

$\chi_{c1}(3872)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$

also known as $X(3872)$

This state shows properties different from a conventional $q\bar{q}$ state.
A candidate for an exotic structure. See the review on non- $q\bar{q}$ states.

First observed by CHOI 03 in $B \rightarrow K\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi(1S)$ decays as a narrow peak in the invariant mass distribution of the $\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi(1S)$ final state. Isovector hypothesis excluded by AUBERT 05B and CHOI 11.

AAIJ 13Q perform a full five-dimensional amplitude analysis of the angular correlations between the decay products in $B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+$ decays, where $\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$ and $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, which unambiguously gives the $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ assignment under the assumption that the $\pi^+\pi^-$ and J/ψ are in an S -wave. AAIJ 15AO extend this analysis with more data to limit D -wave contributions to < 4% at 95% CL.

See the review on “Spectroscopy of Mesons Containing Two Heavy Quarks.”

$\chi_{c1}(3872)$ MASS FROM $J/\psi X$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS			DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3871.64 ± 0.06 OUR AVERAGE						
3870.2 ± 0.7 ± 0.3	24.6			ABLIKIM	23W BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\pi^+\pi^-\omega$
3871.64 ± 0.06 ± 0.01	19.8k			¹ AAIJ	20S LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-K^+$
3871.9 ± 0.7 ± 0.2	20			ABLIKIM	14 BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
3871.95 ± 0.48 ± 0.12	0.6k			AAIJ	12H LHCb	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-X$
3871.85 ± 0.27 ± 0.19	170			² CHOI	11 BELL	$B \rightarrow K\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$
3873 ± 1.8 ± 1.3	27			³ DEL-AMO-SA..10B	BABR	$B \rightarrow \omega J/\psi K$
3871.61 ± 0.16 ± 0.19	6k			^{3,4} AALTONEN	09AU CDF2	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-X$
3871.4 ± 0.6 ± 0.1	93.4			AUBERT	08Y BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
3868.7 ± 1.5 ± 0.4	9.4			AUBERT	08Y BABR	$B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
3871.8 ± 3.1 ± 3.0	522			^{3,5} ABAZOV	04F D0	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
3871.57 ± 0.09	155			⁶ AAIJ	23AP LHCb	$B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
3871.695 ± 0.067 ± 0.068	15.6k			⁷ AAIJ	20AD LHCb	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-X$
3871.59 ± 0.06 ± 0.03	4.2k			⁸ AAIJ	20S LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-K^+$
3873.3 ± 1.1 ± 1.0	45			⁹ ABLIKIM	19V BES	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\omega J/\psi$
3860.0 ± 10.4	13.6			^{3,10} AGHASYAN	18A COMP	$\gamma^* N \rightarrow X\pi^\pm N'$

3868.6	± 1.2	± 0.2	8	¹¹ AUBERT	06	BABR	$B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
3871.3	± 0.6	± 0.1	61	¹¹ AUBERT	06	BABR	$B^- \rightarrow K^- J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
3873.4	± 1.4		25	¹² AUBERT	05R	BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
3871.3	± 0.7	± 0.4	730	^{3,13} ACOSTA	04	CDF2	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- X$
3872.0	± 0.6	± 0.5	36	¹⁴ CHOI	03	BELL	$B \rightarrow K \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
3836	± 13		58	^{3,15} ANTONIAZZI	94	E705	$300 \pi^\pm Li \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- X$

¹ Calculated from $m_{\chi_{c1}(3872)} - m_{\psi(2S)} = 185.54 \pm 0.06$ MeV obtained by combining the data with $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ produced in B^+ decays from AAJ 20S and inclusive b -hadron decays from AAJ 20AD and using $m_{\psi(2S)} = 3686.097$ MeV. Breit-Wigner parametrization.

² The mass difference for the $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ produced in B^+ and B^0 decays is $(-0.71 \pm 0.96 \pm 0.19)$ MeV.

³ Width consistent with detector resolution.

⁴ A possible equal mixture of two states with a mass difference greater than 3.6 MeV/c² is excluded at 95% CL.

⁵ Calculated from the corresponding $m_{\chi_{c1}(3872)} - m_{J/\psi}$ using $m_{J/\psi} = 3096.916$ MeV.

⁶ From a fit of a relativistic S-wave Breit-Wigner convolved with the detector resolution. The width of $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ is constrained to the PDG 22 value. Systematic errors not evaluated.

