SEARCHES not in other sections

Magnetic Monopole Searches

The most sensitive experiments obtain negative results.

Best cosmic-ray supermassive monopole flux limit:

$$<~1.4 \times 10^{-16}~{\rm cm}^{-2} {\rm sr}^{-1} {\rm s}^{-1}~~{\rm for}~1.1 \times 10^{-4} < eta < 1$$

Supersymmetric Particle Searches

All supersymmetric mass bounds here are model dependent.

The limits assume:

1) $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ is the lightest supersymmetric particle; 2) *R*-parity is conserved, unless stated otherwise;

See the Particle Listings for a Note giving details of supersymmetry.

$$\begin{array}{l} \widetilde{\chi}_i^0 \ -- \ \text{neutralinos} \ (\text{mixtures of } \widetilde{\gamma}, \ \widetilde{Z}^0, \ \text{and } \widetilde{H}_i^0) \\ \text{Mass } m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} \ > \ 0 \ \text{GeV}, \ \text{CL} = 95\% \\ \text{[general MSSM, non-universal gaugino masses]} \\ \text{Mass } m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} \ > \ 46 \ \text{GeV}, \ \text{CL} = 95\% \\ \text{[all } \tan\beta, \ \text{all } m_0, \ \text{all } m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}] \\ \text{Mass } m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} \ > \ 62.4 \ \text{GeV}, \ \text{CL} = 95\% \\ \text{[1<$} \tan\beta < 40, \ \text{all } m_0, \ \text{all } m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}] \\ \text{Mass } m_{\widetilde{\chi}_3^0} \ > \ 99.9 \ \text{GeV}, \ \text{CL} = 95\% \\ \text{[1<$} \tan\beta < 40, \ \text{all } m_0, \ \text{all } m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}] \\ \text{Mass } m_{\widetilde{\chi}_4^0} \ > \ 116 \ \text{GeV}, \ \text{CL} = 95\% \\ \text{[1<$} \tan\beta < 40, \ \text{all } m_0, \ \text{all } m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}] \\ \text{Mass } m_{\widetilde{\chi}_4^0} \ \text{none } 200-670 \ \text{GeV}, \ \text{CL} = 95\% \\ \text{[$wino production, } \widetilde{\chi} \to b + \ell/\nu + t/b \ \text{via } \lambda'_{i33} \ \text{coupling]} \\ \widetilde{\chi}_i^{\pm} \ -- \ \text{charginos} \ (\text{mixtures of } \widetilde{W}^{\pm} \ \text{and } \widetilde{H}_i^{\pm}) \\ \text{Mass } m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}} \ > \ 94 \ \text{GeV}, \ \text{CL} = 95\% \\ \text{[$\tan\beta$< 40, } m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} > 3 \ \text{GeV}, \ \text{all } m_0] \\ \end{array}$$

```
\widetilde{\tau} — scalar tau (stau)
       Mass m > 81.9 \text{ GeV}, CL = 95\%
               [m_{\widetilde	au_R}-m_{\widetilde\chi^0_1}\>>15 GeV, all 	heta_	au, B(\widetilde	au	o \;	au\,\widetilde\chi^0_1)=100%]
       Mass m > 400 \, \text{GeV}, \, \text{CL} = 95\%
                 Mass m > 90 GeV, CL = 95\% [R-Parity Violating]
               [\widetilde{\tau}_R, \text{ indirect}, \Delta m > 5 \text{ GeV}]
       Mass m > 1200, CL = 95\% [R-Parity Violating]
       [\geq 4\ell,\,\lambda_{12k}\;\neq\;0,\,m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}=900\;\text{GeV}\;(\text{m-degenerate}\;\widetilde{\ell}_L,\,\widetilde{\nu})] Mass m>\;286\;\text{GeV},\;\text{CL}=95\%\;\;[\text{long-lived}\;\widetilde{\tau}]
\widetilde{q} – squarks of the first two quark generations
       Mass m > 1.220 \times 10^3 \text{ GeV}, CL = 95\%
              [jets + \not\!\!E_T, Tsqk1, 1 non-degenerate \widetilde{q}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0 GeV]
       Mass m>~1.600\times 10^3 GeV, CL = 95% [R-Parity Violating]
              [\widetilde{q} \rightarrow q \widetilde{\chi}^0_1, \widetilde{\chi}^0_1 \rightarrow \ell\ell\nu, \lambda_{121}, \lambda_{122} \neq 0, m_{\widetilde{g}} = 2400 \text{GeV}]
\tilde{q} — long-lived squark
       Mass m > 1340, CL = 95% [\tilde{t} R-hadrons]
       Mass m > 1250, CL = 95\% \tilde{b} R-hadrons
\widetilde{b} — scalar bottom (sbottom)
       Mass m > 1.270 \times 10^3 GeV, CL = 95\%
       \label{eq:mass} \begin{array}{ll} \mbox{ [$b$-jets} + E_T \mbox{, Tsbot1, } m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1} = 0 \mbox{ GeV}] \\ \mbox{Mass } m > \mbox{ 307 GeV, CL} = 95\% & \mbox{ [R-Parity Violating]} \end{array}
              [\widetilde{b} 
ightarrow td or ts, \lambda_{332}'' or \lambda_{331}'' coupling]
\tilde{t} — scalar top (stop)
       Mass m > 1.310 \times 10^3 \text{ GeV}, CL = 95\%
              [jets + \not\!\!E_T, Tstop1, m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1} < 300 GeV]
       Mass m > 1100 GeV, CL = 95\% [R-Parity Violating]
              [\widetilde{t} \rightarrow be, Tstop2RPV, prompt]
       Mass m > 460 \text{ GeV}, CL = 95\%
               [R-Parity Violating, long-lived \tilde{t}, \tilde{t} \rightarrow d\bar{\ell}, 0.01cm < c\tau < 1000 cm]
\widetilde{g} — gluino
       Mass m > 2.300 \times 10^3 GeV, CL = 95\%
              [jets + \not\!\!E_T, Tglu1A, m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1} < 200 GeV]
       Mass m > 2.260 \times 10^3 GeV, CL = 95\% [R-Parity Violating]
              [ \geq 4\ell, \lambda_{12k}~\neq~ 0, m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1}~> 1000 GeV]
```

