

$\rho(1450)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^-)$$

See our mini-review under the $\rho(1700)$. **$\rho(1450)$ MASS**VALUE (MeV)DOCUMENT ID**1465 ± 25 OUR ESTIMATE** This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values. **$\eta\rho^0$ MODE**VALUE (MeV)DOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

1497 ± 14	¹ AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
1421 ± 15	² AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1470 ± 20	ANTONELLI 88	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1446 ± 10	FUKUI 88	SPEC	$8.95 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

¹ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 01B on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$, AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 88 on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$.² Using the data of ANTONELLI 88, DOLINSKY 91, and AKHMETSHIN 00D. The energy-independent width of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ mesons assumed. **$\omega\pi$ MODE**VALUE (MeV)EVTSDOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

1544 ± 22 ⁺¹¹ ₋₄₆	821	¹ MATVIENKO 15	BELL	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\omega\pi^-$
1491 ± 19	7815	² ACHASOV 13	SND	$1.05-2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1582 ± 17 ± 25	2382	³ AKHMETSHIN 03B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1349 ± 25 ⁺¹⁰ ₋₅	341	⁴ ALEXANDER 01B	CLE2	$B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\omega\pi^-$
1523 ± 10		⁵ EDWARDS 00A	CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^- \nu_\tau$
1463 ± 25		⁶ CLEGG 94	RVUE	
1250		⁷ ASTON 80C	OMEG	$20-70 \gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$
1290 ± 40		⁷ BARBER 80C	SPEC	$3-5 \gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$

¹ Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming equal probabilities of the $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$ decays.² From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with the interfering $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ and their widths fixed at 400 and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated.³ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 03B and BISELLO 91B assuming the $\omega\pi^0$ and $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass dependence of the total width. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 240 MeV, respectively.⁴ Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming the $\omega\pi^-$ mass dependence for the total width.⁵ Mass-independent width parameterization. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV respectively.⁶ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.⁷ Not separated from $b_1(1235)$, not pure $J^P = 1^-$ effect.

4 π MODE

VALUE (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1435 ± 40		ABELE	01B CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^- 2\pi^0 \pi^+$
1350 ± 50		ACHASOV	97 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1449 ± 4		¹ ARMSTRONG	89E OMEG	300 $pp \rightarrow p\rho 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

¹ Not clear whether this observation has $l=1$ or 0.

 $\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1350 ± 20	$\begin{smallmatrix} +20 \\ -30 \end{smallmatrix}$ 63.5k	¹ ABRAMOWICZ12	ZEUS	$e p \rightarrow e \pi^+ \pi^- p$
1493 ± 15		² LEES	12G BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
1446 ± 7	± 28 5.4M	^{3,4} FUJIKAWA	08 BELL	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
1328 ± 15		⁵ SCHAEEL	05C ALEP	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
1406 ± 15	87k	^{3,6} ANDERSON	00A CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
~ 1368		⁷ ABELE	99C CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
1348 ± 33		BERTIN	98 OBLX	0.05–0.405 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ \pi^-$
1411 ± 14		⁸ ABELE	97 CBAR	$\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
1370 $\begin{smallmatrix} +90 \\ -70 \end{smallmatrix}$		ACHASOV	97 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
1359 ± 40		⁶ BERTIN	97C OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1282 ± 37		BERTIN	97D OBLX	0.05 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
1424 ± 25		BISELLO	89 DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
1265.5 ± 75.3		DUBNICKA	89 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
1292 ± 17		⁹ KURDADZE	83 OLYA	0.64–1.4 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting ρ – ω interference.

² Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$, and $\rho(2150)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit.

³ From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.

⁴ $|F_\pi(0)|^2$ fixed to 1.

⁵ From the combined fit of the τ^- data from ANDERSON 00A and SCHAEEL 05C and $e^+ e^-$ data from the compilation of BARKOV 85, AKHMETSHIN 04, and ALOISIO 05. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1713 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively. Supersedes BARATE 97M.

⁶ $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively.

⁷ $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1780 MeV and 275 MeV respectively.

⁸ T-matrix pole.

⁹ Using for $\rho(1700)$ mass and width 1600 ± 20 and 300 ± 10 MeV respectively.

 $K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
1422.8 ± 6.5	27k	¹ ABELE	99D CBAR	±	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹ K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be $\omega(1420)$.