⁷ Using $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ produced in inclusive b -hadron decays and $m_{\psi(2S)} = 3686.097 \pm 0.010$ MeV. Breit-Wigner parametrization. Superseded by the combined value in AAJ 20S.

⁸ Using Breit-Wigner parametrization. Superseded by the combined value in AAJ 20S.

⁹ Fit with fixed width and including two resonances, $\chi_{c0}(3915)$ and $X(3960)$.

¹⁰ Could be a different state.

¹¹ Calculated from the corresponding $m_{\chi_{c1}(3872)} - m_{\psi(2S)}$ using $m_{\psi(2S)} = 3686.093$ MeV. Superseded by AUBERT 08Y.

¹² Calculated from the corresponding $m_{\chi_{c1}(3872)} - m_{\psi(2S)}$ using $m_{\psi(2S)} = 3685.96$ MeV. Superseded by AUBERT 06.

¹³ Superseded by AALTONEN 09AU.

¹⁴ Superseded by CHOI 11.

¹⁵ A lower mass value can be due to an incorrect momentum scale for soft pions.

$\chi_{c1}(3872)$ MASS FROM $\overline{D}^{*0} D^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3873.71 $^{+0.56}_{-0.50} \pm 0.13$		¹ HIRATA	23	BELL $B^0 \rightarrow D^0 \overline{D}^{*0} K^0$, $B^+ \rightarrow D^0 \overline{D}^{*0} K^+$
3872.9 $^{+0.6}_{-0.4} \pm 0.4$	50	^{2,3} AUSHEV	10	BELL $B \rightarrow \overline{D}^{*0} D^0 K$
3875.1 $^{+0.7}_{-0.5} \pm 0.5$	33 ± 6	³ AUBERT	08B	BABR $B \rightarrow \overline{D}^{*0} D^0 K$
3875.2 ± 0.7 $^{+0.9}_{-1.8}$	24 ± 6	^{3,4} GOKHROO	06	BELL $B \rightarrow D^0 \overline{D}^0 \pi^0 K$

¹ From a fit of a Breit-Wigner function with energy dependent width.

² Calculated from the measured $m_{\chi_{c1}(3872)} - m_{D^{*0}} - m_{\bar{D}^0} = 1.1^{+0.6+0.1}_{-0.4-0.3}$ MeV.

³ Experiments report $D^{*0}\bar{D}^0$ invariant mass above $D^{*0}\bar{D}^0$ threshold because D^{*0} decay products are kinematically constrained to the D^{*0} mass, even though the D^{*0} may decay off-shell.

⁴ Superseded by AUSHEV 10.

$m_{\chi_{c1}(3872)} - m_{J/\psi}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
774.9±3.1±3.0	522	ABAZOV	04F D0	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-X$

$m_{\chi_{c1}(3872)} - m_{\psi(2S)}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
185.598±0.067±0.068	15.6k	¹ AAIJ	20AD LHCb	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-X$
185.54 ± 0.06	19.8k	² AAIJ	20S LHCb	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-X$
187.4 ± 1.4	25	³ AUBERT	05R BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Using $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ produced in inclusive b -hadron decays. Breit-Wigner parametrization. Superseded by the combined value in AAIJ 20S.

² Combining $m_{\chi_{c1}(3872)} - m_{\psi(2S)} = 185.49 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.03$ MeV from AAIJ 20S and the measured mass difference from AAIJ 20AD. Breit-Wigner parametrization.

³ Superseded by AUBERT 06.

$\chi_{c1}(3872)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.19±0.21 OUR AVERAGE			Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
1.39±0.24±0.10		15.6k	¹ AAIJ	20AD LHCb	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-X$
0.96 ^{+0.19} _{-0.18} ±0.21		4.2k	² AAIJ	20S LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-K^+$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<2.4	90	ABLIKIM	14	BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
<1.2	90	CHOI	11	BELL	$B \rightarrow K\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$
<3.3	90	AUBERT	08Y	BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$
<4.1	90	69	AUBERT	06	$B \rightarrow K\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$
<2.3	90	36	³ CHOI	03	$B \rightarrow K\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$

¹ Using $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ produced in inclusive b -hadron decays. Breit-Wigner parametrization.

² Using Breit-Wigner parametrization. Partially overlapping dataset with that of AAIJ 20AD.

