

$\Upsilon(3S)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$ **$\Upsilon(3S)$ MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10355.2±0.5	¹ ARTAMONOV 00	MD1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
10355.3±0.5	^{2,3} BARU	86B REDE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
¹ Reanalysis of BARU 86B using new electron mass (COHEN 87).			
² Reanalysis of ARTAMONOV 84.			
³ Superseded by ARTAMONOV 00.			

 $m_{\Upsilon(3S)} - m_{\Upsilon(2S)}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
331.50±0.02±0.13	LEES	11C BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- X$

 $\Upsilon(3S)$ WIDTH

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
20.32±1.85 OUR EVALUATION	See the Note on "Width Determinations of the Υ States"	

 $\Upsilon(3S)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 \quad \Upsilon(2S)$ anything	(10.6 ± 0.8) %	
$\Gamma_2 \quad \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	(2.82 ± 0.18) %	S=1.6
$\Gamma_3 \quad \Upsilon(2S)\pi^0\pi^0$	(1.85 ± 0.14) %	
$\Gamma_4 \quad \Upsilon(2S)\gamma\gamma$	(5.0 ± 0.7) %	
$\Gamma_5 \quad \Upsilon(2S)\pi^0$	< 5.1 × 10 ⁻⁴	CL=90%
$\Gamma_6 \quad \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	(4.37 ± 0.08) %	
$\Gamma_7 \quad \Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0$	(2.20 ± 0.13) %	
$\Gamma_8 \quad \Upsilon(1S)\eta$	< 1 × 10 ⁻⁴	CL=90%
$\Gamma_9 \quad \Upsilon(1S)\pi^0$	< 7 × 10 ⁻⁵	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{10} \quad h_b(1P)\pi^0$	< 1.2 × 10 ⁻³	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{11} \quad h_b(1P)\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\eta_b(1S)\pi^0$	(4.3 ± 1.4) × 10 ⁻⁴	
$\Gamma_{12} \quad h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$	< 1.2 × 10 ⁻⁴	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{13} \quad \tau^+\tau^-$	(2.29 ± 0.30) %	
$\Gamma_{14} \quad \mu^+\mu^-$	(2.18 ± 0.21) %	S=2.1
$\Gamma_{15} \quad e^+e^-$	(2.18 ± 0.20) %	
$\Gamma_{16} \quad$ hadrons	(93 ± 12) %	
$\Gamma_{17} \quad ggg$	(35.7 ± 2.6) %	
$\Gamma_{18} \quad \gamma gg$	(9.7 ± 1.8) × 10 ⁻³	
$\Gamma_{19} \quad \frac{1}{2}H$ anything	(2.33 ± 0.33) × 10 ⁻⁵	

Radiative decays

Γ_{20}	$\gamma\chi_{b2}(2P)$	(13.1 \pm 1.6) %	S=3.4
Γ_{21}	$\gamma\chi_{b1}(2P)$	(12.6 \pm 1.2) %	S=2.4
Γ_{22}	$\gamma\chi_{b0}(2P)$	(5.9 \pm 0.6) %	S=1.4
Γ_{23}	$\gamma\chi_{b2}(1P)$	(9.9 \pm 1.2) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=1.9
Γ_{24}	$\gamma\chi_{b1}(1P)$	(9 \pm 5) $\times 10^{-4}$	S=1.8
Γ_{25}	$\gamma\chi_{b0}(1P)$	(2.7 \pm 0.4) $\times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{26}	$\gamma\eta_b(2S)$	< 6.2 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{27}	$\gamma\eta_b(1S)$	(5.1 \pm 0.7) $\times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{28}	$\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma$ hadrons	< 8 $\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{29}	$\gamma X \rightarrow \gamma + \geq 4$ prongs	[a] < 2.2 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=95%
Γ_{30}	$\gamma a_1^0 \rightarrow \gamma\mu^+\mu^-$	< 5.5 $\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{31}	$\gamma a_1^0 \rightarrow \gamma\tau^+\tau^-$	[b] < 1.6 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%

Lepton Family number (*LF*) violating modes

Γ_{32}	$e^\pm\tau^\mp$	<i>LF</i>	< 4.2	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{33}	$\mu^\pm\tau^\mp$	<i>LF</i>	< 3.1	$\times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%

