

$N(1710) \ 1/2^+$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1/2^+) \text{ Status: } ****$$

Older and obsolete values are listed and referenced in the 2014 edition, Chinese Physics **C38** 070001 (2014).

NODE=B014

NODE=B014

N(1710) POLE POSITION

NODE=B014215

REAL PART

NODE=B014RE
NODE=B014RE

| VALUE (MeV) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|------------------------|------|---|
| 1650 to 1750 (\approx 1700) OUR ESTIMATE | | | |
| 1605 \pm 7 | ROENCHEN | 22 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 1690 \pm 15 | ANISOVICH | 17A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 1697 \pm 23 | ¹ ANISOVICH | 17A | L+P $\gamma p, \pi^- p \rightarrow K \Lambda$ |
| 1770 \pm 5 \pm 2 | ² SVARC | 14 | L+P $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ |
| 1690 \pm 20 | CUTKOSKY | 80 | IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ |
| ● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ● | | | |
| 1615 | HUNT | 19 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 1651 | ROENCHEN | 15A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 1690 \pm 15 | SOKHOYAN | 15A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 1690 \pm 15 | GUTZ | 14 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 1670 | SHKLYAR | 13 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 1687 \pm 17 | ANISOVICH | 12A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 1711 \pm 15 | ³ BATINIC | 10 | DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$ |
| 1679 | VRANA | 00 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 1690 | HOEHLER | 93 | SPED $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ |
| 1698 | CUTKOSKY | 90 | IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ |

→ UNCHECKED ←

OCCUR=2

¹ Statistical error only.² Fit to the amplitudes of HOEHLER 79.³ BATINIC 10 finds evidence for a second P_{11} state with all parameters except for the phase of the pole residue very similar to the parameters we give here.NODE=B014RE;LINKAGE=A
NODE=B014RE;LINKAGE=SV
NODE=B014RE;LINKAGE=BA

−2×IMAGINARY PART

NODE=B014IM
NODE=B014IM

| VALUE (MeV) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|------------------------|------|---|
| 80 to 160 (\approx 120) OUR ESTIMATE | | | |
| 115 \pm 5 | ROENCHEN | 22 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 155 \pm 25 | ANISOVICH | 17A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 84 \pm 34 | ¹ ANISOVICH | 17A | L+P $\gamma p, \pi^- p \rightarrow K \Lambda$ |
| 98 \pm 8 \pm 5 | ² SVARC | 14 | L+P $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ |
| 80 \pm 20 | CUTKOSKY | 80 | IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ |
| ● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ● | | | |
| 169 | HUNT | 19 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 121 | ROENCHEN | 15A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 170 \pm 20 | SOKHOYAN | 15A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 170 \pm 20 | GUTZ | 14 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 159 | SHKLYAR | 13 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 200 \pm 25 | ANISOVICH | 12A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 174 \pm 16 | ³ BATINIC | 10 | DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$ |
| 132 | VRANA | 00 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 200 | HOEHLER | 93 | SPED $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ |
| 88 | CUTKOSKY | 90 | IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ |

→ UNCHECKED ←

OCCUR=2

¹ Statistical error only.² Fit to the amplitudes of HOEHLER 79.³ BATINIC 10 finds evidence for a second P_{11} state with all parameters except for the phase of the pole residue very similar to the parameters we give here.NODE=B014IM;LINKAGE=A
NODE=B014IM;LINKAGE=SV
NODE=B014IM;LINKAGE=BA

N(1710) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

NODE=B014220

MODULUS $|r|$

NODE=B014RER
NODE=B014RER

| VALUE (MeV) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|--|--------------------|------|--------------------------------|
| 4 to 10 (\approx 7) OUR ESTIMATE | | | |
| 5.5 \pm 2.4 | ROENCHEN | 22 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 6 \pm 3 | SOKHOYAN | 15A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 5 \pm 1 \pm 1 | ¹ SVARC | 14 | L+P $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ |
| 8 \pm 2 | CUTKOSKY | 80 | IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ |

→ UNCHECKED ←

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

| | | | | |
|------|----------------------|-----|------|---------------------------------|
| 3.2 | ROENCHEN | 15A | DPWA | Multichannel |
| 6 ±3 | GUTZ | 14 | DPWA | Multichannel |
| 11 | SHKLYAR | 13 | DPWA | Multichannel |
| 6 ±4 | ANISOVICH | 12A | DPWA | Multichannel |
| 24 | ² BATINIC | 10 | DPWA | $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$ |
| 15 | HOEHLER | 93 | SPED | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ |
| 9 | CUTKOSKY | 90 | IPWA | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ |

¹ Fit to the amplitudes of HOEHLER 79.

² BATINIC 10 finds evidence for a second P_{11} state with all parameters except for the phase of the pole residue very similar to the parameters we give here.

NODE=B014RER;LINKAGE=SV
NODE=B014RER;LINKAGE=BA

PHASE θ

| VALUE (°) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|-----------|-------------|------|---------|
|-----------|-------------|------|---------|

120 to 270 (≈ 190) OUR ESTIMATE

| | | | | |
|-------------|--------------------|-----|------|---------------------------|
| -114 ±29 | ROENCHEN | 22 | DPWA | Multichannel |
| 130 ±35 | SOKHOYAN | 15A | DPWA | Multichannel |
| -104 ± 7 ±3 | ¹ SVARC | 14 | L+P | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ |
| 175 ±35 | CUTKOSKY | 80 | IPWA | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

| | | | | |
|---------|----------------------|-----|------|---------------------------------|
| 55 | ROENCHEN | 15A | DPWA | Multichannel |
| 120 ±45 | GUTZ | 14 | DPWA | Multichannel |
| 9 | SHKLYAR | 13 | DPWA | Multichannel |
| 120 ±70 | ANISOVICH | 12A | DPWA | Multichannel |
| 20 | ² BATINIC | 10 | DPWA | $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$ |
| -167 | CUTKOSKY | 90 | IPWA | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ |

¹ Fit to the amplitudes of HOEHLER 79.

² BATINIC 10 finds evidence for a second P_{11} state with all parameters except for the phase of the pole residue very similar to the parameters we give here.

NODE=B014IMR
NODE=B014IMR
→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=B014IMR;LINKAGE=SV
NODE=B014IMR;LINKAGE=BA

$N(1710)$ INELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

The "normalized residue" is the residue divided by $\Gamma_{pole}/2$.

Normalized residue in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow N\eta$

| MODULUS | PHASE (°) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|------------|-----------|-------------|------|-------------------|
| 0.28 ±0.13 | 91 ± 32 | ROENCHEN | 22 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 0.12 ±0.04 | 0 ± 45 | ANISOVICH | 12A | DPWA Multichannel |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

| | | | | |
|------|------|----------|-----|-------------------|
| 0.16 | -180 | ROENCHEN | 15A | DPWA Multichannel |
|------|------|----------|-----|-------------------|

Normalized residue in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow \Lambda K$

| MODULUS | PHASE (°) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|--|-----------|------------------------|------|--|
| 0.20 ±0.10 | -144 ± 39 | ROENCHEN | 22 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 0.16 ±0.05 | -160 ± 25 | ANISOVICH | 17A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 0.12 ^{+0.24} _{-0.12} | -119 ± 83 | ¹ ANISOVICH | 17A | L+P $\gamma p, \pi^- p \rightarrow K\Lambda$ |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

| | | | | |
|------------|-----------|-----------|-----|-------------------|
| 0.12 | -32 | ROENCHEN | 15A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 0.17 ±0.06 | -110 ± 20 | ANISOVICH | 12A | DPWA Multichannel |

¹ Statistical error only.

