γ_3

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.117±0.007 OUR FIT

0.147±0.016 ALDE 87B GAM2 $38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n4\gamma$

$\Gamma(\omega e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\omega\gamma)$ Γ_5/Γ_4

VALUE (units 10⁻³) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

7.71±1.34±0.54 ¹ ABLIKIM 15AD BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$

¹ Obtained from other ABLIKIM 15AD measurements with common systematics taken into account.

$\Gamma(\omega e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10⁻⁴) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

1.97±0.34±0.17 66 ¹ ABLIKIM 15AD BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$

¹ Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma) = (5.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$ and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7)\%$.

$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/[\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta) + \Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta) + \Gamma(\omega\gamma)]$ $\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4)$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.429±0.011 OUR FIT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.25 ±0.14 DAUBER 64 HBC $1.95 K^- p$

$[\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta(\text{charged decay})) + \Gamma(\omega(\text{charged decay})\gamma)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $(0.286\Gamma_3+0.89\Gamma_4)/\Gamma$

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.0871±0.0026 OUR FIT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.045 ±0.029 42 RITTENBERG 69 HBC $1.7-2.7 K^- p$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\text{ neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $(0.714\Gamma_1+0.286\Gamma_3+0.89\Gamma_4)/\Gamma$

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.394±0.004 OUR FIT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.4 ±0.1 39 LONDON 66 HBC $2.24 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-\text{ neutrals}$

0.35 ±0.06 33 BADIER 65B HBC $3 K^- p$

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

2.21±0.08 OUR FIT
2.00±0.15 OUR AVERAGE

1.98 ^{+0.31} _{-0.27} ±0.07	114	¹ WICHT	08	BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \gamma\gamma$
2.00±0.18		² STANTON	80	SPEC	8.45 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\pi^+\pi^-2\gamma$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
2.25±0.16±0.03	0.3k	³ PEDLAR	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
1.8 ±0.2	6000	⁴ APEL	79	NICE	15-40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n2\gamma$
2.5 ±0.7		DUANE	74	MMS	$\pi^- p \rightarrow nMM$
1.71±0.33	68	DALPIAZ	72	CNTR	1.6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow nX^0$
2.0 ^{+0.8} _{-0.6}	31	HARVEY	71	OSPK	3.65 $\pi^- p \rightarrow nX^0$

¹ WICHT 08 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta'K^+)] = (1.40^{+0.16+0.15}_{-0.15-0.12}) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta'K^+) = (7.06 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-5}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Includes APEL 79 result.

³ Not independent of other η' branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

⁴ Data is included in STANTON 80 evaluation.

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_6/Γ_1

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.0514±0.0022 OUR FIT

0.053 ±0.004 ±0.001 PEDLAR 09 CLE3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))$ Γ_6/Γ_2

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.0758±0.0033 OUR FIT

0.080 ±0.008 ABLIKIM 06E BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ Γ_6/Γ_3

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.099±0.004 OUR FIT

0.105±0.010 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.9.

0.091±0.009		AMSLER	93	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p$
0.112±0.002±0.006		ALDE	87B	GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n2\gamma$

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta(\text{neutral decay}))$ $\Gamma_6/0.714\Gamma_3$

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.139±0.006 OUR FIT

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

0.188±0.058 16 APEL 72 OSPK 3.8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow nX^0$

$\Gamma(\text{neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $(0.714\Gamma_3+0.09\Gamma_4+\Gamma_6)/\Gamma$

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

0.184±0.006 OUR FIT

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

0.185±0.022	535	BASILE	71	CNTR	1.6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow nX^0$
0.189±0.026	123	RITTENBERG	69	HBC	1.7-2.7 $K^- p$

$\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

2.20 ± 0.20 OUR FIT

3.7 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE

4.79 ± 0.59 ± 1.14	183	¹ ABLIKIM	15P	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- 3\pi$
3.56 ± 0.22 ± 0.34	309	ABLIKIM	12E	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(3\pi^0)$

¹ We have added all systematic uncertainties in quadrature to a single value.