[a] 1.5 GeV $< m_X <$ 5.0 GeV[b] For $m_{\tau^+\tau^-}$ in the ranges 4.03–9.52 and 9.61–10.10 GeV. **$\Upsilon(3S) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$**

$\Gamma(\text{hadrons}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$			$\Gamma_{16}\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$
VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.414\pm0.007 OUR AVERAGE			
0.413 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.006	ROSNER	06	CLEO 10.4 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
0.45 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.03	⁴ GILES	84B	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

⁴ Radiative corrections reevaluated by BUCHMUELLER 88 following KURAEV 85.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$			$\Gamma_6\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
18.46\pm0.27\pm0.77	6.4K	⁵ AUBERT	08BP BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$

⁵ Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (2.38 \pm 0.11)\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$. **$\Upsilon(3S)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS**

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$		Γ_{15}
VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	
0.443\pm0.008 OUR EVALUATION		

$\Upsilon(3S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS **$\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_1/Γ
0.106 ± 0.008 OUR AVERAGE					

0.1023 ± 0.0105	4625	6,7,8 BUTLER	94B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- X$	
0.111 ± 0.012	4891	7,8,9 BROCK	91 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- X, \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$	

⁶ Using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \gamma\gamma) = (0.038 \pm 0.007)\%$, and $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \pi^0 \pi^0) = (1/2)B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-)$.

⁷ Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.06)\%$. With the assumption of $e\mu$ universality.

⁸ Using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) = (18.5 \pm 0.8)\%$.


⁹ Using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.31 \pm 0.21)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \gamma\gamma) \times 2B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (0.188 \pm 0.035)\%$, and $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \pi^0 \pi^0) \times 2B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (0.436 \pm 0.056)\%$. With the assumption of $e\mu$ universality.

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_2/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_2/Γ
2.82 ± 0.18 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.	

$3.00 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.14$	543k	LEES	11C BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- X$	
$2.40 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.26$	800	¹⁰ AUBERT	08BP BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$	
3.12 ± 0.49	980	^{11,12} BUTLER	94B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$	
2.13 ± 0.38	974	¹³ BROCK	91 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- X, \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$4.82 \pm 0.65 \pm 0.53$	138	¹³ WU	93 CUSB	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$	
3.1 ± 2.0	5	MAGERAS	82 CUSB	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$	

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
 2.82 ± 0.18 (Error scaled by 1.6)



10 Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (2.38 \pm 0.11)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$, and $\Gamma_{ee}(\Upsilon(3S)) = 0.443 \pm 0.008$ keV.

11 From the exclusive mode.

12 Using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \gamma\gamma) = (0.038 \pm 0.007)\%$, and $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \pi^0 \pi^0) = (1/2)B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-)$.

13 Using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.31 \pm 0.21)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \gamma\gamma) \times 2B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (0.188 \pm 0.035)\%$, and $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S) \pi^0 \pi^0) \times 2B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (0.436 \pm 0.056)\%$. With the assumption of $e\mu$ universality.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

1.85±0.14 OUR AVERAGE

1.82 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.12 4391 14 BHARI 09 CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$

2.16 \pm 0.39 15,16 BUTLER 94B CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$

1.7 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.2 10 17 HEINTZ 92 CSB2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$

14 Authors assume $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) + B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = 4.06\%$.

15 $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.31 \pm 0.21)\%$ and assuming $e\mu$ universality.

16 From the exclusive mode.

17 $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.44 \pm 0.10)\%$ and assuming $e\mu$ universality. Supersedes HEINTZ 91.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

0.0502±0.0069 18 BUTLER 94B CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- 2\gamma$

18 From the exclusive mode.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<0.51 90 19 HE 08A CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \gamma\gamma$

19 Authors assume $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) + B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = 4.06\%$.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

Abbreviation MM in the COMMENT field below stands for missing mass.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

4.37±0.08 OUR AVERAGE

4.32 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.13 90k 20 LEES 11L BABR $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$

4.46 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.13 190k 21 BHARI 09 CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ MM

4.17 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.19 6.4K 22 AUBERT 08BP BABR 10.58 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$

4.52 \pm 0.35 11830 23 BUTLER 94B CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- X, \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$

4.46 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.50 451 23 WU 93 CUSB $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$

4.46 \pm 0.30 11221 23 BROCK 91 CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- X, \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4.9 \pm 1.0 22 GREEN 82 CLEO $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$

3.9 \pm 1.3 26 MAGERAS 82 CUSB $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$

²⁰ Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (2.38 \pm 0.11)\%$ and $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.

