

$\eta_c(1S)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$

$\eta_c(1S)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2984.1 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
2985.01 ± 0.17 ± 0.89	35k	AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$
2983.9 ± 0.7 ± 0.1		¹ AAIJ	20H LHCb	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow bX \rightarrow p\bar{p}X$
2985.9 ± 0.7 ± 2.1	1705	ABLIKIM	19AV BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\omega\omega$
2984.6 ± 0.7 ± 2.2	2673	XU	18 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$
2986.7 ± 0.5 ± 0.9	11k	² AAIJ	17AD LHCb	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow B^+X \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+X$
2982.8 ± 1.0 ± 0.5	6.4k	³ AAIJ	17BB LHCb	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow b\bar{b}X \rightarrow 2(K^+K^-)X$
2982.2 ± 1.5 ± 0.1	2.0k	⁴ AAIJ	15BI LHCb	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)X$
2983.5 ± 1.4 ± 1.6		⁵ ANASHIN	14 KEDR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$
2979.8 ± 0.8 ± 3.5	4.5k	^{6,7} LEES	14E BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
2984.1 ± 1.1 ± 2.1	900	^{6,7,8} LEES	14E BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$
2984.3 ± 0.6 ± 0.6		^{9,10} ABLIKIM	12F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$
2984.49 ± 1.16 ± 0.52	832	⁶ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$ hadrons
2982.7 ± 1.8 ± 2.2	486	ZHANG	12A BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$
2984.5 ± 0.8 ± 3.1	11k	DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
2985.4 ± 1.5 ± 0.5	920	¹⁰ VINOUKROVA	11 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm(K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp)$
2982.2 ± 0.4 ± 1.6	14k	¹¹ LEES	10 BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp$
2985.8 ± 1.5 ± 3.1	0.9k	AUBERT	08AB BABR	$B \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)K^{(*)} \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi K^{(*)}$
2986.1 ± 1.0 ± 2.5	7.5k	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow$ hadrons
2970 ± 5 ± 6	501	¹² ABE	07 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi(c\bar{c})$
2971 ± 3 ± 2	195	WU	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+$
2974 ± 7 ± 2	20	WU	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}K^+$
2981.8 ± 1.3 ± 1.5	592	ASNER	04 CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta'_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp$
2984.1 ± 2.1 ± 1.0	190	¹³ AMBROGIANI	03 E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2982.5 ± 0.4 ± 1.4	12k	¹⁴ DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp$
2982.2 ± 0.6		¹⁵ MITCHELL	09 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X$
2982 ± 5	270	¹⁶ AUBERT	06E BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm X_{c\bar{c}}$
2982.5 ± 1.1 ± 0.9	2.5k	¹⁷ AUBERT	04D BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
2977.5 ± 1.0 ± 1.2		^{15,18} BAI	03 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$
2979.6 ± 2.3 ± 1.6	180	¹⁹ FANG	03 BELL	$B \rightarrow \eta_c K$
2976.3 ± 2.3 ± 1.2		^{15,20} BAI	00F BES	$J/\psi, \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$

2976.6 \pm 2.9 \pm 1.3	140 ^{15,21}	BAI	00F	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$
2980.4 \pm 2.3 \pm 0.6	22	BRANDENB...	00B	CLE2	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow K^{\pm} K_S^0 \pi^{\mp}$
2975.8 \pm 3.9 \pm 1.2	21	BAI	99B	BES	Sup. by BAI 00F
2999 \pm 8	25	ABREU	980	DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- + \text{hadrons}$
2988.3 \pm 3.3 $-$ 3.1		ARMSTRONG	95F	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
2974.4 \pm 1.9	15,23	BISELLLO	91	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$
2969 \pm 4 \pm 4	80 15	BAI	90B	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$
2956 \pm 12 \pm 12	15	BAI	90B	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^0_S K^0_L$
2982.6 \pm 2.7 $-$ 2.3	12	BAGLIN	87B	SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
2980.2 \pm 1.6	15,23	BALTRUSAIT..	86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$
2984 \pm 2.3 \pm 4.0	15	GAISER	86	CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X, \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
2976 \pm 8	15,24	BALTRUSAIT..	84	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow 2\phi\gamma$
2982 \pm 8	18 25	HIMEL	80B	MRK2	$e^+ e^-$
2980 \pm 9		PARTRIDGE	80B	CBAL	$e^+ e^-$

¹ AAIJ 20H report $m_{J/\psi} - m_{\eta_c}(1S) = 113.0 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.1$ MeV. We use the current value $m_{J/\psi} = 3096.900 \pm 0.006$ MeV to obtain the quoted mass.

² AAIJ 17AD report $m_{J/\psi} - m_{\eta_c}(1S) = 110.2 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.9$ MeV. We use the current value $m_{J/\psi} = 3096.900 \pm 0.006$ MeV to obtain the quoted mass.

³ From a fit of the $\phi\phi$ invariant mass with the mass and width of $\eta_c(1S)$ as free parameters.

⁴ AAIJ 15BI reports $m_{J/\psi} - m_{\eta_c}(1S) = 114.7 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.1$ MeV from a sample of $\eta_c(1S)$ and J/ψ produced in b -hadron decays. We have used current value of $m_{J/\psi} = 3096.900 \pm 0.006$ MeV to arrive at the quoted $m_{\eta_c}(1S)$ result.

⁵ Taking into account an asymmetric photon lineshape.

⁶ With floating width.

⁷ Ignoring possible interference with the non-resonant 0^- amplitude.

⁸ Using both, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays.

⁹ From a simultaneous fit to six decay modes of the η_c .

¹⁰ Accounts for interference with non-resonant continuum.

¹¹ Taking into account interference with the non-resonant $J^P = 0^-$ amplitude.

¹² From a fit of the J/ψ recoil mass spectrum. Supersedes ABE,K 02 and ABE 04G.

¹³ Using mass of $\psi(2S) = 3686.00$ MeV.

¹⁴ Not independent from the measurements reported by LEES 10.

¹⁵ MITCHELL 09 observes a significant asymmetry in the lineshapes of $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$ and $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$ transitions. If ignored, this asymmetry could lead to significant bias whenever the mass and width are measured in $\psi(2S)$ or J/ψ radiative decays.

¹⁶ From the fit of the kaon momentum spectrum. Systematic errors not evaluated.

¹⁷ Superseded by LEES 10.

¹⁸ From a simultaneous fit of five decay modes of the η_c .

¹⁹ Superseded by VINOUKOVA 11.

²⁰ Weighted average of the $\psi(2S)$ and $J/\psi(1S)$ samples. Using an η_c width of 13.2 MeV.

²¹ Average of several decay modes. Using an η_c width of 13.2 MeV.

²² Superseded by ASNER 04.

²³ Average of several decay modes.

²⁴ $\eta_c \rightarrow \phi\phi$.

²⁵ Mass adjusted by us to correspond to $J/\psi(1S)$ mass = 3097 MeV.

