



$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$$

We have omitted some results that have been superseded by later experiments. The omitted results may be found in our 1988 edition *Physics Letters* **B204** (1988).

η MASS

Recent measurements resolve the obvious inconsistency in previous η mass measurements in favor of the higher value first reported by NA48 (LAI 02). We use only precise measurements consistent with this higher mass value for our η mass average.

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
547.862±0.017 OUR AVERAGE				
547.865±0.031±0.062		NIKOLAEV	14	CRYB $\gamma p \rightarrow p\eta$
547.873±0.005±0.027	1M	GOSLAWSKI	12	SPEC $dp \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta$
547.874±0.007±0.029		AMBROSINO	07B	KLOE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
547.785±0.017±0.057	16k	MILLER	07	CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\eta$
547.843±0.030±0.041	1134	LAI	02	NA48 $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
547.311±0.028±0.032		¹ ABDEL-BARY	05	SPEC $dp \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta$
547.12 ±0.06 ±0.25		KRUSCHE	95D	SPEC $\gamma p \rightarrow \eta p$, threshold
547.30 ±0.15		PLOUIN	92	SPEC $dp \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta$
547.45 ±0.25		DUANE	74	SPEC $\pi^- p \rightarrow n$ neutrals
548.2 ±0.65		FOSTER	65C	HBC
549.0 ±0.7	148	FOELSCH	64	HBC
548.0 ±1.0	91	ALFF-...	62	HBC
549.0 ±1.2	53	BASTIEN	62	HBC

¹ ABDEL-BARY 05 disagrees significantly with recent measurements of similar or better precision. See comment in the header.

η WIDTH

This is the partial decay rate $\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ divided by the fitted branching fraction for that mode. See the note at the start of the $\Gamma(2\gamma)$ data block, next below.

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
1.31±0.05 OUR FIT	

η DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Neutral modes		
Γ_1 neutral modes	(72.12±0.34) %	S=1.2
Γ_2 2γ	(39.41±0.20) %	S=1.1

Γ_3	$3\pi^0$	$(32.68 \pm 0.23) \%$	S=1.1
Γ_4	$\pi^0 2\gamma$	$(2.56 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_5	$2\pi^0 2\gamma$	$< 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_6	4γ	$< 2.8 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_7	invisible	$< 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%

Charged modes

Γ_8	charged modes	$(28.10 \pm 0.34) \%$	S=1.2
Γ_9	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$(22.92 \pm 0.28) \%$	S=1.2
Γ_{10}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$	$(4.22 \pm 0.08) \%$	S=1.1
Γ_{11}	$e^+ e^- \gamma$	$(6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3
Γ_{12}	$\mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$	$(3.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{13}	$e^+ e^-$	$< 2.3 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{14}	$\mu^+ \mu^-$	$(5.8 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-6}$	
Γ_{15}	$2e^+ 2e^-$	$(2.40 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{16}	$\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^- (\gamma)$	$(2.68 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{17}	$e^+ e^- \mu^+ \mu^-$	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{18}	$2\mu^+ 2\mu^-$	$< 3.6 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{19}	$\mu^+ \mu^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$< 3.6 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{20}	$\pi^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e + \text{c.c.}$	$< 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{21}	$\pi^+ \pi^- 2\gamma$	$< 2.1 \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{22}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma$	$< 5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{23}	$\pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$	$< 3 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%

Charge conjugation (C), Parity (P), Charge conjugation \times Parity (CP), or Lepton Family number (LF) violating modes

Γ_{24}	$\pi^0 \gamma$	C	$< 9 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{25}	$\pi^+ \pi^-$	P, CP	$< 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{26}	$2\pi^0$	P, CP	$< 3.5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{27}	$2\pi^0 \gamma$	C	$< 5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{28}	$3\pi^0 \gamma$	C	$< 6 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{29}	3γ	C	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{30}	$4\pi^0$	P, CP	$< 6.9 \times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%
Γ_{31}	$\pi^0 e^+ e^-$	C [a]	$< 4 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{32}	$\pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$	C [a]	$< 5 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{33}	$\mu^+ e^- + \mu^- e^+$	LF	$< 6 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%

[a] C parity forbids this to occur as a single-photon process.

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 2 decay rate and 19 branching ratios uses 50 measurements and one constraint to determine 9 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 43.8$ for 42 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_3	24								
x_4	4	1							
x_9	-73	-80	-4						
x_{10}	-56	-60	-3	61					
x_{11}	-5	-5	0	-6	-4				
x_{12}	-1	0	0	-1	0	0			
x_{16}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Γ	-14	-3	-32	11	8	1	0	0	
		x_2	x_3	x_4	x_9	x_{10}	x_{11}	x_{12}	x_{16}

	Mode	Rate (keV)	Scale factor
Γ_2	2γ	0.515 ± 0.018	
Γ_3	$3\pi^0$	0.427 ± 0.015	
Γ_4	$\pi^0 2\gamma$	$(3.34 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_9	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	0.299 ± 0.011	
Γ_{10}	$\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$	0.0551 ± 0.0022	
Γ_{11}	$e^+ e^- \gamma$	0.0090 ± 0.0006	1.2
Γ_{12}	$\mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$	$(4.1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{16}	$\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^- (\gamma)$	$(3.50 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-4}$	

η DECAY RATES

$\Gamma(2\gamma)$

See the table immediately above giving the fitted decay rates. Following the advice of NEFKENS 02, we have removed the Primakoff-effect measurement from the average. See also the "Note on the Decay Width $\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$," in our 1994 edition, *Phys. Rev. D***50**, 1 August 1994, Part I, p. 1451, for a discussion of the various measurements.

Γ_2

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.515 ± 0.018				OUR FIT
0.516 ± 0.018				OUR AVERAGE
$0.520 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.013$		BABUSCI	13A	KLOE $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta$
$0.51 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.05$	36	BARU	90	MD1 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta$
$0.490 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.048$	2287	ROE	90	ASP $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta$
$0.514 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.035$	1295	WILLIAMS	88	CBAL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta$
$0.53 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.04$		BARTEL	85E	JADE $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.476 ± 0.062		¹ RODRIGUES	08	CNTR	Reanalysis
0.64 ± 0.14 ± 0.13		AIHARA	86	TPC	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta$
0.56 ± 0.16	56	WEINSTEIN	83	CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta$
0.324 ± 0.046		BROWMAN	74B	CNTR	Primakoff effect
1.00 ± 0.22		² BEMPORAD	67	CNTR	Primakoff effect

¹ RODRIGUES 08 uses a more sophisticated calculation for the inelastic background due to incoherent photoproduction to reanalyze the η photoproduction data on Be and Cu at 9 GeV from BROWMAN 74B. This brings the value of $\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)$ in line with direct measurements of the width. The error here is only statistical.

