CPT INVARIANCE TESTS IN NEUTRAL KAON DECAY

Updated October 2013 by M. Antonelli (LNF-INFN, Frascati) and G. D'Ambrosio (INFN Sezione di Napoli).

CPT theorem is based on three assumptions: quantum field theory, locality, and Lorentz invariance, and thus it is a fundamental probe of our basic understanding of particle physics. Strangeness oscillation in $K^0 - \overline{K}^0$ system, described by the equation

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\left[\frac{K^0}{\overline{K}^0}\right] = \left[M - i\Gamma/2\right]\left[\frac{K^0}{\overline{K}^0}\right] ,$$

where M and Γ are hermitian matrices (see PDG review [1], references [2,3], and KLOE paper [5] for notations and previous literature), allows a very accurate test of CPT symmetry; indeed since CPT requires $M_{11} = M_{22}$ and $\Gamma_{11} = \Gamma_{22}$, the mass and width eigenstates, $K_{S,L}$, have a CPT-violating piece, δ , in addition to the usual CPT-conserving parameter ϵ :

$$K_{S,L} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\left(1 + |\epsilon_{S,L}|^2\right)}} \left[\left(1 + \epsilon_{S,L}\right) K^0 \pm \left(1 - \epsilon_{S,L}\right) \overline{K}^0 \right]$$

$$\epsilon_{S,L} = \frac{-i\Im\left(M_{12}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\Im\left(\Gamma_{12}\right) \mp \frac{1}{2} \left[M_{11} - M_{22} - \frac{i}{2}\left(\Gamma_{11} - \Gamma_{22}\right)\right]}{m_L - m_S + i(\Gamma_S - \Gamma_L)/2}$$

$$\equiv \epsilon \pm \delta. \tag{1}$$

Using the phase convention $\Im(\Gamma_{12}) = 0$, we determine the phase of ϵ to be $\varphi_{SW} \equiv \arctan \frac{2(m_L - m_S)}{\Gamma_S - \Gamma_L}$. Imposing unitarity to an arbitrary combination of K^0 and \overline{K}^0 wave functions, we obtain the Bell-Steinberger relation [4] connecting CP and CPT violation in the mass matrix to CP and CPT violation in the decay; in fact, neglecting $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ corrections to the coefficient of the CPT-violating parameter, δ , we can write [5]

$$\left[\frac{\Gamma_S + \Gamma_L}{\Gamma_S - \Gamma_L} + i \tan \phi_{\rm SW}\right] \left[\frac{\Re(\epsilon)}{1 + |\epsilon|^2} - i\Im(\delta)\right] = \frac{1}{\Gamma_S - \Gamma_L} \sum_f A_L(f) A_S^*(f),$$
(2)

CITATION: C. Patrignani et al. (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, 40, 100001 (2016)

October 1, 2016 19:58

where $A_{L,S}(f) \equiv A(K_{L,S} \rightarrow f)$. We stress that this relation is phase-convention-independent. The advantage of the neutral kaon system is that only a few decay modes give significant contributions to the r.h.s. in Eq. (2); in fact, defining for the hadronic modes

$$\alpha_i \equiv \frac{1}{\Gamma_S} \langle \mathcal{A}_L(i) \mathcal{A}_S^*(i) \rangle = \eta_i \ \mathcal{B}(K_S \to i),$$

$$i = \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^+ \pi^-(\gamma), 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-(\gamma), \qquad (3)$$

the recent data from CPLEAR, KLOE, KTeV, and NA48 have led to the following determinations (the analysis described in Ref. 5 has been updated by using the recent measurements of K_L branching ratios from KTeV [6,7], NA48 [8,9], and the results described in the *CP* violation in K_L decays minireview, and the recent KLOE result [10])

$$\alpha_{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}} = ((1.112 \pm 0.010) + i(1.061 \pm 0.010)) \times 10^{-3} ,$$

$$\alpha_{\pi^{0}\pi^{0}} = ((0.493 \pm 0.005) + i(0.471 \pm 0.005)) \times 10^{-3} ,$$

$$\alpha_{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0}} = ((0 \pm 2) + i(0 \pm 2)) \times 10^{-6} ,$$

$$|\alpha_{\pi^{0}\pi^{0}\pi^{0}}| < 1.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ at } 95\% \text{ CL} .$$