$\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ Γ_7/Γ_3

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

99 ± 9 OUR FIT

78 ± 10 OUR AVERAGE

86 ± 19	235	BLIK	08	GAMS	$32 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
74 ± 15		ALDE	87B	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n6\gamma$
75 ± 18		BINON	84	GAM2	$30-40 \pi^- p \rightarrow n6\gamma$

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\gamma)/\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ Γ_8/Γ_6

VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

4.9 ± 1.2	33	VIKTOROV	80	CNTR	$25,33 \pi^- p \rightarrow 2\mu\gamma$
------------------	----	----------	----	------	--

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.29	90	¹ ABLIKIM	130	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<2.4	90	² NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ Using $\Gamma_2/\Gamma = (29.3 \pm 0.6)\%$ from PDG 12.

² Not independent of measured value of Γ_9/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_9/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3}) CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

<0.5	90	¹ NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
----------------	----	-------------------	----	------	----------------------------------

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.41 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))$ Γ_9/Γ_2

VALUE (units 10^{-4}) CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

<1.0	90	ABLIKIM	130	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
----------------	----	---------	-----	------	----------------------------------

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

3.82 ± 0.35 OUR FIT

3.9 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE

4.28 ± 0.49 ± 1.11	78	¹ ABLIKIM	15P	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- 3\pi$
3.83 ± 0.15 ± 0.39	1014	ABLIKIM	12E	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$
3.7 ^{+1.1} _{-0.9} ± 0.4		² NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ We have added all systematic uncertainties in quadrature to a single value.

² Not independent of measured value of Γ_{10}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{10}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.9 ± 0.8 OUR FIT					
8.28^{+2.49}_{-2.12} ± 0.04	90	20	¹ NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] = (21^{+6}_{-5} \pm 2) \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.04	90	RITTENBERG 65	HBC	2.7 K^-p

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.5 ± 0.9 ± 0.3	90	199	¹ ABLIKIM	14M	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
< 24	90		² NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
< 1000	90		RITTENBERG 69	HBC	1.7-2.7 K^-p

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹ ABLIKIM 14M reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ = $(4.40 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Not independent of measured value of Γ_{12}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{12}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.6	90	¹ NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.41 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.8 ± 0.4 ± 0.1	90	84	¹ ABLIKIM	14M	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
< 27	90		² NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹ ABLIKIM 14M reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$ = $(9.38 \pm 1.79 \pm 0.89) \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Not independent of measured value of Γ_{13}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{13}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 6	90	¹ NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 15 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.41 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-) \text{ neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.01	95	DANBURG 73	HBC	2.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.01	90	RITTENBERG 69	HBC	1.7–2.7 $K^- p$

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.002	90	¹ NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<0.01	90	RITTENBERG 69	HBC	1.7–2.7 $K^- p$

¹ Not independent of measured value of Γ_{15}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{15}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4	90	¹ NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 11 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.41 \times 10^{-2}$.

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.01	95	KALBFLEISCH 64B	HBC	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda 2(\pi^+\pi^-)+MM$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.01	90	LONDON 66	HBC	Compilation

 $\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 3.1	90	¹ ABLIKIM 13U	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 53	90	² NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<500	95	KALBFLEISCH 64B	HBC	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

¹ Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.16 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$.

² Not independent of measured value of Γ_{17}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

 $\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{17}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.2	90	¹ NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 3.0 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.41 \times 10^{-2}$.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.4	+1.3				OUR FIT
	-1.0				

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$2.11 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.14$	429	¹ ABLIKIM	130	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$
$2.5^{+1.2}_{-0.9} \pm 0.5$		² NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$
<6	90	RITTENBERG	65	HBC	2.7 $K^- p$

¹ Using $\Gamma_2/\Gamma = (29.3 \pm 0.6)\%$ from PDG 12.

