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20.1. Introduction to fragmentation

The term ‘fragmentation functions’ is widely used for two conceptually different (albeit related) sets of functions describing final-state single particle energy distributions in hard scattering processes (see Refs. [1,2] for introductory reviews, and Refs. [3,4] for summaries of experimental and theoretical research in this field).

The first are cross-section observables such as the functions $F_{T,L,A}(x,s)$ in semi-inclusive $e^+e^-$ annihilation at center-of-mass (CM) energy $\sqrt{s}$ via an intermediate photon or Z-boson, $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma/Z \rightarrow h + X$, given by

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_0} \frac{d^2\sigma^h}{dx \, d\cos \theta} = \frac{3}{8} (1 + \cos^2 \theta) F_{T}^h(x, s) + \frac{3}{4} \sin^2 \theta F_{L}^h(x, s) + \frac{3}{4} \cos \theta F_{A}^h(x, s). \quad (20.1)$$

Here $x = 2E_h/\sqrt{s} \leq 1$ is the scaled energy of the hadron $h$ (in practice the approximation $x \simeq x_p = 2p_h/\sqrt{s}$ or $x \simeq p/p_{\text{max}}$ is often used), and $\theta$ is its angle relative to the electron beam in the CM frame. Eq. (20.1) is the most general form for unpolarized inclusive single-particle production via vector bosons [5]. The transverse and longitudinal fragmentation functions $F_T$ and $F_L$ represent the contributions from $\gamma/Z$ polarizations transverse or longitudinal with respect to the direction of motion of the hadron. The parity-violating term with the asymmetric fragmentation function $F_A$ arises from the interference between vector and axial-vector contributions. Normalization factors $\sigma_0$ used in the literature range from the total cross section $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ for $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons, including all weak and QCD contributions, to $\sigma_0 = 4\pi\alpha^2 N_c/3s$ with $N_c = 3$, the lowest-order QED cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ times the number of colors $N_c$. LEP1 measurements of all three fragmentation functions are shown in Fig. 20.1.

Integration of Eq. (20.1) over $\theta$ yields the total fragmentation function $F^h = F_{T}^h + F_{L}^h$,

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_0} \frac{d\sigma^h}{dx} = F^h(x, s) = \sum_i \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} C_i(z, \alpha_s(\mu), \frac{s}{\mu^2}) D_i^h\left(\frac{x}{z}, \mu^2\right) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}) \quad (20.2)$$

with $i = u, \bar{u}, d, \bar{d}, \ldots, g$. Here the second set of functions mentioned in the first paragraph has been introduced, the parton fragmentation functions (or fragmentation densities) $D_i^h$. These functions are the final-state analogue of the initial-state parton distribution functions (pdf) addressed in Section 19 of this Review. Due to the different sign of the squared four-momentum $q^2$ of the intermediate gauge boson these two sets of fragmentation distributions are also referred to as the timelike ($e^+e^-$ annihilation, $q^2 > 0$) and spacelike (deep-inelastic scattering (DIS), $q^2 < 0$) parton distribution functions. The function $D_i^h(z, \mu^2)$ describes the probability that the parton $i$ fragments into a hadron $h$. 
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Figure 20.1: LEP1 measurements of total transverse ($F_T$), longitudinal ($F_L$), and asymmetric ($F_A$) fragmentation functions [7–9]. Data points with relative errors greater than 100% are omitted.

carrying a probability that the parton $i$ fragments into a hadron $h$ carrying a fraction $z$ of the parton’s momentum. Beyond the leading order (LO) of perturbative QCD these universal functions are factorization-scheme dependent, with ‘reasonable’ scheme choices retaining certain quark-parton-model [6] (QPM) constraints such as the momentum sum rule

$$\sum_h \int_0^1 dz z D^h_i(z, \mu^2) = 1. \quad (20.3)$$

The dependence of the functions $D^h_i$ on the factorization scale $\mu^2$ is discussed in Section 20.2. Like in Eq. (20.2) and below, this scale is often taken to be equal to the factorization or renormalization scale, but this equivalence is not required in the theory.

The second ingredient in Eq. (20.2), and analogous expressions for the functions $F_{T,L,A}$, are the observable-dependent coefficient functions $C_i$. At the zeroth order in the
strong coupling $\alpha_s$ the coefficient functions $C_g$ for gluons are zero, while for (anti-) quarks $C_i = g_i(s) \delta(1 - z)$ except for $F_L$, where $g_i(s)$ is the appropriate electroweak coupling. In particular, $g_i(s)$ is proportional to the squared charge of the quark $i$ at $s \ll M_Z^2$, when weak effects can be neglected. The full electroweak prefactors $g_i(s)$ can be found in Ref. [5]. The power corrections in Eq. (20.2) arise from quark and hadron mass terms and from non-perturbative effects.

Measurements of fragmentation in lepton-hadron and hadron-hadron scattering are complementary to those in $e^+e^-$ annihilation. The former are affected by contributions, in summary called the hadron remnant, arising from the partons of the initial-state hadron which are collaterally involved in the hard lepton-parton or parton-parton collision. The latter provides a clean environment (no initial-state hadron remnant) and stringent constraints on the combinations $D_{q_i}^h + D_{h_i}^q$. However $e^+e^-$ annihilation is far less sensitive to $D_g$ and insensitive to the charge asymmetries $D_{q_i}^h - D_{h_i}^q$. These quantities are best constrained in proton-(anti-)proton and electron-proton scattering, respectively. Especially the latter provides a more complicated environment with which it is possible to study the influence on the fragmentation process from initial-state QCD radiation, the partonic and spin structure of the hadron target, and the target remnant system (see Ref. [10] for a comprehensive review of the measurements and models of fragmentation in lepton-hadron scattering).