² BEMPORAD 67 gives $\Gamma(2\gamma) = 1.21 \pm 0.26$ keV assuming $\Gamma(2\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total}) = 0.314$. Bemporad private communication gives $\Gamma(2\gamma)^2/\Gamma(\text{total}) = 0.380 \pm 0.083$. We evaluate this using $\Gamma(2\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total}) = 0.38 \pm 0.01$. Not included in average because the uncertainty resulting from the separation of the coulomb and nuclear amplitudes has apparently been underestimated.

$\Gamma(\pi^0 2\gamma)$					Γ_4
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.334 ± 0.028 OUR FIT					
0.33 ± 0.03	1200	NEFKENS	14	CRYB $\gamma p \rightarrow \eta p$	

η BRANCHING RATIOS

Neutral modes

$\Gamma(\text{neutral modes})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		$\Gamma_1/\Gamma = (\Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4)/\Gamma$			
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.7212 ± 0.0034 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
0.705 ± 0.008	16k	BASILE	71D	CNTR	MM spectrometer
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.79 ± 0.08		BUNIATOV	67	OSPK	

$\Gamma(2\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_2/Γ			
VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
39.41 ± 0.20 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
39.49 ± 0.17 ± 0.30	65k	ABEGG	96	SPEC	$pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
38.45 ± 0.40 ± 0.36	14k	¹ LOPEZ	07	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\eta$

¹ Not independent of other results listed for LOPEZ 07. Assuming decays of $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, $3\pi^0$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$, and $e^+e^-\gamma$ account for all η decays within a contribution of 0.3% to the systematic error.

$\Gamma(2\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{neutral modes})$		$\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2/(\Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4)$			
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.5465 ± 0.0019 OUR FIT					
0.548 ± 0.023 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.5.			
0.535 ± 0.018		BUTTRAM	70	OSPK	
0.59 ± 0.033		BUNIATOV	67	OSPK	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.52 ±0.09	88	ABROSIMOV	80	HLBC	
0.60 ±0.14	113	KENDALL	74	OSPK	
0.57 ±0.09		STRUGALSKI	71	HLBC	
0.579 ±0.052		FELDMAN	67	OSPK	
0.416 ±0.044		DIGIUGNO	66	CNTR	Error doubled
0.44 ±0.07		GRUNHAUS	66	OSPK	
0.39 ±0.06	¹	JONES	66	CNTR	

¹This result from combining cross sections from two different experiments.

$\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_3/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10⁻²)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------------------------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

32.68±0.23 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

34.03±0.56±0.49	1821	¹ LOPEZ	07	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\eta$
-----------------	------	--------------------	----	------	-----------------------------------

¹Not independent of other results listed for LOPEZ 07. Assuming decays of $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, $3\pi^0$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$, and $e^+e^-\gamma$ account for all η decays within a contribution of 0.3% to the systematic error.

$\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma(\text{neutral modes})$

$\Gamma_3/\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_3/(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

0.4531±0.0019 OUR FIT

0.439 ±0.024

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.44 ±0.08	75	ABROSIMOV	80	HLBC	
0.32 ±0.09		STRUGALSKI	71	HLBC	
0.41 ±0.033		BUNIATOV	67	OSPK	Not indep. of $\Gamma(2\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{neutral modes})$
0.177 ±0.035		FELDMAN	67	OSPK	
0.209 ±0.054		DIGIUGNO	66	CNTR	Error doubled
0.29 ±0.10		GRUNHAUS	66	OSPK	

$\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma(2\gamma)$

Γ_3/Γ_2

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

0.829±0.006 OUR FIT

0.829±0.007 OUR AVERAGE

0.884±0.022±0.019	1821	LOPEZ	07	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\eta$
0.817±0.012±0.032	17.4k	¹ AKHMETSHIN	05	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
0.826±0.024		ACHASOV	00D	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
0.832±0.005±0.012		KRUSCHE	95D	SPEC	$\gamma p \rightarrow \eta p$, threshold
0.841±0.034		AMSLER	93	CBAR	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ at rest
0.822±0.009		ALDE	84	GAM2	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.796±0.016±0.016		ACHASOV	00	SND	See ACHASOV 00D
0.91 ±0.14		COX	70B	HBC	
0.75 ±0.09		DEVONS	70	OSPK	
0.88 ±0.16		BALTAY	67D	DBC	
1.1 ±0.2		CENCE	67	OSPK	
1.25 ±0.39		BACCI	63	CNTR	Inverse BR reported

¹Uses result from AKHMETSHIN 01B.

$\Gamma(\pi^0 2\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

Early results are summarized in the review by LANDSBERG 85.

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2.56±0.22 OUR FIT					
2.21±0.24±0.47	≈ 500		¹ PRAKHOV	08 CRYB	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n \approx$ threshold
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
3.5 ± 0.7 ± 0.6		1.6k	^{2,3} PRAKHOV	05 CRYB	See PRAKHOV 08
<8.4	90	7	ACHASOV	01D SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma$
<30	90	0	DAVYDOV	81 GAM2	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n$

¹ PRAKHOV 08 is a reanalysis of the data of PRAKHOV 05, using for the first time the invariant-mass spectrum of the two photons.² Normalized using $\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)/\Gamma = 0.3943 \pm 0.0026$.³ This measurement and the independent analysis of the same data by KNECHT 04 both imply a lower value of $\Gamma(\pi^0 2\gamma)$ than the one obtained by ALDE 84 from $\Gamma(\pi^0 2\gamma)/\Gamma(2\gamma)$. $\Gamma(\pi^0 2\gamma)/\Gamma(2\gamma)$ Γ_4/Γ_2

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.65±0.06 OUR FIT					
1.8 ± 0.4		ALDE	84	GAM2	0
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.5 ± 0.6	70	BINON	82	GAM2	See ALDE 84

 $\Gamma(\pi^0 2\gamma)/\Gamma(3\pi^0)$ Γ_4/Γ_3

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
7.8±0.7 OUR FIT			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
8.3±2.8±1.4	¹ KNECHT	04 CRYB	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n \eta$

¹ Independent analysis of same data as PRAKHOV 05. $\Gamma(2\pi^0 2\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<1.2 × 10⁻³	90	¹ NEFKENS	05A CRYB	$p(720 \text{ MeV}/c) \pi^- \rightarrow n \eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<4.0 × 10 ⁻³	90	BLIK	07 GAM4	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n$

¹ Measurement is done in limited $\gamma\gamma$ energy range. $\Gamma(4\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<2.8 × 10⁻⁴	90	BLIK	07 GAM4	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n$

 $\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(2\gamma)$ Γ_7/Γ_2

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<2.6 × 10⁻⁴	90	¹ ABLIKIM	13 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<1.65 × 10 ⁻³	90	² ABLIKIM	06Q BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta$

¹ Based on 225M J/ψ decays.² Based on 58M J/ψ decays.