³ Superseded by CHOI 11.

$\chi_{c1}(3872)$ WIDTH FROM $\overline{D}^{*0} D^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$5.2^{+2.2}_{-1.5} \pm 0.4$		¹ HIRATA	23 BELL	$B^0 \rightarrow D^0 \overline{D}^{*0} K^0$, $B^+ \rightarrow D^0 \overline{D}^{*0} K^+$
$3.9^{+2.8}_{-1.4} {}^{+0.2}_{-1.1}$	50	² AUSHEV	10 BELL	$B \rightarrow \overline{D}^{*0} D^0 K$
$3.0^{+1.9}_{-1.4} \pm 0.9$	33 ± 6	AUBERT	08B BABR	$B \rightarrow \overline{D}^{*0} D^0 K$
¹ From a fit of a Breit-Wigner function with energy dependent width.				
² With a measured value of $B(B \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K) \times B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow D^{*0} \overline{D}^0) = (0.80 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$, assumed to be equal for both charged and neutral modes.				

 $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 e^+ e^-$	$< 2.7 \times 10^{-7}$	90%
$\Gamma_2 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$< 8 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_3 \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S)$	$(3.5 \pm 0.9)\%$	
$\Gamma_4 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 J/\psi(1S)$	not seen	
$\Gamma_5 \omega \eta_c(1S)$	$< 30\%$	90%
$\Gamma_6 \rho(770)^0 J/\psi(1S)$	$(2.8 \pm 0.7)\%$	
$\Gamma_7 \omega J/\psi(1S)$	$(4.1 \pm 1.4)\%$	
$\Gamma_8 \phi \phi$	not seen	
$\Gamma_9 D^0 \overline{D}^0 \pi^0$	$(45 \pm 21)\%$	
$\Gamma_{10} \overline{D}^{*0} D^0$	$(34 \pm 12)\%$	
$\Gamma_{11} \gamma \gamma$	$< 10\%$	90%
$\Gamma_{12} D^0 \overline{D}^0$	$< 26\%$	90%
$\Gamma_{13} D^+ D^-$	$< 17\%$	90%
$\Gamma_{14} \pi^0 \chi_{c2}$	$< 4\%$	90%
$\Gamma_{15} \pi^0 \chi_{c1}$	$(3.1^{+1.5}_{-1.3})\%$	
$\Gamma_{16} \pi^0 \chi_{c0}$	$< 13\%$	90%
$\Gamma_{17} \pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c(1S)$	$< 13\%$	90%
$\Gamma_{18} \pi^0 \pi^0 \chi_{c0}$	$< 6\%$	90%
$\Gamma_{19} \pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c0}$	$< 2.0\%$	90%
$\Gamma_{20} \pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c1}$	$< 7 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{21} p \bar{p}$	$< 2.2 \times 10^{-5}$	95%

Radiative decays

$\Gamma_{22} \gamma D^+ D^-$	$< 3.5\%$	90%
$\Gamma_{23} \gamma \overline{D}^0 D^0$	$< 6\%$	90%
$\Gamma_{24} \gamma J/\psi$	$(7.8 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{25} \gamma \chi_{c1}$	$< 8 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{26} \gamma \chi_{c2}$	$< 2.9\%$	90%
$\Gamma_{27} \gamma \psi(2S)$	possibly seen	

C-violating decays

Γ_{28}	$\eta J/\psi$	< 1.7	%	90%
---------------	---------------	-------	---	-----

 $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(e^+ e^-)$	Γ_1
<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>CL%</u>
<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
<u>COMMENT</u>	
< 0.32	90
1 ABLIKIM	230 BES3
$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
< 4.3	90
2 ABLIKIM	15V BES3
$4.0\text{--}4.4 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$	
<280	90
3 YUAN	04 RVUE
$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$	
1 Fit to cross section using a total width value of 1.19 ± 0.21 MeV and $B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S)) = (3.8 \pm 1.2)\%$ from PDG 20.	
2 ABLIKIM 15V reports this limit from the measurement of $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S)) \times \Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow e^+ e^-)/\Gamma < 0.13$ eV using $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma = 3\%$.	
3 Using BAI 98E data on $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$. Assuming that $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi)$ of $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ is the same as that of $\psi(2S)$ (85.4 keV).	