Moreover, unlike $e^+e^-$ annihilation where $q^2 = s$ is fixed by the collider energy, lepton-hadron scattering has two independent scales, $Q^2 = -q^2$ and the invariant mass $W^2$ of the hadronic final state, which both can vary by several orders of magnitudes for a given CM energy, thus allowing the study of fragmentation in different environments by a single experiment. E.g., in photoproduction the exchanged photon is quasi-real ($Q^2 \approx 0$) leading to processes akin to hadron-hadron scattering. In DIS ($Q^2 \gg 1$ GeV$^2$), using the QPM, the hadronic fragments of the struck quark can be directly compared with quark fragmentation in $e^+e^-$ in a suitable frame. Results from lepton-hadron experiments quoted in this report primarily concern fragmentation in the DIS regime. Studies performed by lepton-hadron experiments of fragmentation with photoproduction data containing high transverse momentum jets or particles are also reported, when these are directly comparable to DIS and $e^+e^-$ results.

Fragmentation studies in lepton-hadron collisions are usually performed in one of two frames in which the target hadron and the exchanged boson are collinear. The hadronic center-of-mass frame (HCMS) is defined as the rest system of the exchanged boson and incoming hadron, with the $z^*$-axis defined along the direction of the exchanged boson. The positive $z^*$ direction defines the so-called current region. Fragmentation measurements performed in the HCMS often use the Feynman-$x$ variable $x_F = 2p_z^*/W$, where $p_z^*$ is the longitudinal momentum of the particle in this frame. As $W$ is the invariant mass of the hadronic final state, $x_F$ ranges between $-1$ and $1$.

The Breit system [11] is connected to the HCMS by a longitudinal boost such that the time component of $q$ vanishes, i.e, $q = (0, 0, 0, -Q)$. In the QPM, the struck parton then has the longitudinal momentum $Q/2$ which becomes $-Q/2$ after the collision. As compared with the HCMS, the current region of the Breit frame is more closely
matched to the partonic scattering process, and is thus appropriate for direct comparisons of fragmentation functions in DIS with those from $e^+e^-$ annihilation. The variable $x_p = 2p^*/Q$ is used at HERA for measurements in the Breit frame, ensuring rather directly comparable DIS and $e^+e^-$ results, where $p^*$ is the particle’s momentum in the current region of the Breit frame.

20.2. Scaling violation

The simplest parton-model approach would predict scale-independent $x$-distributions (‘scaling’) for both the fragmentation function $F^h$ and the parton fragmentation functions $D^h_i$. Perturbative QCD corrections lead, after factorization of the final-state collinear singularities for light partons, to logarithmic scaling violations via the evolution equations

$$\partial \partial \ln \mu^2 D_i(x, \mu^2) = \sum_j \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} P_{ji}(z, \alpha_s(\mu^2)) D_j\left(\frac{x}{z}, \mu^2\right),$$

(20.4)

where the splitting functions $P_{ij}(z, \alpha_s(\mu^2))$ describe in leading order the probability to find parton $i$ with a longitudinal momentum fraction $z$ in parton $j$. Usually this system of equations is decomposed into a $2 \times 2$ flavour-singlet sector comprising gluon and the sum of all quark and antiquark fragmentation functions, and scalar (‘non-singlet’) equations for quark-antiquark and flavour differences. The singlet splitting-function matrix is now $P_{ji}$, rather than $P_{ij}$ as for the initial-state parton distributions, since $D_j$ represents the fragmentation of the final parton.

The splitting functions in Eq. (20.4) have perturbative expansion of the form

$$P_{ji}(z, \alpha_s) = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} P^{(0)}_{ji}(z) + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^2 P^{(1)}_{ji}(z) + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^3 P^{(2)}_{ji}(z) + \ldots$$

(20.5)

where the leading-order (LO) functions $P^{(0)}(z)$ [12,13] are the same as those for the initial-state parton distributions. The next-to-leading order (NLO) corrections $P^{(1)}(z)$ have been calculated in Refs. [14–18] (there are well-known misprints in the journal version of Ref. [15]). Ref. [18] also includes the spin-dependent case. These functions are different from, but related to their space-like counterparts, see also Ref. [19]. These relations have facilitated recent calculations of the next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) quantities $P^{(2)}_{qq}(z)$ and $P^{(2)}_{gg}(z)$ in Eq. (20.5) [20,21]. The corresponding off-diagonal quantities $P^{(2)}_{qg}$ and $P^{(2)}_{gq}$ were recently obtained in Ref. [22] by using similar relations supplemented with constrains from the momentum sum rule Eq. (20.3) [21] and from the limit of $C_A = C_F = n_f$ for which QCD becomes supersymmetric. An uncertainty, which does not affect the logarithmic behaviour at small and large momentum fractions, still remains on the $P^{(2)}_{qg}$ kernel. All these results refer to the standard $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme, with the exception of Refs. [17], with a fixed number $n_f$ of light flavours. Fragmentation functions change when in the course of energy evolution the threshold for the production of a heavier quark flavour is crossed. The NLO treatment of these flavour thresholds in the evolution has been addressed in Ref. [23].
The QCD parts of the coefficient functions for \( F_{T,L,A}(x,s) \) in Eq. (20.1) and the total fragmentation function \( F_2^h \equiv F^h \) in Eq. (20.2) are given by

\[
C_{a,i}(z, \alpha_s) = (1 - \delta_{aL}) \delta_{iq} + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} c_{a,i}^{(1)}(z) + \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \right)^2 c_{a,i}^{(2)}(z) + \ldots . \tag{20.6}
\]

The first-order corrections have been calculated in Refs. [24], and the second-order terms in Ref. 25. The latter results have been verified (and some typos corrected) in Refs. [20,26]. The coefficient functions are known to NNLO except for \( F_L \) where the leading contribution is of order \( \alpha_s \).

The effect of the evolution is similar in the timelike and spacelike cases: as the scale increases, one observes a scaling violation in which the \( x \)-distribution is shifted towards lower values. This can be seen from Fig. 20.2 where a large amount of measurements of the total fragmentation function in \( e^+e^- \) annihilation are summarized. QCD analyses of these data are discussed in Section 20.5 below.

