

$\Sigma(1770) 1/2^+$  $I(J^P) = 1(\frac{1}{2}^+)$  Status: \*

## OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Evidence for this state now rests solely on solution 1 of BAILLON 75, (see the footnotes) but the  $\Lambda\pi$  partial-wave amplitudes of this solution are in disagreement with amplitudes from most other  $\Lambda\pi$  analyses. ZHANG 13A finds no evidence for this state.

 $\Sigma(1770)$  MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>\approx 1770</math> OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
1738 $\pm$ 10	1 GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1770 $\pm$ 20	2 BAILLON	75	IPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$
1772	3 KANE	72	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$

 $\Sigma(1770)$  WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
72 $\pm$ 10	1 GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
80 $\pm$ 30	2 BAILLON	75	IPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$
80	3 KANE	72	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$

 $\Sigma(1770)$  DECAY MODES

Mode
$\Gamma_1$ $N\bar{K}$
$\Gamma_2$ $\Lambda\pi$
$\Gamma_3$ $\Sigma\pi$

 $\Sigma(1770)$  BRANCHING RATIOS

See "Sign conventions for resonance couplings" in the Note on  $\Lambda$  and  $\Sigma$  Resonances.

$\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
0.14 $\pm$ 0.04	1 GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel	

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Sigma(1770) \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
< 0.04	GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel	
-0.08 $\pm$ 0.02	2 BAILLON	75	IPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$	

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Sigma(1770) \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$				$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_3)^{1/2} / \Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
< 0.04	GOPAL	77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
-0.108	<sup>3</sup> KANE	72	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$

### $\Sigma(1770)$ FOOTNOTES

<sup>1</sup> Required to fit the isospin-1 total cross section of CARROLL 76 in the  $\bar{K}N$  channel. The addition of new  $K^- p$  polarization and  $K^- n$  differential cross-section data in GOPAL 80 find it to be more consistent with the  $\Sigma(1660) P_{11}$ .

<sup>2</sup> From solution 1 of BAILLON 75; not present in solution 2.

<sup>3</sup> Not required in KANE 74, which supersedes KANE 72.

### $\Sigma(1770)$ REFERENCES

ZHANG	13A	PR C88 035205	H. Zhang <i>et al.</i>	(KSU)
GOPAL	80	Toronto Conf. 159	G.P. Gopal	(RHEL)
GOPAL	77	NP B119 362	G.P. Gopal <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, RHEL) IJP
CARROLL	76	PRL 37 806	A.S. Carroll <i>et al.</i>	(BNL) I
BAILLON	75	NP B94 39	P.H. Baillon, P.J. Litchfield	(CERN, RHEL) IJP
KANE	74	LBL-2452	D.F. Kane	(LBL) IJP
KANE	72	PR D5 1583	D.F.J. Kane	(LBL)