 $\chi_{c1}(3872) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S)) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_3 \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$
<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>CL%</u>
<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
<u>COMMENT</u>	
< 7.5×10^{-3}	90
1 ABLIKIM	230 BES3
$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
< 0.13	90
ABLIKIM	15V BES3
$4.0\text{--}4.4 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$	
< 6.2	90
2,3 AUBERT	05D BABR
$K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$	
< 8.3	90
3 DOBBS	05 CLE3
$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$	
<10	90
4 YUAN	04 RVUE
$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$	
1 Fit to cross section using a total width value of 1.19 ± 0.21 MeV from PDG 20.	
2 Using $B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) \cdot B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) \cdot \Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow e^+ e^-) < 0.37$ eV from AUBERT 05D and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = 0.0588 \pm 0.0010$ from the PDG 04.	
3 Assuming $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ has $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$.	
4 Using BAI 98E data on $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$. From theoretical calculation of the production cross section and using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (5.88 \pm 0.10)\%$.	

 $\chi_{c1}(3872) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_3 \Gamma_{11} / \Gamma$
<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>CL%</u>
<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
$5.5^{+4.1}_{-3.8} \pm 0.7$	3 1 TERAMOTO 21 BELL
$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma^* \gamma$ at $\gamma(nS)$	
<12.9	90
2 DOBBS	05 CLE3
$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi \gamma$	
1 Measured in single-tag two-photon production assuming Q^2 dependence of a $c\bar{c}$ meson model. Here, $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ is the reduced two-photon decay width, $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$.	
2 Assuming $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ has positive C parity and spin 0.	

$\Gamma(\omega J/\psi(1S)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_7\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u> (eV)	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<1.7	90	¹ LEES	12AD BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \omega J/\psi$
¹ Assuming $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ has spin 2.				

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta_c(1S)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{17}\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u> (eV)	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<11.1	90	LEES	12AE BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c$

 $\chi_{c1}(3872)$ BRANCHING RATIOS $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (%)	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.8	90	1,2 YIN	23 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.1	90	2,3 YIN	23 BELL	$B^0 \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^0$
------	----	---------	---------	---------------------------------------

¹ YIN 23 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 1.9 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$.

² Assuming the decay products, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, are uniformly distributed in phase space. The limit is the 90% "credible" upper limit (i.e. Bayesian).

³ YIN 23 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^0 \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^0)] < 1.5 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^0 \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^0) = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

0.035±0.009 OUR AVERAGE

0.035±0.002±0.009		¹ AAIJ	20S LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- K^+$
-------------------	--	-------------------	----------	--

0.038±0.004±0.010		² CHOI	11 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi K^+$
-------------------	--	-------------------	---------	--

0.037±0.007 ^{+0.009} _{-0.010}	93	^{3,4} AUBERT	08Y BABR	$B \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K$
---	----	-----------------------	----------	-----------------------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	151	⁵ BALA	15 BELL	$B \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K\pi$
------	-----	-------------------	---------	--------------------------------------

0.056±0.018 ^{+0.014} _{-0.015}	30	⁶ AUBERT	05R BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
---	----	---------------------	----------	--

0.060±0.013±0.016	36	⁷ CHOI	03 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$
-------------------	----	-------------------	---------	--

¹ AAIJ 20S reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] = (7.95 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = (2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² CHOI 11 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] = (8.63 \pm 0.82 \pm 0.52) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = (2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ AUBERT 08Y reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] = (8.4 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow$

$\chi_{c1}(3872) K^+ = (2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁴ superseded by LEES 20C

⁵ BALA 15 reports $B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi) \times B(B^0 \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+ \pi^-) = (7.9 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-6}$ and $B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi) \times B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^0 \pi^+) = (10.6 \pm 3.0 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-6}$.

⁶ Superseded by AUBERT 08Y. AUBERT 05R reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] = (1.28 \pm 0.41) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = (2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁷ CHOI 03 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] / [B(B^+ \rightarrow \psi(2S) K^+)] / [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-)] = 0.063 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.007$ which we multiply or divide by our best values $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = (2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(B^+ \rightarrow \psi(2S) K^+) = (6.24 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S) \pi^+ \pi^-) = (34.69 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 J/\psi(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_4 / Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	¹ WANG	11B	$\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
not seen	² SHEN	10A	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
			¹ WANG 11B reports $B(\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)) \times B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 J/\psi) < 2.4 \times 10^{-6}$ at 95% CL.
			² SHEN 10A reports $B(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)) \times B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 J/\psi) < 2.8 \times 10^{-6}$ at 95% CL.

