

$\psi(4040)$

$$J^{PC} = 0^{-}(1^{-}-)$$

$\psi(4040)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4039.6 ± 4.3	¹ ABLIKIM	08D BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
4034 ± 6	² MO	10 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
4037 ± 2	³ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
4040 ± 1	⁴ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
4040 ± 10	BRANDELIK	78C DASP	e^+e^-

¹ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the $\psi(3770)$, $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$, and $\psi(4415)$ resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to $\delta = (130 \pm 46)^\circ$.

² Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 00 and BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy 3.8–4.8 GeV covering the $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$ and $\psi(4415)$ resonances and including interference effects.

³ From a fit to Crystal Ball (OSTERHELD 86) data.

⁴ From a fit to BES (BAI 02C) data.

$\psi(4040)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
84.5 ± 12.3	⁵ ABLIKIM	08D BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
87 ± 11	⁶ MO	10 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
85 ± 10	⁷ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
89 ± 6	⁸ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
52 ± 10	BRANDELIK	78C DASP	e^+e^-

⁵ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the $\psi(3770)$, $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$, and $\psi(4415)$ resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to $\delta = (130 \pm 46)^\circ$.

⁶ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 00 and BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy 3.8–4.8 GeV covering the $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$ and $\psi(4415)$ resonances and including interference effects.

⁷ From a fit to Crystal Ball (OSTERHELD 86) data.

⁸ From a fit to BES (BAI 02C) data.

$\psi(4040)$ DECAY MODES

Due to the complexity of the $c\bar{c}$ threshold region, in this listing, “seen” (“not seen”) means that a cross section for the mode in question has been measured at effective \sqrt{s} near this particle’s central mass value, more

(less) than 2σ above zero, without regard to any peaking behavior in \sqrt{s} or absence thereof. See mode listing(s) for details and references.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 $e^+ e^-$	$(1.02 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_2 $D \bar{D}$	seen	
Γ_3 $D^0 \bar{D}^0$	seen	
Γ_4 $D^+ D^-$	seen	
Γ_5 $D^* \bar{D} + \text{c.c.}$	seen	
Γ_6 $D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.}$	seen	
Γ_7 $D^*(2010)^+ D^- + \text{c.c.}$	seen	
Γ_8 $D^* \bar{D}^*$	seen	
Γ_9 $D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^*(2007)^0$	seen	
Γ_{10} $D^*(2010)^+ D^*(2010)^-$	seen	
Γ_{11} $D \bar{D} \pi$ (excl. $D^* \bar{D}$)	not seen	
Γ_{12} $D^0 D^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$ (excl. $D^*(2010)^+ D^- + \text{c.c.}$)	not seen	
Γ_{13} $D \bar{D}^* \pi$ (excl. $D^* \bar{D}^*$)	not seen	
Γ_{14} $D^0 \bar{D}^* \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$ (excl. $D^*(2010)^+ D^*(2010)^-$)	seen	
Γ_{15} $D_s^+ D_s^-$	seen	
Γ_{16} $\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^0$	seen	
Γ_{17} $J/\psi(1S)$ hadrons	seen	
Γ_{18} $J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 4	$\times 10^{-3}$ 90%
Γ_{19} $J/\psi \pi^0 \pi^0$	< 2	$\times 10^{-3}$ 90%
Γ_{20} $J/\psi \eta$	(5.2 ± 0.7)	$\times 10^{-3}$
Γ_{21} $J/\psi \pi^0$	< 2.8	$\times 10^{-4}$ 90%
Γ_{22} $J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	< 2	$\times 10^{-3}$ 90%
Γ_{23} $\chi_{c1} \gamma$	< 3.4	$\times 10^{-3}$ 90%
Γ_{24} $\chi_{c2} \gamma$	< 5	$\times 10^{-3}$ 90%
Γ_{25} $\chi_{c1} \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	< 1.1	% 90%
Γ_{26} $\chi_{c2} \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	< 3.2	% 90%
Γ_{27} $h_c(1P) \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 3	$\times 10^{-3}$ 90%
Γ_{28} $\phi \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 3	$\times 10^{-3}$ 90%
Γ_{29} $\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \pi^+ \pi^-$	< 2.9	$\times 10^{-4}$ 90%
Γ_{30} $\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \pi^0$	< 9	$\times 10^{-5}$ 90%
Γ_{31} $\Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \eta$	< 3.0	$\times 10^{-4}$ 90%
Γ_{32} $\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$	< 6	$\times 10^{-6}$ 90%
Γ_{33} $\Sigma^+ \bar{\Sigma}^-$	< 1.3	$\times 10^{-4}$ 90%
Γ_{34} $\Sigma^0 \bar{\Sigma}^0$	< 7	$\times 10^{-5}$ 90%
Γ_{35} $\Xi^+ \bar{\Xi}^-$	< 1.6	$\times 10^{-4}$ 90%

