

$$\Lambda(1520) \ 3/2^-$$

$$I(J^P) = 0(\frac{3}{2}^-) \text{ Status: } ****$$

Discovered by FERRO-LUZZI 62; the elaboration in WATSON 63 is the classic paper on the Breit-Wigner analysis of a multichannel resonance.

The measurements of the mass, width, and elasticity published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They were last listed in our 1982 edition Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

Production and formation experiments agree quite well, so they are listed together here.

NODE=B038

NODE=B038

$\Lambda(1520)$ POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1517 to 1518 (≈ 1517.5) OUR ESTIMATE			
1517.5$\pm$0.4 OUR AVERAGE			
1517.5 $\pm$ 0.4	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1517 $\begin{smallmatrix} +4 \\ -4 \end{smallmatrix}$	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1518	ZHANG	13A	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1518.8	QIANG	10	SPEC $ep \rightarrow e' K^+ X$ (fit to X)

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

–2 $\times$ IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
14 to 18 (≈ 16) OUR ESTIMATE			
15.3$\pm$ 0.9 OUR AVERAGE			
15.3 $\pm$ 0.9	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
15 $\begin{smallmatrix} +10 \\ -8 \end{smallmatrix}$	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
16	ZHANG	13A	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
17.2	QIANG	10	SPEC $ep \rightarrow e' K^+ X$ (fit to X)

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

NODE=B038225

NODE=B038RE

NODE=B038RE

→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=B038RE;LINKAGE=A

NODE=B038IM

NODE=B038IM

→ UNCHECKED ←

$\Lambda(1520)$ POLE RESIDUES

The normalized residue is the residue divided by $\Gamma_{pole}/2$.

Normalized residue in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1520) \rightarrow N\bar{K}$

MODULUS	PHASE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.45 $\pm$0.01	–10 $\pm$ 3	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.431	–11	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

Normalized residue in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1520) \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$

MODULUS	PHASE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.44 $\pm$0.01	–15 $\pm$ 3	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.435	–10	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

Normalized residue in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1520) \rightarrow \Lambda\eta$

MODULUS	PHASE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.013$\pm$0.003	116 $\pm$ 3	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

Normalized residue in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1520) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$, S-wave

MODULUS	PHASE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.431	–123	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

NODE=B038IM;LINKAGE=A

NODE=B038250

NODE=B038250

NODE=B038A00

NODE=B038A00

NODE=B038A00;LINKAGE=A

NODE=B038A01

NODE=B038A01

NODE=B038A01;LINKAGE=A

NODE=B038A04

NODE=B038A04

NODE=B038A02

NODE=B038A02

NODE=B038A02;LINKAGE=A

Normalized residue in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1520) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$, D -wave

MODULUS	PHASE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.0141	122	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.				

NODE=B038A03
 NODE=B038A03

NODE=B038A03;LINKAGE=A

 $\Lambda(1520)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1518 to 1520 (≈ 1519) OUR ESTIMATE				
1519.42$\pm$0.19 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.				
1518.5 $\pm$ 0.5		SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1519.6 $\pm$ 0.5		ZHANG	13A	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1520.4 $\pm$ 0.6 $\pm$ 1.5		QIANG	10	SPEC $e p \rightarrow e' K^+ X$ (fit to X)
1517.3 $\pm$ 1.5	300	BARBER	80D	SPEC $\gamma p \rightarrow \Lambda(1520) K^+$
1517.8 $\pm$ 1.2	5k	BARLAG	79	HBC $K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/ c
1520.0 $\pm$ 0.5		ALSTON-...	78	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
1519.7 $\pm$ 0.3	4k	CAMERON	77	HBC $K^- p$ 0.96–1.36 GeV/ c
1519 $\pm$ 1		GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1519.4 $\pm$ 0.3	2000	CORDEN	75	DBC $K^- d$ 1.4–1.8 GeV/ c

NODE=B038M

NODE=B038M

→ UNCHECKED ←

 $\Lambda(1520)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
15 to 17 (≈ 16) OUR ESTIMATE				
15.73$\pm$0.26 OUR AVERAGE				
15.7 $\pm$ 1.0		SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
17 $\pm$ 1		ZHANG	13A	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
18.6 $\pm$ 1.9 $\pm$ 1.0		QIANG	10	SPEC $e p \rightarrow e' K^+ X$ (fit to X)
16.3 $\pm$ 3.3	300	BARBER	80D	SPEC $\gamma p \rightarrow \Lambda(1520) K^+$
16 $\pm$ 1		GOPAL	80	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
14 $\pm$ 3	677	¹ BARLAG	79	HBC $K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/ c
15.4 $\pm$ 0.5		ALSTON-...	78	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
16.3 $\pm$ 0.5	4k	CAMERON	77	HBC $K^- p$ 0.96–1.36 GeV/ c
15.0 $\pm$ 0.5		GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
15.5 $\pm$ 1.6	2000	CORDEN	75	DBC $K^- d$ 1.4–1.8 GeV/ c

¹ From the best-resolution sample of $\Lambda\pi\pi$ events only.