————— Charged modes —————

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
22.92±0.28 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

22.60±0.35±0.29 3915 ¹ LOPEZ 07 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\eta$

¹ Not independent of other results listed for LOPEZ 07. Assuming decays of $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, $3\pi^0$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$, and $e^+e^-\gamma$ account for all η decays within a contribution of 0.3% to the systematic error.

$\Gamma(\text{neutral modes})/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_9 = (\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4)/\Gamma_9$

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN
3.15±0.05 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

3.26±0.30 OUR AVERAGE

2.54±1.89 74 KENDALL 74 OSPK

3.4 ±1.1 29 AGUILAR-... 72B HBC

2.83±0.80 70 ¹ BLOODWO... 72B HBC

3.6 ±0.6 244 FLATTE 67B HBC

2.89±0.56 ALFF-... 66 HBC

3.6 ±0.8 50 KRAEMER 64 DBC

3.8 ±1.1 PAULI 64 DBC

¹ Error increased from published value 0.5 by Bloodworth (private communication).

$\Gamma(2\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_2/Γ_9

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
1.720±0.028 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

1.70 ±0.04 OUR AVERAGE

1.704±0.032±0.026 3915 ¹ LOPEZ 07 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\eta$

1.61 ±0.14 ABLIKIM 06E BES2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

1.78 ±0.10 ±0.13 1077 AMSLER 95 CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ at rest

1.72 ±0.25 401 BAGLIN 69 HLBC

1.61 ±0.39 FOSTER 65 HBC

¹ LOPEZ 07 reports $\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) / \Gamma(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = \Gamma_9/\Gamma_2 = 0.587 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.009$.

$\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_3/Γ_9

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
1.426±0.026 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

1.48 ±0.05 OUR AVERAGE

1.46 ±0.03 ±0.09 ACHASOV 06A SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

1.52 ±0.04 ±0.08 23k ¹ AKHMETSHIN 01B CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

1.44 ±0.09 ±0.10 1627 AMSLER 95 CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ at rest

1.50 ^{+0.15}/_{-0.29} 199 BAGLIN 69 HLBC

1.47 ^{+0.20}/_{-0.17} BULLOCK 68 HLBC

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.3 ±0.4 BAGLIN 67B HLBC

0.90 ±0.24 FOSTER 65 HBC

2.0 ±1.0 FOELSCHKE 64 HBC

0.83 ±0.32 CRAWFORD 63 HBC

¹ AKHMETSHIN 01B uses results from AKHMETSHIN 99F.

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/[\Gamma(2\gamma)+\Gamma(3\pi^0)] \qquad \Gamma_9/(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3)$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

0.318 ± 0.005 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

0.304 ± 0.012 ACHASOV 00D SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.3141 ± 0.0081 ± 0.0058 ACHASOV 00B SND See ACHASOV 00D

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$$

<u>VALUE (units 10⁻²)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------------------------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

4.22 ± 0.08 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.96 ± 0.14 ± 0.14 859 ¹ LOPEZ 07 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\eta$

¹ Not independent of other results listed for LOPEZ 07. Assuming decays of $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, $3\pi^0$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$, and $e^+e^-\gamma$ account for all η decays within a contribution of 0.3% to the systematic error.

$$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \qquad \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_9$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

0.1842 ± 0.0027 OUR FIT

0.1847 ± 0.0030 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

0.1856 ± 0.0005 ± 0.0028 200k BABUSCI 13 KLOE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

0.175 ± 0.007 ± 0.006 859 LOPEZ 07 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.209 ± 0.004 18k THALER 73 ASPK

0.201 ± 0.006 7250 GORMLEY 70 ASPK

0.28 ± 0.04 BALTAY 67B DBC

0.25 ± 0.035 LITCHFIELD 67 DBC

0.30 ± 0.06 CRAWFORD 66 HBC

0.196 ± 0.041 FOSTER 65C HBC

$$\Gamma(e^+e^-\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$$

<u>VALUE (units 10⁻³)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------------------------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

6.9 ± 0.4 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.3.

6.7 ± 0.5 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

6.6 ± 0.4 ± 0.4 1345 BERGHAUSER 11 SPEC $\gamma p \rightarrow p\eta$

7.8 ± 0.5 ± 0.8 435 ± 31 BERLOWSKI 08 WASA $pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta$

5.15 ± 0.62 ± 0.74 283 ACHASOV 01B SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

7.10 ± 0.64 ± 0.46 323 AKHMETSHIN 01 CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

9.4 ± 0.7 ± 0.5 172 ¹ LOPEZ 07 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\eta$

¹ Not independent of other results listed for LOPEZ 07. Assuming decays of $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, $3\pi^0$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$, and $e^+e^-\gamma$ account for all η decays within a contribution of 0.3% to the systematic error.

$$\Gamma(e^+e^-\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\gamma) \qquad \Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_{10}$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

0.163 ± 0.011 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

0.237 ± 0.021 ± 0.015 172 LOPEZ 07 CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\eta$

$\Gamma(e^+e^-\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ Γ_{11}/Γ_9

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.00±0.19 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.3.
2.1 ±0.5	80	JANE	75B	OSPK See the erratum

$\Gamma(\text{neutral modes})/[\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) + \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\gamma) + \Gamma(e^+e^-\gamma)]$
 $\Gamma_1/(\Gamma_9+\Gamma_{10}+\Gamma_{11}) = (\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4)/(\Gamma_9+\Gamma_{10}+\Gamma_{11})$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
2.59±0.04 OUR FIT			Error includes scale factor of 1.2.
2.64±0.23		BALTAY	67B DBC

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4.5 ±1.0	280	¹ JAMES	66	HBC
3.20±1.26	53	¹ BASTIEN	62	HBC
2.5 ±1.0	10	¹ PICKUP	62	HBC

¹ These experiments are not used in the averages as they do not separate clearly $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ from each other. The reported values thus probably contain some unknown fraction of $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$.