Γ_{36}	$\Xi^0 \Xi^0$	< 1.8	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{37}	$\Xi^- \Xi^+$	< 6	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{38}	$\mu^+ \mu^-$	(9 ± 6)	$\times 10^{-6}$	

$\psi(4040)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(e^+ e^-)$ Γ_1

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.86 ± 0.07 OUR ESTIMATE			
0.83 ± 0.20	⁹ ABLIKIM	08D BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.6 to 1.4	¹⁰ MO	10 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
0.88 ± 0.11	¹¹ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
0.91 ± 0.13	¹² SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
0.75 ± 0.15	BRANDELIK	78C DASP	$e^+ e^-$

⁹ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the $\psi(3770)$, $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$, and $\psi(4415)$ resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to $\delta = (130 \pm 46)^\circ$.

¹⁰ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 00 and BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy 3.8–4.8 GeV covering the $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$ and $\psi(4415)$ resonances and including interference effects. Four sets of solutions are obtained with the same fit quality, mass and total width, but with different $e^+ e^-$ partial widths. We quote only the range of values.

¹¹ From a fit to Crystal Ball (OSTERHELD 86) data.

¹² From a fit to BES (BAI 02C) data.

$\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^-)$ Γ_{38}

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$0.73 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.12$	^{13,14} ABLIKIM	20AG BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

¹³ From a fit to the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ cross section between 3.8 and 4.6 GeV to the coherent sum of four resonant amplitudes assuming $\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^-) = \Gamma(e^+ e^-)$.

¹⁴ From solution 1 of 8 with equal fit quality. Other solutions range from $0.58 \pm 0.52 \pm 0.10$ to $0.80 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.13$ keV.

$\psi(4040) \Gamma(i) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(J/\psi \eta) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{20} \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1.5 ± 0.3	¹⁵ ABLIKIM	200 BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
1.4 ± 0.3	¹⁶ ABLIKIM	200 BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
7.0 ± 0.6	¹⁷ ABLIKIM	200 BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$

¹⁵ Solution 1 of three equivalent fit solutions using three resonant structures.

¹⁶ Solution 2 of three equivalent fit solutions using three resonant structures.

¹⁷ Solution 3 of three equivalent fit solutions using three resonant structures.

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{23}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.9	90	¹⁸ HAN	15	BELL 10.58 e ⁺ e ⁻ → χ _{c1} γ

¹⁸ Using B(η → γγ) = (39.41 ± 0.21)%.

$$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{24}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4.6	90	¹⁹ HAN	15	BELL 10.58 e ⁺ e ⁻ → χ _{c2} γ

¹⁹ Using B(η → γγ) = (39.41 ± 0.21)%.

$$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{32}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<5.5 × 10 ⁻³	90	²⁰ ABLIKIM	21AS	BES3 e ⁺ e ⁻ → ψ(4040)

²⁰ From a measurement of the e⁺e⁻ → ΛΛ̄ cross section between 3.5 and 4.6 GeV.

$$\Gamma(\Xi^-\Xi^+) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{37}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0519	90	²¹ ABLIKIM	23BK	BES3 e ⁺ e ⁻ → ψ(4040)

²¹ From a fit to e⁺e⁻ → Ξ⁻Ξ⁺ cross sections.