$K\bar{K}^*(892) + c.c.$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1505 \pm 19 \pm 7$	AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma$

 $\rho(1450)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
400 ± 60 OUR ESTIMATE	This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.

 $\eta\rho^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
226 ± 44	¹ AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
211 ± 31	² AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
230 ± 30	ANTONELLI 88	DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
60 ± 15	FUKUI 88	SPEC	$8.95 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

¹ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 01B on $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$, AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 88 on $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$.

² Using the data of ANTONELLI 88, DOLINSKY 91, and AKHMETSHIN 00D. The energy-independent width of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ mesons assumed.

 $\omega\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$303^{+31}_{-52} \pm 69_{-7}$	821	¹ MATVIENKO 15	BELL	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\omega\pi^-$
$429 \pm 42 \pm 10$	2382	² AKHMETSHIN 03B	CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
$547 \pm 86^{+46}_{-45}$	341	³ ALEXANDER 01B	CLE2	$B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\omega\pi^-$
400 ± 35		⁴ EDWARDS 00A	CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^- \nu_\tau$
311 ± 62		⁵ CLEGG 94	RVUE	
300		⁶ ASTON 80C	OMEG	$20-70 \gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$
320 ± 100		⁶ BARBER 80C	SPEC	$3-5 \gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$

¹ Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming equal probabilities of the $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$ decays.

² Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 03B and BISELLO 91B assuming the $\omega\pi^0$ and $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass dependence of the total width. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 240 MeV, respectively.

³ Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming the $\omega\pi^-$ mass dependence for the total width.

⁴ Mass-independent width parameterization. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV respectively.

⁵ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.

⁶ Not separated from $b_1(1235)$, not pure $J^P = 1^-$ effect.

 4π MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
325 ± 100	ABELE	01B CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} n \rightarrow 2\pi^- 2\pi^0 \pi^+$

$\pi\pi$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$460 \pm 30^{+40}_{-45}$	63.5k	¹ ABRAMOWICZ12	ZEUS	$e p \rightarrow e \pi^+ \pi^- p$
427 ± 31		² LEES	12G BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
$434 \pm 16 \pm 60$	5.4M	^{3,4} FUJIKAWA	08 BELL	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
468 ± 41		⁵ SCHAEEL	05C ALEP	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
455 ± 41	87k	^{3,6} ANDERSON	00A CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
~ 374		⁷ ABELE	99C CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} d \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
275 ± 10		BERTIN	98 OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{n} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
343 ± 20		⁸ ABELE	97 CBAR	$\bar{p} n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
310 ± 40		⁶ BERTIN	97C OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
236 ± 36		BERTIN	97D OBLX	$0.05 \bar{p} p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
269 ± 31		BISELLO	89 DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
391 ± 70		DUBNICKA	89 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
218 ± 46		⁹ KURDADZE	83 OLYA	$0.64-1.4 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting $\rho-\omega$ interference.

² Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$, and $\rho(2150)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit.

³ From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.

⁴ $|F_\pi(0)|^2$ fixed to 1.

⁵ From the combined fit of the τ^- data from ANDERSON 00A and SCHAEEL 05C and $e^+ e^-$ data from the compilation of BARKOV 85, AKHMETSHIN 04, and ALOISIO 05. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1713 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively. Supersedes BARATE 97M.

⁶ $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively.

⁷ $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1780 MeV and 275 MeV respectively.

⁸ T-matrix pole.

⁹ Using for $\rho(1700)$ mass and width 1600 ± 20 and 300 ± 10 MeV respectively.

 $K\bar{K}$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
146.5 ± 10.5	27k	¹ ABELE	99D CBAR	\pm	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹ K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be $\omega(1420)$.