$\eta_c(1S)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
30.5± 0.5 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
30.5± 0.5 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
29.7± 0.5±0.2	35k	AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$
33.8± 1.6±4.1	1705	ABLIKIM	19AV BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\omega\omega$
30.8 ^{+ 2.3} _{- 2.2} ±2.9	2673	XU	18 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$
34.0± 1.9±1.3	11k	AAIJ	17AD LHCb	$p p \rightarrow B^+ X \rightarrow p\bar{p} K^+ X$
31.4± 3.5±2.0	6.4k	¹ AAIJ	17BB LHCb	$p p \rightarrow b\bar{b} X \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)X$
27.2± 3.1 ^{+ 5.4} _{- 2.6}		² ANASHIN	14 KEDR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$
25.2± 2.6±2.4	4.5k	^{3,4} LEES	14E BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
34.8± 3.1±4.0	900	^{3,4,5} LEES	14E BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$
32.0± 1.2±1.0		^{6,7} ABLIKIM	12F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$
36.4± 3.2±1.7	832	³ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ hadrons
37.8 ^{+ 5.8} _{- 5.3} ±3.1	486	ZHANG	12A BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$
36.2± 2.8±3.0	11k	DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
35.1± 3.1 ^{+ 1.0} _{- 1.6}	920	⁷ VINOKUROVA	11 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)$
31.7± 1.2±0.8	14k	⁸ LEES	10 BABR	$10.6 \frac{e^+ e^-}{e^+ e^- K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp} \rightarrow$
36.3 ^{+ 3.7} _{- 3.6} ±4.4	0.9k	AUBERT	08AB BABR	$B \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) K^{(*)} \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi K^{(*)}$
28.1± 3.2±2.2	7.5k	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow$ hadrons
48 ^{+ 8} _{- 7} ±5	195	WU	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p} K^+$
40 ^{+ 19} _{- 5} ±5	20	WU	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda} K^+$
24.8± 3.4±3.5	592	ASNER	04 CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta'_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
20.4 ^{+ 7.7} _{- 6.7} ±2.0	190	AMBROGIANI	03 E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
23.9 ^{+ 12.6} _{- 7.1}		ARMSTRONG	95F E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
32.1± 1.1±1.3	12k	⁹ DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
34.3± 2.3±0.9	2.5k	¹⁰ AUBERT	04D BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
17.0± 3.7±7.4		¹¹ BAI	03 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$
29 ^{+ 8} _{- 6} ±6	180	¹² FANG	03 BELL	$B \rightarrow \eta_c K$
11.0± 8.1±4.1		¹³ BAI	00F BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$ and $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$
27.0± 5.8±1.4		¹⁴ BRANDENB...	00B CLE2	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$
7.0 ^{+ 7.5} _{- 7.0}	12	BAGLIN	87B SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
10.1 ^{+ 33.0} _{- 8.2}	23	¹⁵ BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
11.5± 4.5		GAISER	86 CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X, \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$
< 40 90% CL	18	HIMEL	80B MRK2	$e^+ e^-$
< 20 90% CL		PARTRIDGE	80B CBAL	$e^+ e^-$

- ¹ From a fit of the $\phi\phi$ invariant mass with the mass and width of $\eta_c(1S)$ as free parameters.
² Taking into account an asymmetric photon lineshape.
³ With floating mass.
⁴ Ignoring possible interference with the non-resonant 0^- amplitude.
⁵ Using both, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays.
⁶ From a simultaneous fit to six decay modes of the η_c .
⁷ Accounts for interference with non-resonant continuum.
⁸ Taking into account interference with the non-resonant $J^P = 0^-$ amplitude.
⁹ Not independent from the measurements reported by LEES 10.
¹⁰ Superseded by LEES 10.
¹¹ From a simultaneous fit of five decay modes of the η_c .
¹² Superseded by VINOKUROVA 11.
¹³ From a fit to the 4-prong invariant mass in $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$ and $J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$ decays.
¹⁴ Superseded by ASNER 04.
¹⁵ Positive and negative errors correspond to 90% confidence level.
-

$\eta_c(1S)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Decays involving hadronic resonances		
$\Gamma_1 \eta'(958)\pi\pi$	(2.0 \pm 0.4) %	S=1.4
$\Gamma_2 \eta'(958)K\bar{K}$	(1.73 \pm 0.35) %	
$\Gamma_3 \eta'(958)\eta\eta$	(3.4 \pm 0.6) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_4 \rho\rho$	(1.8 \pm 0.4) %	
$\Gamma_5 K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$	(1.8 \pm 0.5) %	
$\Gamma_6 K^*(892)\bar{K}^*(892)$	(7.0 \pm 1.2) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_7 K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	(1.4 \pm 0.6) %	
$\Gamma_8 \phi K^+ K^-$	(3.3 \pm 1.2) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_9 \phi\phi$	(1.8 \pm 0.4) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=2.3
$\Gamma_{10} \phi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	< 4 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{11} a_0(980)\pi$	seen	
$\Gamma_{12} a_2(1320)\pi$	seen	
$\Gamma_{13} K^*(892)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	< 1.28 %	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{14} f_2(1270)\eta$	seen	
$\Gamma_{15} f_2(1270)\eta'$	seen	
$\Gamma_{16} \omega\omega$	(2.7 \pm 0.9) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=2.1
$\Gamma_{17} \omega\phi$	< 2.5 $\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{18} f_2(1270)f_2(1270)$	(1.08 \pm 0.27) %	
$\Gamma_{19} f_2(1270)f'_2(1525)$	(9.7 \pm 3.2) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{20} f_0(500)\eta$	seen	
$\Gamma_{21} f_0(500)\eta'$	seen	
$\Gamma_{22} f_0(980)\eta$	seen	
$\Gamma_{23} f_0(980)\eta'$	seen	

Γ_{24}	$f_0(1500)\eta$	seen
Γ_{25}	$f_0(1710)\eta'$	seen
Γ_{26}	$f_0(2100)\eta'$	seen
Γ_{27}	$f_0(2200)\eta$	seen
Γ_{28}	$a_0(1320)\pi$	seen
Γ_{29}	$a_0(1450)\pi$	seen
Γ_{30}	$a_2(1700)\pi$	seen
Γ_{31}	$a_0(1710)\pi$	seen
Γ_{32}	$a_0(1950)\pi$	seen
Γ_{33}	$K_0^*(1430)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	seen
Γ_{34}	$K_2^*(1430)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	seen
Γ_{35}	$K_0^*(1950)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	seen
Γ_{36}	$K_0^*(2600)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$	seen

Decays into stable hadrons

Γ_{37}	$K\bar{K}\pi$	$(7.1 \pm 0.4) \%$	$S=1.1$
Γ_{38}	$K\bar{K}\eta$	$(1.32 \pm 0.15) \%$	
Γ_{39}	$\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	$(1.6 \pm 0.4) \%$	
Γ_{40}	$\eta 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	$(4.3 \pm 1.3) \%$	
Γ_{41}	$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$	$(8.3 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-3}$	$S=1.9$
Γ_{42}	$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$(3.4 \pm 0.6) \%$	
Γ_{43}	$K^0K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^++\text{c.c.}$	$(5.4 \pm 1.5) \%$	
Γ_{44}	$K^+K^-2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	$(8.4 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{45}	$2(K^+K^-)$	$(1.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	$S=1.4$
Γ_{46}	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$< 4 \times 10^{-4}$	$CL=90\%$
Γ_{47}	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$	$(4.6 \pm 1.0) \%$	
Γ_{48}	$2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	$(9.6 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-3}$	$S=1.4$
Γ_{49}	$2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$	$(15.9 \pm 2.0) \%$	
Γ_{50}	$3(\pi^+\pi^-)$	$(1.89 \pm 0.34) \%$	
Γ_{51}	$p\bar{p}$	$(1.33 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}$	$S=1.1$
Γ_{52}	$p\bar{p}\pi^0$	$(3.4 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{53}	$p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-$	$(3.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{54}	$\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$	$(1.10 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-3}$	$S=1.5$
Γ_{55}	$K^+\bar{p}\Lambda+\text{c.c.}$	$(2.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{56}	$\bar{\Lambda}(1520)\Lambda+\text{c.c.}$	$(3.0 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{57}	$\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-$	$(2.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{58}	$\Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+$	$(1.07 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-3}$	

Radiative decays

Γ_{59}	$\gamma\gamma$	$(1.66 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-4}$	$S=1.2$
---------------	----------------	----------------------------------	---------

**Charge conjugation (*C*), Parity (*P*),
Lepton Family number (*LF*) violating modes**

Γ_{60}	$\pi^+ \pi^-$	$P, CP < 1.3$	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{61}	$\pi^0 \pi^0$	$P, CP < 4$	$\times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{62}	$K^+ K^-$	$P, CP < 7$	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{63}	$K_S^0 K_S^0$	$P, CP < 4$	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%

