$f_1(1285)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$

$f_1(1285)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1281.8 ± 0.5 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below.					
$1280.2 \pm 0.6 {}^{+1.2}_{-1.5}$	126K	ABLIKIM	23M	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S \pi^0$
1281.0 ± 0.8		DICKSON	16	CLAS	2.55 $\gamma p \to \eta \pi^+ \pi^- p$
1287.4 ± 3.0	87	ABLIKIM	15P	BES3	$J/\psi \to K^+ K^- 3\pi$
$1281.16 \pm 0.39 \pm 0.45$		[1] LEES	12X	BABR	$\tau^- \to \pi^- f_1(1285) \nu_\tau$
$1285.1 \pm 1.0 {}^{+1.6}_{-0.3}$		[2] ABLIKIM	11J	BES3	$J/\psi \to \omega(\eta \pi^+ \pi^-)$
$1281 \pm 2 \pm 1$		AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \to f_1(1285) \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
$1276.1 \pm 8.1 \pm 8.0$	203	BAI	04J	BES2	$J/\psi \to \gamma \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
1274 ± 6	237	ABDALLAH	03H	DLPH	91.2 $e^+ e^- \to K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp + X$
1280 ± 4		ACCIARRI	01G	L3	
$1288 \pm 4 \pm 5$	20k	ADAMS	01B	B852	18 GeV $\pi^- p \to K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
1284 ± 6	1400	ALDE	97B	GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \to \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
1281 ± 1		BARBERIS	97B	OMEG	450 $p p \to p p 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1281 ± 1		BARBERIS	97C	OMEG	450 $p p \to p p K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1280 ± 2		[3] ANTINORI	95	OMEG	300,450 $p p \to p p 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1282.2 ± 1.5		LEE	94	MPS2	18 $\pi^- p \to K^+ \overline{K}^0 2\pi^- p$
1279 ± 5		FUKUI	91C	SPEC	8.95 $\pi^- p \to \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1278 ± 2	140	ARMSTRONG	89	OMEG	300 $p p \to K \overline{K} \pi p p$
1278 ± 2		ARMSTRONG	89G	OMEG	85 $\pi^+ p \to 4\pi \pi p$, $p p \to 4\pi p p$
1280.1 ± 2.1	60	RATH	89	MPS	21.4 $\pi^- p \to K^0_S K^0_S \pi^0 n$
1285 ± 1	4750	[4] BIRMAN	88	MPS	8 $\pi^- p \to K^+ \overline{K}^0 \pi^- n$
1280 ± 1	504	BITYUKOV	88	SPEC	32.5 $\pi^- p \to K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
1280 ± 4		ANDO	86	SPEC	8 $\pi^- p \to \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1277 ± 2	420	REEVES	86	SPEC	6.6 $p \overline{p} \to K K \pi X$
1285 ± 2		CHUNG	85	SPEC	8 $\pi^- p \to N K \overline{K} \pi$
1279 ± 2	604	ARMSTRONG	84	OMEG	85 $\pi^+ p \to K \overline{K} \pi \pi p$, $p p \to K \overline{K} \pi p p$
1286 ± 1		CHAUVAT	84	SPEC	ISR 31.5 $p p$
1278 ± 4		EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG	12 $\pi^- p \to \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
1283 ± 3	103	DIONISI	80	HBC	4 $\pi^- p \to K \overline{K} \pi n$
1282 ± 2	320	NACASCH	78	HBC	0.7,0.76 $\overline{p} p \to K \overline{K} 3\pi$