² Not independent of measured value of Γ_{18}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)$ Γ_{18}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$5.6^{+3.0}_{-2.2}$ OUR FIT				
$5.52^{+3.00}_{-2.30} \pm 0.03$	8	¹ NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] = (14^{+7}_{-5} \pm 3) \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\rho^0 \gamma (\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma))$ Γ_{18}/Γ_2

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$7.2 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.5$	429	ABLIKIM	130	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

$\Gamma(\pi^+ e^- \nu_e + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)$ Γ_{19}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5.0	90	ABLIKIM	13G	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta'$

$\Gamma(\gamma e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••				
<0.9	90	BRIERE	00	CLEO $10.6 e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\gamma e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\gamma \gamma)$ Γ_{20}/Γ_6

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.13 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.07$	864	ABLIKIM	150	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \gamma \gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta)$ Γ_{21}/Γ_3

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<37	90	ALDE	87B	GAM2 $38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 4\gamma$

$\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<3.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	DONSKOV	14	GAM4 $32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$

$\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta)$ Γ_{22}/Γ_3

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••				
<23	90	ALDE	87B	GAM2 $38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 8\gamma$

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 5.6 \times 10^{-9}$	90	¹ ACHASOV 15	SND	$0.958 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\eta$
$< 12 \times 10^{-9}$	90	² AKHMETSHIN 15	CMD3	$0.958 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$
$< 2.1 \times 10^{-7}$	90	VOROBYEV 88	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹ Combining data of ACHASOV 15 and AKHMETSHIN 15 and using $\Gamma(\eta') = 0.198 \pm 0.009$ MeV.

² Using $\Gamma_{\eta'(958)} = 198 \pm 9$ keV, $B(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta) = (42.9 \pm 0.7)\%$, and $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.20)\%$.

$\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{24}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 9.5	90	¹ NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹ Not independent of measured value of Γ_{24}/Γ_1 from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ Γ_{24}/Γ_6

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 2.4	90	ABLIKIM 13	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta'$
< 6.69	90	ABLIKIM 06Q	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ Γ_{24}/Γ_1

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 2.1	90	¹ NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹ NAIK 09 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \text{invisible})/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 5.4 \times 10^{-3}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.41 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{25}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.6	90	¹ ABLIKIM 11G	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
< 29	90	² MORI 07A	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
< 3.3	90	³ MORI 07A	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
< 800	95	DANBURG 73	HBC	$2.2 K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$
< 200	90	RITTENBERG 69	HBC	$1.7-2.7 K^-p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹ ABLIKIM 11G reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))] < 2.84 \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = 5.15 \times 10^{-3}$.

² Taking into account interference with the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ continuum.

³ Without interference with the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ continuum.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{26}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$<4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM 11G	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$	
¹ ABLIKIM 11G reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))] < 2.84 \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = 5.15 \times 10^{-3}$.					
$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$					Γ_{26}/Γ_3
VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<45	90	ALDE 87B	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n4\gamma$	
$\Gamma(\pi^0 e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{27}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
< 1.4	90	BRIERE 00	CLEO	$10.6 e^+ e^-$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<13	90	RITTENBERG 65	HBC	$2.7 K^- p$	
$\Gamma(\eta e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{28}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
< 2.4	90	BRIERE 00	CLEO	$10.6 e^+ e^-$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<11	90	RITTENBERG 65	HBC	$2.7 K^- p$	
$\Gamma(3\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$					Γ_{29}/Γ_3
VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<4.6	90	ALDE 87B	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n3\gamma$	
$\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{30}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<6.0	90	DZHELYADIN 81	CNTR	$30 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$	
$\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{31}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-5})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<1.5	90	DZHELYADIN 81	CNTR	$30 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$	
$\Gamma(e\mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{32}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<4.7	90	BRIERE 00	CLEO	$10.6 e^+ e^-$	

$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi\pi$ DECAY PARAMETERS

$$|\text{MATRIX ELEMENT}|^2 = |1 + \alpha Y|^2 + CX + DX^2$$

X and Y are Dalitz variables; α is complex and C , and D are real-valued. Parameters C and D are not necessarily equal to c and d , respectively, in the generalized parameterization following this one. May be different for $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$ decays. Because of different

initial assumptions and strong correlations of the parameters we do not average the parameters in the section below.