 $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.} \text{ MODE}$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$418 \pm 25 \pm 4$	AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma$

$\rho(1450)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_2 4π	seen
Γ_3 $\omega\pi$	
Γ_4 $a_1(1260)\pi$	
Γ_5 $h_1(1170)\pi$	
Γ_6 $\pi(1300)\pi$	
Γ_7 $\rho\rho$	
Γ_8 $\rho(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}$	
Γ_9 e^+e^-	seen
Γ_{10} $\eta\rho$	seen
Γ_{11} $a_2(1320)\pi$	not seen
Γ_{12} $K\bar{K}$	not seen
Γ_{13} $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	possibly seen
Γ_{14} $\eta\gamma$	seen
Γ_{15} $f_0(500)\gamma$	not seen
Γ_{16} $f_0(980)\gamma$	not seen
Γ_{17} $f_0(1370)\gamma$	not seen
Γ_{18} $f_2(1270)\gamma$	not seen

 $\rho(1450) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$ **$\Gamma(\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_1\Gamma_9/\Gamma$**

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.12	¹ DIEKMAN	88	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
$0.027^{+0.015}_{-0.010}$	² KURDADZE	83	OLYA $0.64\text{--}1.4 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

 $\Gamma(\eta\rho) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{10}\Gamma_9/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
74 ± 20	³ AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
91 ± 19	ANTONELLI	88 DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

 $\Gamma(\eta\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{14}\Gamma_9/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
<16.4	⁴ AKHMETSHIN 05	CMD2	$0.60\text{--}1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
$2.2 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.3$	⁵ AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{13}\Gamma_9/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
 127±15±6 AUBERT 08S BABR 10.6 e⁺e⁻ → K $\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma$

- ¹ Using total width = 235 MeV.
- ² Using for $\rho(1700)$ mass and width 1600 ± 20 and 300 ± 10 MeV respectively.
- ³ Using the data of ANTONELLI 88, DOLINSKY 91, and AKHMETSHIN 00D. The energy-independent width of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ mesons assumed.
- ⁴ From 2 γ decay mode of η using 1465 MeV and 310 MeV for the $\rho(1450)$ mass and width. Recalculated by us.
- ⁵ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 01B on e⁺e⁻ → $\eta\gamma$, AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 88 on e⁺e⁻ → $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$. Recalculated by us using width of 226 MeV.

$\rho(1450) \Gamma(i)/\Gamma(\text{total}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_3/\Gamma \times \Gamma_9/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁶)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
---------------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
 5.3±0.4 7815 ¹ACHASOV 13 SND 1.05–2.00 e⁺e⁻ → $\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_9/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁷)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
---------------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
 4.3^{+1.1}_{-0.9}±0.2 4.9k ²AULCHENKO 15 SND 1.22–2.00 e⁺e⁻ → $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(f_0(500)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_9/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁹)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
---------------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<4.0 90 ACHASOV 11 SND e⁺e⁻ → $\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(f_0(980)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_9/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁹)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
---------------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<2.6 90 ACHASOV 11 SND e⁺e⁻ → $\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(f_0(1370)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_9/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁹)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
---------------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<3.5 90 ACHASOV 11 SND e⁺e⁻ → $\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_9/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁹)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
---------------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<0.8 90 ³ACHASOV 11 SND e⁺e⁻ → $\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

- ¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with the interfering $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ and their widths fixed at 400 and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated.
- ² From a fit to the e⁺e⁻ → $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$ cross section with vector meson dominance model including $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$ decaying exclusively via $\eta\rho(770)$. Masses and widths of vector states are fixed to PDG 14. Coupling constants are assumed to be real.
- ³ Using Breit-Wigner parametrization of the $\rho(1450)$ with mass and width of 1465 MeV and 400 MeV, respectively.

$\rho(1450)$ BRANCHING RATIOS **$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_1/Γ_2**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.37±0.10	^{1,2} ABELE	01B	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$

 $\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	821	³ MATVIENKO	15	BELL $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\omega\pi^-$
seen	1.6k	ACHASOV	12	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
~ 0.21		CLEGG	94	RVUE

 $\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$ Γ_1/Γ_3

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
~ 0.32	CLEGG	94	RVUE

 $\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_3/Γ_2

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
<0.14	CLEGG	88	RVUE

 $\Gamma(a_1(1260)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_4/Γ_2

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.27±0.08	¹ ABELE	01B	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$

 $\Gamma(h_1(1170)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_5/Γ_2

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.08±0.04	¹ ABELE	01B	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$

 $\Gamma(\pi(1300)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_6/Γ_2

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.37±0.13	¹ ABELE	01B	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$

 $\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_7/Γ_2

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.11±0.05	¹ ABELE	01B	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$

 $\Gamma(\rho(\pi\pi)_{\text{S-wave}})/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_8/Γ_2