²¹ A weighted average of the inclusive and exclusive results.

²² Using $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (1.91 \pm 0.16)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.93 \pm 0.17)\%$, and $\Gamma_{ee}(\Upsilon(3S)) = 0.443 \pm 0.008$ keV.

²³ Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.06)\%$. With the assumption of $e\mu$ universality.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_2/Γ_6

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$0.577 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.060$	800	²⁴ AUBERT	08BP BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\ell^+\ell^-$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
²⁴ Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (2.38 \pm 0.11)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (1.91 \pm 0.16)\%$, and $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.93 \pm 0.17)\%$. Not independent of other values reported by AUBERT 08BP.				

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.20±0.13 OUR AVERAGE				
$2.24 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.11$	6584	²⁵ BHARI	09 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
1.99 ± 0.34	56	²⁶ BUTLER	94B CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
$2.2 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3$	33	²⁷ HEINTZ	92 CSB2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
²⁵ Authors assume $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) + B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = 4.96\%$.				
²⁶ Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.06)\%$ and assuming $e\mu$ universality.				
²⁷ Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.57 \pm 0.07)\%$ and assuming $e\mu$ universality. Supersedes HEINTZ 91.				

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_7/Γ_6

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
0.501 ± 0.043	²⁸ BHARI	09 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)$
²⁸ Not independent of other values reported by BHARI 09.			

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.1	90	²⁹ LEES	11L BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow (\pi^+\pi^-)(\gamma\gamma)\ell^+\ell^-$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
<0.8	90	^{29,30} AUBERT	08BP BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\ell^+\ell^-$
<0.18	90	³¹ HE	08A CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\eta$
<2.2	90	BROCK	91 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\eta$
²⁹ Using $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (2.38 \pm 0.11)\%$, $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.				
³⁰ Using $\Gamma_{ee}(\Upsilon(3S)) = 0.443 \pm 0.008$ keV.				
³¹ Authors assume $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) + B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = 4.96\%$.				

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_8/Γ_6

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.23	90	32 LEES	11L BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow (\pi^+\pi^-)(\gamma\gamma)\ell^+\ell^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.9	90	33 AUBERT	08BP BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-(\pi^0)\ell^+\ell^-$
------	----	-----------	-----------	----------------------------------------------------------

32 Not independent of other values reported by LEES 11L.

33 Not independent of other values reported by AUBERT 08BP.

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.07	90	34 HE	08A CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$

34 Authors assume $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) + B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 4.96\%$.

 $\Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<1.2 × 10⁻³	90	35 GE	11 CLEO	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \pi^0$ anything

35 Assuming $M(h_b(1P)) = 9900$ MeV and $\Gamma(h_b(1P)) = 0$ MeV, and allowing $B(h_b(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_b(1S))$ to vary from 0–100%.

 $\Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\eta_b(1S)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
4.3±1.1±0.9	LEES	11K BABR	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \eta_b\gamma\pi^0$

 $\Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 1.2	90	36 LEES	11C BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<18	36 BUTLER	94B CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$
<15	36 BROCK	91 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$

36 For $M(h_b(1P)) = 9900$ MeV.

 $\Gamma(\tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2.29±0.21±0.22	15k	37 BESSON	07 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$

37 BESSON 07 reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)] = 1.05 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.05$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.18 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.