$\Gamma(\omega \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_5 / Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.30	90	¹ VINOKUROVA 15	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \omega \eta_c K^+$
				¹ VINOKUROVA 15 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \omega \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 6.9 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$.

$\Gamma(\rho(770)^0 J/\psi(1S)) / \Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$

Γ_6 / Γ_3

VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
78.6 ± 2.3 ± 2.0	¹ AAIJ	23S LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ Assuming pure ρ contribution only, i.e. excluding the contribution from ρ - ω interference.
Using $B(\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = 100\%$.

$\Gamma(\omega J/\psi(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_7 / Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.026 ± 0.010 ± 0.007	21 ± 7	¹ DEL-AMO-SA..10B BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow \omega J/\psi K^+$	
				¹ DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 10B reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \omega J/\psi(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] = (6 \pm 2 \pm 1) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = (2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 10B also reports $B(B^0 \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^0) \times B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \omega) = (6 \pm 3 \pm 1) \times 10^{-6}$.

$\Gamma(\omega J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$ Γ_7/Γ_3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.16±0.24 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
1.24±0.33±0.10	1,2 AAIJ	23S LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
1.6 $^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$ ± 0.2	3 ABLIKIM	19V BES	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \omega J/\psi$
0.8 ± 0.3	4 DEL-AMO-SA..10B	BABR	$B \rightarrow \omega J/\psi K$

¹ AAIJ 23S reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \omega J/\psi(1S))/\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))] \times [B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)] = (1.9 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\omega(782) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = (1.53 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² Excluding ρ - ω interference effects.

³ Fit with fixed width and including two resonances, $\chi_{c0}(3915)$ and $X(3960)$.

⁴ Statistical and systematic errors added in quadrature. Uses the values of $B(B \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K) \times B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)$ reported in AUBERT 08Y, taking into account the common systematics.

 $\Gamma(\phi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	1 AAIJ	17BB LHCb	$p p$ at 7, 8 TeV

¹ AAIJ 17BB reports $B(b \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) \text{anything}) \times B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \phi\phi) < 4.5 \times 10^{-7}$ at 95% CL.

 $\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.45$^{+0.16}_{-0.19}$$^{+0.11}_{-0.12}$		17	1 GOKHROO 06	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 \pi^0 K^+$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.26 90 ² CHISTOV 04 BELL Sup. by GOKHROO 06

¹ GOKHROO 06 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] = (1.02 \pm 0.31^{+0.21}_{-0.29}) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = (2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² CHISTOV 04 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 0.6 \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$.

 $\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$ Γ_9/Γ_3

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen				

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<1.16 90 ABLIKIM 20W BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

 $\Gamma(\bar{D}^{*0} D^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.34$\pm 0.08$$\pm 0.09$	41 $^{+9}_{-8}$	1 AUSHEV 10	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^0 K^+$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.73 ± 0.26 ± 0.19 27 \pm 6 ² AUBERT 08B BABR $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0} D^0 K^+$

¹ AUSHEV 10 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0} D^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] = (0.77 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = (2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² AUBERT 08B reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0} D^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] = (1.67 \pm 0.36 \pm 0.47) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = (2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\bar{D}^{*0} D^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{10}/Γ_3
11.77 ± 3.09	50	ABLIKIM	20W	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{11}/Γ
<0.10	90	¹ WICHT	08	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

¹ WICHT 08 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 2.4 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$.

$\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{12}/Γ
<0.26	90	¹ CHISTOV	04	BELL	$B \rightarrow K D^0 \bar{D}^0$

¹ CHISTOV 04 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 6 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$.

$\Gamma(D^+ D^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{13}/Γ
<0.17	90	¹ CHISTOV	04	BELL	$B \rightarrow K D^+ D^-$

¹ CHISTOV 04 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow D^+ D^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 4 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$.

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \chi_{c2})/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{14}/Γ_3
<1.1	90	ABLIKIM	19U	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{15}/Γ
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					

<0.035 90 ¹ BHARDWAJ 19 BELL $B^\pm \rightarrow \pi^0 \chi_{c1} K^\pm$

¹ BHARDWAJ 19 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^0 \chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 8.1 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$.