$Re(\alpha)$ decay parameter

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$-0.033 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.003$	44k	¹ ABLIKIM 11	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$-0.072 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.006$	7k	² AMELIN 05A	VES	$28 \pi^- A \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A^*$
$-0.021 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.017$	6.7k	³ BRIERE 00	CLEO	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- X$
$-0.058 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.003$	5.4k	⁴ ALDE 86	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
-0.08 ± 0.03		^{4,5} KALBFLEISCH 74	RVUE	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

² Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.

³ Assuming $\text{Im}(\alpha) = 0$, $C = 0$, and $D = 0$.

⁴ Assuming $C = 0$.

⁵ From the data of DAUBER 64, RITTENBERG 69, AGUILAR-BENITEZ 72B, JACOBS 73, and DANBURG 73.

$Im(\alpha)$ decay parameter

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$0.000 \pm 0.049 \pm 0.001$	44k	¹ ABLIKIM 11	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$0.0 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.0$	7k	² AMELIN 05A	VES	$28 \pi^- A \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A^*$
$-0.00 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.00$	5.4k	³ ALDE 86	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
0.0 ± 0.3		^{3,4} KALBFLEISCH 74	RVUE	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

² Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.

³ Assuming $C = 0$.

⁴ From the data of DAUBER 64, RITTENBERG 69, AGUILAR-BENITEZ 72B, JACOBS 73, and DANBURG 73.

C decay parameter

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$+0.018 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.003$	44k	¹ ABLIKIM 11	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$0.020 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.004$	7k	² AMELIN 05A	VES	$28 \pi^- A \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A^*$

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

² Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.

D decay parameter

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$-0.059 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.004$	44k	¹ ABLIKIM 11	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$-0.066 \pm 0.030 \pm 0.015$	7k	² AMELIN 05A	VES	$28 \pi^- A \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A^*$
$0.00 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.00$	5.4k	³ ALDE 86	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
0		^{3,4} KALBFLEISCH 74	RVUE	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

² Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.

³ Assuming $C = 0$.

⁴ From the data of DAUBER 64, RITTENBERG 69, AGUILAR-BENITEZ 72B, JACOBS 73, and DANBURG 73.

 $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta \pi \pi$ DECAY PARAMETERS

$$|\text{MATRIX ELEMENT}|^2 \propto 1 + a Y + b Y^2 + c X + d X^2$$

X and Y are Dalitz variables and a , b , c , and d are real-valued parameters. May be different for $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$ decays. We do not average measurements in the section below because parameter values from each experiment are strongly correlated.

a decay parameter

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$-0.047 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.003$	44k	¹ ABLIKIM 11	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$-0.066 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.003$	15k	² BLIK 09	GAM4	$32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
$-0.127 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.008$	20k	³ DOROFEEV 07	VES	$27 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n,$ $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- A^*$

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

² From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$ decay.

³ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay.

b decay parameter

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$-0.069 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.009$	44k	¹ ABLIKIM 11	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$-0.063 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.004$	15k	² BLIK 09	GAM4	$32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
$-0.106 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.014$	20k	³ DOROFEEV 07	VES	$27 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n,$ $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- A^*$

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

² From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$ decay.

³ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay.

c decay parameter

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$+0.019 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.003$	44k	¹ ABLIKIM 11	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$-0.107 \pm 0.096 \pm 0.003$	15k	² BLIK 09	GAM4	$32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
$0.015 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.014$	20k	³ DOROFEEV 07	VES	$27 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n,$ $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- A^*$

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.² From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$ decay.³ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay.**d decay parameter**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$-0.073 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.003$	44k	¹ ABLIKIM 11	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$0.018 \pm 0.078 \pm 0.006$	15k	² BLIK 09	GAM4	$32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
$-0.082 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.008$	20k	³ DOROFEEV 07	VES	$27 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n,$ $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- A^*$

¹ See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.² From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$ decay. If $c \equiv 0$ from Bose-Einstein symmetry, $d = -0.067 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.003$.³ From $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay.

$\eta'(958)$ β PARAMETER

$|\text{MATRIX ELEMENT}|^2 = (1 + 2\beta Z)$

See the "Note on η Decay Parameters" in our 1994 edition *Physical Review D* **50** 1173 (1994), p. 1454.