NODE=B038W

NODE=B038W

→ UNCHECKED ←

 $\Lambda(1520)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $N\bar{K}$	(45 $\pm$ 1) %
Γ_2 $\Sigma\pi$	(42 $\pm$ 1) %
Γ_3 $\Lambda\pi\pi$	(10 $\pm$ 1) %
Γ_4 $\Sigma(1385)\pi$, S -wave	
Γ_5 $\Sigma(1385)\pi$, D -wave	
Γ_6 $\Sigma(1385)\pi$	(4.1 $\pm$ 0.5) %
Γ_7 $\Sigma(1385)\pi(\rightarrow \Lambda\pi\pi)$	
Γ_8 $\Lambda(\pi\pi)S$ -wave	(2.0 $\pm$ 0.8) %
Γ_9 $\Sigma\pi\pi$	(0.9 $\pm$ 0.1) %
Γ_{10} $\Lambda\gamma$	(0.85 $\pm$ 0.15) %
Γ_{11} $\Sigma^0\gamma$	(2.00 $\pm$ 0.35) %

NODE=B038;LINKAGE=A

NODE=B038215;NODE=B038

DESIG=1;OUR EST

DESIG=2;OUR EST

DESIG=3;OUR EST

DESIG=11

DESIG=12

DESIG=7

DESIG=8;OUR EST

DESIG=9

DESIG=6;OUR EST

DESIG=4;OUR EST

DESIG=5

 $\Lambda(1520)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

See "Sign conventions for resonance couplings" in the Note on Λ and Σ Resonances.

NODE=B038220

NODE=B038220

 $\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_1/Γ
0.45 to 0.47 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.45 $\pm$ 0.01	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel	
0.47 $\pm$ 0.04	ZHANG	13A	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel	
0.47 $\pm$ 0.02	GOPAL	80	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$	
0.45 $\pm$ 0.03	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$	
0.448 $\pm$ 0.014	CORDEN	75	DBC $K^- d$ 1.4–1.8 GeV/ c	

NODE=B038R6

NODE=B038R6

→ UNCHECKED ←

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.43	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
0.47 ± 0.01	GOPAL	77	DPWA	See GOPAL 80
0.42	MAST	76	HBC	$K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 n$

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

NODE=B038R6;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_2/Γ
0.42 to 0.46 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.43 ± 0.01	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
0.47 ± 0.05	ZHANG	13A	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
0.426 ± 0.014	CORDEN	75	DBC	$K^- d$ 1.4–1.8 GeV/c
0.418 ± 0.017	BARBARO-...	69B	HBC	$K^- p$ 0.28–0.45 GeV/c

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.446	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
0.46	KIM	71	DPWA	K-matrix analysis

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

NODE=B038R7
NODE=B038R7
→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=B038R7;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)/\Gamma(N\bar{K})$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_2/Γ_1
0.9 to 1.0 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.98 ± 0.03	¹ GOPAL	77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
0.82 ± 0.08	BURKHARDT	69	HBC	$K^- p$ 0.8–1.2 GeV/c
1.06 ± 0.14	SCHEUER	68	DBC	$K^- N$ 3 GeV/c
0.96 ± 0.20	DAHL	67	HBC	$\pi^- p$ 1.6–4 GeV/c
0.73 ± 0.11	DAUBER	67	HBC	$K^- p$ 2 GeV/c

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.06 ± 0.12	BERTHON	74	HBC	Quasi-2-body σ
1.72 ± 0.78	MUSGRAVE	65	HBC	

¹ The $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$ amplitude at resonance is $+0.46 \pm 0.01$.

NODE=B038R1
NODE=B038R1
→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=B038;LINKAGE=B

$\Gamma(\Lambda\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_3/Γ
0.09 to 0.11 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.091 ± 0.006	CORDEN	75	DBC	$K^- d$ 1.4–1.8 GeV/c
0.11 ± 0.01	¹ MAST	73B	IPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi\pi$

¹ Assumes $\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = 0.46 \pm 0.02$.