Technicolor

The limits for technicolor (and top-color) particles are quite varied depending on assumptions. See the Technicolor section of the full *Review* (the data listings).

Quark and Lepton Compositeness, Searches for

Scale Limits Λ for Contact Interactions (the lowest dimensional interactions with four fermions)

If the Lagrangian has the form

$$\pm \frac{g^2}{2\Lambda^2} \overline{\psi}_{\mathsf{L}} \gamma_{\mu} \psi_{\mathsf{L}} \overline{\psi}_{\mathsf{L}} \gamma^{\mu} \psi_{\mathsf{L}}$$

(with $g^2/4\pi$ set equal to 1), then we define $\Lambda \equiv \Lambda_{LL}^{\pm}$. For the full definitions and for other forms, see the Note in the Listings on Searches for Quark and Lepton Compositeness in the full *Review* and the original literature.

$$\Lambda_{LL}^{+}(eeee)$$
 > 8.3 TeV, CL = 95% $\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(eeee)$ > 10.3 TeV, CL = 95% $\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(ee\mu\mu)$ > 8.5 TeV, CL = 95% $\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(ee\mu\mu)$ > 9.5 TeV, CL = 95% $\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(ee\tau\tau)$ > 7.9 TeV, CL = 95% $\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(ee\tau\tau)$ > 7.2 TeV, CL = 95% $\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(ee\tau\tau)$ > 9.1 TeV, CL = 95% $\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(eeqq)$ > 24 TeV, CL = 95% $\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(eeqq)$ > 37 TeV, CL = 95% $\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(eeqq)$ > 23.3 TeV, CL = 95% $\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(eeuu)$ > 12.5 TeV, CL = 95% $\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(eeuu)$ > 12.5 TeV, CL = 95% $\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(eedd)$ > 11.1 TeV, CL = 95% $\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(eedd)$ > 26.4 TeV, CL = 95% $\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(eedd)$ > 26.4 TeV, CL = 95% $\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(eedd)$ > 9.4 TeV, CL = 95% $\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(eecc)$ > 9.4 TeV, CL = 95% > 9.4 TeV, CL = 95% $\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(eecc)$ > 9.4 TeV, CL = 95% > 9.4 TeV, CL = 95% $\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(eebb)$ > 9.4 TeV, CL = 95% > 9.4 TeV, CL = 95%

