Number of Neutrino Types

The neutrinos referred to in this section are those of the Standard SU(2)×U(1) Electroweak Model possibly extended to allow nonzero neutrino masses. Light neutrinos are those with $m < m_Z/2$. The limits are on the number of neutrino mass eigenstates, including ν_1 , ν_2 , and ν_3 .

THE NUMBER OF LIGHT NEUTRINO TYPES FROM COLLIDER EXPERIMENTS

Revised March 2008 by D. Karlen (University of Victoria and TRIUMF).

The most precise measurements of the number of light neutrino types, N_{ν} , come from studies of Z production in $e^+e^$ collisions. The invisible partial width, $\Gamma_{\rm inv}$, is determined by subtracting the measured visible partial widths, corresponding to Z decays into quarks and charged leptons, from the total Z width. The invisible width is assumed to be due to N_{ν} light neutrino species each contributing the neutrino partial width Γ_{ν} as given by the Standard Model. In order to reduce the model dependence, the Standard Model value for the ratio of the neutrino to charged leptonic partial widths, $(\Gamma_{\nu}/\Gamma_{\ell})_{\rm SM} =$ 1.991 ± 0.001 , is used instead of $(\Gamma_{\nu})_{\rm SM}$ to determine the number of light neutrino types:

$$N_{\nu} = \frac{\Gamma_{\rm inv}}{\Gamma_{\ell}} \left(\frac{\Gamma_{\ell}}{\Gamma_{\nu}}\right)_{\rm SM} \,. \tag{1}$$

The combined result from the four LEP experiments is $N_{\nu} = 2.984 \pm 0.008$ [1].

In the past, when only small samples of Z decays had been recorded by the LEP experiments and by the Mark II at SLC, the uncertainty in N_{ν} was reduced by using Standard Model fits to the measured hadronic cross sections at several centerof-mass energies near the Z resonance. Since this method is

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV Page 1 Created: 6/1/2020 08:33

much more dependent on the Standard Model, the approach described above is favored.

Before the advent of the SLC and LEP, limits on the number of neutrino generations were placed by experiments at lower-energy e^+e^- colliders by measuring the cross section of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$. The ASP, CELLO, MAC, MARK J, and VENUS experiments observed a total of 3.9 events above background [2], leading to a 95% CL limit of $N_{\nu} < 4.8$. This process has a much larger cross section at center-of-mass energies near the Z mass and has been measured at LEP by the ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, and OPAL experiments [3]. These experiments have observed several thousand such events, and the combined result is $N_{\nu} = 3.00 \pm 0.08$. The same process has also been measured by the LEP experiments at much higher center-of-mass energies, between 130 and 208 GeV, in searches for new physics [4]. Combined with the lower energy data, the result is $N_{\nu} = 2.92 \pm 0.05$.

Experiments at $p\overline{p}$ colliders also placed limits on N_{ν} by determining the total Z width from the observed ratio of $W^{\pm} \rightarrow \ell^{\pm} \nu$ to $Z \rightarrow \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$ events [5]. This involved a calculation that assumed Standard Model values for the total W width and the ratio of W and Z leptonic partial widths, and used an estimate of the ratio of Z to W production cross sections. Now that the Z width is very precisely known from the LEP experiments, the approach is now one of those used to determine the W width.

References

1. ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, and SLD Collaborations, and LEP Electroweak Working Group, and SLD Electroweak Group, and SLD Heavy Flavour Group, Phys. Reports **427**, 257 (2006).

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

- VENUS: K. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B232**, 431 (1989);
 ASP: C. Hearty *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D39**, 3207 (1989);
 CELLO: H.J. Behrend *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B215**, 186 (1988);
 MAC: W.T. Ford *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D33**, 3472 (1986);
 MARK J: H. Wu, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Hamburg (1986).
- L3: M. Acciarri *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B431**, 199 (1998);
 DELPHI: P. Abreu *et al.*, Z. Phys. **C74**, 577 (1997);
 OPAL: R. Akers *et al.*, Z. Phys. **C65**, 47 (1995);
 ALEPH: D. Buskulic *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B313**, 520 (1993).
- DELPHI: J. Abdallah *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C38, 395 (2005);
 L3: P. Achard *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B587, 16 (2004);
 ALEPH: A. Heister *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C28, 1 (2003);
 OPAL: G. Abbiendi *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C18, 253 (2000).
- UA1: C. Albajar *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B198**, 271 (1987);
 UA2: R. Ansari *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B186**, 440 (1987).

