γ (photon)

$$I(J^{PC}) = 0.1(1^{-})$$

γ MASS

Results prior to 2008 are critiqued in GOLDHABER 10. All experimental results published prior to 2005 are summarized in detail by TU 05.

The following conversions are useful: 1 eV = 1.783 \times 10 $^{-33}$ g = 1.957 \times 10 $^{-6}$ m_e ; $\rm \ref{loop} \chi_C=(1.973\times 10^{-7}~m)\times (1~eV/m_{\gamma}).$

| VALUE (eV) | CL% | DOCUMENT ID | | COMMENT | | | | |
|---|------|-------------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| $<1 \times 10^{-18}$ | | $^{ m 1}$ RYUTOV | 07 | MHD of solar wind | | | | |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | | | | | |
| $< 2.2 \times 10^{-14}$ | | ² BONETTI | 17 | Fast Radio Bursts, FRB 121102 | | | | |
| $< 1.8 \times 10^{-14}$ | | ³ BONETTI | 16 | Fast Radio Bursts, FRB 150418 | | | | |
| $< 1.9 \times 10^{-15}$ | | ⁴ RETINO | 16 | Ampere's Law in solar wind | | | | |
| $< 2.3 \times 10^{-9}$ | 95 | ⁵ EGOROV | 14 | Lensed quasar position | | | | |
| | | ⁶ ACCIOLY | 10 | Anomalous magn. mom. | | | | |
| $< 1 \times 10^{-26}$ | | ⁷ ADELBERGER | | Proca galactic field | | | | |
| no limit feasible | | ⁷ ADELBERGER | 07A | γ as Higgs particle | | | | |
| <1 × 10 ⁻¹⁹ | | ⁸ TU | 06 | Torque on rotating magnetized toroid | | | | |
| $<1.4 \times 10^{-7}$ | | ACCIOLY | 04 | Dispersion of GHz radio waves by sun | | | | |
| $<$ 2 \times 10 ⁻¹⁶ | | ⁹ FULLEKRUG | 04 | Speed of 5-50 Hz radiation in atmosphere | | | | |
| $< 7 \times 10^{-19}$ | | ¹⁰ LUO | 03 | Torque on rotating magnetized toroid | | | | |
| $< 1 \times 10^{-17}$ | | ¹¹ LAKES | 98 | Torque on toroid balance | | | | |
| $< 6 \times 10^{-17}$ | | ¹² RYUTOV | 97 | MHD of solar wind | | | | |
| $< 8 \times 10^{-16}$ | 90 | ¹³ FISCHBACH | 94 | Earth magnetic field | | | | |
| $< 5 \times 10^{-13}$ | | ¹⁴ CHERNIKOV | 92 | Ampere's Law null test | | | | |
| $< 1.5 \times 10^{-9}$ | 90 | ¹⁵ RYAN | 85 | Coulomb's Law null test | | | | |
| $< 3 \times 10^{-27}$ | | ¹⁶ CHIBISOV | 76 | Galactic magnetic field | | | | |
| $< 6 \times 10^{-16}$ | 99.7 | ¹⁷ DAVIS | 75 | Jupiter's magnetic field | | | | |
| $< 7.3 \times 10^{-16}$ | | HOLLWEG | 74 | Alfven waves | | | | |
| $< 6 \times 10^{-17}$ | | ¹⁸ FRANKEN | 71 | Low freq. res. circuit | | | | |
| $< 2.4 \times 10^{-13}$ | | ¹⁹ KROLL | 71A | Dispersion in atmosphere | | | | |
| $<1 \times 10^{-14}$ | | ²⁰ WILLIAMS | 71 | Tests Coulomb's Law | | | | |
| $< 2.3 \times 10^{-15}$ | | GOLDHABER | 68 | Satellite data | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | |

¹RYUTOV 07 extends the method of RYUTOV 97 to the radius of Pluto's orbit.

Created: 6/1/2020 08:33

²BONETTI 17 uses frequency-dependent time delays of repeating FRB with well-determined redshift, assuming the DM is caused by expected dispersion in IGM. There are several uncertainties, leading to mass limit 2.2×10^{-14} eV.

 $^{^3}$ BONETTI 16 uses frequency-dependent time delays of FRB, assuming the DM is caused by expected dispersion in IGM. There are several uncertainties, leading to mass limit $1.8\times 10^{-14}\,$ eV, if indeed the FRB is at the initially reported redshift.