1279	± 5		210	GRASSLER	77	HBC	16 $\pi^\mp p$
1286	± 3		180	DUBOC	72	HBC	1.2 $\overline{p}p \to 2K4\pi$
1283	± 5			DAHL	67	HBC	1.6–4.2 $\pi^- p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1289.3	± 2.8		234	ABLIKIM	19BA	BES3	$e^+ e^- \to \psi(2S)$
1284.2	± 2.2			[5] AAIJ	14Y	LHCB	$\overline{B}^0_{(s)} \to J/\psi 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1281.9	± 0.5			[5] SOSA	99	SPEC	$pp \to p_{slow} (K^0_S K^+\pi^-) p_{fast}$
1282.8	± 0.6			[5] SOSA	99	SPEC	$pp \to p_{slow} (K^0_S K^-\pi^+) p_{fast}$
1270	±10			AMELIN	95	VES	37 $\pi^- N \to \pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma N$
1280	± 2			ABATZIS	94	OMEG	450 $pp \to pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1282	± 4			ARMSTRONG	93C	E760	$\overline{p}p \to \pi^0\eta\eta \to 6\gamma$
1270	± 6	±10		ARMSTRONG	92C	OMEG	300 $pp \to pp\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1281	± 1			ARMSTRONG	89E	OMEG	300 $pp \to pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1279	± 6	±10	16	BECKER	87	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to \phi K\overline{K}\pi$
1286	± 9			GIDAL	87	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1287	± 5		353	BITYUKOV	84B	SPEC	32 $\pi^- p \to K^+K^-\pi^0 n$
~ 1279				[6] TORNQVIST	82B	RVUE	
1275	± 6		31	BROMBERG	80	SPEC	100 $\pi^- p \to K\overline{K}\pi X$
1288	± 9		200	GURTU	79	HBC	4.2 $K^- p \to n\eta 2\pi$
~ 1275.0			46	[7] STANTON	79	CNTR	8.5 $\pi^- p \to n2\gamma 2\pi$
1271	±10		34	CORDEN	78	OMEG	12–15 $\pi^- p \to K^+K^-\pi n$
1295	±12		85	CORDEN	78	OMEG	12–15 $\pi^- p \to n5\pi$
1292	±10		150	DEFOIX	72	HBC	0.7 $\overline{p}p \to 7\pi$
1280	± 3		500	[8] THUN	72	MMS	13.4 $\pi^- p$
1303	± 8			BARDADIN-...	71	HBC	8 $\pi^+ p \to p6\pi$
1283	± 6			BOESEBECK	71	HBC	16.0 $\pi p \to p5\pi$
1270	±10			CAMPBELL	69	DBC	2.7 $\pi^+ d$
1285	± 7			LORSTAD	69	HBC	0.7 $\overline{p}p$, 4,5-body
1290	± 7			D'ANDLAU	68	HBC	1.2 $\overline{p}p$, 5–6 body

[1] Using the $2\pi^+2\pi^-$ and $\pi^+\pi^-\eta$ modes of $f_1(1285)$ decay.
[2] The selected process is $J/\psi \to \omega a_0(980)\pi$.
[3] Supersedes ABATZIS 94, ARMSTRONG 89E.
[4] From partial wave analysis of $K^+\overline{K}^0\pi^-$ system.
[5] No systematic error given.
[6] From a unitarized quark-model calculation.
[7] From phase shift analysis of $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$ system.
[8] Seen in the missing mass spectrum.



$f_1(1285)$ WIDTH

Only experiments giving width error less than 20 MeV are kept for averaging.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
23.0± 1.1 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.			
$28.2 \pm 1.1 ^{+5.5}_{-2.9}$	126K	ABLIKIM	23M	BES3	$J/\psi \to \gamma K^0_S K^0_S \pi^0$
18.4 ± 1.4		DICKSON	16	CLAS	$2.55\ \gamma p \to \eta \pi^+ \pi^- p$
18.3 ± 6.3	87	ABLIKIM	15P	BES3	$J/\psi \to K^+ K^- 3\pi$
$22.0 \pm 3.1 ^{+2.0}_{-1.5}$		[1] ABLIKIM	11J	BES3	$J/\psi \to \omega(\eta \pi^+ \pi^-)$