Normalized residue in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow \Sigma K$

| MODULUS | PHASE (°) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|------|-------------------|
| 0.055 ±0.024 | 162 ± 153 | ROENCHEN | 22 | DPWA Multichannel |

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

| | | | | |
|-------|-----|----------|-----|-------------------|
| 0.004 | -43 | ROENCHEN | 15A | DPWA Multichannel |
|-------|-----|----------|-----|-------------------|

Normalized residue in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow N(1535)\pi$

| MODULUS | PHASE (°) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|------------|-----------|-------------|------|-------------------|
| 0.10 ±0.04 | 140 ± 40 | GUTZ | 14 | DPWA Multichannel |

NODE=B014RS1
NODE=B014RS1

NODE=B014250

NODE=B014250

NODE=B014RS2
NODE=B014RS2

OCCUR=2

NODE=B014RS2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=B014A00
NODE=B014A00

NODE=B014RS3
NODE=B014RS3
OCCUR=3

$N(1710)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

NODE=B014M

| VALUE (MeV) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|-----------------------|------|--------------------------------------|
| 1680 to 1740 (≈ 1710) OUR ESTIMATE | | | |
| 1648 \pm 16 | ¹ HUNT | 19 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 1715 \pm 20 | SOKHOYAN | 15A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 1737 \pm 17 | ¹ SHKLYAR | 13 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 1700 \pm 50 | CUTKOSKY | 80 | IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ |
| 1723 \pm 9 | HOEHLER | 79 | IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ |
| ● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ● | | | |
| 1715 \pm 20 | GUTZ | 14 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 1710 \pm 20 | ANISOVICH | 12A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 1662 \pm 7 | ¹ SHRESTHA | 12A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 1729 \pm 16 | ² BATINIC | 10 | DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$ |
| 1752 \pm 3 | PENNER | 02C | DPWA Multichannel |
| 1699 \pm 65 | VRANA | 00 | DPWA Multichannel |

¹ Statistical error only.

² BATINIC 10 finds evidence for a second P_{11} state with all parameters except for the phase of the pole residue very similar to the parameters we give here.

NODE=B014M
→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=B014M;LINKAGE=A
NODE=B014M;LINKAGE=BT

N(1710) BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

| VALUE (MeV) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|-----------------------|------|--------------------------------------|
| 80 to 200 (≈ 140) OUR ESTIMATE | | | |
| 195 \pm 46 | ¹ HUNT | 19 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 175 \pm 15 | SOKHOYAN | 15A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 368 \pm 120 | ¹ SHKLYAR | 13 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 93 \pm 30 | CUTKOSKY | 90 | IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ |
| 90 \pm 30 | CUTKOSKY | 80 | IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ |
| 120 \pm 15 | HOEHLER | 79 | IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ |
| ● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ● | | | |
| 175 \pm 15 | GUTZ | 14 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 200 \pm 18 | ANISOVICH | 12A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 116 \pm 17 | ¹ SHRESTHA | 12A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 180 \pm 17 | ² BATINIC | 10 | DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$ |
| 386 \pm 59 | PENNER | 02C | DPWA Multichannel |
| 143 \pm 100 | VRANA | 00 | DPWA Multichannel |

¹ Statistical error only.

² BATINIC 10 finds evidence for a second P_{11} state with all parameters except for the phase of the pole residue very similar to the parameters we give here.

NODE=B014W

NODE=B014W
→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=B014W;LINKAGE=A
NODE=B014W;LINKAGE=BA

N(1710) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

| Mode | Fraction (Γ_i/Γ) |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Γ_1 $N\pi$ | 5–20 % |
| Γ_2 $N\eta$ | 10–50 % |
| Γ_3 $N\omega$ | 1–5 % |
| Γ_4 ΛK | 5–25 % |
| Γ_5 ΣK | seen |
| Γ_6 $N\pi\pi$ | 14–48 % |
| Γ_7 $\Delta(1232)\pi$, P -wave | 3–9 % |
| Γ_8 $N\rho$, $S=1/2$, P -wave | 11–23 % |
| Γ_9 $N\sigma$ | <16 % |
| Γ_{10} $N(1535)\pi$ | 9–21 % |
| Γ_{11} $p\gamma$, helicity=1/2 | 0.002–0.08 % |
| Γ_{12} $n\gamma$, helicity=1/2 | 0.0–0.02% |