 $^{^4}$ RETINO 16 looks for deviations from Ampere's law in the solar wind, using Cluster four spacecraft data. Authors quote a range of limits from 1.9×10^{-15} eV to 7.9×10^{-14} eV depending on the assumptions of the vector potential from the interplanetary magnetic field.

- $^{
 m b}$ EGOROV 14 studies chromatic dispersion of lensed quasar positions ("gravitational rainbows") that could be produced by any of several mechanisms, among them via photon mass. Limit not competitive but obtained on cosmological distance scales.
- $^{
 m 6}$ ACCIOLY $^{
 m 10}$ limits come from possible alterations of anomalous magnetic moment of electron and gravitational deflection of electromagnetic radiation. Reported limits are not "claimed" by the authors and in any case are not competitive.
- 7 When trying to measure m one must distinguish between measurements performed on large and small scales. If the photon acquires mass by the Higgs mechanism, the largescale behavior of the photon might be effectively Maxwellian. If, on the other hand, one postulates the Proca regime for all scales, the very existence of the galactic field implies $m < 10^{-26}$ eV, as correctly calculated by YAMAGUCHI 59 and CHIBISOV 76.
- ⁸TU 06 continues the work of LUO 03, with extended LAKES 98 method, reporting the improved limit $\mu^2 A = (0.7 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-13}$ T/m if $A = 0.2 \,\mu\text{G}$ out to 4×10^{22} m. Reported result $\mu = (0.9 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-52}$ g reduces to the frequentist mass limit 1.2×10^{-19} eV (FELDMAN 98).
- $^9\,\text{FULLEKRUG}$ 04 adopted KROLL 71A method with newer and better Schumann resonance data. Result questionable because assumed frequency shift with photon mass is assumed to be linear. It is quadratic according to theorem by GOLDHABER 71B, KROLL 71, and PARK 71.
- 10 LUO 03 extends LAKES 98 technique to set a limit on $\mu^2 A$, where μ^{-1} is the Compton wavelength λ_C of the massive photon and A is the ambient vector potential. The important departure is that the apparatus rotates, removing sensitivity to the direction of A. They take $A=10^{12}$ Tm, due to "cluster level fields." But see comment of GOLDHABER 03 and reply by LUO 03B.
- $^{11}\mathsf{LAKES}$ 98 reports limits on torque on a toroid Cavendish balance, obtaining a limit on $\mu^2 A < 2 \times 10^{-9} \, \mathrm{Tm/m^2}$ via the Maxwell-Proca equations, where μ^{-1} is the characteristic length associated with the photon mass and A is the ambient vector potential in the Lorentz gauge. Assuming $A \approx 1 \times 10^{12}\,\mathrm{Tm}$ due to cluster fields he obtains $\mu^{-1} > 2 \times 10^{10}$ m, corresponding to $\mu < 1 \times 10^{-17}$ eV. A more conservative limit, using $A \approx (1~\mu\text{G}) \times (600~\text{pc})$ based on the galactic field, is $\mu^{-1}~>~1 \times 10^9~\text{m}$ or $\mu < 2 \times 10^{-16} \text{ eV}.$
- 12 RYUTOV 97 uses a magnetohydrodynamics argument concerning survival of the Sun's field to the radius of the Earth's orbit. "To reconcile observations to theory, one has to reduce [the photon mass] by approximately an order of magnitude compared with" per DAVIS 75. "Secure limit, best by this method" (per GOLDHABER 10).
- 13 FISCHBACH 94 analysis is based on terrestrial magnetic fields; approach analogous to DAVIS 75. Similar result based on a much smaller planet probably follows from more precise B field mapping. "Secure limit, best by this method" (per GOLDHABER 10).
- 14 CHERNIKOV 92, motivated by possibility that photon exhibits mass only below some unknown critical temperature, searches for departure from Ampere's Law at 1.24 K. See also RYAN 85.
- 15 RYAN 85, motivated by possibility that photon exhibits mass only below some unknown critical temperature, sets mass limit at $< (1.5 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-42}$ g based on Coulomb's Law departure limit at 1.36 K. We report the result as frequentist 90% CL (FELDMAN 98).
- 16 CHIBISOV 76 depends in critical way on assumptions such as applicability of virial theorem. Some of the arguments given only in unpublished references.
- 17 DAVIS 75 analysis of Pioneer-10 data on Jupiter's magnetic field. "Secure limit, best by this method" (per GOLDHABER 10).
- 18 FRANKEN 71 method is of dubious validity (KROLL 71A, JACKSON 99, GOLD-HABER 10, and references therein).
- 19 KROLL 71A used low frequency Schumann resonances in cavity between the conducting earth and resistive ionosphere, overcoming objections to resonant-cavity methods (JACKSON 99, GOLDHABER 10, and references therein). "Secure limit, best by this method" (per GOLDHABER 10).