35 ± 6 ± 4		AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \to f_1(1285)\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
40.0± 8.6± 9.3	203	BAI	04J	BES2	$J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
29 ±12	237	ABDALLAH	03H	DLPH	91.2 $e^+e^- \to K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp + X$
45 ± 9 ± 7	20k	ADAMS	01B	B852	18 GeV $\pi^- p \to K^+K^-\pi^0 n$
55 ±18	1400	ALDE	97B	GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \to \eta\pi^0\pi^0 n$
24 ± 3		BARBERIS	97B	OMEG	450 $pp \to pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
20 ± 2		BARBERIS	97C	OMEG	450 $pp \to ppK^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp$
36 ± 5		[2] ANTINORI	95	OMEG	300,450 $pp \to pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
29.0± 4.1		LEE	94	MPS2	18 $\pi^- p \to K^+\overline{K}^0 2\pi^- p$
25 ± 4	140	ARMSTRONG	89	OMEG	300 $pp \to K\overline{K}\pi pp$
22 ± 2	4750	[3] BIRMAN	88	MPS	8 $\pi^- p \to K^+\overline{K}^0\pi^- n$
25 ± 4	504	BITYUKOV	88	SPEC	32.5 $\pi^- p \to K^+K^-\pi^0 n$
19 ± 5		ANDO	86	SPEC	8 $\pi^- p \to \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$
32 ± 8	420	REEVES	86	SPEC	6.6 $p\overline{p} \to KK\pi X$
22 ± 2		CHUNG	85	SPEC	8 $\pi^- p \to NK\overline{K}\pi$
32 ± 3	604	ARMSTRONG	84	OMEG	85 $\pi^+ p \to K\overline{K}\pi\pi p$, $pp \to K\overline{K}\pi pp$
24 ± 3		CHAUVAT	84	SPEC	ISR 31.5 pp
29 ±10	103	DIONISI	80	HBC	4 $\pi^- p \to K\overline{K}\pi n$
28.3± 6.7	320	NACASCH	78	HBC	0.7,0.76 $\overline{p}p \to K\overline{K}3\pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

17.1± 3.4	234	ABLIKIM	19BA	BES3	$e^+e^- \to \psi(2S)$
32.4± 5.8		[4] AAIJ	14Y	LHCB	$\overline{B}^0_{(s)} \to J/\psi 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
18.2± 1.2		[4] SOSA	99	SPEC	$pp \to p_{\text{slow}} (K^0_S K^+\pi^-) p_{\text{fast}}$
19.4± 1.5		[4] SOSA	99	SPEC	$pp \to p_{\text{slow}} (K^0_S K^-\pi^+) p_{\text{fast}}$
40 ± 5		ABATZIS	94	OMEG	450 $pp \to pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
31 ± 5		ARMSTRONG	89E	OMEG	300 $pp \to pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
41 ±12		ARMSTRONG	89G	OMEG	85 $\pi^+ p \to 4\pi\pi p$, $pp \to 4\pi pp$
17.9±10.9	60	RATH	89	MPS	21.4 $\pi^- p \to K^0_S K^0_S \pi^0 n$
14 $^{+20}_{-14}$ ±10	16	BECKER	87	MRK3	$e^+e^- \to \phi K\overline{K}\pi$
26 ±12		EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG	12 $\pi^- p \to \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^- p$
25 ±15	200	GURTU	79	HBC	4.2 $K^- p \to n\eta 2\pi$
~ 10		[5] STANTON	79	CNTR	8.5 $\pi^- p \to n2\gamma 2\pi$
24 ±18	210	GRASSLER	77	HBC	16 $\pi^\mp p$
28 ± 5	150	[6] DEFOIX	72	HBC	0.7 $\overline{p}p \to 7\pi$
46 ± 9	180	[6] DUBOC	72	HBC	1.2 $\overline{p}p \to 2K4\pi$
37 ± 5	500	[7] THUN	72	MMS	13.4 $\pi^- p$
10 ±10		BOESEBECK	71	HBC	16.0 $\pi p \to p5\pi$
30 ±15		CAMPBELL	69	DBC	2.7 $\pi^+ d$
60 ±15		[6] LORSTAD	69	HBC	0.7 $\overline{p}p$, 4,5-body
35 ±10		[6] DAHL	67	HBC	1.6–4.2 $\pi^- p$

[1] The selected process is $J/\psi \to \omega a_0(980)\pi$.
[2] Supersedes ABATZIS 94, ARMSTRONG 89E.
[3] From partial wave analysis of $K^+ \overline{K}^0 \pi^-$ system.
[4] No systematic error given.
[5] From phase shift analysis of $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$ system.
[6] Resolution is not unfolded.
[7] Seen in the missing mass spectrum.