ψ(4040) Γ(i) × Γ(e⁺e⁻)/Γ²(total)

$$\Gamma(J/\psi\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{20}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁸)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
5.1 ± 1.4 ± 1.5	²² WANG	13B	BELL e ⁺ e ⁻ → J/ψηγ
12.8 ± 2.1 ± 1.9	²³ WANG	13B	BELL e ⁺ e ⁻ → J/ψηγ

²² Solution I of two equivalent solutions in a fit using two interfering resonances. Mass and width fixed at 4039 MeV and 80 MeV, respectively.

²³ Solution II of two equivalent solutions in a fit using two interfering resonances. Mass and width fixed at 4039 MeV and 80 MeV, respectively.

ψ(4040) BRANCHING RATIOS

$$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁵)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
~ 1.0	FELDMAN	77	MRK1 e ⁺ e ⁻

$$\Gamma(D^0\bar{D}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_3/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AUBERT	09M	BABR e ⁺ e ⁻ → D ⁰ ̄D ⁰ γ
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	e ⁺ e ⁻ → D ⁰ ̄D ⁰
seen	PAKHLOVA	08	BELL e ⁺ e ⁻ → D ⁰ ̄D ⁰ γ

$\Gamma(D^+ D^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^- \gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^-$
seen	PAKHLOVA 08	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+ D^- \gamma$

$\Gamma(D\bar{D})/\Gamma(D^*\bar{D} + \text{c.c.})$ Γ_2/Γ_5

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.24 ± 0.05 ± 0.12	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma D^{(*)} \bar{D}$

$\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^0)/\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.})$ Γ_3/Γ_6

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.05 ± 0.03	²⁴ GOLDHABER 77	MRK1	$e^+ e^-$

²⁴ Phase-space factor (p^3) explicitly removed.

$\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^0 \gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^0$

$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ D^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen	²⁵ ZHUKOVA 18	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- \gamma$
seen	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- \gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen PAKHLOVA 07 BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- \gamma$

²⁵ Supersedes PAKHLOVA 07.

$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ D^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.})$ Γ_7/Γ_6

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.95 ± 0.09 ± 0.10	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma D^* \bar{D}$

$\Gamma(D^* \bar{D}^*)/\Gamma(D^* \bar{D} + \text{c.c.})$ Γ_8/Γ_5

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.18 ± 0.14 ± 0.03	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma D^{(*)} \bar{D}^{(*)}$

$\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^*(2007)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^{*0} \gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^{*0}$

$\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^*(2007)^0)/\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.})$ Γ_9/Γ_6

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
32.0 ± 12.0	²⁶ GOLDHABER 77	MRK1	$e^+ e^-$

²⁶ Phase-space factor (p^3) explicitly removed.

$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ D^*(2010)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	²⁷ ZHUKOVA 18	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-} \gamma$
seen	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-} \gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	PAKHLOVA 07	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-} \gamma$
-------------	-------------	------	--------------------------------------------

²⁷ Supersedes PAKHLOVA 07.

$\Gamma(D^0 D^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c. (excl. } D^*(2010)^+ D^- + \text{c.c.)})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	PAKHLOVA 08A	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^- \pi^+ \gamma$

$\Gamma(D \bar{D}^* \pi (\text{excl. } D^* \bar{D}^*))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D \bar{D}^* \pi$

$\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^{*-} \pi^+ + \text{c.c. (excl. } D^*(2010)^+ D^*(2010)^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	PAKHLOVA 09	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+ \gamma$

$\Gamma(D_s^+ D_s^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	PAKHLOVA 11	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- \gamma$
seen	DEL-AMO-SA..10N	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- \gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-$

$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$(3.51 \pm 1.89 \pm 1.24) \times 10^{-5}$	²⁸ ABLIKIM 21AW	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$
$(2.41 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.79) \times 10^{-2}$	²⁹ ABLIKIM 21AW	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$

²⁸ Solution 1 of two solutions with equal fit quality. The significance of the $\psi(4040)$ signal is 3.6σ .

²⁹ Solution 2 of two solutions with equal fit quality. The significance of the $\psi(4040)$ signal is 3.6σ .