Created: 6/1/2020 08:33

²⁰ WILLIAMS 71 is landmark test of Coulomb's law. "Secure limit, best by this method" (per GOLDHABER 10).

γ CHARGE

OKUN 06 has argued that schemes in which all photons are charged are inconsistent. He says that if a neutral photon is also admitted to avoid this problem, then other problems emerge, such as those connected with the emission and absorption of charged photons by charged particles. He concludes that in the absence of a self-consistent phenomenological basis, interpretation of experimental data is at best difficult.

| VALUE | E (<i>e</i>) | CHARGE | DOCUMENT ID | | TECN | COMMENT | | |
|---|---------------------|--------|-----------------------|----|------|---|--|--|
| | $\times 10^{-46}$ | | | | | Aharonov-Bohm effect | | |
| <1 | $\times 10^{-35}$ | single | ² CAPRINI | 05 | CMB | Isotropy constraint | | |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • | | | | | | | | |
| | $\times 10^{-32}$ | | | | | Aharonov-Bohm effect | | |
| <3 | $\times 10^{-33}$ | mixed | ³ KOBYCHEV | 05 | VLBI | Smear as function of $B \cdot E_\gamma$ | | |
| | $\times 10^{-31}$ | single | ³ KOBYCHEV | 05 | VLBI | Deflection as function of $\overset{'}{B}\cdotE_{\gamma}$ | | |
| | 5×10^{-17} | | | | | Laser light deflection in B-field | | |
| | $\times 10^{-28}$ | | ⁵ SIVARAM | | CMB | For $\Omega_M = 0.3$, $h^2 = 0.5$ | | |
| < 5 | $\times 10^{-30}$ | | ⁶ RAFFELT | 94 | TOF | Pulsar $f_1 - f_2$ | | |
| <2 | $\times 10^{-28}$ | | ⁷ COCCONI | 92 | | VLBA radio telescope resolution | | |
| <2 | $\times 10^{-32}$ | | COCCONI | 88 | TOF | Pulsar $f_1 - f_2$ TOF | | |

¹ ALTSCHUL 07B looks for Aharonov-Bohm phase shift in addition to geometric phase shift in radio interference fringes (VSOP mission).

² CAPRINI 05 uses isotropy of the cosmic microwave background to place stringent limits on possible charge asymmetry of the Universe. Charge limits are set on the photon, neutrino, and dark matter particles. Valid if charge asymmetries produced by different particles are not anticorrelated.

³ KOBYCHEV 05 considers a variety of observable effects of photon charge for extragalactic compact radio sources. Best limits if source observed through a foreground cluster of galaxies.

 $^{^4}$ SEMERTZIDIS 03 reports the first laboratory limit on the photon charge in the last 30 years. Straightforward improvements in the apparatus could attain a sensitivity of 10^{-20} e.

 $^{^5\,\}text{SIVARAM}$ 95 requires that CMB photon charge density not overwhelm gravity. Result scales as Ω_M $\text{h}^2.$

⁶ RAFFELT 94 notes that COCCONI 88 neglects the fact that the time delay due to dispersion by free electrons in the interstellar medium has the same photon energy dependence as that due to bending of a charged photon in the magnetic field. His limit is based on the assumption that the entire observed dispersion is due to photon charge. It is a factor of 200 less stringent than the COCCONI 88 limit.

⁷See COCCONI 92 for less stringent limits in other frequency ranges. Also see RAF-FELT 94 note.