$f_1(1285)$ width (MeV)

$f_1(1285)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Γ_1	4π	(32.7± 1.8) %	S=1.2
Γ_2	$\pi^0\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$	(21.8± 1.2) %	S=1.2
Γ_3	$2\pi^+2\pi^-$	(10.9± 0.6) %	S=1.2
Γ_4	$\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-$	(10.9± 0.6) %	S=1.2
Γ_5	$\rho^0\rho^0$	seen	
Γ_6	$4\pi^0$	$< 7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_7	$\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	(35 ±15) %	

Γ_{8}	$\eta\pi\pi$	(52.2± 1.9) %	S=1.2
Γ_{9}	$a_0(980)\pi$ [ignoring $a_0(980) \to K\overline{K}$]	(38 ± 4) %	
Γ_{10}	$\eta\pi\pi$ [excluding $a_0(980)\pi$]	(14 ± 4) %	
Γ_{11}	$K\overline{K}\pi$	(9.0± 0.4) %	S=1.1
Γ_{12}	$K\overline{K}^*(892)$	not seen	
Γ_{13}	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	(3.0± 0.9) $\times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{14}	$\rho^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$	< 3.1 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=95%
Γ_{15}	$\gamma\rho^0$	(6.1± 1.0) %	S=1.7
Γ_{16}	$\phi\gamma$	(7.4± 2.6) $\times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{17}	e^+e^-	< 9.4 $\times 10^{-9}$	CL=90%
Γ_{18}	$\gamma\gamma^*$		
Γ_{19}	$\gamma\gamma$		

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 6 branching ratios uses 18 measurements and one constraint to determine 5 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 =$ 24.0 for 14 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\left\langle\delta x_i \delta x_j\right\rangle/(\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{total}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_9	−29			
x_{10}	−12	−89		
x_{11}	22	−9	−4	
x_{15}	−24	−8	−3	−27
	x_1	x_9	x_{10}	x_{11}

$f_1(1285)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_8\Gamma_{19}/\Gamma = (\Gamma_9+\Gamma_{10})\Gamma_{19}/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
<0.62	95	GIDAL	87	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma^*)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_8\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma = (\Gamma_9+\Gamma_{10})\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1.4 ±0.4 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.4.				
1.18±0.25±0.20	26	[1,2] AIHARA	88B	TPC	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
2.30±0.61±0.42		[1,3] GIDAL	87	MRK2	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.8 ±0.3 ±0.3	420	[4] ACHARD	02B	L3	183–209 $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] Assuming a ρ-pole form factor.
[2] Published value multiplied by $\eta\pi\pi$ branching ratio 0.49.
[3] Published value divided by 2 and multiplied by the $\eta\pi\pi$ branching ratio 0.49.
[4] Published value multiplied by the $\eta\pi\pi$ branching ratio 0.52.

$f_1(1285)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K\overline{K}\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ — Γ_{11}/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.274±0.017 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.4.				
0.271±0.016 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.2.				
0.265±0.014	[1] BARBERIS	97C	OMEG	450 $pp \to ppK^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$
0.28 ±0.05	[2] ARMSTRONG	89E	OMEG	300 $pp \to ppf_1(1285)$
0.37 ±0.03 ±0.05	[3] ARMSTRONG	89G	OMEG	85 $\pi p \to 4\pi X$

[1] Using $2(\pi^+\pi^-)$ data from BARBERIS 97B.
[2] Assuming $\rho\pi\pi$ and $a_0(980)\pi$ intermediate states.
[3] 4π consistent with being entirely $\rho\pi\pi$.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_2/\Gamma = \frac{2}{3}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID
0.218±0.012 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.	

$\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_3/\Gamma = \frac{1}{3}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID
0.109±0.006 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.	

$\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_4/\Gamma = \frac{1}{3}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID
0.109±0.006 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.	

$\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — Γ_4/Γ_3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.0±0.4	GRASSLER	77	HBC	16 GeV $\pi^\pm p$

$\Gamma(\rho^0\rho^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		COMMENT
seen	BARBERIS	00C	450 $pp \to p_f 4\pi p_s$

$\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<7	90	ALDE	87	GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \to 4\pi^0 n$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{13}/Γ_7

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.86±0.16±0.20	2.3k	[1] DOROFEEV	11	VES	$\pi^- N \to \pi^- f_1(1285) N$

[1] Value obtained selecting the region corresponding to $f_0(980)$ in the $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum.

$\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_8/\Gamma = (\Gamma_9+\Gamma_{10})/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID
0.522±0.019 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.	

$\Gamma(4\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)$ — $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_8 = \Gamma_1/(\Gamma_9+\Gamma_{10})$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.63±0.06 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.2.				
0.41±0.14 OUR AVERAGE				
0.37±0.11±0.11	BOLTON	92	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma f_1(1285)$
0.64±0.40	GURTU	79	HBC	4.2 $K^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.93±0.30	[1] GRASSLER	77	HBC	16 $\pi^\mp p$

[1] Assuming $\rho\pi\pi$ and $a_0(980)\pi$ intermediate states.

