

NODE=B119

 $\Lambda_c(2595)^+$ $I(J^P) = 0(\frac{1}{2}^-)$ Status: ***

The $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ mode is largely, and perhaps entirely, $\Sigma_c \pi$, which is just at threshold; since the Σ_c has $J^P = 1/2^+$, the J^P here is almost certainly $1/2^-$. This result is in accord with the theoretical expectation that this is the charm counterpart of the strange $\Lambda(1405)$.

NODE=B119

 $\Lambda_c(2595)^+ MASS$

The mass is obtained from the $\Lambda_c(2595)^+ - \Lambda_c^+$ mass-difference measurements below.

NODE=B119M

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
2592.25 ± 0.28 OUR FIT	

NODE=B119M

 $\Lambda_c(2595)^+ - \Lambda_c^+ MASS DIFFERENCE$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
305.79 ± 0.24 OUR FIT				
$305.79 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.20$	3.5k	AALTONEN	11H CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
305.6 ± 0.3		¹ BLECHMAN	03	Threshold shift
309.7 ± 0.9 ± 0.4	19	ALBRECHT	97 ARG	$e^+ e^- \approx 10$ GeV
309.2 ± 0.7 ± 0.3	14 ± 4.5	FRAZETTI	96 E687	$\gamma Be, \bar{E}_\gamma \approx 220$ GeV
307.5 ± 0.4 ± 1.0	112 ± 17	EDWARDS	95 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV

NODE=B119M

¹ BLECHMAN 03 finds that a more sophisticated treatment than a simple Breit-Wigner for the proximity of the threshold of the dominant decay, $\Sigma_c(2455)\pi$, lowers the $\Lambda_c(2595)^+ - \Lambda_c^+$ mass difference by 2 or 3 MeV. The analysis of AALTONEN 11H bears this out.

NODE=B119D

 $\Lambda_c(2595)^+ WIDTH$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$2.59 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.47$				
3.5k	2	AALTONEN	11H CDF	$p\bar{p}$ at 1.96 TeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2.9 ± 2.9 ± 1.8	19	ALBRECHT	97 ARG	$e^+ e^- \approx 10$ GeV
3.9 ± 1.4 ± 2.0	112 ± 17	EDWARDS	95 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV

NODE=B119W

² AALTONEN 11H treats the three charged modes $\Lambda_c(2595)^+ \rightarrow \Sigma_c(2455)^{++}\pi^-$, $\Sigma_c(2455)^+\pi^0$, $\Sigma_c(2455)^0\pi^+$ separately in terms of a common coupling constant h_2 and obtains $h_2^2 = 0.36 \pm 0.08$. From this the width is determined.

NODE=B119W

 $\Lambda_c(2595)^+ DECAY MODES$

$\Lambda_c^+ \pi\pi$ and its submode $\Sigma_c(2455)\pi$ — the latter just barely — are the only strong decays allowed to an excited Λ_c^+ having this mass; and the submode seems to dominate.

NODE=B119W;LINKAGE=AA

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	[a] —
$\Gamma_2 \Sigma_c(2455)^{++}\pi^-$	$24 \pm 7\%$
$\Gamma_3 \Sigma_c(2455)^0\pi^+$	$24 \pm 7\%$
$\Gamma_4 \Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^- 3\text{-body}$	$18 \pm 10\%$
$\Gamma_5 \Lambda_c^+ \pi^0$	[b] not seen
$\Gamma_6 \Lambda_c^+ \gamma$	not seen

NODE=B119215;NODE=B119

NODE=B119

DESIG=1;OUR EST; \rightarrow UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=2;OUR EST; \rightarrow UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=3;OUR EST; \rightarrow UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=6;OUR EST; \rightarrow UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=4;OUR EST; \rightarrow UNCHECKED ←
 DESIG=5;OUR EST; \rightarrow UNCHECKED ←

- [a] See AALTONEN 11H, Fig. 8, for the calculated ratio of $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^0 \pi^0$ and $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ partial widths as a function of the $\Lambda_c(2595)^+ - \Lambda_c^+$ mass difference. At our value of the mass difference, the ratio is about 4.
- [b] A test that the isospin is indeed 0, so that the particle is indeed a Λ_c^+ .

$\Lambda_c(2595)^+$ BRANCHING RATIOS					
$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$			Γ_1/Γ		
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.85	90	ABLIKIM	24BC BES3	$e^+ e^-$ at 4.918 , 4.950 GeV	
$\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^{++} \pi^-)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$			Γ_2/Γ_1		
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.36±0.10 OUR AVERAGE					
0.37±0.12±0.13		ALBRECHT	97	ARG	$e^+ e^- \approx 10$ GeV
0.36±0.09±0.09		EDWARDS	95	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV
$\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$			Γ_3/Γ_1		
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.37±0.10 OUR AVERAGE					
0.29±0.10±0.11		ALBRECHT	97	ARG	$e^+ e^- \approx 10$ GeV
0.42±0.09±0.09		EDWARDS	95	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV
$[\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^{++} \pi^-) + \Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$			$(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3)/\Gamma_1$		
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$0.66^{+0.13}_{-0.16} \pm 0.07$		ALBRECHT	97	ARG	$e^+ e^- \approx 10$ GeV
>0.51	90	³ FRABETTI	96	E687	γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 220$ GeV
3 The results of FRABETTI 96 are consistent with this ratio being 100%.					
$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$			Γ_5/Γ_1		
$\Lambda_c^+ \pi^0$ decay is forbidden by isospin conservation if this state is in fact a Λ_c^+ .					
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<3.53	90	EDWARDS	95	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV
$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \gamma)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$			Γ_6/Γ_1		
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.98	90	EDWARDS	95	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV

$\Lambda_c(2595)^+$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	24BC	PR D109 112007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
AALTONEN	11H	PR D84 012003	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
BLECHMAN	03	PR D67 074033	A.E. Blechman <i>et al.</i>	(JHU, FLOR)
ALBRECHT	97	PL B402 207	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
FRABETTI	96	PL B365 461	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
EDWARDS	95	PRL 74 3331	K.W. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)

LINKAGE=LC

LINKAGE=B19

NODE=B119220

NODE=B119R00
NODE=B119R00NODE=B119R1
NODE=B119R1NODE=B119R2
NODE=B119R2NODE=B119R5
NODE=B119R5

NODE=B119R5;LINKAGE=A

NODE=B119R3

NODE=B119R3
NODE=B119R3NODE=B119R4
NODE=B119R4

NODE=B119

REFID=62808
REFID=16435
REFID=50387
REFID=45403
REFID=44589
REFID=44199