 $\Gamma(\tau^+\tau^-)/\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$ Γ_{13}/Γ_{14}

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1.05±0.08±0.05	15k	BESSON	07 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)$

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0218±0.0021 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.1. See the ideogram below.			
0.0239±0.0007±0.0010	81k	ADAMS	05	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
0.0202±0.0019±0.0033		CHEN	89B	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
0.0173±0.0015±0.0011		KAARSBERG	89	CSB2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
0.033 ± 0.013 ± 0.007	1096	ANDREWS	83	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
0.0218±0.0021 (Error scaled by 2.1)



$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 $\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
35.7±2.6	3M	38 BESSON	06A CLEO	$\gamma(3S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

³⁸ Calculated using BESSON 06A value of $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg) = (2.72 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.37)\%$ and the PDG 08 values of $B(\gamma(2S) + \text{anything}) = (10.6 \pm 0.8)\%$, $B(\pi^+\pi^-\gamma(1S)) = (4.40 \pm 0.10)\%$, $B(\pi^0\pi^0\gamma(1S)) = (2.20 \pm 0.13)\%$, $B(\gamma\chi_{b2}(2P)) = (13.1 \pm 1.6)\%$, $B(\gamma\chi_{b1}(2P)) = (12.6 \pm 1.2)\%$, $B(\gamma\chi_{b0}(2P)) = (5.9 \pm 0.6)\%$, $B(\gamma\chi_{b0}(1P)) = (0.30 \pm 0.11)\%$, $B(\mu^+\mu^-) = (2.18 \pm 0.21)\%$, and $R_{\text{hadrons}} = 3.51$. The statistical error is negligible and the systematic error is partially correlated with $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ BESSON 06A value.

 $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.97±0.18	60k	39 BESSON	06A CLEO	$\gamma(3S) \rightarrow \gamma + \text{hadrons}$

³⁹ Calculated using BESSON 06A values of $\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg) = (2.72 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.37)\%$ and $\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The statistical error is negligible and the systematic error is partially correlated with $\Gamma(ggg)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ BESSON 06A value.

$\Gamma(\gamma gg)/\Gamma(ggg)$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>
$2.72 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.49$	3M

 Γ_{18}/Γ_{17}

<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
BESSON	06A	CLEO $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow (\gamma +)$ hadrons

 $\Gamma(\overline{2H} \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-5})</u>
$2.33 \pm 0.15^{+0.31}_{-0.28}$

 Γ_{19}/Γ

<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
LEES	14G	BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \overline{2H} X$


 $\Gamma(\gamma \chi_{b2}(2P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.131 ± 0.016 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 3.4. See the ideogram below.		

$0.1579 \pm 0.0017 \pm 0.0073$	568k	ARTUSO	05	CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
$0.111 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.004$	10319	HEINTZ	92	CSB2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
$0.135 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.017$	30741	MORRISON	91	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

⁴⁰ Supersedes NARAIN 91.

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
 0.131 ± 0.016 (Error scaled by 3.4)


 $\Gamma(\gamma \chi_{b2}(2P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma(\gamma \chi_{b1}(2P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.126 ± 0.012 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.4. See the ideogram below.		

$0.1454 \pm 0.0018 \pm 0.0073$	537k	ARTUSO	05	CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
$0.115 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.005$	11147	HEINTZ	92	CSB2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
$0.105^{+0.003}_{-0.002} \pm 0.013$	25759	MORRISON	91	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

⁴¹ Supersedes NARAIN 91.

 Γ_{21}/Γ



$$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b1}(2P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b0}(2P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{22}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.059 ±0.006 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.			
0.0677±0.0020±0.0065	225k	ARTUSO	05	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
0.060 ±0.004 ±0.006	4959	42 HEINTZ	92	CSB2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
0.049 +0.003 -0.004 ±0.006	9903	MORRISON	91	CLE2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
0.059±0.006 (Error scaled by 1.4)

EXPERIMENT	YEAR	TECN	χ^2
ARTUSO	05	CLEO	1.7
HEINTZ	92	CSB2	0.0
MORRISON	91	CLE2	2.1
			3.9

(Confidence Level = 0.144)

$$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b0}(2P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

⁴² Supersedes NARAIN 91.

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.9±1.2 OUR AVERAGE			Error includes scale factor of 1.9.		
7.6±1.2±0.4	126	43, ⁴⁴	KORNICER	11	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
10.5±0.3 ^{+0.7} _{-0.6}	9.7k	LEES		11J	BABR $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow X\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<19 seen	90	45	ASNER	08A	CLEO $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma + \text{hadrons}$
		46	HEINTZ	92	CSB2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$

⁴³ Assuming $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.