![Figure 20.2: The \( e^+e^- \) fragmentation function for all charged particles is shown [9,27–44] (a) for different CM energies \( \sqrt{s} \) versus \( x \) and (b) for various ranges of \( x \) versus \( \sqrt{s} \). For the purpose of plotting (a), the distributions were scaled by \( c(\sqrt{s}) = 10^i \) with \( i \) ranging from \( i = 0 \) (\( \sqrt{s} = 12 \text{ GeV} \)) to \( i = 13 \) (\( \sqrt{s} = 202 \text{ GeV} \)).](image)

Unlike the splitting functions in Eq. (20.5), see Refs. [19–21], the coefficient functions for \( F_{2,T,A} \) in Eq. (20.6) show a threshold enhancement with terms up to...
\( \alpha_s^n (1 - z)^{-1} \ln^{2n-1} (1 - z) \). Such logarithms can be resummed to all orders in \( \alpha_s \) using standard soft-gluon techniques [45–47]. Recently this resummation has been extended to the subleading (and for \( F_L \) leading) class \( \alpha_s^n \ln^k (1 - z) \) of large-\( x \) logarithms [48,49].

In Refs. [24] the NLO coefficient functions have been calculated also for single hadron production in lepton-proton scattering, \( e^- p \rightarrow e^- h + X \). More recently corresponding results have been obtained for the case that a non-vanishing transverse momentum is required in the HCMS frame [50].

Scaling violations in DIS are shown in Fig. 20.3 for both HCMS and Breit frame. In Fig. 1.3(a) the distribution in terms of \( x_F = 2p_Z^*/W \) shows a steeper slope in \( e^- p \) data than for the lower-energy \( \mu p \) data for \( x_F > 0.15 \), indicating the scaling violations. At smaller values of \( x_F \) in the current jet region, the multiplicity of particles substantially increases with \( W \) owing to the increased phase space available for the fragmentation process. The EMC data access both the current region and the region of the fragmenting target remnant system. At higher values of \( |x_F| \), due to the extended nature of the remnant, the multiplicity in the target region far exceeds that in the current region. For acceptance reasons the remnant hemisphere of the HCMS is only accessible by the lower-energy fixed-target experiments.

**Figure 20.3:** (a) The distribution \( 1/N \cdot dN/dx_F \) for all charged particles in DIS lepton-hadron experiments at different values of \( W \), and measured in the HCMS [51–54]. (b) Scaling violations of the fragmentation function for all charged particles in the current region of the Breit frame of DIS [55,60] and in \( e^+ e^- \) interactions [37,61]. The data are shown as a function of \( \sqrt{s} \) for \( e^+ e^- \) results, and as a function of \( Q \) for the DIS results, each within the same indicated intervals of the scaled momentum \( x_p \). The data for the four lowest intervals of \( x_p \) are multiplied by factors 50, 10, 5, and 3, respectively for clarity.

Using hadrons from the current hemisphere in the Breit frame, measurements of fragmentation functions and the production properties of particles in \( e^- p \) scattering have
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been made by Refs. [55–60]. Fig. 20.3(b) compares results from $ep$ scattering and $e^+e^-$ experiments, the latter results are halved as they cover both event hemispheres. The agreement between the DIS and $e^+e^-$ results is fairly good. However, processes in DIS which are not present in $e^+e^-$ annihilation, such as boson-gluon fusion and initial-state QCD radiation, can depopulate the current region. These effects become most prominent at low values of $Q$ and $x_p$. Hence, when compared with $e^+e^-$ annihilation data at $\sqrt{s} = 5.2, 6.5$ GeV [62] not shown here, the DIS particle rates tend to lie below those from $e^+e^-$ annihilation. A ZEUS study [63] finds that the direct comparability of the $ep$ data to $e^+e^-$ results at low scales is improved if twice the energy in the current hemisphere of the Breit frame, $2E_B^{cr}$, is used instead of $Q/2$ as the fragmentation scale. Choosing $2 \cdot E_B^{cr}$ for the fragmentation scale approximates QCD radiation effects relevant at low scales as detailed in Ref. [64].

20.3. Fragmentation functions for small particle momenta

The higher-order timelike splitting functions in Eq. (20.5) are very singular at small $x$. They show a double-logarithmic (LL) enhancement with leading terms of the form $\alpha_n^s \ln^{2n-2} x$ corresponding to poles $\alpha_s^s (N - 1)^{1-2n}$ for the Mellin moments

$$P(n)(N) = \int_0^1 dx \, x^{N-1} P(n)(x).$$

Despite large cancellations between leading and non-leading logarithms at non-asymptotic value of $x$, the resulting small-$x$ rise in the timelike splitting functions dwarfs that of their spacelike counterparts for the evolution of the parton distributions in Section 19 of this Review, see Fig. 1 of Ref. [21]. Consequently the fixed-order approximation to the evolution breaks down orders of magnitude in $x$ earlier in fragmentation than in DIS.

The pattern of the known coefficients and other considerations suggest that the LL terms sum to all-order expressions without any pole at $N = 1$ such as [65,66]

$$P_{gg}^{\text{LL}}(N) = -\frac{1}{4} (N - 1 - \sqrt{(N - 1)^2 - 24 \alpha_s/\pi}) .$$

Keeping the first three terms in the resulting expansion of Eq. (20.4) around $N = 1$ yields a Gaussian in the variable $\xi = \ln(1/x)$ for the small-$x$ fragmentation functions,

$$xD(x, s) \propto \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\xi - \xi_p)^2 \right],$$

with the peak position and width varying with the energy as [67] (see also Ref. [2])

$$\xi_p \simeq \frac{1}{4} \ln \left( \frac{s}{\Lambda^2} \right), \quad \sigma \propto \left[ \ln \left( \frac{s}{\Lambda^2} \right) \right]^{3/4} .$$

Next-to-leading logarithmic corrections to the above predictions have been calculated [68]. In the method of Ref. [69], see also Refs. [70,71], the corrections are included in an
analytical form known as the ‘modified leading logarithmic approximation’ (MLLA). Alternatively they can be used to compute higher-moment corrections to the shape in Eq. (20.9) [72]. The small-$x$ resummation of the coefficient functions for semi-inclusive $e^+e^-$ annihilation and the timelike splitting functions in the standard $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme was recently extended in Refs. [73,74] and has reached fully analytic next-to-next-to-leading logarithmic accuracy. First applications of these results to gluon and quark jet multiplicities have been presented in Refs. [75].

Fig. 20.4 shows the $\xi$ distribution for charged particles produced in the current region of the Breit frame in DIS and in $e^+e^-$ annihilation. Consistent with Eq. (20.9) (the ‘hump backed plateau’) and Eq. (20.10) the distributions have a Gaussian shape with the peak position and area increasing with the CM energy ($e^+e^-$) and $Q^2$ (DIS).