FIT INFORMATION

A multiparticle fit to $\eta_c(1S)$, $J/\psi(1S)$, $\psi(2S)$, $h_c(1P)$, and B^\pm with the total width, 10 combinations of partial widths obtained from integrated cross section, and 38 branching ratios uses 113 measurements to determine 19 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 184.6$ for 94 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \cdot \delta p_j)$, in percent, from the fit to parameters p_i , including the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$.

x_6	14																		
x_9	11	13																	
x_{16}	7	8	8																
x_{18}	9	11	11	7															
x_{37}	25	25	22	12	17														
x_{38}	13	13	11	6	9	51													
x_{41}	7	7	6	4	5	15	8												
x_{45}	5	5	5	2	3	12	6	4											
x_{48}	13	17	17	10	15	26	13	8	5										
x_{51}	19	20	20	11	16	39	20	11	11	24									
x_{53}	7	7	8	4	5	22	11	5	10	8									
x_{54}	5	7	7	4	6	12	6	3	4	10									
x_{59}	-38	-35	-27	-16	-22	-63	-32	-17	-12	-31									
Γ	-1	-1	-1	0	-1	-2	-1	0	0	-1									
	x_1	x_6	x_9	x_{16}	x_{18}	x_{37}	x_{38}	x_{41}	x_{45}	x_{48}									
x_{53}	21																		
x_{54}	13	9																	
x_{59}	-47	-17	-11																
Γ	1	0	0	-20															
	x_{51}	x_{53}	x_{54}	x_{59}															

$\eta_c(1S)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ Γ_{59}

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.1 ± 0.4 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
5.8 ± 1.1	486	¹ ZHANG	12A BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$
5.2 ± 1.2	273 ± 43	^{2,3} AUBERT	06E BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm X_c \bar{c}$
5.5 ± 1.2 ± 1.8	157 ± 33	⁴ KUO	05 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow p\bar{p}$
7.4 ± 0.4 ± 2.3		⁵ ASNER	04 CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta'_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
13.9 ± 2.0 ± 3.0	41	⁶ ABDALLAH	03J DLPH	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c$
3.8 ± 1.1 ± 1.9	190	⁷ AMBROGIANI	03 E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
7.6 ± 0.8 ± 2.3		^{5,8} BRANDENB...	00B CLE2	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$
6.9 ± 1.7 ± 2.1	76	⁹ ACCIARRI	99T L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta_c$
27 ± 16 ± 10	5	⁵ SHIRAI	98 AMY	58 $e^+ e^-$
6.7 ± 2.4 ± 2.3		⁴ ARMSTRONG	95F E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
11.3 ± 4.2		¹⁰ ALBRECHT	94H ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta_c$
8.0 ± 2.3 ± 2.4	17	¹¹ ADRIANI	93N L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta_c$
5.9 ± 2.1 ± 1.9		⁷ CHEN	90B CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta_c$
6.4 ± 5.0		¹² AIHARA	88D TPC	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- X$
4.3 ± 3.4 ± 2.4		⁴ BAGLIN	87B SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
28 ± 15		^{5,13} BERGER	86 PLUT	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$

¹ Assuming there is no interference with the non-resonant background.² Calculated by us using $\Gamma(\eta_c \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi) \times \Gamma(\eta_c \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) / \Gamma = 0.44 \pm 0.05$ keV from PDG 06 and $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi) = (8.5 \pm 1.8)\%$ from AUBERT 06E.³ Systematic errors not evaluated.⁴ Normalized to $B(\eta_c \rightarrow p\bar{p}) = (1.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$.⁵ Normalized to $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp)$.⁶ Average of $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$, $\pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$, and $2(K^+ K^-)$ decay modes.⁷ Normalized to the sum of $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp)$, $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)$, and $B(\eta_c \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)$.⁸ Superseded by ASNER 04.⁹ Normalized to the sum of 9 branching ratios.¹⁰ Normalized to the sum of $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp)$, $B(\eta_c \rightarrow \phi\phi)$, $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)$, and $B(\eta_c \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)$.¹¹ Superseded by ACCIARRI 99T.¹² Normalized to the sum of $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp)$, $B(\eta_c \rightarrow 2K^+ 2K^-)$, $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)$, and $B(\eta_c \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)$.¹³ Re-evaluated by AIHARA 88D.

$\eta_c(1S) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$$\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
102 ± 18 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.5.		
98.1 ± 3.9 ± 11.7	2673	XU	18	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$75.8^{+6.3}_{-6.2} \pm 8.4$ 486 ¹ZHANG 12A BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ Superseded by XU 18.

$$\Gamma(\rho\rho) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_4\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • •	We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<39	90	< 1556	UEHARA	08	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

$$\Gamma(K^*(892)\bar{K}^*(892)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_6\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
35 ± 6 OUR FIT				
32.4 ± 4.2 ± 5.8	882 ± 115	UEHARA	08	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$

$$\Gamma(\phi\phi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_9\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
9.2 ± 2.2 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 2.7.		
7.75 ± 0.66 ± 0.62	386 ± 31	¹ LIU	12B	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$6.8 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.3$ 132 ± 23 UEHARA 08 BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-)$

¹ Supersedes UEHARA 08. Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (48.9 \pm 0.5)\%$.

$$\Gamma(\omega\omega) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{16}\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
13 ± 5 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 2.2.		
8.67 ± 2.86 ± 0.96	85 ± 29	¹ LIU	12B	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$

¹ Using $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7)\%$.

$$\Gamma(\omega\phi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{17}\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • •	We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
<0.49	90	¹ LIU	12B	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

¹ Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (48.9 \pm 0.5)\%$ and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7)\%$.

$$\Gamma(f_2(1270)f_2(1270)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{18}\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
55 ± 14 OUR FIT				
69 ± 17 ± 12	3182 ± 766	UEHARA	08	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

$$\Gamma(f_2(1270)f'_2(1525)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{19}\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
49 ± 9 ± 13	1128 ± 206	UEHARA	08	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{37}\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$			
<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>CL% EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.360 ± 0.022 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.5.			
0.396 ± 0.016 OUR AVERAGE				
0.386 $\pm 0.008 \pm 0.021$	12k	DEL-AMO-SA..11M BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$	
0.374 $\pm 0.009 \pm 0.031$	14k	¹ LEES	10 BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
0.407 $\pm 0.022 \pm 0.028$		2,3 ASNER	04 CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta'_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
0.60 $\pm 0.12 \pm 0.09$	41	3,4 ABDALLAH	03J DLPH	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1.47 $\pm 0.87 \pm 0.27$		³ SHIRAI	98 AMY	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$
0.84 ± 0.21		³ ALBRECHT	94H ARG	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$
0.60 ± 0.23 -0.20		³ CHEN	90B CLEO	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$
1.06 $\pm 0.41 \pm 0.27$	11	³ BRAUNSCH...	89 TASS	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
1.5 ± 0.60 -0.45	7	³ BERGER	86 PLUT	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.418 $\pm 0.044 \pm 0.022$		3,5 BRANDENB... 00B CLE2	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$	
<0.63	95	³ BEHREND	89 CELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
<4.4	95	ALTHOFF	85B TASS	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$

¹ From the corrected and unfolded mass spectrum.² Calculated by us from the value reported in ASNER 04 that assumes $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi) = 5.5 \pm 1.7\%$ ³ We have multiplied $K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$ measurement by 3 to obtain $K\bar{K}\pi$.⁴ Calculated by us from the value reported in ABDALLAH 03J, which uses $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) = (1.5 \pm 0.4)\%$.⁵ Superseded by ASNER 04.