$\Gamma(J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4	90	COAN 06	CLEO	$3.97-4.06 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

$\Gamma(J/\psi \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2	90	COAN 06	CLEO	$3.97-4.06 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

$\Gamma(J/\psi\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$5.2 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.5$		³⁰ ABLIKIM	12K BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-2\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<7	90	COAN	06 CLEO	3.97–4.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
----	----	------	---------	----------------------------------------

³⁰ ABLIKIM 12K measure $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\eta) = 32.1 \pm 2.8 \pm 1.3$ pb. They assume the $\eta J/\psi$ fully originates from $\psi(4040)$ decays.

$\Gamma(J/\psi\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{21}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.28		³¹ ABLIKIM	12K BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-2\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<2	90	COAN	06 CLEO	3.97–4.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
----	----	------	---------	----------------------------------------

³¹ ABLIKIM 12K measure $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^0) < 1.6$ pb. They assume the $\eta J/\psi$ fully originates from $\psi(4040)$ decays.

$\Gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2		COAN	06 CLEO	3.97–4.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<11	90	COAN	06 CLEO	3.97–4.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{24}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<17	90	COAN	06 CLEO	3.97–4.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{25}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<11		COAN	06 CLEO	3.97–4.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{26}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<32		COAN	06 CLEO	3.97–4.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{27}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3		³² PEDLAR	11 CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$

³² From several values of \sqrt{s} near the peak of the $\psi(4040)$, PEDLAR 11 measures $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-) = 1.0 \pm 8.0 \pm 5.4 \pm 0.2$ pb, where the errors are statistical, systematic, and due to uncertainty in $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P))$, respectively.

$\Gamma(\phi\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{28}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3	90	COAN	06	CLEO 3.97–4.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{29}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.9	90	³³ ABLIKIM	13Q	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

³³ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{30}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.9	90	³⁴ ABLIKIM	13Q	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

³⁴ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{31}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3.0	90	³⁵ ABLIKIM	13Q	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

³⁵ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{33}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.3	90	³⁶ ABLIKIM	13Q	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

³⁶ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

$\Gamma(\Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{34}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.7	90	³⁷ ABLIKIM	13Q	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

³⁷ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

$\Gamma(\Xi^+\bar{\Xi}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{35}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.6	90	³⁸ ABLIKIM	13Q	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

³⁸ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

$\Gamma(\Xi^0\bar{\Xi}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{36}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.8	90	³⁹ ABLIKIM	13Q	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

³⁹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

$\psi(4040)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	23BK	JHEP 2311 228	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21AS	PR D104 L091104	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21AW	PR D104 112009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20AG	PR D102 112009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20O	PR D102 031101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ZHUKOVA	18	PR D97 012002	V. Zhukova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
HAN	15	PR D92 012011	Y.L. Han <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13Q	PR D87 112011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
WANG	13B	PR D87 051101	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	12K	PR D86 071101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
PAKHLOVA	11	PR D83 011101	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
PEDLAR	11	PRL 107 041803	T. Pedlar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA...	10N	PR D82 052004	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
MO	10	PR D82 077501	X.H. Mo, C.Z. Yuan, P. Wang	(BHEP)
AUBERT	09M	PR D79 092001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
CRONIN-HEN...	09	PR D80 072001	D. Cronin-Hennessy <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PAKHLOVA	09	PR D80 091101	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	08D	PL B660 315	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
PAKHLOVA	08	PR D77 011103	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
PAKHLOVA	08A	PRL 100 062001	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
PAKHLOVA	07	PRL 98 092001	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
COAN	06	PRL 96 162003	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
SETH	05A	PR D72 017501	K.K. Seth	
BAI	02C	PRL 88 101802	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BAI	00	PRL 84 594	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
OSTERHELD	86	SLAC-PUB-4160	A. Osterheld <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC Crystal Ball Collab.)
BRANDELIK	78C	PL 76B 361	R. Brandelik <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)
	Also	ZPHY C1 233	R. Brandelik <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)
FELDMAN	77	PRPL 33C 285	G.J. Feldman, M.L. Perl	(LBL, SLAC)
GOLDHABER	77	PL 69B 503	G. Goldhaber <i>et al.</i>	(Mark I Collab.)