Figure 20.4: Distribution of $\xi = \ln(1/x_p)$ at several CM energies ($e^+e^-$) [28–29,34–37,76–79] and intervals of $Q^2$ (DIS) [58,59]. At each energy only one representative measurement is displayed. For clarity some measurements at intermediate CM energies ($e^+e^-$) or $Q^2$ ranges (DIS) are not shown. The DIS measurements (*) have been scaled by a factor of 2 for direct comparability with the $e^+e^-$ results. Fits of simple Gaussian functions are overlaid for illustration.

The predicted energy dependence Eq. (20.10) of the peak in the $\xi$ distribution is explained by soft gluon coherence (angular ordering), i.e., the destructive interference of the color wavefunction of low energy gluon radiation, which correctly predicts the suppression of hadron production at small $x$. Of course, a decrease at very small $x$
is expected on purely kinematical grounds, but this would occur at particle energies proportional to their masses, i.e., at \( x \propto m/\sqrt{s} \) and hence \( \xi \sim \frac{1}{2} \ln s \). Thus, if the suppression were purely kinematic, the peak position \( \xi_p \) would vary twice as rapidly with the energy, which is ruled out by the data in Fig. 20.5. The \( e^+e^- \) and DIS data agree well with each other, demonstrating the universality of hadronization, and the MLLA prediction. Measurements of the higher moments of the \( \xi \) distribution in \( e^+e^- \) \([37,79–81]\) and DIS \([59]\) have also been performed and show consistency with each other.

**Figure 20.5:** Evolution of the peak position, \( \xi_p \), of the \( \xi \) distribution with the CM energy \( \sqrt{s} \). The MLLA QCD prediction using \( \alpha_S(s = M_Z^2) = 0.118 \) is superimposed to the data of Refs. [28–30,33–37,57,58,77,78,81–89].

The average charged particle multiplicity is another observable sensitive to fragmentation functions for small particle momenta. Perturbative predictions using both NLO \([90]\) and MLLA \([91,93]\) have been obtained from solving Eq. (20.4) yielding

\[
\langle n_G(Q^2) \rangle \propto \alpha_S^b(Q^2) \cdot \exp \left[ \frac{c}{4\pi b_0 \sqrt{\alpha_S(Q^2)}} \cdot \left( 1 + 6a_2 \frac{\alpha_S(Q^2)}{\pi} \right) \right]
\]

(20.11)

where \( b = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{10}{27} \frac{n_f}{4\pi b_0} \), \( c = \sqrt{96\pi} \), with \( b_0 = (33 - 2n_f)/(12\pi) \), cp. Section 9 of this Review, for \( n_f \) contributing quark flavours. Higher order corrections to Eq. (20.11) are known up to next-to-next-to-leading order (3NLO), for details and references see \([94]\). The term proportional to \( a_2 \approx -0.502 + 0.0421 n_f - 0.00036 n_f^2 \) in Eq. (20.11) is the contribution due to NNLO corrections \([95]\). The quantity \( \langle n_G(Q^2) \rangle \) strictly refers
to the average number of gluons, while for quarks a correction factor $r = \langle n_G \rangle / \langle n_q \rangle$ weakly depending on $Q^2$ is required due to the different color factors in quark and gluon couplings, respectively. Higher order corrections up to 3NLO on the asymptotic value $r = C_A/C_F = 9/4$ [96] are quoted in [94].

Employing the hypothesis of ‘Local Parton-Hadron Duality’ (LPHD) [91], i.e., that the color charge of partons is balanced locally in phase space and, hence, their hadronization occurs locally such that (Mellin transformed) parton and hadron inclusive distributions directly correspond, Eq. (20.11) can be applied to describe average charged particle multiplicities obtained in $e^+e^-$ annihilation. The equation can also be applied to $e^\pm p$ scattering if the current fragmentation region of the Breit frame is considered for measuring the average charged particle multiplicity. Fig. 20.6 shows corresponding data and fits of Eq. (20.11) where apart from a LPHD normalization factor a constant offset has been allowed for, that is $\langle n_{ch}(Q) \rangle = K_{LPHD} \cdot \langle n_G(Q) \rangle / r + n_0$.

In hadron-hadron collisions beam remnants, e.g. from single-diffractive (SD) scattering where one colliding proton is negligibly deflected while hadrons are related with the other colliding proton are well-separated in rapidity from the former proton, contribute to the measurement of the hadron multiplicity from a hard parton-parton scattering, making interpretation of the data more model dependent. Experimental results are usually given for inelastic processes or for non-single diffractive processes (NSD). Due to the large beam particle momenta at Tevatron and LHC, not all final state particles can be detected within the limited detector acceptance. Therefore, experiments at Tevatron and LHC quote particle multiplicities for limited ranges of pseudo-rapidity $\eta = -\ln \tan(\vartheta/2)$ or at central rapidity, i.e. $\eta = 0$, shown in Fig. 20.6.

An universality of the average particle multiplicities in $e^+e^-$ and $p(p)$ processes has been reported in Ref. [122] when considering an effective collision energy $Q_{eff} = \sqrt{s}/k$ in $p(p)$ reduced by a factor of $k \approx 3$ plus a constant offset of $n_0 \approx 2$. A more detailed review is available in Ref. [123]. According to investigations presented in Ref. [124] the universality of the energy dependence of average particle multiplicities also applies to hadron-hadron and nucleus-nucleus collisions for both full and central rapidity multiplicities. Evidence for this universality is given by the good agreement for the energy dependence of Eq. (20.11) when fit to the $p(p)$ data as shown in Fig. 20.6.