$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{41}\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$			
<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
42 \pm 9 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 2.1.			
27 \pm 6 OUR AVERAGE				
25.7 $\pm 3.2 \pm 4.9$	2019 ± 248	UEHARA	08 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
280 $\pm 100 \pm 60$	42	¹ ABDALLAH	03J DLPH	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
170 $\pm 80 \pm 20$	13.9 ± 6.6	ALBRECHT	94H ARG	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
¹ Calculated by us from the value reported in ABDALLAH 03J, which uses $B(\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-) = (2.0 \pm 0.7)\%$.				
$\Gamma(K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{42}\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$			
<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.190 $\pm 0.006 \pm 0.028$	11k	DEL-AMO-SA..11M BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	

$\Gamma(2(K^+K^-)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{45}\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.2 ± 2.1 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.5.			
5.8 ± 1.9 OUR AVERAGE				
5.6 ± 1.1 ± 1.6 350 ± 90 ± 60 231 ± 90 ± 23	216 ± 42 46 9.1 ± 3.3	UEHARA 1 ABDALLAH 2 ALBRECHT	08 BELL 03J DLPH 94H ARG	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(K^+K^-)$ $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(K^+K^-)$ $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(K^+K^-)$

¹ Calculated by us from the value reported in ABDALLAH 03J, which uses $B(\eta_c \rightarrow 2(K^+K^-)) = (2.1 \pm 1.2)\%$.

² Includes all topological modes except $\eta_c \rightarrow \phi\phi$.

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{48}\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
48 ± 7 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.5.			
42 ± 6 OUR AVERAGE				
40.7 ± 3.7 ± 5.3 180 ± 70 ± 20	5381 ± 492 21.4 ± 8.6	UEHARA ALBRECHT	08 BELL 94H ARG	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

 $\Gamma(p\bar{p}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{51}\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.7 ± 0.6 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
6.2 +1.1 -1.0 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
7.20 ± 1.53 ± 0.67 4.6 +1.3 -1.1 ± 0.4 8.1 +2.9 -2.0	157 ± 33 190 ARMSTRONG 95F E760	¹ KUO AMBROGIANI 03 ARMSTRONG 95F E760	05 BELL E835 E760	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

¹ Not independent from the $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}$ reported by the same experiment.

 $\eta_c(1S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

— HADRONIC DECAYS —

 $\Gamma(\eta'(958)K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi\pi)$ Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.859 ± 0.052 ± 0.043	¹ LEES	21A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta' K^+ K^-$, $\eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ Based on Dalitz-plot analysis of the $\eta_c \rightarrow \eta' K^+ K^-$, $\eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$ final states where the fit fractions and relative phases are determined for numerous two-body intermediate states.

 $\Gamma(\eta'(958)\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.4 ± 0.5 ± 0.3	¹ ABLIKIM	21C BES3	$J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta\eta'$

¹ ABLIKIM 21C reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta'(958)\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (4.86 \pm 0.62 \pm 0.45) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.1 $\pm 0.5 \pm 0.1$	72	¹ ABLIKIM	05L	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
2.3 $\pm 0.5 \pm 0.2$	113	^{2,3} BISELLO	91	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \rho^0 \rho^0$
2.1 $\pm 1.0 \pm 0.2$	32	^{4,5} BISELLO	91	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \rho^+ \rho^-$
<14	90	⁶ BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3		$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

¹ ABLIKIM 05L reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \rho\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (1.6 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² BISELLO 91 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \rho\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (3.30 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.60) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ The value reported by BISELLO 91 has been multiplied by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

⁴ BISELLO 91 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \rho\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (3.0 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁵ The value reported by BISELLO 91 has been multiplied by 3/2 to account for isospin symmetry.

⁶ Using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$.

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.8 $\pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$	63	¹ BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$
¹ BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (2.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
135 $\pm 57 \pm 13$	45	¹ ABLIKIM	06A	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow K^{*0} \bar{K}^{*0} \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
¹ ABLIKIM 06A reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (1.91 \pm 0.64 \pm 0.48) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

$\Gamma(\phi K^+ K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				

$2.9^{+0.9}_{-0.8} \pm 1.1$ $14.1^{+4.4}_{-3.7}$ ¹ HUANG 03 BELL $B^+ \rightarrow (\phi K^+ K^-) K^+$

¹ Using $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (1.25 \pm 0.12^{+0.10}_{-0.12}) \times 10^{-3}$ from FANG 03 and $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi) = (5.5 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(\phi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<40	90	¹ ABLIKIM	06A	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)\gamma$

¹ ABLIKIM 06A reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \phi 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] < 0.603 \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 1.41 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen		AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$
seen		LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$
seen		LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. **• • •**

<0.02 90 ^{1,2} BALTRUSAIT..86 MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

¹ The quoted branching ratio uses $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$.

² We are assuming $B(a_0(980) \rightarrow \eta \pi) > 0.5$.

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen		LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. **• • •**

<0.02 90 ¹ BALTRUSAIT..86 MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

¹ The quoted branching ratio uses $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$.

$\Gamma(K^*(892)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0128	90	BISELLO	91 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
<0.0132	90	¹ BISELLO	91 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹ The quoted branching ratios use $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$.

$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen		LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. **• • •**

<0.011 90 ¹ BALTRUSAIT..86 MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

¹ The quoted branching ratio uses $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$.

$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE**seen**DOCUMENT ID

LEES

TECN

21A

COMMENT Γ_{15}/Γ

BABR
 $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$
 $K^+ K^- \eta'$

 $\Gamma(\omega\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE**< 2.5×10^{-4}**

90

DOCUMENT ID

1 ABLIKIM

TECN

17P

COMMENT Γ_{17}/Γ

J/ $\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 K^+ K^- \gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $< 17 \times 10^{-4}$

90

2 ABLIKIM

05L

BES2

J/ $\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 K^+ K^- \gamma$

¹ Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) = 0.017 \pm 0.004$.

² The quoted branching ratio uses $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$.

 $\Gamma(f_0(500)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE**seen**DOCUMENT ID

LEES

TECN

21A

COMMENT Γ_{20}/Γ

Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$

 $\Gamma(f_0(500)\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE**seen**DOCUMENT ID

LEES

TECN

21A

COMMENT Γ_{21}/Γ

Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$

 $\Gamma(f_0(980)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE**seen**DOCUMENT ID

LEES

TECN

21A

COMMENT Γ_{22}/Γ

Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$

 $\Gamma(f_0(980)\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE**seen**DOCUMENT ID

LEES

TECN

21A

COMMENT Γ_{23}/Γ

Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$,
 $K^+ K^- \eta'$

 $\Gamma(f_0(1500)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE**seen**DOCUMENT ID

LEES

TECN

21A

COMMENT Γ_{24}/Γ

Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$

 $\Gamma(f_0(1710)\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE**seen**DOCUMENT ID

LEES

TECN

21A

COMMENT Γ_{25}/Γ

Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta'$

 $\Gamma(f_0(2100)\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE**seen**DOCUMENT ID

LEES

TECN

21A

COMMENT Γ_{26}/Γ

Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$

 $\Gamma(f_0(2200)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ VALUE**seen**DOCUMENT ID

LEES

TECN

14E

COMMENT Γ_{27}/Γ

Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$

$\Gamma(a_0(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{28}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$
seen	LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

$\Gamma(a_0(1450)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{29}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$
seen	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$
seen	LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

$\Gamma(a_2(1700)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{30}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$

$\Gamma(a_0(1710)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{31}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$
seen	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$

$\Gamma(a_0(1950)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{32}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen		LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$
seen	12k	¹ LEES	16A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$

¹ From a model-independant partial wave analysis.

$\Gamma(K_0^*(1430)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{33}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	12k	¹ LEES	16A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
seen		LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta/\pi^0$

¹ From a model-independant partial wave analysis.

