77. The $\rho(1450)$ and the $\rho(1700)$

Updated November 2015 by S. Eidelman (Novosibirsk), C. Hanhart (Juelich) and G. Venanzoni (Frascati).

In our 1988 edition, we replaced the $\rho(1600)$ entry with two new ones, the $\rho(1450)$ and the $\rho(1700)$, because there was emerging evidence that the 1600-MeV region actually contains two ρ -like resonances. Erkal [1] had pointed out this possibility with a theoretical analysis on the consistency of 2π and 4π electromagnetic form factors and the $\pi\pi$ scattering length. Donnachie [2], with a full analysis of data on the 2π and 4π final states in e^+e^- annihilation and photoproduction reactions, had also argued that in order to obtain a consistent picture, two resonances were necessary. The existence of $\rho(1450)$ was supported by the analysis of $\eta\rho^0$ mass spectra obtained in photoproduction and $e^+e^$ annihilation [3], as well as that of $e^+e^- \to \omega\pi$ [4].

The analysis of [2] was further extended by [5,6] to include new data on 4π -systems produced in e^+e^- annihilation, and in τ -decays (τ decays to 4π , and e^+e^- annihilation to 4π can be related by the Conserved Vector Current assumption). These systems were successfully analyzed using interfering contributions from two ρ -like states, and from the tail of the $\rho(770)$ decaying into two-body states. While specific conclusions on $\rho(1450) \rightarrow$ 4π were obtained, little could be said about the $\rho(1700)$.

Independent evidence for two 1⁻ states is provided by [7] in 4π electroproduction at $\langle Q^2 \rangle = 1$ (GeV/c)², and by [8] in a high-statistics sample of the $\eta \pi \pi$ system in $\pi^- p$ charge exchange.

This scenario with two overlapping resonances is supported by other data. Bisello [9] measured the pion form factor in the interval 1.35–2.4 GeV, and observed a deep minimum around 1.6 GeV. The best fit was obtained with the hypothesis of ρ -like resonances at 1420 and 1770 MeV, with widths of about 250 MeV. Antonelli [10] found that the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ cross section is better fitted with two fully interfering Breit-Wigners, with parameters in fair agreement with those of [2] and [9]. These results can be considered as a confirmation of the $\rho(1450)$.

Decisive evidence for the $\pi\pi$ decay mode of both $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ comes from $\overline{p}p$ annihilation at rest [11]. It has been shown that these resonances also possess a $K\overline{K}$ decay mode [12–14]. High-statistics studies of the decays $\tau \to \pi\pi\nu_{\tau}$ [15,16], and $\tau \to 4\pi\nu_{\tau}$ [17] also require the $\rho(1450)$, but are not sensitive to the $\rho(1700)$, because it is too close to the τ mass. A recent very-high-statistics study of the $\tau \to \pi\pi\nu_{\tau}$ decay performed at Belle [18] reports the first observation of both $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ in τ decays. A clear picture of the two $\pi^+\pi^-$ resonances interfering with the $\rho(770)$ was also reported by BaBar using the ISR method [19].

The structure of these ρ states is not yet completely clear. Barnes [20] and Close [21] claim that $\rho(1450)$ has a mass consistent with radial 2*S*, but its decays show characteristics of hybrids, and suggest that this state may be a 2*S*-hybrid mixture. Donnachie [22] argues that hybrid states could have a 4π decay mode dominated by the $a_1\pi$. Such behavior has been observed by [23] in $e^+e^- \to 4\pi$ in the energy range 1.05–1.38 GeV, and by [17] in $\tau \to 4\pi$ decays. CLEO [24] and Belle [25] observe the $\rho(1450) \to \omega\pi$ decay mode in *B*-meson decays, however, do not find $\rho(1700) \to \omega\pi^0$. A similar conclusion is made by [26], who studied the process $e^+e^- \to \omega\pi^0$. Various decay modes of the $\rho(1450)$ and

C. Patrignani *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, **40**, 100001 (2016) and 2017 update December 1, 2017 09:36

2 77. The $\rho(1450)$ and the $\rho(1700)$

 $\rho(1700)$ are observed in $\overline{p}n$ and $\overline{p}p$ annihilation [27,28], but no definite conclusions can be drawn. More data should be collected to clarify the nature of the ρ states, particularly in the energy range above 1.6 GeV.