$\Gamma(2\gamma)/[\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) + \Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\gamma) + \Gamma(e^+e^-\gamma)]$ $\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_9+\Gamma_{10}+\Gamma_{11})$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
1.417±0.023 OUR FIT			Error includes scale factor of 1.2.
1.1 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE			
1.51 ±0.93	75	KENDALL	74 OSPK
0.99 ±0.48		CRAWFORD	63 HBC

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.1±0.4 OUR FIT				
3.1±0.4	600	DZHELYADIN	80	SPEC $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n$
1.5±0.75	100	BUSHNIN	78	SPEC See DZHELYADIN 80

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.3 × 10⁻⁶	90	AGAKISHIEV	14	$pp \rightarrow \eta + X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<5.6 × 10 ⁻⁶	90	¹ AGAKISHIEV	12A	SPEC $pp \rightarrow \eta + X$
<2.7 × 10 ⁻⁵	90	BERLOWSKI	08	WASA $pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He} \eta$
<0.77 × 10 ⁻⁴	90	BROWDER	97B	CLE2 $e^+e^- \simeq 10.5 \text{ GeV}$
<2 × 10 ⁻⁴	90	WHITE	96	SPEC $pd \rightarrow \eta {}^3\text{He}$
<3 × 10 ⁻⁴	90	DAVIES	74	RVUE Uses ESTEN 67

¹ AGAKISHIEV 12A uses a data sample of 3.5 GeV proton beam collisions on liquid hydrogen target collected by the HADES detector.

$\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-6})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
5.8±0.8 OUR AVERAGE					
5.7±0.7±0.5		114	ABEGG 94	SPEC	$pd \rightarrow \eta^3\text{He}$
6.5±2.1		27	DZHELYADIN 80B	SPEC	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
5.6 ^{+0.6} _{-0.7} ±0.5		100	KESSLER 93	SPEC	See ABEGG 94
< 20	95	0	WEHMANN 68	OSPK	

$\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma(2\gamma)$ Γ_{14}/Γ_2

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-5})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
5.9±2.2	HYAMS 69	OSPK

$\Gamma(2e^+ 2e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-5})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2.4±0.2±0.1					
	362		¹ AMBROSINO 11B	KLOE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<9.7	90		BERLOWSKI 08	WASA	$pd \rightarrow ^3\text{He} \eta$
<6.9	90		AKHMETSHIN 01	CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
¹ This measurement is fully inclusive (includes " $2e^+ 2e^- \gamma$ " channel).					

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^- (\gamma))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2.68±0.11 OUR FIT					
2.68±0.09±0.07	1555 ± 52		¹ AMBROSINO 09B	KLOE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
4.3 ^{+2.0} _{-1.6} ±0.4		16	BERLOWSKI 08	WASA	$pd \rightarrow ^3\text{He} \eta$
4.3 ±1.3 ±0.4		16	BARGHOLTZ 07	CNTR	See BERLOWSKI 08
3.7 ^{+2.5} _{-1.8} ±0.3		4	AKHMETSHIN 01	CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
¹ This AMBROSINO 09B value includes radiative events.					

$\Gamma(e^+ e^- \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<1.6 × 10⁻⁴	90	BERLOWSKI 08	WASA	$pd \rightarrow ^3\text{He} \eta$

$\Gamma(2\mu^+ 2\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<3.6 × 10⁻⁴	90	BERLOWSKI 08	WASA	$pd \rightarrow ^3\text{He} \eta$

$\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<3.6 × 10⁻⁴	90	BERLOWSKI 08	WASA	$pd \rightarrow ^3\text{He} \eta$

$\Gamma(\pi^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$ Γ_{20}/Γ_9

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 7.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ABLIKIM	13G BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta$

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- 2\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$ Γ_{21}/Γ_9

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	
$< 9 \times 10^{-3}$		PRICE	67 HBC	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$< 16 \times 10^{-3}$	95	BALTAY	67B DBC	

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$ Γ_{22}/Γ_9

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	
$< 0.24 \times 10^{-2}$	90	0	THALER	73 ASPK	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$< 1.7 \times 10^{-2}$	90		ARNOLD	68 HLBC	
$< 1.6 \times 10^{-2}$	95		BALTAY	67B DBC	
$< 7.0 \times 10^{-2}$			FLATTE	67 HBC	
$< 0.9 \times 10^{-2}$			PRICE	67 HBC	

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	DZHELYADIN	81 SPEC	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n$

————— Forbidden modes —————

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{24}/Γ

Forbidden by angular momentum conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	NEFKENS	05A CRYB	$p(720 \text{ MeV}/c) \pi^- \rightarrow n \eta$

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{25}/Γ

Forbidden by P and CP invariance.

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	16M	AMBROSINO	05A KLOE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$< 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	25M	AAIJ	17D LHCb	in $D \rightarrow \pi \pi \pi$ decays
$< 3.9 \times 10^{-4}$	90	225M	ABLIKIM	11G BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \eta \gamma$
$< 3.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90		AKHMETSHIN	99B CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma$
$< 9 \times 10^{-4}$	90		AKHMETSHIN	97C CMD2	See AKHMETSHIN 99B
$< 15 \times 10^{-4}$		0	THALER	73 ASPK	

$\Gamma(2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{26}/Γ

Forbidden by P and CP invariance.

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 3.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90		BLIK	07 GAM4	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$< 6.9 \times 10^{-4}$	90	225M	ABLIKIM	11G BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \eta \gamma$
$< 4.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90		AKHMETSHIN	99C CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma$
$< 6 \times 10^{-4}$	90		¹ ACHASOV	98 SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma$

¹ACHASOV 98 observes one event in a $\pm 3\sigma$ region around the η mass, while a Monte Carlo calculation gives 10 ± 5 events. The limit here is the Poisson upper limit for one observed event and no background.