 β decay parameter

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-0.61 ± 0.08 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
$-0.640 \pm 0.046 \pm 0.047$	1.8k	ABLIKIM 15G	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma (\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0)$
-0.59 ± 0.18	235	BLIK 08	GAMS	$32 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
-0.1 ± 0.3		ALDE 87B	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 3\pi^0$

 $\eta'(958)$ C-NONCONSERVING DECAY PARAMETER

See the note on η decay parameters in the Stable Particle Particle Listings for definition of this parameter.

DECAY ASYMMETRY PARAMETER FOR $\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-0.03 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE				
-0.019 ± 0.056		AIHARA 87	TPC	$2\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
-0.069 ± 0.078	295	GRIGORIAN 75	STRC	$2.1 \pi^- p$
0.00 ± 0.10	103	KALBFLEISCH 75	HBC	$2.18 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.07 ± 0.08	152	RITTENBERG 65	HBC	$2.1-2.7 K^- p$

$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$ TRANSITION FORM FACTOR SLOPE

Related to the effective virtual meson mass Λ , via slope $\approx \Lambda^{-2}$. See e.g. LANDSBERG 85, eq. (3.8), for a detailed definition.

VALUE (GeV ⁻²)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.62 ± 0.17 OUR AVERAGE				
1.60 ± 0.17 ± 0.08	864	¹ ABLIKIM	150 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma e^+ e^-$
1.7 ± 0.4	33	¹ VIKTOROV	80	25,33 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\mu\gamma$

¹In the single-pole Ansatz where slope = $1/(\Lambda^2 + \gamma^2)$ with Λ , γ being a Breit-Wigner mass, width for the effective contributing vector meson.

 $\eta'(958)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	15AD	PR D92 051101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15G	PR D92 012014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15O	PR D92 012001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15P	PR D92 012007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
ACHASOV	15	PR D91 092010	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	15	PL B740 273	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
ABLIKIM	14M	PRL 112 251801	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
DONSKOV	14	MPL A29 1450213	S. Donskov <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS-4 π Collab.)
PDG	14	CPC 38 070001	K. Olive <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13	PR D87 012009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13G	PR D87 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13O	PR D87 092011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13U	PR D88 091502	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
ABLIKIM	12E	PRL 108 182001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
PDG	12	PR D86 010001	J. Beringer <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ABLIKIM	11	PR D83 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
ABLIKIM	11G	PR D84 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
CZERWINSKI	10	PRL 105 122001	E. Czerwinski <i>et al.</i>	(COSY-11 Collab.)
BLIK	09	PAN 72 231	A.M. Blik <i>et al.</i>	(IHEP (Protvino))
		Translated from YAF 72 258.		
NAIK	09	PRL 102 061801	P. Naik <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PEDLAR	09	PR D79 111101	T.K. Pedlar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BLIK	08	PAN 71 2124	A. Blik <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS-4 π Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 71 2161.		
LIBBY	08	PRL 101 182002	J. Libby <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
WICHT	08	PL B662 323	J. Wicht <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DOROFEEV	07	PL B651 22	V. Dorofeev <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
MORI	07A	JPSJ 76 074102	T. Mori <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06E	PR D73 052008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06Q	PRL 97 202002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
AMELIN	05A	PAN 68 372	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 68 401.		
AMSLER	04B	EPJ C33 23	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BAI	04J	PL B594 47	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BRIERE	00	PRL 84 26	R. Briere <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ACCIARRI	98Q	PL B418 399	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
BARBERIS	98C	PL B440 225	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
WURZINGER	96	PL B374 283	R. Wurzinger <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, ORSAY, SACL+)
PDG	94	PR D50 1173	L. Montanet <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, LBL, BOST+)
AMSLER	93	ZPHY C58 175	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BELADIDZE	92C	SJNP 55 1535	G.M. Beladidze, S.I. Bitukov, G.V. Borisov	(SERP+)
		Translated from YAF 55 2748.		
KARCH	92	ZPHY C54 33	K. Karch <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	91B	ZPHY C52 389	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BEHREND	91	ZPHY C49 401	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
AUGUSTIN	90	PR D42 10	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
BARU	90	ZPHY C48 581	S.E. Baru <i>et al.</i>	(MD-1 Collab.)
BUTLER	90	PR D42 1368	F. Butler <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)
KARCH	90	PL B249 353	K. Karch <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ROE	90	PR D41 17	N.A. Roe <i>et al.</i>	(ASP Collab.)
AIHARA	88C	PR D38 1	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 γ Collab.)
VOROBYEV	88	SJNP 48 273	P.V. Vorobiev <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 48 436.		