$\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)/\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)$ — Γ_3/Γ_8

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.28±0.02±0.02	[1] LEES	12X	BABR	$\tau^- \to \pi^- f_1(1285)\nu_\tau$

[1] Assuming B($f_1(1285) \to \pi\pi\eta$) = 3/2 B($f_1(1285) \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta$).

$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi$ [ignoring $a_0(980) \to K\overline{K}$]$)/\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)$ — $\Gamma_9/\Gamma_8 = \Gamma_9/(\Gamma_9+\Gamma_{10})$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.72±0.08 OUR FIT					
0.72±0.07 OUR AVERAGE					
0.74±0.02±0.09		DICKSON	16	CLAS	$\gamma p \to f_1(1285) p$
0.72±0.15		GURTU	79	HBC	4.2 $K^- p$
$0.6\ ^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$		CORDEN	78	OMEG	12–15 $\pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
>0.69	95	ACHARD	02B	L3	183–209 $e^+ e^- \to e^+ e^- \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
0.28±0.07		ALDE	97B	GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \to \eta\pi^0\pi^0 n$
1.0 ±0.3		GRASSLER	77	HBC	16 $\pi^\mp p$

$\Gamma(K\overline{K}\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)$ — $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_8 = \Gamma_{11}/(\Gamma_9+\Gamma_{10})$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.172±0.011 OUR FIT				
0.176±0.012 OUR AVERAGE				
0.216±0.010±0.031	DICKSON	16	CLAS	$\gamma p \to f_1(1285) p$
0.166±0.01 ±0.008	BARBERIS	98C	OMEG	450 $pp \to p_f f_1(1285) p_s$
0.42 ±0.15	GURTU	79	HBC	4.2 $K^- p$
0.5 ±0.2	[1] CORDEN	78	OMEG	12–15 $\pi^- p$
0.20 ±0.08	[2] DEFOIX	72	HBC	0.7 $\overline{p}p \to 7\pi$
0.16 ±0.08	CAMPBELL	69	DBC	2.7 $\pi^+ d$

[1] CORDEN 78 assumes low-mass $\eta\pi\pi$ region is dominantly 1^{++}. See BARBERIS 98C and MANAK 00A for discussion.

[2] $K\overline{K}$ system characterized by the $I = 1$ threshold enhancement. (See under $a_0(980)$).

$\Gamma(K\overline{K}^*(892))/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
not seen	NACASCH	78	HBC	0.7,0.76 $\overline{p}p \rightarrow K\overline{K}3\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	[1] ACHARD	07	L3	183–209 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- K^0_S K^\pm \pi^\mp$

[1] A clear signal of 19.8 ± 4.4 events observed at high Q^2.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.30±0.055±0.074	2.3k	[1] DOROFEEV	11	VES	$\pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- f_1(1285) N$

[1] Value obtained selecting the region corresponding to $f_0(980)$ in the $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum. The sytematic error includes the uncertainty on the partial width $f_1 \rightarrow \eta\pi\pi$ obtained from PDG 10 data.

$\Gamma(\rho^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE (%)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.31	95	DOROFEEV	11	VES	$\pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- f_1(1285) N$

$\Gamma(\gamma\rho^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.1±1.0 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.7.					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
2.8±0.7±0.6		[1] AMELIN	95	VES	37 $\pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma N$
<5	95	BITYUKOV	91B	SPEC	32 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma n$

[1] Not an independent measurement.

$\Gamma(\gamma\rho^0)/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$ — $\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma_3 = \Gamma_{15}/\frac{1}{3}\Gamma_1$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.55±0.10 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.5.				
0.45±0.18	[1] COFFMAN	90	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] Using B($J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\rho^0$)=0.25 × 10^{-4} and B($J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$)=0.55 × 10^{-4} given by MIR 88.