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{34}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$
seen	LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta'$
seen	LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

$\Gamma(K_0^*(1950)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{35}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen		AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$
seen		LEES	21A BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta'$
seen	12k	¹ LEES	16A BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
seen		LEES	14E BABR	Dalitz anal. of $\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta/\pi^0$

¹ From a Dalitz plot analysis using an isobar model.

$\Gamma(K_0^*(2600)\bar{K} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_{36}/Γ			
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
seen	AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K\pi)$	

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_{37}/Γ				
<u>VALUE (units 10^{-2})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
7.1 ± 0.4 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.				
7.4 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE					
$6.9 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.6$	146	¹ ABLIKIM	19AP BES3	$h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$	
$7.8 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.6$	267	² ABLIKIM	19AP BES3	$h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$6.1 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.6$	55	^{3,4} ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$	
$7.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.8$	107	^{5,6} ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K_S^0 K^\mp \pi^\pm$	
8.5 ± 1.8		⁷ AUBERT	06E BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm X_c \bar{c}$	
$4.7 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.5$	0.6k	^{8,9} BAI	04 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm \pi^\mp K_S^0$	
$6.2 \pm 1.7 \pm 0.6$	33	^{10,11} BISELLO	91 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$	
$4.9 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.5$	68	^{12,13} BISELLO	91 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm \pi^\mp K_S^0$	
4.8 ± 1.7	95	^{14,15} BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$	
$5.5 \pm 2.1 \pm 0.5$	32	^{16,17} BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$	
$4.0 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.4$	63	^{18,19} BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm \pi^\mp K_S^0$	
$13 \begin{array}{l} +7 \\ -5 \end{array} \pm 2$	20	HIMEL	80B MRK2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$	
< 10.7 90% CL	15	PARTRIDGE	80B CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$	

¹ ABLIKIM 19AP quotes $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = (1.15 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$ which we multiply by 6 to account for isospin symmetry.

² ABLIKIM 19AP quotes $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) = (2.60 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$ which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

³ ABLIKIM 12N quotes $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = (4.54 \pm 0.76 \pm 0.48) \times 10^{-6}$ which we multiply by 6 to account for isospin symmetry.

⁴ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (27.24 \pm 4.56 \pm 2.88) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

⁵ ABLIKIM 12N quotes $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) = (11.35 \pm 1.25 \pm 1.50) \times 10^{-6}$ which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

⁶ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (34.05 \pm 3.75 \pm 4.50) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

⁷ Determined from the ratio of $B(B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \eta_c) B(\eta_c \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi) = (7.4 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$ reported in AUBERT, B 04B and $B(B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \eta_c) = (8.7 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-3}$ reported in AUBERT 06E.

⁸ BAI 04 reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp) = (2.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

- ⁹ BAI 04 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (6.6 \pm 0.9 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
- ¹⁰ BISELLO 91 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (8.76 \pm 1.80 \pm 1.68) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
- ¹¹ BISELLO 91 reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = (1.46 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-4}$ which we multiply by 6 to account for isospin symmetry.
- ¹² BISELLO 91 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (6.9 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
- ¹³ BISELLO 91 reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp) = (2.3 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$ which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.
- ¹⁴ Average from $K^+ K^- \pi^0$ and $K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp$ decay channels.
- ¹⁵ The quoted branching ratios use $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$. Where relevant, the error in this branching ratio is treated as a common systematic in computing averages.
- ¹⁶ BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (7.8 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
- ¹⁷ BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = (1.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ which we multiply by 6 to account for isospin symmetry.
- ¹⁸ BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (5.7 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
- ¹⁹ BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp) = (1.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.
- ²⁰ HIMEL 80B reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (4.5^{+2.4}_{-1.8}) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (3.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\phi K^+ K^-)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$					Γ_8/Γ_{37}
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.052^{+0.016}_{-0.014}^{±0.014}	7	¹ HUANG	03	BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \phi\phi$

¹ Using $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (1.25 \pm 0.12^{+0.10}_{-0.12}) \times 10^{-3}$ from FANG 03 and $B(\eta_c \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi) = (5.5 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{38}/Γ
VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.9 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.1		7	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta K^+ K^-$
<3.1		90	³ BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

¹ ABLIKIM 12N quotes $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta) = (2.11 \pm 1.01 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-6}$ which we multiply by 2 to account for isospin symmetry.

² ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (4.22 \pm 2.02 \pm 0.64) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

³ The quoted branching ratios use $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$.

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}\eta)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$ Γ_{38}/Γ_{37}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.186 ± 0.018 OUR FIT				
0.190 ± 0.008 ± 0.017	5.4k	¹ LEES	14E BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta/\pi^0$

¹ LEES 14E reports $B(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta)/B(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = 0.571 \pm 0.025 \pm 0.051$, which we divide by 3 to account for isospin symmetry. It uses both $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ decays.

$\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{39}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.6 ± 0.4 ± 0.2	33	¹ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
5.4 ± 2.0	75	² BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$
3.7 ± 1.3 ± 2.0	18	² PARTRIDGE	80B CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (7.22 \pm 1.47 \pm 1.11) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

² The quoted branching ratios use $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$. Where relevant, the error in this branching ratio is treated as a common systematic in computing averages.

$\Gamma(\eta 2(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{40}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.3 ± 1.2 ± 0.4	39	¹ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (19.17 \pm 3.77 \pm 3.72) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.				

$\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$ Γ_{42}/Γ_{37}

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.477 ± 0.017 ± 0.070	11k	¹ DEL-AMO-SA..11M	BABR	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

¹ We have multiplied the value of $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma(K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp)$ reported in DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 11M by a factor 1/3 to obtain $\Gamma(K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$. Not independent from other measurements reported in DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 11M.

$\Gamma(K^0 K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{43}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.4±1.4±0.5	43	1,2 ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K_S^0 K^- \pi^- 2\pi^+$

¹ ABLIKIM 12N quotes $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- \pi^- 2\pi^+) = (12.01 \pm 2.22 \pm 2.04) \times 10^{-6}$ which we multiply by 2 to take c.c. into account.

² ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^0 K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (24.02 \pm 4.44 \pm 4.08) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

 $\Gamma(K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{44}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8.4±2.4 OUR AVERAGE				

8 ± 4 ± 1	10	¹ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
$8.6 \pm 2.8 \pm 0.8$	100	² ABLIKIM	06A BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \gamma$

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (3.60 \pm 1.71 \pm 0.64) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

² ABLIKIM 06A reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (1.21 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{46}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4 × 10⁻⁴	90	¹ ABLIKIM	17AJ BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 17AJ reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] < 1.6 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 3.6 \times 10^{-3}$.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{47}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.6±0.9±0.5	118	¹ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (20.31 \pm 2.20 \pm 3.33) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

 $\Gamma(2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{49}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
15.9±2.0 OUR AVERAGE				

15.3 ± 1.8 ± 1.8	333	ABLIKIM	19AP BES3	$h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$
$16.8 \pm 2.8 \pm 1.7$	175	¹ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (75.13 \pm 7.42 \pm 9.99) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.

$\Gamma(3(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{50}/Γ	
VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
18.9 ± 3.4 OUR AVERAGE					
20 ± 5 ± 2	51	¹ ABLIKIM	12N	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
18 ± 4 ± 2	479	² ABLIKIM	06A	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-) \gamma$
¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (8.82 \pm 1.57 \pm 1.59) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.					
² ABLIKIM 06A reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (2.59 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.47) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.					

$\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{51}/Γ	
VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
13.3 ± 1.1 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
$12.0 \pm 2.6 \pm 1.5$	34	ABLIKIM	19AP	BES3	$h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
15 ± 5 ± 1	15	¹ ABLIKIM	12N	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma p\bar{p}$
$12.9^{+1.8}_{-2.1} \pm 0.8$	195	² WU	06	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p} K^+$
$13.5 \pm 3.0 \pm 1.3$	213	³ BAI	04	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
$9.2 \pm 3.5 \pm 0.9$	18	⁴ BISELLO	91	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
10 ± 5 ± 1	23	⁵ BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3		$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$
$22^{+22}_{-11} \pm 3$		⁶ HIMEL	80B	MRK2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$
¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (0.65 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.					
² WU 06 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)] = (1.42 \pm 0.11^{+0.16}_{-0.20}) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (1.10 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.					
³ BAI 04 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] = (1.9 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.					