NODE=B014225;NODE=B014

NODE=B014

DESIG=1;OUR EST
DESIG=2;OUR EST
DESIG=12;OUR EST
DESIG=3;OUR EST
DESIG=4;OUR EST
DESIG=5;OUR EST
DESIG=6
DESIG=7
DESIG=188
DESIG=187;OUR EST
DESIG=10;OUR EST
DESIG=11;OUR EST

$N(1710)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

NODE=B014230

 $\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_1/Γ**

| <u>VALUE (%)</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> |
|---|-----------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|
| 5 to 20 (≈ 10) OUR ESTIMATE | | | |
| 12 \pm 6 | ¹ HUNT | 19 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 5 \pm 3 | SOKHOYAN | 15A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 2 \pm 2 | ¹ SHKLYAR | 13 | PWA Multichannel |
| 20 \pm 4 | CUTKOSKY | 80 | IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ |
| 12 \pm 4 | HOEHLER | 79 | IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ |
| ● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ● | | | |
| 5 \pm 3 | GUTZ | 14 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 5 \pm 4 | ANISOVICH | 12A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 15 \pm 4 | ¹ SHRESTHA | 12A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 22 \pm 24 | ² BATINIC | 10 | DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$ |
| 14 \pm 8 | PENNER | 02C | DPWA Multichannel |
| 27 \pm 13 | VRANA | 00 | DPWA Multichannel |

NODE=B014R1
NODE=B014R1

→ UNCHECKED ←

¹ Statistical error only.² BATINIC 10 finds evidence for a second P_{11} state with all parameters except for the phase of the pole residue very similar to the parameters we give here.NODE=B014R1;LINKAGE=A
NODE=B014R1;LINKAGE=BA **$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_2/\Gamma$**

| <u>VALUE (%)</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> |
|---|-----------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|
| 10 to 50 (≈ 30) OUR ESTIMATE | | | |
| 18 \pm 10 | MUELLER | 20 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 17 \pm 8 | ¹ HUNT | 19 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 45 \pm 4 | ¹ SHKLYAR | 13 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 17 \pm 10 | ANISOVICH | 12A | DPWA Multichannel |
| ● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ● | | | |
| 11 \pm 7 | ¹ SHRESTHA | 12A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 6 \pm 8 | ² BATINIC | 10 | DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$ |
| 36 \pm 11 | PENNER | 02C | DPWA Multichannel |
| 6 \pm 1 | VRANA | 00 | DPWA Multichannel |

NODE=B014R11
NODE=B014R11

→ UNCHECKED ←

¹ Statistical error only.² BATINIC 10 finds evidence for a second P_{11} state with all parameters except for the phase of the pole residue very similar to the parameters we give here.NODE=B014R11;LINKAGE=A
NODE=B014R11;LINKAGE=BA **$\Gamma(N\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_3/\Gamma$**

| <u>VALUE (%)</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> |
|---|----------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| 1 to 5 (≈ 3) OUR ESTIMATE | | | |
| 2 \pm 2 | DENISENKO | 16 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 3 \pm 2 | ¹ SHKLYAR | 13 | DPWA Multichannel |
| ● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ● | | | |
| 13 \pm 2 | PENNER | 02C | DPWA Multichannel |

NODE=B014R17
NODE=B014R17

→ UNCHECKED ←

¹ Statistical error only.

NODE=B014R17;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Lambda K)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_4/Γ**

| <u>VALUE (%)</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> |
|---|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| 5 to 25 (≈ 15) OUR ESTIMATE | | | |
| 1.8 \pm 1.5 | ¹ HUNT | 19 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 23 \pm 7 | ANISOVICH | 12A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 5 \pm 3 | SHKLYAR | 05 | DPWA Multichannel |
| ● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ● | | | |
| 8 \pm 4 | ¹ SHRESTHA | 12A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 5 \pm 2 | PENNER | 02C | DPWA Multichannel |
| 10 \pm 10 | VRANA | 00 | DPWA Multichannel |

NODE=B014R15
NODE=B014R15

→ UNCHECKED ←

¹ Statistical error only.

