

a₀(1450)

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(0^{++})$$

See the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances."

a₀(1450) T-MATRIX POLE \sqrt{s}

Note that $\Gamma = -2 \text{Im}(\sqrt{s})$.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(1290–1500) – i (30–140) OUR ESTIMATE			
(1302.1 ± 1.1 ± 3.9) – i (56.2 ± 0.7 ± 1.7)	¹ ALBRECHT	20 RVUE	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\eta,$ $\pi^0\eta\eta, \pi^0K^+K^-$
(1515 ± 30) – i (115 ± 18)	ANISOVICH	09 RVUE	0.0 $\bar{p}p, \pi N$
(1432 ± 13 ± 25) – i (98 ± 5 ± 5)	² BUGG	08A RVUE	$\bar{p}p$
(1441 ⁺⁴⁰ ₋₁₅) – i (55 ± 7)	³ BAKER	03 SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
(1303 ± 16) – i (46 ± 8)	⁴ BARGIOTTI	03 OBLX	$\bar{p}p$
(1296 ± 10) – i (41 ± 11)	AMSLER	02 CBAR	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\eta$
(1565 ± 30) – i (146 ± 20)	ANISOVICH	98B RVUE	Compilation
(1470 ± 25) – i (132 ± 15)	⁵ AMSLER	95D CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0,$ $\pi^0\eta\eta, \pi^0\pi^0\eta$

¹ T-matrix pole, 2 poles, 2 channels ($\pi\eta, K\bar{K}$).

² Using data from AMSLER 94D, ABELE 98, and BAKER 03. Supersedes BUGG 94.

³ From the pole position of a fitted Breit-Wigner amplitude.

⁴ Coupled channel analysis of $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, K^+K^-\pi^0,$ and $K^\pm K_S^0\pi^\mp$.

⁵ Coupled-channel analysis of AMSLER 95B, AMSLER 95C, and AMSLER 94D.

a₀(1450) MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1439 ± 34	OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.8.		
1480 ± 30		ABELE	98 CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K_L^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1410 ± 25		ETKIN	82C MPS	23 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2K_S^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1458 ± 14 ± 15	190k	¹ AAIJ	16N LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1316.8 ^{+0.7+24.7} _{-1.0-4.6}		² UEHARA	09A BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^0\eta$
1477 ± 10	80k	³ UMAN	06 E835	5.2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
1290 ± 10		⁴ BERTIN	98B OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0\pi^\mp$
1450 ± 40		AMSLER	94D CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\eta$
~ 1300		MARTIN	78 SPEC	10 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K_S^0\pi p$
1255 ± 5		⁵ CASON	76	

- ¹ Using a model with Gaussian constraints to the PDG averaged values .
- ² May be a different state.
- ³ Statistical error only.
- ⁴ Not confirmed by BUGG 08A.
- ⁵ Isospin 0 not excluded.

$a_0(1450)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
258 ± 14	OUR AVERAGE			
265 ± 15		ABELE	98	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K_L^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
230 ± 30		ETKIN	82C	MPS 23 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2K_S^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
282 ± 12 ± 13	190k	¹ AAIJ	16N	LHCB $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
65.0 ⁺ ₋ 2.1 ⁺ ₋ 99.1 5.4 ⁺ ₋ 32.6		² UEHARA	09A	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta$
267 ± 11	80k	³ UMAN	06	E835 5.2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
80 ± 5		⁴ BERTIN	98B	OBLX 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^\pm K_S \pi^\mp$
270 ± 40		AMSLER	94D	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
~ 250		MARTIN	78	SPEC 10 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi p$
79 ± 10		⁵ CASON	76	

- ¹ Using a model with Gaussian constraints to the PDG averaged values .
- ² May be a different state.
- ³ Statistical error only.
- ⁴ Not confirmed by BUGG 08A.
- ⁵ Isospin 0 not excluded.

$a_0(1450)$ DECAY MODES

Branching fractions are given relative to the one **DEFINED AS 1**.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\pi\eta$	0.093 ± 0.020
Γ_2 $\pi\eta'(958)$	0.033 ± 0.017
Γ_3 $K\bar{K}$	0.082 ± 0.028
Γ_4 $\omega\pi\pi$	DEFINED AS 1
Γ_5 $a_0(980)\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_6 $\gamma\gamma$	seen

$a_0(1450)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\pi\eta) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_1\Gamma_6/\Gamma$
432 ± 6 ⁺ ₋ 1073 256	¹ UEHARA	09A	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta$	

- ¹ May be a different state.

$a_0(1450)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi\eta'(958))/\Gamma(\pi\eta)$ Γ_2/Γ_1

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.35 ± 0.16	¹ ABELE 98	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K_L^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
0.43 ± 0.19	ABELE 97C	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
¹ Using $\pi^0 \eta$ from AMSLER 94D.

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\pi\eta)$ Γ_3/Γ_1

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.88 ± 0.23	¹ ABELE 98	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K_L^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1.887 ± 0.041 ± 0.97	² ALBRECHT 20	RVUE	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta,$ $\pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
¹ Using $\pi^0 \eta$ from AMSLER 94D.
² Residues from T-matrix pole, 2 poles, 2 channels ($\pi\eta, K\bar{K}$).

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\pi\eta)$ Γ_4/Γ_1

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
10.7 ± 2.3	35280	¹ BAKER 03	SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

¹ Using results on $\bar{p}p \rightarrow a_0(1450)^0 \pi^0, a_0(1450) \rightarrow \eta \pi^0$ from ABELE 96C and assuming the $\omega\rho$ mechanism for the $\omega\pi\pi$ state.

$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen	BUGG 08A	RVUE	$\bar{p}p$

$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\pi\eta)$ Γ_5/Γ_1

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
≤ 4.3	ANISOVICH 01	RVUE	0	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen	¹ UEHARA 09A	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta$

¹ May be a different state.

$a_0(1450)$ REFERENCES

ALBRECHT 20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AAIJ 16N	PR D93 052018	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ANISOVICH 09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	(PNPI)
UEHARA 09A	PR D80 032001	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
BUGG 08A	PR D78 074023	D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
UMAN 06	PR D73 052009	I. Uman <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835)
BAKER 03	PL B563 140	C.A. Baker <i>et al.</i>	
BARGIOTTI 03	EPJ C26 371	M. Bargiotti <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
AMSLER 02	EPJ C23 29	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH 01	NP A690 567	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ABELE 98	PR D57 3860	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH 98B	SPU 41 419	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	

Translated from UFN 168 481.

BERTIN	98B	PL B434 180	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE	97C	PL B404 179	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	96C	NP A609 562	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER	95B	PL B342 433	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER	95C	PL B353 571	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER	95D	PL B355 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER	94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.) IGJPC
BUGG	94	PR D50 4412	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM)
ETKIN	82C	PR D25 2446	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)
MARTIN	78	NP B134 392	A.D. Martin <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA)
CASON	76	PRL 36 1485	N.M. Cason <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
