83. Pole Structure of the $\Lambda(1405)$ Region

Revised June 2021 by T. Hyodo (Tokyo Metropolitan U.) and U.-G. Meißner (Bonn U.; Forschungszentrum Jülich).

The $\Lambda(1405)$ resonance emerges in the meson-baryon scattering amplitude with the strangeness S=-1 and isospin I=0. It is the archetype of what is called a dynamically generated resonance, as pioneered by Dalitz and Tuan [1]. The most powerful and systematic approach for the low-energy regime of the strong interactions is chiral perturbation theory (ChPT), see e.g. Ref. [2]. A perturbative calculation is, however, not applicable to this sector because of the existence of the $\Lambda(1405)$ just below the $\bar{K}N$ threshold. In this case, ChPT has to be combined with a non-perturbative resummation technique, just as in the case of the nuclear forces. By solving the Lippmann-Schwinger equation with the interaction kernel determined by ChPT and using a particular regularization, in Ref. [3] a successful description of the low-energy K^-p scattering data as well as the mass distribution of the $\Lambda(1405)$ was achieved (for further developments, see Ref. [4–7] and references therein).

The study of the pole structure was initiated by Ref. [8], which finds two poles of the scattering amplitude in the complex energy plane between the $\bar{K}N$ and $\pi\Sigma$ thresholds. The spectrum in experiments exhibits one effective resonance shape, while the existence of two poles results in the reaction-dependent lineshape [9]. The origin of this two-pole structure is attributed to the two attractive channels of the leading order interaction in the SU(3) basis (singlet and octet) [9] and in the isospin basis ($\bar{K}N$ and $\pi\Sigma$) [10]. It is remarkable that the sign and the strength of the leading order interaction is determined by a low-energy theorem of chiral symmetry, i.e. the so-called Weinberg-Tomozawa term. The two-pole nature of the $\Lambda(1405)$ is qualitatively different from the case of the N(1440) resonance. Two poles of the N(1440) appear on different Riemann sheets of the complex energy plane separated by the $\pi\Delta$ branch point. These poles reflect a single state, with a nearby pole and a more distant shadow pole. In contrast, the two poles in the $\Lambda(1405)$ region on the same Riemann sheet (where $\pi\Sigma$ channels are unphysical and all other channels physical, correspondingly to the one, connected to the real axis beween the $\pi\Sigma$ and $\bar{K}N$ thresholds) are generated from two attractive forces mentioned above [9, 10].

Recently, various new experimental results on the $\Lambda(1405)$ have become available [4]. Among these, the most striking measurement is the precise determination of the energy shift and width of kaonic hydrogen by the SIDDHARTA collaboration [11, 12], which provides a quantitative and stringent constraint on the K^-p amplitude at threshold through the improved Deser formula [13]. Systematic studies with error analyses based on the next-to-leading order ChPT interaction including the SIDDHARTA constraint have been performed by various groups [14–18] All these studies confirm that the new kaonic hydrogen data are compatible with the scattering data above threshold.

The results of the pole positions of $\Lambda(1405)$ in the various approaches are summarized in Table 83.1. We may regard the difference among the calculations as a systematic error, which stems from the various approximations of the Bethe-Salpeter equation, the fitting procedure, and also the inclusion of SU(3) breaking effects such as the choice of the various meson decay constants, and so on. A detailed comparison of the various approaches that enter the table is given in Ref. [19]. A recent analysis including also the $J^P = 1/2^+$ P-wave contribution (and also an explicit $\Sigma(1385)$ 3/2⁺ state) gives results consistent with the findings reported above, with the pole positions at (1364-i43) MeV and (1430-i15) MeV, respectively [20].

The main component for the $\Lambda(1405)$ is the pole 1, whose position converges within a relatively small region near the $\bar{K}N$ threshold. On the other hand, the position of the pole 2 shows a sizeable scatter. Detailed studies of the $\pi\Sigma$ spectrum in various reaction processes, together with

the precise experimental lineshape (see e.g. the recent precise photoproduction data from the LEPS collaboration [21] and from the CLAS collaboration [22, 23], electroproduction data from the CLAS collaboration [24], and proton-proton collision data from COSY [25] and the HADES collaboration [26]), will shed light on the position of the second pole. The $\pi\Sigma$ spectra from the CLAS data are analyzed in Ref. [27] and Ref. [18]. It was shown in Ref. [18] that several solutions, which agree with the scattering data, are ruled out if confronted with the recent CLAS data. The remaining solutions are collected as solution #2 and solution #4 in Table 83.1. The HADES data are analyzed in Ref. [28] and Ref [29]. Although the result of the pole found in Ref. [28] is not compatible with other results, the authors of Ref. [29] invoke the anomalous triangle singularity mechanism to argue that the invariant mass distribution of the $\pi\Sigma$ system is found at lower masses than in other reactions. It is thus desirable to perform more comprehensive analyses of $\pi\Sigma$ spectra together with the systematic error analysis of the scattering data.