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \chi_{c1})/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{15}/Γ_3
88⁺³³₋₂₇ ± 10	10.8	ABLIKIM	19U	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \chi_{c0})/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$					Γ_{16}/Γ_3
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
< 3.6	90	ABLIKIM	22D	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
<19	90	ABLIKIM	19U	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{17}/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<0.13	90	1 VINOKUROVA 15	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c K^+$	
${}^1 \text{VINOKUROVA 15 reports } [\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 3.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ which we divide by our best value } B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 2.3 \times 10^{-4}.$					

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \chi_{c0})/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$					Γ_{18}/Γ_3
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<1.7	90	ABLIKIM	22D	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c0})/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$					Γ_{19}/Γ_3
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<0.56	90	ABLIKIM	22D	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{20}/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<7 $\times 10^{-3}$	90	1 BHARDWAJ 16	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c1} K^+$	
${}^1 \text{BHARDWAJ 16 reports } [\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 1.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ which we divide by our best value } B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 2.3 \times 10^{-4}.$					

$\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{21}/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<2.2 $\times 10^{-5}$	95	1 AAIJ 17AD	LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p} K^+$	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
<7 $\times 10^{-5}$	95	2 AAIJ 13S	LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p} K^+$	
${}^1 \text{AAIJ 17AD reports } [\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 0.5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ which we divide by our best value } B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 2.3 \times 10^{-4}.$					
${}^2 \text{AAIJ 13S reports } [\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 1.7 \times 10^{-8} \text{ which we divide by our best value } B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 2.3 \times 10^{-4}.$					

Radiative decays

$\Gamma(\gamma D^+ D^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$					Γ_{22}/Γ_3
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<0.99	90	ABLIKIM	20W	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

$\Gamma(\gamma \bar{D}^0 D^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$					Γ_{23}/Γ_3
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<1.58	90	ABLIKIM	20W	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

$\Gamma(\gamma J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{24}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$7.8^{+2.2}_{-2.0} \pm 2.0$		1 BHARDWAJ	11 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi K^\pm$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$12.2 \pm 3.5 \pm 3.2$	20	2 AUBERT	09B BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi K^+$
$14 \pm 5 \pm 4$	19	3 AUBERT,BE	06M BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi K^+$

1 BHARDWAJ 11 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] = (1.78^{+0.48}_{-0.44} \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = (2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

2 AUBERT 09B reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] = (2.8 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = (2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

3 Superseded by AUBERT 09B. AUBERT,BE 06M reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] = (3.3 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = (2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(\gamma J/\psi)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$ Γ_{24}/Γ_3

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.79 ± 0.28	ABLIKIM	20W BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872)$

 $\Gamma(\gamma \chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{25}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<8 \times 10^{-3}$	90	1 BHARDWAJ	13 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma K^\pm$

1 BHARDWAJ 13 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 1.9 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$.

 $\Gamma(\gamma \chi_{c1})/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi(1S))$ Γ_{25}/Γ_3

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.89	90	CHOI	03 BELL	$B \rightarrow K \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$

 $\Gamma(\gamma \chi_{c2})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{26}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.029	90	1 BHARDWAJ	13 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \gamma K^\pm$

1 BHARDWAJ 13 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+)] < 6.7 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872) K^+) = 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$.

 $\Gamma(\gamma \psi(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{27}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
possibly seen	36 ± 9	1 AAJ	14AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow \gamma \psi(2S) K^+$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen		2 BHARDWAJ	11 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \gamma \psi(2S) K^+$
$0.042 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.011$	25 ± 7	3 AUBERT	09B BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow \gamma \psi(2S) K^+$

- ¹ From 36.4 ± 9.0 events of $\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma$ decays with a statistical significance of 4.4σ .
² BHARDWAJ 11 reports $B(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \chi_{c1}(3872)) \times B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \gamma\psi(2S)) < 3.45 \times 10^{-6}$ at 90% CL.
³ AUBERT 09B reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma\psi(2S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+)] = (9.5 \pm 2.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+) = (2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\gamma\psi(2S))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi(1S))$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{27}/Γ_3
<0.42	90	ABLIKIM	20W BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(3872)$	

$\Gamma(\gamma\psi(2S))/\Gamma(\gamma J/\psi)$

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{27}/Γ_{24}
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<0.59	90		ABLIKIM	20W BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\chi_{c1}(3872)$	
$2.46 \pm 0.64 \pm 0.29$	36 ± 9	1	AAIJ	14AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow \gamma\psi(2S)K^+$	
<2.1	90		BHARDWAJ	11 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \gamma\psi(2S)K^+$	
3.4 ± 1.4			AUBERT	09B BABR	$B^+ \rightarrow \gamma c\bar{c}K'$	

- ¹ From 36.4 ± 9.0 events of $\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma$ decays with a statistical significance of 4.4σ .