$\Gamma(2\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{27}/Γ**

Forbidden by C invariance.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$< 5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	NEFKENS	05	CRYB	0 $\rho(720 \text{ MeV}/c) \pi^- \rightarrow n\eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$< 17 \times 10^{-4}$	90	BLIK	07	GAM4	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n$

$\Gamma(3\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{28}/Γ**

Forbidden by C invariance.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$< 6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	NEFKENS	05	CRYB	0 $\rho(720 \text{ MeV}/c) \pi^- \rightarrow n\eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$< 24 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BLIK	07	GAM4	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n$

$\Gamma(3\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{29}/Γ**

Forbidden by C invariance.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$< 16 \times 10^{-5}$	90	BLIK	07	GAM4	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n$
$< 4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	NEFKENS	05A	CRYB	$\rho(720 \text{ MeV}/c) \pi^- \rightarrow n\eta$

$\Gamma(3\gamma)/\Gamma(2\gamma)$ **Γ_{29}/Γ_2**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$< 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$	95	ALDE	84	GAM2	0

$\Gamma(3\gamma)/\Gamma(3\pi^0)$ **Γ_{29}/Γ_3**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$< 4.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ALOSIO	04	KLOE	$\phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

$\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{30}/Γ**

Forbidden by P and CP invariance.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$< 6.9 \times 10^{-7}$	90	PRAKHOV	00	CRYB	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta, 720 \text{ MeV}/c$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$< 200 \times 10^{-7}$	90	BLIK	07	GAM4	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n$

$\Gamma(\pi^0 e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{31}/Γ**

C parity forbids this to occur as a single-photon process.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$< 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	MARTYNOV	76	HLBC	
$< 8.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	BAZIN	68	DBC	
$< 70 \times 10^{-4}$		RITTENBERG	65	HBC	

$\Gamma(\pi^0 e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$

Γ_{31}/Γ_9

C parity forbids this to occur as a single-photon process.

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
< 1.9×10^{-4}	90		JANE 75	OSPK
< 42 $\times 10^{-4}$	90		BAGLIN 67	HLBC
< 16 $\times 10^{-4}$	90	0	BILLING 67	HLBC
< 77 $\times 10^{-4}$		0	FOSTER 65B	HBC
<110 $\times 10^{-4}$			PRICE 65	HBC

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{32}/Γ

C parity forbids this to occur as a single-photon process.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 5×10^{-6}	90	DZHELYADIN 81	SPEC	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n$
<500 $\times 10^{-6}$		WEHMANN 68	OSPK	

$[\Gamma(\mu^+ e^-) + \Gamma(\mu^- e^+)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{33}/Γ

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 6×10^{-6}	90	WHITE 96	SPEC	$pd \rightarrow \eta {}^3\text{He}$

η C-NONCONSERVING DECAY PARAMETERS

$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ LEFT-RIGHT ASYMMETRY PARAMETER

Measurements with an error $> 1.0 \times 10^{-2}$ have been omitted.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
$0.09^{+0.11}_{-0.12}$			

$+0.09 \pm 0.10$	$^{+0.09}_{-0.14}$	1.34M	AMBROSINO 08D	KLOE
0.28 ± 0.26	165k	JANE 74	OSPK	
-0.05 ± 0.22	220k	LAYTER 72	ASPK	
1.5 ± 0.5	37k	¹ GORMLEY 68C	ASPK	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹The GORMLEY 68C asymmetry is probably due to unmeasured ($\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$) spark chamber effects. New experiments with ($\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$) controls don't observe an asymmetry.

$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ SEXTANT ASYMMETRY PARAMETER

Measurements with an error $> 2.0 \times 10^{-2}$ have been omitted.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	
$0.12^{+0.10}_{-0.11}$				
$+0.08 \pm 0.10$	$^{+0.08}_{-0.13}$	1.34M	AMBROSINO 08D	KLOE
0.20 ± 0.25	165k	JANE 74	OSPK	
0.10 ± 0.22	220k	LAYTER 72	ASPK	
0.5 ± 0.5	37k	GORMLEY 68C	WIRE	

$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ QUADRANT ASYMMETRY PARAMETER

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
-0.09 ± 0.09 OUR AVERAGE			
$-0.05 \pm 0.10^{+0.03}_{-0.05}$	1.34M	AMBROSINO 08D	KLOE
-0.30 ± 0.25	165k	JANE 74	OSPK
-0.07 ± 0.22	220k	LAYTER 72	ASPK

 $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ LEFT-RIGHT ASYMMETRY PARAMETER

Measurements with an error $> 2.0 \times 10^{-2}$ have been omitted.

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
0.9 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE			
1.2 ± 0.6	35k	JANE 74B	OSPK
0.5 ± 0.6	36k	THALER 72	ASPK
1.22 ± 1.56	7257	GORMLEY 70	ASPK

 $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ PARAMETER β (*D*-wave)

Sensitive to a *D*-wave contribution: $dN/d\cos\theta = \sin^2\theta (1 + \beta \cos^2\theta)$.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
-0.02 ± 0.07 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.3.	
0.11 ± 0.11	35k	JANE 74B	OSPK
-0.060 ± 0.065	7250	GORMLEY 70	WIRE
0.12 ± 0.06	¹	THALER 72	ASPK

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹The authors don't believe this indicates *D*-wave because the dependence of β on the γ energy is inconsistent with the theoretical prediction. A $\cos^2\theta$ dependence can also come from *P*- and *F*-wave interference.

 η CP-NONCONSERVING DECAY PARAMETER **$\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-$ DECAY-PLANE ASYMMETRY PARAMETER A_ϕ**

In the η rest frame, the total momentum of the e^+e^- pair is equal and opposite to that of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ pair. Let \hat{z} be the unit vector along the momentum of the e^+e^- pair; let \hat{n}_{ee} and $\hat{n}_{\pi\pi}$ be the unit vectors normal to the e^+e^- and $\pi^+\pi^-$ planes; and let ϕ be the angle between the two normals. Then

$$\sin\phi \cos\phi = [(\hat{n}_{ee} \times \hat{n}_{\pi\pi}) \cdot \hat{z}] (\hat{n}_{ee} \cdot \hat{n}_{\pi\pi}),$$

and

$$A_\phi \equiv \frac{N_{\sin\phi \cos\phi > 0} - N_{\sin\phi \cos\phi < 0}}{N_{\sin\phi \cos\phi > 0} + N_{\sin\phi \cos\phi < 0}}.$$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$-0.6 \pm 2.5 \pm 1.8$	1555 ± 52	AMBROSINO 09B	KLOE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

ENERGY DEPENDENCE OF $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi$ DALITZ PLOTSPARAMETERS FOR $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