Table 83.1: Comparison of the pole positions of $\Lambda(1405)$ in the complex energy plane from next-to-leading order chiral unitary coupled-channel approaches including the SIDDHARTA constraint. The lower two results also include the CLAS photoproduction data.

approach	pole 1 [MeV]	pole 2 [MeV]
Refs. [14,15], NLO	$1424^{+7}_{-23} - i \ 26^{+3}_{-14}$	$1381^{+18}_{-6} - i \ 81^{+19}_{-8}$
Ref. [17], Fit II	$1421^{+3}_{-2} - i \ 19^{+8}_{-5}$	$1388^{+9}_{-9} - i \ 114^{+24}_{-25}$
Ref. [18], solution $#2$	$1434^{+2}_{-2} - i \ 10^{+2}_{-1}$	$1330^{+4}_{-5} - i\ 56^{+17}_{-11}$
Ref. [18], solution #4	$1429_{-7}^{+8} - i \ 12_{-3}^{+2}$	$1325_{-15}^{+15} - i \ 90_{-18}^{+12}$

References

- [1] R. Dalitz and S. Tuan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2, 425 (1959).
- [2] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser and U.-G. Meißner, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 4, 193 (1995), [hep-ph/9501384].
- [3] N. Kaiser, P. Siegel and W. Weise, Nucl. Phys. A **594**, 325 (1995), [arXiv:nucl-th/9505043].
- [4] T. Hyodo and D. Jido, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 67, 55 (2012), [arXiv:1104.4474].
- [5] U.-G. Meißner, Symmetry 12, 6, 981 (2020), [arXiv:2005.06909].
- [6] M. Mai, Eur. Phys. J. ST **230**, 6, 1593 (2021), [arXiv:2010.00056].
- [7] T. Hyodo and M. Niiyama, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 120, 103868 (2021), [arXiv:2010.07592].
- [8] J. Oller and U.-G. Meißner, Phys. Lett. B **500**, 263 (2001), [hep-ph/0011146].
- [9] D. Jido et al., Nucl. Phys. A **725**, 181 (2003), [arXiv:nucl-th/0303062].
- [10] T. Hyodo and W. Weise, Phys. Rev. C 77, 035204 (2008), [arXiv:0712.1613].
- [11] M. Bazzi et al. (SIDDHARTA), Phys. Lett. B 704, 113 (2011), [arXiv:1105.3090].
- [12] M. Bazzi et al. (SIDDHARTA), Nucl. Phys. A 881, 88 (2012), [arXiv:1201.4635].
- [13] U.-G. Meißner, U. Raha and A. Rusetsky, Eur. Phys. J. C 35, 349 (2004), [hep-ph/0402261].
- [14] Y. Ikeda, T. Hyodo and W. Weise, Phys. Lett. B 706, 63 (2011), [arXiv:1109.3005].
- [15] Y. Ikeda, T. Hyodo and W. Weise, Nucl. Phys. A 881, 98 (2012), [arXiv:1201.6549].
- [16] M. Mai and U.-G. Meißner, Nucl. Phys. A 900, 51 (2013), [arXiv:1202.2030].
- [17] Z.-H. Guo and J. Oller, Phys. Rev. C 87, 3, 035202 (2013), [arXiv:1210.3485].
- [18] M. Mai and U.-G. Meißner, Eur. Phys. J. A 51, 3, 30 (2015), [arXiv:1411.7884].

- [19] A. Cieplý et al., Nucl. Phys. A **954**, 17 (2016), [arXiv:1603.02531].
- [20] D. Sadasivan, M. Mai and M. Döring, Phys. Lett. B 789, 329 (2019), [arXiv:1805.04534].
- [21] M. Niiyama et al., Phys. Rev. C 78, 035202 (2008), [arXiv:0805.4051].
- [22] K. Moriya et al. (CLAS), Phys. Rev. C 87, 3, 035206 (2013), [arXiv:1301.5000].
- [23] K. Moriya et al. (CLAS), Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 8, 082004 (2014), [arXiv:1402.2296].
- [24] H. Lu et al. (CLAS), Phys. Rev. C 88, 045202 (2013), [arXiv:1307.4411].
- [25] I. Zychor et al., Phys. Lett. B 660, 167 (2008), [arXiv:0705.1039].
- [26] G. Agakishiev et al. (HADES), Phys. Rev. C 87, 025201 (2013), [arXiv:1208.0205].
- [27] L. Roca and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. C 87, 5, 055201 (2013), [arXiv:1301.5741].
- [28] M. Hassanvand et al., Phys. Rev. C 87, 5, 055202 (2013), [Addendum: Phys.Rev.C 88, 019905 (2013)], [arXiv:1210.7725].
- [29] M. Bayar et al., Phys. Rev. C 97, 3, 035203 (2018), [arXiv:1710.03964].