— C-violating decays —

$\Gamma(\eta J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{28}/Γ
<0.017	90	1,2 IWASHITA	14 BELL	$B \rightarrow K\eta J/\psi$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.034	90	3 AUBERT	04Y BABR	$B \rightarrow K\eta J/\psi$	

- ¹ IWASHITA 14 reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \eta J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+)] < 3.8 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+) = 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$.
² IWASHITA 14 also scans the $\eta J/\psi$ mass range 3.8–4.75 GeV and sets upper limits for $B(B^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^\pm) \times B(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \eta J/\psi)$ in 5 MeV intervals.
³ AUBERT 04Y reports $[\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \eta J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+)] < 7.7 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+) = 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$.

$\chi_{c1}(3872)$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	23AP	JHEP 2307 084	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	23S	PR D108 L011103	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23O	PR D107 032007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23W	PRL 130 151904	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
HIRATA	23	PR D107 112011	H. Hirata <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
YIN	23	PR D107 052004	J.H. Yin <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22D	PR D105 072009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
PDG	22	PTEP 2022 083C01	R.L. Workman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
TERAMOTO	21	PRL 126 122001	Y. Teramoto <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AAIJ	20AD	PR D102 092005	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	20S	JHEP 2008 123	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20W	PRL 124 242001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LEES	20C	PRL 124 152001	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	20	PTEP 2020 083C01	P.A. Zyla <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19U	PRL 122 202001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)

ABLIKIM	19V	PRL 122 232002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
BHARDWAJ	19	PR D99 111101	V. Bhardwaj <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AGHASYAN	18A	PL B783 334	M. Aghasyan <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
AAIJ	17AD	PL B769 305	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	17BB	EPJ C77 609	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
BHARDWAJ	16	PR D93 052016	V. Bhardwaj <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AAIJ	15AO	PR D92 011102	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15V	PL B749 414	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
BALA	15	PR D91 051101	A. Bala <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
VINOKUROVA	15	JHEP 1506 132	A. Vinokurova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
Also		JHEP 1702 088 (errat.)	A. Vinokurava <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AAIJ	14AH	NP B886 665	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	14	PRL 112 092001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
IWASHITA	14	PTEP 2014 043C01	T. Iwashita <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AAIJ	13Q	PRL 110 222001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	13S	EPJ C73 2462	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
BHARDWAJ	13	PRL 111 032001	V. Bhardwaj <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AAIJ	12H	EPJ C72 1972	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
LEES	12AD	PR D86 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	12AE	PR D86 092005	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
BHARDWAJ	11	PRL 107 091803	V. Bhardwaj <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
CHOI	11	PR D84 052004	S.-K. Choi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
WANG	11B	PR D84 071107	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUSHEV	10	PR D81 031103	T. Aushev <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA...	10B	PR D82 011101	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
SHEN	10A	PR D82 051504	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AALTONEN	09AU	PRL 103 152001	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AUBERT	09B	PRL 102 132001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	08B	PR D77 011102	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	08Y	PR D77 111101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
WICHT	08	PL B662 323	J. Wicht <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	06	PR D73 011101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT,BE	06M	PR D74 071101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
GOKHROO	06	PRL 97 162002	G. Gokhroo <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	05B	PR D71 031501	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	05D	PR D71 052001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	05R	PR D71 071103	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
DOBBS	05	PRL 94 032004	S. Dobbs <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABAZOV	04F	PRL 93 162002	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ACOSTA	04	PRL 93 072001	D. Acosta <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
AUBERT	04Y	PRL 93 041801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
CHISTOV	04	PRL 93 051803	R. Chistov <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
PDG	04	PL B592 1	S. Eidelman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
YUAN	04	PL B579 74	C.Z. Yuan <i>et al.</i>	
CHOI	03	PRL 91 262001	S.-K. Choi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
BAI	98E	PR D57 3854	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ANTONIAZZI	94	PR D50 4258	L. Antoniazzi <i>et al.</i>	(E705 Collab.)