WILLIAMS	88	PR D38 1365	D.A. Williams <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
AIHARA	87	PR D35 2650	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 γ Collab.) JP
ALBRECHT	87B	PL B199 457	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALDE	87B	ZPHY C36 603	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, BELG, SERP, LAPP)
ANTREASYAN	87	PR D36 2633	D. Antreasyan <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
GIDAL	87	PRL 59 2012	G. Gidal <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC, HARV)
ALDE	86	PL B177 115	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP)
BARTEL	85E	PL 160B 421	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
LANDSBERG	85	PRPL 128 301	L.G. Landsberg	(SERP)
ALTHOFF	84E	PL 147B 487	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
BERGER	84B	PL 142B 125	C. Berger	(PLUTO Collab.)
BINON	84	PL 140B 264	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LAPP+)
BEHREND	83B	PL 125B 518 (erratum)	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
Also		PL 114B 378	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
JENNI	83	PR D27 1031	P. Jenni <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)
BARTEL	82B	PL 113B 190	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
DZHEL'YADIN	81	PL 105B 239	R.I. Dzhelelyadin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
STANTON	80	PL B92 353	N.R. Stanton <i>et al.</i>	(OSU, CARL, MCGI+)
VIKTOROV	80	SJNP 32 520	V.A. Viktorov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
APEL	79	PL 83B 131	W.D. Apel, K.H. Augenstein, E. Bertolucci	(KARLK+)
BINNIE	79	PL 83B 141	D.M. Binnie <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC)
ZANFINO	77	PRL 38 930	C. Z Anfino <i>et al.</i>	(CARL, MCGI, OHIO+)
GRIGORIAN	75	NP B91 232	A. Grigorian <i>et al.</i>	(+)
KALBFLEISCH	75	PR D11 987	G.R. Kalbfleisch, R.C. Strand, J.W. Chapman	(BNL+)
DUANE	74	PRL 32 425	A. Duane <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, SHMP)
KALBFLEISCH	74	PR D10 916	G.R. Kalbfleisch	(BNL)
DANBURG	73	PR D8 3744	J.S. Danburg <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, MICH) JP
JACOBS	73	PR D8 18	S.M. Jacobs <i>et al.</i>	(BRAN, UMD, SYRA+) JP
AGUILAR-...	72B	PR D6 29	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
APEL	72	PL 40B 680	W.D. Apel <i>et al.</i>	(KARLK, KARLE, PISA)
DALPIAZ	72	PL 42B 377	P.F. Dalpiaz <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
BASILE	71	NC 3A 371	M. Basile <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, BGNA, STRB)
HARVEY	71	PRL 27 885	E.H. Harvey <i>et al.</i>	(MINN, MICH)
BENSINGER	70	PL 33B 505	J.R. Bensinger <i>et al.</i>	(WISC)
RITTENBERG	69	Thesis UCRL 18863	A. Rittenberg	(LRL) I
DAVIS	68	PL 27B 532	R. Davis <i>et al.</i>	(NWES, ANL)
LONDON	66	PR 143 1034	G.W. London <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, SYRA) IJP
BADIER	65B	PL 17 337	J. Badier <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, SACL, AMST)
RITTENBERG	65	PRL 15 556	A. Rittenberg, G.R. Kalbfleisch	(LRL, BNL)
DAUBER	64	PRL 13 449	P.M. Dauber <i>et al.</i>	(UCLA) JP
KALBFLEISCH	64B	PRL 13 349	G.R. Kalbfleisch, O.I. Dahl, A. Rittenberg	(LRL) JP