NODE=B014R15;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(\Sigma K)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_5/Γ**

| <u>VALUE (%)</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> |
|---|--------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| ● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ● | | | |
| 7 \pm 7 | PENNER | 02C | DPWA Multichannel |

NODE=B014R16
NODE=B014R16

$\Gamma(\Delta(1232)\pi, P\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

| VALUE (%) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|-----------------------|------|-------------------|
| 3-9 % OUR ESTIMATE | | | |
| 28±9 | ¹ HUNT | 19 | DPWA Multichannel |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | |
| 6±3 | ¹ SHRESTHA | 12A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 39±8 | VRANA | 00 | DPWA Multichannel |

NODE=B014R13
 NODE=B014R13
 → UNCHECKED ←

¹ Statistical error only.

NODE=B014R13;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(N\rho, S=1/2, P\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

| VALUE (%) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|-----------------------|------|-------------------|
| 11-23 % OUR ESTIMATE | | | |
| 17±9 | ¹ HUNT | 19 | DPWA Multichannel |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | |
| 17±6 | ¹ SHRESTHA | 12A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 17±1 | VRANA | 00 | DPWA Multichannel |

NODE=B014R12
 NODE=B014R12
 → UNCHECKED ←

¹ Statistical error only.

NODE=B014R12;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(N\sigma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

| VALUE (%) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|------------------------------|-------------------|------|-------------------|
| <16 % OUR ESTIMATE | | | |
| <16 | ¹ HUNT | 19 | DPWA Multichannel |

NODE=B014R00
 NODE=B014R00
 → UNCHECKED ←

¹ Statistical error only.

NODE=B014R00;LINKAGE=A

 $\Gamma(N(1535)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

| VALUE (%) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|-----------|-------------|------|-------------------|
| 15±6 | GUTZ | 14 | DPWA Multichannel |

NODE=B014R01
 NODE=B014R01
 OCCUR=2

 $N(1710)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES AT THE POLE

NODE=B014260

 $N(1710) \rightarrow p\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

| MODULUS ($\text{GeV}^{-1/2}$) | PHASE ($^\circ$) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|--------------------|-------------|------|-------------------|
| -0.018±0.010 | 40 ± 55 | ROENCHEN | 22 | DPWA Multichannel |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | |
| 0.020 | -83 | ROENCHEN | 15A | DPWA Multichannel |

NODE=B014PA1
 NODE=B014PA1

 $N(1710) \rightarrow n\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

| MODULUS ($\text{GeV}^{-1/2}$) | PHASE ($^\circ$) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|------|-------------------|
| 0.029±0.007 | 80 ± 20 | ANISOVICH | 17E | DPWA Multichannel |

NODE=B014A01
 NODE=B014A01

 $N(1710)$ BREIT-WIGNER PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

NODE=B014235

 $N(1710) \rightarrow p\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

| VALUE ($\text{GeV}^{-1/2}$) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|-----------------------|------|-------------------|
| 0.014±0.008 | ¹ HUNT | 19 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 0.050±0.010 | SOKHOYAN | 15A | DPWA Multichannel |
| -0.050±0.001 | ¹ SHKLYAR | 13 | DPWA Multichannel |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | |
| 0.05 ±0.01 | GUTZ | 14 | DPWA Multichannel |
| 0.052±0.015 | ANISOVICH | 12A | DPWA Multichannel |
| -0.008±0.003 | ¹ SHRESTHA | 12A | DPWA Multichannel |
| 0.044 | PENNER | 02D | DPWA Multichannel |

NODE=B014A1
 NODE=B014A1

¹ Statistical error only.