$\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\gamma\rho^0)$ — $\Gamma_8/\Gamma_{15} = (\Gamma_9+\Gamma_{10})/\Gamma_{15}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
8.6±1.6 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.9.				
8.5±2.0 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 2.2. See the ideogram below.				
21.3±4.4	DICKSON	16	CLAS	$\gamma p \rightarrow f_1(1285) p$
10.0±1.0±2.0	BARBERIS	98C	OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow p_f f_1(1285) p_s$
7.5±1.0	[1] ARMSTRONG	92C	OMEG	300 $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$, $pp\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] Published value multiplied by 1.5.



$\Gamma(\gamma\rho^0)/\Gamma(K\overline{K}\pi)$ — Γ_{15}/Γ_{11}

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
>0.035	90	[1] COFFMAN	90	MRK3	$J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

[1] Using B($J/\psi \to \gamma f_1(1285) \to \gamma\gamma\rho^0$)=$0.25 \times 10^{-4}$ and B($J/\psi \to \gamma f_1(1285) \to \gamma K\overline{K}\pi$)=$< 0.72 \times 10^{-3}$.

$\Gamma(\phi\gamma)/\Gamma(K\overline{K}\pi)$ — Γ_{16}/Γ_{11}

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.82±0.21±0.20		19	BITYUKOV	88	SPEC	32.5 $\pi^- p \to K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
<0.50	95		BARBERIS	98C	OMEG	450 $pp \to p_f f_1(1285) p_s$
<0.93	95		AMELIN	95	VES	37 $\pi^- N \to \pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma N$

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$<9.4 \times 10^{-9}$	90	[1] ACHASOV	20	SND	$e^+e^- \to \eta\pi^0\pi^0$

[1] ACHASOV 20 reports two candidate events corresponding to a significance of 2.5 σ and the branching fraction of $(5.1^{+3.7}_{-2.7}) \times 10^{-9}$.

