66. CPT Invariance Tests in Neutral Kaon Decay

Revised August 2023 by M. Antonelli (INFN, Frascati), G. D'Ambrosio (INFN, Napoli) and M.S. Sozzi (Pisa U.).

CPT theorem is based on three assumptions: quantum field theory, locality, and Lorentz invariance, and thus it is a fundamental probe of our basic understanding of particle physics. Strangeness oscillation in $K^0 - \overline{K}^0$ system, described by the equation

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\begin{bmatrix}K^0\\\overline{K}^0\end{bmatrix} = [M - i\Gamma/2]\begin{bmatrix}K^0\\\overline{K}^0\end{bmatrix}$$

where M and Γ are hermitian matrices (see PDG review [1], references [2,3], and KLOE paper [4] for notations and previous literature), allows a very accurate test of CPT symmetry; indeed since CPT requires $M_{11} = M_{22}$ and $\Gamma_{11} = \Gamma_{22}$, the mass and width eigenstates, $K_{S,L}$, have a CPT-violating piece, δ , in addition to the usual CPT-conserving parameter ϵ :

$$K_{S,L} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+|\epsilon_{S,L}|^2)}} \left[(1+\epsilon_{S,L}) K^0 \pm (1-\epsilon_{S,L}) \overline{K}^0 \right]$$

$$\epsilon_{S,L} = \frac{-i\Im (M_{12}) - \frac{1}{2}\Im (\Gamma_{12}) \mp \frac{1}{2} \left[M_{11} - M_{22} - \frac{i}{2} (\Gamma_{11} - \Gamma_{22}) \right]}{m_L - m_S + i(\Gamma_S - \Gamma_L)/2}$$

$$\equiv \epsilon \pm \delta. \tag{66.1}$$

Using the phase convention $\Im(\Gamma_{12}) = 0$, we determine the phase of ϵ to be $\varphi_{SW} \equiv \arctan \frac{2(m_L - m_S)}{\Gamma_S - \Gamma_L}$. Imposing unitarity to an arbitrary combination of K^0 and \overline{K}^0 wave functions, we obtain the Bell-Steinberger relation [5] connecting CP and CPT violation in the mass matrix to CP and CPT violation in the decay; in fact, neglecting $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ corrections to the coefficient of the CPT-violating parameter, δ , we can write [4]

$$\left[\frac{\Gamma_S + \Gamma_L}{\Gamma_S - \Gamma_L} + i \tan \phi_{\rm SW}\right] \left[\frac{\Re(\epsilon)}{1 + |\epsilon|^2} - i\Im(\delta)\right] = \frac{1}{\Gamma_S - \Gamma_L} \sum_f A_L(f) A_S^*(f),$$
(66.2)

where $A_{L,S}(f) \equiv A(K_{L,S} \to f)$. We stress that this relation is phase-convention-independent. The advantage of the neutral kaon system is that only a few decay modes give significant contributions to the r.h.s. in Eq. (66.2); in fact, defining for the hadronic modes

$$\alpha_i \equiv \frac{1}{\Gamma_S} \langle \mathcal{A}_L(i) \mathcal{A}_S^*(i) \rangle = \eta_i \ \mathcal{B}(K_S \to i),$$

$$i = \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^+ \pi^-(\gamma), 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-(\gamma), \qquad (66.3)$$

the recent data from CPLEAR, KLOE, KTeV, and NA48 have led to the following determinations (the analysis described in Ref. [4] has been updated by using the recent measurements of K_L

branching ratios from KTeV [6,7], NA48 [8,9], the results described in the CP violation in K_L decays minireview, and the KLOE result [10])

$$\alpha_{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}} = ((1.121 \pm 0.010) + i(1.061 \pm 0.010)) \times 10^{-3} ,$$

$$\alpha_{\pi^{0}\pi^{0}} = ((0.493 \pm 0.005) + i(0.471 \pm 0.005)) \times 10^{-3} ,$$

$$\alpha_{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0}} = ((0 \pm 2) + i(0 \pm 2)) \times 10^{-6} ,$$

$$|\alpha_{\pi^{0}\pi^{0}\pi^{0}}| < 1.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ at } 95\% \text{ CL} .$$

(66.4)