$$\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(eebb)$$
 > 10.2 TeV, CL = 95%
 $\Lambda_{LL}^{+}(\mu\mu qq)$ > 23.3 TeV, CL = 95%
 $\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(\mu\mu qq)$ > 40.0 TeV, CL = 95%
 $\Lambda(\ell\nu\ell\nu)$ > 3.10 TeV, CL = 90%
 $\Lambda(e\nu qq)$ > 2.81 TeV, CL = 95%
 $\Lambda_{LL}^{+}(qqqq)$ > 13.1 none 17.4–29.5 TeV, CL = 95%
 $\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(qqqq)$ > 21.8 TeV, CL = 95%
 $\Lambda_{LL}^{+}(\nu\nu qq)$ > 5.0 TeV, CL = 95%
 $\Lambda_{LL}^{-}(\nu\nu qq)$ > 5.4 TeV, CL = 95%

Excited Leptons

The limits from $\ell^{*+}\ell^{*-}$ do not depend on λ (where λ is the $\ell\ell^{*}$ transition coupling). The λ -dependent limits assume chiral coupling.

 $e^{*\pm}$ — excited electron

Mass
$$m > 103.2$$
 GeV, CL = 95% (from e^*e^*)
Mass $m > 5.600 \times 10^3$ GeV, CL = 95% (from ee^*)
Mass $m > 356$ GeV, CL = 95% (if $\lambda_{\gamma} = 1$)

 $\mu^{*\pm}$ — excited muon

Mass
$$m > 103.2$$
 GeV, CL = 95% (from $\mu^* \mu^*$)
Mass $m > 5.700 \times 10^3$ GeV, CL = 95% (from $\mu \mu^*$)

 $au^{*\pm}$ — excited tau

Mass
$$m > 103.2$$
 GeV, CL = 95% (from $\tau^* \tau^*$)
Mass $m > 4.600 \times 10^3$ GeV, CL = 95% (from $\tau \tau^*$)

 ν^* — excited neutrino

Mass
$$m>1.600\times 10^3$$
 GeV, CL = 95% (from $\nu^*\nu^*$)
Mass $m>213$ GeV, CL = 95% (from ν^*X)

 q^* — excited quark

Mass
$$m > 338$$
 GeV, CL = 95% (from $q^* q^*$)
Mass $m > 6700$ GeV, CL = 95% (from $q^* X$)

Color Sextet and Octet Particles

Color Sextet Quarks (q_6)

Mass
$$m>84$$
 GeV, $CL=95\%$ (Stable q_6)

Color Octet Charged Leptons (ℓ_8)

Mass
$$m>86$$
 GeV, $CL=95\%$ (Stable ℓ_8)

Color Octet Neutrinos (
$$u_8$$
)

Mass $m > 110$ GeV, CL $= 90\%$ ($u_8 \rightarrow
u_g$)

Extra Dimensions

Please refer to the Extra Dimensions section of the full *Review* for a discussion of the model-dependence of these bounds, and further constraints.

Constraints on the radius of the extra dimensions, for the case of two-flat dimensions of equal radii

(direct tests of Newton's law)

$$R < 3.8~\mu \text{m}$$
, CL = 95% $(p\,p \to j\,G)$
 $R < 0.16$ –916 nm (astrophysics; limits depend on technique and assumptions)

Constraints on the fundamental gravity scale

$$M_{TT}>9.02$$
 TeV, CL $=95\%$ ($p\,p
ightarrow$ dijet, angular distribution) $M_{C}>4.16$ TeV, CL $=95\%$ ($p\,p
ightarrow$ $\ell\,\overline{\ell}$)

Constraints on the Kaluza-Klein graviton in warped extra dimensions

$$M_G$$
 $>$ 4.78 TeV, CL $=$ 95% $(pp
ightarrow e^+e^-, ~\mu^+\mu^-)$

Constraints on the Kaluza-Klein gluon in warped extra dimensions

$$M_{g_{KK}}~>~3.8$$
 TeV, CL $=95\%~~(g_{KK}
ightarrow~t\,\overline{t})$

WIMP and Dark Matter Searches

No confirmed evidence found for galactic WIMPs from the GeV to the TeV mass scales and down to 1×10^{-10} pb spin independent cross section at M =100 GeV.