NODE=B014A1;LINKAGE=B

 $N(1710) \rightarrow n\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

| VALUE ($\text{GeV}^{-1/2}$) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|-----------------------|------|-------------------|
| 0.0053±0.0003 | ¹ HUNT | 19 | DPWA Multichannel |
| -0.040 ±0.020 | ANISOVICH | 13B | DPWA Multichannel |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | |
| 0.017 ±0.003 | ¹ SHRESTHA | 12A | DPWA Multichannel |
| -0.024 | PENNER | 02D | DPWA Multichannel |

NODE=B014A2
 NODE=B014A2

¹ Statistical error only.

NODE=B014A2;LINKAGE=A

N(1710) REFERENCESFor early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

NODE=B014

NODE=B014

| | | | | | |
|-----------|-----|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| ROENCHEN | 22 | EPJ A58 229 | D. Roenchen <i>et al.</i> | (JULI, GWU, BONN+) | REFID=61999 |
| MUELLER | 20 | PL B803 135323 | J. Mueller <i>et al.</i> | (CBELSA/TAPS Collab.) | REFID=60391 |
| HUNT | 19 | PR C99 055205 | B.C. Hunt, D.M. Manley | | REFID=59985 |
| ANISOVICH | 17A | PRL 119 062004 | A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i> | | REFID=57949 |
| ANISOVICH | 17E | PR C96 055202 | A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i> | (BONN, PNPI, JLAB+) | REFID=62311 |
| DENISENKO | 16 | PL B755 97 | I. Denisenko <i>et al.</i> | | REFID=57504 |
| ROENCHEN | 15A | EPJ A51 70 | D. Roenchen <i>et al.</i> | | REFID=58183 |
| SOKHOYAN | 15A | EPJ A51 95 | V. Sokhoyan <i>et al.</i> | (CBELSA/TAPS Collab.) | REFID=56757 |
| GUTZ | 14 | EPJ A50 74 | E. Gutz <i>et al.</i> | (CBELSA/TAPS Collab.) | REFID=55697 |
| PDG | 14 | CP C38 070001 | K. Olive <i>et al.</i> | (PDG Collab.) | REFID=55687 |
| SVARC | 14 | PR C89 045205 | A. Svarc <i>et al.</i> | (RBI Zagreb, UNI Tuzla) | REFID=55775 |
| ANISOVICH | 13B | EPJ A49 67 | A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i> | | REFID=56147 |
| SHKLYAR | 13 | PR C87 015201 | V. Shklyar, H. Lenske, U. Mosel | (GIES) | REFID=55104 |
| ANISOVICH | 12A | EPJ A48 15 | A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i> | (BONN, PNPI) | REFID=54041 |
| SHRESTHA | 12A | PR C86 055203 | M. Shrestha, D.M. Manley | (KSU) | REFID=54862 |
| BATINIC | 10 | PR C82 038203 | M. Batinic <i>et al.</i> | (ZAGR) | REFID=53552 |
| SHKLYAR | 05 | PR C72 015210 | V. Shklyar, H. Lenske, U. Mosel | (GIES) | REFID=50977 |
| PENNER | 02C | PR C66 055211 | G. Penner, U. Mosel | (GIES) | REFID=49129 |
| PENNER | 02D | PR C66 055212 | G. Penner, U. Mosel | (GIES) | REFID=49130 |
| VRANA | 00 | PRPL 328 181 | T.P. Vrana, S.A. Dytman, T.-S.H. Lee | (PITT, ANL) | REFID=47593 |
| HOEHLER | 93 | πN Newsletter 9 1 | G. Hohler | (KARL) | REFID=43821 |
| CUTKOSKY | 90 | PR D42 235 | R.E. Cutkosky, S. Wang | (CMU) | REFID=41262 |
| CUTKOSKY | 80 | Toronto Conf. 19 | R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i> | (CMU, LBL) IJP | REFID=30064 |
| Also | | PR D20 2839 | R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i> | (CMU, LBL) IJP | REFID=40096 |
| HOEHLER | 79 | PDAT 12-1 | G. Hohler <i>et al.</i> | (KARLT) IJP | REFID=30058 |
| Also | | Toronto Conf. 3 | R. Koch | (KARLT) IJP | REFID=30859 |