γ REFERENCES

| BONETTI | 17 | PL B768 326 | | (ORLEANS, CERN) |
|-----------------------|----------|----------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| BONETTI RETINO | 16 16 | PL B757 548 | L. Bonetti <i>et al.</i> | |
| EGOROV | 14 | ASP 82 49 MNRAS 437 L90 | A. Retino, A.D.A.M. Spallicci, A. Vaix P. Egorov <i>et al.</i> (MC | rads (CURCP+) OSU, MIPT, INRM) |
| ACCIOLY | 10 | PR D82 065026 | A. Accioly, J. Helayel-Neto, E. Scaten | |
| GOLDHABER | 10 | RMP 82 939 | A.S. Goldhaber, M.M. Nieto | (STON, LANL) |
| ADELBERGER | 07A | PRL 98 010402 | E. Adelberger, G. Dvali, A. Gruzinov | (WASH, NYU) |
| ALTSCHUL | 07B | PRL 98 261801 | B. Altschul | ` (IND) |
| Also | | ASP 29 290 | B. Altschul | (SCUC) |
| RYUTOV | 07 | PPCF 49 B429 | D.D. Ryutov | (LLNL) |
| OKUN | 06 | APP B37 565 | L.B. Okun | (ITEP) |
| TU CAPRINI | 06 05 | PL A352 267 | LC. Tu et al. | (CEVA OVETD) |
| KOBYCHEV | 05 05 | JCAP 0502 006 AL 31 147 | C. Caprini, P.G. Ferreira V.V. Kobychev, S.B. Popov | (GEVA, OXFTP) (KIEV, PADO) |
| TU | 05 | RPP 68 77 | LC. Tu, J. Luo, G.T. Gillies | (KILV, TADO) |
| ACCIOLY | 04 | PR D69 107501 | A. Accioly, R. Paszko | |
| FULLEKRUG | 04 | PRL 93 043901 | M. Fullekrug | |
| GOLDHABER | 03 | PRL 91 149101 | A.S. Goldhaber, M.M. Nieto | |
| LUO | 03 | PRL 90 081801 | J. Luo <i>et al.</i> | |
| LUO | 03B | PRL 91 149102 | J. Luo et al. | |
| SEMERTZIDIS | | PR D67 017701 | Y.K. Semertzidis, G.T. Danby, D.M. L | |
| JACKSON | 99 | Classical Electrodynamics | | / and Sons (1999)) |
| FELDMAN LAKES | 98 98 | PR D57 3873 PRL 80 1826 | G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins R. Lakes | (WISC) |
| RYUTOV | 90 97 | PPCF 39 A73 | D.D. Ryutov | (LLNL) |
| SIVARAM | 95 | AJP 63 473 | C. Sivaram | (BANG) |
| FISCHBACH | 94 | PRL 73 514 | E. Fischbach <i>et al.</i> | (PURD, JHU+) |
| RAFFELT | 94 | PR D50 7729 | G. Raffelt | (MPIM) |
| CHERNIKOV | 92 | PRL 68 3383 | M.A. Chernikov et al. | `(ETH) |
| Also | | PRL 69 2999 (erratum) | M.A. Chernikov et al. | (ETH) |
| COCCONI | 92 | AJP 60 750 | G. Cocconi | (CERN) |
| COCCONI | 88 | PL B206 705 | G. Cocconi | (CERN) |
| RYAN | 85 76 | PR D32 802 SPU 19 624 | J.J. Ryan, F. Accetta, R.H. Austin | (PRIN) |
| CHIBISOV | 76 | Translated from UFN 119 | G.V. Chibisov | (LEBD) |
| DAVIS | 75 | PRL 35 1402 | L. Davis, A.S. Goldhaber, M.M. Nieto | (CIT, STON+) |
| HOLLWEG | 74 | PRL 32 961 | J.V. Hollweg | (NCAR) |
| FRANKEN | 71 | PRL 26 115 | P.A. Franken, G.W. Ampulski | (MICH) |
| GOLDHABER | 71B | RMP 43 277 | | ON, BOHR, UCSB) |
| KROLL | 71 | PRL 26 1395 | N.M. Kroll | (SLAC) |
| KROLL | 71A | PRL 27 340 | N.M. Kroll | (SLAC) |
| PARK | 71 71 | PRL 26 1393 | D. Park, E.R. Williams | (WILC) |
| WILLIAMS GOLDHABER | 71 68 | PRL 26 721 PRL 21 567 | E.R. Williams, J.E. Faller, H.A. Hill A.S. Goldhaber, M.M. Nieto | (WESL) (STON) |
| YAMAGUCHI | 59 | PTPS 11 37 | Y. Yamaguchi | (31011) |
| | 33 | | | |

Created: 6/1/2020 08:33