

$\Lambda_c(2880)^+$  $I(J^P) = 0(\frac{5}{2}^+)$  Status: \*\*\*

A narrow peak seen in  $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$  and in  $pD^0$ . It is not seen in  $pD^+$ , and therefore it is a  $\Lambda_c^+$  and not a  $\Sigma_c$ .

 **$\Lambda_c(2880)^+$  MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>2881.63 ± 0.24 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>2881.62 ± 0.24 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
2881.75 ± 0.29 ± 0.07 <sup>+0.14</sup> <sub>-0.20</sub>		<sup>1</sup> AAIJ	17S	LHCB in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow D^0 p \pi^-$
2881.9 ± 0.1 ± 0.5	2.8k	AUBERT	07	BABR in $pD^0$
2881.2 ± 0.2 ± 0.4	690	MIZUK	07	BELL in $\Sigma_c(2455)^{0,++} \pi^\pm$

<sup>1</sup>The third AAIJ 17S uncertainty comes from modeling the resonant shape of the  $\Lambda_c(2880)^+$  and the background (non-resonant) amplitudes.

 **$\Lambda_c(2880)^+ - \Lambda_c^+$  MASS DIFFERENCE**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>595.17 ± 0.28 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>596 ± 1 ± 2</b>	350	ARTUSO	01	CLE2 in $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$

 **$\Lambda_c(2880)^+$  WIDTH**

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>5.6<sup>+0.8</sup><sub>-0.6</sub> OUR AVERAGE</b>					
5.43 <sup>+0.77+0.81</sup> <sub>-0.71-0.29</sub>			<sup>2</sup> AAIJ	17S	LHCB in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow D^0 p \pi^-$
5.8 ± 1.5 ± 1.1		2.8k	AUBERT	07	BABR in $pD^0$
5.8 ± 0.7 ± 1.1		690	MIZUK	07	BELL in $\Sigma_c(2455)^{0,++} \pi^\pm$
<8	90		ARTUSO	01	CLEO in $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<sup>2</sup>AAIJ 17S reports 5.43<sup>+0.77</sup><sub>-0.71</sub> ± 0.29<sup>+0.75</sup><sub>-0.00</sub> MeV value where the third uncertainty comes from modeling the resonant shape of the  $\Lambda_c(2880)^+$  and the background (non-resonant) amplitudes. We have combined in quadrature the systematic uncertainties.

 **$\Lambda_c(2880)^+$  DECAY MODES**

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_2$ $\Sigma_c(2455)^{0,++} \pi^\pm$	seen
$\Gamma_3$ $\Sigma_c(2520)^{0,++} \pi^\pm$	seen
$\Gamma_4$ $pD^0$	seen

## $\Lambda_c(2880)^+$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$$\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^{0,++}\pi^\pm)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.392±0.031 OUR AVERAGE</b> Error includes scale factor of 1.3.				
0.404±0.021±0.014		MIZUK	07	BELL in $\Sigma_c(2455)^{0,++}\pi^\pm$
0.31 ±0.06 ±0.03	96	ARTUSO	01	CLE2 $e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$$\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2520)^{0,++}\pi^\pm)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_3/\Gamma_1$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.091±0.025±0.010</b>				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.11	90	ARTUSO	01	CLE2 $e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$$\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2520)^{0,++}\pi^\pm)/\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^{0,++}\pi^\pm) \quad \Gamma_3/\Gamma_2$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.225±0.062±0.025	<sup>3</sup> MIZUK	07	BELL in $\Sigma_c(2455)^{0,++}\pi^\pm$
<sup>3</sup> This MIZUK 07 ratio is redundant with MIZUK 07 ratios given above.			

## $\Lambda_c(2880)^+$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	17S	JHEP 1705 030	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.) JP
AUBERT	07	PRL 98 012001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
MIZUK	07	PRL 98 262001	R. Mizuk <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ARTUSO	01	PRL 86 4479	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)