⁴ BISELLO 91 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (0.13 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-4}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁵ BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (1.4 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁶ HIMEL 80B reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (8^{+8}_{-4}) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (3.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\phi\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{51}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_9/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-5})	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.24 \pm 0.07 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.9.		
4.0 \pm 3.5 -3.2	BAGLIN	89	SPEC $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-K^+K^-$

$\Gamma(p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{52}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-2})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.34 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.03	14	¹ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma p\bar{p}\pi^0$
¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] \times [B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (1.53 \pm 0.49 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best values $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$, $B(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (60 \pm 4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best values.				

$\Gamma(K^+\bar{p}\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{55}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2.46 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.16 -0.32	157	¹ LU	19	BELL $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{p}\Lambda K^+ K^+$

¹ LU 19 reports $(2.83^{+0.36}_{-0.34} \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+\bar{p}\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)]$ assuming $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (9.6 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (1.10 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\bar{\Lambda}(1520)\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{56}/Γ

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
3.0 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.2	43	¹ LU	19	BELL $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{p}\Lambda K^+ K^+$

¹ LU 19 reports $(3.48 \pm 1.48 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-3}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}(1520)\Lambda + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)]$ assuming $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (9.6 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$, which we rescale to our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (1.10 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{57}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$2.6 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$	112	¹ ABLIKIM	13C BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}\pi^0\pi^0$
¹ ABLIKIM 13C reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (3.60 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

$\Gamma(\Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{58}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$1.07 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.10$	78	¹ ABLIKIM	13C BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$
¹ ABLIKIM 13C reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (1.51 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

———— RADIATIVE DECAYS ————

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{59}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.66 ± 0.13 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.					
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
3.2 $\pm 1.0 \pm 0.3$			¹ ABLIKIM	13I BES3	
0.9 $\pm 1.9 \pm 0.1$		1.2 ± 2.8	² ADAMS	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
2.0 $\pm 0.9 \pm 0.1$		13	³ WICHT	08 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \gamma\gamma$
$2.80 \pm 0.67 \pm 1.0$			⁴ ARMSTRONG	95F E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
< 9		90	⁵ BISELLO	91 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$
6 $\pm 4 \pm 4$			⁴ BAGLIN	87B SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
< 18		90	⁶ BLOOM	83 CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c\gamma$

¹ ABLIKIM 13I reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (4.5 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

² ADAMS 08 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] = (1.2 \pm 2.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = (1.41 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

³ WICHT 08 reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)] = (2.2 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) = (1.10 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

⁴ Not independent from the values of the total and two-photon width quoted by the same experiment.

⁵ The quoted branching ratios use $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$.

⁶ Using $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 0.0127 \pm 0.0036$.

$\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{51}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{59}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-6})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.221 ± 0.019 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
0.26 ± 0.05 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.4.		
$0.224^{+0.038}_{-0.037} \pm 0.020$	190	AMBROGIANI 03	E835	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
$0.336^{+0.080}_{-0.070}$		ARMSTRONG 95F	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
$0.68^{+0.42}_{-0.31}$	12	BAGLIN	87B SPEC	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

— Charge conjugation (C), Parity (P), —
— Lepton family number (LF) violating modes —

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{60}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-5})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<13	90	¹ ABLIKIM	11G BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<80	90	² ABLIKIM	06B BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
-------	----	----------------------	----------	---------------------------------------

¹ ABLIKIM 11G reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] < 1.82 \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 1.41 \times 10^{-2}$.

² ABLIKIM 06B reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] < 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 1.41 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{61}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-5})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 4	90	¹ ABLIKIM	11G BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<50	90	² ABLIKIM	06B BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
-------	----	----------------------	----------	---------------------------------------

¹ ABLIKIM 11G reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] < 6.0 \times 10^{-7}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 1.41 \times 10^{-2}$.

² ABLIKIM 06B reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] < 0.71 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 1.41 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{62}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-5})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<70	90	¹ ABLIKIM	06B BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\gamma$

¹ ABLIKIM 06B reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))] < 0.96 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S)) = 1.41 \times 10^{-2}$.

$\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{63}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-5})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<40	90	¹ ABLIKIM	06B BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<32	90	^{2,3} UEHARA	13 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
< 5.6	90	^{4,5} UEHARA	13 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 06B reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))] < 0.53 \times 10^{-5}$ which we divide by our best value $\Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = 1.41 \times 10^{-2}$.

² Using $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)(\eta_c) = 5.3 \pm 0.5$ keV. UEHARA 13 reports $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times B(K_S^0 K_S^0) < 1.6$ eV.

³ Taking into account interference with the non-resonant continuum.

⁴ Using $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)(\eta_c) = 5.3 \pm 0.5$ keV. UEHARA 13 reports $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times B(K_S^0 K_S^0) < 0.29$ eV.

⁵ Neglecting interference with the non-resonant continuum.

$\eta_c(1S)$ CROSS-PARTICLE BRANCHING RATIOS

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta'(958)\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{237}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.8 ± 0.5 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.4.		
5.25 ± 1.65	14	¹ BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

¹ The value reported by BALTRUSAITIS 86 has been multiplied by 3/2 to account for isospin symmetry.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \rho\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{237}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.6 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
1.6 ± 0.6 ± 0.4	72	ABLIKIM 05L	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
3.30 ± 0.30 ± 0.60	113	¹ BISELLO 91	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \rho^0 \rho^0$
3.0 ± 1.3 ± 0.6	32	² BISELLO 91	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \rho^+ \rho^-$

¹ The value reported by BISELLO 91 has been multiplied by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

² The value reported by BISELLO 91 has been multiplied by 3/2 to account for isospin symmetry.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 K^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_5/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{237}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.6 ± 0.6	63	BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^*(892) \bar{K}^*(892))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_6/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{237}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.99 ± 0.17 OUR FIT				

1.17 ± 0.29 OUR AVERAGE

1.4 ± 0.3 ± 0.5	60	ABLIKIM 05L	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
1.04 ± 0.36 ± 0.18	14	¹ BISELLO 91	DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
1.2 ± 0.6	9	¹ BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

¹ The reported value has been multiplied by 2 to account for isospin symmetry.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \times \frac{\Gamma_7 / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{237}^{J/\psi(1S)} / \Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1.91 \pm 0.64 \pm 0.48	45	ABLIKIM	06A BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^{*0} \bar{K}^{*0} \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \phi K^+ K^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_8 / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{253}^{B^\pm} / \Gamma^{B^\pm}}$$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-6})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
3.6 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.8	$14.1^{+4.4}_{-3.7}$	HUANG	03 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow (\phi K^+ K^-) K^+$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \phi \phi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_9 / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{237}^{J/\psi(1S)} / \Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-5})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2.6 \pm 0.6 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 2.2.		

4.1 \pm 0.6 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

$4.3 \pm 0.5^{+0.5}_{-1.2}$	1.2k	ABLIKIM	17P BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$
$3.3 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.6$	72	ABLIKIM	05L BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$
$3.9 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.7$	19	BISELLO	91 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$
$3.8^{+2.3}_{-1.5} \pm 0.7$	5	BISELLO	91 DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K_S^0 K_L^0$
$9.3 \pm 2.0 \pm 1.6$	80	BAI	90B MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$
$8.5 \pm 2.7 \pm 1.8$		BAI	90B MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K_S^0 K_L^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$3.3 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.6$	357	¹ BAI	04 BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$
-----------------------	-----	------------------	--------	---

¹ Superseded by ABLIKIM 05L.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \phi \phi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_9 / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{253}^{B^\pm} / \Gamma^{B^\pm}}$$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-6})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2.0 \pm 0.5 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 2.2.		