20.4. Fragmentation models

Although the scaling violation can be calculated perturbatively, the actual form of the parton fragmentation functions is non-perturbative. Perturbative evolution gives rise to a shower of quarks and gluons (partons). Multi-parton final states from leading and higher order matrix element calculations are linked to these parton showers using factorization prescriptions, also called matching schemes, see Ref. [125] for an overview. Phenomenological schemes are then used to model the carry-over of parton momenta and flavor to the hadrons. Implemented in Monte Carlo event generators (see Section 41 of this Review), these schemes have been tuned using $e^+e^-$ data and provide good description of hadron collisions as well, thus providing evidence of the universality of the fragmentation functions.
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Figure 20.6: Average charged particle multiplicity $\langle n_{ch} \rangle$ as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ or $Q$ for $e^+e^-$ and $p\overline{p}$ annihilations, and $pp$ and $ep$ collisions. The indicated errors are statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature, except when no systematic uncertainties are given. All NNLO QCD curves are Eq. (20.11) with fitted normalization, $K_{LH}$, and offset, $n_0$, using a fixed $\alpha_S(M_Z^2) = 0.1184$ [92] and for $e^+e^-$ annihilation data $n_f = 3, 4, 5$ depending on $\sqrt{s}$, else $n_f = 3$.

$e^+e^-$: Contributions from $K_0^0$ and $\Lambda$ decays included. Data compiled from Refs. [8,9,28,34,35,40,78,84,97–107]. $e^\pm p$: Multiplicities have been measured in the current fragmentation region of the Breit frame. Data compiled from Refs. [58,59,63,108,109]. $p(p)$: Measured values above 20 GeV refer to non-single diffractive (NSD) processes. Central pseudorapidity multiplicities $(dn/d\eta)|_{\eta}$... refer to either $|\eta| < 2.5$ (CMS: $|\eta| < 2.4$) or $|\eta| = 0$ (UA5, CMS, ALICE: $|\eta| < 0.5$). Data compiled from Refs. [110–121].
20.5. Quark and gluon fragmentation functions

The fragmentation functions are solutions to the evolution equations Eq. (20.4), but need to be parametrized at some initial scale $\mu_0^2$ (usually around 1 GeV$^2$ for light quarks and gluons and $m_Q^2$ for heavy quarks). A usual parametrization for light hadrons is [134–141]

$$D_h^i(x, \mu_0^2) = N x^\alpha (1 - x)^\beta \left(1 + \gamma (1 - x)^\delta \right),$$

where the normalization $N$, and the parameters $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$ and $\delta$ in general depend on the energy scale $\mu_0^2$, and also on the type of the parton, $i$, and the hadron, $h$. Frequently the term involving $\gamma$ and $\delta$ is left out [136–139]. Heavy flavor fragmentation into heavy mesons is discussed in Sec. 20.9. The parameters of Eq. (20.12) (see [134–139]) are obtained by performing global fits to data on various hadron types for different combinations of partons and hadrons in $e^+e^-$, lepton-hadron and hadron-hadron collisions.

Sets of fragmentation functions are available for pions, kaons, protons, neutrons, etas, Lambdas and charged hadrons [134–141].

Data from $e^+e^-$ annihilation present the cleanest experimental source for the measurement of fragmentation functions, but can not contribute to disentangle quark from antiquark distributions. Since the bulk of the $e^+e^-$ annihilation data is obtained at the mass of the $Z$-boson, where the electroweak couplings are roughly the same for the different partons, it provides the most precise determination of the flavor-singlet quark fragmentation. Flavor tagged results [142], distinguishing between the light quark, charm and bottom contributions are of particular value for flavor decomposition, even though those measurements can not be unambiguously interpreted in perturbative QCD.

The most relevant source for quark-antiquark (and also flavor) separation is provided by data from semi-inclusive DIS (SIDIS). Semi-inclusive measurements are usually performed at much lower scales than for $e^+e^-$ annihilation. The inclusion of SIDIS data in global fits allows for a wider coverage in the evolution of the fragmentation functions, resulting at the same time in a stringent test of the universality of these distributions. Charged-hadron production data in hadronic collisions also presents a sensitivity on (anti-)quark fragmentation functions.

The gluon fragmentation function $D_g(x)$ can be extracted, in principle, from the longitudinal fragmentation function $F_L$ in Eq. (20.2), as the coefficient functions $C_{L,i}$ for quarks and gluons are comparable at order $\alpha_s$. However at NLO, i.e., including the $O(\alpha_s^2)$ coefficient functions $C_{L,i}^{(2)}$ [25], quark fragmentation is dominant in $F_L$ over a large part of the kinematic range, reducing the sensitivity on $D_g$. This distribution could be determined also analyzing the evolution of the fragmentation functions. This possibility is limited by the lack of sufficiently precise data at energy scales away from the $Z$-resonance and the dominance of the quark contributions and at medium and large values of $x$.

$D_g$ can also be deduced from the fragmentation of three-jet events in which the gluon jet is identified, for example, by tagging the other two jets with heavy quark decays. To leading order, the measured distributions of $x = E_{had}/E_{jet}$ for particles in gluon jets can be identified directly with the gluon fragmentation function $D_g(x)$. At higher orders the theoretical interpretation of this observable is ambiguous.
A comparison of recent fits of NLO fragmentation functions for $\pi^+ + \pi^-$ obtained by DSS14 [141], AKK08 [135] and HKNS07 [139] is shown in Fig. 20.7. Differences between the sets are large especially for the gluon fragmentation function over the full range of $x$ and for the quark distribution at large momentum fractions. The differences are even larger for other species of hadrons like kaons and protons [134,135,139]. Recent analyses [139,141,143] estimate the uncertainties involved in the extraction of fragmentation functions.

A direct constraint on $D_g$ is provided by $pp,p\bar{p} \rightarrow hX$ data. At variance with $e^+e^-$ annihilation and SIDIS, for this process gluon fragmentation starts to contribute at the lowest order in the coupling constant, introducing a strong sensitivity on $D_g$. At large $x \gtrsim 0.5$, where information from $e^+e^-$ is sparse, data from hadronic colliders facilitate significantly improved extractions of $D_g$ [134,135,141]. Recent LHC data has been included in the latest update for pion-fragmentation functions in [141], see Sec.(17.7) for more details.

**Figure 20.7:** Comparison of up, strange, charm and gluon NLO fragmentation functions for $\pi^+ + \pi^-$ at the mass of the Z. The different lines correspond to the result of the most recent analyses performed in Refs. [135,139,141].