(4)

The semileptonic contribution to the right-handed side of Eq. (2) requires the determination of several observables: we define [2,3]

$$\mathcal{A}(K^{0} \to \pi^{-}l^{+}\nu) = \mathcal{A}_{0}(1-y) ,$$

$$\mathcal{A}(K^{0} \to \pi^{+}l^{-}\nu) = \mathcal{A}_{0}^{*}(1+y^{*})(x_{+}-x_{-})^{*} ,$$

$$\mathcal{A}(\overline{K}^{0} \to \pi^{+}l^{-}\nu) = \mathcal{A}_{0}^{*}(1+y^{*}) ,$$

$$\mathcal{A}(\overline{K}^{0} \to \pi^{-}l^{+}\nu) = \mathcal{A}_{0}(1-y)(x_{+}+x_{-}) ,$$
(5)

where x_+ (x_-) describes the violation of the $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ rule in *CPT*-conserving (violating) decay amplitudes, and yparametrizes *CPT* violation for $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ transitions. Taking advantage of their tagged $K^0(\overline{K}^0)$ beams, CPLEAR has measured $\Im(x_+)$, $\Re(x_-)$, $\Im(\delta)$, and $\Re(\delta)$ [11]. These determinations have been improved in Ref. 5 by including the information $A_S - A_L = 4[\Re(\delta) + \Re(x_-)]$, where $A_{L,S}$ are the K_L and K_S semileptonic charge asymmetries, respectively, from the PDG [12] and KLOE [13]. Here we are also including the T-violating asymmetry measurement from CPLEAR [14].

Table 1: Values, errors, and correlation coefficients for $\Re(\delta)$, $\Im(\delta)$, $\Re(x_{-})$, $\Im(x_{+})$, and $A_{S} + A_{L}$ obtained from a combined fit, including KLOE [5] and CPLEAR [14].

	value	Correlations coefficients
$\Re(\delta)$	$(3.0 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-4}$	1
$\Im(\delta)$	$(-0.66 \pm 0.65) \times 10^{-2}$	-0.21 1
$\Re(x_{-})$	$(-0.30 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-2}$	-0.21 -0.60 1
$\Im(x_+)$	$(0.02 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$	-0.38 -0.14 0.47 1
$A_S + A_L$	$(-0.40 \pm 0.83) \times 10^{-2}$	-0.10 -0.63 0.99 0.43 1

The value $A_S + A_L$ in Table 1 can be directly included in the semileptonic contributions to the Bell Steinberger relations in Eq. (2)

$$\sum_{\pi\ell\nu} \langle \mathcal{A}_L(\pi\ell\nu)\mathcal{A}_S^*(\pi\ell\nu) \rangle$$

= $2\Gamma(K_L \to \pi\ell\nu)(\Re(\epsilon) - \Re(y) - i(\Im(x_+) + \Im(\delta)))$
= $2\Gamma(K_L \to \pi\ell\nu)((A_S + A_L)/4 - i(\Im(x_+) + \Im(\delta)))$. (6)

Defining

$$\alpha_{\pi\ell\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{\Gamma_S} \sum_{\pi\ell\nu} \langle \mathcal{A}_L(\pi\ell\nu) \mathcal{A}_S^*(\pi\ell\nu) \rangle + 2i \frac{\tau_{K_S}}{\tau_{K_L}} \mathcal{B}(K_L \to \pi\ell\nu) \Im(\delta) ,$$
(7)

we find:

$$\alpha_{\pi\ell\nu} = ((-0.2 \pm 0.5) + i(0.1 \pm 0.5)) \times 10^{-5}.$$

Inserting the values of the α parameters into Eq. (2), we find

$$\Re(\epsilon) = (161.1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5},$$

$$\Im(\delta) = (-0.7 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-5}.$$
 (8)

The complete information on Eq. (8) is given in Table 2.