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.17±0.09	¹ ABELE	01B	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{10}/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
seen	35	⁴ ACHASOV	14	SND	1.15–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.04		DONNACHIE	87B	RVUE	

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$					Γ_{10}/Γ_3
<u>VALUE</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.081 ± 0.020		^{5,6} AULCHENKO	15	SND	1.22–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
~ 0.24		⁷ DONNACHIE	91	RVUE	
>2		FUKUI	91	SPEC	8.95 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0n$

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\rho)$					Γ_1/Γ_{10}
<u>VALUE</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.3 ± 0.4		⁵ AULCHENKO	15	SND	1.22–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{11}/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
not seen		AMELIN	00	VES	37 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$					Γ_{12}/Γ_3
<u>VALUE</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.08		⁷ DONNACHIE	91	RVUE	

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{13}/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>		<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
possibly seen		COAN	04	CLEO	$\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^- K^+ \nu_\tau$

$\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{14}/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
seen	35	⁴ ACHASOV	14	SND	1.15–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

¹ $\omega\pi$ not included.

² Using ABELE 97.

³ Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming equal probabilities of the $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$ decays.

⁴ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.

⁵ From a fit to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ cross section with vector meson dominance model including $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$ decaying exclusively via $\eta\rho(770)$. Masses and widths of vector states are fixed to PDG 14. Coupling constants are assumed to be real.

⁶ Reports the inverse of the quoted value as 12.3 ± 3.1 .

⁷ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.

$\rho(1450)$ REFERENCES

AULCHENKO	15	PR D91 052013	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
MATVIENKO	15	PR D92 012013	D. Matvienko <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ACHASOV	14	PR D90 032002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
PDG	14	CPC 38 070001	K. Olive <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ACHASOV	13	PR D88 054013	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ABRAMOWICZ	12	EPJ C72 1869	H. Abramowicz <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ACHASOV	12	JETPL 94 734	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from ZETFP 94 796.		
LEES	12G	PR D86 032013	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	12	PR D86 010001	J. Beringer <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ACHASOV	11	JETP 113 75	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETF 140 87.		
AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
FUJIKAWA	08	PR D78 072006	M. Fujikawa <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	05	PL B605 26	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ALOISIO	05	PL B606 12	A. Aloisio <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
SCHAEEL	05C	PRPL 421 191	S. Schaeel <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	04	PL B578 285	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
COAN	04	PRL 92 232001	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	03B	PL B562 173	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ABELE	01B	EPJ C21 261	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	01B	PL B509 217	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ALEXANDER	01B	PR D64 092001	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	00D	PL B489 125	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AMELIN	00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
ANDERSON	00A	PR D61 112002	S. Anderson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
EDWARDS	00A	PR D61 072003	K.W. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABELE	99C	PL B450 275	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	99D	PL B468 178	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BERTIN	98	PR D57 55	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE	97	PL B391 191	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACHASOV	97	PR D55 2663	N.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVM)
BARATE	97M	ZPHY C76 15	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BERTIN	97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BERTIN	97D	PL B414 220	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
BISELLO	91B	NPBPS B21 111	D. Bisello	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
DONNACHIE	91	ZPHY C51 689	A. Donnachie, A.B. Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)
FUKUI	91	PL B257 241	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)
KUHN	90	ZPHY C48 445	J.H. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM)
ARMSTRONG	89E	PL B228 536	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BISELLO	89	PL B220 321	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DUBNICKA	89	JP G15 1349	S. Dubnicka <i>et al.</i>	(JINR, SLOV)
ANTONELLI	88	PL B212 133	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
CLEGG	88	ZPHY C40 313	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(MCHS, LANC)
DIEKMAN	88	PRPL 159 99	B. Diekmann	(BONN)
FUKUI	88	PL B202 441	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)
ALBRECHT	87L	PL B185 223	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
DONNACHIE	87B	ZPHY C34 257	A. Donnachie, A.B. Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)
DOLINSKY	86	PL B174 453	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BARKOV	85	NP B256 365	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
KURDADZE	83	JETPL 37 733	L.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 37 613.		
ASTON	80C	PL 92B 211	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
BARBER	80C	ZPHY C4 169	D.P. Barber <i>et al.</i>	(DARE, LANC, SHEF)
GOUNARIS	68	PRL 21 244	G.J. Gounaris, J.J. Sakurai	