Photonic fragmentation functions play a relevant role in the theoretical understanding of inclusive photon production in (leptonic and hadronic) high energy processes. Similar to the analogy of parton fragmentation functions and parton distributions in deep inelastic scattering, also photonic fragmentation functions are analogous to the photon structure function $F_2^\gamma$ (see review on structure functions in Section 19 of this Review). Since photons have a pointlike coupling to quarks [144], the corresponding fragmentation functions obey inhomogeneous evolution equations and are generally decomposed into a perturbative and a non-perturbative component [138,145,146]. The hadronic part, sometimes approximated by the Vector Meson Dominance Model, can be obtained by performing global analysis to the available prompt photon data [7,30,33,37–39,86,147,179].
20.6. Identified particles in $e^+e^-$ and semi-inclusive DIS

A great wealth of measurements of $e^+e^-$ fragmentation into identified particles exists. A collection of references for data on fragmentation into identified particles is given on Table 51.1 of this Review. Representative of this body of data is Fig. 20.8 which shows fragmentation functions as the scaled momentum spectra of charged particles at several CM energies.

Quantitative results of studies of scaling violation in $e^+e^-$ fragmentation have been reported in [7,39,149,150]. The values of $\alpha_s$ obtained are consistent with the world average (see review on QCD in Section 9 of this Review).

Figure 20.8: Scaled momentum spectra of (a) $\pi^\pm$, (b) $K^\pm$, and (c) $p/\bar{p}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 10, 29, \text{and } 91$ GeV [42–44,86,147,148].
Many studies have been made of identified particles produced in lepton-hadron scattering, although fewer particle species have been measured than in \( e^+e^- \) collisions. References [151–158] and [159–165] are representative of the data from fixed target and \( ep \) collider experiments, respectively.

QCD calculations performed at NLO provide an overall good description of the HERA data [54,55,59,163–167] for both SIDIS [168] and the hadron transverse momentum distribution [50] in the kinematic regions in which the calculations are predictive.

Fig. 20.9(a) compares lower-energy fixed-target and HERA data on strangeness production, showing that the HERA spectra have substantially increased multiplicities, albeit with insufficient statistical precision to study scaling violations. The fixed-target data show that the \( \Lambda \) rate substantially exceeds the \( \bar{\Lambda} \) rate in the remnant region, owing to the conserved baryon number from the baryon target. Fig. 20.9(b) shows neutral and charged pion fragmentation functions \( \frac{dN}{dz} \), where \( z \) is defined as the ratio of the pion energy to that of the exchanged boson, both measured in the laboratory frame. Results are shown from HERMES and the EMC experiments, where HERMES data have been evolved with NLO QCD to \( \langle Q^2 \rangle = 25 \text{ GeV}^2 \) in order to be consistent with the EMC. Each of the experiments uses various kinematic cuts to ensure that the measured particles lie in the region which is expected to be associated with the struck quark. In the DIS kinematic regime accessed at these experiments, and over the range in \( z \) shown in Fig. 20.9, the \( z \) and \( x_F \) variables have similar values [51]. The precision data on identified particles can be used in the study of the quark flavor content of the proton [169].

Data on identified particle production can aid the investigation of the universality of jet fragmentation in \( e^+e^- \) and DIS. The strangeness suppression factor \( \gamma_s \), as derived principally from tuning the Lund string model [127] within JETSET [128], is typically found to be around 0.3 in \( e^+e^- \) experiments [76], although values closer to 0.2 [170] have also been obtained. A number of measurements of so-called \( V^0 \)-particles (\( K^0, \Lambda^0 \)) and the relative rates of \( V^0 \)'s and inclusively produced charged particles have been performed at HERA [159–161] and fixed target experiments [151]. These typically favour a stronger suppression \( \langle \gamma_s \approx 0.2 \rangle \) than usually obtained from \( e^+e^- \) data although values close to 0.3 have also been obtained [171,172].

However, when comparing the description of QCD-based models for lepton-hadron interactions and \( e^+e^- \) collisions, it is important to note that the overall description by event generators of inclusively produced hadronic final states is more accurate in \( e^+e^- \) collisions than lepton-hadron interactions [173]. Predictions of particle rates in lepton-hadron scattering are affected by uncertainties in the modelling of the parton composition of the proton and photon, the extended target remnant, and initial and final-state QCD radiation. Furthermore, the tuning of event generators for \( e^+e^- \) collisions is typically based on a larger set of parameters and uses more observables [76] than are used when optimizing models for lepton-hadron data [174].
Figure 20.9: (a) $1/N \cdot dn/dx_F$ for identified strange particles in DIS at various values of $W$ [151,154,159]. (b) $1/N \cdot dn/dz$ for measurements of pions from fixed-target DIS experiment [152,155,158].
20.7. Fragmentation in hadron-hadron collisions

An extensive set on high-transverse momentum ($p_T$) single-inclusive hadron data has been collected in $h_1 h_2 \rightarrow hX$ scattering processes, both at high energy colliders and fixed-target experiments [175–194]. Only the transverse momentum $p_T$ is considered in hadron-hadron collisions because of lack of knowledge of the longitudinal momentum of the hard subprocess. Fig. 20.10 shows the cross section (which is proportional to the particle number) density $\frac{d^3 \sigma}{dp^3} = \frac{E}{\pi m^2} \frac{d^2 \sigma}{dy dp_T^2}$ for a compilation of neutral pion and charged hadron production data for energies in the range $\sqrt{s} \approx 23 - 7000$ GeV. More data for different hadron species has been recently obtained at high energy colliders [195–199].

![Figure 20.10: Selection of inclusive (a) $\pi^0$ and (b) charged-hadron production data from $pp$ [120,183,187,191–194] and $p\bar{p}$ [175,178,181] collisions.](image)

The differential cross-section for high-transverse momentum distributions has been computed to next-to-leading order accuracy in perturbative QCD [200]. The factorization, $\mu_f$, and renomalization, $\mu$, scales of these calculations typical range from $p_T^2/4 \leq \mu_f^2, \mu^2 \leq 4p_T^2$.