See the "Note on η Decay Parameters," page 1454, in our 1994 edition (*Physical Review D* **50** 1173 (1994)). The following experiments fit to one or more of the coefficients a, b, c, d, e, f or g for $|\text{matrix element}|^2 = 1 + ay + by^2 + cx + dx^2 + exy + fy^3 + gx^2y$.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • •				We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
4.7M		¹ ANASTASI	16A	KLOE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
79k		ABLIKIM	15G	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta$
174k		ADLARSON	14A	WASA $p d \rightarrow \eta \text{ } ^3\text{He}$
1.34M		AMBROSINO	08D	KLOE
3230		² ABELE	98D	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\eta$ at rest
1077		³ AMSLER	95	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ at rest
81k		LAYTER	73	ASPK
220k		LAYTER	72	ASPK
1138		CARPENTER	70	HBC
349		DANBURG	70	DBC
7250		GORMLEY	70	WIRE
526		BAGLIN	69	HLBC
7170		CNOPS	68	OSPK
37k		GORMLEY	68C	WIRE
1300		CLPWY	66	HBC
705		LARRIBE	66	HBC

¹ ANASTASI 16A measure the Dalitz parameters a, b, d, f , and g . This is the first measurement of g .

² ABELE 98D obtains $a = -1.22 \pm 0.07$ and $b = 0.22 \pm 0.11$ when c (or d) is fixed at 0.06.

³ AMSLER 95 fits to $(1+ay+by^2)$ and obtains $a = -0.94 \pm 0.15$ and $b = 0.11 \pm 0.27$.

 α PARAMETER FOR $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$

See the "Note on η Decay Parameters" in our 1994 edition, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 1 August 1994, Part I, p. 1454. The value here is of α in $|\text{matrix element}|^2 = 1 + 2\alpha z$.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-0.0318 ± 0.0015 OUR AVERAGE				
-0.055 ± 0.014 ± 0.004	33k	ABLIKIM	15G	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta$
-0.0301 ± 0.0035 ^{+0.0022} / _{-0.0035}	512k	AMBROSINO	10A	KLOE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
-0.027 ± 0.008 ± 0.005	120k	¹ ADOLPH	09	WASA $p p \rightarrow p p \eta$
-0.0322 ± 0.0012 ± 0.0022	3M	² PRAKHOV	09	CRYB $\gamma p \rightarrow p \eta$
-0.032 ± 0.002 ± 0.002	1.8M	² UNVERZAGT	09	CRYB $\gamma p \rightarrow p \eta$
-0.026 ± 0.010 ± 0.010	75k	BASHKANOV	07	WASA $p p \rightarrow p p \eta$
-0.010 ± 0.021 ± 0.010	12k	ACHASOV	01C	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
-0.031 ± 0.004	1M	TIPPENS	01	CRYB $\pi^- p \rightarrow n \eta$, 720 MeV
-0.052 ± 0.017 ± 0.010	98k	ABELE	98C	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 5\pi^0$
-0.022 ± 0.023	50k	ALDE	84	GAM2
• • •				We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
-0.038 ± 0.003 ^{+0.012} / _{-0.008}	1.34M	³ AMBROSINO	08D	KLOE
-0.32 ± 0.37	192	BAGLIN	70	HLBC

¹ This ADOLPH 09 result is independent of the BASHKANOV 07 result.

² The PRAKHOV 09 and UNVERZAGT 09 results are independent.

³ This AMBROSINO 08D value is an indirect result using $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^-$ events and a rescattering matrix that mixes isospin decay amplitudes.

PARAMETER Λ IN $\eta \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$ DECAY

In the pole approximation the electromagnetic transition form factor for a resonance of mass M is given by the expression:

$$|F|^2 = (1 - M_{\mu\mu}^2/\Lambda^2)^{-2},$$

where for the parameter Λ vector dominance predicts $\Lambda \approx 0.770$ GeV.

VALUE (GeV/c ²)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.719 ± 0.014 OUR AVERAGE				
0.7191 ± 0.0125 ± 0.0093		¹ ARNALDI 16	NA60	400 GeV p -A collisions
0.716 ± 0.031 ± 0.009		² ARNALDI 09	NA60	158A In-In collisions
0.72 ± 0.09	600	DZHELYADIN 80	SPEC	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n$

¹ ARNALDI 16 reports $\Lambda^{-2}(\eta) = 1.934 \pm 0.067 \pm 0.050$ (GeV/c²)⁻² which we converted to the quoted Λ value.

² ARNALDI 09 reports $\Lambda^{-2}(\eta) = 1.95 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.05$ (GeV/c²)⁻² which we converted to the quoted Λ value.

η REFERENCES

AAIJ 17D	PL B764 233	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ANASTASI 16A	JHEP 1605 019	A. Anastasi <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE-2 Collab.)
ARNALDI 16	PL B757 437	R. Arnaldi <i>et al.</i>	(NA60 Collab.)
ABLIKIM 15G	PR D92 012014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
ADLARSON 14A	PR C90 045207	P. Adlarson <i>et al.</i>	(WASA-at-COSY Collab.)
AGAKISHIEV 14	PL B731 265	G. Agakishiev <i>et al.</i>	(HADES Collab.)
NEFKENS 14	PR C90 025206	B.M.K. Nefkens <i>et al.</i>	(A2 Collab. at MAMI)
NIKOLAEV 14	EPJ A50 58	A. Nikolaev <i>et al.</i>	(MAMI-B, MAINZ, BONN)
ABLIKIM 13	PR D87 012009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
ABLIKIM 13G	PR D87 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
BABUSCI 13	PL B718 910	D. Babusci <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE/KLOE-2 Collab.)
BABUSCI 13A	JHEP 1301 119	D. Babusci <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE-2 Collab.)
AGAKISHIEV 12A	EPJ A48 64	G. Agakishiev <i>et al.</i>	(HADES Collab.)
GOSLAWSKI 12	PR D85 112011	P. Goslawski <i>et al.</i>	(COSY-ANKE Collab.)
ABLIKIM 11G	PR D84 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
AMBROSINO 11B	PL B702 324	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
BERGHAUSER 11	PL B701 562	H. Berghauer <i>et al.</i>	(GIES, UCLA, GUTE)
AMBROSINO 10A	PL B694 16	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
ADOLPH 09	PL B677 24	C. Adolph <i>et al.</i>	(WASA at COSY Collab.)
AMBROSINO 09B	PL B675 283	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
ARNALDI 09	PL B677 260	R. Arnaldi <i>et al.</i>	(NA60 Collab.)
PRAKHOV 09	PR C79 035204	S. Prakhov <i>et al.</i>	(MAMI-C Crystal Ball Collab.)
UNVERZAGT 09	EPJ A39 169	M. Unverzagt <i>et al.</i>	(MAMI-B Crystal Ball Collab.)
AMBROSINO 08D	JHEP 0805 006	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(DAPHNE KLOE Collab.)
BERLOWSKI 08	PR D77 032004	M. Berlowski <i>et al.</i>	(CELSIUS/WASA Collab.)
PRAKHOV 08	PR C78 015206	S. Prakhov <i>et al.</i>	(BNL Crystal Ball Collab.)
RODRIGUES 08	PRL 101 012301	T.E. Rodrigues <i>et al.</i>	(USP, FESP, UNESP+)
AMBROSINO 07B	JHEP 0712 073	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
BARGHOLTZ 07	PL B644 299	Chr. Bargholtz <i>et al.</i>	(CELSIUS/WASA Collab.)
BASHKANOV 07	PR C76 048201	M. Bashkanov <i>et al.</i>	(CELSIUS/WASA Collab.)
BLIK 07	PAN 70 693	A.M. Blik <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)
	Translated from YAF 70 724.		
LOPEZ 07	PRL 99 122001	A. Lopez <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
MILLER 07	PRL 99 122002	D.H. Miller <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABLIKIM 06E	PR D73 052008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM 06Q	PRL 97 202002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ACHASOV 06A	PR D74 014016	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ABDEL-BARY 05	PL B619 281	M. Abdel-Bary <i>et al.</i>	(GEM Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN 05	PL B605 26	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)