We now list under a separate entry the $\rho(1570)$, the $\phi\pi$ state with $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$ earlier observed by [29] (referred to as C(1480)) and recently confirmed by [30]. While [31] shows that it may be a threshold effect, [5] and [32] suggest two independent vector states with this decay mode. The C(1480) has not been seen in the $\overline{p}p$ [33] and e^+e^- [34,35] experiments. However, the sensitivity of the two latter is an order of magnitude lower than that of [30]. Note that [30] can not exclude that their observation is due to an OZI-suppressed decay mode of the $\rho(1700)$.

Several observations on the $\omega \pi$ system in the 1200-MeV region [36–42] may be interpreted in terms of either $J^P = 1^- \rho(770) \rightarrow \omega \pi$ production [43], or $J^P = 1^+ b_1(1235)$ production [41,42]. We argue that no special entry for a $\rho(1250)$ is needed. The LASS amplitude analysis [44] showing evidence for $\rho(1270)$ is preliminary and needs confirmation. For completeness, the relevant observations are listed under the $\rho(1450)$.

Recently [45] reported a very broad 1⁻⁻ resonance-like K^+K^- state in $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$ decays. Its pole position corresponds to mass of 1576 MeV and width of 818 MeV. [46–48] suggest its exotic structure (molecular or multiquark), while [49] and [50] explain it by the interference between the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$. We quote [45] as X(1575) in the section "Further States."

Evidence for ρ -like mesons decaying into 6π states was first noted by [51] in the analysis of 6π mass spectra from e^+e^- annihilation [52,53] and diffractive photoproduction [54]. Clegg [51] argued that two states at about 2.1 and 1.8 GeV exist: while the former is a candidate for the $\rho(2150)$, the latter could be a manifestation of the $\rho(1700)$ distorted by threshold effects. BaBar reported observations of the new decay modes of the $\rho(2150)$ in the channels $\eta'(958)\pi^+\pi^-$ and $f_1(1285)\pi^+\pi^-$ [55]. The relativistic quark model [56] predicts the 2^3D_1 state with $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$ at 2.15 GeV which can be identified with the $\rho(2150)$.

We no longer list under a separate particle $\rho(1900)$ various observations of irregular behavior of the cross sections near the $N\bar{N}$ threshold. Dips of various width around 1.9 GeV were reported by the E687 Collaboration (a narrow one in the $3\pi^+3\pi^-$ diffractive photoproduction [57,58]), by the FENICE experiment (a narrow structure in the Rvalue [59]), by BaBar in ISR (a narrow structure in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\pi$ final state [60], but much broader in $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\pi^+3\pi^-$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ [61]), by CMD-3 (also a rather broad dip in $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\pi^+3\pi^-$ [62]). Most probably, these structures emerge as a threshold effect due to the opening of the $N\bar{N}$ channel [63,64].

References:

- 1. C. Erkal, Z. Phys. C31, 615 (1986).
- 2. A. Donnachie and H. Mirzaie, Z. Phys. C33, 407 (1987).
- 3. A. Donnachie and A.B. Clegg, Z. Phys. C34, 257 (1987).
- 4. A. Donnachie and A.B. Clegg, Z. Phys. C51, 689 (1991).
- 5. A.B. Clegg and A. Donnachie, Z. Phys. C40, 313 (1988).
- 6. A.B. Clegg and A. Donnachie, Z. Phys. C62, 455 (1994).
- 7. T.J. Killian *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D21**, 3005 (1980).
- 8. S. Fukui *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B202**, 441 (1988).
- 9. D. Bisello *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B220**, 321 (1989).
- 10. A. Antonelli et al., Phys. Lett. **B212**, 133 (1988).
- 11. A. Abele *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B391**, 191 (1997).
- 12. A. Abele *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D57**, 3860 (1998).
- 13. A. Bertin *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B434**, 180 (1998).
- 14. A. Abele et al., Phys. Lett. B468, 178 (1999).
- 15. R. Barate et al., Z. Phys. C76, 15 (1997).
- 16. S. Anderson, Phys. Rev. D61, 112002 (2000).
- 17. K.W. Edwards et al., Phys. Rev. D61, 072003 (2000).
- 18. M. Fujikawa *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D78**, 072006 (2008).
- 19. J.P. Lees et al., Phys. Rev. **D86**, 032013 (2012).
- 20. T. Barnes *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D55**, 4157 (1997).
- 21. F.E. Close et al., Phys. Rev. D56, 1584 (1997).
- 22. A. Donnachie and Yu.S. Kalashnikova, Phys. Rev. D60, 114011 (1999).
- 23. R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B466**, 392 (1999).
- 24. J.P. Alexander et al., Phys. Rev. D64, 092001 (2001).
- 25. D. Matvienko *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D92**, 012013 (2015).
- 26. R.R. Akhmetshin et al., Phys. Lett. B562, 173 (2003).
- 27. A. Abele et al., Eur. Phys. J. C21, 261 (2001).
- 28. M. Bargiotti et al., Phys. Lett. **B561**, 233 (2003).
- 29. S.I. Bityukov et al., Phys. Lett. B188, 383 (1987).
- 30. B. Aubert et al., Phys. Rev. D77, 092002 (2008).
- 31. N.N. Achasov and G.N. Shestakov, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 59, 1262 (1996).
- 32. L.G. Landsberg, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 55, 1051 (1992).
- 33. A. Abele et al., Phys. Lett. B415, 280 (1997).
- 34. V.M. Aulchenko et al., Sov. Phys. JETP Lett. 45, 145 (1987).
- 35. D. Bisello et al., Z. Phys. C52, 227 (1991).
- 36. P. Frenkiel et al., Nucl. Phys. B47, 61 (1972).
- 37. G. Cosme et al., Phys. Lett. B63, 352 (1976).
- 38. D.P. Barber *et al.*, Z. Phys. C4, 169 (1980).
- 39. D. Aston, Phys. Lett. **B92**, 211 (1980).
- 40. M. Atkinson *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **B243**, 1 (1984).
- 41. J.E. Brau et al., Phys. Rev. D37, 2379 (1988).
- 42. C. Amsler *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B311**, 362 (1993).
- 43. J. Layssac and F.M. Renard, Nuovo Cimento **6A**, 134 (1971).

4 77. The $\rho(1450)$ and the $\rho(1700)$

- 44. D. Aston *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Supp.) **B21**, 105 (1991).
- 45. M. Ablikim et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 142002 (2006).
- 46. G.-J. Ding and M.-L. Yan, Phys. Lett. **B643**, 33 (2006).
- 47. F.K. Guo et al., Nucl. Phys. A773, 78 (2006).
- 48. A. Zhang *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D76**, 036004 (2007).
- 49. B.A. Li, Phys. Rev. **D76**, 094016 (2007).
- 50. X. Liu et al., Phys. Rev. D75, 074017 (2007).
- 51. A.B. Clegg and A. Donnachie, Z. Phys. C45, 677 (1990).
- 52. D. Bisello et al., Phys. Lett. 107B, 145 (1981).
- 53. A. Castro *et al.*, LAL-88-58(1988).
- 54. M. Atkinson et al., Z. Phys. C29, 333 (1985).
- 55. B. Aubert et al., Phys. Rev. D76, 092005 (2007).
- 56. S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D32, 189 (1985).
- 57. P.L. Frabetti et al., Phys. Lett. B514, 240 (2001).
- 58. P.L. Frabetti et al., Phys. Lett. B578, 290 (2004).
- 59. A. Antonelli *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B365**, 427 (1996).
- 60. B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D77**, 092002 (2008).
- 61. B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D73**, 052003 (2006).
- 62. R.R. Akhmetshin et al., Phys. Lett. B723, 83 (2013).
- 63. A. Obrazovsky and S. Serednyakov, JETP Lett. 99, 315 (2014).
- 64. J. Heidenauer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D92**, 054032 (2015).