$f_1(1285)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	23M	JHEP 2303 121	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	
ACHASOV	20	PL B800 135074	M.N. Achasov *et al.*	(SND Collab.)	
ABLIKIM	19BA	PR D100 092003	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	
DICKSON	16	PR C93 065202	R. Dickson *et al.*	(JLab CLAS Collab.)	
ABLIKIM	15P	PR D92 012007	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	
AAIJ	14Y	PRL 112 091802	R. Aaij *et al.*	(LHCb Collab.)	
LEES	12X	PR D86 092010	J.P. Lees *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	
ABLIKIM	11J	PRL 107 182001	M. Ablikim *et al.*	(BESIII Collab.)	
DOROFEEV	11	EPJ A47 68	V. Dorofeev *et al.*	(SERP, MIPT)	
PDG	10	JP G37 075021	K. Nakamura *et al.*	(PDG Collab.)	
ACHARD	07	JHEP 0703 018	P. Achard *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)	
AUBERT	07AU	PR D76 092005	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)	
BAI	04J	PL B594 47	J.Z. Bai *et al.*	(BES Collab.)	
ABDALLAH	03H	PL B569 129	J. Abdallah *et al.*	(DELPHI Collab.)	
ACHARD	02B	PL B526 269	P. Achard *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)	
ACCIARRI	01G	PL B501 1	M. Acciarri *et al.*	(L3 Collab.)	
ADAMS	01B	PL B516 264	G.S. Adams *et al.*	(BNL E852 Collab.)	
BARBERIS	00C	PL B471 440	D. Barberis *et al.*	(WA 102 Collab.)	
MANAK	00A	PR D62 012003	J.J. Manak *et al.*	(BNL E852 Collab.)	
SOSA	99	PRL 83 913	M. Sosa *et al.*		
BARBERIS	98C	PL B440 225	D. Barberis *et al.*	(WA 102 Collab.)	
ALDE	97B	PAN 60 386	D. Alde *et al.*	(GAMS Collab.)	
		Translated from YAF 60 458.			
BARBERIS	97B	PL B413 217	D. Barberis *et al.*	(WA 102 Collab.)	
BARBERIS	97C	PL B413 225	D. Barberis *et al.*	(WA 102 Collab.)	
AMELIN	95	ZPHY C66 71	D.V. Amelin *et al.*	(VES Collab.)	
ANTINORI	95	PL B353 589	F. Antinori *et al.*	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	
ABATZIS	94	PL B324 509	S. Abatzis *et al.*	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	
LEE	94	PL B323 227	J.H. Lee *et al.*	(BNL, IND, KYUN, MASD+)	
ARMSTRONG	93C	PL B307 394	T.A. Armstrong *et al.*	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)	
ARMSTRONG	92C	ZPHY C54 371	T.A. Armstrong *et al.*	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	
BOLTON	92	PL B278 495	T. Bolton *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	
BITYUKOV	91B	SJNP 54 318	S.I. Bityukov *et al.*	(SERP)	
		Translated from YAF 54 529.			
FUKUI	91C	PL B267 293	S. Fukui *et al.*	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)	
COFFMAN	90	PR D41 1410	D.M. Coffman *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	
ARMSTRONG	89	PL B221 216	T.A. Armstrong *et al.*	(CERN, CDEF, BIRM+)	JPC
ARMSTRONG	89E	PL B228 536	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	
ARMSTRONG	89G	ZPHY C43 55	T.A. Armstrong *et al.*	(CERN, BIRM, BARI+)	
RATH	89	PR D40 693	M.G. Rath *et al.*	(NDAM, BRAN, BNL, CUNY+)	
AIHARA	88B	PL B209 107	H. Aihara *et al.*	(TPC-2γ Collab.)	
BIRMAN	88	PRL 61 1557	A. Birman *et al.*	(BNL, FSU, IND, MASD)	JP
BITYUKOV	88	PL B203 327	S.I. Bityukov *et al.*	(SERP)	
MIR	88	Photon-Photon 88, 126	R. Mir	(Mark III Collab.)	
Conference					
ALDE	87	PL B198 286	D.M. Alde *et al.*	(LANL, BRUX, SERP, LAPP)	
BECKER	87	PRL 59 186	J.J. Becker *et al.*	(Mark III Collab.)	
GIDAL	87	PRL 59 2012	G. Gidal *et al.*	(LBL, SLAC, HARV)	
ANDO	86	PRL 57 1296	A. Ando *et al.*	(KEK, KYOT, NIRS, SAGA+)	IJP
REEVES	86	PR D34 1960	D.F. Reeves *et al.*	(FLOR, BNL, IND+)	JP
CHUNG	85	PRL 55 779	S.U. Chung *et al.*	(BNL, FLOR, IND+)	JP
ARMSTRONG	84	PL 146B 273	T.A. Armstrong *et al.*	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)	JP
BITYUKOV	84B	PL 144B 133	S.I. Bityukov *et al.*	(SERP)	
CHAUVAT	84	PL 148B 382	P. Chauvat *et al.*	(CERN, CLER, UCLA+)	
TORNQVIST	82B	NP B203 268	N.A. Tornqvist	(HELS)	
EVANGELIS...	81	NP B178 197	C. Evangelista *et al.*	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)	
BROMBERG	80	PR D22 1513	C.M. Bromberg *et al.*	(CIT, FNAL, ILLC+)	
DIONISI	80	NP B169 1	C. Dionisi *et al.*	(CERN, MADR, CDEF+)	
GURTU	79	NP B151 181	A. Gurtu *et al.*	(CERN, ZEEM, NIJM, OXF)	
STANTON	79	PRL 42 346	N.R. Stanton *et al.*	(OSU, CARL, MCGI+)	JP
CORDEN	78	NP B144 253	M.J. Corden *et al.*	(BIRM, RHEL, TELA+)	JP
NACASCH	78	NP B135 203	R. Nacasch *et al.*	(PARIS, MADR, CERN)	
GRASSLER	77	NP B121 189	H. Grassler *et al.*	(AACH3, BERL, BONN+)	
DEFOIX	72	NP B44 125	C. Defoix *et al.*	(CDEF, CERN)	
DUBOC	72	NP B46 429	J. Duboc *et al.*	(PARIS, LIVP)	
THUN	72	PRL 28 1733	R. Thun *et al.*	(STON, NEAS)	
BARDADIN-...	71	PR D4 2711	M. Bardadin-Otwinowska *et al.*	(WARS)	
BOESEBECK	71	PL 34B 659	K. Boesebeck	(AACH, BERL, BONN, CERN, CRAC+)	

CAMPBELL	69	PRL 22 1204	J.H. Campbell *et al.*	(PURD)
LORSTAD	69	NP B14 63	B. Lorstad *et al.*	(CDEF, CERN) JP
D'ANDLAU	68	NP B5 693	C. d'Andlau *et al.*	(CDEF, CERN, IRAD+) IJP
DAHL	67	PR 163 1377	O.I. Dahl *et al.*	(LRL) IJP