3.3 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.0 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.5.

$4.7 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.5$		AUBERT,B	04B BABR	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \eta_c$
$2.2^{+1.0}_{-0.7} \pm 0.5$	7	HUANG	03 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \phi \phi$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \omega \omega) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{16} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{237}^{J/\psi(1S)} / \Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-5})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
3.7 \pm 1.2 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 2.1.		

4.90 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.77	1705	ABLIKIM	19AV BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \omega \omega$
---	------	---------	-----------	---

$$\frac{\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow f_2(1270) f_2(1270)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}}{\Gamma_{18} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{237}^{J/\psi(1S)} / \Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}}$$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1.5 \pm 0.4 OUR FIT				

1.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3	91.2 ± 19.8	ABLIKIM	04M BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
--	-----------------	---------	---------	---

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{37}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{237}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10.1 ± 0.9 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.5.		
6.7 ± 0.8 OUR AVERAGE				
6.6 ± 0.9 ± 1.5	0.6k	¹ BAI 04	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm \pi^\mp K_S^0$
8.76 ± 1.80 ± 1.68	33	² BISELLO 91	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$
6.9 ± 1.2 ± 1.2	68	³ BISELLO 91	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm \pi^\mp K_S^0$
7.8 ± 3.0	32	⁴ BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$
5.7 ± 1.5	63	⁵ BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^\pm \pi^\mp K_S^0$

¹ BAI 04 reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp) = (2.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

² BISELLO 91 reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = (1.46 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-4}$ which we multiply by 6 to account for isospin symmetry.

³ BISELLO 91 reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp) = (2.3 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$ which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

⁴ BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = (1.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ which we multiply by 6 to account for isospin symmetry.

⁵ BALTRUSAITIS 86 reports $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp) = (1.9 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{37}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{253}^{B^\pm}/\Gamma^{B^\pm}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.9 ± 0.5 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.	
7.5 ± 0.8 OUR AVERAGE			

$$8.01 \pm 0.42^{+1.71}_{-1.65} \quad ^1 \text{VINOKUROVA } 11 \quad \text{BELL} \quad e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$$

$$7.4 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.7 \quad \text{AUBERT,B } 04B \quad \text{BABR} \quad B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \eta_c$$

¹ VINOKUROVA 11 reports $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+, \eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) = (26.7 \pm 1.4^{+2.9}_{-2.6} \pm 4.9) \times 10^{-6}$, where the first uncertainty is statistical, the second is due to systematics, and the third comes from interference of $\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$ with nonresonant $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$. We combined both systematic uncertainties to single values. We multiply the reported result by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{37}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{182}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.6 ± 0.4 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.3.	
4.5^{+2.4}_{-1.8}	HIMEL	80B MRK2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{37}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{25}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.28 ± 0.34 OUR FIT				
4.1 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE				

$$3.7 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.3 \quad 55 \quad ^{1,2} \text{ABLIKIM} \quad 12N \quad \text{BES3} \quad \psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$$

$$4.6 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.3 \quad 107 \quad ^{3,4} \text{ABLIKIM} \quad 12N \quad \text{BES3} \quad \psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K_S^0 K^\mp \pi^\pm$$

- ¹ ABLIKIM 12N quotes $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0) = (4.54 \pm 0.76 \pm 0.48) \times 10^{-6}$ which we multiply by 6 to account for isospin symmetry.
² ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (27.24 \pm 4.56 \pm 2.88) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
³ ABLIKIM 12N quotes $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp) = (11.35 \pm 1.25 \pm 1.50) \times 10^{-6}$ which we multiply by 3 to account for isospin symmetry.
⁴ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (34.05 \pm 3.75 \pm 4.50) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{38}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{25}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7.9 ± 1.0 OUR FIT				

- $5.7 \pm 2.9 \pm 0.4$** 7 1,2 ABLIKIM 12N BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta K^+ K^-$
¹ ABLIKIM 12N quotes $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot B(h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot B(\eta_c \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta) = (2.11 \pm 1.01 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-6}$ which we multiply by 2 to account for isospin symmetry.
² ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (4.22 \pm 2.02 \pm 0.64) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{39}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{25}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$9.7 \pm 2.5 \pm 0.7$	33	¹ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

- ¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (7.22 \pm 1.47 \pm 1.11) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{39}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{237}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.2 ± 0.9 OUR AVERAGE				
4.6 \pm 1.1	75	BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$
3.1 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.5	18	PARTRIDGE 80B	CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{40}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{25}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.6 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.2$	39	¹ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \eta 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \eta_2(\pi^+ \pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\mathcal{B}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (19.17 \pm 3.77 \pm 3.72) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $\mathcal{B}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{41} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{237}^{J/\psi(1S)} / \Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.17 ± 0.26 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 2.0.
1.9 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 2.4.

1.5 ± 0.2 ± 0.2 0.4k BAI 04 BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
 2.7 ± 0.4 110 BALTRUSAIT...86 MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{41} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{182}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.0 ± 0.8 OUR FIT			Error includes scale factor of 1.7.

4.0 ± 6.0 HIMEL 80B MRK2 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{41} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{25}^{h_c(1P)} / \Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
5.0 ± 1.0 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.7.
5.6 ± 1.3 ± 0.4	38	¹ ABLIKIM	12N	BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\mathcal{B}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (4.16 \pm 0.76 \pm 0.59) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $\mathcal{B}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^0 K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{43} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{25}^{h_c(1P)} / \Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.2 ± 0.8 ± 0.2	^{1,2} ABLIKIM	12N	BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K_S^0 K^\mp \pi^\mp 2\pi^\pm$
1 ABLIKIM 12N quotes $\mathcal{B}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c) \cdot \mathcal{B}(h_c \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c) \cdot \mathcal{B}(\eta_c \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- \pi^- 2\pi^+) = (12.01 \pm 2.22 \pm 2.04) \times 10^{-6}$ which we multiply by 2 to take c.c. into account.			
2 ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^0 K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [\mathcal{B}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (24.02 \pm 4.44 \pm 4.08) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $\mathcal{B}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.			

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{44} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{237}^{J/\psi(1S)} / \Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.21 ± 0.32 ± 0.24	100	ABLIKIM	06A	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \gamma$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{44}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{25}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.8±2.5±0.3	10	1 ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (3.60 \pm 1.71 \pm 0.64) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{45}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{253}^{B^\pm}/\Gamma^{B^\pm}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.6±0.4 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.4.			
1.8^{+0.6}_{-0.5}	$14.5^{+4.6}_{-3.0}$	HUANG	03 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-) K^+$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{45}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{25}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.85±0.24 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.			

1.3 ± 0.5 ± 0.1 7 1 ABLIKIM 12N BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma 2(K^+ K^-)$
¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(K^+ K^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (0.94 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{47}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{25}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.7±0.5±0.2	118	1 ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (20.31 \pm 2.20 \pm 3.33) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{48}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{237}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.35±0.19 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.			

1.36±0.23 OUR AVERAGE

1.3 ± 0.2 ± 0.4	0.5k	BAI	04	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1.33±0.22±0.20	137	BISELLO	91	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1.6 ± 0.6	25	BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{48} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{182}^{\psi(2S)} / \Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-------------	------	---------

3.4±0.7 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.3.

5.7^{+3.9}_{-2.4}	HIMEL	80B MRK2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$
--	-------	----------	--------------------------------------

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{48} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{25}^{h_c(1P)} / \Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

0.57±0.09 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.3.