⁴⁴ KORNICER 11 reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\Upsilon(1S))]$ = $(1.435 \pm 0.162 \pm 0.169) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\chi_{b2}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\Upsilon(1S)) = (18.8 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁴⁵ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(1P))]$ < 27.1×10^{-2} which we multiply by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b2}(1P)) = 7.15 \times 10^{-2}$.

⁴⁶ HEINTZ 92, while unable to distinguish between different J states, measures $\sum_J B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b,J}) \times B(\chi_{b,J} \rightarrow \gamma\Upsilon(1S)) = (1.7 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$ for $J = 0,1,2$ using inclusive $\Upsilon(1S)$ decays and $(1.2^{+0.4}_{-0.3} \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3}$ for $J = 1,2$ using $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$.

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{24}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.9±0.5 OUR AVERAGE			Error includes scale factor of 1.8.		
1.5±0.4±0.1	50	47, ⁴⁸	KORNICER	11	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$
0.5±0.3 ^{+0.2} _{-0.1}		LEES		11J	BABR $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow X\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.7 seen	90	49	ASNER	08A	CLEO $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma + \text{hadrons}$
		50	HEINTZ	92	CSB2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\ell^+\ell^-$

⁴⁷ Assuming $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-) = (2.48 \pm 0.05)\%$.

⁴⁸ KORNICER 11 reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\Upsilon(1S))]$ = $(5.38 \pm 1.20 \pm 0.95) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\chi_{b1}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\Upsilon(1S)) = (35.0 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁴⁹ ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P))]$ < 2.5×10^{-2} which we multiply by our best value $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b1}(1P)) = 6.9 \times 10^{-2}$.

⁵⁰ HEINTZ 92, while unable to distinguish between different J states, measures $\sum_J B(\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b,J}) \times B(\chi_{b,J} \rightarrow \gamma\Upsilon(1S)) = (1.7 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$ for $J = 0,1,2$ using inclusive $\Upsilon(1S)$ decays and $(1.2^{+0.4}_{-0.3} \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3}$ for $J = 1,2$ using $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$.

$\Gamma(\gamma\chi_{b0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{25}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.27±0.04 OUR AVERAGE					
0.27±0.04±0.02	2.3k	LEES	11J	BABR	$\gamma(3S) \rightarrow X\gamma$
0.30±0.04±0.10	8.7k	ARTUSO	05	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.8 90 51 ASNER 08A CLEO $\gamma(3S) \rightarrow \gamma + \text{hadrons}$

51 ASNER 08A reports $[\Gamma(\gamma(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b0}(1P))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\gamma(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b0}(1P))]$ < 21.9×10^{-2} which we multiply by our best value $B(\gamma(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{b0}(1P)) = 3.8 \times 10^{-2}$.

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta_b(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{26}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 6.2				
< 6.2	90	ARTUSO	05	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<19 90 LEES 11J BABR $\gamma(3S) \rightarrow X\gamma$

 $\Gamma(\gamma\eta_b(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{27}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
5.1±0.7 OUR AVERAGE					
7.1±1.8±1.3	2.3 ± 0.5k	52 BONVICINI	10	CLEO	$\gamma(3S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
4.8±0.5±0.6	19 ± 3k	52 AUBERT	09AQ	BABR	$\gamma(3S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<8.5 90 LEES 11J BABR $\gamma(3S) \rightarrow X\gamma$

4.8±0.5±1.2 19 ± 3k 52,53 AUBERT 08V BABR $\gamma(3S) \rightarrow \gamma X$

<4.3 90 54 ARTUSO 05 CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

52 Assuming $\Gamma_{\eta_b(1S)} = 10$ MeV.

53 Systematic error re-evaluated by AUBERT 09AQ.

54 Superseded by BONVICINI 10.

 $\Gamma(\gamma A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \text{hadrons})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{28}/Γ

(0.3 GeV < m_{A^0} < 7 GeV)

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	55 LEES	11H	BABR $\gamma(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \text{hadrons}$

55 For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar A^0 , excluding known resonances, with mass in the range 0.3–7 GeV. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of m_{A^0} range from 1×10^{-6} to 8×10^{-5} .