NODE=B038R11
NODE=B038R11
→ UNCHECKED ←

NODE=B038;LINKAGE=J

$\Gamma(\Lambda\pi\pi)/\Gamma(N\bar{K})$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_3/Γ_1
0.18 to 0.22 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.22 ± 0.03	BURKHARDT	69	HBC	$K^- p$ 0.8–1.2 GeV/c
0.19 ± 0.04	SCHEUER	68	DBC	$K^- N$ 3 GeV/c
0.17 ± 0.05	DAHL	67	HBC	$\pi^- p$ 1.6–4 GeV/c
0.21 ± 0.18	DAUBER	67	HBC	$K^- p$ 2 GeV/c

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.27 ± 0.13	BERTHON	74	HBC	Quasi-2-body σ
0.2	KIM	71	DPWA	K-matrix analysis

NODE=B038R2
NODE=B038R2
→ UNCHECKED ←

$\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi\pi)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_2/Γ_3
3.4 to 4.4 OUR ESTIMATE				
3.9 ± 1.0	UHLIG	67	HBC	$K^- p$ 0.9–1.0 GeV/c
3.3 ± 1.1	BIRMINGHAM	66	HBC	$K^- p$ 3.5 GeV/c
4.5 ± 1.0	ARMENTEROS65C		HBC	

NODE=B038R3
NODE=B038R3
→ UNCHECKED ←

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)\pi, S\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_4/Γ
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.121	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

NODE=B038R00
NODE=B038R00

NODE=B038R00;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)\pi, D\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_5/Γ
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.003	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA	Multichannel

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

NODE=B038R01
NODE=B038R01

NODE=B038R01;LINKAGE=A

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.041±0.005	CHAN	72 HBC	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi \pi$

NODE=B038R10
 NODE=B038R10

 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)\pi(\rightarrow \Lambda \pi \pi))/\Gamma(\Lambda \pi \pi)$ Γ_7/Γ_3

The $\Lambda \pi \pi$ mode is largely due to $\Sigma(1385)\pi$. Only the values of $(\Sigma(1385)\pi) / (\Lambda \pi \pi)$ given by MAST 73B and CORDEN 75 are based on real 3-body partial-wave analyses. The discrepancy between the two results is essentially due to the different hypotheses made concerning the shape of the $(\pi \pi)_{S\text{-wave}}$ state.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.58±0.22		CORDEN	75 DBC	$K^- d$ 1.4–1.8 GeV/c
0.82±0.10		¹ MAST	73B IPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi \pi$
<0.44	90	WIELAND	11 SPHR	$\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ \Lambda(1520)$
0.39±0.10		² BURKHARDT	71 HBC	$K^- p \rightarrow (\Lambda \pi \pi) \pi$

NODE=B038R9

NODE=B038R9
 NODE=B038R9

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹ Both $\Sigma(1385)\pi$ DS_{03} and $\Sigma(\pi\pi)$ DP_{03} contribute.

² The central bin (1514–1524 MeV) gives 0.74 ± 0.10 ; other bins are lower by 2-to-5 standard deviations.

NODE=B038;LINKAGE=I
 NODE=B038;LINKAGE=G

 $\Gamma(\Lambda(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})/\Gamma(\Lambda \pi \pi)$ Γ_8/Γ_3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.20±0.08	CORDEN	75 DBC	$K^- d$ 1.4–1.8 GeV/c

NODE=B038R12
 NODE=B038R12

 $\Gamma(\Sigma \pi \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.007 to 0.011 OUR ESTIMATE			
0.007 ± 0.002	¹ CORDEN	75 DBC	$K^- d$ 1.4–1.8 GeV/c
0.0085±0.0006	² MAST	73 MPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi \pi$
0.010 ± 0.0015	BARBARO-...	69B HBC	$K^- p$ 0.28–0.45 GeV/c

NODE=B038R8
 NODE=B038R8
 → UNCHECKED ←

¹ Much of the $\Sigma \pi \pi$ decay proceeds via $\Sigma(1385)\pi$.

² Assumes $\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = 0.46$.

NODE=B038;LINKAGE=F
 NODE=B038;LINKAGE=E

 $\Gamma(\Lambda \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
7 to 11 OUR ESTIMATE				
10.7±2.9 ^{+1.5} _{-0.4}	32	TAYLOR	05 CLAS	$\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ \Lambda \gamma$
10.2±2.1±1.5	290	ANTIPOV	04A SPNX	$p N(C) \rightarrow \Lambda(1520) K^+ N(C)$
8.0±1.4	238	MAST	68B HBC	Using $\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = 0.45$

NODE=B038R4
 NODE=B038R4
 → UNCHECKED ←

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.02±0.0035	¹ MAST	68B HBC	Not measured; see note

NODE=B038R5
 NODE=B038R5

¹ Calculated from $\Gamma(\Lambda \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$, assuming SU(3). Needed to constrain the sum of all the branching ratios to be unity.