The semileptonic contribution to the right-handed side of Eq. (66.2) requires the determination of several observables: we define [2,3]

$$\mathcal{A}(K^{0} \to \pi^{-} l^{+} \nu) = \mathcal{A}_{0}(1 - y) ,$$

$$\mathcal{A}(K^{0} \to \pi^{+} l^{-} \nu) = \mathcal{A}_{0}^{*}(1 + y^{*})(x_{+} - x_{-})^{*} ,$$

$$\mathcal{A}(\overline{K}^{0} \to \pi^{+} l^{-} \nu) = \mathcal{A}_{0}^{*}(1 + y^{*}) ,$$

$$\mathcal{A}(\overline{K}^{0} \to \pi^{-} l^{+} \nu) = \mathcal{A}_{0}(1 - y)(x_{+} + x_{-}) ,$$

(66.5)

where x_+ (x_-) describes the violation of the $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ rule in *CPT*-conserving (violating) decay amplitudes, and y parameterizes *CPT* violation for $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ transitions. Taking advantage of their tagged $K^0(\overline{K}^0)$ beams, CPLEAR has measured $\Im(x_+)$, $\Re(x_-)$, $\Im(\delta)$, and $\Re(\delta)$ [11]. These determinations have been improved in Ref. [4] by including the information $A_S - A_L = 4[\Re(\delta) + \Re(x_-)]$ (valid at first order in the small parameters), where $A_{L,S}$ are the K_L and K_S semileptonic charge asymmetries, respectively, from the PDG [12] and the new KLOE semileptonic measurement [13]. Here we are also including the *T*-violating asymmetry measurement from CPLEAR [14] with a finer binning than appearing in the published article.

Table 66.1: Values, errors, and correlation coefficients for $\Re(\delta)$, $\Im(\delta)$, $\Re(x_{-})$, $\Im(x_{+})$, and $A_{S} + A_{L}$ obtained from a combined fit, including KLOE [4,13] and CPLEAR [14].

	value	Correlations coefficients		
$\Re(\delta)$	$(4.3 \pm 2.7) \times 10^{-4}$	1		
$\Im(\delta)$	$(-0.9\pm0.6) imes10^{-2}$	-0.40 1		
$\Re(x_{-})$	$(-0.22 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$	-0.14 -0.30 1		
$\Im(x_+)$	$(0.06 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-2}$	-0.12 -0.02 0.34 1		
$A_S + A_L$	$(-0.23 \pm 0.38) \times 10^{-2}$	-0.12 -0.29 0.94 0.18	1	

The value $A_S + A_L$ in Table 66.1 can be directly included in the semileptonic contributions to the Bell Steinberger relations in Eq. (66.2)

$$\sum_{\pi\ell\nu} \langle \mathcal{A}_L(\pi\ell\nu) \mathcal{A}_S^*(\pi\ell\nu) \rangle$$

= $2\Gamma(K_L \to \pi\ell\nu)(\Re(\epsilon) - \Re(y) - i(\Im(x_+) + \Im(\delta)))$
= $2\Gamma(K_L \to \pi\ell\nu)((A_S + A_L)/4 - i(\Im(x_+) + \Im(\delta)))$. (66.6)

Defining

$$\alpha_{\pi\ell\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{\Gamma_S} \sum_{\pi\ell\nu} \langle \mathcal{A}_L(\pi\ell\nu) \mathcal{A}_S^*(\pi\ell\nu) \rangle + 2i \frac{\tau_{K_S}}{\tau_{K_L}} \mathcal{B}(K_L \to \pi\ell\nu) \Im(\delta) , \qquad (66.7)$$

we find:

$$\alpha_{\pi\ell\nu} = \left(\left(-0.1 \pm 0.2 \right) + i \left(-0.1 \pm 0.5 \right) \right) \times 10^{-5} \,. \tag{66.8}$$



Figure 66.1: Top: allowed region at 68% and 95% C.L. in the $\Re(\epsilon)$, $\Im(\delta)$ plane. Bottom: allowed region at 68% and 95% C.L. in the $\Delta M, \Delta \Gamma$ plane.

The analysis of semileptonic decay asymmetries implicitly assumes Lepton Flavour Universality (LFU) of any effect violating CPT or $\Delta S = \Delta Q$, through the use of a single set of x, y parameters,

Table 66.2: Summary of results: values, errors, and correlation coefficients for $\Re(\epsilon)$, $\Im(\delta)$, $\Re(\delta)$, and $\Re(x_{-})$.

	value	Correlations coefficients			s
$\Re(\epsilon)$	$(161.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$	+1			
$\Im(\delta)$	$(-0.3 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-5}$	+0.08	1		
$\Re(\delta)$	$(2.6 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-4}$	+0.00	-0.05	1	
$\Re(x_{-})$	$(-2.7\pm1.0) imes10^{-3}$	+0.05	0.13	-0.30	1

consistently with the availability of experimental information on A_S for the electron mode only. The explicit LFU assumption in the input BR measurements has been lifted exploiting the measurement of $BR(K_S \to \pi \mu \nu)$ Ref. [15], with no effect on the numerical results.