 $\Gamma(\gamma X \rightarrow \gamma + \geq 4 \text{ prongs})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{29}/Γ

(1.5 GeV < m_X < 5.0 GeV)

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<2.2	95	ROSNER	07A	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$

$\Gamma(\gamma a_1^0 \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{30}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-6})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<5.5	90	56 AUBERT	09Z BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma a_1^0 \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$

56 For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar a_1^0 with mass in the range 212–9300 MeV, excluding J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of $m_{a_1^0}$ range from $0.27\text{--}5.5 \times 10^{-6}$.

 $\Gamma(\gamma a_1^0 \rightarrow \gamma \tau^+ \tau^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{31}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<1.6 × 10⁻⁴	90	57 AUBERT	09P BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma a_1^0 \rightarrow \gamma \tau^+ \tau^-$

57 For a narrow scalar or pseudoscalar a_1^0 with $M(\tau^+ \tau^-)$ in the ranges 4.03–9.52 and 9.61–10.10 GeV. Measured 90% CL limits as a function of $M(\tau^+ \tau^-)$ range from $1.5\text{--}16 \times 10^{-5}$.

— LEPTON FAMILY NUMBER (*LF*) VIOLATING MODES — $\Gamma(e^\pm \tau^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{32}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-6})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<4.2	90	LEES	10B BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^\pm \tau^\mp$

 $\Gamma(\mu^\pm \tau^\mp)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{33}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-6})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 3.1	90	LEES	10B BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^\pm \tau^\mp$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<20.3	95	LOVE	08A CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^\pm \tau^\mp$
-----------------	----	------	----------	----------------------------------------

T(3S) REFERENCES

LEES	14G	PR D89 111102	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
GE	11	PR D84 032008	J.Y. Ge <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
KORNICER	11	PR D83 054003	M. Kornicer <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
LEES	11C	PR D84 011104	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	11H	PRL 107 221803	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	11J	PR D84 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	11K	PR D84 091101	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	11L	PR D84 092003	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
BONVICINI	10	PR D81 031104	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
LEES	10B	PRL 104 151802	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	09AQ	PRL 103 161801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	09P	PRL 103 181801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	09Z	PRL 103 081803	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
BHARI	09	PR D79 011103	S.R. Bhari <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ASNER	08A	PR D78 091103	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	08BP	PR D78 112002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	08V	PRL 101 071801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
HE	08A	PRL 101 192001	Q. He <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
LOVE	08A	PRL 101 201601	W. Love <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PDG	08	PL B667 1	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
BESSON	07	PRL 98 052002	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ROSNER	07A	PR D76 117102	J.L. Rosner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BESSON	06A	PR D74 012003	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ROSNER	06	PRL 96 092003	J.L. Rosner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ADAMS	05	PRL 94 012001	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)

ARTUSO	05	PRL 94 032001	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ARTAMONOV	00	PL B474 427	A.S. Artamonov <i>et al.</i>	
BUTLER	94B	PR D49 40	F. Butler <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
WU	93	PL B301 307	Q.W. Wu <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)
HEINTZ	92	PR D46 1928	U. Heintz <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB II Collab.)
BROCK	91	PR D43 1448	I.C. Brock <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
HEINTZ	91	PRL 66 1563	U. Heintz <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)
MORRISON	91	PRL 67 1696	R.J. Morrison <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
NARAIN	91	PRL 66 3113	M. Narain <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)
CHEN	89B	PR D39 3528	W.Y. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
KAARSBERG	89	PRL 62 2077	T.M. Kaarsberg <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)
BUCHMUELLER	88	HE e ⁺ e ⁻ Physics 412 Editors: A. Ali and P. Soeding, World Scientific, Singapore	W. Buchmuller, S. Cooper	(HANN, DESY, MIT)
COHEN	87	RMP 59 1121	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)
BARU	86B	ZPHY C32 622 (erratum)	S.E. Baru <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
KURAEV	85	SJNP 41 466	E.A. Kuraev, V.S. Fadin	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 41 733.		
ARTAMONOV	84	PL 137B 272	A.S. Artamonov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
GILES	84B	PR D29 1285	R. Giles <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ANDREWS	83	PRL 50 807	D.E. Andrews <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
GREEN	82	PRL 49 617	J. Green <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
MAGERAS	82	PL 118B 453	G. Mageras <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, CORN, LSU+)