NLO calculations significantly under-predict the cross-section for several fixed-target energy data sets [201,202]. Different strategies have been developed to ameliorate the theoretical description at fixed-target energies. A possible phenomenological approach involves the introduction of a non-perturbative intrinsic partonic transverse momentum [194,203,204]. From the perturbative side, the resummation of the dominant
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Higher order corrections at threshold produces an enhancement of the theoretical calculation that significantly improves the description of the data [205,206].

Data collected at high energy colliders are either included in global fit analyses or used as a test for the universality of fragmentation functions. Certain tension has been observed between data sets from lower-energy (RHIC) and higher-energy (LHC) collisions [207]. The tension can be largely resolved by excluding from the analysis data with transverse momentum smaller than $\approx 5 - 10$ GeV, where fixed order pQCD calculations are not expected to provide an accurate description of the process. Still, after removing the smallish $p_T$ values where the data sets appear to be mutually exclusive in the global fit, lower-energy collisions data show a preference towards harder gluon fragmentation at large $z$ than LHC data [141].

Measurements of hadron production in longitudinally polarized $pp$ collisions are used mainly in the determination of the polarized gluon distribution in the proton [208,209].

Hadron production provides a critical observable for probing the high energy-density matter produced in heavy-ion collisions. Measurements at colliders show a suppression of inclusive hadron yields at high transverse momentum for $AA$ collisions compared to $pp$ scattering, indicating the formation of a dense medium opaque to quark and gluons, see e.g. [210].

20.8. Spin-dependent fragmentation

Measurements of charged-hadron production in unpolarized lepton-hadron scattering provide a unique tool to perform a flavor-separation determination of polarized parton densities from DIS interactions with longitudinally polarized targets [211–215].

Polarized scattering presents the possibility to measure the spin transfer from the struck quark to the final hadron, and thus develop spin-dependent fragmentation functions [216,217]. Early measurements of the longitudinal spin transfer to Lambda hyperons have been presented in [218,219]. This process is also useful in the study of the quark transversity distribution [220], which describes the probability of finding a transversely polarized quark with its spin aligned or anti-aligned with the spin of a transversely polarized nucleon. The transversity function is chiral-odd, and therefore not accessible through measurements of inclusive lepton-hadron scattering. Semi-inclusive DIS, in which another chiral-odd observable may be involved, provides a valuable tool to probe transversity. The Collins fragmentation function [221] relates the transverse polarization of the quark to that of the final hadron. It is chiral-odd and naive T-odd, leading to a characteristic single spin asymmetry in the azimuthal angular distribution of the produced hadron in the hadron scattering plane. Azimuthal angular distributions in semi-inclusive DIS can also be produced by other processes requiring non-polarized fragmentation functions, like the Sivers mechanism [222].

A number of experiments have measured these asymmetries [223–233]. Collins and Sivers asymmetries have been shown experimentally to be non zero by the HERMES measurements on transversely polarized proton targets [224–226]. Independent information on the Collins function has been provided by the BELLE Collaboration [227–228].
Measurements performed by the COMPASS collaboration on deuterium targets show results compatible with zero for both asymmetries [229–231].

20.9. Heavy quark fragmentation

It was recognized very early [234] that a heavy flavored meson should retain a large fraction of the momentum of the primordial heavy quark, and therefore its fragmentation function should be much harder than that of a light hadron. In the limit of a very heavy quark, one expects the fragmentation function for a heavy quark to go into any heavy hadron to be peaked near \( x = 1 \).

When the heavy quark is produced at a momentum much larger than its mass, one expects important perturbative effects, enhanced by powers of the logarithm of the transverse momentum over the heavy quark mass, to intervene and modify the shape of the fragmentation function. In leading logarithmic order (i.e., including all powers of \( \alpha_s \log m_Q/p_T \)), the total (i.e., summed over all hadron types) perturbative fragmentation function is simply obtained by solving the leading evolution equation for fragmentation functions, Eq. (20.4), with the initial condition due to the finite mass of the heavy quark given by \( D_Q(z, \mu^2)\big|_{\mu^2=m_Q^2} = \delta(1-z) \) and \( D_i(z, \mu^2)\big|_{\mu^2=m_Q^2} = 0 \) for \( i \neq Q \) (here \( D_i(z, \mu^2) \) stands for the probability to produce a heavy quark \( Q \) from parton \( i \) with a fraction \( z \) of the parton momentum).

Several extensions of the leading logarithmic result have appeared in the literature. Next-to-leading-log (NLL) order results for the perturbative heavy quark fragmentation function have been obtained in [235]. The resummation of the dominant logarithmic contributions at large \( z \) was performed in [45] to next-to-leading-log accuracy. Fixed-order calculations of the fragmentation function at order \( \alpha_s^2 \) in \( e^+e^- \) annihilation have appeared in [236] while the initial condition for the perturbative heavy quark fragmentation function has been extended to NNLO in [237].

Inclusion of non-perturbative effects in the calculation of the heavy-quark fragmentation function is done by convoluting the perturbative result with a phenomenological non-perturbative form. This form follows from the simple kinematical consideration that the formation of a hadron by attaching light quarks/anti-quarks to the heavy quark will slightly decelerate the heavy quark. Thus its shape will show a peak which becomes increasingly centered next to \( z = 1 \) the higher the quark mass. Among the most popular parametrizations we have the following:

- **Peterson et al. [238]**: \( D_{np}(z) \propto z \left( 1 - \frac{1}{z} - \frac{\epsilon}{1-z} \right)^{-2} \) \tag{20.13}
- **Kartvelishvili et al. [239]**: \( D_{np}(z) \propto z^\alpha (1-z) \) \tag{20.14}
- **Collins&Spiller [240]**: \( D_{np}(z) \propto \left( \frac{1-z}{z} + \frac{(2-z)\epsilon_C}{1-z} \right) \times \right( 1 + z^2 \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{z} - \frac{\epsilon_C}{1-z} \right)^{-2} \) \tag{20.15}
- **Colangelo&Nason [241]**: \( D_{np}(z) \propto (1-z)^\alpha z^\beta \) \tag{20.16}
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Bowler [242]:

$$D_{np}(z) \propto z^{-(1+bm^2_{h,\perp})}$$

$$(1-z)^a \exp \left( -\frac{bm^2_{h,\perp}}{z} \right)$$

(20.17)

Braaten et al. [243]: (see Eq. (31), (32) in [243])