Inserting the values of the α parameters into Eq. (66.2), we find

$$\Re(\epsilon) = (161.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5},$$

$$\Im(\delta) = (-0.3 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-5}.$$
(66.9)

The complete information on Eq. (66.9) is given in Table 66.2.

Now the agreement with *CPT* conservation, $\Im(\delta) = \Re(\delta) = \Re(x_{-}) = 0$, is at 18% C.L.

The allowed region in the $\Re(\epsilon) - \Im(\delta)$ plane at 68% CL and 95% C.L. is shown in the top panel of Fig. 66.1.

The process giving the largest contribution to the size of the allowed region is $K_L \to \pi^+ \pi^-$, through the uncertainty on ϕ_{+-} .

The limits on $\Im(\delta)$ and $\Re(\delta)$ can be used to constrain the $K^0 - \overline{K}^0$ mass and width difference

$$\delta = \frac{i(m_{K^0} - m_{\overline{K}^0}) + \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_{K^0} - \Gamma_{\overline{K}^0})}{\Gamma_S - \Gamma_L} \cos \phi_{SW} e^{i\phi_{SW}} [1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)].$$

The allowed region in the $\Delta M = (m_{K^0} - m_{\overline{K}^0}), \Delta \Gamma = (\Gamma_{K^0} - \Gamma_{\overline{K}^0})$ plane is shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 66.1. As a result, we improve on the previous limits (see for instance, P. Bloch in Ref. [12]) and in the limit $\Gamma_{K^0} - \Gamma_{\overline{K}^0} = 0$ we obtain

$$-4.0 \times 10^{-19} \text{ GeV} < m_{K^0} - m_{\overline{K}^0} < 4.0 \times 10^{-19} \text{ GeV}$$
 at 95 % C.L.

References

- [1] See the "CP Violation in Meson Decays," in this Review.
- [2] L. Maiani, "CP And CPT Violation in Neutral Kaon Decays," L. Maiani, G. Pancheri, and N. Paver, The Second DAΦNE Physics Handbook, Vol. 1,2.
- [3] G. D'Ambrosio, G. Isidori and A. Pugliese, in "2nd DAΦNE Physics Handbook:63-96,"
 63-96 (1994), [hep-ph/9411389], URL http://preprints.cern.ch/cgi-bin/setlink?base=
 preprint&categ=cern&id=th-7504-94.
- [4] G. D'Ambrosio and G. Isidori (KLOE), JHEP **12**, 011 (2006), [hep-ex/0610034].
- [5] J. S. Bell and J. Steinberger, in "Wolfenstein, L. (eq.): CP violation, 42-57. (In Oxford International Symposium Conference on Elementary Particles)," 195–208, 221–222 (1966), (See Book Index).
- [6] T. Alexopoulos et al. (KTeV), Phys. Rev. D70, 092006 (2004), [hep-ex/0406002].
- [7] E. Abouzaid *et al.* (KTeV), Phys. Rev. **D83**, 092001 (2011), [arXiv:1011.0127].

- [8] A. Lai et al. (NA48), Phys. Lett. B645, 26 (2007), [hep-ex/0611052]; A. Lai et al. (NA48), Phys. Lett. B602, 41 (2004), [hep-ex/0410059].
- [9] We thanks G. Isidori and M. Palutan for their contribution to the original analysis [4] performed with KLOE data.
- [10] D. Babusci *et al.* (KLOE), Phys. Lett. **B723**, 54 (2013), [arXiv:1301.7623].
- [11] A. Angelopoulos et al. (CPLEAR), Phys. Lett. **B444**, 52 (1998).
- [12] W. M. Yao et al. (Particle Data Group), J. Phys. G33, 1 (2006).
- [13] A. Anastasi et al. (KLOE-2), JHEP 09, 021 (2018), [arXiv:1806.08654].
- [14] P. Bloch, M. Fidecaro, private communication of the data in a finer binning format; A. Angelopoulos et al. (CPLEAR), Phys. Lett. B444, 43 (1998).
- [15] D. Babusci et al. (KLOE-2), Phys. Lett. B 804, 135378 (2020), [arXiv:1912.05990].