AMBROSINO	05A	PL B606 276	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
NEFKENS	05	PRL 94 041601	B.M.K. Nefkens <i>et al.</i>	(BNL Crystal Ball Collab.)
NEFKENS	05A	PR C72 035212	B.M.K. Nefkens <i>et al.</i>	(BNL Crystal Ball Collab.)
PRAKHOV	05	PR C72 025201	S. Prakhov <i>et al.</i>	(BNL Crystal Ball Collab.)
ALOISIO	04	PL B591 49	A. Aloisio <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
KNECHT	04	PL B589 14	N. Knecht <i>et al.</i>	
LAI	02	PL B533 196	A. Lai <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA48 Collab.)
NEFKENS	02	PS T99 114	B.M.K. Nefkens, J.W. Price	(UCLA)
ACHASOV	01B	PL B504 275	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	01C	JETPL 73 451	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETFP 73 511.		
ACHASOV	01D	NP B600 3	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	01	PL B501 191	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	01B	PL B509 217	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
TIPPENS	01	PRL 87 192001	W.B. Tippens <i>et al.</i>	(BNL Crystal Ball Collab.)
ACHASOV	00	EPJ C12 25	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	00B	JETP 90 17	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETF 117 22.		
ACHASOV	00D	JETPL 72 282	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETFP 72 411.		
PRAKHOV	00	PRL 84 4802	S. Prakhov <i>et al.</i>	(BNL Crystal Ball Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	99B	PL B462 371	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	99C	PL B462 380	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	99F	PL B460 242	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ABELE	98C	PL B417 193	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	98D	PL B417 197	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACHASOV	98	PL B425 388	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	97C	PL B415 452	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
BROWDER	97B	PR D56 5359	T.E. Browder <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABEGG	96	PR D53 11	R. Abegg <i>et al.</i>	(Saturne SPES2 Collab.)
WHITE	96	PR D53 6658	D.B. White <i>et al.</i>	(Saturne SPES2 Collab.)
AMSLER	95	PL B346 203	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
KRUSCHE	95D	ZPHY A351 237	B. Krusche <i>et al.</i>	(TAPS + A2 Collab.)
ABEGG	94	PR D50 92	R. Abegg <i>et al.</i>	(Saturne SPES2 Collab.)
PDG	94	PR D50 1173	L. Montanet <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, LBL, BOST+)
AMSLER	93	ZPHY C58 175	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
KESSLER	93	PRL 70 892	R.S. Kessler <i>et al.</i>	(Saturne SPES2 Collab.)
PLOUIN	92	PL B276 526	F. Plouin <i>et al.</i>	(Saturne SPES4 Collab.)
BARU	90	ZPHY C48 581	S.E. Baru <i>et al.</i>	(MD-1 Collab.)
ROE	90	PR D41 17	N.A. Roe <i>et al.</i>	(ASP Collab.)
WILLIAMS	88	PR D38 1365	D.A. Williams <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
AIHARA	86	PR D33 844	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 γ Collab.)
BARTEL	85E	PL 160B 421	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
LANDSBERG	85	PRPL 128 301	L.G. Landsberg	(SERP)
ALDE	84	ZPHY C25 225	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LAPP)
Also		SJNP 40 918	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LAPP)
		Translated from YAF 40 1447.		
WEINSTEIN	83	PR D28 2896	A.J. Weinstein <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
BINON	82	SJNP 36 391	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LAPP+)
		Translated from YAF 36 670.		
Also		NC 71A 497	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LAPP+)
DAVYDOV	81	LNC 32 45	V.A. Davydov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LAPP+)
Also		SJNP 33 825	V.A. Davydov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LAPP+)
		Translated from YAF 33 1534.		
DZHELADIN	81	PL 105B 239	R.I. Dzhelyadin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
Also		SJNP 33 822	R.I. Dzhelyadin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
		Translated from YAF 33 1529.		
ABROSIMOV	80	SJNP 31 195	A.T. Abrosimov <i>et al.</i>	(JINR)
		Translated from YAF 31 371.		
DZHELADIN	80	PL 94B 548	R.I. Dzhelyadin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
Also		SJNP 32 516	R.I. Dzhelyadin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
		Translated from YAF 32 998.		
DZHELADIN	80B	PL 97B 471	R.I. Dzhelyadin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
Also		SJNP 32 518	R.I. Dzhelyadin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
		Translated from YAF 32 1002.		
BUSHNIN	78	PL 79B 147	Y.B. Bushnin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
Also		SJNP 28 775	Y.B. Bushnin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
		Translated from YAF 28 1507.		
MARTYNOV	76	SJNP 23 48	A.S. Martynov <i>et al.</i>	(JINR)
		Translated from YAF 23 93.		