1.01^{+0.19}_{-0.07}	100	¹ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
---	-----	----------------------	----------	--

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (7.51 \pm 0.85 \pm 1.11) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{49} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{25}^{h_c(1P)} / \Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

10.1^{+1.7}_{-0.7}	175	¹ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma 2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$
---	-----	----------------------	----------	--

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (75.13 \pm 7.42 \pm 9.99) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{50} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{237}^{J/\psi(1S)} / \Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

2.59^{+0.32}_{-0.47}	471	ABLIKIM	06A BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-) \gamma$
---	-----	---------	----------	--

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{50} / \Gamma \times \Gamma_{25}^{h_c(1P)} / \Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

1.19^{+0.30}_{-0.08}	51	¹ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
---	----	----------------------	----------	--

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+ \pi^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(1S)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0)] = (8.82 \pm 1.57 \pm 1.59) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P) \pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{51}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{237}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}$$

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-5})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1.88±0.18 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
1.61±0.29 OUR AVERAGE				
1.9 ± 0.3 ± 0.3	213	BAI	04	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
1.3 ± 0.4 ± 0.3	18	BISELLO	91	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
1.4 ± 0.7	23	BALTRUSAIT..86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{51}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{25}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-4})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
8.0±0.8 OUR FIT				
8.7±2.9±0.6	15	¹ ABLIKIM	12N	BES3 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma p\bar{p}$
1 ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (0.65 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{51}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{182}^{\psi(2S)}/\Gamma^{\psi(2S)}$$

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-6})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
4.8±0.7 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
8 ± 8 ± 4				
8	HIMEL	80B	MRK2	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{51}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{253}^{B^\pm}/\Gamma^{B^\pm}$$

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-6})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1.47±0.12 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
1.54±0.19 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
1.42 ± 0.11 $^{+0.16}_{-0.20}$	195	WU	06	BELL $B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+$
1.8 $^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ ± 0.2	AUBERT,B	05L	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$$

$$\Gamma_{52}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{25}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2.1±0.7±0.1				
2.1	¹ ABLIKIM	12N	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma p\bar{p}\pi^0$
1 ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (1.53 \pm 0.49 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{53}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{25}^{h_c(1P)}/\Gamma^{h_c(1P)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.19 ± 0.30 OUR FIT				
$3.1 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.2$	19	¹ ABLIKIM	12N BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ ABLIKIM 12N reports $[\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(h_c(1P) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0)] = (2.30 \pm 0.65 \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-6}$ which we divide by our best value $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^0) = (7.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{53}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{253}^{B^\pm}/\Gamma^{B^\pm}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.0 ± 0.4 OUR FIT			
$3.94^{+0.41+0.22}_{-0.39-0.18}$	CHILIKIN	19 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(4S)$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{54}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{237}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.5 ± 0.4 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.5.		
$1.98 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.32$	ABLIKIM	12B BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\gamma$

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{54}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{253}^{B^\pm}/\Gamma^{B^\pm}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.21 ± 0.30 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.5.			
$0.95^{+0.25+0.08}_{-0.22-0.11}$	20 WU	06 BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}K^+$	

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{57}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{237}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$3.60 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.31$	112 ABLIKIM	13C BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}\pi^0\pi^0$	

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{58}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{237}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$1.51 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.14$	78 ABLIKIM	13C BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$	

$$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c(1S))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \\ \Gamma_{59}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{237}^{J/\psi(1S)}/\Gamma^{J/\psi(1S)}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.34 ± 0.35 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			

$3.8^{+1.3}_{-1.0}$ OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

4.5 $\pm 1.2 \pm 0.6$	ABLIKIM	13I BES3	
1.2 $\pm 2.7 \pm 0.3$ $1.2^{+2.8}_{-1.1}$	ADAMS	08 CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \eta_c K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{253}^{B^\pm}/\Gamma^{B^\pm}$			
VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.183±0.022 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
0.22 +0.09 +0.04 -0.07 -0.02	13	WICHT	08	BELL $B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \gamma\gamma$

$\eta_c(1S)$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	23AH	PR D108 032010	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21C	PR D103 012009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LEES	21A	PR D104 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AAIJ	20H	EPJ C80 191	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19AP	PR D100 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19AV	PR D100 052012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
CHILIKIN	19	PR D100 012001	K. Chilikin <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LU	19	PR D99 032003	P.-C. Lu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
XU	18	PR D98 072001	Q.N. Xu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AAIJ	17AD	PL B769 305	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	17BB	EPJ C77 609	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17AJ	PR D96 112008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17P	PR D95 092004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LEES	16A	PR D93 012005	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AAIJ	15BI	EPJ C75 311	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ANASHIN	14	PL B738 391	V.V. Anashin <i>et al.</i>	(KEDR Collab.)
LEES	14E	PR D89 112004	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13C	PR D87 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13I	PR D87 032003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
UEHARA	13	PTEP 2013 123C01	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	12B	PR D86 032008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	12F	PRL 108 222002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	12N	PR D86 092009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LIU	12B	PRL 108 232001	Z.Q. Liu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ZHANG	12A	PR D86 052002	C.C. Zhang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	11G	PR D84 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA...	11M	PR D84 012004	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
VINOKUROVA	11	PL B706 139	A. Vinokurova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LEES	10	PR D81 052010	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
MITCHELL	09	PRL 102 011801	R.E. Mitchell <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ADAMS	08	PRL 101 101801	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	08AB	PR D78 012006	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
UEHARA	08	EPJ C53 1	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
WICHT	08	PL B662 323	J. Wicht <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABE	07	PRL 98 082001	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06A	PL B633 19	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06B	EPJ C45 337	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
AUBERT	06E	PR L 96 052002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	06	JP G33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
WU	06	PRL 97 162003	C.-H. Wu <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	05L	PR D72 072005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
AUBERT,B	05L	PR D72 051101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
KUO	05	PL B621 41	C.C. Kuo <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABE	04G	PR D70 071102	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	04M	PR D70 112008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ASNER	04	PRL 92 142001	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	04D	PRL 92 142002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT,B	04B	PR D70 011101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
BAI	04	PL B578 16	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABDALLAH	03J	EPJ C31 481	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
AMBROGIANI	03	PL B566 45	M. Ambrogiani <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E835 Collab.)
BAI	03	PL B555 174	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
FANG	03	PRL 90 071801	F. Fang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
HUANG	03	PRL 91 241802	H.-C. Huang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABE,K	02	PRL 89 142001	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
BAI	00F	PR D62 072001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BRANDENB...	00B	PR L 85 3095	G. Brandenburg <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ACCIARRI	99T	PL B461 155	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)

BAI	99B	PR D60 072001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABREU	98O	PL B441 479	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
SHIRAI	98	PL B424 405	M. Shirai <i>et al.</i>	(AMY Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	95F	PR D52 4839	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
ALBRECHT	94H	PL B338 390	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ADRIANI	93N	PL B318 575	O. Adriani <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
BISELLO	91	NP B350 1	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
BAI	90B	PRL 65 1309	Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
CHEN	90B	PL B243 169	W.Y. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BAGLIN	89	PL B231 557	C. Baglin, S. Baird, G. Bassompierre	(R704 Collab.)
BEHREND	89	ZPHY C42 367	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
BRAUNSCH...	89	ZPHY C41 533	W. Braunschweig <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
AIHARA	88D	PRL 60 2355	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC Collab.)
BAGLIN	87B	PL B187 191	C. Baglin <i>et al.</i>	(R704 Collab.)
BALTRUSAIT...	86	PR D33 629	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
BERGER	86	PL 167B 120	C. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(PLUTO Collab.)
GAISER	86	PR D34 711	J. Gaiser <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ALTHOFF	85B	ZPHY C29 189	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
BALTRUSAIT...	84	PRL 52 2126	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, UCSC+) JP
BLOOM	83	ARNS 33 143	E.D. Bloom, C. Peck	(SLAC, CIT)
HIMEL	80B	PRL 45 1146	T.M. Himel <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL, UCB)
PARTRIDGE	80B	PRL 45 1150	R. Partridge <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)