	1	Completions of fisionts
	value	Correlations coefficients
$\Re(\epsilon)$	$(161.1\pm 0.5)\times 10^{-5}$	+1
$\Im(\delta)$	$(-0.7 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-5}$	+0.09 1
$\Re(\delta)$	$(2.4 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-4}$	+0.08 -0.12 1
$\Re(x_{-})$	$(-4.1 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-3}$	+0.14 0.22 -0.43 1

Table 2: Summary of results: values, errors, and correlation coefficients for $\Re(\epsilon)$, $\Im(\delta)$, $\Re(\delta)$, and $\Re(x_{-})$.

Now the agreement with CPT conservation, $\Im(\delta) = \Re(\delta) = \Re(x_{-}) = 0$, is at 18% C.L.

The allowed region in the $\Re(\epsilon) - \Im(\delta)$ plane at 68% CL and 95% C.L. is shown in the top panel of Fig. 1.

The process giving the largest contribution to the size of the allowed region is $K_L \to \pi^+ \pi^-$, through the uncertainty on ϕ_{+-} .

The limits on $\Im(\delta)$ and $\Re(\delta)$ can be used to constrain the $K^0 - \overline{K}^0$ mass and width difference

$$\delta = \frac{i(m_{K^0} - m_{\overline{K}^0}) + \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_{K^0} - \Gamma_{\overline{K}^0})}{\Gamma_S - \Gamma_L} \cos \phi_{SW} e^{i\phi_{SW}} [1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)].$$

The allowed region in the $\Delta M = (m_{K^0} - m_{\overline{K}^0}), \Delta \Gamma = (\Gamma_{K^0} - \Gamma_{\overline{K}^0})$ plane is shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 1. As a result, we improve on the previous limits (see for instance, P. Bloch in Ref. 12) and in the limit $\Gamma_{K^0} - \Gamma_{\overline{K}^0} = 0$ we obtain

$$-4.0 \times 10^{-19} \text{ GeV} < m_{K^0} - m_{\overline{K}^0} < 4.0 \times 10^{-19} \text{ GeV}$$
 at 95 % C.L.



Figure 1: Top: allowed region at 68% and 95% C.L. in the $\Re(\epsilon)$, $\Im(\delta)$ plane. Bottom: allowed region at 68% and 95% C.L. in the $\Delta M, \Delta \Gamma$ plane.

References

- 1. See the "CP Violation in Meson Decays," in this Review.
- L. Maiani, "CP And CPT Violation in Neutral Kaon Decays," L. Maiani, G. Pancheri, and N. Paver, The Second Daphne Physics Handbook, Vol. 1, 2.
- G. D'Ambrosio, G. Isidori, and A. Pugliese, "CP and CPT mesurements at DAΦNE," L. Maiani, G. Pancheri, and N. Paver, The Second Daphne Physics Handbook, Vol. 1, 2.
- J. S. Bell and J. Steinberger, In Wolfenstein, L. (ed.): CP violation, 42-57. (In Oxford International Symposium Conference on Elementary Particles, September 1965, 195-208, 221-222). (See Book Index).
- F. Ambrosino *et al.*, [KLOE Collab.], JHEP 0612, 011 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ex/0610034].
- T. Alexopoulos *et al.*, [KTeV Collab.], Phys. Rev. D70, 092006 (1998).
- E. Abouzaid *et al.* [KTeV Collab.], Phys. Rev. D83, 092001 (2011).
- A. Lai *et al.*, [NA48 Collab.], Phys. Lett. B645, 26 (2007);
 A. Lai *et al.*, [NA48 Collab.], Phys. Lett. B602, 41 (2004).
- 9. We thank G. Isidori and M. Palutan for their contribution to the original analysis [5] performed with KLOE data.
- D. Babusci *et al.*, [KLOE Collab.], Phys. Lett. **B723**, 54 (2013).
- A. Angelopoulos *et al.*, [CPLEAR Collab.], Phys. Lett. B444, 52 (1998).
- W. M. Yao *et al.*, [Particle Data Group], J. Phys. G33, 1 (2006).
- F. Ambrosino *et al.*, [KLOE Collab.], Phys. Lett. B636, 173 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ex/0601026].
- P. Bloch, M. Fidecaro, private communication of the data in a finer binning format; A. Angelopoulos *et al.*, [CPLEAR Collab.], Phys. Lett. **B444**, 43 (1998).
- 15. We thank M. Palutan for the collaboration in this analysis.