JANE	75	PL 59B 99	M.R. Jane <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, LOWC)
JANE	75B	PL 59B 103	M.R. Jane <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, LOWC)
Also		PL 73B 503	M.R. Jane	
Erratum in private communication.				
BROWMAN	74B	PRL 32 1067	A. Browman <i>et al.</i>	(CORN, BING)
DAVIES	74	NC 24A 324	J.D. Davies, J.G. Guy, R.K.P. Zia	(BIRM, RHEL+)
DUANE	74	PRL 32 425	A. Duane <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, SHMP)
JANE	74	PL 48B 260	M.R. Jane <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, LOWC, SUSS)
JANE	74B	PL 48B 265	M.R. Jane <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, LOWC, SUSS)
KENDALL	74	NC 21A 387	B.N. Kendall <i>et al.</i>	(BROW, BARI, MIT)
LAYTER	73	PR D7 2565	J.G. Layter <i>et al.</i>	(COLU)
THALER	73	PR D7 2569	J.J. Thaler <i>et al.</i>	(COLU)
AGUILAR-...	72B	PR D6 29	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
BLOODWORTH...	72B	NP B39 525	I.J. Bloodworth <i>et al.</i>	(TNTO)
LAYTER	72	PRL 29 316	J.G. Layter <i>et al.</i>	(COLU)
THALER	72	PRL 29 313	J.J. Thaler <i>et al.</i>	(COLU)
BASILE	71D	NC 3A 796	M. Basile <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, BGNA, STRB)
STRUGALSKI	71	NP B27 429	Z.S. Strugalski <i>et al.</i>	(JINR)
BAGLIN	70	NP B22 66	C. Baglin <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, MADR, STRB)
BUTTRAM	70	PRL 25 1358	M.T. Buttram, M.N. Kreisler, R.E. Mischke	(PRIN)
CARPENTER	70	PR D1 1303	D.W. Carpenter <i>et al.</i>	(DUKE)
COX	70B	PRL 24 534	B. Cox, L. Fortney, J.P. Golson	(DUKE)
DANBURG	70	PR D2 2564	J.S. Danburg <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
DEVONS	70	PR D1 1936	S. Devons <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, SYRA)
GORMLEY	70	PR D2 501	M. Gormley <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BNL)
Also		Thesis Nevis 181	M. Gormley	(COLU)
BAGLIN	69	PL 29B 445	C. Baglin <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, UCB, MADR, STRB)
Also		NP B22 66	C. Baglin <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, MADR, STRB)
HYAMS	69	PL 29B 128	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
ARNOLD	68	PL 27B 466	R.G. Arnold <i>et al.</i>	(STRB, MADR, EPOL+)
BAZIN	68	PRL 20 895	M.J. Bazin <i>et al.</i>	(PRIN, QUKI)
BULLOCK	68	PL 27B 402	F.W. Bullock <i>et al.</i>	(LOUC)
CNOPS	68	PRL 21 1609	A.M. Cnops <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, ORNL, UCND+)
GORMLEY	68C	PRL 21 402	M. Gormley <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BNL)
WEHMANN	68	PRL 20 748	A.W. Wehmann <i>et al.</i>	(HARV, CASE, SLAC+)
BAGLIN	67	PL 24B 637	C. Baglin <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, UCB)
BAGLIN	67B	BAPS 12 567	C. Baglin <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, UCB)
BALTAY	67B	PRL 19 1498	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, STON)
BALTAY	67D	PRL 19 1495	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BRAN)
BEMPORAD	67	PL 25B 380	C. Bemporad <i>et al.</i>	(PISA, BONN)
Also		Private Comm.	I. Ion	
BILLING	67	PL 25B 435	K.D. Billing <i>et al.</i>	(LOUC, OXF)
BUNIATOV	67	PL 25B 560	S.A. Bunyatov <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, KARL)
CENCE	67	PRL 19 1393	R.J. Cence <i>et al.</i>	(HAWA, LRL)
ESTEN	67	PL 24B 115	M.J. Esten <i>et al.</i>	(LOUC, OXF)
FELDMAN	67	PRL 18 868	M. Feldman <i>et al.</i>	(PENN)
FLATTE	67	PRL 18 976	S.M. Flatte	(LRL)
FLATTE	67B	PR 163 1441	S.M. Flatte, C.G. Wohl	(LRL)
LITCHFIELD	67	PL 24B 486	P.J. Litchfield <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, SACL)
PRICE	67	PRL 18 1207	L.R. Price, F.S. Crawford	(LRL)
ALFF-...	66	PR 145 1072	C. Alff-Steinberger <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, RUTG)
CLPWY	66	PR 149 1044	C. Baltay	(SCUC, LRL, PURD, WISC, YALE)
CRAWFORD	66	PRL 16 333	F.S. Crawford, L.R. Price	(LRL)
DIGIUGNO	66	PRL 16 767	G. di Giugno <i>et al.</i>	(NAPL, TRST, FRAS)
GRUNHAUS	66	Thesis	J. Grunhaus	(COLU)
JAMES	66	PR 142 896	F.E. James, H.L. Kraybill	(YALE, BNL)
JONES	66	PL 23 597	W.G. Jones <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, RHEL)
LARRIBE	66	PL 23 600	A. Larribe <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, RHEL)
FOSTER	65	PR 138 B652	M. Foster <i>et al.</i>	(WISC, PURD)
FOSTER	65B	Athens Conf.	M. Foster, M. Good, M. Meer	(WISC)
FOSTER	65C	Thesis	M. Foster	(WISC)
PRICE	65	PRL 15 123	L.R. Price, F.S. Crawford	(LRL)
RITTENBERG	65	PRL 15 556	A. Rittenberg, G.R. Kalbfleisch	(LRL, BNL)
FOELSCH	64	PR 134 B1138	H.W.J. Foelsche, H.L. Kraybill	(YALE)
KRAEMER	64	PR 136 B496	R.W. Kraemer <i>et al.</i>	(JHU, NWES, WOOD)
PAULI	64	PL 13 351	E. Pauli, A. Muller	(SACL)
BACCI	63	PRL 11 37	C. Bacci <i>et al.</i>	(ROMA, FRAS)
CRAWFORD	63	PRL 10 546	F.S.Jr. Crawford, L.J. Lloyd, E.C. Fowler	(LRL+)
Also		PRL 16 907	F.S. Crawford, L.J. Lloyd, E.C. Fowler	(LRL+)
ALFF-...	62	PRL 9 322	C. Alff-Steinberger <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, RUTG)

BASTIEN	62	PRL 8 114	P.L. Bastien <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
PICKUP	62	PRL 8 329	E. Pickup, D.K. Robinson, E.O. Salant	(CNRC+)