Number from e^+e^- Colliders

Number of Light ν Types

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN
2.9963±0.0074	¹ JANOT	20	
• • • We do not use the following	g data for average	s, fits,	limits, etc. • • •
$2.9918 \!\pm\! 0.0081$	² VOUTSINAS	20	
2.9840 ± 0.0082	³ LEP-SLC	06	RVUE
3.00 ± 0.05	⁴ LEP	92	RVUE

¹ JANOT 20 applies a correction to LEP-SLC 06 using an updated Bhabha cross section calculation. This result also includes a correction to account for correlated luminosity bias as presented in VOUTSINAS 20.

² VOUTSINAS 20 applies a correction to LEP-SLC 06 to account for correlated luminosity bias.

³Combined fit from ALEPH, DELPHI, L3 and OPAL Experiments.

⁴Simultaneous fits to all measured cross section data from all four LEP experiments.

Number of Light ν Types from Direct Measurement of Invisible Z Width

In the following, the invisible Z width is obtained from studies of single-photon events from the reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$. All are obtained from LEP runs in the $E_{\rm CM}^{ee}$ range 88–209 GeV.

VALUE	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> Error includes scale factor of		TECN	COMMENT
2.92 ± 0.05 OUR AVERAGE			f 1.2.	
$2.84\!\pm\!0.10\!\pm\!0.14$	ABDALLAH	05 B	DLPH	$\sqrt{s}=$ 180–209 GeV
$2.98\!\pm\!0.05\!\pm\!0.04$	ACHARD	04E	L3	1990-2000 LEP runs
2.86 ± 0.09	HEISTER	0 3C	ALEP	$\sqrt{s}=$ 189–209 GeV
$2.69\!\pm\!0.13\!\pm\!0.11$	ABBIENDI,G	00 D	OPAL	1998 LEP run
$2.89\!\pm\!0.32\!\pm\!0.19$	ABREU	97J	DLPH	1993–1994 LEP runs
$3.23\!\pm\!0.16\!\pm\!0.10$	AKERS	95 C	OPAL	1990–1992 LEP runs
$2.68\!\pm\!0.20\!\pm\!0.20$	BUSKULIC	93L	ALEP	1990–1991 LEP runs
HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV	Page 3		Crea	ted: 6/1/2020 08:33

 \bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. \bullet \bullet

$2.84\!\pm\!0.15\!\pm\!0.14$	ABREU	00z	DLPH	1997–1998 LEP runs
3.01 ± 0.08	ACCIARRI	99 R	L3	1991–1998 LEP runs
$3.1 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.1$	ADAM	96C	DLPH	$\sqrt{s}=$ 130, 136 GeV

Limits from Astrophysics and Cosmology

Effective Number of Light ν Types

"Light" means here with a mass < about 1 MeV. The quoted values correspond to N_{eff}, where N_{eff} = 3.045 in the Standard Model with N_u = 3. See also reviews on "Big-Bang Nucleosynthesis" and "Neutrinos in Cosmology."

VALUE	<u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	following	g data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
2.3–3.2	95	¹ VERDE	17	COSM	
2.88 ± 0.20	95	² ROSSI	15	COSM	
$3.3 \ \pm 0.5$	95	³ ADE	14	COSM	Planck
$3.78^{egin{array}{c}+0.31\\-0.30\end{array}$		⁴ COSTANZI	14	COSM	
3.29 ± 0.31		⁵ HOU	14	COSM	
< 3.80	95	⁶ LEISTEDT	14	COSM	
< 4.10	95	⁷ MORESCO	12	COSM	
< 5.79	95	⁸ XIA	12	COSM	
< 4.08	95	MANGANO	11	COSM	BBN
0.9-8.2		⁹ ICHIKAWA	07	COSM	
3–7	95	¹⁰ CIRELLI	06	COSM	
2.7–4.6	95	¹¹ HANNESTAD	06	COSM	
3.6-7.4	95	¹⁰ SELJAK	06	COSM	
< 4.4		¹² CYBURT	05	COSM	
< 3.3		¹³ BARGER	03 C	COSM	
1.4-6.8		¹⁴ CROTTY	03	COSM	
1.9–6.6		¹⁴ PIERPAOLI	03	COSM	
2–4		LISI	99	COSM	BBN
< 4.3		OLIVE	99	COSM	BBN
< 4.9		COPI	97		Cosmology
< 3.6		HATA	97 B		High D/H quasar abs.
< 4.0		OLIVE	97		BBN; high ⁴ He and ⁷ Li
< 4.7		CARDALL	96 B	COSM	High D/H quasar abs.
< 3.9		FIELDS	96	COSM	BBN; high ⁴ He and ⁷ Li
< 4.5		KERNAN	96	COSM	High D/H quasar abs.
< 3.6		OLIVE	95		BBN; \geq 3 massless ν
< 3.3		WALKER	91		Cosmology
< 3.4		OLIVE	90		Cosmology
< 4		YANG	84		Cosmology
< 4		YANG	79		Cosmology
< 7		STEIGMAN	77		Cosmology
		PEEBLES	71		Cosmology
<16		¹⁵ SHVARTSMAN	169		Cosmology
		HOYLE	64		Cosmology
1					