NODE=B038;LINKAGE=C

 $\Lambda(1520)$ REFERENCES

NODE=B038

SARANTSEV	19	EPJ A55 180	A.V. Sarantsev <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, PNPI)
KAMANO	15	PR C92 025205	H. Kamano <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, OSAK)
ZHANG	13A	PR C88 035205	H. Zhang <i>et al.</i>	(KSU)
WIELAND	11	EPJ A47 47	F. Wieland <i>et al.</i>	(ELSA SAPHIR Collab.)
QIANG	10	PL B694 123	Y. Qiang <i>et al.</i>	(DUKE, JEFF, PNPI, GWU+)
TAYLOR	05	PR C71 054609	S. Taylor <i>et al.</i>	(JLab CLAS Collab.)
Also		PR C72 039902 (errat.)	S. Taylor <i>et al.</i>	(JLab CLAS Collab.)
ANTIPOV	04A	PL B604 22	Yu.M. Antipov <i>et al.</i>	(IHEP SPHINX Collab.)
PDG	82	PL 111B 1	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HEL, CIT, CERN)
BARBER	80D	ZPHY C7 17	D.P. Barber <i>et al.</i>	(DARE, LANC, SHEF)
GOPAL	80	Toronto Conf. 159	G.P. Gopal	(RHEL) IJP
BARLAG	79	NP B149 220	S.J.M. Barlag <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, NIJM+)
ALSTON-...	78	PR D18 182	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
Also		PRL 38 1007	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
CAMERON	77	NP B131 399	W. Cameron <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, LOIC) IJP
GOPAL	77	NP B119 362	G.P. Gopal <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, RHEL) IJP
MAST	76	PR D14 13	T.S. Mast <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)
CORDEN	75	NP B84 306	M.J. Corden <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM)
BERTHON	74	NC 21A 146	A. Berthon <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF, RHEL, SACL+)
MAST	73	PR D7 3212	T.S. Mast <i>et al.</i>	(LBL) IJP
MAST	73B	PR D7 5	T.S. Mast <i>et al.</i>	(LBL) IJP

REFID=59986
 REFID=57507
 REFID=55441
 REFID=53763
 REFID=53557
 REFID=50670
 REFID=50978
 REFID=50291
 REFID=41167
 REFID=31754
 REFID=31755
 REFID=31753
 REFID=31751
 REFID=31752
 REFID=31749
 REFID=31750
 REFID=31748
 REFID=31747
 REFID=31745
 REFID=31744
 REFID=32035

CHAN	72	PRL 28 256	S.B. Chan <i>et al.</i>	(MASA, YALE)	REFID=31742
BURKHARDT	71	NP B27 64	E. Burkhardt <i>et al.</i>	(HEID, CERN, SACL)	REFID=31738
KIM	71	PRL 27 356	J.K. Kim	(HARV) IJP	REFID=31740
Also		Duke Conf. 161	J.K. Kim	(HARV) IJP	REFID=31741
Hyperon Resonances, 1970					
BARBARO-...	69B	Lund Conf. 352	A. Barbaro-Galtieri <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)	REFID=31735
Also		Duke Conf. 95	R.D. Tripp	(LRL)	REFID=31736
Hyperon Resonances 1970					
BURKHARDT	69	NP B14 106	E. Burkhardt <i>et al.</i>	(HEID, EFI, CERN+)	REFID=31733
MAST	68B	PRL 21 1715	T.S. Mast <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)	REFID=31731
SCHEUER	68	NP B8 503	J.C. Scheuer <i>et al.</i>	(SABRE Collab.)	REFID=31732
DAHL	67	PR 163 1377	O.I. Dahl <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)	REFID=20321
DAUBER	67	PL 24B 525	P.M. Dauber <i>et al.</i>	(UCLA)	REFID=31729
UHLIG	67	PR 155 1448	R.P. Uhlig <i>et al.</i>	(UMD, NRL)	REFID=31730
BIRMINGHAM	66	PR 152 1148	M. Haque <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, GLAS, LOIC, OXF+)	REFID=31692
ARMENTEROS	65C	PL 19 338	R. Armenteros <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, HEID, SACL)	REFID=31725
MUSGRAVE	65	NC 35 735	B. Musgrave <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, EPOL+)	REFID=31691
WATSON	63	PR 131 2248	M.B. Watson, M. Ferro-Luzzi, R.D. Tripp	(LRL) IJP	REFID=31723
FERRO-LUZZI	62	PRL 8 28	M. Ferro-Luzzi, R.D. Tripp, M.B. Watson	(LRL) IJP	REFID=31721