(20.18)

where $\epsilon$, $\epsilon_C$, $a$, $bm^2_{h,\perp}$, $\alpha$, and $\beta$ are non-perturbative parameters, depending upon the heavy hadron considered. The parameters entering the non-perturbative forms are fitted together with some model of hard radiation, which can be either a shower Monte Carlo, a leading-log or NLL calculation (which may or may not include Sudakov resummation), or a fixed order calculation. In [236], for example, the Peterson et al. [238] $\epsilon$ parameter for charm and bottom production is fitted from the measured distributions of refs. [244,257] for charm, and of [262] for bottom. If the leading-logarithmic approximation (LLA) is used for the perturbative part, one finds $\epsilon_c \approx 0.05$ and $\epsilon_b \approx 0.006$; if a second order calculation is used one finds $\epsilon_c \approx 0.035$ and $\epsilon_b \approx 0.0033$; if a NLL improved fixed order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_S^2)$ calculation is used instead of NLO $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_S)$ one finds $\epsilon_c \approx 0.022$ and $\epsilon_b \approx 0.0023$. The larger values found in the LL approximation are consistent with what is obtained in the context of parton shower models [246], as expected. The $\epsilon$ parameter for charm and bottom scales roughly with the inverse square of the heavy flavour mass. This behaviour can be justified by several arguments [234,247,248]. It can be used to relate the non-perturbative parts of the fragmentation functions of charm and bottom quarks [236,241,249].

A more conventional approach [250] involves the introduction of a unique set of heavy quark fragmentation functions of non-perturbative nature that obey the usual massless evolution equations in Eq. (20.4). Finite mass terms of the form $(m_Q/p_T)^n$ are kept in the corresponding short distance coefficient function for each scattering process. Within this approach, the initial condition for the perturbative fragmentation function provides the term needed to define the correct subtraction scheme to match the massless limit for the coefficient function (see e.g. [251]) . Such implementation is in line with the variable flavor number scheme introduced for parton distributions functions, as described in Section 19 of this Review.

High statistics data for charmed mesons production near the $\Upsilon$ resonance (excluding decay products of $B$ mesons) have been published [252,253]. They include results for $D$ and $D^*$, $D_s$ (see also [254,255]) and $\Lambda_c$. Shown in Fig. 20.11(a) are the CLEO and BELLE inclusive cross-sections times branching ratio $B$, $s \cdot B_d \sigma/dx_p$, for the production of $D^0$ and $D^{*+}$. The variable $x_p$ approximates the light-cone momentum fraction $z$, but is not identical to it. The two measurements are consistent with each other.

The branching ratio $B$ represents $D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+$ for the $D^0$ results and for the $D^{*+}$ the product branching fraction: $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+$, $D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+$. Given the high precision of CLEO’s and BELLE’s data, a superposition of different parametric forms for the non-perturbative contribution is needed to obtain a good fit [23]. Older studies are reported in Refs. [256–258]. Charmed meson spectra on the $Z$ peak have been published by OPAL and ALEPH [133,259].
Figure 20.11: (a) Efficiency-corrected inclusive cross-section measurements for the production of $D^0$ and $D^{*+}$ in $e^+e^-$ measurements at $\sqrt{s} \approx 10.6$ GeV, excluding $B$ decay products [252,253]. (b) Measured $e^+e^-$ fragmentation function of $b$ quarks into $B$ hadrons at $\sqrt{s} \approx 91$ GeV [263].
Charm quark production has also been extensively studied at HERA by the H1 and ZEUS collaborations. Measurements have been made of $D^{\pm}$, $D^{\pm}$, and $D_{s}^{\pm}$ mesons and the $\Lambda_{c}$ baryon. See, for example, Refs. [260,261].

Experimental studies of the fragmentation function for $b$ quarks, shown in Fig. 20.11(b), have been performed at LEP and SLD [262–264]. Commonly used methods identify the $B$ meson through its semileptonic decay or based upon tracks emerging from the $B$ secondary vertex. Heavy flavour contributions from gluon splitting are usually explicitly removed before fitting for the fragmentation functions. The studies in [263] fit the $B$ spectrum using a Monte Carlo shower model supplemented with non-perturbative fragmentation functions yielding consistent results.

The experiments measure primarily the spectrum of $B$ mesons. This defines a fragmentation function which includes the effect of the decay of higher mass excitations, like the $B^{*}$ and $B^{**}$. In the literature (cf. details in Ref. [266]) there is sometimes ambiguity in what is defined to be the bottom fragmentation function. Instead of using what is directly measured (i.e., the $B$ meson spectrum) corrections are applied to account for $B^{*}$ or $B^{**}$ production in some cases.

Heavy-flavor production in $e^{+}e^{-}$ collisions is the primary source of information for the role of fragmentation effects in heavy-flavor production in hadron-hadron and lepton-hadron collisions. The QCD calculations tend to underestimate the data in certain regions of phase space. Some experimental results from LHC summarized in [267] show such deviations e.g. at high transverse jet momentum and also at low di-jet separation angles, see [268] for details, and were already theoretically investigated in [269].

Both bottomed- and charmed-mesons spectra have been measured at the Tevatron with unprecedented accuracy [270]. The measured spectra are in good agreement with QCD calculations (including non-perturbative fragmentation effects inferred from $e^{+}e^{-}$ data [271]).

The HERA collaborations have produced a number of measurements of beauty production; see, for example, Refs. [260,272–275]. As for the Tevatron data, the HERA results are described well by QCD-based calculations using fragmentation models optimised with $e^{+}e^{-}$ data.

Besides degrading the fragmentation function by gluon radiation, QCD evolution can also generate soft heavy quarks, increasing in the small $x$ region as $\sqrt{s}$ increases. Several theoretical studies are available on the issue of how often $b\bar{b}$ or $c\bar{c}$ pairs are produced indirectly, via a gluon splitting mechanism [276–278]. Experimental results from studies on charm and bottom production via gluon splitting, given in [259,279–283], yield weighted averages of $\tilde{n}_{g\rightarrow cc} = 3.05 \pm 0.45\%$ and $\tilde{n}_{g\rightarrow bb} = 0.277 \pm 0.072\%$, respectively.
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