¹ Uses Planck Data combined with an independent standard measure of distance to the sound horizon to set a limit on the total number of neutrinos. Only CMB and early-time information are used.

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

- ² ROSSI 15 sets limits on the number of neutrino types using BOSS Lyman alpha forest data combined with Planck CMB data and baryon acoustic oscillations.
- ³ Fit to the number of neutrino degrees of freedom from Planck CMB data along with WMAP polarization, high L, and BAO data.
- ⁴ Fit to the number of neutrinos degrees of freedom from Planck CMB data along with BAO, shear and cluster data.
- 5 Fit based on the SPT-SZ survey combined with CMB, BAO, and H_{0} data.
- ⁶ Constrains the number of neutrino degrees of freedom (marginalizing over the total mass) from CMB, CMB lensing, BAO, and galaxy clustering data.
- ⁷ Limit on the number of light neutrino types from observational Hubble parameter data with seven-year WMAP data, SPT, and the most recent estimate of H_0 . Best fit is 3.45 \pm 0.65.
- ⁸ Limit on the number of light neutrino types from the CFHTLS combined with seven-year WMAP data and a prior on the Hubble parameter. Best fit is $4.17^{+1.62}_{-1.26}$. Limit is

relaxed to 3.98 + 2.02 when small scales affected by non-linearities are removed.

- ⁹ Constrains the number of neutrino types from recent CMB and large scale structure data. No priors on other cosmological parameters are used.
- 10 Constrains the number of neutrino types from recent CMB, large scale structure, Lymanalpha forest, and SN1a data. The slight preference for $N_{\nu}~>3$ comes mostly from the Lyman-alpha forest data.
- 11 Constrains the number of neutrino types from recent CMB and large scale structure data. See also HAMANN 07.
- 12 Limit on the number of neutrino types based on 4 He and D/H abundance assuming a baryon density fixed to the WMAP data. Limit relaxes to 4.6 if D/H is not used or to 5.8 if only D/H and the CMB are used. See also CYBURT 01 and CYBURT 03.
- ¹³Limit on the number of neutrino types based on combination of WMAP data and bigbang nucleosynthesis. The limit from WMAP data alone is 8.3. See also KNELLER 01. $N_{\nu} \geq 3$ is assumed to compute the limit.
- $^{14}\,95\%$ confidence level range on the number of neutrino flavors from WMAP data combined with other CMB measurements, the 2dfGRS data, and HST data.
- ¹⁵SHVARTSMAN 69 limit inferred from his equations.

Number Coupling with Less Than Full Weak Strength

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the following	data for averages	s, fits,	limits, etc.	• • •
<20	¹ OLIVE	81 C	COSM	
<20	¹ STEIGMAN	79	COSM	
1				

¹ Limit varies with strength of coupling. See also WALKER 91.

REFERENCES FOR Limits on Number of Neutrino Types

JANOT VOUTSINAS VERDE ROSSI	20 20 17 15	PL B803 135319 PL B800 135068 JCAP 1704 023 PR D92 063505	P. Janot, S. Jadach G. Voutsinas <i>et al.</i> L. Verde <i>et al.</i> G. Rossi <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CRAC) (CERN, BOHR)
ADE	14	AA 571 A16	P.A.R. Ade <i>et al.</i>	(Planck Collab.)
COSTANZI	14	JCAP 1410 081	M. Costanzi <i>et al.</i>	(TRST, TRSTI)
HOU	14	APJ 782 74	Z. Hou <i>et al.</i>	
LEISTEDT	14	PRL 113 041301	B. Leistedt, H.V. Peiris, L. Verde	
MORESCO	12	JCAP 1207 053	M. Moresco <i>et al.</i>	
XIA	12	JCAP 1206 010	JQ. Xia <i>et al.</i>	
MANGANO	11	PL B701 296	G. Mangano, P. Serpico	
HAMANN	07	JCAP 0708 021	J. Hamann <i>et al.</i>	
ICHIKAWA	07	JCAP 0705 007	K. Ichikawa, M. Kawasaki, F. Takahashi	
CIRELLI	06	JCAP 0612 013	M. Cirelli <i>et al.</i>	
HANNESTAD	06	JCAP 0611 016	S. Hannestad, G. Raffelt	
LEP-SLC	06	PRPL 427 257	ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD and	working groups

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 5

Created: 6/1/2020 08:33

SELJAK ABDALLAH CYBURT ACHARD BARGER	06 05B 05 04E 03C	JCAP 0610 014 EPJ C38 395 ASP 23 313 PL B587 16 PL B566 8	U. Seljak, A. Slosar, P. McDonald J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i> R.H. Cyburt <i>et al.</i> P. Achard <i>et al.</i> V. Barger <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.) (L3 Collab.)
CROTTY CYBURT HEISTER PIERPAOLI CYBURT	03 03 03C 03 01	PR D67 123005 PL B567 227 EPJ C28 1 MNRAS 342 L63 ASP 17 87	 P. Crotty, J. Lesgourgues, S. Pastor R.H. Cyburt, B.D. Fields, K.A. Olive A. Heister <i>et al.</i> E. Pierpaoli R.H. Cyburt, B.D. Fields, K.A. Olive 	(ALEPH Collab.)
KNELLER ABBIENDI,G ABREU ACCIARRI LISI	01 00D 00Z 99R 99	PR D64 123506 EPJ C18 253 EPJ C17 53 PL B470 268 PR D59 123520	J.P. Kneller <i>et al.</i> G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i> P. Abreu <i>et al.</i> M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i> E. Lisi, S. Sarkar, F.L. Villante	(OPAL Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (L3 Collab.)
OLIVE ABREU COPI HATA	99 97J 97 97B	ASP 11 403 ZPHY C74 577 PR D55 3389 PR D55 540	K.A. Olive, D. Thomas P. Abreu <i>et al.</i> C.J. Copi, D.N. Schramm, M.S. Turne N. Hata <i>et al.</i>	(OSU, PENN)
OLIVE ADAM CARDALL FIELDS	97 96C 96B 96	ASP 7 27 PL B380 471 APJ 472 435 New Ast 1 77		(MINN, FLOR) (DELPHI Collab.) (UCSD) A, CERN, MINN+)
KERNAN AKERS OLIVE BUSKULIC	96 95C 95 93L	PR D54 3681 ZPHY C65 47 PL B354 357 PL B313 520	P.S. Kernan, S. Sarkar R. Akers <i>et al.</i> K.A. Olive, G. Steigman D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(CASE, OXFTP) (OPAL Collab.) (MINN, OSU) (ALEPH Collab.)
LEP WALKER OLIVE YANG	92 91 90 84	PL B276 247 APJ 376 51 PL B236 454 APJ 281 493	T.P. Walker <i>et al.</i> (HS K.A. Olive <i>et al.</i> (MI J. Yang <i>et al.</i>	ELPHI, L3, OPAL) CA, OSU, CHIC+) NN, CHIC, OSU+) (CHIC, BART)
OLIVE STEIGMAN YANG STEIGMAN PEEBLES	81C 79 79 77 71	NP B180 497 PRL 43 239 APJ 227 697 PL 66B 202 Physical Cosmology	K.A. Olive, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigm G. Steigman, K.A. Olive, D.N. Schram J. Yang <i>et al.</i> (4 G. Steigman, D.N. Schramm, J.E. Gu P.Z. Peebles	nm (BÀRT+)́ CHIC, YALE, UVA)
-	Jniv. I	Press (1971) JETPL 9 184 Translated from ZETFP NAT 203 1108	V.F. Shvartsman	(MOSU) (CAMB)